Citation: Oncogenesis (2013) **2**, e71; doi:10.1038/oncsis.2013.35 © 2013 Macmillan Publishers Limited All rights reserved 2157-9024/13

www.nature.com/oncsis

## ORIGINAL ARTICLE Epigenetic and genetic features of 24 colon cancer cell lines

D Ahmed<sup>1,2,3</sup>, PW Eide<sup>1,2,3</sup>, IA Eilertsen<sup>1,2</sup>, SA Danielsen<sup>1,2</sup>, M Eknæs<sup>1,2</sup>, M Hektoen<sup>1,2</sup>, GE Lind<sup>1,2,4</sup> and RA Lothe<sup>1,2,4</sup>

Cell lines are invaluable biomedical research tools, and recent literature has emphasized the importance of genotype authentication and characterization. In the present study, 24 out of 27 cell line identities were confirmed by short tandem repeat profiling. The molecular phenotypes of the 24 colon cancer cell lines were examined, and microsatellite instability (MSI) and CpG island methylator phenotype (CIMP) were determined, using the Bethesda panel mononucleotide repeat loci and two epimarker panels, respectively. Furthermore, the *BRAF*, *KRAS* and *PIK3CA* oncogenes were analyzed for mutations in known hotspots, while the entire coding sequences of the *PTEN* and *TP53* tumor suppressors were investigated. Nine cell lines showed MSI. Thirteen and nine cell lines were found to be CIMP positive, using the Issa panel and the Weisenberger *et al.* panel, respectively. The latter was found to be superior for CIMP classification of colon cancer cell lines. Seventeen cell lines harbored disrupting *TP53* mutations. Altogether, 20/24 cell lines had the mitogen-activated protein kinase pathway activating mutually exclusive *KRAS* or *BRAF* mutations. *PIK3CA* and *PTEN* mutations leading to hyperactivation of the phosphoinositide 3-kinase/AKT pathway were observed in 13/24 cell lines. Interestingly, in four cell lines there were no mutations in neither *BRAF*, *KRAS*, *PIK3CA* nor in *PTEN*. In conclusion, this study presents molecular features of a large number of colon cancer cell lines to aid the selection of suitable *in vitro* models for descriptive and functional research.

Oncogenesis (2013) 2, e71; doi:10.1038/oncsis.2013.35; published online 16 September 2013

Subject Categories: Cellular oncogenes

Keywords: colorectal neoplasms; cell line; DNA methylation; point mutation; CIMP; microsatellite instability

## INTRODUCTION

For decades, human tumor-derived cell lines have been a cornerstone of cancer research and have shaped our understanding of the genetic and epigenetic changes that drive the process of malignancy. In addition to molecular and cell biology studies, cancer cell lines have been extensively used in areas, such as drug screening and biomarker discovery.<sup>1–5</sup> For research, cell culture presents unique advantages, such as ample supply of live cells, ease of controlling experimental factors and of being common reference model systems. However, questions have been raised about the clinical relevance of findings obtained by the use of cancer cell lines.<sup>1</sup> Biological issues, such as the monoclonal nature and the absence of tumor stroma and technical factors, including crosscontamination and culture adaptation, limit the direct comparison with in vivo tumors. Nevertheless, many cell lines harbor genetic and epigenetic aberrancies that are also found in matching cancer tissue biopsies.<sup>6–10</sup> By cell line authentication analyses and a careful selection of suitable cell lines for the specific research question being asked, some of the abovementioned limitations can be addressed in the study design. Improved genetic and epigenetic characterization of a set of cell lines from the same type of cancer will help scientists to choose the best research tool.

Colorectal cancer (CRC) is a heterogeneous disease with three different, but partly overlapping, molecular phenotypes reflecting different forms of DNA instability. The chromosomal instability pathway (CIN) is the most common phenotype, accounting for ~85% of all sporadic CRCs.<sup>11–13</sup> The malignant cells in CIN tumors

are typically aneuploid and reveal large-scale chromosomal rearrangements. The microsatellite instability (MSI) phenotype represents ~15% of all CRCs and is caused by various deficiencies in the DNA mismatch-repair system, leading to a large increase in the mutation rate.<sup>14,15</sup> Cancers with the CpG island methylator phenotype (CIMP) exhibit aberrant DNA methylation, leading to concordant promoter hypermethylation of multiple genes.<sup>16</sup> A precise definition of this phenotype and a unified panel of markers for classification remains to be established. Here a panel of representative genotype-authenticated colon cancer cell lines are further classified according to their genetic and epigenetic molecular phenotypes.

## RESULTS

## Overview of colon cancer cell lines

A major obstacle in the validity of data generated from cancer cell lines is potential cross-contamination. Recently, a database containing >400 cross-contaminated or misidentified cell lines was published by the International Cell Line Authentication Committee.<sup>17</sup> The cell lines included in the present study were analyzed by short tandem repeat (STR) profiling. For all cell lines, profiles were compared against publically available databases. To evaluate the cell lines with no publically available STR profile, these were subjected to a clustering analysis along with the profiles of >100 different cancer cell lines in order to check for inappropriate similarities. As a combined result, three of the initial

<sup>3</sup>These authors contributed equally to this study.

<sup>4</sup>These authors shared the last authorship.

Received 28 July 2013; accepted 29 July 2013

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Department of Cancer Prevention, Institute for Cancer Research, The Norwegian Radium Hospital, Oslo University Hospital, Oslo, Norway and <sup>2</sup>Centre for Cancer Biomedicine, Faculty of Medicine, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway. Correspondence: Associate Professor GE Lind or Professor RA Lothe, Department of Cancer Cancer Prevention, Institute for Cancer Research, The Norwegian Radium Hospital, Oslo University Hospital, Ullernchaussen 70—Montebello, PO Box 4953, Nydalen, Oslo NO-0424, Norway. E-mails: guro.elisabeth.lind@rr-research.no or ragnhild.a.lothe@rr-research.no

27 colon cancer cell lines were discarded due to cross-contamination (Figure 1).

As previously known, HCT-15/DLD-1 and HT-29/WiDr are derived from the same patient.<sup>18,19</sup> However, considering their widespread use, all four were subjected to analyses. As expected, there were no genetic differences within the pairs. In addition, two sets of cell lines derived from primary tumor and metastasis from the same patient are included here: SW480 (primary) and SW620 (lymph node), and IS1 (primary) and IS3 (peritoneal metastasis). SW480 and SW620 carried identical mutation profiles, but had epigenetic differences. IS1 was homozygous, whereas IS3 was heterozygous for the *KRAS* mutation.

The 24 cell lines included in this study varied in appearance and growth characteristics (Figure 2). The fastest growing cultures were those of Caco-2, COLO 320, DLD-1, HCT-15, HCT-116, HT-29 and TC71 (doubling time 20–24 h). Although most cell lines formed quasi-monolayers, EB, FRI, IS3, LS1034, SW1116 and V9P formed dense 'cell islands' and were also the slowest growing cultures. Cell line origins are listed in Table 1.

#### MSI and CIN status in colon cancer cell lines

Using the BAT-25 and BAT-26 mononucleotide repeat markers, 9/24 cancer cell lines were found to be MSI (Table 2). CIN was found to be mutually exclusive with MSI and was the most common phenotype with 15/24 cell lines (Table 2).

## CIMP in colon cancer cell lines

2

Classification of colon cancer cell lines into CIMP-positive and -negative samples were based on CIMP panel 1 suggested by Issa<sup>16</sup> (*CDKN2A* (*p16*), *MINT1*, *MINT31* and *MLH1*) and CIMP panel 2 suggested by Weisenberger *et al.*<sup>20</sup> (*CACNA1G*, *IGF2*, *NEUROG1*,

*RUNX3* and *SOCS1*). Among the 24 colon cancer cell lines, 13 and 9 were classified as CIMP positive for each panel, respectively (Table 2). In accordance with previous findings, panel 2 displayed a bimodal distribution of the number of methylated genes, as illustrated in Figure 3a. Figure 3b shows the CIMP status compared with the two other molecular pathways, CIN and MSI, as well as cancer gene mutations.

# BRAF, KRAS, PIK3CA, PTEN and TP53 mutations in colon cancer cell lines

In order of decreasing frequencies, TP53, KRAS, PIK3CA, BRAF and PTEN are among the most commonly altered genes in CRC. A subset of the present panel of cell lines have previously been characterized for TP53 mutations.<sup>21</sup> We found that TP53 was the most commonly mutated gene, affecting 17/24 cell lines. Three cell lines had frame-shift or nonsense mutations, while the remaining had missense mutations (Table 2). The SIFT Human Protein tool and the IARC TP53 database were used to assess the functional impact of these substitutions.<sup>22,23</sup> All of the 17 cell lines carried mutations predicted to be 'damaging/non-functional'. Notably, SW480 and SW620 carried each two different TP53 mutations: 'tolerated/increased activity' P309S and 'damaging/ non-functional' R273H substitutions. Although TP53 is polymorphic at codon 72 and several studies have suggested increased cancer susceptibility for carriers of the TP53<sup>P72</sup> variant, this association is uncertain.<sup>24–26</sup> Of our 24 cell lines, 8 had at least 1 such allele. Full details on all mutations are listed in Supplementary Table 1.

Hyperactivating *KRAS* mutations were found in 15 cancer cell lines, and out of these five were homozygous (Table 2 and Supplementary Table 1). *BRAF* mutations were found in another five cell lines and were, as expected, mutually exclusive with those of *KRAS*. All *BRAF*-mutated cell lines retained a wild-type



**Figure 1.** Colon cancer cell lines STR profiling. Hierarchical clustering of cell lines based on STR length of three alleles of nine STR markers. Gray color in the heatmap indicates missing allele. AMEL marker indicates sex chromosomes present. Cell lines found misclassified and excluded from further analysis are highlighted in red. Cell line pairs previously known to be derived from the same patients are highlighted in gray.



Figure 2. Colon cancer cell lines vary in growth rate and morphology. Phase-contrast micrographs depict the individual cell cultures 24 h after trypsinization and seeding. Fast-growing cancer cell lines are indicated with a yellow dot and slower-growing cell lines are indicated by a red dot. The remaining cell lines had an intermediate growth rate. Scale bar, 100 μm.

*BRAF* copy. In total, 20/24 cell lines harbored mutations in either *KRAS* or *BRAF*.

The *PIK3CA* gene had hyperactivating mutations in 11 samples (Table 2). SW948 was the only cell line homozygous for the mutant allele. *PTEN* encodes a tumor-suppressor protein counteracting the phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K) complex.<sup>27</sup> Two samples, CO-115 and TC71, had mutations leading to premature stop codons. Summarized, 13/24 cell lines had PI3K/AKT hyperactivating disruptions.

#### Epigenetic and genetic stratification of colon cancer cell lines

Venn diagrams illustrate the overlap between the three developmental pathways (Figure 3c). CIMP panel 1/Issa<sup>16</sup> overlapped with the majority of cell lines with MSI and *BRAF* mutation (Table 3). For CIMP panel 2/Weisenberger *et al.*,<sup>20</sup> the binary logistic regression analysis revealed a significant association between a positive phenotype and MSI (P = 0.03), and a CIMP-negative phenotype and *TP53* mutation (P = 0.01), in agreement with previous findings in CRC.<sup>16,20</sup> CIMP-positive cell lines were associated with mutations in *BRAF* and *PIK3CA* (borderline significance P = 0.07 and 0.06, respectively). Results are summarized in Table 3 and are illustrated in Figure 3c.

#### DISCUSSION

We present here the profiles of key epigenetic and genetic features of 24 colon cancer cell lines, which is depicted in Supplementary Figure 1.

There is still no consensus regarding how the CIMP phenotype should be classified.<sup>28</sup> Several gene panels exist and optimal marker thresholds are not yet determined. Furthermore, there is no consensus regarding whether CIMP consists of two subgroups,<sup>20</sup> three subgroups<sup>29</sup> or four subgroups.<sup>30</sup> Many have also struggled to confirm the bimodal distribution of the number of methylated markers first described by Ogino et al.<sup>31</sup> This was in recent years elegantly reproduced by Weisenberger et al.,<sup>20</sup> using a comprehensive approach to identify suitable markers for CIMP classification and, at the same time, demonstrating that this indeed is a distinct subgroup of colorectal tumors. In the present study, we have analyzed the two most commonly used CIMP panels,<sup>16,20</sup> and in spite of the limited sample number a bimodal distribution was confirmed for CIMP panel 2, supporting the use of this Weisenberger-derived panel also for classifying colon cancer cell lines. This was further supported by the association found between CIMP and BRAF mutations, which is in compliance with results from primary CRCs.20

4

Cell line	Patient	Organ	Disease	Stage	Derived from	Reference
Caco-2		Colon	Colorectal carcinoma			Caro <i>et al.</i> <sup>41</sup>
CO-115	77-Year-old female	Colon ascendens	Colorectal adenocarcinoma	Dukes' C	Primary tumor	Carrel et al.57
COLO 320	55-Year-old female	Colon sigmoid	Colorectal adenocarcinoma		Primary tumor	Quinn et al.58
DLD-1	Male	Colon	Colorectal adenocarcinoma		HCT-15/DLD-1 misclassified	Chen <i>et al.</i> <sup>18</sup> and Dexter <i>et al.</i> <sup>59</sup>
EB		Colon	Colonic carcinoma		Primary tumor	Brattain <i>et al.<sup>60,61</sup></i>
FRI		Colon	Colonic carcinoma		Primary tumor	Brattain <i>et al</i> . <sup>61</sup> and Chantret <i>et al</i> . <sup>61,62</sup>
HCT-15	Male	Colon	Colorectal adenocarcinoma		HCT-15/DLD-1 misclassified	Chen <i>et al.</i> , <sup>18</sup> Tibbet <i>et al.</i> <sup>63</sup> and Dexter <i>et al.</i> <sup>64</sup>
HCT-116	48-Year-old male	Colon ascendens	Colorectal carcinoma	Dukes' D	Primary tumor	Brattain <i>et al.</i> <sup>61,65</sup> and Eshleman <i>et al.</i> <sup>66</sup>
HT-29	44-Year-old female	Colon	Colorectal adenocarcinoma	Dukes' C	Primary tumor	Fogh <sup>67</sup>
IS1		Colon ascendens	Colon cancer	Dukes' C	Primary tumor	Cajot et al. <sup>68</sup>
IS3		Colon	Colon cancer	Dukes' C	Peritoneal metastasis	Cajot et al. <sup>68</sup>
LoVo	56-Year-old male	Colon	Colorectal adenocarcinoma	Dukes' C	Left supraclavicular region	Drewinko <i>et al.<sup>69</sup></i>
LS1034	54-Year-old male	Cecum	Cecal carcinoma	Dukes' C	Primary tumor	Suardet <i>et al.</i> <sup>70</sup>
LS-174T	58-Year-old female	Colon	Colorectal adenocarcinoma		Subcultured LS 180	Tom <i>et al.</i> <sup>71</sup>
NCI-H508	55-Year-old male	Cecum	Colorectal adenocarcinoma		Abdominal wall metastasis	Park et al. <sup>72</sup>
RKO		Colon	Colonic carcinoma		Primary tumor	Brattain <i>et al.</i> <sup>60,61</sup>
SW1116	73-Year-old male	Colon	Colorectal adenocarcinoma	Dukes' A		Leibovitz et al. <sup>73</sup>
SW48	83-Year-old female	Colon	Colorectal adenocarcinoma	Dukes' C		Leibovitz et al. <sup>73</sup>
SW480	50-Year-old male	Colon	Colorectal adenocarcinoma	Dukes' B	Primary tumor	Leibovitz et al. <sup>73</sup>
SW620	51-Year-old male	Colon	Colorectal adenocarcinoma	Dukes' C	Lymph node metastasis	Leibovitz et al. <sup>73</sup>
SW948	81-Year-old female	Colon	Colorectal adenocarcinoma	Dukes' C		Leibovitz et al. <sup>73</sup>
TC71	Clinical history of HNPCC	Colon sigmoid	Colorectal tumour	Dukes' B	Primary tumor	Bras-Goncalves et al. <sup>34</sup>
V9P	67-Year-old male	Colon rectum	Colorectal carcinoma	Dukes' D	Primary tumor	McBain <i>et al.</i> <sup>74</sup>
WiDr		Colon	Colorectal adenocarcinoma		HT-29, misclassified	Fogh <sup>67</sup>

Despite the fact that MSI is frequently caused by promoter hypermethylation of *MLH1* in sporadic CRC, and that MSI is largely overlapping with the CIMP phenotype, only three out of seven MSI CIMP-positive cell lines had *MLH1* promoter methylation. Among the remaining four, DLD-1/HCT-15 carry disrupted *MSH6*, HCT-116 has mutated *MLH1*<sup>32,33</sup> and TC71 is derived from a patient with a history of hereditary non-polyposis CRC syndrome, and consequently has a so-far unknown germline mismatch-repair deficiency.<sup>34</sup>

Interestingly, two MSI cell lines were scored as CIMP negative across both epimarker panels. Mutations in *KRAS* have previously been associated with a CIMP-low phenotype<sup>29,35</sup> and it is possible that these cell lines would be reclassified as a CIMP-low phenotype, a subgroup associated with MSI, if the threshold criteria were changed or if markers that have the ability to separate CIMP-high from CIMP-low phenotype were taken into account. Indeed, both LoVo and LS-174T harbored *KRAS* mutations. We have previously shown that these cell lines harbor promoter methylation of the majority of promoters included in a six-gene DNA methylation biomarker panel for early detection of CRC.<sup>4</sup>

*TP53* is a gene that is pivotal in maintaining genome integrity and in inducing apoptosis in cells damaged beyond repair.<sup>36</sup> Seven of our cell lines presented wild-type *TP53*. Alternative mechanisms for the deregulation of this tumor suppressive P53 signaling circuit are ATM loss or MDM2 hyperactivation.<sup>37</sup> The PI3K/AKT pathway is known to induce MDM2 activity and could thus contribute to the loss of TP53 in some of the seven wild-type cell lines.<sup>38</sup>

KRAS and BRAF are proto-oncogenes in the RAS-RAF-mitogenactivated protein kinase pathway relaying pro-proliferative signaling. In a previous study, BRAF and KRAS mutation status, as well as CIMP status, have been determined for 12 of the 24 cell lines reported here.<sup>39</sup> With the exception of a KRAS mutation detected in SW948, all data were in agreement with these results. The RAS-RAF-mitogen-activated protein kinase signaling promotes growth and is hyperactivated in a large fraction of colorectal carcinomas. KRAS and BRAF are the most common alterations, but also alterations to NRAS, EGFR, ERBB2 and ERBB3 are known to contribute to pathway activation in CRC.<sup>32,40</sup> In our panel, 4/24 lines were negative for both KRAS and BRAF hotspot mutations. Interestingly, the same four cell lines were negative for PIK3CA/PTEN alterations as well. Activating PIK3CA mutations and loss or mutational inactivation of PTEN are typical aberrations, leading to hyperactivation of the pro-tumorigenic PI3K/AKT pathway.<sup>27</sup> In the case of SW48, a hyperactivating mutation in EGFR has been described.<sup>32</sup> However, for the remaining three cell lines, Caco-2, COLO 320 and V9P, alternative mechanisms must be driving the malignant growth.

In summary, we report an epigenetic and genetic profiling of a large panel of colon cancer cell lines. By comparing the two most cited CIMP panels in the literature, we support the panel of Weisenberger *et al.*<sup>20</sup> as the most suitable choice for CIMP evaluation in colon cancer cell lines, also suggesting that colon cancer cell lines might be relevant model systems for studying the CIMP phenotype. The genetic and epigenetic information provided in the present study should aid in the selection of representative colon cancer cell lines for future research.

Table 2. Colon cancer cell lines classified by the molecular pathways CIN, MSI and CIMP, and mutation status of cancer critical genes									
Cell line	MSI status	CIMP panel 1	CIMP panel 2	CIN	KRAS	BRAF	PIK3CA	PTEN	TP53
CO-115	MSI	+	+	_	wt	V600E	wt	E157fs;R233X	wt
DLD-1	MSI	+	+	_ 46	G13D	wt	E545K;D549N	wt	S241F
HCT-116	MSI	+	+	_	G13D	wt	H1047R	wt	wt
HCT-15	MSI	+	+	_	G13D	wt	E545K;D549N	wt	S241F
LoVo	MSI	_	_	_	G13D;A14V	wt	wt	wt	wt
LS-174T	MSI	_	_	_	G12D	wt	H1047R	wt	wt
RKO	MSI	+	+	_	wt	V600E	H1047R	wt	wt
SW48	MSI	+	+	_	wt	wt	wt	wt	wt
TC71	MSI	+	_	_	G12D	wt	wt	R233X	C176Y;R213X
Caco-2	MSS	+	_	$+^{48}$	wt	wt	wt	wt	E204X
COLO 320	MSS	_	_	+	wt	wt	wt	wt	R248W
EB	MSS	_	+	+	G12D	wt	E545K	wt	wt
FRI	MSS	_	_	+	G13D	wt	E545K	wt	C277F
HT-29	MSS	+	+	+	wt	V600E	P449T <sup>b</sup>	wt	R273H
IS1	MSS	+	_	+	G12D	wt	wt	wt	Y163H
IS3	MSS	_	_	+	G12D	wt	wt	wt	Y163H
LS1034	MSS	_	_	+	A146T <sup>b</sup>	wt	wt	wt	G245S
NCI-H508	MSS	_	_	$+^{46}$	wt	G596R	E545K	wt	R273H
SW1116	MSS	+	_	$+^{47}$	G12A	wt	wt	wt	A159D
SW480	MSS	_	_	+	G12V	wt	wt	wt	R273H;P309S
SW620	MSS	+	_	$+^{46}$	G12V	wt	wt	wt	R273H;P309S
SW948	MSS	_	_	$+^{47}$	Q61L	wt	E542K	wt	G117fs
V9P	MSS	_	_	+	wt	wt	wt	wt	G245D
WiDr	MSS	+	+	$+^{a}$	wt	V600E	P449T <sup>b</sup>	wt	R273H

Abbreviations: CIN, chromosomal instability pathway; MSI, microsatellite instability; MSS, microsatellite stable; CIMP, CpG island methylator phenotype; X, stop codon; fs, frame shift; wt, wild type. Mutations are annotated at the protein level as described by den Dunnen *et al.*<sup>54</sup> (standard one-letter amino acid abbreviations, X and fs). For further details, see Supplementary Table 1. <sup>a</sup>No publication on WiDr karyotype was found; however, WiDr and HT-29 are identical cell lines.<sup>19</sup> <sup>b</sup>Previously reported mutations not covered by our assays.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Colon cancer cell lines

Twenty-seven colon cancer cell lines were initially included in the present study. HCT-116, HCT-15, LoVo, RKO, SW1116, SW48, SW620, SW948, NCI-H508 and WiDr were purchased from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC, Manassas, VA, USA). ALA, CaCo-2, CO-115, COLO 320, DLD-1, EB, FRI, HT-29, IS1, IS2, IS3, LS1034, LS-174T, TC7, TC71, SW480 and V9P were kindly provided by collaborators. Cell lines were cultured in medium with added fetal bovine serum, glutamine, penicillin and streptomycin, and were maintained in humidified 37 °C 5% CO<sub>2</sub> incubators as described in Supplementary Table 2. Before collection, cultures were tested for mycoplasma infection using Myco Alert (Lonza, Walkersville, MD, USA) according to the manufacturer's protocol.

DNA was isolated using either a standard phenol/chloroform procedure, or a magnetic beads approach (the Maxwell 16 DNA Purification kits, Promega Corporation, Madison, WJ, USA, and MagAttract DNA Mini M48 kit, Qiagen Inc, Valencia, CA, USA). DNA was STR profiled using the AmpFLSTR Identifiler PCR Amplification Kit (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA). Resulting cancer cell line STR profiles were cross-compared and, where available, matched with the ATCC's and German Collection of Microorganisms and Cell cultures' (Braunschweig, Germany) online databases. Hierarchical clustering of STR data was performed using Euclidian distances and average linkage clustering in Partek Genomics Suite 6.6 (Partek Inc., St Louis, MO, USA; Figure 2). ALA, CO-115, EB, FRI, IS1, IS2, IS3, TC7, TC71 and V9P are non-commercial cell lines and their STR profiles will be provided upon request. Three of the 27 cancer cell lines were found to be misclassified. ALA and IS2 had identical profiles to SW480/SW620 and LS1034, respectively. TC7 had a STR profile incompatible with its origin as a Caco-2 subclone.<sup>41</sup> Consequently, ALA, IS2 and TC7 were excluded from further analysis.

Micrographs of live cell cultures were captured with an Eclipse TS100 microscope equipped with a  $\times$ 10 phase-contrast objective using accompanying NIS-Elements F Package 2.21 software (all from Nikon, Tokyo, Japan). Resulting images were imported into Photoshop CS4 (Adobe Systems, Mountain View, CA, USA), cropped and color matched.

## MSI status and CIN phenotype

The MSI status was determined by analyzing the BAT-25 and BAT-26 mononucleotide repeat loci as previously described.  $^{42}$  BAT-25 and BAT-26

represent two out of the five markers in the Bethesda panel and have been shown to correctly identify 97% of MSI-high cases.<sup>43,44</sup> With the notable exception of LoVo, there was full concordance between the two markers. LoVo lacked the BAT-26 locus altogether, but was classified as MSI based on BAT-25 fragment length and in accordance with another study.<sup>32</sup> The CIN status for all cell lines was retrieved from previous data from us and others,<sup>45-48</sup> and was in concordance with the present MSI data and gene mutation data.

## CpG island methylator phenotype

Genomic DNA was subjected to bisulfite-mediated conversion using the EpiTect Bisulfite Kit from Qiagen and DNA methylation was determined by quantitative methylation-specific PCR, as previously described<sup>4</sup> in 10 CIMPdefined promoters belonging to two distinct panels. CIMP panel 1/Issa<sup>16</sup> consisted of CDKN2A (p16), MINT1, MINT2, MINT31 and MLH1<sup>49</sup> and CIMP panel 2/Weisenberger et al.<sup>20</sup> consisted of CACNA1G, IGF2, NEUROG1, RUNX3 and SOCS1. 6-FAM-labeled probes were purchased from Life Technologies and primers were purchased from BioNordika Norway AS (Oslo, Norway). For all samples, three replicates were run for each of the genes and the median value was used for data analysis. The repetitive ALU sequence (ALU-C4) was used to normalize for the amount of bisulfite-converted DNA input.<sup>50</sup> A methylated reference (CpGenome Universal Methylated DNA, Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA) was used to generate 1:5 dilution series (32.5–0.052 ng) constituting the standard curve. All samples were censored after cycle 35 according to the protocol from Life Technologies. The percent of methylated reference values were calculated based on the median value of GENE:ALU ratio for each sample divided by the median GENE:ALU ratio of the positive control, and multiplied by 100. Samples were considered positive for methylation when the percent of methylated reference was  $\ge 10$ , in accordance with previous publications.<sup>20,49</sup> In CIMP panel 1, promoter hypermethylation of MINT2 occurred in all cell lines and was therefore non-informative for CIMP classification. According to previously established criteria, CIMP-positive samples for panel 1 were defined as having three or four methylated markers and CIMP-negative samples as having zero to two methylated markers.<sup>49</sup> For panel 2, CIMP-positive cell lines were defined as harboring  $\geq$  3/5 methylated markers and CIMP-negative samples as having a maximum of 2 methylated markers.<sup>20</sup>



Genomic features of colon cancer cell lines D Ahmed *et al* 



**Figure 3.** CIMP in colon cancer cell lines. (**a**) The status of CIMP panel 1 (Issa<sup>16</sup> left) and panel 2 (Weisenberger *et al.*<sup>20</sup> right) are illustrated. Panel 2 displayed a bimodal distribution of the number of methylated markers, identifying a distinct group of colon cancer cell lines with frequent DNA methylation. (**b**) Molecular profiles of colon cancer cell lines. A total of 10 markers in 2 preselected panels were tested for CIMP-related DNA methylation in 24 colon cancer cell lines. Green and red color signifies unmethylated and methylated samples, respectively. CIMP-positive samples are indicated with purple color, light blue signifies CIMP-negative samples. Samples with CIN or MSI, or *BRAF, KRAS, PIK3CA, PTEN* and/or *TP53* mutations are marked by black color. (**c**) Venn diagrams illustrate the association between the three CRC phenotypes CIN, MSI and CIMP panel 1 (left) and CIMP panel 2 (right) in colon cancer cell lines.

Table 3. As features	ssociations	s between	CIMP stat	tus and o	ther moleo	cular	
Feature	ls	sa <sup>16</sup> panel	1	Weisenberger et al. <sup>20</sup> panel 2			
	CIMP + (%)	CIMP — (%)	P-value	CIMP + (%)	CIMP — (%)	P-value	
MSI	6 (75)	2 (25)	0.09	5 (62)	3 (38)	0.03	
BRAF <sup>mut</sup>	3 (75)	1 (25)	0.29	3 (75)	1 (25)	0.07	
KRAS <sup>mut</sup>	6 (43)	8 (57)	0.38	3 (21)	11 (79)	0.18	
PIK3CA <sup>mut</sup>	4 (44)	5 (55)	0.67	5 (56)	4 (44)	0.06	
PTEN <sup>mut</sup>	2 (100)	0 (0)	0.99	1 (50)	1 (50)	0.57	
TP53 <sup>mut</sup>	7 (47)	8 (53)	0.65	2 (13)	13 (87)	0.01	

phenotype. DLD-1 and WiDr are identical with HCT-15 and HT-29, and were excluded from the analyses.

Mutation analyses of BRAF, KRAS, PIK3CA, PTEN and TP53 cancer genes

Total DNA was subjected to PCR amplification followed by Sanger sequencing as previously described.<sup>51</sup> Resulting sequences were compared with the consensus coding sequence retrieved from the

University of California, Santa Cruz genome browser, hg19 (accessed November 2012).<sup>52,53</sup> All mutations are annotated at the protein level according to previously described nomenclature.<sup>54</sup> Mutational hotspots, *BRAF* codon V600, *KRAS* codons G12, G13 and Q61, and *PIK3CA* codons E542, E545 and H1047 were analyzed. The entire coding regions of *PTEN* and *TP53* were examined. Mutation calls were verified by resequencing using different sets of primers. The functional impact of amino acid substitutions was assessed using the SIFT Human Protein tool with default parameters (accessed March 2013) and the IARC TP53 database (accessed June 2013).<sup>22,23</sup> All mutation data was compared with data available from COSMIC (accessed January 2013).<sup>32</sup> Two hyperactivating mutations, *BRAF*<sup>A146T</sup> (LS1034) and *PIK3CA*<sup>P449T</sup> (HT-29/WiDr) have previously been reported in regions not covered in the present study.<sup>55,56</sup> These two mutations were included for statistical comparisons.

#### Statistical analyses

SPSS 16.0 was used for performing statistical analyses (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA). Binary logistic regression analysis was used to examine associations between CIMP and genetic features. All *P*-values were derived from two-tailed tests and findings with *P*-values  $\leq 0.05$  were considered statistically significant. No correction for multiple testing was performed. As cell lines HCT-15/DLD-1 and HT-29/WiDr are derived from the same patient and most likely from the same tumor,<sup>18,19</sup> only HCT-15 and HT-29 were included in the statistical analyses.



The authors declare no conflict of interest.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was funded in parts through grants from the Norwegian Cancer Society (PWE, SAD and MH are supported as PhD student, post doc and medical technologist, respectively, by grants to RAL: PR-2006-0442. DA is supported as a PhD student by grant to GEL: PR-2009-0307), and from the South-Eastern Norway Health Region Authority (2013067, GEL; 2011024, RAL). ALA, CO-115, COLO 320, EB, FRI, HT-29, IS1, IS2, IS3, LS1034, LS-174T, TC7, TC71, SW480 and V9P cell lines were kindly provided by Dr Richard Hamelin (INSERM and UPMC, France) and CaCo-2 and DLD-1 from PhD Juha Rantala (Oregon Health and Science University, USA).

#### REFERENCES

- 1 Gazdar AF, Gao B, Minna JD. Lung cancer cell lines: useless artifacts or invaluable tools for medical science? *Lung Cancer* 2010; 68: 309.
- 2 Shoemaker RH. The NCI60 human tumour cell line anticancer drug screen. Nat Rev Cancer 2006; 6: 813.
- 3 Ashraf SQ, Nicholls AM, Wilding JL, Ntouroupi TG, Mortensen NJ, Bodmer WF. Direct and immune mediated antibody targeting of ERBB receptors in a colorectal cancer cell-line panel. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2012; **109**: 21046.
- 4 Lind GE, Danielsen SA, Ahlquist T, Merok MA, Andresen K, Skotheim RI *et al.* Identification of an epigenetic biomarker panel with high sensitivity and specificity for colorectal cancer and adenomas, Mol. *Cancer* 2011; **10**: 85.
- 5 Barretina J, Caponigro G, Stransky N, Venkatesan K, Margolin AA, Kim S *et al.* The Cancer Cell Line Encyclopedia enables predictive modelling of anticancer drug sensitivity. *Nature* 2012; **483**: 603.
- 6 Saaf AM, Halbleib JM, Chen X, Yuen ST, Leung SY, Nelson WJ *et al.* Parallels between global transcriptional programs of polarizing Caco-2 intestinal epithelial cells in vitro and gene expression programs in normal colon and colon cancer. *Mol Biol Cell* 2007; **18**: 4245.
- 7 van Staveren WC, Solis DY, Hebrant A, Detours V, Dumont JE, Maenhaut C. Human cancer cell lines: experimental models for cancer cells in situ? For cancer stem cells? *Biochim Biophys Acta* 2009; **1795**: 92.
- 8 MacLeod RA, Dirks WG, Matsuo Y, Kaufmann M, Milch H, Drexler HG. Widespread intraspecies cross-contamination of human tumor cell lines arising at source, Int. J Cancer 1999; 83: 555.
- 9 Jones S, Chen WD, Parmigiani G, Diehl F, Beerenwinkel N, Antal T *et al*. Comparative lesion sequencing provides insights into tumor evolution. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2008; **105**: 4283.
- 10 Gayet J, Zhou XP, Duval A, Rolland S, Hoang JM, Cottu P et al. Extensive characterization of genetic alterations in a series of human colorectal cancer cell lines. Oncogene 2001; 20: 5025.
- 11 Nowak MA, Komarova NL, Sengupta A, Jallepalli PV, Shih I, Vogelstein B et al. The role of chromosomal instability in tumor initiation. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2002; 99: 16226.
- 12 Hermsen M, Postma C, Baak J, Weiss M, Rapallo A, Sciutto A et al. Colorectal adenoma to carcinoma progression follows multiple pathways of chromosomal instability. Gastroenterology 2002; 123: 1109.
- 13 Lengauer C, Kinzler KW, Vogelstein B. Genetic instability in colorectal cancers. Nature 1997; **386**: 623.
- 14 Lothe RA. Microsatellite instability in human solid tumors. *Mol Med Today* 1997; **3**: 61.
- 15 Shibata D, Peinado MA, Ionov Y, Malkhosyan S, Perucho M. Genomic instability in repeated sequences is an early somatic event in colorectal tumorigenesis that persists after transformation. *Nat Genet* 1994; **6**: 273.
- 16 Issa JP. CpG island methylator phenotype in cancer. Nat Rev Cancer 2004; 4: 988.
- 17 Masters JR. Cell-line authentication: end the scandal of false cell lines. *Nature* 2012; **492**: 186.
- 18 Chen TR, Dorotinsky CS, McGuire LJ, Macy ML, Hay RJ. DLD-1 and HCT-15 cell lines derived separately from colorectal carcinomas have totally different chromosome changes but the same genetic origin. *Cancer Genet Cytogenet* 1995; 81: 103.
- 19 Chen TR, Drabkowski D, Hay RJ, Macy M, Peterson Jr. W. WiDr is a derivative of another colon adenocarcinoma cell line, HT-29. Cancer Genet Cytogenet 1987; 27: 125.
- 20 Weisenberger DJ, Siegmund KD, Campan M, Young J, Long TI, Faasse MA et al. CpG island methylator phenotype underlies sporadic microsatellite instability and is tightly associated with BRAF mutation in colorectal cancer. Nat Genet 2006; 38: 787.
- 21 Liu Y, Bodmer WF. Analysis of P53 mutations and their expression in 56 colorectal cancer cell lines. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2006; **103**: 976.
- 22 Kumar P, Henikoff S, Ng PC. Predicting the effects of coding non-synonymous variants on protein function using the SIFT algorithm. *Nat Protoc* 2009; 4: 1073.

- 23 Petitjean A, Mathe E, Kato S, Ishioka C, Tavtigian SV, Hainaut P et al. Impact of mutant p53 functional properties on TP53 mutation patterns and tumor phenotype: lessons from recent developments in the IARC TP53 database. Hum Mutat 2007; 28: 622.
- 24 Weng Y, Lu L, Yuan G, Guo J, Zhang Z, Xie X et al. p53 codon 72 polymorphism and hematological cancer risk: an update meta-analysis. PLoS One 2012; 7: e45820.
- 25 Fan R, Wu MT, Miller D, Wain JC, Kelsey KT, Wiencke JK et al. The p53 codon 72 polymorphism and lung cancer risk. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2000; 9: 1037.
- 26 Langerod A, Bukholm IR, Bregard A, Lonning PE, Andersen TI, Rognum TO et al. The TP53 codon 72 polymorphism may affect the function of TP53 mutations in breast carcinomas but not in colorectal carcinomas. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2002; **11**: 1684.
- 27 Cantley LC, Neel BG. New insights into tumor suppression: PTEN suppresses tumor formation by restraining the phosphoinositide 3-kinase/AKT pathway. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1999; 96: 4240.
- 28 Hughes LA, Khalid-de Bakker CA, Smits KM, van den Brandt PA, Jonkers D, Ahuja N et al. The CpG island methylator phenotype in colorectal cancer: progress and problems. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 2012; **1825**: 77.
- 29 Shen L, Toyota M, Kondo Y, Lin E, Zhang L, Guo Y et al. Integrated genetic and epigenetic analysis identifies three different subclasses of colon cancer. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2007; 104: 18654.
- 30 Hinoue T, Weisenberger DJ, Lange CP, Shen H, Byun HM, Van Den BD *et al.* Genome-scale analysis of aberrant DNA methylation in colorectal cancer. *Genome Res* 2012; **22**: 271.
- 31 Ogino S, Cantor M, Kawasaki T, Brahmandam M, Kirkner GJ, Weisenberger DJ et al. CpG island methylator phenotype (CIMP) of colorectal cancer is best characterised by quantitative DNA methylation analysis and prospective cohort studies. Gut 2006; 55: 1000.
- 32 Forbes SA, Bindal N, Bamford S, Cole C, Kok CY, Beare D et al. COSMIC: mining complete cancer genomes in the Catalogue of Somatic Mutations in Cancer. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2011; **39**(suppl 1): D945–D950.
- 33 Papadopoulos N, Nicolaides NC, Liu B, Parsons R, Lengauer C, Palombo F et al. Mutations of GTBP in genetically unstable cells. Science 1995; 268: 1915.
- 34 Bras-Goncalves RA, Rosty C, Laurent-Puig P, Soulie P, Dutrillaux B, Poupon MF. Sensitivity to CPT-11 of xenografted human colorectal cancers as a function of microsatellite instability and p53 status, Br. J Cancer 2000; 82: 913.
- 35 Ogino S, Kawasaki T, Kirkner GJ, Loda M, Fuchs CS. CpG island methylator phenotype-low (CIMP-low) in colorectal cancer: possible associations with male sex and KRAS mutations. J Mol Diagn 2006; 8: 582.
- 36 Toledo F, Wahl GM. Regulating the p53 pathway: in vitro hypotheses, in vivo veritas. *Nat Rev Cancer* 2006; **6**: 909.
- 37 Cheng Q, Chen L, Li Z, Lane WS, Chen J. ATM activates p53 by regulating MDM2 oligomerization and E3 processivity. *EMBO J* 2009; **28**: 3857.
- 38 Mayo LD, Donner DB. The PTEN, Mdm2, p53 tumor suppressor-oncoprotein network. Trends Biochem Sci 2002; 27: 462.
- 39 Hinoue T, Weisenberger DJ, Pan F, Campan M, Kim M, Young J et al. Analysis of the association between CIMP and BRAF in colorectal cancer by DNA methylation profiling. PLoS One 2009; 4: e8357.
- 40 TCGA. Comprehensive molecular characterization of human colon and rectal cancer. Nature 2012; 487: 330.
- 41 Caro I, Boulenc X, Rousset M, Meunier V. Characterization of a newly isolated Caco-2 clone (TC7) as a model of transport processes and biotransformation of drugs. *Int J Pharm* 1995; **116**: 147.
- 42 Wu Q, Lothe RA, Ahlquist T, Silins I, Trope CG, Micci F et al. DNA methylation profiling of ovarian carcinomas and their in vitro models identifies HOXA9, HOXB5, SCGB3A1, and CRABP1 as novel targets. *Mol Cancer* 2007; 6: 45.
- 43 Cicek MS, Lindor NM, Gallinger S, Bapat B, Hopper JL, Jenkins MA *et al.* Quality assessment and correlation of microsatellite instability and immunohistochemical markers among population- and clinic-based colorectal tumors results from the Colon Cancer Family Registry. *J Mol Diagn* 2011; **13**: 271.
- 44 Boland CR, Thibodeau SN, Hamilton SR, Sidransky D, Eshleman JR, Burt RW et al. A National Cancer Institute Workshop on Microsatellite Instability for cancer detection and familial predisposition: development of international criteria for the determination of microsatellite instability in colorectal cancer. *Cancer Res* 1998; 58: 5248.
- 45 Kleivi K, Teixeira MR, Eknaes M, Diep CB, Jakobsen KS, Hamelin R et al. Genome signatures of colon carcinoma cell lines. Cancer Genet Cytogenet 2004; 155: 119.
- 46 Knutsen T, Padilla-Nash HM, Wangsa D, Barenboim-Stapleton L, Camps J, McNeil N et al. Definitive molecular cytogenetic characterization of 15 colorectal cancer cell lines. Genes Chromosomes Cancer 2010; 49: 204.
- 47 Lee AJ, Endesfelder D, Rowan AJ, Walther A, Birkbak NJ, Futreal PA et al. Chromosomal instability confers intrinsic multidrug resistance. *Cancer Res* 2011; 71: 1858.
- 48 Thompson SL, Compton DA. Examining the link between chromosomal instability and aneuploidy in human cells. J Cell Biol 2008; **180**: 665.



- 49 Frazier ML, Xi L, Zong J, Viscofsky N, Rashid A, Wu EF et al. Association of the CpG island methylator phenotype with family history of cancer in patients with colorectal cancer. Cancer Res 2003; 63: 4805.
- 50 Weisenberger DJ, Campan M, Long TI, Kim M, Woods C, Fiala E et al. Analysis of repetitive element DNA methylation by MethyLight. Nucleic Acids Res 2005; 33: 6823.
- 51 Berg M, Danielsen SA, Ahlquist T, Merok MA, Ågesen TH, Vatn MH et al. DNA sequence profiles of the colorectal cancer critical gene set KRAS-BRAF-PIK3CA-PTEN-TP53 related to age at disease onset. PLoS One 2010; 5: e13978.
- 52 Pruitt KD, Harrow J, Harte RA, Wallin C, Diekhans M, Maglott DR et al. The consensus coding sequence (CCDS) project: Identifying a common protein-coding gene set for the human and mouse genomes. Genome Res 2009; 19: 1316.
- 53 Meyer LR, Zweig AS, Hinrichs AS, Karolchik D, Kuhn RM, Wong M et al. The UCSC Genome Browser database: extensions and updates 2013. Nucleic Acids Res 2013; 41(Database issue): D64–D69.
- 54 den Dunnen JT, Antonarakis SE. Mutation nomenclature extensions and suggestions to describe complex mutations: a discussion. *Hum Mutat* 2000; **15**: 7.
- 55 Janakiraman M, Vakiani E, Zeng Z, Pratilas CA, Taylor BS, Chitale D et al. Genomic and biological characterization of exon 4 KRAS mutations in human cancer. *Cancer Res* 2010; **70**: 5901.
- 56 Ikediobi ON, Davies H, Bignell G, Edkins S, Stevens C, O'Meara S *et al.* Mutation analysis of 24 known cancer genes in the NCI-60 cell line set. *Mol Cancer Ther* 2006; **5**: 2606.
- 57 Carrel S, Sordat B, Merenda C. Establishment of a cell line (Co-115) from a human colon carcinoma transplanted into nude mice. *Cancer Res* 1976; **36**(Pt 1): 3978.
- 58 Quinn LA, Moore GE, Morgan RT, Woods LK. Cell lines from human colon carcinoma with unusual cell products, double minutes, and homogeneously staining regions. *Cancer Res* 1979; **39**: 4914.
- 59 Dexter DL, Spremulli EN, Fligiel Z, Barbosa JA, Vogel R, VanVoorhees A *et al.* Heterogeneity of cancer cells from a single human colon carcinoma. *Am J Med* 1981; **71**: 949.
- 60 Brattain MG, Levine AE, Chakrabarty S, Yeoman LC, Willson JK, Long B. Heterogeneity of human colon carcinoma. *Cancer Metastasis Rev* 1984; 3: 177.
- 61 Brattain MG, Brattain DE, Fine WD, Khaled FM, Marks ME, Kimball PM et al. Initiation and characterization of cultures of human colonic carcinoma with different biological characteristics utilizing feeder layers of confluent fibroblasts. Oncodev Biol Med 1981; 2: 355.
- 62 Chantret I, Barbat A, Dussaulx E, Brattain MG, Zweibaum A. Epithelial polarity, villin expression, and enterocytic differentiation of cultured human colon carcinoma cells: a survey of twenty cell lines. *Cancer Res* 1988; **48**: 1936.

- 63 Tibbetts LM, Chu MY, Hager JC, Dexter DL, Calabresi P. Chemotherapy of cell-linederived human colon carcinomas in mice immunosuppressed with antithymocyte serum. *Cancer* 1977; **40**(Suppl 5): 2651.
- 64 Dexter DL, Barbosa JA, Calabresi P. N,N-dimethylformamide-induced alteration of cell culture characteristics and loss of tumorigenicity in cultured human colon carcinoma cells. *Cancer Res* 1979; **39**: 1020.
- 65 Brattain MG, Fine WD, Khaled FM, Thompson J, Brattain DE. Heterogeneity of malignant cells from a human colonic carcinoma. *Cancer Res* 1981; 41: 1751.
- 66 Eshleman JR, Lang EZ, Bowerfind GK, Parsons R, Vogelstein B, Willson JK *et al.* Increased mutation rate at the hprt locus accompanies microsatellite instability in colon cancer. *Oncogene* 1995; **10**: 33.
- 67 Fogh J. Human Tumor Cell In Vitro. Plenum Press: New York, USA, 1975.
- 68 Cajot JF, Sordat I, Silvestre T, Sordat B. Differential display cloning identifies motility-related protein (MRP1/CD9) as highly expressed in primary compared to metastatic human colon carcinoma cells. *Cancer Res* 1997; **57**: 2593.
- 69 Drewinko B, Romsdahl MM, Yang LY, Ahearn MJ, Trujillo JM. Establishment of a human carcinoembryonic antigen-producing colon adenocarcinoma cell line. *Cancer Res* 1976; **36**(Pt 1): 467.
- 70 Suardet L, Gaide AC, Calmes JM, Sordat B, Givel JC, Eliason JF *et al.* Responsiveness of three newly established human colorectal cancer cell lines to transforming growth factors beta 1 and beta 2. *Cancer Res* 1992; **52**: 3705.
- 71 Tom BH, Rutzky LP, Jakstys MM, Oyasu R, Kaye CI, Kahan BD. Human colonic adenocarcinoma cells. I. Establishment and description of a new line. *In Vitro* 1976; **12**: 180.
- 72 Park JG, Oie HK, Sugarbaker PH, Henslee JG, Chen TR, Johnson BE *et al.* Characteristics of cell lines established from human colorectal carcinoma. *Cancer Res* 1987; **47**(Pt 1): 6710.
- 73 Leibovitz A, Stinson JC, McCombs III WB, McCoy CE, Mazur KC, Mabry ND. Classification of human colorectal adenocarcinoma cell lines. *Cancer Res* 1976; 36: 4562.
- 74 McBain JA, Weese JL, Meisner LF, Wolberg WH, Willson JK. Establishment and characterization of human colorectal cancer cell lines. *Cancer Res* 1984; **44**(Pt 1): 5813.

	Oncogenesis is an open-access journal published by Nature Publishing Group. This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-
NonCommercia	I-ShareAlike 3.0 Unported License. To view a copy of this license, visit
http://creativec	ommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/

Supplementary Information accompanies this paper on the Oncogenesis website (http://www.nature.com/oncsis).