Epi + demos + cracy: Linking Political Systems and Priorities to the Magnitude of Health Inequities—Evidence, Gaps, and a Research Agenda

Jason Beckfield and Nancy Krieger

Accepted for publication April 8, 2009.

A new focus within both social epidemiology and political sociology investigates how political systems and priorities shape health inequities. To advance—and better integrate—research on political determinants of health inequities, the authors conducted a systematic search of the ISI Web of Knowledge and PubMed databases and identified 45 studies, commencing in 1992, that explicitly and empirically tested, in relation to an a priori political hypothesis, for either 1) changes in the magnitude of health inequities or 2) significant cross-national differences in the magnitude of health inequities. Overall, 84% of the studies focused on the global North, and all clustered around 4 political factors: 1) the transition to a capitalist economy; 2) neoliberal restructuring; 3) welfare states; and 4) political incorporation of subordinated racial/ethnic, indigenous, and gender groups. The evidence suggested that the first 2 factors probably increase health inequities, the third is inconsistently related, and the fourth helps reduce them. In this review, the authors critically summarize these studies' findings, consider methodological limitations, and propose a research agenda—with careful attention to spatiotemporal scale, level, time frame (e.g., life course, historical generation), choice of health outcomes, inclusion of polities, and specification of political mechanisms—to address the enormous gaps in knowledge that were identified.

democracy; epidemiology; health status; health status disparities; politics; public health; social class; socioeconomic factors

INTRODUCTION

Epi + demos + cracy

The terms "epi + demos + cracy" together lend themselves to the study of how political systems and priorities shape population health and the magnitude of health inequities. After all, epi ("upon") + demos ("the people") are the roots of "epidemic" (i.e., a disease outbreak that falls upon everyone) (1, 2) and demos ("the people") + -cracy ("politically who rules") (2) refers to a particular kind of political system. That links existed between these 2 concepts was apparent even in the 5th century BCE in ancient Greece, when these terms were coined (1–5). The classic Hippocratic treatise on "Airs, Waters, Places," for example, famously asserted that the Europeans-and especially Greeks—were healthier and more vigorous than the inhabitants of Asia, with 1 "contributory cause" stated to be that, for Asia, "the greater part is under monarchical rule," whereas in Europe, the people "are not subject races but rule themselves and labour on their own behalf" (1, p. 160).

Moreover, within the context of Greek democracy (which, by contemporary standards, was not particularly democratic, since only free male citizens (less than 10% of the population) could vote; free women, metics (foreign residents), and slaves were not enfranchised (3–5)), the Hippocratic writings likewise recognized that those with power, property, freedom, and leisure had better health than "the mass of people who are obliged to work," who "drink and eat what they happen to get" and so "cannot, neglecting all, take care of their health" (5, p. 240). In other words, awareness that political systems and social position affect health is an ancient, not new, idea.

Jump to the 21st century CE, and a new round of critical epidemiologic research, concerned with the societal determinants of health, is exploring links between bodily health and the body politic, drawing on a rich body of recent literature that has theorized about connections between political rule and population health (6–21). At issue is how societal conditions—and especially social inequality—become embodied, thereby shaping population distributions of health: both overall rates of disease, disability, and death and the patterning and extent of

Correspondence to Dr. Nancy Krieger, Department of Society, Human Development, and Health, Harvard School of Public Health, 677 Huntington Avenue, Kresge Building, 7th Floor, Boston, MA 02115 (e-mail: nkrieger@hsph.harvard.edu).

health inequities (7). To date, much of this research has been concerned with associations—and ultimately causal connections and biologic pathways—between individual-level data on 1) social position (especially in relation to social class, race/ ethnicity, and gender) and 2) health status. Within the past decade, however, new work, partly informed by recent developments in multilevel frameworks and methods (22, 23), has begun to consider how contextual factors such as political systems and government policies drive population health and health inequities (6, 8–13, 15–17, 21, 24–33).

However, epidemiologists are not alone in asking these questions. In the social sciences, a new and growing body of work is investigating links between political systems, policies, and population health (25–27, 29, 30, 34–44). Building on an enormous and well-developed body of social science literature regarding different types of political systems, social processes, and (especially) social inequalities (34, 45– 57), along with older and more general theoretical work that considered a narrower range of political determinants and health outcomes and paid less attention to health inequities, 1 line of this work has called for greater attention to the societal policies, relations, and processes that are behind the social categories used to study health inequities in epidemiologic research (e.g., socioeconomic position, race/ ethnicity, gender, sexuality). Its orientation is in contrast to the more conventional epidemiologic approach of treating these categories and social relations as static "risk factors" construed as properties of individuals (58). Another line, concerned with the political economy of health, focuses on how different types of state structures and political and economic systems and institutions affect population wellbeing, including health inequities (38, 42, 43, 59, 60), albeit with relatively little direct attention to biologic pathways of

To date, these 2 bodies of literature, despite common interest in population health and health inequities, have rarely engaged directly. To advance—and better integrate—the work, we accordingly have prepared a critical review of empirical research linking political systems and priorities to the magnitude of health inequities, drawing on our respective fields of political sociology and social epidemiology. In this paper, we focus on the conceptual frameworks informing this research, the substantive findings to date, and the next steps needed for developing a research agenda to address extant gaps in knowledge, so as to provide a better basis for redressing health inequities between and within polities.

FROM THEORY TO HYPOTHESIS: FRAMEWORKS FOR ANALYZING LINKS BETWEEN POLITICAL ECONOMY AND HEALTH INEQUITIES

To situate our review of the empirical literature, we start by briefly summarizing the relevant theories that informed our approach. Because we believe that readers of Epidemiologic Reviews are likely to be more familiar with the social epidemiology theories than the political sociology theories, we devote less attention to the former and more to the latter.

Social epidemiology

As reviewed in recent publications (61, 62), social epidemiology offers a wealth of frameworks and models to guide empirical research on the societal determinants of health and of health inequities—often including, in a very broad manner, the impact of political systems and priorities. In particular, the ecosocial theory of disease distribution, introduced by Krieger in 1994 (63) and elaborated upon since (7, 62, 64), has provided a means for conceptualizing the myriad ways social inequality, including class, racial, and gender inequality, becomes biologically embodied, thereby creating health inequities. At issue are the cumulative interplay of exposure, susceptibility, and resistance, at multiple levels, across the life course. The specific forms of these pathways of embodiment are filtered via the prevailing political economy and political ecology. Two corollaries are that 1) population health and health inequities must be analyzed in societal, historical, and ecologic context, and 2) neither the forms of social inequality nor their associations with health status are "fixed" but instead are historically contingent. Moreover, recognizing the interplay between the embodied facts of health inequities and how they are conceptualized, ecosocial theory also calls attention to accountability and agency, both for social inequalities in health and for ways they are—or are not—monitored, analyzed, and addressed.

A model recently prepared by the World Health Organization Commission on the Social Determinants of Health (65) is similarly concerned with how population health is shaped by what it terms the "socioeconomic political context." This context is posited to generate the structural determinants of health, defined as including "governance," "macroeconomic policies," "social policies (labor, housing, land)," "public policies (health, education, social protection)," and "cultural and societal values." These structural determinants are held to work through and along with socioeconomic position (involving not only education, occupation, and income but also class and access to resources, power in relation to political context, prestige, and discrimination), gender, and "ethnicity (racism)" to affect intermediary determinants (e.g., material circumstances, behaviors and biologic factors, psychosocial factors), which in turn "impact on equity in health and well-being" (65, p. 48).

Thus, common to the social epidemiologic perspectives are concerns with 1) political context, 2) health inequity, and 3) the biologic pathways by which societal conditions become embodied, in relation to time, place, and history, including life course and age-period-cohort effects. At issue is how power and material resources, operating at different levels and in diverse domains, affect population distributions of health. Social epidemiologic frameworks accordingly set the basis for hypothesizing that different types of polities would have different health profiles, including different magnitudes of health inequities.

Political sociology

At the intersection of sociology and political science, political sociology has developed conceptual and analytical tools for understanding the "political context" that regularly appears in frameworks drawn from social epidemiology. At issue are various intersections of the state and civil society (66, 67), including the "welfare state" or the set of "social rights of citizenship" (68), such as family benefits, health insurance, pension provisions, unemployment insurance, housing allowances, and welfare payments; engagement with other formal political institutions; and social

Below and in Table 1 we briefly describe key features of 4 predominant theoretical frameworks used in political sociology that address social inequality directly: 1) "welfare regimes," 2) "power constellations," 3) "varieties of capitalism," and 4) "political-institutionalism of inequality." While each of these theories views welfare states as systems of stratification, they differ in their analysis of the causal processes that generate social inequality. In Table 1, we provide examples of the types of hypotheses each of these theories (and related theories pertaining to social movements) could propose regarding links between political systems and health inequities.

One influential political-sociologic approach is the "welfare regime" framework developed by Esping-Andersen (69) in 1990, which posits the existence of "3 worlds of welfare capitalism": liberal, social democratic, and conservative. Distinctions pertain to the degree to which each regime decommodifies labor by making it possible to maintain a socially acceptable standard of living without reliance on the market. The fundamental insight of this approach is that social inequalities do not emerge "naturally" from the market but are instead politically constructed. According to this framework, liberal welfare states (where the "liberty" in "liberal" refers to the political prioritizing of "free markets"), such as the United States, do little to reduce poverty or inequality, while social democratic welfare states, such as Sweden, reduce poverty and inequality dramatically by providing a wide range of social services, and conservative welfare states, such as Germany, provide relatively generous social services and welfare benefits but deliver them in ways that reinforce existing patterns of social inequality (e.g., gender roles in the family). New research has updated and revised Esping-Andersen's regime scheme, contrasting "social market economies" (combining generous social provisions with coordinated business-interest representation and strong labor unions) with "liberal market economies," with the former outperforming the latter in reducing inequality, without sacrificing economic growth and jobs (51, 56). For definitions of many of the central terms in the welfareregimes literature, see the recent glossary by Eikemo and Bambra (12).

Like the "welfare regimes" approach, the "power constellations" approach theorizes about the causes and effects of the welfare state, but here political parties are the central determinant of social welfare policies (55, 70, 71). Power constellations theory views social democratic parties, Christian democratic parties, and social movements as engines of distinct welfare-state trajectories, with research demonstrating that party incumbency directly and indirectly affects a country's level and type of social inequality. While the key causal mechanism in the power constellations approach

is the political party, social movements (e.g., labor, feminist, tax-revolt) also play a role in party formation and formal political participation. A key contribution of social movements theory is identification of the conditions for societal impacts of movements (72-74).

In sharp contrast to both the regimes and constellations frameworks is the "varieties of capitalism" institutionalist tradition (54, 75), which focuses on the role of employers and employees in welfare politics and policy within the context of international market competition. The key taxonomic distinction is between "coordinated market economies" like Germany and Sweden and "liberal market economies" like the United States and the United Kingdom, where the former is more likely to protect employees' and employers' investments in specific skills, a priority that involves coordinated wage bargaining and which simultaneously produces less wage inequality but also (usually) more occupational gender segregation (76, 77).

An emergent political-institutional approach in turn considers how policy domains not typically considered in welfare-state analyses, such as the penal system and the education system, also have implications for inequality (78, 79). Research motivated by this framework, for instance, has investigated how increasingly punitive prison policy in the United States has led to increased antiblack discrimination in the labor market (80), felon disenfranchisement and decreased political participation among blacks (81), and increased black-white wage inequality (82).

Common to all 4 theories is recognition that, as Lundberg (6) and others (83–86) have noted, the state is not a unitary actor, such that it is dangerous to assume a perfect correspondence between, for instance, a welfare regime on the one hand and health policy on the other (43). Even so, all 4 theories, combined with those of social epidemiology, provide good grounds for theorizing that types of states and their political priorities should be causally linked to the magnitude of health inequities. To consider whether these predictions actually hold, we next consider the empirical evidence.

METHODS

Our review objective was to locate articles that empirically investigated and tested hypotheses regarding withinand between-country comparisons of health inequities in relation to political systems, political economy, and changes in politics and policies. To locate articles for inclusion in this review, we searched the ISI Web of Knowledge database, version 4.3, with "all databases" (Thomson Reuters, New York, New York; http://apps.isiknowledge.com.ezpl. harvard.edu/) and the PubMed database (US National Library of Medicine, Bethesda, Maryland; http://www. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sites/entrez) between May 27 and June 7, 2008. The ISI Web of Knowledge database includes works published since 1900; the PubMed database includes works published since 1948. Topic keywords common to all searches were 1) "epidemiology" and 2) "[health and (inequalities or inequality or inequities or inequity or disparities or disparity)]." Additional terms included "welfare and state," "political and economy," "social and policy,"

"structural," "trends," "political and change," "democratization," "democracy," "globalization," "policy," "politics," "neoliberalism," "retrenchment," "stratification," "class and differences," "international," "cross-national," "cross-country," and "human and rights."

Searching on these keyword permutations yielded a total of 12,237 records (not mutually exclusive; the original searches were conducted by N. K. and replicated exactly by J. B.). The majority of these focused on socioeconomic health inequities, overall and sometimes by gender or race/ ethnicity (especially studies from the United States and New Zealand). Initial review of abstracts by N. K. yielded 1,730 articles that potentially were relevant. N. K. and J. B. then together reviewed these 1,730 abstracts and identified 45 that met 1 or both of the inclusion criteria; that is, they either:

- 1. explicitly and empirically tested for changing trends in the magnitude of health inequities in relation to an a priori hypothesis relating these to political changes, or
- 2. explicitly and empirically tested for significant crossnational differences (cross-sectional or over time) in the magnitude of health inequities in relation to an a priori political hypothesis.

In accord with our inclusion criteria, we excluded 2 types of studies also concerned with political systems and population health, as summarized in the Web Table (which is posted on the Epidemiologic Reviews Web site (http:// epirev.oxfordjournals.org/)): 1) descriptive studies that did not explicitly test political system hypotheses and 2) descriptive and analytic studies focused on overall population health (as opposed to the magnitude of health inequities). We did, however, draw on these studies and other relevant literature (24–33, 38, 41–44, 59, 62, 65, 87–90) to inform our analysis of the selected articles.

RESULTS

Tellingly, the 45 studies included in Table 2 were all published between only 1992 and 2008, despite our search of databases extending back to 1900. This new, small body of literature clusters around 4 central political factors: 1) the transition from a command economy to a capitalist economy; 2) neoliberal restructuring of economic regulations; 3) welfare states and welfare regimes; and 4) the political incorporation of subordinated racial/ethnic and indigenous groups and women. None explicitly tested hypotheses pertaining to the impact of social movements on the magnitude of health inequities.

Before summarizing the key findings of each of these 4 emerging lines of research, we first note that, with regard to outcomes, 25 of the 45 studies (56%) focused on all-cause or cause-specific mortality, 3 (7%) on life expectancy, 14 (31%) on self-rated health or long-standing limiting illness, 2 (4%) on health behaviors, and 8 (18%) on other health status outcomes (with some studies including more than 1 type of outcome). Additionally, as is summarized in the last set of columns in Table 2, 21 (47%) considered multiple dimensions of inequality ("MDI") in relation to either de-

terminants or outcomes, 19 (42%) investigated the possibility of contradictory effects ("CE") on health inequities, 10 (22%) employed a life-course ("LC") approach or tested for lagged effects, 6 (13%) included measures of the mechanisms ("MM") hypothesized to connect political input to health inequities, 26 (58%) assessed both relative and absolute ("RA") health inequities (with the remainder typically focusing only on relative inequities), and 17 (38%) employed a multilevel ("ML") framework or analysis. Only 1 study addressed birth cohort effects. Moreover, 38 of the 45 articles (84%) focused on countries in the "global North"—that is, European nations (Western, Northern, Southern, and Eastern), North American nations (United States and Canada), New Zealand, Australia, and Japan.

Transition to capitalism

Among the 9 studies testing the hypothesis that (classbased) health inequities would grow during the period immediately following a transition to capitalism (a variant of hypothesis 1.3 in Table 1), 8 found supportive evidence pertaining to growing relative or absolute education-related health inequities (Table 2). Outcomes for these 8 studies included: for Russia, overall and cause-specific mortality (91), with 1 study finding evidence against the hypothesis that this was driven by growing inequality in alcohol consumption (92); and, for Poland, East Germany, Estonia, the Czech Republic, and Lithuania, premature mortality (93), unhealthy housing conditions for children (94), life expectancy (95, 96), birth weight and preterm delivery (97), and all-cause mortality (96, 98). The 1 study with negative findings focused on self-rated health in Estonia, Latvia, and Lithuania, with Finland serving as a control (99).

Neoliberal restructuring

Eight studies listed in Table 2 tested hypotheses regarding the health inequities impact of the neoliberal (marketoriented) political and economic reforms of the 1980s and 1990s (per hypotheses 1.3, 2.1, and 2.2 in Table 1). Four of these focused on mortality—of which 3 found that neoliberal reforms were associated with increased health inequities, including 2 New Zealand studies on educationand income-based relative disparities in adult mortality rates (100) and child mortality (101) and a US study on relative and absolute income and racial/ethnic inequities in premature mortality and infant mortality (102). By contrast, 1 study found that, at least for premature mortality, relative health inequity in New Zealand during its period of neoliberal reform did not increase more than it did in Denmark, Finland, and Norway (103). Among the 4 studies that focused on nonmortality outcomes, 1 in New Zealand found evidence of post-neoliberal reform increases in Maori-European relative and absolute inequality in the dental caries experience of children (104), whereas the 3 studies with self-rated health as the outcome, all Scandinavian, found stable education- and gender-based relative and absolute inequalities during the period of neoliberal reforms, as evident in Sweden (105), Norway (106), and Finland (107).

Downloaded from https://academic.oup.com/epirev/article/31/1/152/462254 by guest on 21 August 2022

 Table 1. Political Sociology Theoretical Frameworks for Analyzing Political Determinants of Health Inequities: Tenets, Hypotheses, and Data Needs

Theoretical Framework ^a	Central Claim(s) and Theme(s)	Hypotheses	Implications for Data
1. Welfare regimes	The welfare regime is a system of stratification that (variably) decommodifies labor and reinforces	1.1. If similar welfare states produce similar systems of inequality, then health inequity, especially according to class, should cluster by regime.	Requires cross-national comparisons of consistent measures of health inequity, class position, and welfare regime.
	other social inequalities; welfare states cluster into distinct regimes.	1.2. If the welfare state decommodifies health as well as labor, then there should be a weaker connection between class and health in highly decommodifying regimes.	
		 The retrenchment of the welfare state should drive the expansion of class-based health inequities. 	
		1.4. In conservative welfare states that reinforce traditional gender roles, gender inequities in health should be higher than those in gender-egalitarian welfare states.	
2. Power constellations	Political parties translate class- and ascription-based social cleavages into policy.	2.1. If left party incumbency directly generates a flatter social hierarchy, then left party incumbency should be associated with lower levels of health inequities (especially class-based inequities).	Requires longitudinal and cross-national comparisons using consistent measures of political party, class position, and measures of subordination (e.g., by race/ethnicity).
		2.2. Conversely, if right party incumbency is associated with higher levels of social inequality and poverty, then rightward political shifts should bring greater health inequity.	
		2.3. The conjuncture of left party incumbency with democratic polity should be associated with lower levels of (class-based) health inequity.	
		2.4. The political mobilization and representation of groups subordinated in relation to race/ethnicity, gender, and sexuality should be associated with a decline of their corresponding health inequities.	
3. Varieties of capitalism	Social welfare policies can confer comparative advantages to firms in international markets, and employers play a central role in social policy.	3.1. Health policy is 1 dimension of the welfare state that may provide comparative advantages to firms operating in international markets, so that utilization-based health inequities are lower in coordinated market economies.	Requires cross-national and longitudinal data on firms embedded in international markets, a range of dimensions of social inequality, and measures of coordination and liberalism in economic
		3.2. Coordinated market economies have different effects on gender inequality and class inequality, so that class-based health inequities should shrink under coordinated capitalism, while gender-based health inequities should not differ between coordinated and liberal market economies.	regulations and social provisions.
		3.3. Coordinated market economies insure against health risks as part of the protection of skill formation, creating a positive association among wage coordination, vocational training, and health inequity.	

 Political-institutionalism of inequality 	Political-institutionalism Political institutions and social of inequality policies have distributive implications.	4.1. The expansion of mass incarceration policy in the United States is associated with increasing black-white health inequities.	Requires longitudinal data on institutionalized populations and panel data during and after expansion of educational systems.
		4.2. Qualitative differentiation in mass educational systems (and expansion of schooling in developing systems) strengthens the association between social origins and health.	
5. Social movements		5.1. Mobilization affects social policy through its effects on the state.	Requires data on activists and nonactivists and the actions of social movement organizations.
	as movement participants and have lasting effects on political culture.	5.2. Mobilization affects social policy through its long-term impact on movement activists.	
		5.3. Mobilization affects social policy through its effects on public opinion and political culture.	
		5.4. Mobilization affects social policy through its effects on social integration (social capital).	

^a See text for references. These hypotheses, although collectively an important first step, are of course not exhaustive of the range of hypotheses that could be drawn from theoretical synthesis of political sociology and social epidemiology. Note, for instance, the rich theoretical traditions on political culture, state-centered institutionalism, rational choice, revolution, state ormation, and globalization that should also be mined for novel hypotheses on the politics of health disparities (66, 170)

Welfare state

Investigations concerned with the implications of the welfare state for health inequity comprised the bulk of the studies in Table 2 (23 of 45; 51%) and offered deeply divergent findings. Underscoring the possibility of different effects of "welfare state" arrangements (i.e., social rights conferred on the basis of citizenship rather than market position) on health inequities, we categorized these 23 studies according to 3 themes: 1) the effect of the health system itself on health inequities (9 studies); 2) the effect of welfare-state policy domains that lie outside health insurance, the medical system, and public health (11 studies; 10 as listed under this subheading, plus the study by Krieger et al. (102)); and 3) the effect of welfare regime type on health inequities (3 studies).

Among the 9 studies on the effect of the health-policy dimension of the welfare state, 5 provided evidence that enhancement of welfare-state provisions reduced relative health inequities: 1) 2 studies using Canadian data linking establishment of Canada's national health insurance plan to decreased income-based relative inequities in mortality due to conditions amenable to medical treatment (108, 109); 2) an investigation showing that increased public health spending in poor countries was associated with decreasing relative wealth inequality in child mortality (110); and 3) 2 Brazilian studies documenting that expansion of health-related infrastructure investments brought down relative and absolute economic inequality in infant and child mortality (111, 112). A sixth study, however, found that establishment of the Australian national health care system was simultaneously associated with increased relative—but decreased absolute—socioeconomic inequalities in avoidable mortality (113), while a seventh study observed that educationbased inequality in acquired immunodeficiency syndrome mortality remained stable after highly active antiretroviral therapy was made freely available in Barcelona, Spain (114). Additionally, 2 studies that focused on Western Europe, where the welfare state has seen its most advanced expression, reported that enhancement of welfare-state health systems did not translate to reduced health inequities: 1 in Norway, on postneonatal mortality (115), and 1 comparing class inequality in infant mortality in the United Kingdom and Sweden (116).

Conversely, among the 11 European and US studies concerned with whether welfare-state policies outside the health domain counteract the effects of the market and other social forces in producing health inequality (Table 2), 5 investigations offered suggestive evidence that strong welfare states and generous social policies can dampen social inequities in health. First, a US study found that relative and absolute socioeconomic inequities in premature mortality and infant mortality, especially among populations of color, were at their lowest following the 1960s "War on Poverty," the enactment of civil rights legislation, and the growth of the US welfare state, with these gains being reversed by subsequent neoliberal reforms (102). Second, in a cross-national comparative study, Olafsdottir (42) reported that current relative socioeconomic inequalities in self-rated health are lower in social democratic Iceland than in the United States. A third study documented that relative education- and income-based

Table 2. Results From Quantitative Studies (n = 45) Analyzing Whether Variation in the Magnitude of Health Inequities Is Associated With Variation in Political Systems or Priorities, 1992-2008

Author(s) and	Study Aim(s)	Study Bonulation	Political	Health Outcomes	Voy Findings			Study	Characte	ristics		
Year (Ref. No.)	Study Aim(s)	Study Population	Determinants	Health Outcomes	Key Findings	MDI	CE	LC	ММ	RA	ML	GN
			Transition	to a Capitalist Economy								
Malyutina et al., 2004 (92)	Test the a priori hypothesis that inequality in alcohol consumption increases with transition to capitalism	Adults aged 25-64 years in Novosibirsk, Russia, 1985/ 1986, 1988/1989, and 1994/1995	Transition to capitalism	4 measures of prevalence and severity of alcohol consumption	All groups consumed more alcohol after the transition, but absolute inequality in alcohol consumption increased; the contribution of alcohol consumption to mortality differentials was modest, by inference	Y	Y	N	N	Υ	N	Y
Shkolnikov et al., 1998 (91)	Describe changing health inequalities	Adults aged 20–69 years in Russia, 1979– 1994	Transition to capitalism	Age-standardized all-cause and cause-specific mortality rates	Education-based inequities in the Soviet Union were as large as those in the West, but relative and absolute inequities grew strongly during the transition into the early 1990s	N	N	N	N	Υ	N	Y
Kolodziej et al., 2007 (93)	Describe changing health inequalities	Adult urban dwellers aged 35– 64 years, Poland, 1988–1989 and 2001–2002	Transition to capitalism	Premature mortality	Educational gradient in premature mortality steepened with the transition to capitalism in Poland (in relative but not absolute terms) and was steeper for men than for women	N	N	N	N	Y	N	Y
du Prel et al., 2005 (94)	Test the a priori hypothesis that the transition from socialism to a social market economy in East Germany alters inequity in living conditions	6-year-olds first entering school in 3 cities and 5 small towns in East Germany, 1991–2000	Transition to capitalism, reunification with West Germany	Damp housing, single-oven heating, living on a busy road	Education-based relative inequalities in health-related living conditions remained the same or increased, with few exceptions	N	N	N	N	N	N	Υ
Leinsalu et al., 2003 (95)	Describe changing health inequalities	Adults aged ≥20 years in Estonia, 1987– 1990 and 1999– 2000	Rapid transition to capitalism (including low unemployment benefits, free trade, and cuts in welfare benefits)	Life expectancy at age 25 years and all-cause and cause-specific mortality	Education-based relative and absolute health inequity increased during the transition to capitalism	N	N	N	N	Y	N	Y
Koupilova et al., 2000 (97)	Describe changing health inequalities	All livebirths occurring in Estonia, 1992– 1997	Rapid transition to capitalism	Birth weight and preterm delivery	Education-based absolute inequality in birth weight grew during the transition to capitalism, while relative inequalities of nationality and maternal marital status in preterm birth remained nearly constant	Y	Y	N	N	Y	N	Y

Shkolnikov et al., 2006 (96)	Describe changing health inequalities	Czech Republic, Estonia, Russian Federation, Finland (as control), 1988– 1989 and 1998– 1999	Transition to capitalism	Life expectancy at birth	Equitability (in education-based absolute health inequity) of the transition to capitalism varied, with a more equitable transition in the Czech Republic than in Russia and Estonia	N	N	N	N	N	Y	Y
Helasoja et al., 2006 (99)	Test the a priori hypothesis that education-based health inequities grow with the transition to capitalism	Adults aged 20–64 years in Estonia, Latvia, Lithuania, and Finland, 1994– 2004	Baltic countries' transition to capitalism	Self-rated health, diagnosed diseases, and symptoms	Relative and absolute inequality (by education) was stable in all 4 countries	N	N	N	N	Y	Y	Υ
Kalediene and Petrauskiene, 2005 (98)	Describe changing health inequalities	Adults aged ≥25 years in Lithuania, 1989 and 2001	Lithuania's establishment as an independent, capitalist state	Mortality	Transition to capitalism has favored the highly educated, generating expanded absolute health inequities since 1989	N	N	N	N	N	N	Υ
			Neoliberal Poli	tical and Economic Ref	orms							
Blakely et al., 2008 (100)	Test the a priori hypothesis that health inequity covaries with neoliberal reforms	New Zealand, 1981, 1986, 1991, 1996, and 2001	Neoliberal reforms (including changes in the tax system, welfare programs, labor market, and privatization)	Mortality (all-cause, cardiovascular, and cancer)	Income-based health inequity remained stable in absolute terms but increased in relative terms, along with neoliberal structural reforms, and the reversal of some neoliberal policies reversed the relative increase	N	N	N	N	Y	N	Y
Shaw et al., 2005 (101)	Test the a priori hypothesis that socioeconomic health inequities rise with neoliberal reforms	Children aged <15 years in New Zealand, 1981, 1986, 1991, and 1996	Neoliberal reforms in New Zealand (see Blakely et al., 2008 (100))	Child mortality	Income-based relative inequalities in child mortality rose with structural reform in New Zealand, but absolute inequity and other bases of inequities did not change	Υ	Y	N	N	Y	N	Y
Thomson et al., 2002 (104)	Test the a priori hypothesis that neoliberal reforms in New Zealand increase socioeconomic and Maori-European inequalities	5-year-olds in Wellington, New Zealand, 1995– 2000	Neoliberal reforms (reduction in welfare benefits, marketization of public housing, flexibilization of the labor market)	Prevalence and severity of dental caries	Maori versus European relative inequity (prevalence ratio) and absolute inequity (severity difference) grew over time	Υ	N	Υ	N	Y	N	Υ
Fawcett et al., 2005 (103)	Test the a priori hypothesis that health inequity covaries with neoliberal reforms	Persons aged 30– 59 years in New Zealand, Denmark, Finland, and Norway, 1981– 1996 (various years)	Neoliberal reforms in New Zealand (see Blakely et al., 2008 (100))	Premature (age <60 years) mortality	Relative health inequity grew in New Zealand during a period of neoliberal reform, but absolute inequity was stable and not greater than in Nordic countries	N	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y

Author(s) and	Study Aim(s)	Study Population	Political	Health Outcomes	Key Findings			Study	Characte	eristics ^a		
Year (Ref. No.)	Study Alm(s)	Study Population	Determinants	nealth Outcomes	key rindings	MDI	CE	LC	MM	RA	ML	GN
Lundberg et al., 2001 (105)	Describe changing health inequalities in a period of political changes	Adults aged 25–64 years in Sweden	Welfare-state cutbacks (eligibility requirements, replacement levels), European Union membership, tax increases	Self-reported ill health and limiting long-standing illness	No change in sex-, age-, education-, class-, or employment-based relative and absolute health inequities after welfare-state cutbacks, European Union membership, and tax reforms	Y	Y	N	N	Y	N	Y
Dahl and Elstad, 2001 (106)	Describe changing health inequalities in a period of political changes	Adults aged 25–64 years in Norway, 1985–1995	Eligibility restrictions on welfare benefits	Self-reported ill health and limiting long-standing illness	No change in relative and absolute health inequities, 1985–1995	Y	Υ	N	N	Y	N	Y
Manderbacka et al., 2001 (107)	Describe changing health inequalities in a period of political changes	Adults aged 25–64 years in Finland, 1986–1994	General maintenance of universalist model of social provision (with some new restrictions)	Self-reported ill health and limiting long-standing illness	No change in health inequities for women but small declines in education- and employment-related inequalities for men, 1986–1999; no change in class-based inequalities	Y	Y	N	N	Y	N	Υ
Krieger et al., 2008 (102)	Test the a priori hypothesis that health inequities can grow or shrink in the context of declining population mortality rates	Persons under age 65 years, United States, 1960– 2002	Enactment of civil rights legislation and antipoverty legislation in the 1960s, followed by neoliberalism in the 1980s and 1990s	Premature (age <65 years) mortality and infant mortality	During a period of declining mortality rates, race- (black/white) and income-based relative and absolute inequities in premature mortality shrank during the 1960s and 1970s; then relative (but not absolute) inequities rose again thereafter	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y
				Welfare State	anoroano.							
Health system within the welfare state as a key driver of health inequities												
James et al., 2007 (109)	Describe changing income-based health inequity	Metropolitan areas in Canada, 1971, 1986, 1991, and 1996	Establishment of universal insurance for doctors (1968) and hospital services (1957)	Mortality (amenable vs. nonamenable causes)	Income-based absolute inequality in mortality amenable to medical care decreased substantially; absolute inequality in mortality amenable to public health increased somewhat	N	N	Y	Y	N	N	Y

Kunitz and Pesis-Katz, 2005 (108)	Test the a priori hypothesis that social policy explains the black-white health gap in the United States	United States and Canada, 1970– 2000	Welfare state (especially national health insurance)	Life expectancy and avoidable mortality	Legacy of slavery and racism translates into the (absolute) black-white health gap through the institutions of the welfare state (lack of enforcement of civil rights laws, segregation, lack of universal health insurance)	N	N	Υ	N	N	Y	Y
Korda et al., 2007 (113)	Test the a priori hypothesis that the Australian health care system reduces socioeconomic inequity in avoidable mortality	Australians aged ≤74 years, 1986, 1991, 1996, and 2001	Australian health care system (Medicare)	Avoidable and nonavoidable mortality	Health care brings down absolute socioeconomic health inequity but increases relative inequity	N	N	N	Υ	Υ	N	Y
Arntzen et al., 1996 (115)	With the expansion of the Norwegian welfare state, the association between maternal education and postneonatal mortality disappears	Survivors of neonatal period in Norway, 1968– 1991	Norwegian welfare state (standards of living, medical care, housing)	Postneonatal mortality	Education-based relative and absolute inequalities have grown, despite expansion of welfare state in Norway	N	N	N	N	Υ	N	Y
Leon et al., 1992 (116)	Test the a priori hypothesis that class-based inequity in infant mortality is reduced by the Swedish welfare state	All livebirths in Sweden, England, and Wales, mid- 1980s	Universalist Swedish welfare state and health care system	Neonatal mortality and postneonatal mortality	Relative health inequalities (manual vs. nonmanual) were approximately the same in the United Kingdom and Sweden, suggesting a lack of effect of the Swedish social welfare state (although absolute inequalities were lower in Sweden)	N	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y
Borrell et al., 2006 (114)	Test the a priori hypothesis that after HAART is made freely available, socioeconomic inequality in AIDS mortality narrows	Adults aged ≥19 years in Barcelona, Spain, 1991–2001	Introduction of free HAART	AIDS mortality	Education-based relative inequalities before and after the introduction of HAART were stable	Υ	N	N	N	Y	N	Y

Table 2. Continued

Author(s) and	Objective At 11 (1)	Objects December 1977	Political	Haaliib C. to con-	V. Eletter			Study (Characte	ristics ^a		
Year (Ref. No.)	Study Aim(s)	Study Population	Determinants	Health Outcomes	Key Findings	MDI	CE	LC	ММ	RA	ML	GN
Houweling et al., 2006 (110)	Test the a priori hypothesis that state strength and democracy are positively associated with the health of the poor, while socioeconomic development is positively associated with the health of the rich	43 developing countries, 1990– 1998	Public spending on health, democracy, state strength	Child (age <5 years) mortality	Economic growth expands wealth-based relative inequality in child mortality; public health spending decreases wealth-based relative inequality in child mortality	N	Y	N	Y	N	Y	N
Sastry, 2004 (111)	Describe changing health inequalities	Children born to women aged 20— 34 years in Sao Paulo, Brazil, 1970, 1980, and 1991 (census sample data)	Post-1973 investments by Brazilian government in infrastructure (water supply, sanitation system, immunizations, health centers)	Infant and child mortality	Wealth-based inequality decreased but (maternal) education- based inequality increased in relative (but not absolute) terms	Y	Υ	N	N	Υ	N	N
Victora et al., 2000 (112)	Test the inverse- equity hypothesis that public health interventions benefit the rich first, resulting in growing and then declining health inequity over time	Children in the states of Ceara and Pelotas, Brazil, 1980s– 1990s	A range of public health interventions (monitoring, promotion of health behaviors, community health workers, etc.)	Birth weight, infant mortality, immunizations, breastfeeding duration	Relative inequality at first grows and then declines with public health interventions that reduce absolute inequality	N	N	Y	N	Y	N	N
Other welfare- state policies outside the health domain as buffers for social inequities that drive health inequities												
Kunst et al., 2005 (117)	Describe changing health inequalities	Adults aged 25–69 years in Finland, Sweden, Norway, Denmark, England, the Netherlands, West Germany, Austria, Italy, and Spain; 1980s and 1990s	Welfare state (argued to buffer the effects of economic crises and increases in income inequality)	Self-assessed health	Education- and income- based relative and absolute health inequities were mostly stable over time, with decreases in Nordic countries and increases for Spain, Italy, and the Netherlands; Nordic welfare states were protective	Y	Y	N	N	Y	Y	Υ
Cavelaars et al., 1998 (120)	Describe cross- national differences in health inequalities	Adults aged 25–69 years in 11 Western European countries, 1985– 1993	Welfare states	4 measures of morbidity	Expansive welfare states in the Nordic countries do not exhibit less education-based relative health inequality	N	N	N	N	N	Y	Υ

Olafsdottir, 2007 (42)	Test the a priori hypothesis that the relation between disadvantaged socioeconomic position and self- rated health is weaker in Iceland than in the United States	Adults in the United States (ages 18– 89 years) and Iceland (ages 18– 75 years), 1998	Welfare state	Self-rated health	Health inequality (relative and absolute) exists in the United States and Iceland, but affluence matters more in the United States and parental status matters more in Iceland	Y	Y	N	N	Y	Y	Y
Regidor et al., 2006 (123)	Describe changing health inequalities	Adults aged 25–74 years in Spain, 1985–1986, 1999, and 2000–2001	Investments in Spanish regions and other European Union investments that reduced regional economic inequality and raised Spain's gross domestic product per capita	Disability and mortality	Although income inequality decreased in Spain (attributed in part to European Union investments), incomebased relative and absolute health inequities grew	N	N	N	N	Υ	N	Y
Martikainen et al., 2007 (124)	Describe changing health inequalities	Adults aged 30–59 years in Finland, 1971–2000	Unspecified changes in labor markets and educational systems	Mortality	Class- and education- based relative inequality in mortality has grown in Finland; the authors attributed this to education and labor market policies	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	Y
Burström, 2003 (119)	Test the a priori hypothesis that inequalities in infant mortality declined as the Swedish welfare state was constructed over the course of the 20th century	Livebirths, Sweden, 1881–2000	Construction of the Swedish welfare state	Infant mortality	Decline in relative health inequality according to family status and urban/rural residence attributed to construction of the Swedish welfare state	Y	N	N	N	N	N	Y
Elstad, 1996 (125)	Test the a priori hypothesis that marital-status-based inequality in mental health decreased, parental-status-based inequality remained stable, and employment-status-based inequality increased	Women aged 31– 60 years in Norway, 1968– 1991	Increased welfare- state support for lone mothers and families with children, expansion of pension and disability benefits, and reductions in the number of hours in the standard workweek	Limiting long- standing illness	Employment-status- based absolute health inequality increased, partly because of work/ family policies	Y	Y	N	N	N	N	Y

Table 2. Continued

Author(s) and	Study Aim(s)	Study Population	Political	Health Outcomes	Key Findings	Study Characteristics ^a								
Year (Ref. No.)	Study Allii(S)	Study Population	Determinants	nealth Outcomes	Key Findings	MDI	CE	LC	ММ	RA	ML	GN		
Fritzell et al., 2007 (118)	Test the a priori hypothesis that welfare state changes and other structural shifts deepen the health disadvantage of lone motherhood	3 cohorts of mothers aged 20– 54 years in Sweden, 1985, 1990, and 1996	Reduction in welfare benefits	Self-rated health, limiting long- standing illness, hospitalization, all-cause and cause-specific mortality	Relative difference between lone and coupled mothers was constant over time, despite welfare-state changes in Sweden; authors concluded that the Swedish welfare state buffers economic pressure, despite cuts	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y		
Lahelma et al., 2002 (121)	Test the a priori hypothesis that the role strain of lone mothers is stronger in Finland, whereas multiple attachment is weaker for unemployed lone mothers in Britain	Adult women aged 20–49 years in Finland and Britain, 1994	Welfare state (liberal Britain vs. social democratic Finland)	Self-assessed health and limiting long-standing illness	Finnish welfare state does not dampen the relative health disadvantage of single lone mothers	Υ	Y	N	N	N	Y	Y		
Arber and Lahelma, 1993 (122)	Test the a priori hypotheses that class inequality is stronger for men than for women, Finnish women exhibit more inequality than British women, and lone motherhood is more strongly associated with ill health in Britain	Adults aged 20–64 years in Britain and Finland, 1985–1986	Liberal (British) versus social democratic (Finnish) welfare states	Limiting long- standing illness	Relative class-based health inequality was greater in Finland than in the United Kingdom and greater for men than for women; traditional gender roles were more strongly associated with ill health in Britain than in Finland	Y	Y	N	N	N	Y	Y		
Velfare regimes														
Zambon et al., 2006 (126)	Test the a priori hypothesis that redistributive policies reduce the association between health and socioeconomic position	Adolescents (boys and girls aged 11, 13, and 15 years) in Israel and 32 countries in Europe and North America, 2001– 2002	Esping-Andersen (69) welfare regime types	Self-rated health, symptoms, and health behaviors	Welfare regime moderates the effect of family affluence on health: relative class effect was lowest in social democratic and conservative regimes, higher in liberal regimes	N	N	N	N	N	Y	Y		

Eikemo et al., 2008 (29)	Test the a priori hypothesis that welfare regimes pattern relative and absolute health inequalities	Persons aged ≥18 years in 23 European countries, 2002 and 2004	Welfare state regime type	Self-assessed health and limiting long-standing illness	Education-based relative and absolute health inequities are patterned by welfare regime, with Southern European regimes exhibiting the most inequality, Bismarckian regimes the least, and Anglo-Saxon, Eastern European, and Scandinavian regimes in-between levels (with surprisingly high inequality in Scandinavia)	N	N	N	N	Y	Y	Y
Martikainen et al., 2004 (127)	Test the a priori hypothesis that welfare regimes shape health inequity through economic redistribution, social cohesion, and labor-market (de-) segregation	Adult public employees aged 40–60 years in Britain, Finland, and Japan during various years in the 1990s and early 2000s	Welfare regime (liberal, social democratic, conservative)	Self-assessed health and physical functioning	Class-based relative inequities were similar across welfare state regimes among men but differed for women	N	N	N	N	N	Y	Y
		Political Incorporation	of Subordinated Groups (in Relation to Race/Ethi	nicity, Indigenous Status, and	Gender)						
Palma-Solis et al., 2008 (132)	Describe associations between various political factors and gender- unequal health outcomes	61 countries, various years between 1990 and 1999	Government expenditure per capita, civil liberties and political rights index, percentage of girls in primary education, gender ratio in primary and secondary education, number of parliamentary seats held by women	Femicide and intimate partner violence	Government expenditure and women's political representation were negatively associated with rates of femicide	N	N	N	N	N	N	N
Houweling et al., 2007 (133)	Test the a priori hypothesis that education-based inequality in child mortality grows as the overall child mortality rate declines	Children aged <5 years in Sri Lanka, 1987, 1993, and 2000 (and a comparison set of 49 countries)	Female autonomy, health care	Child (age <5 years) mortality	Education-based inequalities in health care were related to inequalities in female autonomy and maternal education; relative inequality grew over time (but absolute inequality shrank), in parallel with growing inequality in health care	N	N	N	Y	Y	N	N

Table 2. Continued

Author(s) and	Ohordor Alice (a)	Otrodo Barrolatian	Political	Haalib Outramas	Var. Findings			Study (Characte	ristics ^a		
Year (Ref. No.)	Study Aim(s)	Study Population	Determinants	Health Outcomes	Key Findings	MDI	CE	LC	ММ	RA	ML	GN
Krieger et al., 2008 (102) (see previous entry above)	Test the a priori hypothesis that health inequities can grow or shrink in the context of declining population mortality rates	Persons under age 65 years in the United States, 1960–2002	Enactment of civil rights and antipoverty legislation in the 1960s, followed by neoliberalism in the 1980s and 1990s	Premature (age <65 years) mortality and infant mortality	During a period of declining mortality rates, race- (black/white) and income-based relative and absolute inequities in premature mortality shrank during the 1960s and 1970s; then relative (but not absolute) inequities rose again during and after the 1980s	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	Y	Y
Burgard and Treiman, 2006 (128)	Test the a priori hypothesis that postapartheid efforts at alleviating effects of racist policies reduce racial inequality in infant mortality	Women aged 18– 49 years in South Africa, 1987–1989 and 1998	Several postapartheid social programs, including sanitation, medical infrastructure, and free targeted medical care	Infant mortality	Policies aimed at reducing racial inequality in South Africa have not closed the race-based relative gap in infant mortality	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	Y	N
Cameron, 2003 (129)	Test the a priori hypothesis that postapartheid efforts at alleviating effects of racist policies reduce racial inequality in growth during infancy	≥4,000 children born in Soweto and Johannesburg, South Africa, 1990–1999	Housing and health programs (delayed by debt crisis)	Child height and weight	Absolute white-black differences did not narrow over time, despite political changes in South Africa	N	N	Y	N	N	N	N
Nannan et al., 2007 (130)	Explore changing inequality in the postapartheid context	Infants and children in South Africa, 1970s–1990s	Pro-poor policies in postapartheid South Africa	Child and infant mortality	Inequities in child and infant mortality declined between the 1970s and 1990s, in both relative and absolute terms	Y	Υ	Υ	N	Υ	N	N
Freemantle et al., 2006 (131)	Test the a priori hypothesis that disparity between Aboriginals and non-Aboriginals declines with pro- Aboriginal policies	Aboriginal and non- Aboriginal populations in Western Australia, 1980– 2001 (infants)	Expansion of neonatal care and transport and improvement of intensive-care facilities	Infant mortality	Increasing Aboriginal versus non-Aboriginal relative disparities, attributed to the failure of policies to address Aboriginal health disadvantages	N	N	N	N	N	Y	Y

Abbreviations: AIDS, acquired immunodeficiency syndrome; HAART, highly active antiretroviral therapy; N, no; Y, yes.

a MDI, study considered multiple dimensions of inequality (health outcomes or aspects of inequality); CE, study's design allowed for contradictory effects; LC, study examined life-course processes or lagged effects; MM, study incorporated measures of theorized mechanisms; RA, study addressed relative and absolute health inequity; ML, study employed a multilevel framework or analysis strategy; GN, study sample was limited to the global North.

health inequalities grew less in Nordic countries than elsewhere in Europe (117). Finally, 2 Swedish studies found protective effects of the welfare state on infant and maternal health (118, 119). Even so, 2 European studies found that countries with different degrees of welfare-state provisions nevertheless had similar patterns of health inequities: 1 investigation compared 11 European countries on 4 measures of morbidity and observed that the Nordic countries did not have less relative education-based health inequality than the remaining non-social-democratic states (120), while in another, investigators reported that the magnitudes of health inequities for single mothers versus married mothers were similar for self-assessed health and limiting long-standing illness in Finland and Britain, despite the 2 countries' different policy provisions for single mothers (121, 122). Additionally, investigators in 3 studies reported increases in health inequities following expansion of the welfare state in Spain (123), Finland (124), and Norway (125).

Only 3 studies, all based in the global North, explicitly tested hypotheses regarding the impact of welfare regime type on health inequality (per hypotheses 1.1–1.4 in Table 1). All 3 focused on education- and class-based relative or absolute inequities in self-rated health, limiting longstanding illness, or self-reports of physical functioning. In 1 study, investigators reported that associations between affluence and health were greater in liberal welfare states (e.g., the United States and the United Kingdom) than in social democratic (e.g., Sweden) and conservative (e.g., Germany) welfare states (126); in another, by contrast, researchers found that relative education-based health inequities were highest in both Southern Europe and, surprisingly, Scandinavia (29); and in the third, investigators reported that the observed relative class-based health inequities were more similar across regimes for men than for women (127).

Political incorporation of subordinated groups

Finally, only 7 studies examined whether political incorporation was associated with the magnitude of health inequities (per hypotheses 2.4 and 5.1–5.4 of Table 1), of which 6 found that—assuming use of an appropriately long time frame—increased political incorporation was associated with reductions in relative and in some cases absolute health inequities. With regard to racial/ethnic inequities, the previously mentioned US study found sharp reductions following the 1960s "War on Poverty" and enactment of civil rights legislation (102). By contrast, investigators in 2 studies reported that the dismantling of apartheid in South Africa in the post-1990 period was not associated with reductions in racial/ethnic inequities in physical growth in infancy or infant mortality (128, 129); in a third study, expanding the time frame back to 1970 showed that racial/ethnic disparities in South African infant and child mortality have declined (130). In the case of indigenous populations, research in New Zealand found that Maori-European relative and absolute health inequities widened following neoliberal reforms (104) and also that Aboriginal health disparities in Australia grew during a period of policy inattention (131). Additionally, in the case of gender, 1 recent analysis of 61 countries found that gains in women's political representation (e.g.,

election of women to the national parliament) were associated with lower rates of femicide (conceptualized as an extreme form of patriarchal repression) (132), and a separate analysis of 51 countries reported that increases in female autonomy and maternal education reduced socioeconomic inequalities in child mortality (133).

DISCUSSION

Our central finding is that while there is no simple or single relation between type of state, political priorities, and the magnitude of health inequities, there nevertheless are common threads. Among these are: 1) the transition to capitalism (as observed in the 1980s and 1990s in Central and Eastern Europe) has probably expanded relative education-based health inequities; 2) neoliberal (market-oriented) reforms have either exacerbated or entrenched existing relative and absolute health inequities, and certainly have not reduced them; 3) within wealthy nations, the association between the type of welfare state and the magnitude of health inequities appears to be weak, especially for educationbased inequity; and 4) democratic incorporation, if considered in relation to a long time frame, can lead to reduced relative and absolute health inequities.

Considered together, these modest results from this new literature imply that major changes to the status quo (and cross-polity differences in the status quo) can affect the magnitude of health inequities, for bad and for good. They also hint that determinants of the magnitude of health inequities may differ for rich societies versus poor societies, with the caveat that research comparing a large number of poorer and richer societies is just beginning (39).

Of course, any inferences based on the 45 studies we reviewed are constrained by important limitations in the extant research. In addition to most of the studies' being focused only on the global North, relatively few of the investigations explored multiple dimensions of social inequality, allowed for contradictory effects of politics and policy on health inequities, attended to life-course processes and lagged effects, incorporated measures of political mechanisms, assessed both relative and absolute inequalities, or employed multilevel techniques in the empirical analysis; only 1 considered birth cohort effects. The implication is that understanding of relations between political systems and health inequities would be improved by development and implementation of a systematic research agenda. We view the literature we reviewed as a promising point of departure.

Research agenda

The first task is theorizing: Before we can progress much further toward generating actionable and theoretically sound knowledge, we need to get the questions right. Here, we propose a theoretically informed research agenda—drawing on the social epidemiology and political sociology theories we have described, coupled with careful attention to: 1) spatiotemporal scale, level, and time frame (e.g., life course, historical generation), 2) choice of health outcomes, and 3) inclusion of polities, political determinants, and specification of political mechanisms—to address the enormous gaps in knowledge we identified. Although this agenda carries methodological implications, we focus our discussion below on the sorts of questions that should be addressed to fill the gaps in the literature we identified.

Spatiotemporal scale, level, and time frame. In conceptualizing the processes that translate politics to health inequities, ranging from macro-level political context to lived experiences and qualitative meanings (58, 134), we start by urging more rigorous theorizing about relevant spatiotemporal scales and levels (64). Embodiment takes time (7, 135, 136), yet very few of the 45 studies we reviewed took into account etiologic period, cumulative exposures, or lagged effects. Additional aspects of the "when" questions also needing more careful theorizing include birth cohort effects, life-course implications of the timing of exposures, and possible period effects, including such issues as radical disjunctures and tipping points. With regard to levels, theorizing needs to consider not only within- but also between-country processes, including those operating at the global level of the health distribution, since so much of "total world health inequality" is driven by growing international inequality in health (39, 137). Recognizing that the political factors that matter for international health inequities may or may not be the same ones that drive health inequity within nations (123, 138), research is needed on the role of inequality in access to and participation in global institutions (52, 139, 140) in generating and perpetuating the patterns of global health inequality. Complicating this task is the scarcity of crossnationally and longitudinally comparable data, such that part of the research agenda we are advocating includes investment in data collection and dissemination for monitoring health inequities, especially outside the set of rich countries typically featured in this research.

Choice of health outcomes. Existing research has also been relatively restricted in the range of health outcomes that have been analyzed. All-cause, premature, and less frequently cause-specific mortality, along with self-assessed health, dominate the empirical literature. In the case of mortality, more attention to etiologic period is warranted: Whereas deaths due to injuries, violence, and some causes of preventable death can probably be linked to temporally proximate or even concurrent conditions, others are likely to require consideration of longer lag times, as also shaped by birth cohort (89, 108, 141). Population-based data on somatic disease occurrence and health behaviors would be helpful for refining the picture (142), as would data on mental health (44, 143-145); as Sydenstricker (146) noted over 75 years ago, in answer to critics who claimed that the health consequences of the Great Depression were small because mortality rates barely budged, the first place to detect an association is not mortality but morbidity—which he and his colleagues found (147). Additionally, the limitations of relying exclusively on self-reported health status need greater emphasis, given concerns about both potentially incommensurable meanings and differential correlations with measured health status across social groups (148-150), despite the predictive power of self-reported health in some contexts (151, 152). Greater consideration also needs to be given to the selected outcome's baseline rate and to secular trends within social strata (e.g., rising or falling, including potentially even reversing, associ-

ations with social position, as has occurred with smoking and smoking-related diseases (58))—since all can affect the likelihood of detecting both cross-sectional differences and temporal changes in the magnitude of health inequities. In other words, more attention to theorizing about the diverse pathways of embodiment whereby politics translates into health inequities is needed, so that the partial patterns revealed by any 1 outcome in any given age group and birth cohort can be interpreted in context.

Inclusion of polities, political determinants. specification of political mechanisms. As our review of the nascent literature on the political production of health inequities makes clear, future work should include more polities from the global South. Doing so is critical in order to evaluate the generality of findings from the global North, evaluate untested hypotheses from Table 1, and fill in the empirical gaps, on both cross-sectional comparisons and historical trends, as identified by our review of the existing research.

Also striking is how the empirical literature to date has focused on a relatively narrow range of political determinants of health inequities, with most studies pursuing only a small handful of the admittedly small number of plausible hypotheses we sketch in Table 1. To expand the repertoire, researchers could take advantage of the progress made by political sociologists and others in measuring various aspects of the transition to capitalism, neoliberalism, the welfare state, and incorporation of subordinated groups (45, 56, 71, 153–155) and include these measures in quantitative models. Examples of more familiar welfare-state determinants that could be studied include: corporatist economic regulation (56), employment policy (especially the move toward part-time employment in many European countries (156, 157)), changes in pension policy, private social provisions, and shifts in the monetary regime (158), and decommodification and recommodification of labor (45, 69). Additional, less-considered determinants include: construction of regional political-economic structures like the European Union (53), trade liberalization (159, 160), war (161), human rights (162, 163), citizenship and migration policy and racialization of the state (164), and corporate regulation (165). Many of these policy changes can be connected to health through their impact on economic, racial/ethnic, gender, and sexuality-based inequality (166)—as well as other intersections of institutional arrangements and social inequalities (41). Use of political contextual analysis (167) could likewise inform richer choices of political determinants selected for inclusion in quantitative analyses.

It is also essential to examine how the political context matters for health inequity at various points in the distribution of social inequality. As Alderson et al. (50) noted, theories of the political (and economic) determinants of inequality imply change at different points of the (income) distribution, with some theories suggesting faster income growth among the rich and other theories predicting slower income growth among the poor. These ideas should be extended to understanding health inequity, because it is quite likely that the impact of politics and policy varies across the stratification structure of society. For example, welfare-state enhancements include not only universalistic programs, intended to be of benefit to all, but also programs directed toward those most harmed by social inequalityfor example, community health centers placed in impoverished neighborhoods, which presumably would contribute to improving health status only among persons accessing those services. Conversely, welfare-state retrenchments could be hypothesized simultaneously to harm the health of persons with fewer resources while improving the health of those with more resources (38, 39). The implication is that political systems may shape the magnitude of health inequities via different factors acting within and across different economic and social strata, as opposed to these inequities' being produced by 1 unitary "fundamental" "cause." Yet, as our review makes clear (see Table 2), too few studies include measures of mechanisms in their empirical models.

Conclusion

In summary, our reading of the theoretical and empirical literature on the political production of health inequity tells us that new research which combines the strengths of political sociology and social epidemiology is practically feasible, theoretically valuable, and policy-relevant. We already have substantial evidence that health inequity is neither natural nor inevitable but significantly the product of politics. As our literature search also reveals, the political determinants of health inequities are alterable, since people have changed them, for bad and for good, both from the "top down" and from the "bottom up." Consequently, to help promote health equity, the next step empirically is to refine the research questions and methods by specifying the "where," "when," "how," and "who" of the complex political processes producing health inequities. Of course, these questions inevitably raise thorny ideological issues (168, 169), to which a useful response is to specify the kinds of empirical evidence on falsifiable hypotheses that can inform these debates.

The ultimate value of the proposed research is that knowledge about the political predictors of health inequity is actionable, in the sense that it shows which political systems, priorities, and policies are productive in reducing health inequities and which are implicated in expanding such inequities. If the former policies themselves result in part from the mobilization of disempowered groups (e.g., the labor movement, the feminist movement, and the civil rights movement in the United States) and the latter from the mobilization of persons with power, then identification of these political predictors about the balance of power can inform discussions of strategies for reducing health inequities. Power, after all, is the heart of the matter—and the science of health inequities (169) can no more shy away from this question than can physicists ignore gravity or physicians ignore pain. To understand and alter the afflictions that fall upon the people, epidemiology and political sociology need each other—hence epi + demos + cracy.

Editor's note: References 171–298 are cited in the Web Table, which is posted on the Epidemiologic Reviews Web site (http://epirev.oxfordjournals.org/).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Author affiliations: Department of Sociology, Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts (Jason Beckfield); and Department of Society, Human Development, and Health, School of Public Health, Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts (Nancy Krieger).

No grants or agencies supported this work.

The authors are grateful to Drs. Jeremy Freese, Peter Marsden, Sigrun Olafsdottir, and Jocelyn Viterna for helpful discussions.

Authorship is in alphabetical order; both authors contributed equally to conceptualizing, researching, and writing the

Conflict of interest: none declared.

REFERENCES

- 1. Lloyd G. *Hippocratic Writings*. London, United Kingdom: Penguin Books; 1983.
- 2. Oxford University Press. Oxford English Dictionary. 11th ed, rev. Oxford, United Kingdom: Oxford University Press;
- 3. Murray O. Early Greece. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press: 1993.
- 4. Austin MM, Vidal-Naquet P. Economic and Social History of Ancient Greece: An Introduction. Berkeley, CA: University of California Press; 1977.
- 5. Sigerist H. A History of Medicine. Volume II: Early Greek, Hindu and Persian Medicine. New York, NY: Oxford University Press; 1961.
- 6. Lundberg O. Commentary: politics and public health—some conceptual considerations concerning welfare state characteristics and public health outcomes. Int J Epidemiol. 2008; 37(5):1105-1108.
- 7. Krieger N. Embodying Inequality: Epidemiologic Perspectives. Amityville, NY: Baywood Publishing Company, Inc;
- 8. Starfield B. State of the art in research on equity in health. J Health Polit Policy Law. 2006;31(1):11-32.
- 9. Kaplan GA. Health inequalities and the welfare state: perspectives from social epidemiology. Nor Epidemiol. 2007; 17(1):9–20.
- 10. Bambra C, Fox D, Scott-Samuel A. Towards a politics of health. Health Promot Int. 2005;20(2):187-193.
- 11. Bambra C. Going beyond the three worlds of welfare capitalism: regime theory and public health research. J Epidemiol Community Health. 2007;61(12):1098-1102.
- 12. Eikemo TA, Bambra C. The welfare state: a glossary for public health. J Epidemiol Community Health. 2008;62(1):3-6.
- 13. Hofrichter R, ed. Health and Social Justice: Politics, Ideology and Inequity in the Distribution of Disease. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass; 2003.
- 14. Tesh S. Hidden Arguments: Political Ideology and Disease Prevention Policy. New Brunswick, NJ: Rutgers University Press; 1988.
- 15. Braveman P, Tarimo E. Social inequalities in health within countries: not only an issue for affluent nations. Soc Sci Med. 2002;54(11):1621-1635.
- 16. Kim JY, Millen JV, Irwin A, et al, eds. Dying for Growth: Global Inequality and the Health of the Poor. Monroe, ME: Common Courage Press; 2000.

- 17. Wilkinson RG. The Impact of Inequality: How to Make Sick Societies Healthier. New York, NY: The Free Press; 2005.
- 18. Doyal L. The Political Economy of Health. London, United Kingdom: Pluto Press; 1979.
- 19. Franco S, Nunes E, Breilh J, et al, eds. Debates en Medicina Social. Quito, Ecuador: Organizacion Panamericana de la Salus-Asociation LatinoAmericana de Medicina Social; 1991.
- 20. Ferreira JR, Godue C, Rodriguez MI, eds. International Health: A North-South Debate. Washington, DC: Pan American Health Organization: 1992.
- 21. Benach J, Muntaner C. Aprender a Mirar la Salud: Como la Desigualdad Social Dana Nuestra Salud. Mataro, Spain: El Viejo Topo; 2005.
- 22. Blakely T, Subramanian SV. Multilevel studies. In: Oakes JM, Kaufman JS, eds. Methods in Social Epidemiology. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass; 2006:316-340.
- 23. Goldstein H. Multilevel Statistical Models. London, United Kingdom: Arnold Publishers: 2003.
- 24. Berkman L, Kawachi I, eds. Social Epidemiology. New York, NY: Oxford University Press; 2000.
- 25. Navarro V, ed. The Political Economy of Social Inequalities: Consequences for Health and Quality of Life. Amityville, NY: Baywood Publishing Company, Inc; 2002.
- 26. Navarro V, ed. The Political and Social Contexts of Health. Amityville, NY: Baywood Publishing Company, Inc; 2004.
- 27. Navarro V, ed. Neoliberalism, Globalization, and Inequalities: Consequences for Health and Quality of Life. Amityville, NY: Baywood Publishing Company, Inc; 2007.
- 28. Navarro V, Muntaner C. Political and Economic Determinants of Population Health and Well-Being: Controversies and Developments. Amityville, NY: Baywood Publishing Company, Inc; 2004.
- 29. Eikemo TA, Huisman M, Bambra C, et al. Health inequalities according to educational level in different welfare regimes: a comparison of 23 European countries. Sociol Health Illn. 2008;30(4):565-582.
- 30. Chung HJ, Muntaner C. Welfare regime types and global health: an emerging challenge. J Epidemiol Community Health. 2008;62(4):282-283.
- 31. Borrell C, Espelt A, Rodriguez-Sanz M, et al. Politics and health. J Epidemiol Community Health. 2007;61(8):658–659.
- 32. Siddiqi A, Hertzman C. Towards an epidemiological understanding of the effects of long-term institutional changes on population health: a case study of Canada versus the USA. Soc Sci Med. 2007;64(3):589-603.
- 33. Kawachi I, Wamala S, eds. Globalization and Health. New York, NY: Oxford University Press; 2007.
- 34. Wilensky H. Rich Democracies: Political Economy, Public Policy and Performance. Berkeley, CA: University of California Press; 2002.
- 35. Wildeman C. Parental imprisonment, the prison boom, and the concentration of childhood disadvantage. Demography. 2009;46(2):265-280.
- 36. Pampel F. The Institutional Context of Population Change: Patterns of Fertility and Mortality Across High-Income Nations. Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press; 2001.
- 37. Chung H, Muntaner C. Political and welfare state determinants of infant and child health indicators: an analysis of wealthy countries. Soc Sci Med. 2006;63(3):829-842.
- 38. Beckfield J, Olafsdottir S. The socioeconomic gradient in health: a cross-national variable. Presented at the Pitt International Conference on Inequality, Health and Society, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, May 17-19, 2006.
- 39. Beckfield J, Olafsdottir S. Estimating and explaining crossnational variation in health disparities. Presented at the

- Annual Meeting of the American Political Science Association, Boston, Massachusetts, August 28-31, 2008.
- 40. Conley D, Springer KW. Welfare state and infant mortality. AJS. 2001;107(3):768-807.
- 41. Hall PA, Lamont M, eds. Successful Societies: Institutions, Cultural Repertoires, and Health. Cambridge, United Kingdom: Cambridge University Press; In press.
- 42. Olafsdottir S. Fundamental causes of health disparities: stratification, the welfare state, and health in the United States and Iceland. J Health Soc Behav. 2007;48(3):239-253.
- 43. Olafsdottir S, Beckfield J. Health and the social rights of citizenship: integrating welfare state theories into medical sociology. In: Pescosolido B, Martin JK, McLeod JD, et al, eds. Handbook of the Sociology of Health, Illness and Healing: Blueprint for the 21st Century. New York, NY: Springer Publishing Company. In press.
- 44. Olafsdottir S, Beckfield J. Welfare, wealth or health care: what impacts individual health across advanced, industrialized nations? Presented at the Annual Meeting of the American Sociological Association, Boston, Massachusetts, August 1-4, 2008.
- 45. Korpi W, Palme J. New politics and class politics in the context of austerity and globalization: welfare state regress in 18 countries, 1975-95. Am Polit Sci Rev. 2003;97(3):425-446.
- 46. Korpi W, Palme J. The paradox of redistribution and strategies of equality: welfare state institutions, inequality, and poverty in the Western countries. Am Sociol Rev. 1998; 63(5):661-687.
- 47. Gottschalk P, Smeeding TM. Cross-national comparisons of earnings and income inequality. J Econ Lit. 1997;35(2): 633-687.
- 48. Brady D. The politics of poverty: left political institutions, the welfare state, and poverty. Soc Forces. 2003;82(2):
- 49. Brady D. Rethinking the sociological measurement of poverty. Soc Forces. 2003;81(3):715-751.
- 50. Alderson A, Beckfield J, Nielsen F. Exactly how has income inequality changed? Patterns of distributional change in core societies. Int J Comp Sociol. 2005;46(5-6):405-423.
- 51. Pontusson J. Inequality and Prosperity: Social Europe vs. Liberal America. Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press; 2005.
- 52. Beckfield J. Inequality in the world polity: the structure of international organization. Am Sociol Rev. 2003;68(3): 401-424.
- 53. Beckfield J. European integration and income inequality. Am Sociol Rev. 2006;71(6):964-985.
- 54. Hall P, Soskice D, eds. Varieties of Capitalism: The Institutional Foundations of Comparative Advantage. New York, NY: Oxford University Press; 2001.
- 55. Huber E, Stephens J. Development and Crisis of the Welfare State. Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press; 2001.
- 56. Kenworthy L. Egalitarian Capitalism: Jobs, Income and Growth in Affluent Countries. New York, NY: Russell Sage Foundation; 2004.
- 57. Alderson AS, Nielsen F. Globalization and the great U-turn: income inequality trends in 16 OECD countries. AJS. 2002;107(5):1244-1299.
- 58. Graham H. Unequal Lives: Health and Socio-Economic Inequalities. Maidenhead, United Kingdom: Open University Press; 2007.
- 59. Beckfield J. Does income inequality harm health? New cross-national evidence. J Health Soc Behav. 2004;45(3):
- 60. Turner BS. The New Medical Sociology: Social Forms of Health and Illness. New York, NY: WW Norton; 2004.

- 61. Krieger N. Theories for social epidemiology in the 21st century: an ecosocial perspective. Int J Epidemiol. 2001; 30(4):668-677.
- 62. Krieger N. Epidemiology and social sciences: towards a critical reengagement in the 21st century. Epidemiol Rev. 2000;22(1):155-163.
- 63. Krieger N. Epidemiology and the web of causation has anyone seen the spider? Soc Sci Med. 1994;39(7): 887-903.
- 64. Krieger N. Proximal, distal, and the politics of causation: what's level got to do with it? Am J Public Health. 2008;
- 65. Commission on Social Determinants of Health, World Health Organization. A Conceptual Framework for Action on the Social Determinants of Health: Discussion Paper for the Commission on the Social Determinants of Health. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization; 2007. (http:// www.who.int/social determinants/resources/csdh framework action 05 07.pdf). (Accessed May 5, 2009).
- 66. Janoski T, Alford R, Hicks A, et al, eds. The Handbook of Political Sociology: States, Civil Societies and Globalization. New York, NY: Cambridge University Press; 2005.
- 67. Svallfors S, ed. The Political Sociology of the Welfare State. Palo Alto, CA: Stanford University Press; 2007.
- 68. Marshall TH. Citizenship and Social Class and Other Essays. Cambridge, United Kingdom: Cambridge University Press;
- 69. Esping-Andersen G. The Three Worlds of Welfare Capitalism. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press; 1990.
- 70. Moller S, Bradley D, Huber E, et al. Determinants of relative poverty in advanced capitalist democracies. Am Sociol Rev. 2003;68(1):22–51.
- 71. Huber E, Nielsen F, Pribble J, et al. Politics and inequality in Latin America and the Caribbean. Am Sociol Rev. 2006;71(6): 943-963.
- 72. McAdam D. The biographical consequences of activism. Am Sociol Rev. 1989;54(5):744-760.
- 73. McAdam D, Su Y. The war at home: antiwar protests and congressional voting, 1965 to 1973. Am Sociol Rev. 2002; 67(5):696-721.
- 74. Giugni MG. Was it worth the effort? The outcomes and consequences of social movements. Annu Rev Sociol. 1998; 24:371-393.
- 75. Iversen T. Capitalism, Democracy and Welfare. Cambridge, United Kingdom: Cambridge University Press; 2005.
- 76. Estevez-Abe M, Iversen T, Soskice D. Social protection and the formation of skills: a reinterpretation of the welfare state. In: Hall P, Soskice D, eds. Varieties of Capitalism: The Institutional Foundations of Comparative Advantage. New York, NY: Oxford University Press; 2001:145-183.
- 77. Orloff AS. Gender and the social rights of citizenship—the comparative-analysis of gender relations and welfare states. Am Sociol Rev. 1993;58(3):303-328.
- 78. Fischer C, Hout M, Sanchez-Jankowski M, et al. Inequality by Design: Cracking the Bell Curve Myth. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press; 1996.
- Williams DR, Jackson PB. Social sources of racial disparities in health—policies in societal domains, far removed from traditional health policy, can have decisive consequences for health. Health Aff. 2005;24(2):325-334.
- 80. Pager D. The mark of a criminal record. AJS. 2003;108(5): 937-975.
- 81. Manza J, Uggen C. Locked Out: Felon Disenfranchisement and American Democracy. New York, NY: Oxford University Press; 2006.

- 82. Western B. Punishment and Inequality in America. New York, NY: Russell Sage Foundation; 2006.
- 83. Burstein P, Bricher M. Problem definition and public policy: Congressional committees confront work, family, and gender, 1945-1990. Soc Forces. 1997;76(1):135-168.
- 84. Burstein P, Linton A. The impact of political parties, interest groups, and social movement organizations on public policy: some recent evidence and theoretical concerns. Soc Forces. 2002;81(2):381-408.
- 85. Laumann E, Knoke D. The Organizational State: Social Choice in National Policy Domains. Madison, WI: University of Wisconsin Press; 1987.
- 86. Evans P, Rueschemeyer D, Skocpol T, eds. Bringing the State Back In. Cambridge, United Kingdom: Cambridge University Press; 1985.
- 87. Schnittker J, McLeod JD. The social psychology of health disparities. Annu Rev Sociol. 2005;31:75-103.
- 88. McLeod JD, Nonnemaker JM, Call KT. Income inequality, race, and child well-being: an aggregate analysis in the 50 United States. J Health Soc Behav. 2004;45(3):249-264.
- 89. Davey Smith G, ed. Health Inequalities: Lifecourse Approaches. Bristol, United Kingdom: The Policy Press; 2003.
- 90. Wilkinson RG. Unhealthy Societies: The Afflictions of Inequality. London, United Kingdom: Routledge; 1996.
- 91. Shkolnikov VM, Leon DA, Adamets S, et al. Educational level and adult mortality in Russia: an analysis of routine data 1979 to 1994. Soc Sci Med. 1998;47(3):357-369.
- 92. Malyutina S, Bobak M, Simonova G, et al. Education, marital status, and total and cardiovascular mortality in Novosibirsk, Russia: a prospective cohort study. Ann Epidemiol. 2004; 14(4):244-249.
- 93. Kołodziej H, Lopuszańska M, Bielicki T, et al. Social inequality in premature mortality among Polish urban adults during economic transition. Am J Hum Biol. 2007;19(6):878-885.
- 94. du Prel X, Krämer U, Ranft U. Changes in social inequality with respect to health-related living conditions of 6-year-old children in East Germany after re-unification [electronic article]. BMC Public Health. 2005;5:64.
- 95. Leinsalu M, Vagero D, Kunst AE. Estonia 1989-2000: enormous increase in mortality differences by education. Int J Epidemiol. 2003;32(6):1081–1087.
- 96. Shkolnikov VM, Andreev EM, Jasilionis D, et al. The changing relation between education and life expectancy in central and eastern Europe in the 1990s. J Epidemiol Community Health. 2006;60(10):875-881.
- 97. Koupilova I, Rahu K, Rahu M, et al. Social determinants of birthweight and length of gestation in Estonia during the transition to democracy. Int J Epidemiol. 2000;29(1):118-124.
- 98. Kalediene R, Petrauskiene J. Inequalities in mortality by education and socio-economic transition in Lithuania: equal opportunities? Public Health. 2005;119(9):808-815.
- 99. Helasoja V, Lahelma E, Prättälä R, et al. Trends in the magnitude of educational inequalities in health in Estonia, Latvia, Lithuania and Finland during 1994–2004. Public Health. 2006;120(9):841-853.
- 100. Blakely T, Tobias M, Atkinson J. Inequalities in mortality during and after restructuring of the New Zealand economy: repeated cohort studies. BMJ. 2008;336(7640):371-375.
- 101. Shaw C, Blakely T, Atkinson J, et al. Do social and economic reforms change socioeconomic inequalities in child mortality? A case study: New Zealand 1981-1999. J Epidemiol Community Health. 2005;59(8):638-644.
- 102. Krieger N, Rehkopf DH, Chen JT, et al. The fall and rise of US inequities in premature mortality: 1960-2002. PLoS Med. 2008;5(2):227-241.

- 103. Fawcett J, Blakely T, Kunst A. Are mortality differences and trends by education any better or worse in New Zealand? A comparison study with Norway, Denmark and Finland, 1980-1990s. Eur J Epidemiol. 2005;20(8):683-691.
- 104. Thomson WM, Williams SM, Dennison PJ, et al. Were NZ's structural changes to the welfare state in the early 1990s associated with a measurable increase in oral health inequalities among children? Aust N Z J Public Health. 2002; 26(6):525-530.
- 105. Lundberg O, Diderichsen F, Yngwe MA. Changing health inequalities in a changing society? Sweden in the mid-1980s and mid-1990s. Scand J Public Health. 2001(suppl 55):31–39.
- 106. Dahl E, Elstad JI. Recent changes in social structure and health inequalities in Norway. Scand J Public Health. 2001(suppl 55):7-17.
- 107. Manderbacka K, Lahelma E, Rahkonen O. Structural changes and social inequalities in health in Finland, 1986-1994. Scand J Public Health. 2001(suppl 55):41-54.
- 108. Kunitz SJ, Pesis-Katz I. Mortality of white Americans, African Americans, and Canadians: the causes and consequences for health of welfare state institutions and policies. Milbank Q. 2005;83(1):5-39.
- 109. James PD, Wilkins R, Detsky AS, et al. Avoidable mortality by neighbourhood income in Canada: 25 years after the establishment of universal health insurance. J Epidemiol Community Health. 2007;61(4):287-296.
- 110. Houweling TA, Kunst AE, Borsboom G, et al. Mortality inequalities in times of economic growth: time trends in socioeconomic and regional inequalities in under 5 mortality in Indonesia, 1982–1997. J Epidemiol Community Health. 2006; 60(1):62-68.
- 111. Sastry N. Trends in socioeconomic inequalities in mortality in developing countries: the case of child survival in Sao Paulo, Brazil. Demography. 2004;41(3):443-464.
- 112. Victora CG, Vaughan JP, Barros FC, et al. Explaining trends in inequities: evidence from Brazilian child health studies. Lancet. 2000;356(9235):1093-1098.
- 113. Korda RJ, Butler JR, Clements MS, et al. Differential impacts of health care in Australia: trend analysis of socioeconomic inequalities in avoidable mortality. Int J Epidemiol. 2007;36(1): 157-165.
- 114. Borrell C, Rodríguez-Sanz M, Pasarin MI, et al. AIDS mortality before and after the introduction of highly active antiretroviral therapy: does it vary with socioeconomic group in a country with a national health system? Eur J Public Health. 2006;16(6):601-608.
- 115. Arntzen A, Moum T, Magnus P, et al. The association between maternal education and postneonatal mortality. Trends in Norway, 1968-1991. Int J Epidemiol. 1996;25(3):578-584.
- 116. Leon DA, Vågerö D, Olausson PO. Social class differences in infant mortality in Sweden: comparison with England and Wales. BMJ. 1992;305(6855):687-691.
- 117. Kunst AE, Bos V, Lahelma E, et al. Trends in socioeconomic inequalities in self-assessed health in 10 European countries. Int J Epidemiol. 2005;34(2):295-305.
- 118. Fritzell S, Ringbäck Weitoft G, Fritzell J, et al. From macro to micro: the health of Swedish lone mothers during changing economic and social circumstances. Soc Sci Med. 2007;65(12):
- 119. Burström B. Social differentials in the decline of infant mortality in Sweden in the twentieth century: the impact of politics and policy. Int J Health Serv. 2003;33(4): 723-741.
- 120. Cavelaars A, Kunst AE, Geurts JJ, et al. Differences in self reported morbidity by educational level: a comparison of 11

- Western European countries. J Epidemiol Community Health. 1998;52(4):219-227.
- 121. Lahelma E, Arber S, Kivelä K, et al. Multiple roles and health among British and Finnish women: the influence of socioeconomic circumstances. Soc Sci Med. 2002;54(5): 727-740.
- 122. Arber S, Lahelma E. Inequalities in women's and men's illhealth: Britain and Finland compared. Soc Sci Med. 1993; 37(8):1055-1068.
- 123. Regidor E, Ronda E, Pascual C, et al. Decreasing socioeconomic inequalities and increasing health inequalities in Spain: a case study. Am J Public Health. 2006;96(1): 102-108.
- 124. Martikainen P, Blomgren J, Valkonen T. Change in the total and independent effects of education and occupational social class on mortality: analyses of all Finnish men and women in the period 1971–2000. J Epidemiol Community Health. 2007; 61(6):499-505.
- 125. Elstad JI. Inequalities in health related to women's marital, parental, and employment status—a comparison between the early 70s and the late 80s, Norway. Soc Sci Med. 1996; 42(1):75-89.
- 126. Zambon A, Boyce W, Cois E, et al. Do welfare regimes mediate the effect of socioeconomic position on health in adolescence? A cross-national comparison in Europe, North America, and Israel. Int J Health Serv. 2006;36(2):309-329.
- 127. Martikainen P, Lahelma E, Marmot M, et al. A comparison of socioeconomic differences in physical functioning and perceived health among male and female employees in Britain, Finland and Japan. Soc Sci Med. 2004;59(6):1287–1295.
- 128. Burgard SA, Treiman DJ. Trends and racial differences in infant mortality in South Africa. Soc Sci Med. 2006;62(5): 1126-1137.
- 129. Cameron N. Physical growth in a transitional economy: the aftermath of South African apartheid. Econ Hum Biol. 2003;1(1):29-42.
- 130. Nannan N, Timaeus IM, Laubscher R, et al. Levels and differentials in childhood mortality in South Africa, 1977-1998. J Biosoc Sci. 2007;39(4):613-632.
- 131. Freemantle CJ, Read AW, de Klerk NH, et al. Patterns, trends, and increasing disparities in mortality for Aboriginal and non-Aboriginal infants born in Western Australia, 1980-2001: population database study. *Lancet*. 2006;367(9524): 1758-1766.
- 132. Palma-Solis M, Vives-Cases C, Alvarez-Dardet C. Gender progress and government expenditure as determinants of femicide. Ann Epidemiol. 2008;18(4):322-329.
- 133. Houweling TA, Jayasinghe S, Chandola T. The social determinants of childhood mortality in Sri Lanka: time-trends and comparisons across South Asia. Indian J Med Res. 2007; 126(4):239-248.
- 134. Hunter M. The changing political economy of sex in South Africa: the significance of unemployment and inequalities to the scale of the AIDS pandemic. Soc Sci Med. 2007;64(3):
- 135. Krieger N. Embodiment: a conceptual glossary for epidemiology. J Epidemiol Community Health. 2005;59(5):350-355.
- 136. Krieger N, Davey Smith G. "Bodies count," and body counts: social epidemiology and embodying inequality. Epidemiol Rev. 2004;26(1):92-103.
- 137. Goesling B, Firebaugh G. The trend in international health inequality. Popul Dev Rev. 2004;30(1):131-146.
- 138. Kunitz SJ. The making and breaking of Yugoslavia and its impact on health. Am J Public Health. 2004;94(11): 1894-1904.

- 139. Chorev N. On the origins of neoliberalism: political shifts and analytical challenges. In: Jenkins JC, Leicht K, eds. The Handbook of Politics: State and Society in Global Perspective. New York, NY: Springer Publishing Company. In press.
- 140. Beckfield J. The dual world polity: fragmentation and integration in the network of intergovernmental organizations. Soc Probl. 2008;55(3):419-442.
- 141. Schwartz E, Kofie VY, Rivo M, et al. Black-white comparisons of deaths preventable by medical intervention—United States and the District of Columbia 1980–1986. Int J Epidemiol. 1990;19(3):591-598.
- 142. Krieger N, Chen JT, Waterman PD, et al. Painting a truer picture of US socioeconomic and racial/ethnic health inequalities: the Public Health Disparities Geocoding Project. Am J Public Health. 2005;95(2):312-323.
- 143. Muntaner C, Eaton WW, Miech R, et al. Socioeconomic position and major mental disorders. Epidemiol Rev. 2004; 26(1):53-62.
- 144. Williams DR, Williams-Morris R. Racism and mental health: the African American experience. Ethn Health. 2000;5(3-4):
- 145. Brown GW, Harris T. Social Origins of Depression: A Study of Psychiatric Disorder in Women. New York, NY: Free Press; 1978.
- 146. Sydenstricker E. Health and the Depression. Milbank Mem Fund Q Bull. 1933;11(4):273-280.
- 147. Perrott GS, Collins SD. Relation of sickness to income and income change in ten surveyed communities. Public Health Rep. 1935;50:595-622.
- 148. Salomon JA, Tandon A, Murray CJ, et al. Comparability of self rated health: cross sectional multi-country survey using anchoring vignettes. BMJ. 2004;328(7434):258-261.
- 149. Emmelin M, Nafziger AN, Stenlund H, et al. Cardiovascular risk factor burden has a stronger association with self-rated poor health in adults in the US than in Sweden, especially for the lower educated. Scand J Public Health. 2006;34(2):
- 150. Quesnel-Vallee A. Self-rated health: caught in the crossfire of the quest for 'true' health? Int J Epidemiol. 2007;36(6): 1161-1164.
- 151. Idler EL, Kasl SV, Lemke JH. Self-evaluated health and mortality among the elderly in New Haven, Connecticut, and Iowa and Washington counties, Iowa, 1982-1986. Am J Epidemiol. 1990;131(1):91-103.
- 152. Benyamini Y, Idler EL. Community studies reporting association between self-rated health and mortality-additional studies, 1995 to 1998. Res Aging. 1999;21(3):392-401.
- 153. Polillo S, Guillen MF. Globalization pressures and the state: the worldwide spread of central bank independence. AJS. 2005;110(6):1764-1802.
- 154. Henisz WJ, Zelner BA, Guillen MF. The worldwide diffusion of market-oriented infrastructure reform, 1977-1999. Am Sociol Rev. 2005;70(6):871-897.
- 155. Kunovich S, Paxton P. Pathways to power: the role of political parties in women's national political representation. AJS. 2005;111(2):505-552.
- 156. Hemerijck A, Visser J. Change and immobility: three decades of policy adjustment in the Netherlands and Belgium. West Eur Polit. 2000;23(2):229-256.
- 157. Hemerijck A, Visser J. The Dutch model: an obvious candidate for the 'third way'? Arch Eur Sociol. 2001;42(1): 221-239.
- 158. Boix C. Partisan governments, the international economy, and macroeconomic policies in advanced nations, 1960-93. World Polit. 2000;53(1):38-73.

- 159. Coburn D. Beyond the income inequality hypothesis: class, neo-liberalism, and health inequalities. Soc Sci Med. 2004; 58(1):41-56.
- 160. Coburn D. Neoliberalism, globalization and inequalities: consequences for health and quality of life. Can J Public Health. 2008;99(2):157.
- 161. Levy BS, Sidel VW, eds. War and Public Health. New York, NY: Oxford University Press; 1997.
- 162. Hafner-Burton EM, Tsutsui K. Human rights in a globalizing world: the paradox of empty promises. AJS. 2005;110(5): 1373-1411.
- 163. Gruskin S, Grodin MA, Annas GJ, et al, eds. Perspectives on Health and Human Rights. New York, NY: Routledge; 2005.
- 164. James D, Redding K. Theories of race and the state. In: Janoski T, Hicks A, SchwartzE, et al, eds. The Handbook of Political Sociology. States, Civil Societies, and Globalization. Cambridge, United Kingdom: Cambridge University Press; 2005:187-200.
- 165. Mizruchi M, Bey D. Corporate control, interfirm relations, and corporate power. In: Janoski T, Hicks A, Schwartz E, et al, eds. The Handbook of Political Sociology. States, Civil Societies, and Globalization. Cambridge, United Kingdom: Cambridge University Press; 2005:310–330.
- 166. Krieger N. Embodying inequality: a review of concepts, measures, and methods for studying health consequences of discrimination. Int J Health Serv. 1999;29(2):295-352.
- 167. Goodin RE, Tilly C, eds. The Oxford Handbook of Contextual Political Analysis. New York, NY: Oxford University Press;
- 168. Satel S, Klick J. The Health Disparities Myth: Diagnosing the Treatment Gap. Washington, DC: American Enterprise Institute; 2006.
- 169. Krieger N. Stormy weather: race, gene expression, and the science of health disparities. Am J Public Health. 2005; 95(12):2155-2160.
- 170. Leicht KT, Jenkins JC, eds. The Handbook of Politics: State and Society in Global Perspective. New York, NY: Springer Publishing Company. In press.
- 171. Alvarez-Dardet C, Montahud C, Ruiz MT. The widening social class gap of preventive health behaviours in Spain. Eur J Public Health. 2001;11(2):225-226.
- 172. Armstrong DL, Strogatz D, Wang R. United States coronary mortality trends and community services associated with occupational structure, among blacks and whites, 1984-1998. Soc Sci Med. 2004;58(11):2349-2361.
- 173. Avendano M, Kunst AE, van Lenthe F, et al. Trends in socioeconomic disparities in stroke mortality in six European countries between 1981-1985 and 1991-1995. Am J Epidemiol. 2005;161(1):52-61.
- 174. Bennett S. Socioeconomic inequalities in coronary heart disease and stroke mortality among Australian men, 1979-1993. Int J Epidemiol. 1996;25(2):266-275.
- 175. Burstrom K, Johannesson M, Diderichsen F. Increasing socio-economic inequalities in life expectancy and QALYs Sweden 1980-1997. Health Econ. 2005;14(8):831-850.
- 176. Chang VW, Lauderdale DS. Income disparities in body mass index and obesity in the United States, 1971-2002. Arch Intern Med. 2005;165(18):2122-2128.
- 177. Coleman MP, Rachet B, Woods LM, et al. Trends and socioeconomic inequalities in cancer survival in England and Wales up to 2001. Br J Cancer. 2004;90(7):1367-1373.
- 178. Cooper R, Cutler J, Desvigne-Nickens P, et al. Trends and disparities in coronary heart disease, stroke, and other cardiovascular diseases in the United States-findings of the

- national conference on cardiovascular disease prevention. Circulation. 2000;102(25):3137-3147.
- 179. Cunha-Cruz J, Hujoel PP, Nadanovsky P. Secular trends in socio-economic disparities in edentulism: USA, 1972–2001. J Dent Res. 2007;86(2):131-136.
- 180. Diderichsen F, Hallqvist J. Trends in occupational mortality among middle-aged men in Sweden 1961-1990. Int J Epidemiol. 1997;26(4):782-787.
- 181. Ezzati M, Friedman AB, Kulkarni SC, et al. The reversal of fortunes: trends in county mortality and cross-county mortality disparities in the United States. PLos Med. 2008;5(4): 557-568.
- 182. Federico B, Costa G, Kunst AE. Educational inequalities in initiation, cessation, and prevalence of smoking among 3 Italian birth cohorts. Am J Public Health. 2007;97(5):
- 183. Forssas E. Keskimaki I. Reunanen A. et al. Widening socioeconomic mortality disparity among diabetic people in Finland. Eur J Public Health. 2003;13(1):38-43.
- 184. Giskes K, Kunst AE, Benach J, et al. Trends in smoking behaviour between 1985 and 2000 in nine European countries by education. J Epidemiol Community Health. 2005; 59(5):395-401.
- 185. Gravelle H, Sutton M. Income related inequalities in self assessed health in Britain: 1979-1995. J Epidemiol Community Health, 2003;57(2):125-129.
- 186. Hallqvist J, Lundberg M, Diderichsen F, et al. Socioeconomic differences in risk of myocardial infarction 1971-1994 in Sweden: time trends, relative risks and population attributable risks. Int J Epidemiol. 1998;27(3):410-415.
- 187. Harper S, Lynch J. Trends in socioeconomic inequalities in adult health behaviors among US states, 1990-2004. Public Health Rep. 2007;122(2):177-189.
- 188. Hertel-Fernandez AW, Giusti AE, Sotelo JM. The Chilean infant mortality decline: improvement for whom? Socioeconomic and geographic inequalities in infant mortality, 1990-2005. Bull World Health Organ. 2007;85(10):798-804.
- 189. Icks A, Moebus S, Feuersenger A, et al. Diabetes prevalence and association with social status-widening of a social gradient? German national health surveys 1990-1992 and 1998. Diabetes Res Clin Pract. 2007;78(2):293-297.
- 190. Kanjilal S, Gregg EW, Cheng YLJ, et al. Socioeconomic status and trends in disparities in 4 major risk factors for cardiovascular disease among US adults, 1971-2002. Arch Intern Med. 2006;166(21):2348-2355.
- 191. Kark M, Rasmussen F. Growing social inequalities in the occurrence of overweight and obesity among young men in Sweden. Scand J Public Health. 2005;33(6):472-477.
- 192. Khang YH, Lynch JW, Yun S, et al. Trends in socioeconomic health inequalities in Korea: use of mortality and morbidity measures. J Epidemiol Community Health. 2004;58(4): 308-314.
- 193. Komlos J, Lauderdale BE. Underperformance in affluence: the remarkable relative decline in US heights in the second half of the 20th century. Soc Sci Q. 2007;88(2):283-305.
- 194. Krokstad S, Kunst AE, Westin S. Trends in health inequalities by educational level in a Norwegian total population study. J Epidemiol Community Health. 2002;56(5):
- 195. Lahelma E, Rahkonen O, Huuhka M. Changes in the social patterning of health? The case of Finland 1986-1994. Soc Sci Med. 1997;44(6):789-799.
- 196. Lee DJ, Fleming LE, Arheart KL, et al. Smoking rate trends in US occupational groups: the 1987 to 2004 National Health Interview Survey. J Occup Environ Med. 2007;49(1):75-81.

- 197. Levine RS, Foster JE, Fullilove RE, et al. Black-white inequalities in mortality and life expectancy, 1933-1999: implications for Healthy People 2010. Public Health Rep. 2001;116(5):474-483.
- 198. Leyland AH. Increasing inequalities in premature mortality in Great Britain. J Epidemiol Community Health. 2004; 58(4):296-302.
- 199. Mackenbach JP, Huisman M, Andersen O, et al. Inequalities in lung cancer mortality by the educational level in 10 European populations. Eur J Cancer. 2004;40(1): 126-135.
- 200. Maki NE, Martikainen PT. Socioeconomic differences in suicide mortality by sex in Finland in 1971-2000: a registerbased study of trends, levels, and life expectancy differences. Scand J Public Health. 2007;35(4):387-395.
- 201. Marang-van de Mheen PJ, Smith GD, Hart CL, et al. Socioeconomic differentials in mortality among men within Great Britain: time trends and contributory causes. J Epidemiol Community Health. 1998;52(4):214-218.
- 202. Martikainen P, Valkonen T, Martelin T. Change in male and female life expectancy by social class: decomposition by age and cause of death in Finland 1971-95. J Epidemiol Community Health. 2001;55(7):494-499.
- 203. Menvielle G, Leclerc A, Chastang JF, et al. Changes in socioeconomic inequalities in cancer mortality rates among French men between 1968 and 1996. Am J Public Health. 2007;97(11):2082-2087.
- 204. Menvielle G, Chastang JF, Luce D, et al. Changing social disparities and mortality in France (1968-1996): cause of death analysis by educational level. Rev Epidemiol Sante Publique. 2007;55(2):97-105.
- 205. Miech RA, Kumanyika SK, Stettler N, et al. Trends in the association of poverty with overweight among US adolescents, 1971-2004. JAMA. 2006;295(20):2385-2393.
- 206. Osler M, Gerdes LU, Davidsen M, et al. Socioeconomic status and trends in risk factors for cardiovascular diseases in the Danish MONICA population, 1982-1992. J Epidemiol Community Health. 2000;54(2):108-113.
- 207. Otten FW, Bosma HH. The socio-economic distribution of heart diseases: changing gradients in the Netherlands. Soc Sci Med. 1997;44(9):1349-1356.
- 208. Pappas G, Queen S, Hadden W, et al. The increasing disparity in mortality between socioeconomic groups in the United States, 1960 and 1986. N Engl J Med. 1993;329(2): 103-109.
- 209. Perenboom RJ, van Herten LM, Boshuizen HC, et al. Life expectancy without chronic morbidity: trends in gender and socioeconomic disparities. Public Health Rep. 2005;120(1): 46-54.
- 210. Rahkonen O, Lahelma E, Karisto A, et al. Persisting health inequalities: social class differentials in illness in the Scandinavian countries. J Public Health Policy. 1993;14(1): 66-81.
- 211. Regidor E, Gutierrez-Fisac JL, Dominguez V, et al. Comparing social inequalities in health in Spain: 1987 and 1995/97. Soc Sci Med. 2002;54(9):1323-1332.
- 212. Rowan S. Trends in cancer incidence by deprivation, England and Wales, 1990–2002. Health Stat Q. 2007(36): 24-35.
- 213. Satcher D, Fryer GE, McCann J, et al. What if we were equal? A comparison of the black-white mortality gap in 1960 and 2000—closing this gap could eliminate more than 83,000 excess deaths per year among African Americans. Health Aff. 2005;24(2):459-464.

- 214. Schalick LM, Hadden WC, Pamuk E, et al. The widening gap in death rates among income groups in the United States from 1967 to 1986. Int J Health Serv. 2000;30(1):13-26.
- 215. Schiaffino A, Fernandez E, Kunst A, et al. Time trends and educational differences in the incidence of quitting smoking in Spain (1965–2000). Prev Med. 2007;45(2-3):226–232.
- 216. Schneider MC, Castillo-Salgado C, Loyola-Elizondo E, et al. Trends in infant mortality inequalities in the Americas: 1955–1995. J Epidemiol Community Health. 2002;56(7):
- 217. Schulze A, Mons U. The evolution of educational inequalities in smoking: a changing relationship and a cross-over effect among German birth cohorts of 1921-70. Addiction. 2006; 101(7):1051-1056.
- 218. Shaw M, Tunstall H, Dorling D. Increasing inequalities in risk of murder in Britain: trends in the demographic and spatial distribution of murder, 1981-2000. Health Place. 2005:11(1):45-54.
- 219. Shaw M, Smith GD, Dorling D. Health inequalities and new labor: how the promises compare with real progress. BMJ. 2005;330(7498):1016–1021.
- 220. Singh GK, Hiatt RA. Trends and disparities in socioeconomic and behavioural characteristics, life expectancy, and causespecific mortality of native-born and foreign-born populations in the United States, 1979–2003. Int J Epidemiol. 2006; 35(4):903-919.
- 221. Singh GK, Kogan MD. Persistent socioeconomic disparities in infant, neonatal, and postneonatal mortality rates in the United States, 1969–2001. Pediatrics. 2007;119(4):E928–E939.
- 222. Singh GK, Kogan MD. Widening socioeconomic disparities in US childhood mortality, 1969-2000. Am J Public Health. 2007;97(9):1658-1665.
- 223. Singh GK, Siahpush M. Increasing inequalities in all-cause and cardiovascular mortality among US adults aged 25-64 years by area socioeconomic status, 1969–1998. Int J Epidemiol. 2002;31(3):600-613.
- 224. Singh GK, Siahpush M. Widening socioeconomic inequalities in US life expectancy, 1980-2000. Int J Epidemiol. 2006;35(4):969-979.
- 225. Singh GK, Yu SM. US childhood mortality 1950 through 1993: trends and socioeconomic differentials. Am J Public Health. 1996;86(4):505-512.
- 226. Singh GK, Miller BA, Hankey BF. Changing area socioeconomic patterns in US cancer mortality, 1950-1998: part II—lung and colorectal cancers. J Natl Cancer Inst. 2002; 94(12):916-925.
- 227. Singh GK, Miller BA, Hankey BF, et al. Changing area socioeconomic patterns in US cancer mortality, 1950-1998: part I—all cancers among men. J Natl Cancer Inst. 2002; 94(12):904-915.
- 228. Singh GK, Miller BA, Hankey BF, et al. Persistent area socioeconomic disparities in US incidence of cervical cancer, mortality, stage, and survival, 1975-2000. Cancer. 2004; 101(5):1051-1057.
- 229. Strand BH, Tverdal A. Trends in educational inequalities in cardiovascular risk factors: a longitudinal study among 48,000 middle-aged Norwegian men and women. Eur J Epidemiol. 2006;21(10):731-739.
- 230. Tomashek KM, Qin C, Hsia J, et al. Infant mortality trends and differences between American Indian/Alaska Native infants and white infants in the United States, 1989-1991 and 1998-2000. Am J Public Health. 2006;96(12):2222-2227.
- 231. Wang Y, Beydoun MA. The obesity epidemic in the United States-gender, age, socioeconomic, racial/ethnic, and geo-

- graphic characteristics: a systematic review and metaregression analysis. Epidemiol Rev. 2007;29(1):6-28.
- 232. Wang YF, Zhang Q. Are American children and adolescents of low socioeconomic status at increased risk of obesity? Changes in the association between overweight and family income between 1971 and 2002. Am J Clin Nutr. 2006;84(4): 707-716.
- 233. Whitehead M, Drever F. Short version 1—narrowing social inequalities in health? Analysis of trends in mortality among babies of lone mothers. BMJ. 1999;318(7188): 908-912.
- 234. Alexander GR, Wingate MS, Bader D, et al. The increasing racial disparity in infant mortality rates: composition and contributors to recent US trends. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2008;198(1):51.e1-51.e9.
- 235. Bronnum-Hansen H, Baadsgaard M. Increase in social inequality in health expectancy in Denmark. Scand J Public Health. 2008;36(1):44-51.
- 236. Craig ED, Mantell CD, Ekeroma AJ, et al. Ethnicity and birth outcome: New Zealand trends 1980-2001. Part 1. Introduction, methods, results and overview. Aust N Z J Obstet Gynaecol. 2004;44(6):530-536.
- 237. Dachs JN, Ferrer M, Florez CE, et al. Inequalities in health in Latin America and the Caribbean: descriptive and exploratory results for self-reported health problems and health care in twelve countries. Rev Panam Salud Publica. 2002;11(5-6): 335-355.
- 238. DiLiberti JH. The relationship between social stratification and all-cause mortality among children in the United States: 1968-1992. Pediatrics. 2000;105(1):e2.
- 239. Drever F, Whitehead M, Roden M. Current patterns and trends in male mortality by social class (based on occupation). Popul Trends. 1996(86):15-20.
- 240. Hadden WC, Rockswold PD. Increasing differential mortality by educational attainment in adults in the United States. Int J Health Serv. 2008;38(1):47-61.
- 241. Hahn RA, Eaker E, Barker ND, et al. Poverty and death in the United States—1973 and 1991. Epidemiology. 1995;6(5): 490-497.
- 242. Kant AK, Graubard BI. Secular trends in the association of socio-economic position with self-reported dietary attributes and biomarkers in the US population: National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) 1971-1975 to NHANES 1999-2002. Public Health Nutr. 2007;10(2):
- 243. Kuh DL, Power C, Rodgers B. Secular trends in social class and sex differences in adult height. Int J Epidemiol. 1991; 20(4):1001-1009.
- 244. Molarius A, Seidell JC, Sans S, et al. Educational level, relative body weight, and changes in their association over 10 years: an international perspective from the WHO MONICA project. Am J Public Health. 2000;90(8):1260-1268.
- 245. Monteiro CA, Conde WL, Popkin BM. Income-specific trends in obesity in Brazil: 1975-2003. Am J Public Health. 2007;97(10):1808-1812.
- 246. Murphy MF, Mant DC, Goldblatt PO. Social class, marital status, and cancer of the uterine cervix in England and Wales, 1950-1983. J Epidemiol Community Health. 1992;46(4): 378-381.
- 247. Mol GD, van de Lisdonk EH, Smits JP, et al. A widening health gap in general practice? Socio-economic differences in morbidity between 1975 and 2000 in the Netherlands. Public Health. 2005;119(7):616-625.
- 248. Pearce N, Pomare E, Marshall S, et al. Mortality and social class in Maori and nonMaori New Zealand men—changes

- between 1975-7 and 1985-7. N Z Med J. 1993;106(956):
- 249. Pena R, Liljestrand J, Zelaya E, et al. Fertility and infant mortality trends in Nicaragua 1964-1993. The role of women's education. J Epidemiol Community Health. 1999;53(3):
- 250. Phillimore P, Beattie A, Townsend P. Widening inequality of health in northern England, 1981-91. BMJ. 1994;308(6937): 1125-1128.
- 251. Pukkala E, Weiderpass E. Time trends in socio-economic differences in incidence rates of cancers of the breast and female genital organs (Finland, 1971–1995). Int J Cancer. 1999;81(1):56–61.
- 252. Sarfati D, Blakely T, Shaw C, et al. Patterns of disparity: ethnic and socio-economic trends in breast cancer mortality in New Zealand. Cancer Causes Control. 2006;17(5):671-678.
- 253. Sasco AJ, Grizeau D, Pobel D, et al. Smoking and socialclass in France from 1974 to 1991. Bull Cancer. 1994; 81(5):355-359.
- 254. Turrell G, Mathers C. Socioeconomic inequalities in allcause and specific-cause mortality in Australia: 1985– 1987 and 1995–1997. Int J Epidemiol. 2001;30(2): 231 - 239.
- 255. Cavelaars AE, Kunst AE, Geurts JJ, et al. Morbidity differences by occupational class among men in seven European countries: an application of the Erikson-Goldthorpe social class scheme. Int J Epidemiol. 1998;27(2): 222 - 230.
- 256. Huisman M, Kunst AE, Mackenbach JP. Inequalities in the prevalence of smoking in the European Union: comparing education and income. Prev Med. 2005;40(6):756-764.
- 257. Kunst AE, Groenhof F, Mackenbach JP, et al. Mortality by occupational class among men 30-64 years in 11 European countries. EU Working Group on Socioeconomic Inequalities in Health. Soc Sci Med. 1998;46(11):1459-1476.
- 258. Kunst AE, del Rios M, Groenhof F, et al. Socioeconomic inequalities in stroke mortality among middle-aged men—an international overview. Stroke. 1998;29(11):2285-2291.
- 259. Lostao L, Regidor E, Aïach P, et al. Social inequalities in ischaemic heart and cerebrovascular disease mortality in men: Spain and France, 1980-1982 and 1988-1990. Soc Sci Med. 2001;52(12):1879-1887.
- 260. Lostao L, Joiner TE, Lester D, et al. Social inequalities in suicide mortality: Spain and France, 1980-1982 and 1988-1990. Suicide Life Threat Behav. 2006;36(1):113-119.
- 261. Mackenbach JP, Kunst AE, Groenhof F, et al. Socioeconomic inequalities in mortality among women and among men: an international study. Am J Public Health. 1999;89(12):
- 262. Marmot M, Bobak M. International comparators and poverty and health in Europe. BMJ. 2000;321(7269):1124-1128.
- 263. Smith GD, Egger M. Socioeconomic differences in mortality in Britain and the United States. Am J Public Health. 1992; 82(8):1079-1081.
- 264. van Lenthe FJ, Borrell LN, Costa G, et al. Neighbourhood unemployment and all cause mortality: a comparison of six countries. J Epidemiol Community Health. 2005;59(3): 231-237.
- 265. vanDoorslaer E, Wagstaff A, Bleichrodt H, et al. Incomerelated inequalities in health: some international comparisons. J Health Econ. 1997;16(1):93-112.
- 266. Wamala S, Blakely T, Atkinson J. Trends in absolute socioeconomic inequalities in mortality in Sweden and New Zealand. A 20-year gender perspective [electronic article]. BMC Public Health. 2006;6:164.

- 267. Avendano M, Kunst AE, Huisman M, et al. Educational level and stroke mortality—a comparison of 10 European populations during the 1990s. Stroke. 2004;35(2):432-437.
- 268. Bobak M, Pikhart H, Rose R, et al. Socioeconomic factors, material inequalities, and perceived control in selfrated health: cross-sectional data from seven postcommunist countries. Soc Sci Med. 2000;51(9):1343-1350.
- 269. Cavelaars A, Kunst AE, Geurts JJ, et al. Educational differences in smoking: international comparison. BMJ. 2000; 320(7242):1102-1107.
- 270. Chen MS. Oral health status and its inequality among education groups: comparing seven international study sites. Int J Health Serv. 2002;32(1):139–161.
- 271. Dalstra JA, Kunst AE, Borrell C, et al. Socioeconomic differences in the prevalence of common chronic diseases: an overview of eight European countries. Int J Epidemiol. 2005;34(2):316-326.
- 272. Kunst AE, Mackenbach JP, International variation in the size of mortality differences associated with occupational status. Int J Epidemiol. 1994;23(4):742-750.
- 273. Kunst AE, Mackenbach JP. The size of mortality differences associated with educational level in nine industrialized countries. Am J Public Health. 1994;84(6):932-937.
- 274. Mackenbach JP, Cavelaars A, Kunst AE, et al. Socioeconomic inequalities in cardiovascular disease mortalityan international study. Eur Heart J. 2000;21(14): 1141-1151.
- 275. Pikhart H, Bobak M, Malyutina S, et al. Obesity and education in three countries of the Central and Eastern Europe: the HAPIEE Study. Cent Eur J Public Health. 2007;15(4): 140-142.
- 276. Rahkonen O, Lundberg O, Lahelma E, et al. Body mass and social class: a comparison of Finland and Sweden in the 1990s. J Public Health Policy. 1998;19(1):88–105.
- 277. Wang YF. Cross-national comparison of childhood obesity: the epidemic and the relationship between obesity and socioeconomic status. Int J Epidemiol. 2001;30(5): 1129-1136.
- 278. Chung H, Muntaner C. Welfare state matters: a typological multilevel analysis of wealthy countries. Health Policy. 2007;80(2):328-339.
- 279. Macinko JA, Shi LY, Starfield B. Wage inequality, the health system, and infant mortality in wealthy industrialized countries, 1970-1996. Soc Sci Med. 2004;58(2):279-292.
- 280. Navarro V, Shi LY. The political context of social inequalities and health. Soc Sci Med. 2001;52(3):481-491.
- 281. Navarro V, Borrell C, Benach J, et al. The importance of the political and the social in explaining mortality differentials among the countries of the OECD, 1950-1998. Int J Health Serv. 2003;33(3):419-494.
- 282. Veenhoven R, Ouweneel P. Livability of the welfare-state: appreciation-of-life and length-of-life in nations varying in state-welfare-effort. Soc Indic Res. 1995;36(1):1-49.
- 283. Helmert U, Streich W, Borgers D. Regional differences in trends in life expectancy and the influence of the political and socioeconomic contexts in Germany. Int J Health Serv. 2003;33(4):669-686.
- 284. Muntaner C, Lynch JW, Hillemeier M, et al. Economic inequality, working-class power, social capital, and causespecific mortality in wealthy countries. Int J Health Serv. 2002;32(4):629-656.
- 285. Olsen KM, Dahl SA. Health differences between European countries. Soc Sci Med. 2007;64(8):1665-1678.
- 286. Franco-Giraldo A, Palma M, Alvarez-Dardet C. The effect of structural adjustment on health conditions in Latin America

- and the Caribbean, 1980–2000. Rev Panam Salud Publica. 2006;19(5):291–299.
- Moore S, Teixeira AC, Shiell A. The health of nations in a global context: trade, global stratification, and infant mortality rates. Soc Sci Med. 2006;63(1):165–178.
- 288. Smith GD, Dorling D. "I'm all right, John": voting patterns and mortality in England and Wales, 1981–92. *BMJ*. 1996; 313(7072):1573–1577.
- Atlani L, Carael M, Brunet JB, et al. Social change and HIV in the former USSR: the making of a new epidemic. Soc Sci Med. 2000;50(11):1547–1556.
- Bond P, Dor G. Uneven health outcomes and political resistance under residual neoliberalism in Africa. *Int J Health Serv.* 2003;33(3):607–630.
- Garfield R. Malaria control in Nicaragua: social and political influences on disease transmission and control activities. *Lancet*. 1999;354(9176):414–418.
- 292. Lemus-Ruiz BE. The local impact of globalization: worker health and safety in Mexico's sugar industry. *Int J Occup Environ Health*. 1999;5(1):56–60.

- Liu YL, Rao KQ, Fei J. Economic transition and health transition: comparing China and Russia. *Health Policy*. 1998;44(2):103–122.
- 294. Lurie P, Hintzen P, Lowe RA. Socioeconomic obstacles to HIV prevention and treatment in developing countries—the roles of the International Monetary Fund and the World Bank. AIDS. 1995;9(6):539–546.
- 295. Manfredi C. Can the resurgence of malaria be partially attributed to structural adjustment programmes? *Parassitologia* (*Rome*). 1999;41(1-3):389–390.
- 296. Oliver HC. In the wake of structural adjustment programs—exploring the relationship between domestic policies and health outcomes in Argentina and Uruguay. *Can J Public Health*. 2006;97(3):217–221.
- Reddy SG. Death in China: market reforms and health. Int J Health Serv. 2008;38(1):125–141.
- 298. Rhodes T, Ball A, Stimson GV, et al. HIV infection associated with drug injecting in the newly independent states, Eastern Europe: the social and economic context of epidemics. *Addiction*. 1999;94(9):1323–1336.