MATHEMATICS OF COMPUTATION Volume 80, Number 273, January 2011, Pages 617–621 S 0025-5718(10)02347-1 Article electronically published on March 29, 2010

# ENUMERATION OF $4 \times 4$ MAGIC SQUARES

#### MATTHIAS BECK AND ANDREW VAN HERICK

ABSTRACT. A magic square is an  $n \times n$  array of distinct positive integers whose sum along any row, column, or main diagonal is the same number. We compute the number of such squares for n = 4, as a function of either the magic sum or an upper bound on the entries. The previous record for both functions was the n = 3 case. Our methods are based on inside-out polytopes, i.e., the combination of hyperplane arrangements and Ehrhart's theory of lattice-point enumeration.

### 1. It's a kind of magic

A magic square is an  $n \times n$  array of distinct positive integers whose sum along any row, column, or main diagonal is the same number, the magic sum. The history of magic squares is well documented; see, e.g., [8, 9, 21]. The contents of a magic square have varied with time and writer; usually they have been the first  $n^2$  consecutive positive integers, but often any arithmetic sequence and sometimes fairly arbitrary numbers. The fixed ideas are that they are integers, positive, and distinct.

In the last century mathematicians took an interest in results about the number of squares with a fixed magic sum, but with simplifications: diagonal sums were often omitted and the fundamental requirement of distinctness was almost invariably neglected [1, 3, 11, 16]. For example, classical formulas of MacMahon [15] include

$$3\binom{t+3}{4} + \binom{t+2}{2} ,$$

the number of  $3 \times 3$  squares with (not necessarily distinct) nonnegative integer entries that sum to t along any row and column, and

$$\frac{2}{9}t^2 + \frac{2}{3}t + 1$$
 if  $3|t$ ,

the number of such squares in which the two main diagonals also sum to t. The papers [6, 20] form, to the best of our knowledge, the beginning of a theory that tackles counting problems related to magic squares with the distinctness of the entries enforced.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 05A15, 05C78, 52B20, 52C35, 68R05.

©2010 American Mathematical Society

Received by the editor August 11, 2009 and, in revised form, August 30, 2009.

Key words and phrases. Magic square, lattice-point counting, rational inside-out convex polytope, arrangement of hyperplanes, Ehrhart theory, rational generating function computation.

We thank a referee and an associate editor for helpful comments on an earlier version of this paper. We are grateful to San Francisco State University's Center for Computing and Life Sciences for graciously offering the use of their resources. This research was partially supported by the NSF (research grant DMS-0810105).

Our goal is to show that the ideas in [6] can be used to compute the number of  $4 \times 4$  magic squares (with distinct entries), as a function of a parameter that is either the magic sum or an upper bound on the entries of the square. To be precise, we define the *affine magic counting function*  $a_n(t)$  to be the number of all  $n \times n$  matrices consisting of distinct positive integers whose sum along any row, column, or main diagonal is the same number t. The *cubical magic counting* function  $c_n(t)$  is the number of  $n \times n$  matrices whose entries are distinct positive integers less than t, whose sums along any row, column, or main diagonal are equal. The previous record consisted of the  $3 \times 3$  counting functions [6, 20] (see also [5] for the computational implementation of [6])

$$a_{3}(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{9} \left( 2t^{2} - 32t + 144 \right) & \text{if } t \equiv 0 \mod 18, \\ \frac{1}{9} \left( 2t^{2} - 32t + 78 \right) & \text{if } t \equiv 3 \mod 18, \\ \frac{1}{9} \left( 2t^{2} - 32t + 120 \right) & \text{if } t \equiv 6 \mod 18, \\ \frac{1}{9} \left( 2t^{2} - 32t + 126 \right) & \text{if } t \equiv 9 \mod 18, \\ \frac{1}{9} \left( 2t^{2} - 32t + 96 \right) & \text{if } t \equiv 12 \mod 18, \\ \frac{1}{9} \left( 2t^{2} - 32t + 102 \right) & \text{if } t \equiv 15 \mod 18, \\ 0 & \text{if } t \neq 0 \mod 3 \end{cases}$$

and [5, 6]

$$c_{3}(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{6} \left(t^{3} - 16t^{2} + 76t - 96\right) & \text{if } t \equiv 0, 2, 6, 8 \mod 12, \\ \frac{1}{6} \left(t^{3} - 16t^{2} + 73t - 58\right) & \text{if } t \equiv 1 \mod 12, \\ \frac{1}{6} \left(t^{3} - 16t^{2} + 73t - 102\right) & \text{if } t \equiv 3, 11 \mod 12, \\ \frac{1}{6} \left(t^{3} - 16t^{2} + 76t - 112\right) & \text{if } t \equiv 4, 10 \mod 12, \\ \frac{1}{6} \left(t^{3} - 16t^{2} + 73t - 90\right) & \text{if } t \equiv 5, 9 \mod 12, \\ \frac{1}{6} \left(t^{3} - 16t^{2} + 73t - 70\right) & \text{if } t \equiv 7 \mod 12. \end{cases}$$

Both of these functions are quasipolynomials, i.e., of the form  $c_d(t) t^d + c_{d-1}(t) t^{d-1} + \cdots + c_0(t)$ , where  $c_0, c_1, \ldots, c_d$  are periodic functions. It follows from [6] that  $a_n(t)$  and  $c_n(t)$  are always quasipolynomials in t; we will outline the basic arguments in Section 2.

A handy, compact way of representing a quasipolynomial q(t) is through its generating function  $Q(z) := \sum_{t\geq 0} q(t) z^t$ . It is not hard to prove (see, e.g., [4, 17]) that Q(z) is a rational function with poles at *p*th roots of unity, where *p* is a common period of the coefficient functions of q(t). As examples we give the rational generating functions for  $a_3(t)$  and  $c_3(t)$ :

$$A_3(z) = \frac{8z^{15} (2z^3 + 1)}{(1 - z^3) (1 - z^6) (1 - z^9)}$$

and

$$C_{3}(z) = \frac{8z^{10} (2z^{2} + 1)}{(1 - z)^{2} (1 - z^{4}) (1 - z^{6})}$$

Our computational approach (which differs from both [6] and [20]) verified the results for  $a_3(t)$  and  $c_3(t)$ . Both papers [6, 20] commented that the  $4 \times 4$  case seems computationally infeasible, and we present some reasons for this assessment in Section 3; one of the reasons is that the rational generating functions for  $a_4(t)$  and  $c_4(t)$  take several pages to write down (in reduced form). Nevertheless, we

618

were able to compute  $A_4(z)$  and  $C_4(z)$ ; since we did not want to waste paper, the results are posted online at math.sfsu.edu/beck/papers/affmagic4.html and math.sfsu.edu/beck/papers/cubmagic4.html.

### 2. Enter geometry

We start with the affine case. One treats a square with magic sum t as an integer vector  $x \in \mathbb{Z}^{n^2}$  confined to the affine subspace ts, where

$$s = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^{n^2} : \text{ all line sums equal } 1 \right\}.$$

From a geometric point, a square with magic sum t is an integer point in the open dilated polytope  $t\mathcal{P} = \mathbb{R}_{>0} \cap ts$ , except that we need to require that the entries are distinct; so our integer point has to lie outside of the hyperplane arrangement

$$\mathcal{H} = \{ x_{ij} = x_{kl} : 1 \le i, j, k, l \le n, (i, j) \ne (k, l) \}.$$

The pair  $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{H})$  is referred to as an *inside-out polytope* [7]. One can now use Möbius inversion on this hyperplane arrangement (the computational approach in [6]; one appealing feature of this approach is that one computes generating functions for polytopes of progressively smaller dimension) or view  $(\mathbb{R}_{>0} \cap ts) \setminus \bigcup \mathcal{H}$  as a disjoint union of open polytopes (our approach, which we call *region enumeration*). Either way, Ehrhart's fundamental results on integer-point enumeration in polytopes [4, 10] imply that  $a_n(t)$  is a sum/difference of *Ehrhart quasipolynomials*—lattice-point enumerators of rational polytopes.

The cubical case is very similar, except that we replace the subspace by

$$s = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^{n^2} : \text{ all line sums equal} \right\}$$

and the polytope by  $(0,1)^{n^2} \cap s$ . The hyperplane arrangement, which enforces distinct entries in the square, is the same as in the affine case.

### 3. Computations

For the affine case  $(\mathcal{P} = \mathbb{R}_{>0} \cap s)$ , the present computational approach treats  $t\mathcal{P} \setminus \bigcup \mathcal{H}$  as a disjoint union of open polytopes (the *regions* of the inside-out polytope  $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{H})$ ) and computes  $A_4(z)$  by summing the Ehrhart generating functions of all of the polytopes. The computation of  $C_4(z)$  in the cubical case is similar. The challenge in this approach is computing the regions and summing the resulting rational functions efficiently. Our algorithm for computing the regions of an inside-out polytope is fully described in [13] and runs in polynomial time for polytopes of fixed dimension.

To briefly outline the process, we observe that the removal of a single transverse hyperplane (i.e., one that intersects the relative interior of  $\mathcal{P}$ ) from an open polytope *splits* the polytope into two new open polytopes. Removing a non-transverse hyperplane simply results in the same open polytope. To enumerate the regions of an inside-out polytope, we begin by splitting the original polytope with the first hyperplane. We then proceed recursively, splitting the newly generated polytope(s) with the next hyperplane, and so on, until all the hyperplanes in the arrangement are exhausted. To determine whether a hyperplane is transverse to a given polytope, we engage a linear solver, using a normal vector to the hyperplane as the objective function, and the polytope as the feasible region. Computation of each region's Ehrhart generating function is accomplished using Barvinok's algorithm [2].

Aside from the running time of Barvinok's algorithm (which is polynomial in fixed dimension), the computational complexity of our approach has a similar order of magnitude to Möbius inversion, namely  $\sum_{i=1}^{d+1} \binom{n}{i}$  where  $d = \dim \mathcal{P}$  and  $n = |\mathcal{H}|$ . Implemented as a depth-first traversal of a binary tree [13], memory requirements are linearly proportional to  $|\mathcal{H}|$ . Despite the disadvantage of needing to compute Ehrhart generating functions for maximal-dimensional polytopes (as opposed to the Möbius inversion approach), region enumeration lends itself well to parallel computation. At a predetermined level of recursion, we send a description of each open polytope, together with the remaining hyperplanes, to separate processors and accumulate the results.

We have implemented the region enumeration algorithm using C++, combining the polytope libraries barvinok [19], polylib [14], and cdd [12] with the computer algebra system GP/Pari [18] to form a suite of applications and a small library capable of operations on inside-out polytopes, collectively referred to as IOP [13] (http://iop.sourceforge.net/). As far as we are aware, IOP is the first software implementation specifically capable of computing generating functions of inside-out polytopes. The library includes the ability to convert an inside-out polytope to an isomorphic, lattice-point equivalent problem with full dimension in the ambient space, a feature that significantly reduces the time required to generate the regions.

To compute the number of  $4 \times 4$  magic squares, we have reduced the scope of each problem by taking advantage of the 32 symmetries described in [13]. The reduced problems were fed to a 40-node Dell PowerEdge cluster. Computing the generating functions  $A_4(t)$  and  $C_4(t)$  each took less than a day. Each reduced problem required the enumeration of 3211412 polytopes and the computation, summation, and simplification of an equal number of rational generating functions. In lowest terms, the rational generating functions  $A_4(t)$  and  $C_4(t)$ , respectively, occupy approximately 280k and 25k of disk space with numerator/denominator polynomials of degree 2900 (affine) and 533 (cubical) and coefficients exceeding  $10^{42}$ . Files containing the results are available at

## math.sfsu.edu/beck/papers/[affmagic4.html,cubmagic4.html].

The extreme nature of the simplified results justifies the skepticism voiced in [6] and [20] about the feasibility of computing counting functions for  $4 \times 4$  magic squares.

During development of IOP we observed phenomena that may be worthy of future investigation. The degree to which rational-function arithmetic affected computation time was surprising. In a test run for the affine case, a 1.4 gHz home computer successfully enumerated the regions for a portion of the reduced problem [13, Equation 6.16] and output individual simplified Ehrhart generating functions to a file in approximately a week's continuous run-time. However, the attempt to generate a simplified sum proved intractable, with a projected run-time of well over three months. This is considerably more gHz-hours than were actually used by the Dell cluster to tackle the entire reduced problem, suggesting that associative grouping of the rational generating functions may play a significant role in the run-time. In particular, we suspect that grouping rational functions in expressions corresponding to an entire inside-out polytope may result in smaller simplified forms (hence, faster computation time) than expressions grouped arbitrarily.

#### References

- Maya Ahmed, Jesús A. De Loera, and Raymond Hemmecke, *Polyhedral cones of magic cubes and squares*, Discrete and Computational Geometry, Algorithms Combin., vol. 25, Springer, Berlin, 2003, pp. 25–41. MR2038468 (2004m:05016)
- Alexander Barvinok, Computing the Ehrhart polynomial of a convex lattice polytope, Discrete Comput. Geom. 12 (1994), no. 1, 35–48. MR1280575 (95e:52015)
- Matthias Beck, Moshe Cohen, Jessica Cuomo, and Paul Gribelyuk, The number of "magic" squares, cubes, and hypercubes, Amer. Math. Monthly 110 (2003), no. 8, 707–717. MR2023999 (2004k:05009)
- Matthias Beck and Sinai Robins, Computing the continuous discretely: Integer-point enumeration in polyhedra, Undergraduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer, New York, 2007. MR2271992 (2007h:11119)
- 5. Matthias Beck and Thomas Zaslavsky, Six little squares and how their numbers grow, Preprint, 2005.
- An enumerative geometry for magic and magilatin labellings, Ann. Comb. 10 (2006), no. 4, 395–413. MR2293647 (2007m:05010)
- 7. \_\_\_\_, Inside-out polytopes, Adv. Math. **205** (2006), no. 1, 134–162. MR2254310 (2007e:52017)
- 8. Schuyler Cammann, Old Chinese magic squares, Sinologica 7 (1962), 14-53.
- 9. \_\_\_\_, Islamic and Indian magic squares, Parts I and II, History of Religions 8 (1969), 181–209; 271–299.
- Eugène Ehrhart, Sur les polyèdres rationnels homothétiques à n dimensions, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 254 (1962), 616–618. MR0130860 (24:A714)
- <u>\_\_\_\_\_</u>, Sur les carrés magiques, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B **277** (1973), A651–A654. MR0332532 (48:10859)
- 12. Komei Fukuda, *Software package* cdd, (2008. Electronically available at http://www.ifor.math.ethz.ch/~fukuda/cdd\_home/cdd.html).
- 13. Andrew Van Herick, Theoretical and computational methods for lattice point enumeration in inside-out polytopes, MA thesis, San Francisco State University, 2007. Available at http://math.sfsu.edu/beck/teach/masters/andrewv.pdf. Software package IOP available at http://iop.sourceforge.net/.
- 14. Vincent Loechner, *Software package* polylib, (2007. Electronically available at http://icps.u-strasbg.fr/polylib/).
- Percy A. MacMahon, Combinatory Analysis, Chelsea Publishing Co., New York, 1960. MR0141605 (25:5003)
- Richard P. Stanley, Linear homogeneous Diophantine equations and magic labelings of graphs, Duke Math. J. 40 (1973), 607–632. MR0317970 (47:6519)
- <u>Enumerative Combinatorics. Vol. 1</u>, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 49, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997, Corrected reprint of the 1986 original. MR1442260 (98a:05001)
- 18. The PARI Group, Bordeaux, *PARI/GP*, version 2.3.4, 2008, available from http://pari.math.u-bordeaux.fr/.
- 19. Sven Verdoolaege, *Software package* barvinok, (2004. Electronically available at http://freshmeat.net/projects/barvinok/).
- Guoce Xin, Constructing all magic squares of order three, Discrete Math. 308 (2008), no. 15, 3393–3398. MR2423421 (2009e:05039)
- Claudia Zaslavsky, Africa Counts, Prindle, Weber & Schmidt, Inc., Boston, Mass., 1973. MR0504588 (58:20993)

Department of Mathematics, San Francisco State University, San Francisco, California94132

*E-mail address*: beck@math.sfsu.edu

531 BELOIT AVENUE, KENSINGTON, CALIFORNIA 94708 *E-mail address:* avanherick@gmail.com