

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Environmental and Geographical Factors Structure Soil Microbial Diversity in New Caledonian Ultramafic Substrates: A Metagenomic Approach

Véronique Gourmelon^{1*}, Laurent Maggia^{1,2}, Jeff R. Powell³, Sarah Gigante¹, Sara Hortal³, Claire Gueunier⁴, Kelly Letellier¹, Fabian Carriconde^{1*}

1 Institut Agronomique néo-Calédonien (IAC), Axe 2 "Diversités biologique et fonctionnelle des écosystèmes terrestres", Nouméa, New Caledonia, **2** CIRAD, UMR AGAP, Nouméa, New Caledonia, **3** Hawkesbury Institute for the Environment, Western Sydney University, Penrith, New South Wales, Australia, **4** Société Le Nickel (SLN) – Groupe ERAMET, Département Environnement, Nouméa, New Caledonia

* carriconde@iac.nc (FC); gourmelon@iac.nc (VG)



OPEN ACCESS

Citation: Gourmelon V, Maggia L, Powell JR, Gigante S, Hortal S, Gueunier C, et al. (2016) Environmental and Geographical Factors Structure Soil Microbial Diversity in New Caledonian Ultramafic Substrates: A Metagenomic Approach. PLoS ONE 11(12): e0167405. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0167405

Editor: Daniele Daffonchio, University of Milan, ITALY

Received: May 18, 2016

Accepted: November 14, 2016

Published: December 1, 2016

Copyright: © 2016 Gourmelon et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: All sequence files are available from the NCBI SRA database under the accession numbers SAMN05786746-SAMN05786777. Furthermore, OTU tables presenting the OTU numbers, the taxonomic assignments and the number of sequences per samples for the bacteria and the fungi, are available in supporting information.

Funding: This project was funded by the Société Le Nickel (SLN – Groupe ERAMET) through a research collaboration agreement (agreement IAC-

Abstract

Soil microorganisms play key roles in ecosystem functioning and are known to be influenced by biotic and abiotic factors, such as plant cover or edaphic parameters. New Caledonia, a biodiversity hotspot located in the southwest Pacific, is one-third covered by ultramafic substrates. These types of soils are notably characterised by low nutrient content and high heavy metal concentrations. Ultramafic outcrops harbour diverse vegetation types and remarkable plant diversity. In this study, we aimed to assess soil bacterial and fungal diversity in New Caledonian ultramafic substrates and to determine whether floristic composition, edaphic parameters and geographical factors affect this microbial diversity. Therefore, four plant formation types at two distinct sites were studied. These formations represent different stages in a potential chronosequence. Soil cores, according to a given sampling procedure, were collected to assess microbial diversity using a metagenomic approach, and to characterise the physico-chemical parameters. A botanical inventory was also performed. Our results indicated that microbial richness, composition and abundance were linked to the plant cover type and the dominant plant species. Furthermore, a large proportion of Ascomycota phylum (fungi), mostly in non-rainforest formations, and Planctomycetes phylum (bacteria) in all formations were observed. Interestingly, such patterns could be indicators of past disturbances that occurred on different time scales. Furthermore, the bacteria and fungi were influenced by diverse edaphic parameters as well as by the interplay between these two soil communities. Another striking finding was the existence of a site effect. Differences in microbial communities between geographical locations may be explained by dispersal limitation in the context of the biogeographical island theory. In conclusion, each plant formation at each site possesses its own microbial community resulting from multiple interactions between abiotic and biotic factors.

SLN n°DE2013-041). The SLN provided support in the form of salaries for authors [CG] and contributed to the study design, the project administration, the access to the field and the decision to publish.

Competing Interests: Claire Gueunier is employed by La Société Le Nickel (SLN - Groupe ERAMET). There are no patents, products in development or marketed products to declare. This does not alter our adherence to all the PLOS ONE policies on sharing data and materials, as detailed online in the guide for authors.

Introduction

Soil microorganisms play crucial roles in ecosystems functioning [1], such as in biogeochemical cycles, soil stability, plant growth and plant community dynamics [2–5]. One gram of soil can harbour millions to billions of bacteria, hundreds of meters of fungal hyphae, and large species diversity [6]. Soil microorganism communities have been shown to be influenced by abiotic and biotic factors [7–10]. Among the edaphic parameters, pH is the factor that most strongly influences soil bacterial communities [9,11]. Some studies have also shown that phosphorus [9,12] and soil texture [13] can shape soil bacterial communities. The effects of abiotic parameters have been less often demonstrated in fungal communities [10,14]. Regarding biotic factors, several studies have clearly shown that aboveground plant cover influences the fungal community structure and the functional diversity of forest soils [15–17]. However, plant community composition has little to no effect on bacterial communities [14,18]. Furthermore, soil bacteria and fungi have also been known to interact with each other. Some bacteria, called Mycorrhiza Helper Bacteria (MHB), improve the development of mycorrhiza and are fungal specific [19,20]. Fungi are able to excrete compounds that attract different bacterial taxa according to their chemical composition [21,22]. Nevertheless, despite the large diversity and the significance of these microorganisms to terrestrial ecosystem processes, factors influencing their richness, composition and abundance remain largely unknown.

To date, most studies on soil microbial diversity have been performed in temperate regions and little research has been undertaken in tropical and subtropical regions [23]. New Caledonia is a subtropical archipelago located in the southwestern Pacific, between 20° and 23° South latitude and 164° and 167° east longitude. In this territory, the endemism rate in vascular plants is approximately 74% [24,25]. Taking the land surface into consideration, New Caledonia exhibits the world's highest plant endemism richness [26]. However, this diversity is strongly threatened by bushfire and mining activities [27]. Due to this high endemism rate and anthropogenic threats, Myers *et al.* [28] identified New Caledonia as a biodiversity hotspot, *i. e.*, a priority conservation area. This high rate of endemism is due to different factors, such as the geographical isolation of the archipelago and its particular geological history, which has led to a mosaic of soils. The island is dominated by three types of substrate: (1) ultramafic substrates (c.a. 30% surface coverage); (2) volcano-sedimentary substrates (c.a. 48% surface coverage); and (3) calcareous substrates (c.a. 21% surface coverage).

Ultramafic substrates (also called serpentine soils) are mainly characterised by low nutrient availability (*e.g.*, nitrogen, potassium and phosphorus) and high concentrations of heavy metals (*e.g.*, nickel, chromium and manganese). These particular substrates have not been found to limit bacterial and fungal diversity [29,30]. In New Caledonia, soils derived from ultramafic rocks are represented by two different types of massif: the 'Massif du Grand Sud' and fragmented isolated islets [31]. The 'Massif du Grand Sud' forms a continuous southern unit covering 3015 km² of the main island (Fig 1). The second type of ultramafic substrates is situated on the northwestern coast in the form of isolated massifs, representing ultramafic islets surrounded by volcano-sedimentary substrates. Ultramafic outcrops host diverse vegetation types [32]. This vegetation is mainly represented by sclerophyllous shrubland formations, called 'maquis miniers', and to a lesser extent by rainforest. Maquis are floristically and physiognomically varied and are often dominated by a single species or few species, in particular by the Myrtaceous plant species that belongs to the genus *Tristaniopsis* [32]. These plant formations mostly represent secondary vegetation resulting from the degradation of the initial rainforests [33]. The rainforests have been fragmented and currently occupy restricted areas (20% of ultramafic surface). New Caledonian rainforests encompass a large array of formation types, from mixed rainforests to monodominant stands, *i. e.*, forests dominated at the canopy layer by




Fig 1. (A) Location of New Caledonia archipelago in the southwest Pacific, and (B) repartition of ultramafic substrates (in grey) in New Caledonia. The two study sites are represented by red dots.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0167405.g001

one species, such as by the *Nothofagus* (Nothofagaceae) plant species [32,34]. Based on the geographic discontinuity of ultramafic massifs and the existence of different plant formations, habitats on ultramafic outcrops are consequently heterogeneous and could potentially harbour distinct microbial communities. Studies performed in New Caledonia on these types of soils have focused on bacterial and fungal adaptation to nickel [35,36], the effects of mining activities and the restoration of microbial community structure [37], the mycorrhizal status of different plants growing on these substrates [38,39], or again the effects of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) on plant adaptations to nickel-rich substrates [40,41]. However, none of these studies have focused on soil microbial composition on ultramafic substrates in different plant formations and at different sites along New Caledonia.

Due to the characteristics of ultramafic substrates, *i.e.*, plant composition, heterogeneity and discontinuity of habitats, in this study we tested two hypotheses: (i) environmental factors, *i.e.*, plant cover and edaphic parameters, have an effect on soil bacterial and fungal community structure; and (ii) the geographic discontinuity of ultramafic massifs in New Caledonia impacts microbial biodiversity. To this end, we worked on two sites on New Caledonian ultramafic substrates. At each site, four plant formations were studied, ranging from a sedge-dominated formation to a well-established mixed rainforest. These represent four stages of a chronosequence that constitute a pattern of plant succession. By using high-throughput sequencing (Illumina MiSeq), the soil microbial diversity associated with each plant formation was assessed. Furthermore, a botanical inventory and soil physico-chemical analysis were also performed on each plant formation and at each site to study relationships between environmental parameters and soil microbial communities.

Materials and Methods

Ethics statement

Collection permits were obtained from the 'Province Sud' and 'Province Nord' of New Caledonia.

Study sites

The Kopéto site is a nickel mine on the Kopéto Massif, a fragmented isolated islet of ultramafic substrate, which is situated on the northwestern portion of the main island (21°10'S-165°0'E), culminating at 1,100 m and receiving an average of 1,200–1,500 mm of rainfall per year. Mining activity occurred first between 1880 and 1983, and was restarted in 1994, remaining active today. The Rivière Blanche site, in the 'Rivière Bleue' Provincial Park, has been a reserve since 1980 and is located in the South of New Caledonia, on the 'Massif du Grand Sud' (22°9'S-166°41'E). This site has an altitude between 300 and 500 m and an average annual precipitation of 3,000 mm. At the beginning of the 20th century, chromium mines and forestry occurred in this area but have now been stopped.

These two study sites (Fig 1) were selected based on three main criteria: (i) they represent the two possible forms of ultramafic substrates present on the main island, *i.e.*, fragmented isolated islet and 'Massif du Grand Sud'; (ii) the presence of the four plant formations of interest that constitute a potential vegetal succession, *i.e.*, sedge-dominated formation, *Tristaniopsis* spp. maquis, and two types of rainforests: a *Nothofagus aequilateralis* monodominant rainforest, according to Connell and Lowman criteria [42], and a mixed rainforest; and finally, (iii) the presence of the four plant formations of interest with significant abundance to allow installation of our plot system.

Vegetation types studied using a chronosequence

The four plant formations studied are as follows: (i) sedge-dominated formation (S); (ii) *Tristaniopsis* spp. maquis (Mq); (iii) monodominant *Nothofagus aequilateralis* rainforest (Na); and (iv) mixed rainforest (M) represent different stages of potential vegetal succession.

1. The sedge-dominated formation in this study can be described as a plant formation with vegetation constituted primarily by sedges (Cyperaceae) and ferns, covering between 15 and 80% of the ground.
2. Maquis is an open sclerophyllous shrubland formation, with a top stratum of less than 5 to 6 m [43]. *Tristaniopsis* genus belongs to Myrtaceae and is ubiquitous in maquis. In New Caledonia, this genus is represented by 13 species that are endemic, and some of them have a highly restricted geographical distribution. Six out of the 13 species are known, to date, to be associated with ectomycorrhizal fungi [44].
3. *Nothofagus aequilateralis* is a forest pioneer tree [45]. This species is known to form monodominant stands in rainforests (*i.e.*, more than 50% of the canopy is represented by this species) [34]. In New Caledonia, five endemic species of *Nothofagus* are present on the mainland. *N. aequilateralis* is one of the most common and is found at between 150 and 1,250 m of altitude, with a repartition from the South to the North of the main island [34]. To date, four out of the five species have been characterised as forming ectomycorrhizal symbiosis.
4. A mixed rainforest is defined as a forest that is not dominated in the canopy by a single tree species. Nevertheless, plant species known to form monodominant stands can be present in mixed rainforests, but as isolated trees.

Study design and soil sampling

At each site, sixteen plots of 20 m x 20 m. Four plots per vegetation types were established. All of the plots were separated from each other by at least 100 m. Nonmetric Multi-Dimensional Scaling conducted on a botanical inventory of each plot indicated that all four plots from the

same plant formation were grouped together (S1 Fig). This allowed us to consider them as repetitions for each plant formation. The positioning of plots at the Kopéto and Rivière Blanche sites is shown in S2 Fig. In each plot, a grid was drawn following the design in S3 Fig. Per plot, 25 soils cores of 5 cm diameter and 10 cm depth were sampled at each grid junction and were bulked together to make a composite sample. This sampling design was used to avoid a potential effect from fine-scale spatial heterogeneity of soil microorganisms, and subsequently, to represent the entire plot. These soil samples were conserved in a cool place in the field and then stored at -20°C in the next three hours.

Botanical inventory

For each plot, plant coverage was assessed using the Braün-Blanquet abundance index [46]. This method measures the percentage of plant coverage by using coefficients ranked from + (representing less than 1% of coverage) to 5 (between 75 and 100% of coverage). Plants were identified for most of them at a species level, with the support of the Herbarium of IRD (NOU) (Nouméa, New Caledonia). For further analysis, epiphytes and liana species were removed from the dataset to retain only species related to the soil.

Soil physical-chemical analysis

The soil cores were sent to ActLab (Ancaster, Ontario, Canada) for physico-chemical analyses. The soil texture, pH, organic matter, organic carbon, nitrogen (N), ratio calcium/magnesium (Ca/Mg), potassium/magnesium (K/Mg), total elements (iron (Fe), nickel (Ni), silica (Si), aluminium (Al), manganese (Mn), chromium (Cr), cobalt (Co), potassium (K), sodium (Na), calcium (Ca), and magnesium (Mg)), exchangeable elements (sulphur (S), calcium, magnesium, sodium, potassium and aluminium) and total phosphorus (P) were measured in each soil sample. The soil texture (sand, silt and clay percentage) was determined by using a hydrometer. The organic matter content was measured as loss under ignition over 3 hours at 360°C, and the organic carbon content was determined by Infra-Red (IR) detection. The determination of soil total nitrogen was evaluated by combustion, whereas the content of exchangeable soil elements such as K, Ca, Mg and Na were obtained with nitrate extraction. The exchangeable S and Fe were measured by acetate extraction, Mn by phosphoric acid extraction and P by Olsen bicarbonate. The soil pH was quantified in a 1:1 (W/V) suspension of soil in distilled water. The total elements were measured by Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry (ICP-MS), except for the total Si element, which was performed with acetic acid extraction. See Pansu and Gautheyrou [47] for descriptions of the analysis methods.

DNA extraction, amplification and sequencing

Each composite sample representing a plot was divided into 8 subsamples. Prior to DNA extraction, soil subsamples were ground for 30 minutes at 365 rpm with a Retsch PM 100 (Retsch GmbH, Haan, Germany). For all subsamples, the total DNA was extracted from 0.25g of soil using the PowerSoil DNA Isolation kit (MOBIO Laboratories, Carlsbad, CA, USA) following the manufacturer recommendations. Thereafter, the extracted DNA were pooled together to obtain a composite sample of DNA representing each plot. Verification of the DNA quality was performed by gel electrophoresis in a 0.8% agarose gel stained with ethidium bromide.

The V4 region of the bacterial 16S rRNA gene was amplified using primers 515f (5' - GTGCCAGCMGCCGCGGTAA-3') [48] and 806r (5' - GGACTACHVGGGTWTCTAAT-3') [48] for the bacterial community, and the ITS2 region of the nuclear ribosomal RNA gene for the fungal community was amplified using primers fITS7 (5' - GTGARTCATCGAATCTTTG-3')

[49] and ITS4 (5' -TCCTCCGCTTATTGATATGC-3') [50]. Eight independent PCR assays were performed for each DNA sample under the following conditions: 1 μ L of template DNA, 2.5 μ L of each primer (10 μ M), and 25 μ L of Master Mix Phusion GC buffer (New England Biolabs, Canada) for a final volume of 50 μ L. Amplification was run on a Veriti Fast Thermal Cycler (Applied Biosystems) under the following conditions: 98°C for 30 s, 27 cycles of 10 s at 98°C, 60°C for 30 s and 72°C for 45 s, followed by 7 min at 72°C. An equimolar mix was created with the eight PCR products to obtain a composite PCR sample with a final concentration of 5 ng/ μ L for each sample. Samples were then purified with Clean PCR (Clean NA, GC Biotech BV, Netherlands) and paired end 2 x 250 bp length fragments were sequenced by Illumina (MiSeq), following Illumina recommendations. The Plateforme Génome-Transcriptome of the Université de Bordeaux conducted the PCR amplifications and Illumina sequencing.

Illumina data processing

The Illumina sequence reads were processed using Mothur version 1.34.0 [51]. The forward and reverse sequences were aligned to generate 'contigs' for each sample. Sequences that contained one or more ambiguous reads and homopolymers greater than 8 bp were removed. Reads shorter than 250 bp and longer than 300 bp for bacteria, and shorter than 150 bp for fungi were discarded. Identical sequences were regrouped in unique sequences for shorter computational time. Then, putative chimeras were checked and removed using UCHIME [52], available on Mothur software. The number of sequences for each sample was normalised to have the same number as the smallest number of sequences found in a sample. Such an approach was undertaken to get unbiased estimators of the soil microbial diversity. Bacteria subsampling was performed for 3,025 sequences per sample. Compared to the bacteria, the fungal dataset had to be analysed twice. During the first analysis, all of the samples were normalised to 210 sequences, corresponding to the lowest number of reads found in our samples. The four Rivière Blanche sedge-dominated formations had the lowest number of sequences (between 210 and 300), which may be due to the low fungal richness explained by the particularly low plant cover in this plant formation (see [Results](#) and [Discussion](#) sections). The second time, to avoid an underestimation of fungal richness present in other plant formations, the four Rivière Blanche sedge-dominated formation samples were removed, and the remaining dataset was subsampled at 1,591 sequences per sample. Once normalised, uncorrected pairwise distances between the DNA sequences were calculated; then, the sequences were clustered to assign sequences to OTUs with 97% homology as commonly admitted [53–55] using the furthest neighbour method [56]. Each sequence, then each OTU, was taxonomically assigned by using the database UNITE [57] for fungi and SILVA v4 for bacteria [58]. Once all steps were done, all OTU sequences obtained in the first analysis (210 sequences subsampling) for Rivière Blanche sedge-dominated formations were compared one by one with the OTU sequences from the second dataset (1,591 sequences subsampling) and were merged to create the final dataset. A total of 798,073 reads for bacteria and 288,957 reads for fungi were obtained after the first sequence-processing step ([S1 Table](#)). After filtering, the numbers of remaining sequences fell to 322,807 reads for bacteria and 245,137 reads for fungi. Finally, 12,493 OTUs for bacteria and 3,741 OTUs for fungi were identified. After the exclusion of singletons, 6,539 OTUS for bacteria and 2,722 OTUs for fungi were used for further analyses. All data-trimming steps were conducted with Mothur software version 1.34.0. OTU tables reporting the taxonomic affiliation and the number of reads in each sample for each bacterial and fungal OTU are available in [S2 Table](#). The Illumina MiSeq sequences are available under the NCBI SRA accession numbers SAMN05786746-SAMN05786777.

Data analysis

Species richness (S), which correspond to the number of OTUs, Chao index [59], Simpson index ($1-D$) [60] and Pielou Evenness Index (J) [61] were calculated for each sample and by plant formation (*i.e.*, by grouping the plots from the same vegetation type). The diversity indices were compared with a two-way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) with site (*i.e.*, Rivière Blanche and Kopéto sites) and plant formation (*i.e.*, sedges dominated maquis, *Tristaniopsis* spp. maquis, *N. aequilateralis* monodominant rainforest and mixed rainforest) as fixed factors. Thereafter, *post-hoc* pairwise comparisons were performed using Tukey HSD tests. To observe whether there were significant differences in Ascomycota and Basidiomycota phyla abundances (based on the number of sequences) between plant formation at the two sites, Tukey HSD tests were also conducted among all pairwise comparisons after two-way ANOVA analyses with site and plant formation as factors. The OTUs defined for bacteria and fungi were used to perform rarefaction curves and rank-abundance diagrams. A Bray-Curtis dissimilarity index was calculated and ordinated by Nonmetric Multi-Dimensional Scaling (NMDS) [62] to observe the dissimilarities in microbial community composition per plot. Congruence of NMDS was tested by two-way PERMANOVA analyses [63], with again site and plant formation as factors. The Edaphic parameters per plot were analysed with a Principal Component Analysis (PCA) [64]. The relationships between bacterial and fungal communities were studied by plotting the Bray-Curtis distance matrices obtained for the bacterial and fungal datasets, and drawing a trending curve. Finally, to determine the relationships between bacterial or fungal community and environmental parameters (botanical inventory and edaphic parameter), distance-based linear model (distLM) were performed with PRIMER-E v7 software [65]. The distLM results were visualised with distance-based Redundancy Analysis (dbRDA) plots [66]. Mantel tests between bacteria and fungi distance matrix and each environmental factors distance matrix were also run for each site independently. All of the analyses were performed using R 3.1.1 (R Core Team 2014) and the ‘*vegan*’ R package, except for the distLM analyses.

Results

Bacterial and fungal composition and diversity

The taxonomic assignment for most sequences and OTUs found in this study indicated that members of Proteobacteria, Planctomycetes and Acidobacteria phyla were the most predominant in our bacterial dataset, followed by Actinobacteria and Verrucomicrobia (Table 1). Among the fungi, Basidiomycota and Ascomycota were the most dominant phyla, with other phyla representing less than 10% of all sequences and OTUs (*e.g.*, Zygomycota, Glomeromycota, Chytridiomycota) (Table 1).

Table 1. Number of OTU and related percentages for the most abundant bacterial and fungal phyla, including for the latest *incertae sedis* phylum and unclassified fungi.

Bacteria	Nb. seq.	Nb. OTUs	Fungi	Nb. seq.	Nb. OTUs
Proteobacteria	3,920 (31.38%)	2,013 (30.78%)	Basidiomycota	1,534 (40.94%)	1,119 (41.11%)
Planctomycetes	2,352 (18.83%)	1,315 (20.11%)	Ascomycota	1,391 (37.12%)	953 (35.01%)
Acidobacteria	1,503 (12.03%)	930 (14.22%)	Zygomycota	240 (6.41%)	169 (6.21%)
Actinobacteria	1103 (8.83%)	666 (10.19%)	Glomeromycota	78 (2.08%)	52 (1.91%)
Verrucomicrobia	867 (6.94%)	527 (8.06%)	Chytridiomycota	59 (1.57%)	35 (1.29%)
Firmicutes	871 (6.97%)	338 (5.17%)	Rozellomycota	31 (0.83%)	19 (0.70%)
Chloroflexi	700 (5.60%)	325 (4.97%)	Fungi phylum <i>Incertae sedis</i>	11 (0.29%)	1 (0.04%)
Bacteroidetes	294 (2.35%)	131 (2.00%)	Unclassified fungi	171 (4.56%)	118 (4.34%)

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0167405.t001

Based on the number of sequences per phylum, the phylum composition for each plant formation per site revealed that the most predominant bacterial phyla were the same regardless of the plant formation or site (Fig 2A.1). For fungi, the barplot per plant formation and site seems to indicate that Basidiomycota was the most abundant phylum in the two type of rainforest in Rivière Blanche and in *Nothofagus* dominant rainforest in Kopéto, whereas Ascomycota was the most abundant in all other plant formations (Fig 2A.2). ANOVA analyses, showing a significant interaction of the two plant formation and site variables ($F = 6.752$, $P = 0.002$ for Ascomycota and $F = 12.934$, $P < 0.001$ for Basidiomycota), and the following *post-hoc* Tukey HSD tests (S4 Fig), confirm these observations. The ratio of Ascomycota:Basidiomycota (A:B) was higher than 1 for the sedge dominated formations, *Tristaniopsis* spp. maquis and Kopéto mixed rainforest, and it was lower than 1 for the *N. aequilateralis* rainforests and the Rivière Blanche mixed rainforest (S4 Fig).

Rank-abundance diagrams obtained from bacterial and fungal OTUs indicated that for each plant formation and site, only a few numbers of OTUs were abundant (Fig 2B and 2C). For bacteria, one genus was predominantly present in each plant formation: *Spartobacteria* genera *Incertae sedis* (Fig 2B). However, for fungi no genus dominated in all plant formations and at all sites (Fig 2C).

Rarefaction curves were performed for each site on the bacterial and fungal datasets. The bacterial and fungal curves did not reach a plateau (S5 Fig), but the fungal curves had lower slopes than the bacterial curves and seemed to start to level off. However, the fact that the curves did not reach a plateau clearly indicates that the species diversity has not yet been assessed.

To assess the level of diversity within the bacterial and fungal communities, species richness (S) (here, the number of OTUs), Chao index, Simpson index ($1-D$) and Pielou evenness (J) were calculated by plots (S3 Table) and by plant formation per site (Table 2). ANOVA analyses revealed significant differences in species richness in both bacterial and fungal communities among the formations of the two sites (Table 3). Furthermore, we observed for the fungi the lowest species richness within the Rivière Blanche sedge-dominated formation (Table 2 and S3 Table). This low species richness at Rivière Blanche in sedge-dominated vegetation could be explained by the limited plant coverage.

Bacterial and fungal community structure

Floristic and site effects. To compare the OTU composition and abundance of the bacterial and fungal communities, NMDS ordinations, based on Bray-Curtis dissimilarity, were performed (Fig 3). The NMDS ordination indicated a distinction between forest and non-forest formations. Moreover, a distinction between monodominant and mixed rainforests was also visible. To corroborate the NMDS result, PERMANOVA were carried out (Table 4). The results indicated that the bacterial and fungal communities were significantly partitioned by the interaction between the two variables (plant formation x site) (Table 4). This interaction explained 15.8% and 14.8% of the variability of bacterial and fungal communities, respectively. PERMANOVA and NMDS were also conducted on the 210 sequences of fungal dataset and exhibited similar results to the 1,591 sequences dataset that were obtained (S6 Fig and S4 Table). Overall, the microbial communities were structured by the plant formation and site interaction.

The distLM and the dbrDA ordination performed for bacteria showed that the bacterial communities (Fig 4A and S5 Table) were significantly related to the following plant species: *Cunonia macrophylla* (Cunoniaceae), *Tabernaemontana cerifera* (Apocynaceae), *Ctenopteris lasiostipes* (Polypodiaceae), *Polyscias pancheri* (Araliaceae), *Ptisana attenuata* (Marattiaceae),




Fig 2. Phylum and genera composition of bacterial and fungal communities. (A) Percentage of (A.1) bacterial and (A.2) fungal phyla in each plant formation at each site. Rank-abundance diagrams of the twenty most abundant (B) bacterial and (C) fungal OTUs, with the five most abundant genera identified for each formation at both site. The rank-abundances with all OTUs are presented at the upper right sides. B.1 and C.1: Kopéto sedge-dominated formation (KS); B.2 and C.2: Kopéto *Tristaniopsis* spp. maquis (KMq); B.3 and C.3: Kopéto *N. aequilateralis* monodominant rainforest (KNa); B.4 and C.4: Kopéto mixed rainforest (KM); B.5 and

C.5: Rivière Blanche sedge-dominated formation (RBS); B.6 and C.6: Rivière Blanche *Tristaniopsis* spp. maquis (RBMq); B.7 and C.7: Rivière Blanche *N. aequilateralis* monodominant rainforest (RBNa); and B.8 and C.8: Rivière Blanche mixed rainforest (RBM).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0167405.g002

Tristaniopsis glauca (Myrtaceae), *Grevillea gillivrayi* var. *gillivrayi* (Proteaceae), *Basselinia gracilis* (Arecaceae), *Costularia comosa* (Cyperaceae), *Melaleuca cf. gnioides* (Myrtaceae), *Gleichenia dicarpa* (Gleicheniaceae) and *Myrsine oblancoolata* (Primulaceae) (S5 Table). This link between the bacterial community and floristic composition was confirmed with Mantel tests (Kopéto: $r = 0.548$, $p = 0.001$; Rivière Blanche: $r = 0.6657$, $p = 0.001$).

As with bacteria, the fungal community was also significantly related to several plant species (Fig 4B and S5 Table), namely the following: *Tristaniopsis glauca* (Myrtaceae), *Grevillea gillivrayi* (Proteaceae), *Codia spatulata* (Cunoniaceae), *Nothofagus aequilateralis* (Nothofagaceae), *Pantheria* sp1 (Cunoniaceae), *Costularia comosa* (Cyperaceae), *Meiogyne tiebaghiensis* (Annonaceae), *Tristaniopsis guillainii* (Myrtaceae), *Austrobuxus ellipticus* (Picrodendraceae) and *Garcinia amplexicaulis* (Clusiaceae). Like bacteria, the Mantel tests between fungi and floristic composition indicated a significant correlation between them (Kopéto: $r = 0.6588$, $p = 0.001$; Rivière Blanche: $r = 0.6395$, $p = 0.001$). Interestingly, only two species were found to be significantly related to both bacterial and fungal communities: *Costularia comosa* and *Tristaniopsis glauca*.

Edaphic parameters

Prior to the distLM analyses, a Principal Component Analysis (PCA) was performed to determine which edaphic parameters were related to each plant formation (S7 Fig). The first axis explained 59.20% of the observed variance, and the second axis explained 15.46%. There was a clear distinction between non-forest (on the right side of the plots) and forest formations (on the left side of the plot) (S7 Fig). The parameter factor map shows that the parameters related to plant growth, such as nutrients (phosphorus (P), sodium (Na), magnesium (Mg) and potassium(K)), organic matter (OM) and total nitrogen (Total.N), are on the left side of the map,

Table 2. Diversity indices: species richness (S), Chao index, Simpson index (1-D) and Pielou evenness (J) for bacteria and fungi estimated per plant formation at the two study sites. Standard errors are indicated between brackets. The letters indicate significant differences between all pairwise comparisons determined using Tukey HSD tests ($P < 0.05$).

Microorganism type	Plant formation	Site	S (± SE)	Chao (± SE)	1-D (± SE)	J (± SE)
Bacteria	Sedge-dominated formation	Kopéto	1135 (± 151) a	4376 (± 107) ab	0.996 (± 0.002) a	0.912 (± 0.014) ab
		Rivière Blanche	839 (± 159) b	2654 (± 71) a	0.974 (± 0.033) a	0.827 (± 0.097) b
	<i>Tristaniopsis</i> spp maquis	Kopéto	1163 (± 23) a	4305 (± 106) b	0.996 (± 0.001) a	0.907 (± 0.006) ab
		Rivière Blanche	1087 (± 188) ab	3744 (± 87) ab	0.991 (± 0.007) a	0.884 (± 0.047) ab
	<i>Nothofagus</i> rainforest	Kopéto	1213 (± 51) a	4681 (± 114) b	0.997 (± 0.001) a	0.923 (± 0.012) a
		Rivière Blanche	1050 (± 48) ab	3953 (± 109) ab	0.993 (± 0.001) a	0.886 (± 0.006) ab
	Mixed rainforest	Kopéto	1008 (± 130) ab	3681 (± 92) ab	0.994 (± 0.003) a	0.887 (± 0.029) ab
		Rivière Blanche	1079 (± 31) ab	4086 (± 103) ab	0.994 (± 0.001) a	0.894 (± 0.009) ab
Fungi	Sedge-dominated formation	Kopéto	212 (± 58) a	1366 (± 102) a	0.952 (± 0.036) a	0.774 (± 0.071) ab
		Rivière Blanche	62 (± 13) b	310 (± 36) b	0.949 (± 0.026) a	0.870 (± 0.056) a
	<i>Tristaniopsis</i> spp maquis	Kopéto	288 (± 39) ac	1114 (± 48) c	0.960 (± 0.033) a	0.798 (± 0.076) ab
		Rivière Blanche	225 (± 27) a	967 (± 58) ac	0.944 (± 0.045) a	0.772 (± 0.058) ab
	<i>Nothofagus</i> rainforest	Kopéto	250 (± 23) ac	1439 (± 95) ac	0.964 (± 0.019) a	0.789 (± 0.056) ab
		Rivière Blanche	212 (± 29) a	1251 (± 92) ac	0.935 (± 0.025) a	0.725 (± 0.050) b
	Mixed rainforest	Kopéto	256 (± 42) ac	1374 (± 81) ac	0.964 (± 0.019) a	0.773 (± 0.061) ab
		Rivière Blanche	310 (± 30) c	1494 (± 103) ac	0.965 (± 0.029) a	0.824 (± 0.049) ab

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0167405.t002

Table 3. Two-way ANOVA table testing the effect of vegetation type, site, and their interaction on bacterial and fungal diversity indices (S: species richness, Chao index, 1-D: Simpson index and J: Pielou evenness index).

	Bacteria			Fungi		
	df	F	P-value	df	F	P-value
Formation	3	2.899	0.056	3	26.319	<0.001
Site	1	8.121	0.009	1	15.842	<0.001
Formation x Site	3	3.553	0.029	3	11.533	<0.001
	Chao					
Formation	3	4.636	0.042	3	10.915	0.003
Site	1	2.744	0.065	1	17.900	<0.001
Formation x Site	3	3.116	0.045	3	7.907	<0.001
	1-D					
Formation	3	1.128	0.358	3	0.114	0.951
Site	1	2.896	0.102	1	0.596	0.448
Formation x Site	3	1.317	0.292	3	0.752	0.532
	J					
Formation	3	0.37	0.375	3	1.600	0.216
Site	1	0.02	0.023	1	0.440	0.514
Formation x Site	3	0.18	0.179	3	2.870	0.057

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0167405.t003

such as the rainforests (S7 Fig). To the opposite, on the right side of the map are grouped edaphic parameters which are usually associated with low soil fertility, a high content of sulphur (S) and total iron (Fe.tot), and a high potassium/magnesium ratio (ratio.K.Mg) (S7 Fig). A gradient seems to be observable from the sedge-dominated formation with low fertility soil to rainforests, where soil fertility parameters are high. In the middle of the map, *Tristaniopsis*-dominated maquis are plotted with average values for each edaphic parameter present in the PCA analysis (S7 Fig).

The distLM analyses and the dbRDA ordinations conducted between the microbial communities and the edaphic parameters indicate significant relationships between bacterial and fungal community structures and different edaphic parameters (Fig 5 and S6 Table). The distLM that was performed on edaphic parameters shows a significant relationship between the bacterial community and exchangeable sulphur (S), the percentage of exchangeable Hydrogen (H.base), exchangeable Zinc (Zn), the percentage of clay (clay), total iron (Fe.tot), exchangeable Manganese (Mn), pH, total Silicium (Si.tot), Calcium/Magnesium ratio (Ca:Mg ratio) and the percentage of sand (sand) (S6 Table). Concerning fungi, the distLM analysis indicated that exchangeable sulphur (S), total iron (Fe.tot), total calcium (Ca.tot), exchangeable zinc (Zn), total silica (Si.tot), the percentage of sand (sand), pH, exchangeable magnesium (Mg), organic matter (OM) and exchangeable manganese (Mn) were significantly related to this soil microbial community (S6 Table). Overall, the bacterial and fungal communities are thus related to the soil fertility, such as the organic matter and the nutrient content. However, nitrogen (Total.N) and organic carbon (Organic.C), which are well-known to be correlated with fungi, were not found to be related to the fungal community here.

Relationships between the bacterial and fungal communities. The scatter plots of distance matrices of bacterial and fungal communities suggest a correlation between bacteria and fungi within each geographical location ($R^2 = 0.4906$ for bacteria and $R^2 = 0.5727$ for fungi) (Fig 6). This observation was supported by Mantel test results ($r = 0.7004$ and $p = 0.001$ for Kopéto; $r = 0.7568$ and $p = 0.001$ for Rivière Blanche).




Fig 3. Nonmetric Multi-Dimensional Scaling (NMDS) ordination of Bray-Curtis dissimilarity for (A) the bacterial community and (B) the fungal community. K = Kopéto, RB = Rivière Blanche, S = sedge-dominated formation (in black), Mq = *Tristaniopsis* spp maquis (in yellow), Na = *N. aequilateralis* monodominant rainforest (in red), and M = mixed rainforest (in purple). The ellipses represent the 0.95 standard error calculated for each plant formation at each site.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0167405.g003

Discussion

Effect of plant cover on soil microbial diversity

The different studied vegetation types present different levels of field openness. This discrepancy in plant cover seems to have an impact on soil microbial richness. Indeed, the sedge-

Table 4. The effect of plant formation, site and their combination on the structure of bacterial and fungal communities assessed by two-way PERMANOVA.

Community	Factors	df	Sums of Sqs	MeanSqs	F.Model	Variation	Pr (>F)
Bacteria	Formation	3	2.3521	0.78404	4.6087	0.28461	<0.001
	Site	1	0.5196	0.51961	3.0544	0.06287	<0.001
	Formation x Site	3	1.3097	0.43655	2.5661	0.15847	<0.001
	Residuals	24	4.0829	0.17012	0.4940		
	Total	31	8.2643	1			
Fungi	Formation	3	2.5760	0.85867	2.5164	0.18991	<0.001
	Site	1	0.7785	0.77852	2.2815	0.05739	<0.001
	Formation x Site	3	2.0203	0.67344	1.9736	0.14894	<0.001
	Residuals	24	8.1896	0.34123	0.6038		
	Total	31	13.5645	1			

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0167405.t004

dominated formation at the Rivière Blanche site showed the lowest fungal OTUs richness, and to a lower extent also the lowest bacterial OTUs richness. This formation was characterised by very low plant coverage (between 15 and 30%), contrary to the others, which were 70 to 95% covered. The difference in the soil microbial community due to plant cover was demonstrated by Knelman *et al.* [11], who found that the presence or absence of vegetation in a primary succession after glacier retreat has an impact on soil microbial community structure. A study conducted on unvegetated and forest soils on primary succession also revealed a difference in the microbial community [67].

NMDS and PERMANOVA analyses clearly indicated that bacterial and fungal communities were both structured by the type of plant formation. Thus, vegetation covers, in plus to affect the species richness as indicated above, impact species composition and their abundance. Furthermore, we also found that soil microorganism communities were related to the dominant plant species, such as *T. glauca* and *N. aequilateralis*. Such an effect of plant formation on microbial communities may be due to different factors, notably host preference, litter quality and root exudates [68,69]. *Tristaniopsis* and *Nothofagus* species are known to be involved in a mutualistic association with fungi, called ectomycorrhizal symbiosis [44]. Several studies have shown host preference in ectomycorrhizal fungal communities [70,71]. Bacteria can also present a host preference [72,73]. Indeed, nitrogen-fixing bacteria are associated with the roots of certain plant groups. For instance, *Rhizobium* is commonly associated with leguminous plants [72], or Actinomycetes such as *Frankia* with *Casuarina* plants [73]. In our dataset, ECM fungi and bacteria, such as *Bradyrhizobium* were present and may subsequently explain the plant formation structure observed in microbial communities. Plant species may also impact the soil microbial community *via* the type of litter produced [74,75]. Moreover, root exudates can affect the soil microorganisms. Each plant species produces root exudates (mainly carbohydrates, organic acids and amino acids), which have been determined to vary in terms of their composition from each other [76]. These exudates attract some particular microorganisms that can improve plant growth [4,77]. At the same time, roots from certain plant species can also produce exudates containing antimicrobial metabolites [76]. The effects of exudates on microbial communities are well studied in bacteria and have been shown to be more effective on these microorganisms than on fungi [76,78,79]. However, it had also been demonstrated that soil fungal communities are regulated by plant roots exudates [80]. In summary, the effect of plant formation and plant species on microbial communities may be explained by the narrow relationships between soil microorganisms and plant composition.




Fig 4. Distance-based Redundancy Analysis (dBRDA) ordination of the distance-based linear model (distLM) relating the (A) bacterial and (B) fungal communities to the floristic composition. Cuno.mac = *Cunonia macrophylla*, Tabe.cer = *Tabernaemontana cerifera*, Cten.las = *Ctenopteris lasiostipes*, Poly.pan = *Polyscias pancheri*, Ptis.att = *Ptisana attenuata*, Tris.gla = *Tristaniopsis glauca*, Grev.Gil.var.gil = *Grevillea gillivrayi* var. *gillivrayi*, Bass.Gra = *Basselinia gracilis* and Cost.com = *Costularia comosa*, Mela.cf.gni = *Melaleuca cf. gnioides*, Glei.dic = *Gleichenia dicarpa* and Myrs.obl = *Myrsine oblanceolata*.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0167405.g004

Microbial diversity and perturbation effect along a chronosequence

Studies conducted on New Caledonian ultramafic substrates indicated that in the natural environment, the bacterial community was dominated by Proteobacteria and Acidobacteria [81,82]. In other geographic regions, Actinobacteria and/or Acidobacteria were observed as the dominant phyla [83–85]. In the present work, bacterial communities were dominated by Proteobacteria, Planctomycetes, Acidobacteria and Actinobacteria. The composition did not really differ from other studies [81–85], except for the Planctomycetes. Indeed, this phylum was highly present within all of the plant formations studied. To our knowledge, such an abundance of Planctomycetes in the soil has never been shown [86,87]. Buckley *et al.* [88] had




Fig 5. Distance-based Redundancy Analysis (dbRDA) of the (A) bacterial and (B) fungal communities and edaphic parameters.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0167405.g005

observed a higher abundance of this phylum in soil that was cultivated 50 years ago compared to soil still actively managed. The Planctomycetes has been revealed to be sensitive to soil history [88,89]. Planctomycetes phylum could be an indicator of an ancient environmental disturbance.

Regarding fungal communities, a higher proportion of Ascomycota phylum than Basidiomycota phylum was detected in sedge-dominated formations, *Tristaniopsis*-dominated maquis




Fig 6. Scatter plots and trending curves between the bacterial and fungal communities at the (A) Kopéto site and (B) Rivière Blanche site.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0167405.g006

and Kopéto mixed rainforest. Numerous studies have shown a pattern of greater representation of Ascomycota than Basidiomycota on managed lands, such as in pastures and vineyards [90–92]. However, within rainforests, Basidiomycota were detected as the most abundant phylum [93,94]. A larger representation of Ascomycota could be an indicator of ecosystem degradation. Indeed, sedge- and *Tristaniopsis*-dominated formations are known to result from past

bushfire perturbations. Similarly, the mixed rainforest at the Kopéto site seems to correspond to a degraded rainforest. In summary, the presence of Ascomycota and Planctomycetes in higher abundance could represent biological indicators of past disturbances that occurred on different time scales.

Relationship between soil microbial communities and edaphic parameters

The diverse statistical analyses performed (distLM, dbRDA ordinations and Mantel tests) indicated that the bacterial and fungal communities were related to several edaphic parameters. The most striking differences in soil properties were the higher content of iron, sulphur and potassium/magnesium ratio in sedge-dominated and *Tristaniopsis*-dominated formations as well as the higher content of nutrients and organic matter in the rainforests. The greater abundance of nutrients in rainforests may be simply explained by the larger litter production in these systems. Not mutually exclusive, this could also result from higher decomposition rates. Litter decomposition depends on the type of litter and the decomposers involved in the degradation process [95,96].

This difference in litter degradation, between a forest and non-forest formation, could also be due to solar radiation that reaches the ground and acts indirectly on soil microorganisms. Indeed, in the presence of scarce vegetation on the ground, solar radiation that interacts with soil was much higher than in rainforest soil, which has an effect on microbial activity that leads to a change in microbial litter composition [97]. This solar radiation decrease litter degradation and affect soil carbon cycling due to a loss of carbon released directly into atmosphere, rather than into the soil organic matter pool [98].

Ultramafic substrates are characterised by a high nickel concentration that may impact microbial composition by selecting microorganisms that are tolerant to this metal [99–101]. In New Caledonia, studies have demonstrated that the presence of AMF improves plant tolerance to nickel [102,103] and also the existence of nickel-tolerant ECM fungal *Pisolithus albus* isolates [36]. Furthermore, in these types of soils, certain bacterial strains known to be nickel-resistant and nickel-hyperaccumulators are present [99,100]. These nickel-hyperaccumulator bacteria have been previously found in New Caledonia soil [104]. The presence of these microorganisms may explain the relationship observed between edaphic parameters (such as nickel) and microbial community structure.

Site effect on soil microbial diversity

A very recent study conducted at one site on a different isolated ultramafic Massif in New Caledonia, namely the 'Koniambo Massif', indicated an effect from the aboveground vegetation and edaphic parameters on microbial composition and abundance using a 454 pyrosequencing approach [82]. As previously indicated, the results obtained in the present study confirm such patterns. However, NMDS and PERMANOVA analyses clearly revealed a geographical structure of the bacterial and the fungal communities. In other words, each site harbours its own microbial community. In New Caledonia, there is a geographic discontinuity of ultramafic substrates, which may limit the dispersion of microorganisms. MacArthur and Wilson [105] argued with their biogeographical island theory that the richness of organisms decreases when the distance of the island from the continent increases, causing an isolation of the population. Peay *et al.* [106,107] revealed such a pattern in ectomycorrhizal fungi. Ultramafic substrates in New Caledonia are represented by two types: a large massif covering the South of the main island ('Massif du Grand Sud') and several isolated islets distributed primarily along the west coast. In some ways, this type of distribution may be compared to a continent *versus* islands

system, where the 'Massif du Grand Sud' may be considered as the mainland, with the isolated islands more or less distant from this 'continent'. Differences in soil microbial communities between sites may thus be explained by dispersal limitations in the context of the biogeographical island theory. To validate such a hypothesis, in the future, a new sampling approach must be undertaken by adding sites from the 'Massif du Grand Sud' and from other isolated ultramafic islets, using the same field and molecular procedures.

Relationship between soil bacterial and fungal communities

We have previously observed that bacterial and fungal soil communities are related to plant cover, edaphic variables and geographical factors. However, these soil communities may be related to other parameters, such as the interplay between them. Indeed, mantel tests revealed a significant correlation between bacteria and fungi at both sites. Some bacteria are commonly found on fungal hyphae, spores, mycorrhizal roots, fruiting bodies and inside fungi [108,109]. For these bacteria, the fungi are required for their survival. Furthermore, fungi produce some exudates that are primary or exclusive nutrients sources for these bacteria [21]. These compounds seem to be used as a mechanism to select groups of bacteria associated with ECM fungi [19]. These groups of bacteria, called Mycorrhiza Helper Bacteria (MHB), were shown to improve fungal mycorrhization [19], such as *Pseudomonas monteilii* which increased ECM and AM colonisation as well as induced the formation of rhizobial nodules on *Acacia holoserica* [20]. The effect of MHB was demonstrated to be fungal specific, not plant specific [20]. In our dataset, bacteria genera known to be MHB were detected, which may partially explain the relationship between bacteria and fungi.

Conclusion

In the present work, a metabarcoding approach was used to assess bacterial and fungal diversity and the biotic and abiotic factors potentially shaping this diversity on ultramafic outcrops in New Caledonia. Four distinct plant formations of a potential chronosequence on two distinct sites located in the South and North of the main island were studied. Microbial richness, composition and abundance were linked to the plant cover type and especially to the dominant plant species, namely *N. aequilateralis* and *T. glauca*. Interestingly, a larger proportion of Ascomycota was observed in non-rainforest formations and within the mixed rainforest at the Kopéto site, which may be an indicator of ecosystem degradation. Bacterial phyla composition showed a very high proportion of Planctomycetes. To our knowledge, such a pattern has never been observed [86,82] and could be, as for Ascomycota, an indicator of past disturbances, but that occurred there much longer ago. Furthermore, soil bacterial and fungal communities were influenced by diverse edaphic parameters as well as by the interplay between them. Another very interesting finding is the existence of a site effect. Differences in microbial communities between geographical locations may be explained by dispersal limitation in the context of the biogeographical island theory of MacArthur and Wilson [105]. Finally, each plant formation at each site possesses its own microbial community resulting from complex interactions between abiotic and biotic factors. Such observations have a direct implication for conservation purposes. Indeed, they support the fact that efforts toward protecting the remaining rainforest fragments must be strongly maintained.

Supporting Information

S1 Fig. Nonmetric Multi-Dimensional Scaling (NMDS) plot based on pairwise Bray-Curtis dissimilarities between plant communities. Sites: K = Kopéto, RB = Rivière Blanche; and Plant formations: S = Sedge-dominated formation, Mq = *Tristaniopsis* spp maquis, Na = *N.*

aequilateralis monodominant rainforest, M = Mixed rainforest. The ellipses represent the 0.95 standard error limits for each plant formation per site.

(TIF)

S2 Fig. Orthophotos representing the repartition of the 20m x 20m plots at the (A) Kopéto site and (B) Rivière Blanche site. Each plant formation is represented by a colour: sedge-dominated formation in black, *Tristaniopsis* spp. maquis in yellow, *N. aequilateralis* monodominant rainforest in red and mixed rainforest in purple. For each plot, the names are related to the study site: K = Kopéto, RB = Rivière Blanche; the number of the plots in the same plant formation: P1-P4 = Plot 1 to 4; and the plant formation: S = Sedge-dominated formation, Mq = *Tristaniopsis* spp. maquis, Na = *N. aequilateralis* monodominant rainforest, and M = mixed rainforest.

(TIF)

S3 Fig. Scheme representing the 20 m by 20 m grid installed in each plot. A soil core was collected from each sampling point (red dots), and these were grouped together to create a composite sample per plot.

(TIF)

S4 Fig. The mean abundance of Ascomycota and Basidiomycota phyla in each plant formation at the two study sites. The bars represent the standard error. Different letters, a lowercase letter for Ascomycota and an uppercase letter for Basidiomycota, indicate significant differences among plant formations and sites, as determined by Tukey HSD tests ($P < 0.05$). The values of Ascomycota:Basidiomycota (A:B) ratios are indicated.

(TIF)

S5 Fig. Rarefaction curves obtained from OTU distribution for (A and B) bacterial and (C and D) fungal datasets at (A and C) Kopéto and (B and D) Rivière Blanche sites. Sedge-dominated vegetation is represented in black, *Tristaniopsis* spp. maquis in yellow, *N. aequilateralis* monodominant rainforest in red and mixed rainforest in purple.

(TIF)

S6 Fig. Nonmetric Multi-Dimensional Scaling (NMDS) ordination of Bray-Curtis dissimilarities between the fungal communities in soil using the 210 sequences dataset. Plant formation names: K = Kopéto, RB = Rivière Blanche, S = sedge-dominated formation, Mq = *Tristaniopsis* spp maquis, Na = *N. aequilateralis* monodominant rainforest, and M = mixed rainforest. The ellipses represent the 0.95 standard error limit for each plant formation at each site.

(TIF)

S7 Fig. Principal Component Analysis performed from the edaphic parameters of each plot.

(TIF)

S1 Table. Number of sequences remaining after each analysis step for the bacterial and fungal datasets.

(PDF)

S2 Table. OTU tables presenting the taxonomic assignation and the number of reads in each sample for each bacterial and fungal OTU.

(XLSX)

S3 Table. Diversity indices: species richness (S), Chao index, Simpson index ($1-D$) and Pielou evenness (J) for bacteria and fungi estimated per plot.

(PDF)

S4 Table. The effect of plant formation, site and their combination on the structure of the 210 sequences in the fungal community dataset assessed by PERMANOVA.

(PDF)

S5 Table. Summary of the distance-based linear model (distLM) results for the floristic variables that were significantly related to the bacterial and fungal communities. The R^2 that corresponds to the proportion of explained variation in the model by sequentially adding environmental variables, the proportion of variance explained for each variable, the sum of squares (SS), the pseudo- F statistic (analogous to Fisher's F test), and the P -value are presented.

(PDF)

S6 Table. Summary of the distance-based linear model (distLM) results for the edaphic variables that were significantly related to the bacterial and fungal communities. The R^2 that corresponds to the proportion of explained variation in the model by sequentially adding environmental variables, the proportion of variance explained for each variable, the sum of squares (SS), the pseudo- F statistic (analogous to Fisher's F test) and the P -value are presented.

(PDF)

Acknowledgments

We thank the 'Direction des Infrastructures, de la Topographie et des Transports Terrestres (DITTT)' of the Government of New Caledonia for providing the orthophotos (Convention n° CS10-3170-0700). We thank the 'Plateforme du Vivant' (Nouméa, New Caledonia) for access to their facilities and the 'Plateforme Génome-Transcriptome' of Bordeaux University (Bordeaux, France) for performing Illumina MiSeq sequencing. We thank Christian Montagnat and Joseph Manauté, who allowed us to access to the Kopéto mine and 'Rivière Bleue' Provincial Park, respectively. Thanks to the field work crew of IAC ('Unité SARA'): Alexandre Bouarat, René Guignon, Anthony Pain and Hyppolite Lenoir for their substantial assistance in the field. Finally, a special thanks to Laurent Wantiez, Laurent Bordez and Thomas Ibanez for their help in data analysis.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: FC LM.

Data curation: VG JRP FC LM.

Formal analysis: VG JRP SH FC LM.

Funding acquisition: FC.

Investigation: VG FC KL SG JRP.

Methodology: FC LM CG.

Project administration: FC LM.

Resources: FC LM JRP.

Supervision: FC LM JRP.

Validation: FC LM CG.

Visualization: VG FC LM JRP SH.

Writing – original draft: VG FC LM JRP SH KL SG CG.

Writing – review & editing: VG FC LM SH.

References

1. Schulz S, Brankatschk R, Dümig A, Kögel-Knabner I, Schloter M, Zeyer J. The role of microorganisms at different stages of ecosystem development for soil formation. *Biogeosciences*. 2013; 10: 3983–3996.
2. Madsen EL. Microorganisms and their roles in fundamental biogeochemical cycles. *Curr Opin Biotechnol*. 2011; 22: 456–464. doi: [10.1016/j.copbio.2011.01.008](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copbio.2011.01.008) PMID: [21333523](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/21333523/)
3. Tisdall JM. Possible role of soil microorganisms in aggregation in soils. *Plant Soil*. 1994; 159: 115–121.
4. Lisitskaya TB, Trosheva TD. Microorganisms stimulating plant growth for sustainable agriculture. *Russ J Gen Chem*. 2013; 83: 2765–2774.
5. Hartnett DC, Wilson GWT. The role of mycorrhizas in plant community structure and dynamics: lessons from grasslands. *Plant Soil*. 2002; 244: 319–331.
6. Gobat J-M, Aragno M, Matthey W. *Le sol vivant: bases de pédologie, biologie des sols*. PPUR Presses polytechniques; 2010.
7. Brodie E, Edwards S, Clipson N. Bacterial Community Dynamics across a Floristic Gradient in a Temperate Upland Grassland Ecosystem. *Microb Ecol*. 2002; 44: 260–270. doi: [10.1007/s00248-002-2012-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00248-002-2012-1) PMID: [12209255](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/12209255/)
8. de Vries FT, Manning P, Tallwin JRB, Mortimer SR, Pilgrim ES, Harrison KA, et al. Abiotic drivers and plant traits explain landscape-scale patterns in soil microbial communities. *Ecol Lett*. 2012; 15: 1230–1239. doi: [10.1111/j.1461-0248.2012.01844.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2012.01844.x) PMID: [22882451](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/22882451/)
9. Fierer N, Jackson RB. The diversity and biogeography of soil bacterial communities. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2006; 103: 626–631. doi: [10.1073/pnas.0507535103](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0507535103) PMID: [16407148](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/16407148/)
10. Li J-J, Zheng Y-M, Yan J-X, Li H-J, He J-Z. Succession of plant and soil microbial communities with restoration of abandoned land in the Loess Plateau, China. *J Soils Sediments*. 2013; 13: 760–769.
11. Knelman JE, Legg TM, O'Neill SP, Washenberger CL, González A, Cleveland CC, et al. Bacterial community structure and function change in association with colonizer plants during early primary succession in a glacier forefield. *Soil Biol Biochem*. 2012; 46: 172–180.
12. Grayston SJ, Campbell CD, Bardgett RD, Mawdsley JL, Clegg CD, Ritz K, et al. Assessing shifts in microbial community structure across a range of grasslands of differing management intensity using CLPP, PLFA and community DNA techniques. *Appl Soil Ecol*. 2004; 25: 63–84.
13. Carson JK, Gonzalez-Quifones V, Murphy DV, Hinz C, Shaw JA, Gleeson DB. Low Pore Connectivity Increases Bacterial Diversity in Soil. *Appl Environ Microbiol*. 2010; 76: 3936–3942. doi: [10.1128/AEM.03085-09](https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.03085-09) PMID: [20418420](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/20418420/)
14. Bonito G, Reynolds H, Robeson MS, Nelson J, Hodkinson BP, Tuskan G, et al. Plant host and soil origin influence fungal and bacterial assemblages in the roots of woody plants. *Mol Ecol*. 2014; 23: 3356–3370. doi: [10.1111/mec.12821](https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.12821) PMID: [24894495](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/24894495/)
15. Lejon DPH, Chaussod R, Ranger J, Ranjard L. Microbial Community Structure and Density Under Different Tree Species in an Acid Forest Soil (Morvan, France). *Microb Ecol*. 2005; 50: 614–625. doi: [10.1007/s00248-005-5130-8](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00248-005-5130-8) PMID: [16333717](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/16333717/)
16. White C, Tardif JC, Adkins A, Staniforth R. Functional diversity of microbial communities in the mixed boreal plain forest of central Canada. *Soil Biol Biochem*. 2005; 37: 1359–1372.
17. Zak DR, Holmes WE, White DC, Peacock AD, Tilman D. Plant diversity, soil microbial communities, and ecosystem function: are there any links? *Ecology*. 2003; 84: 2042–2050.
18. Urbanová M, Šnajdr J, Baldrian P. Composition of fungal and bacterial communities in forest litter and soil is largely determined by dominant trees. *Soil Biol Biochem*. 2015; 84: 53–64.
19. Frey-Klett P, Garbaye J. Mycorrhiza helper bacteria: a promising model for the genomic analysis of fungal–bacterial interactions. *New Phytol*. 2005; 168: 4–8. doi: [10.1111/j.1469-8137.2005.01553.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2005.01553.x) PMID: [16159316](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/16159316/)

20. Duponnois R, Plenchette C. A mycorrhiza helper bacterium enhances ectomycorrhizal and endomycorrhizal symbiosis of Australian *Acacia* species. *Mycorrhiza*. 2003; 13: 85–91. doi: [10.1007/s00572-002-0204-7](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00572-002-0204-7) PMID: [12682830](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/12682830/)
21. de Boer W, Folman LB, Summerbell RC, Boddy L. Living in a fungal world: impact of fungi on soil bacterial niche development. *FEMS Microbiol Rev*. 2005; 29: 795–811. doi: [10.1016/j.femsre.2004.11.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.femsre.2004.11.005) PMID: [16102603](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/16102603/)
22. Frey P, Frey-Klett P, Garbaye J, Berge O, Heulin T. Metabolic and Genotypic Fingerprinting of Fluorescent *Pseudomonads* Associated with the Douglas Fir-*Laccaria bicolor* Mycorrhizosphere. *Appl Environ Microbiol*. 1997; 63: 1852–1860. PMID: [16535600](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/16535600/)
23. Alexander IJ, Selosse M-A. Mycorrhizas in tropical forests: a neglected research imperative. *New Phytol*. 2009; 182: 14–16. doi: [10.1111/j.1469-8137.2009.02798.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2009.02798.x) PMID: [19291071](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/19291071/)
24. Jaffré T, Morat P, Veillon J-M, Rigault F, Dagostini G. composition et caractérisation de la flore indigène de nouvelle-calédonie. *IRD Doc Sci Tech*. 2001; 1–139.
25. Morat P, Jaffré T, Tronchet F, Munzinger J, Pillon Y, Veillon J-M, et al. Le référentiel taxonomique Florical et les caractéristiques de la flore vasculaire indigène de la Nouvelle-Calédonie. *Adansonia*. 2012; 34: 179–221.
26. Kier G, Kreft H, Lee TM, Jetz W, Ibsch PL, Nowicki C, et al. A global assessment of endemism and species richness across island and mainland regions. *Proc Natl Acad Sci*. 2009; 106: 9322–9327. doi: [10.1073/pnas.0810306106](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0810306106) PMID: [19470638](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/19470638/)
27. Bequette F. New Caledonia: Threats to biodiversity. *The Unesco Courier*. 1997 50: 38–41.
28. Myers N, Mittermeier RA, Mittermeier CG, Da Fonseca GA, Kent J. Biodiversity hotspots for conservation priorities. *Nature*. 2000; 403: 853–858. doi: [10.1038/35002501](https://doi.org/10.1038/35002501) PMID: [10706275](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/10706275/)
29. Branco S, Ree RH. Serpentine Soils Do Not Limit Mycorrhizal Fungal Diversity. *Stajich JE, editor. PLoS ONE*. 2010; 5: 1–7.
30. Fitzsimons MS, Miller RM. Serpentine soil has little influence on the root-associated microbial community composition of the serpentine tolerant grass species *Avenula sulcata*. *Plant Soil*. 2010; 330: 393–405.
31. schmidt M. Endémisme et spéciation en Nouvelle Calédonie. *C r Séances Société Biogeogr*. 1982; 48: 52–60.
32. Jaffré T, L'Huillier L. La végétation des roches ultramafiques ou terrains miniers. *Mines et environnement en Nouvelle-Calédonie : les milieux sur substrats ultramafiques et leur restauration*. Païta: Institut Agronomique Néo-Calédonien; 2010. pp. 45–103.
33. Isnard S, L'hullier L, Rigault F, Jaffré T. How did the ultramafic soils shape the flora of the New Caledonian hotspot? *Plant Soil*. 2016; 403: 53–76.
34. Read J, Hope GS. Ecology of *Nothofagus* forests of New Guinea and New Caledonia. *The Ecology and Biogeography of Nothofagus Forests*. Yale University Press; 1996.
35. Héry M, Nazaret S, Jaffré T, Normand P, Navarro E. Adaptation to nickel spiking of bacterial communities in neocalédonian soils. *Environ Microbiol*. 2003; 5: 3–12. PMID: [12542708](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/12542708/)
36. Majorel C, Hannibal L, Soupe M-E, Carriconde F, Ducouso M, Lebrun M, et al. Tracking nickel-adaptive biomarkers in *Pisolithus albus* from New Caledonia using a transcriptomic approach. *Mol Ecol*. 2012; 21: 2208–2223. doi: [10.1111/j.1365-294X.2012.05527.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2012.05527.x) PMID: [22429322](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/22429322/)
37. Lenczewski M, Rigg L, Enright N, Jaffre T, Kelly H. Microbial communities of ultramafic soils in maquis and rainforest at Mont Do, New Caledonia. *Austral Ecol*. 2009; 34: 567–576.
38. Perrier N, Amir H, Colin F. Occurrence of mycorrhizal symbioses in the metal-rich lateritic soils of the Koniambo Massif, New Caledonia. *Mycorrhiza*. 2006; 16: 449–458. doi: [10.1007/s00572-006-0057-6](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00572-006-0057-6) PMID: [16944222](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/16944222/)
39. Lagrange A, Ducouso M, Jourand P, Majorel C, Amir H. New insights into the mycorrhizal status of *Cyperaceae* from ultramafic soils in New Caledonia. *Can J Microbiol*. 2011; 57: 21–28. doi: [10.1139/w10-096](https://doi.org/10.1139/w10-096) PMID: [21217793](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/21217793/)
40. Amir H, Perrier N, Rigault F, Jaffré T. Relationships between Ni-hyperaccumulation and mycorrhizal status of different endemic plant species from New Caledonian ultramafic soils. *Plant Soil*. 2007; 293: 23–35.
41. Amir H, Lagrange A, Hassaïne N, Cavaloc Y. Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi from New Caledonian ultramafic soils improve tolerance to nickel of endemic plant species. *Mycorrhiza*. 2013; 23: 585–595. doi: [10.1007/s00572-013-0499-6](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00572-013-0499-6) PMID: [23588949](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/23588949/)
42. Connell JH, Lowman MD. Low-Diversity Tropical Rain Forests: Some Possible Mechanisms for Their Existence. *Am Nat*. 1989; 134: 88–119.

43. Jaffré T, Rigault F, Dagostini G, Tinel-Fambart J, Wulff A, Munzinger J. Input of the different vegetation units to the richness and endemism of the New Caledonian flora. *Flora*. 2009; 162: 54–9.
44. Amir H, Ducouso M. Les bactéries et les champignons du sol sur roches ultramafiques. Mines et environnement en Nouvelle-Calédonie: les milieux sur substrats ultramafiques et leur restauration. Païta: Institut Agronomique Néo-Calédonien; 2010. pp. 129–146.
45. Chatain A, Read J, Jaffré T. Does leaf-level nutrient-use efficiency explain Nothofagus-dominance of some tropical rain forests in New Caledonia? *Plant Ecol*. 2009; 201: 51–66.
46. Braun-Blanquet J, Fuller GD, Conard HS. *Plant sociology; the study of plant communities*; [Internet]. New York and London, McGraw-Hill book company, inc.; 1932.
47. Pansu M, Gautheyrou J. *Handbook of soil analysis: mineralogical, organic and inorganic methods*. Berlin; New York: Springer; 2006.
48. Caporaso JG, Lauber CL, Walters WA, Berg-Lyons D, Lozupone CA, Turnbaugh PJ, et al. Global patterns of 16S rRNA diversity at a depth of millions of sequences per sample. *Proc Natl Acad Sci*. 2011; 108: 4516–4522. doi: [10.1073/pnas.1000080107](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1000080107) PMID: [20534432](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/20534432/)
49. Ihrmark K, Bödeker ITM, Cruz-Martinez K, Friberg H, Kubartova A, Schenck J, et al. New primers to amplify the fungal ITS2 region—evaluation by 454-sequencing of artificial and natural communities. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol*. 2012; 82: 666–677. doi: [10.1111/j.1574-6941.2012.01437.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6941.2012.01437.x) PMID: [22738186](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/22738186/)
50. White T, Bruns T, Lee S, Taylor J. Amplification and direct sequencing of fungal ribosomal RNA genes for phylogenetics. In: Innis M, Gelfand D, Shinsky J, White T, editors. *PCR Protocols: A Guide to Methods and Applications*. Academic Press; 1990. pp. 315–322.
51. Schloss PD, Westcott SL, Ryabin T, Hall JR, Hartmann M, Hollister EB, et al. Introducing mothur: Open-Source, Platform-Independent, Community-Supported Software for Describing and Comparing Microbial Communities. *Appl Environ Microbiol*. 2009; 75: 7537–7541. doi: [10.1128/AEM.01541-09](https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.01541-09) PMID: [19801464](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/19801464/)
52. Edgar RC, Haas BJ, Clemente JC, Quince C, Knight R. UCHIME improves sensitivity and speed of chimera detection. *Bioinforma Oxf Engl*. 2011; 27: 2194–2200.
53. Stackebrandt E, Goebel BM. Taxonomic Note: A Place for DNA-DNA Reassociation and 16S rRNA Sequence Analysis in the Present Species Definition in Bacteriology. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol*. 1994; 44: 846–849.
54. Roy M, Rochet J, Manzi S, Jargeat P, Gryta H, Moreau P-A, et al. What determines *Alnus*-associated ectomycorrhizal community diversity and specificity? A comparison of host and habitat effects at a regional scale. *New Phytol*. 2013; 198: 1228–1238. doi: [10.1111/nph.12212](https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.12212) PMID: [23496225](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/23496225/)
55. Fierer N, Breitbart M, Nulton J, Salamon P, Lozupone C, Jones R, et al. Metagenomic and Small-Subunit rRNA Analyses Reveal the Genetic Diversity of Bacteria, Archaea, Fungi, and Viruses in Soil. *Appl Environ Microbiol*. 2007; 73: 7059–7066. doi: [10.1128/AEM.00358-07](https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.00358-07) PMID: [17827313](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/17827313/)
56. Sun Y, Cai Y, Liu L, Yu F, Farrell ML, McKendree W, et al. ESPRIT: estimating species richness using large collections of 16S rRNA pyrosequences. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 2009; 37: e76–e76. doi: [10.1093/nar/gkp285](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkp285) PMID: [19417062](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/19417062/)
57. Kõljalg U, Larsson K-H, Abarenkov K, Nilsson RH, Alexander IJ, Eberhardt U, et al. UNITE: a database providing web-based methods for the molecular identification of ectomycorrhizal fungi. *New Phytol*. 2005; 166: 1063–1068. doi: [10.1111/j.1469-8137.2005.01376.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2005.01376.x) PMID: [15869663](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/15869663/)
58. Quast C, Pruesse E, Yilmaz P, Gerken J, Schweer T, Yarza P, et al. The SILVA ribosomal RNA gene database project: improved data processing and web-based tools. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 2013; 41: D590–D596. doi: [10.1093/nar/gks1219](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gks1219) PMID: [23193283](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/23193283/)
59. Chao A. Estimating the Population Size for Capture-Recapture Data with Unequal Catchability. *Biometrics*. 1987; 43: 783. PMID: [3427163](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/3427163/)
60. Simpson E. Measurement of Diversity. *Nature*. 1949; 163: 688.
61. Pielou EC. The measurement of diversity in different types of biological collections. *J Theor Biol*. 1966; 13: 131–144.
62. Kruskal JB. Multidimensional scaling by optimizing goodness of fit to a nonmetric hypothesis. *Psychometrika*. 1964; 29: 1–27.
63. Anderson MJ. A new method for non-parametric multivariate analysis of variance. *Austral Ecol*. 2001; 26: 32–46.
64. Pearson K. On Lines and Planes of Closest Fit to Systems of Points in Space. *Philosophical Mag*. 2: 559–572.
65. Clarke KR, Gorley RN. *PRIMER v7: User Manual/Tutorial*. PRIMER-E, Plymouth, 2015.
66. McArdle BH, Anderson MJ. Fitting multivariate models to community data: a comment on distance-based redundancy analysis. *Ecology*. 2001; 82: 290–297.

67. Ohtonen R, Fritze H, Pennanen T, Jumpponen A, Trappe J. Ecosystem properties and microbial community changes in primary succession on a glacier forefront. *Oecologia*. 1999; 119: 239–246.
68. Dickie IA. Host preference, niches and fungal diversity. *New Phytol*. 2007; 174: 230–233. doi: [10.1111/j.1469-8137.2007.02055.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2007.02055.x) PMID: [17388883](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/17388883/)
69. Šourková M, Frouz J, Fettweis U, Bens O, Hüttl RF, Šantrůčková H. Soil development and properties of microbial biomass succession in reclaimed post mining sites near Sokolov (Czech Republic) and near Cottbus (Germany). *Geoderma*. 2005; 129: 73–80.
70. Ishida TA, Nara K, Hogetsu T. Host Effects on Ectomycorrhizal Fungal Communities: Insight from Eight Host Species in Mixed Conifer-Broadleaf Forests. *New Phytol*. 2007; 174: 430–440. doi: [10.1111/j.1469-8137.2007.02016.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2007.02016.x) PMID: [17388905](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/17388905/)
71. Tedersoo L, Jairus T, Horton BM, Abarenkov K, Suvi T, Saar I, et al. Strong host preference of ectomycorrhizal fungi in a Tasmanian wet sclerophyll forest as revealed by DNA barcoding and taxon-specific primers. *New Phytol*. 2008; 180: 479–490. doi: [10.1111/j.1469-8137.2008.02561.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2008.02561.x) PMID: [18631297](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/18631297/)
72. Zhang X, Harper R, Karsisto M, Lindström K. Diversity of Rhizobium Bacteria Isolated from the Root Nodules of Leguminous Trees. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol*. 1991; 41: 104–113.
73. He XH, Critchley C. Frankia nodulation, mycorrhization and interactions between Frankia and mycorrhizal fungi in Casuarina plants. *Mycorrhiza*. Springer; 2008. pp. 767–781.
74. Pfeiffer B, Fender A-C, Lasota S, Hertel D, Jungkunst HF, Daniel R. Leaf litter is the main driver for changes in bacterial community structures in the rhizosphere of ash and beech. *Appl Soil Ecol*. 2013; 72: 150–160.
75. Aponte C, García LV, Marañón T, Gardes M. Indirect host effect on ectomycorrhizal fungi: Leaf fall and litter quality explain changes in fungal communities on the roots of co-occurring Mediterranean oaks. *Soil Biol Biochem*. 2010; 42: 788–796.
76. Berg G, Smalla K. Plant species and soil type cooperatively shape the structure and function of microbial communities in the rhizosphere: Plant species, soil type and rhizosphere communities. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol*. 2009; 68: 1–13.
77. Puga-Freitas R, Blouin M. A review of the effects of soil organisms on plant hormone signalling pathways. *Environ Exp Bot*.
78. Dennis PG, Miller AJ, Hirsch PR. Are root exudates more important than other sources of rhizodeposits in structuring rhizosphere bacterial communities? *FEMS Microbiol Ecol*. 2010; 72: 313–327. doi: [10.1111/j.1574-6941.2010.00860.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6941.2010.00860.x) PMID: [20370828](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/20370828/)
79. Haichar F el Z, Marol C, Berge O, Rangel-Castro JI, Prosser JI, Balesdent J, et al. Plant host habitat and root exudates shape soil bacterial community structure. *ISME J*. 2008; 2: 1221–1230. doi: [10.1038/ismej.2008.80](https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2008.80) PMID: [18754043](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/18754043/)
80. Broeckling CD, Broz AK, Bergelson J, Manter DK, Vivanco JM. Root Exudates Regulate Soil Fungal Community Composition and Diversity. *Appl Environ Microbiol*. 2008; 74: 738–744. doi: [10.1128/AEM.02188-07](https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.02188-07) PMID: [18083870](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/18083870/)
81. Herrera A, Héry M, Stach JEM, Jaffré T, Normand P, Navarro E. Species richness and phylogenetic diversity comparisons of soil microbial communities affected by nickel-mining and revegetation efforts in New Caledonia. *Eur J Soil Biol*. 2007; 43: 130–139.
82. Bordez L, Jourand P, Ducouso M, Carriconde F, Cavaloc Y, Santini S, et al. Distribution patterns of microbial communities in ultramafic landscape: a metagenetic approach highlights the strong relationships between diversity and environmental traits. *Mol Ecol*. 2016; 25: 2258–2272. doi: [10.1111/mec.13621](https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.13621) PMID: [26994404](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/26994404/)
83. DeGroot SH, Claassen VP, Scow KM. Microbial community composition on native and drastically disturbed serpentine soils. *Soil Biol Biochem*. 2005; 37: 1427–1435.
84. Pessoa-Filho M, Barreto CC, dos R FB Junior, Fragoso RR, Costa FS, de C Mendes I, et al. Microbiological functioning, diversity, and structure of bacterial communities in ultramafic soils from a tropical savanna. *Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek*. 2015; 107: 935–949. doi: [10.1007/s10482-015-0386-6](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10482-015-0386-6) PMID: [25616909](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/25616909/)
85. Oline DK. Phylogenetic Comparisons of Bacterial Communities from Serpentine and Nonserpentine Soils. *Appl Environ Microbiol*. 2006; 72: 6965–6971. doi: [10.1128/AEM.00690-06](https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.00690-06) PMID: [16950906](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/16950906/)
86. Bruce T, Martinez IB, Maia Neto O, Vicente ACP, Kruger RH, Thompson FL. Bacterial Community Diversity in the Brazilian Atlantic Forest Soils. *Microb Ecol*. 2010; 60: 840–849. doi: [10.1007/s00248-010-9750-2](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00248-010-9750-2) PMID: [20886336](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/20886336/)
87. Miyashita NT, Iwanaga H, Charles S, Diway B, Sabang J, Chong L. Soil bacterial community structure in five tropical forests in Malaysia and one temperate forest in Japan revealed by pyrosequencing analyses of 16S rRNA gene sequence variation. *Genes Genet Syst*. 2013; 88: 93–103. PMID: [23832301](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/23832301/)

88. Buckley DH, Huangyutham V, Nelson TA, Rumberger A, Thies JE. Diversity of Planctomycetes in Soil in Relation to Soil History and Environmental Heterogeneity. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* 2006; 72: 4522–4531. doi: [10.1128/AEM.00149-06](https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.00149-06) PMID: [16820439](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/16820439/)
89. Buckley DH, Schmidt TM. Diversity and dynamics of microbial communities in soils from agro-ecosystems. *Environ Microbiol.* 2003; 5: 441–452. PMID: [12755711](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/12755711/)
90. Corneo PE, Pellegrini A, Cappellin L, Gessler C, Pertot I. Moderate Warming in Microcosm Experiment Does Not Affect Microbial Communities in Temperate Vineyard Soils. *Microb Ecol.* 2014; 67: 659–670. doi: [10.1007/s00248-013-0357-2](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00248-013-0357-2) PMID: [24435544](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/24435544/)
91. Orgiazzi A, Lumini E, Nilsson RH, Girlanda M, Vizzini A, Bonfante P, et al. Unravelling Soil Fungal Communities from Different Mediterranean Land-Use Backgrounds. *PLOS ONE.* 2012; 7: e34847. doi: [10.1371/journal.pone.0034847](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0034847) PMID: [22536336](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/22536336/)
92. de Castro AP, Quirino BF, P G Jr, Kurokawa AS, Neto EL, Krüger RH. Diversity of soil fungal communities of Cerrado and its closely surrounding agriculture fields. *Arch Microbiol.* 2008; 190: 129–139. doi: [10.1007/s00203-008-0374-6](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00203-008-0374-6) PMID: [18458875](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/18458875/)
93. Buée M, Reich M, Murat C, Morin E, Nilsson RH, Uroz S, et al. 454 Pyrosequencing Analyses of Forest Soils Reveal an Unexpectedly High Fungal Diversity. *New Phytol.* 2009; 184: 449–456. doi: [10.1111/j.1469-8137.2009.03003.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2009.03003.x) PMID: [19703112](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/19703112/)
94. Wubet T, Christ S, Schöning I, Boch S, Gawlich M, Schnabel B, et al. Differences in Soil Fungal Communities between European Beech (*Fagus sylvatica* L.) Dominated Forests Are Related to Soil and Understory Vegetation. Treseder K, editor. *PLoS ONE.* 2012; 7: 1–14.
95. Aerts R. Climate, Leaf Litter Chemistry and Leaf Litter Decomposition in Terrestrial Ecosystems: A Triangular Relationship. *Oikos.* 1997; 79: 439–449.
96. Elliott WM, Elliott NB, Wyman RL. Relative Effect of Litter and Forest Type on Rate of Decomposition. *Am Midl Nat.* 1993; 129: 87–95.
97. Gömöryová E, Hrivnák R, Janišová M, Ujházy K, Gömöry D. Changes of the functional diversity of soil microbial community during the colonization of abandoned grassland by a forest. *Appl Soil Ecol.* 2009; 43: 191–199.
98. Austin AT, Vivanco L. Plant litter decomposition in a semi-arid ecosystem controlled by photodegradation. *Nature.* 2006; 442: 555–558. doi: [10.1038/nature05038](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature05038) PMID: [16885982](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/16885982/)
99. Mengoni A, Schat H, Vangronsveld J. Plants as extreme environments? Ni-resistant bacteria and Ni-hyperaccumulators of serpentine flora. *Plant Soil.* 2010; 331: 5–16.
100. Álvarez-López V, Prieto-Fernández Á, Becerra-Castro C, Monterroso C, Kidd PS. Rhizobacterial communities associated with the flora of three serpentine outcrops of the Iberian Peninsula. *Plant Soil.* 2015; 403: 233–252.
101. Porter SS, Rice KJ. Trade-Offs, Spatial Heterogeneity, and the Maintenance of Microbial Diversity. *Evolution.* 2013; 67: 599–608. doi: [10.1111/j.1558-5646.2012.01788.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1558-5646.2012.01788.x) PMID: [23356631](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/23356631/)
102. Amir H, Perrier N, Rigault F, Jaffré T. Relationships between Ni-hyperaccumulation and mycorrhizal status of different endemic plant species from New Caledonian ultramafic soils. *Plant Soil.* 2007; 293: 23–35.
103. Amir H, Lagrange A, Hassaïne N, Cavaloc Y. Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi from New Caledonian ultramafic soils improve tolerance to nickel of endemic plant species. *Mycorrhiza.* 2013; 23: 585–595. doi: [10.1007/s00572-013-0499-6](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00572-013-0499-6) PMID: [23588949](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/23588949/)
104. Schlegel HG, Cosson J-P, Baker AJM. Nickel-hyperaccumulating Plants Provide a Niche for Nickel-resistant Bacteria. *Bot Acta.* 1991; 104: 18–25.
105. MacArthur RH, Wilson EO. An Equilibrium Theory of Insular Zoogeography. *Evolution.* 1963; 17: 373–387.
106. Peay KG, Bruns TD, Kennedy PG, Bergemann SE, Garbelotto M. A strong species-area relationship for eukaryotic soil microbes: island size matters for ectomycorrhizal fungi. *Ecol Lett.* 2007; 10: 470–480. doi: [10.1111/j.1461-0248.2007.01035.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2007.01035.x) PMID: [17498146](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/17498146/)
107. Peay KG, Garbelotto M, Bruns TD. Evidence of dispersal limitation in soil microorganisms: isolation reduces species richness on mycorrhizal tree islands. *Ecology.* 2010; 91: 3631–3640. PMID: [21302834](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/21302834/)
108. Danell E, Alström S, Ternström A. *Pseudomonas fluorescens* in association with fruit bodies of the ectomycorrhizal mushroom *Cantharellus cibarius*. *Mycol Res.* 1993; 97: 1148–1152.
109. Mansfeld-Giese K, Larsen J, Bødker L. Bacterial populations associated with mycelium of the arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus *Glomus intraradices*. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol.* 2002; 41: 133–140. doi: [10.1111/j.1574-6941.2002.tb00974.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6941.2002.tb00974.x) PMID: [19709247](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/19709247/)