

## ENTIRE FUNCTIONS THAT SHARE REAL ZEROS AND REAL ONES

THOMAS P. CZUBIAK AND GARY G. GUNDERSEN

**ABSTRACT.** We find all pairs of nonconstant entire functions that have the same zeros and ones (ignoring multiplicities), when the zeros and ones are all real.

We say two entire functions  $f(z)$  and  $g(z)$  share the value  $c$  provided that  $f(z) = c$  if and only if  $g(z) = c$ . We distinguish between sharing a value **CM** (counting multiplicities) and **IM** (ignoring multiplicities). Unless stated otherwise, all functions will be assumed to be nonconstant and entire.

It is easy to show that if  $f$  and  $g$  share 0 and 1 **CM** and  $f \neq g$ , then there are entire functions  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  such that

$$f(z) = \frac{e^{2\pi i \alpha(z)} - 1}{e^{2\pi i \beta(z)} - 1} \quad \text{and} \quad g(z) = \frac{e^{-2\pi i \alpha(z)} - 1}{e^{-2\pi i \beta(z)} - 1}. \quad (1)$$

When  $f$  and  $g$  have finite order, then C. F. Osgood and C. C. Yang [5, p. 410] have shown that  $\beta$  is a polynomial and  $\alpha$  is a polynomial in  $\beta$  with rational coefficients. Thus all possible pairs are determined. For infinite order, the example  $\alpha(z) = z \sin(\pi z^2)$  and  $\beta(z) = z^2$  shows that  $\alpha$  does not even have to be a power series in  $\beta$ .

When  $f$  and  $g$  share 0 and 1 **IM**, the situation is more complicated. One of the authors [3, Theorem 3] has shown that  $T(r, f) < (3 + o(1))T(r, g)$  and  $T(r, g) < (3 + o(1))T(r, f)$  as  $r \rightarrow \infty$  outside a set of finite linear measure ( $T(r, h)$  is the Nevanlinna characteristic function of  $h$ ). M. Ozawa [6] has proven some uniqueness theorems when  $f$  and  $g$  have finite order, by assuming various further hypotheses on the zeros and ones. But in general, no one has come close to finding all possible pairs.

Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be the class of all nonconstant entire functions which have only real zeros and real ones. We will prove the following:

**THEOREM.** *If  $f$  and  $g$  are in  $\mathcal{H}$  and share 0 and 1 **IM**, then we necessarily have one of the following six cases where  $a \neq 0$  and  $b$  are real constants:*

1.  $f = g$ ,
2.  $f(z) = \sin^2(az + b)$  and  $g(z) = -i \sin(az + b)e^{i(az + b)}$ ,
3.  $f(z) = \frac{\sin^2(p(az + b))}{\sin^2(az + b)}$  and  $g(z) = \frac{\sin(p(az + b))}{\sin(az + b)} e^{i(p-1)(az + b)}$   
*for  $p = -2$  and for  $p = -3$ ,*

---

Received by the editors March 20, 1980 and, in revised form, July 7, 1980.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 30D35.

*Key words and phrases.* Entire functions, shared values.

© 1981 American Mathematical Society  
 0002-9939/81/0000-0317/\$02.25

$$4. \quad f(z) = 1 - \frac{(g(z) - 1)^2}{e^{2\pi i(az+b)}} \quad \text{and} \quad g(z) = \frac{\sin(p(az + b))}{\sin(az + b)} e^{i(p-1)(az+b)}$$

for  $p = 3$  and for  $p = 4$ .

REMARKS. Each case is distinct and it can be readily verified that the five unequal pairs are in  $\mathfrak{C}$  and share 0 and 1 **IM**. The following three corollaries are immediate consequences of the theorem. We note that the first part of the proof of the theorem is a direct proof of Corollary 2.

COROLLARY 1. *If  $f$  and  $g$  are in  $\mathfrak{C}$  and share 0 and 1 **CM**, then  $f = g$ .*

COROLLARY 2. *If  $f$  and  $g$  are in  $\mathfrak{C}$  and share 0 and 1 **IM**, and they are also both real on the real axis, then  $f = g$ .*

COROLLARY 3. *If  $f(z)$  and  $\sin z$  share 0 and 1 **IM**, then  $f(z) \equiv \sin z$ .*

L. A. Rubel and C. C. Yang [7, p. 293] proved the statement of Corollary 3 with “**IM**” replaced by “**CM**”. See also Theorem 1 of [6].

We mention the systematic study of meromorphic functions that share values by R. Nevanlinna in [4].

To prove our theorem we will apply results of Laguerre and A. Edrei.

LEMMA 1 [8, p. 266]. *If  $h(z)$  is entire, real for real  $z$ , of order less than two, and with only real zeros, then  $h'(z)$  has exactly one real zero between consecutive zeros of  $h(z)$ , and this zero is simple.*

LEMMA 2 [2]. *If  $h(z)$  is entire with only real (positive) zeros and only real (positive) ones, then the order of  $h$  is at most one (one-half). If further,  $h(z)$  is not real for some real value of  $z$ , then  $h$  is necessarily of one of the following two forms:*

$$h(z) = \frac{\sin(az + b)e^{i(az+c)}}{\sin(b - c)}, \quad \sin(b - c) \neq 0, \tag{i}$$

where  $a, b$ , and  $c$  are real constants;

$$h(z) = \frac{\sin(p(az + b))e^{i(p-1)(az+b)}}{\sin(az + b)} \tag{ii}$$

where  $p \neq 0, 1$  is an integer and  $a, b$  are real constants.

PROOF OF THE THEOREM. Let  $f$  and  $g$  be in  $\mathfrak{C}$  and share 0 and 1 **IM**. Then by Lemma 2,  $\text{order}(f) < 1$  and  $\text{order}(g) < 1$ .

Assume first that both  $f$  and  $g$  are real for real  $z$ . Let  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  be consecutive zeros of  $f, g$ . We deduce from Lemma 1 that, in the open interval  $(x_1, x_2)$ , both  $f$  and  $g$  have exactly one of the following: (i) one double one-point, (ii) two simple one-points, or (iii) no one-points. By considering  $1 - f$  and  $1 - g$ , we see that the same statement can be made with the zeros and ones interchanged. Therefore, between any two zeros (ones), all of the ones (zeros) are shared **CM**. We have two cases.

In the first case, suppose that  $f(x) \neq 0$  for  $x > x_3$ . It can be seen from the proof of Lemma 1 that  $f'/f$  is strictly decreasing on the interval  $x > x_3$  (Note: In the proof of Lemma 1 it is shown that  $h'/h$  is strictly decreasing where it is defined on the real axis, for any  $h$  satisfying the hypothesis of Lemma 1.). Hence,  $f'$  can have at most one zero in  $(x_3, \infty)$ . Therefore,  $f$  can have at most two one-points in  $(x_3, \infty)$ . It follows from Lemma 2 that  $\text{order}(f) < \frac{1}{2}$  and  $\text{order}(g) < \frac{1}{2}$ . If we further have that  $f(x) \neq 0$  for  $x < x_4$ , then  $f$  and  $g$  must be polynomials by the Hadamard factorization theorem. This implies that  $f = g$ , because two polynomials that share two finite values **IM** are identical [1]. On the other hand, if  $f$  does have infinitely many negative zeros, then by the previous paragraph, all but at most two of the one-points will be shared **CM**. Thus by the Hadamard factorization theorem, there exists a rational function  $R(z)$  such that

$$f - 1 = R(g - 1).$$

Since  $R(z) = 1$  whenever  $z$  is a zero of  $f, g$ , this implies that  $R(z) \equiv 1$ . Hence  $f = g$ .

If in the preceding paragraph we had started with the assumption that  $f(x) \neq 0$  for  $x < x_4$ , instead of  $f(x) \neq 0$  for  $x > x_3$ , then the same argument will yield  $f = g$ . Similarly, if the assumption was either  $1 - f(x) \neq 0$  for  $x > x_3$ , or  $1 - f(x) \neq 0$  for  $x < x_4$ , then the same argument with  $1 - f$  and  $1 - g$  instead of  $f$  and  $g$  will again yield  $f = g$ .

The second case is when  $f$  and  $g$  have infinitely many positive zeros, infinitely many negative zeros, infinitely many positive ones, and infinitely many negative ones. Our previous application of Lemma 1 to the zeros of  $f, g$  and also to the zeros of  $1 - f, 1 - g$ , shows that  $f$  and  $g$  share 0 and 1 **CM**. Hence if  $f \neq g$ , then the result of Osgood and Yang [5, p. 410] gives

$$f(z) = \frac{e^{n(az+b)} - 1}{e^{az+b} - 1} \quad \text{and} \quad g(z) = \frac{e^{-n(az+b)} - 1}{e^{-(az+b)} - 1}, \tag{2}$$

where  $a \neq 0, b$  are constants and  $n \neq 0, 1$  is an integer. Now suppose  $f$  and  $g$  have a zero  $z_1 = (2\pi ik/na) - (b/a)$  ( $k$  is an integer  $\neq 0, \text{mod}(n)$ ). Then  $z_2 = z_1 + (2\pi i/a)$  is also a zero. Since  $z_1$  and  $z_2$  are real, we obtain  $\text{Re}(a) = \text{Re}(b) = 0$ . But then  $f$  and  $g$  will not be real for all real  $z$ . Hence there are no zeros. But the same argument with  $1 - f$  and  $1 - g$  will show there are no ones. By Picard's theorem,  $f$  and  $g$  are constants, a case we have excluded. Therefore the assumption is false and  $f = g$ .

Now suppose that neither  $f$  nor  $g$  is real for all real  $z$ . Then each must have one of the forms in Lemma 2. It follows that  $f = g$ .

The last possibility is when, say,  $f$  is real for real  $z$  and  $g$  is not real for some real value of  $z$ . Then  $g$  is one of the two forms in Lemma 2.

Case 1.  $g(z) = \sin(az + b)e^{i(az+c)}/\sin(b - c)$  where  $a \neq 0, b, c$  are real constants. Then  $g$  has all simple zeros which occur when  $az + b = \pi m$  for an integer  $m$  and all simple ones which occur when  $az + c = \pi N$  for an integer  $N$ . Since the distance between any two consecutive zeros and any two consecutive ones is equal, there is exactly one zero between every two ones. By applying Lemma 1 to  $1 - f$ ,

we see that  $f$  has all double zeros. This means

$$f(z) = (g(z))^2 e^{Az+B} \quad \text{for constants } A, B.$$

Since  $f$  is real on the real axis, this means that there are real constants  $C, D$ , and an integer  $k$  so that

$$f(z) = \frac{\sin^2(az + b)}{\sin^2(b - c)} e^{Cz+D+\pi ik}.$$

Now  $g(z) = 1 \Rightarrow \sin^2(az + b) = \sin^2(b - c) \Rightarrow e^{Cz+D+\pi ik} = 1$ . Since the ones are all real, it follows that  $e^{Cz+D+\pi ik} \equiv 1$ . Further, since the ones of  $f$  can only occur when  $az + c = \pi N$ , this means that  $\sin^2(b - c) = 1$ . Thus

$$f(z) = \sin^2(az + b) \quad \text{and} \quad g(z) = -i \sin(az + b) e^{i(az+b)}.$$

Case 2.  $g(z) = \sin(p(az + b)) e^{i(p-1)(az+b)} / \sin(az + b)$  where  $p \neq 0, 1$  is an integer and  $a \neq 0, b$  are real constants. Then  $g$  has all simple zeros which occur when  $p(az + b) = \pi N$  for an integer  $N \neq 0 \pmod{p}$ , and all simple ones which occur when  $(p - 1)(az + b) = \pi m$  for an integer  $m \neq 0 \pmod{p - 1}$ .

Suppose first  $p < 0$ . There is at most one zero between consecutive ones. Applying Lemma 1 to  $1 - f$  shows that  $f$  can have only double zeros. Then for constants  $c, d$

$$f(z) = (g(z))^2 e^{cz+d}.$$

Since  $f$  is real on the real axis, this means there are real constants  $A, B$  and an integer  $k$  so that:

$$f(z) = \frac{\sin^2(p(az + b)) e^{Az+B+k\pi i}}{\sin^2(az + b)}.$$

Since the ones are real, this further reduces to

$$f(z) = \frac{\sin^2(p(az + b))}{\sin^2(az + b)}.$$

If  $p = -1$  then  $f = 1$ , the excluded constant case. The cases  $p = -2$  and  $p = -3$  give item 3 in the theorem. Now assume  $p < -4$ . If  $az + b = \pi/(p + 1)$ , then  $f(z) = 1$ . Hence  $g(z) = 1$ , and from the preceding paragraph, this means that  $(p - 1)/(p + 1) = m$  for some integer  $m$ . This cannot happen for  $p < -4$ .

Now suppose  $p > 2$ . There is at most one one-point between zeros. Hence by Lemma 1,  $f$  can have only double ones. Then for constants  $c$  and  $d$ :

$$f(z) - 1 = -(g(z) - 1)^2 e^{cz+d}.$$

By considering two different zeros of  $f, g$  we obtain  $\text{Re}(c) = \text{Re}(d) = 0$ . Then for real constants  $A, B$ ,

$$f(z) = 1 - (g(z) - 1)^2 e^{i(Az+B)}. \tag{3}$$

From this we obtain

$$f(z) = 1 - (w(z))^2 \exp[i(Az + B + 2p(az + b))]$$

where  $w(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \exp[i(p-2k)(az+b)]$  is real for real  $z$ . Hence

$$\exp(i(Az+B)) \equiv \exp(-2pi(az+b)).$$

Substituting this into (3),

$$f(z) = 1 - (g(z) - 1)^2 e^{-2pi(az+b)}.$$

Then for  $p = 2$ ,  $g(z) = e^{2i(az+b)} + 1$  and  $f(z) \equiv 0$ . The cases  $p = 3$  and  $p = 4$  give item 4 in the theorem. Now assume  $p > 5$ .  $f(z) = 0 \Leftrightarrow (g(z) - 1)^2 = e^{2pi(az+b)}$ . Thus  $f(z) = g(z) = 0$  whenever  $az + b = \pi/(p-2)$ . Therefore,  $p = N(p-2)$  for some integer  $N$ . This is impossible for  $p > 5$ .

The proof of the theorem is now complete.

#### REFERENCES

1. W. Adams and E. Straus, *Non-Archimedean analytic functions taking the same values at the same points*, Illinois J. Math. **15** (1971), 418–424.
2. A. Edrei, *Meromorphic functions with three radially distributed values*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **78** (1955), 276–293.
3. G. G. Gundersen, *Meromorphic functions that share three or four values*, J. London Math. Soc. **20** (1979), 457–466.
4. R. Nevanlinna, *Le théorème de Picard-Borel et la théorie des fonctions méromorphes*, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1929.
5. C. F. Osgood and C. C. Yang, *On the quotient of two integral functions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **54** (1976), 408–418.
6. M. Ozawa, *Unicity theorems for entire functions*, J. Analyse Math. **30** (1976), 411–420.
7. L. A. Rubel and C. C. Yang, *Interpolation and unavoidable families of meromorphic functions*, Michigan Math. J. **20** (1973), 289–296.
8. E. C. Titchmarsh, *The theory of functions*, 2nd ed., Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1968.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF NEW ORLEANS, NEW ORLEANS, LOUISIANA 70122  
(Current address of G. G. Gundersen)

Current address (T. P. Czubiak): 4916 S. Prieur, New Orleans, Louisiana 70125