

Epicardial stenosis severity does not affect minimal microcirculatory resistance

Citation for published version (APA):

Aarnoudse, W. H., Geven, M. C. F., Vosse, van de, F. N., Rutten, M. C. M., & Pijls, N. H. J. (2004). *Epicardial stenosis severity does not affect minimal microcirculatory resistance*. Poster session presented at Mate Poster Award 2004 : 9th Annual Poster Contest.

Document status and date:

Published: 01/01/2004

Document Version:

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of Record (includes final page, issue and volume numbers)

Please check the document version of this publication:

- A submitted manuscript is the version of the article upon submission and before peer-review. There can be important differences between the submitted version and the official published version of record. People interested in the research are advised to contact the author for the final version of the publication, or visit the DOI to the publisher's website.
- The final author version and the galley proof are versions of the publication after peer review.
- The final published version features the final layout of the paper including the volume, issue and page numbers.

[Link to publication](#)

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

If the publication is distributed under the terms of Article 25fa of the Dutch Copyright Act, indicated by the "Taverne" license above, please follow below link for the End User Agreement:

www.tue.nl/taverne

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at:

openaccess@tue.nl

providing details and we will investigate your claim.

Epicardial Stenosis Severity Does Not Affect Minimal Microcirculatory Resistance

Wilbert Aarnoudse^{1,2}, Maartje Geven², Frans van de Vosse², Marcel Rutten², William Fearon³, Bernard De Bruyne⁴, Philip MacCarthy⁵, Jacques Koolen¹, Nico Pijls^{1,2}

¹Catharina Hospital, Department of Cardiology, Eindhoven, NL, ²Eindhoven University of Technology, Department of Biomedical Engineering, Eindhoven, NL, ³Stanford University, CA, USA, ⁴Cardiovascular Center Aalst, BE, ⁵King's College London, Department of Cardiology, UK

Background

Recently, we introduced an invasive index of microcirculatory resistance (IMR) calculated by the product of distal coronary pressure (P_d) and thermodilution-derived mean transit time (T_{mn}) measured at hyperemia: $IMR = P_d \cdot T_{mn}$.

In the absence of epicardial stenosis, myocardial flow is equal to coronary flow, and IMR correlates well with true myocardial resistance. In the presence of a stenosis however, myocardial flow is not only determined by coronary flow but also by collateral flow. In such a case, IMR (and comparable indices of microvascular resistance) can be calculated reliably by incorporating wedge pressure (P_w):

$$IMR = P_a \cdot T_{mn} \frac{P_d - P_w}{P_a - P_w}$$

The aims of this study were to investigate the feasibility of determining IMR in humans and to test the hypothesis that microcirculatory resistance is independent of the presence of a stenosis.




Figure 1: Schematic representation of the different steps of the protocol and corresponding values of FFR and IMR. AS, area stenosis; FFR, fractional flow reserve; IMR, index of microvascular resistance.

Methods

30 patients referred for stenting of a coronary artery stenosis were studied. Using a pressure/temperature guidewire, the lesion was stented and during balloon occlusion, coronary wedge pressure was measured. After stenting, a short balloon with a diameter of 1.0 mm smaller than the deployed stent was introduced into the stented segment and inflated with increasing pressures, creating 3 stenoses with increasing severity of 10%, 50% and 75%, respectively.

At each degree of stenosis, fractional flow reserve (FFR) and IMR were measured, using intravenous adenosine for steady state hyperemia.

/catharinaziekenhuis eindhoven




Figure 2: Relation between microvascular resistance and epicardial stenosis severity without correcting for collateral flow (upper panel) and with correction for collateral flow (lower panel).

Results

90 measurements of IMR were done in 30 patients. When IMR was not corrected for P_w , an apparent increase in microvascular resistance was observed with increasing stenosis severity (IMR = 24, 27 and 37 U for the 3 different degrees of stenosis, $p < 0.001$). In contrast, when taking into account collateral flow by incorporating P_w as indicated above, IMR did not change with stenosis severity (IMR = 22, 23 and 23 U respectively, $p = 0.28$).




Figure 3: The relationship between microvascular resistance (IMR) and stenosis severity (expressed by FFR) when collateral flow is taken into account (dots) and when collateral flow is neglected (squares).

Conclusion

- (1) Guidewire-based assessment of microvascular resistance by IMR is feasible in humans during PCI.
- (2) Minimal microvascular resistance, if calculated appropriately, is independent of epicardial stenosis severity.