## Review

# Epigenetic changes of DNA repair genes in cancer

Christoph Lahtz and Gerd P. Pfeifer\*

Department of Cancer Biology, Beckman Research Institute, City of Hope, Duarte, CA 91010, USA \* Correspondence to: Gerd P. Pfeifer, E-mail: gpfeifer@coh.org

'Every Hour Hurts, The Last One Kills'. That is an old saying about getting old. Every day, thousands of DNA damaging events take place in each cell of our body, but efficient DNA repair systems have evolved to prevent that. However, our DNA repair system and that of most other organisms are not as perfect as that of *Deinococcus radiodurans*, for example, which is able to repair massive amounts of DNA damage at one time. In many instances, accumulation of DNA damage has been linked to cancer, and genetic deficiencies in specific DNA repair genes are associated with tumor-prone phenotypes. In addition to mutations, which can be either inherited or somatically acquired, epigenetic silencing of DNA repair genes may promote tumorigenesis. This review will summarize current knowledge of the epigenetic inactivation of different DNA repair components in human cancer.

Keywords: DNA methylation, DNA repair, epigenetics

### Introduction

Cancer is characterized by uncontrolled malignant growth and cell division. Cancer cells have a higher proliferation rate than their corresponding normal tissue and they often have lost the ability to undergo programmed cell death (apoptosis). Furthermore, they can acquire the capability to separate from their original tissue and can develop metastasis in other regions of the body. Major causes of disordered cellular programming in cancer are genetic and epigenetic changes. For example, point mutations, deletions, duplications, insertions, translocations, chromosome aberrations, viral infections, and epigenetic inactivation represent various types of potentially cancer-causing events. These mechanisms may affect the DNA sequence and/or may change the function and regulation of the gene products or lead to a loss of function.

A special subset of cancer-relevant genes is represented by deregulated tumor suppressor genes and oncogenes. Genetically changed or over-expressed protooncogenes (oncogenes) promote aberrant cell growth and the products of tumor suppressor genes commonly control cell division and genetic stability. Tumor suppressor genes controlling cell growth are critical, but their importance is probably equal to that of genes involved in DNA repair systems. Effective DNA repair is at the backbone of cancer-free survival. Mutations in DNA repair genes of the nucleotide excision repair (NER) group (XP genes in xeroderma pigmentosum patients), mutations affecting the mismatch repair (MMR) genes [in patients with inherited colorectal cancer (CRC) predisposition], DNA crosslink repair (Fanconi anemia genes), and several others are the cause of inherited cancer syndromes. As an alternative mechanism to genetic mutation, a DNA repair system may be inactivated or decreased in effectiveness by epigenetic gene inactivation mechanisms affecting DNA repair genes. In this review, we will discuss some examples of such mechanisms in specific human cancers (summarized in Table 1).

# Epigenetic mechanisms in gene regulation

Epigenetic mechanisms are used in many different ways for control of gene expression. Epigenetic changes never involve a change in the primary DNA sequence or a change in base pairing but are reflected primarily in DNA cytosine modification patterns, histone post-translational modifications, or deposition of certain histone variants along specific gene sequences. These epigenetic modifications of genes are generally reversible, but can get transmitted to the daughter cells (Laird, 2005). For example, one type of epigenetic change that can occur is that the chromatin structure changes from an open active configuation, also referred to as euchromatin, to a densely packed inactive chromatin structure, the so-called heterochromatin.

One common and perhaps the most permanent and stable mechanism of epigenetic gene inactivation is the methylation of the 5-carbon of the DNA base cytosine in the 5'-CpG-3' dinucleotide sequence context of CpG island or promoter regions. These methylation reactions carried out by DNA cytosine methyltransferases are a main component of epigenetic regulatory mechanisms in mammals (Baylin et al., 2001). In tumor tissues, tumor suppressor genes are often inactivated epigenetically by methylation when compared with normal tissue. The DNA methylation events are often preceded by changes in chromatin structure and histone modifications, for example, by loss of the active histone mark H3K4 trimethylation (Figure 1). Sequences that

<sup>©</sup> The Author (2011). Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of *Journal of Molecular Cell Biology*, IBCB, SIBS, CAS. All rights reserved.

Table 1 Methylated DNA repair genes in cancer.

Repair system	Genes	Known cancer types
Base excision repair (BER)	MBD4	Colorectal cancer (cell lines), ovarian cancer (cell lines) (Howard et al., 2009), multiple myeloma (cell lines) (Peng et al., 2006)
	TDG	Multiple myeloma (cell lines) (Peng et al., 2006)
	OGG1	Thyroid cancer (cell lines and tumors) (Guan et al., 2008)
Direct reversal of DNA damage	MGMT	Colon cancer (Herfarth et al., 1999), gastric carcinoma (Oue et al., 2001), glioblastoma (Esteller et al., 2000), head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (cell lines) (Goldenberg et al., 2004), non-small cell lung cancer (Wolf et al., 2001)
Nucleotide excision repair (NER)	XPC	Bladder cancer (Yang et al., 2010)
	RAD23A	Multiple myeloma (cell lines) (Peng et al., 2005)
	ERCC1	Glioma (cell lines and tumors) (Chen et al., 2010)
Mismatch excision repair (MMR)	MLH1	Acute myeloid leukemia (Seedhouse et al., 2003), gastric cancer (Fleisher et al., 1999), neck squamous cell carcinoma (Liu et al., 2002), non-small cell lung cancer (Wang et al., 2003), oral squamous cell carcinoma (Czerninski et al., 2009), ovarian cancer (Gras et al., 2001a), sporadic colorectal cancer (Kane et al., 1997), sporadic endometrial carcinoma (Esteller et al., 1998)
	MSH2	Colorectal cancer (Lawes et al., 2005), non-small cell lung cancer (Wang et al., 2003), oral squamous cell carcinoma (Czerninski et al., 2009), ovarian cancer (Zhang et al., 2008)
	MSH3	Gastric carcinoma (in elderly) (Kim et al., 2010), sporadic colorectal cancer (Benachenhou et al., 1998)
	MSH6	Colorectal cancer (Lawes et al., 2005)
Homologous recombination	BRCA1	Breast cancer (Dobrovic and Simpfendorfer, 1997), ovarian cancer (Catteau et al., 1999), gastric cancer (Bernal et al., 2008), non-small cell lung cancer (Lee et al., 2007), uterine cancer (Xing et al., 2009), bladder cancer (Yu et al., 2007)
Non-homologous end-joining	XRCC5	Non-small cell lung cancer (Lee et al., 2007)
Editing and processing nucleases	FEN1	Breast cancer (hypomethylated) (Singh et al., 2008)
Genes defective in diseases associated with sensitivity to DNA damaging agents	WRN	Breast cancer (cell lines and tumors), colon cancer (cell lines), colorectal cancer, gastric cancer, leukemia (cell lines), non-small cell lung cancer, prostate cancer, thyroid cancer (Agrelo et al., 2006)
	ATM	Breast tumors (not confirmed) (Vo et al., 2004; Treilleux et al., 2007; Flanagan et al., 2009), colorectal cancer (cell lines) (Kim et al., 2002), head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (Ai et al., 2004)
Fanconi anemia	FANCC	Sporadic leukemia (0.7–3.1%) (Hess et al., 2008)
	FANCF	Cervical cancer (Narayan et al., 2004), head and neck squamous cell carcinoma, non-small cell lung cancer (Marsit et al., 2004), ovarian cancer (cell lines and tumors) (Olopade and Wei, 2003)
	FANCL	Sporadic leukemia ( $\sim$ 1%) (Hess et al., 2008)
Other conserved DNA damage response genes	CHK2	Glioma (Wang et al., 2010), non-small cell lung carcinoma (cell lines and tumors) (Kim et al., 2009)

have undergone DNA methylation often harbor repressive histone modifications such as H3K9 trimethylation.

# Epigenetic inactivation of DNA repair genes

Two major types of DNA repair exist. The first one repairs DNA damage that arises from external sources such as UV light or ionizing rays and from endogenous DNA damage, for example, due to oxidative stress. To this type of repair belong the base excision repair (BER) pathway, the direct reversal of DNA damage, and the NER pathways. The other general mechanism of repair deals with the mistakes made during DNA replication. This system includes factors involved in MMR, homologous recombination, certain DNA helicases, editing and processing nucleases, and other genes, which are defective in diseases associated with sensitivity to DNA damaging agents (Jackson and Bartek, 2009; Ciccia and Elledge, 2010).

#### **Base excision repair**

In BER, generally a single damaged DNA base is removed by a DNA glycosylase-type enzyme. The resulting abasic site is then repaired by additional steps including DNA backbone incision, gap filling, and ligation. The most common mutation found in human genetic diseases and cancer is the C to T transition



**Figure 1** Epigenetic inactivation of a DNA repair gene promoter. Promoters are often embedded within CpG islands. These CpG-rich sequences are usually unmethylated in normal tissues and are associated with the active histone mark H3K4me3. H3K4me3 prevents DNA methylation. During tumorigenesis, the CpG island becomes methylated, is associated with inactive chromatin marks (e.g. H3K9me3), and the gene becomes silenced.

mutation found at CpG dinucleotides. These mutations are thought to arise from deamination of 5-methylcytosine (Pfeifer, 2006). The methyl-CpG binding domain protein 4 (MBD4; also known as MED1) has the ability to bind methylated DNA (Hendrich and Bird, 1998), and furthermore, it preferentially binds to the T:G mismatches at CpG sites (Hendrich et al., 1999). These mismatches are the product of deamination of methylated CpGs. MBD4 has a glycosylase domain and is able to repair these mismatches by removing thymine from DNA (Hendrich et al., 1999). MBD4 has the same function as the thymine DNA glycosylase (TDG) protein (Wiebauer and Jiricny, 1989; Yoon et al., 2003). Thus, MBD4 and TDG belong to a group of BER enzymes likely to be important for counteracting a process of endogenous DNA damage, hydrolytic deamination of 5-methylcytosine. For these two DNA repair genes, *MBD4* and *TDG*, promoter methylation has been found in different cancer types. Several multiple myeloma cell lines (KAS-6/1, KMS-11, OPM2, KMS-12, and JIM3) showed promoter methylation and decreased gene expression compared with normal plasma cells for *TDG* (Peng et al., 2006). *MBD4* is significantly methylated in CRC cell lines and ovarian cancer (OC) cell lines (Howard et al., 2009). In sporadic CRC, promoter methylation of *MBD4* is an early event in tumorigenesis and could be used as a prognostic factor.

Another BER gene for which promoter methylation has been found is *OGG1*. *OGG1* repairs oxidatively damaged guanine bases in DNA and mutations of this gene may be involved in tumorigenesis (Arai et al., 1997; Chevillard et al., 1998; Shinmura and Yokota, 2001). But at this point, a methylated promoter of *OGG1* is only known in 5% of thyroid cancer and in some thyroid cancer cell lines (Guan et al., 2008).

#### Direct reversal of DNA damage

*MGMT* encodes the O<sup>6</sup>-methylguanine-DNA methyltransferase (Tano et al., 1990; Natarajan et al., 1992). This enzyme repairs DNA alkylation damage. Alkylation reactions lead to formation of a methyl group ( $CH_3$ -) at the  $O^6$  position of guanine. O<sup>6</sup>-methylguanine pairs with thymine rather than cytosine and promotes G:C to A:T mutations. MGMT repairs this damage and protects the DNA by transferring the methyl group to a cysteine residue in the protein. Epigenetic inactivation by promoter methylation of the MGMT gene is very well established. This gene is epigenetically silenced in a variety of cancers (Esteller et al., 1999). Specifically, MGMT methylation is found in glioblastomas (Esteller et al., 2000; Mellai et al., 2009; Shamsara et al., 2009), colon cancer (Herfarth et al., 1999; Ogino et al., 2007), non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) (Wolf et al., 2001; Wu et al., 2008), gastric carcinoma (Oue et al., 2001), head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC) (Goldenberg et al., 2004; Maruya et al., 2004; Steinmann et al., 2009), and many other cancer types. Interesting is the fact that glioma patients with a methylated and inactivated MGMT gene who were treated by chemotherapy with alkylating agents, such as temozolomide, have a better survival relative to patients with an unmethylated and active MGMT gene (Esteller et al., 2000; Hegi et al., 2005; Kaina et al., 2007).

#### Nucleotide excision repair

The NER system consists of two sub-pathways. The global genome repair (GGR) mechanism repairs DNA damage in transcriptionally inactive parts of the genome (Sugasawa et al., 2001; Riedl et al., 2003). The second NER component is responsible for repair of transcribed DNA and is referred to as transcription-coupled repair (TCR) (Fousteri and Mullenders, 2008; Hanawalt and Spivak, 2008). These two NER functions differ in the damage recognition step. The protein encoded by the xeroderma pigmentosum group C (XPC) gene is a subunit of these damage recognition complexes and is essential for GGR (Friedberg, 2001; Riedl et al., 2003). For the TCR pathway, recognition of the DNA damage-blocked RNA polymerase by transcription-repair coupling factors is important. After damage recognition, the GGR and TCR have the same or similar subsequent steps involved in nucleotide excision and gap filling.

Using a luciferase assay, Wu et al. (2007) found that the promoter region -175 to -1 upstream of the XPC gene is important for the regulation of this gene. Furthermore, they found that in different cell lines (Calu-1, H1355, and H441), this region is highly methylated and methylation regulates the expression level of XPC. The first example for a primary tumor characterized by XPC gene methylation was bladder cancer (methylation level of 32.4% in bladder cancer versus 6.1% in normal tissue) (Yang et al., 2010). In addition, it is known that two other genes, which are part of the NER system, are methylated in human tumors. The genes RAD23A and ERCC1, which are involved in DNA damage recognition and incision, respectively, are also inactivated through promoter methylation. The RAD23A gene is methylated in the multiple myeloma cell line KAS 6/1 (Peng et al., 2005) and ERCC1 is methylation-silenced in glioma cell lines and glioma tumors (Chen et al., 2010).

#### Mismatch excision repair

The DNA MMR protein MLH1 is encoded by the MutL homolog 1 (MLH1) gene in humans and is a homologue of the DNA MMR gene *mutL* of *Escherichia coli*. The MMR function is associated with DNA replication, to correct for deficiencies in DNA polymerase proofreading function. A missing gene or mutations of this gene and other MMR genes (*MSH2*, *MSH6*, or *PMS2*) leads to microsatellite instability (MSI) and this dysfunction is highly associated with hereditary non-polyposis colon cancer (HNPCC or Lynch syndrome) (Bronner et al., 1994).

It has been shown that methylation in the promoter region of MLH1 correlates with decreased activity of the gene (Kane et al., 1997). Next to the main cancer type where this gene is inactivated, HNPCC, this gene is epigenetically inactivated also in other types of cancer, for example, in sporadic endometrial carcinoma (Esteller et al., 1998), gastric cancers (Fleisher et al., 1999), sporadic CRC (Kane et al., 1997; Herman et al., 1998), ovarian tumors (Gras et al., 2001a), NSCLC (Wang et al., 2003), oral squamous cell carcinoma (SCC) (Czerninski et al., 2009), neck SCC (Liu et al., 2002; Steinmann et al., 2009), and acute myeloid leukemia (AML) (Seedhouse et al., 2003). Constitutional methylation of the MLH1 gene, characterized by soma-wide methylation of a single allele and transcriptional silencing, has been identified in a subset of Lynch syndrome cases lacking a sequence mutation in *MLH1* (Gazzoli et al., 2002; Suter et al., 2004; Hitchins et al., 2007). This particular example provides strong support for the proposal that methylation of a DNA repair gene can be a crucial mechanism in carcinogenesis.

Several other genes belong to the MMR system. The activity of the genes coding for MutS homologues 2, 3, and 6 (*MSH2*, *MSH3*, and *MSH6*) is also controlled by promoter methylation. The

function of these gene products is in mismatch recognition. *MSH2*, for example, is methylated in CRC (Lawes et al., 2005; Nagasaka et al., 2010), primary NSCLC (Wang et al., 2003), oral SCC (Czerninski et al., 2009), and OC (Zhang et al., 2008). *MSH2* is also highly methylated in neurofibromatosis type 1 (Titze et al., 2010). Further, it has been found that methylation occurs in CRC in the promoter region of *MSH6* (Lawes et al., 2005). For *MSH3*, it was found that it is epigenetically inactivated in sporadic CRC (Benachenhou et al., 1998). In elderly gastric carcinoma patients, *MSH3* was significantly more methylated than in younger patients (Kim et al., 2010). In conclusion, methylation of the gene *MLH1* may have considerable importance in cancer development and as a prognostic factor and the genes *MSH2*, *MSH3*, and *MSH6* are interesting candidates as well.

### Homologous recombination

If it is not possible to repair the DNA damage before replication, the DNA may be repaired by homologous pairing. Because of DNA polymerase-blocking damage, DNA strand breaks will be generated, which can be repaired by the homologous recombination repair system. The BRCA1 and BRCA2 (Breast Cancer 1 and 2) proteins are involved in this repair pathway. The BRCA1 and BRCA2 genes are tumor suppressor genes and the proteins, together with RAD51, form a complex to repair DNA strand breaks (Duncan et al., 1998; Yoshida and Miki, 2004). These genes are characterized by tumor-specific mutations in inherited breast and OC (Miki et al., 1994; Wooster et al., 1994; Narod, 2010). A few years after their initial discovery, researchers found promoter methylation for BRCA1 which correlated with low mRNA levels (Dobrovic and Simpfendorfer, 1997). For BRCA2, it has been found that a low mRNA level is generally not caused by hypermethylation of the promoter (Gras et al., 2001b; Hilton et al., 2002). BRCA1 is most often methylated in breast and OC but also in gastric cancer (Bernal et al., 2008), NSCLC (Lee et al., 2007), uterine cancer (Xing et al., 2009), and bladder cancer (Yu et al., 2007).

### Non homologous end-joining

The gene product of XRCC5 is the protein K80 (Taccioli et al., 1994). Together with the gene product of XRCC6, it forms the 80 and 70 kDa subunits of the K70/K80 heterodimer protein Ku, which is involved in the binding of double-strand breaks (DSBs) during non-homologous end-joining (Difilippantonio et al., 2000; Koike, 2002). Together with the DNA-PKcs (DNAdependent protein kinase catalytic subunit), the Ku heterodimer forms the full complex DNA-PK (Carter et al., 1990). At this time, an epigenetic inactivation of this pathway of DNA repair is only known for the gene XRCC5 (Lee et al., 2007). The authors showed that 21% of all NSCLCs were methylated in the promoter region of XRCC5. Furthermore, 15% of adenocarcinomas and 32% of SCCs were methylated and had a low protein expression level (Lee et al., 2007). This area of research should be extended into other types of cancer to see whether XRCC5 or other genes of this pathway may play an important role as targets of epigenetic silencing.

## Editing and processing nucleases

*FEN1* codes for the flap structure-specific endonuclease 1 (also known as DNase IV) and is a 5'-nuclease (Hiraoka et al., 1995). This protein is important for the processing of the 5' ends of Okazaki fragments during lagging strand DNA synthesis (Henneke et al., 2003) and removes the 5' flaps during long-patch BER (Klungland and Lindahl, 1997). FEN1 may be involved in the repair of DNA DSBs by non-homologous end-joining (Wu et al., 1999) and homologous recombination (Kikuchi et al., 2005). Furthermore, it is important for genomic stability (Singh et al., 2007).

*FEN1* is highly expressed in proliferative tissues such as bone marrow, testes, and thymus (Otto et al., 2001) and is over-expressed in testis, lung, and brain tumors (Nikolova et al., 2009) and in prostate cancer (Lam et al., 2006), metastatic prostate cancer cells (LaTulippe et al., 2002), neuroblastomas (Krause et al., 2005), and pancreatic cancer (lacobuzio-Donahue et al., 2003). *FEN1* expression is also increased in lung cancer cell lines (SCLC and NSCLC) (Sato et al., 2003) and gastric cancer cell lines (Kim et al., 2005).

These data indicate that an increased expression level of *FEN1* leads to cancer or is associated with cancer. It has been shown that not epigenetic inactivation but rather an absence of methylation (DNA hypomethylation) of *FEN1* is associated with breast cancer (Singh et al., 2008). Compared with normal tissue with a 57.6% methylation level, the methylation level in breast tumors was only 1.2% (Singh et al., 2008). Because of the many cancer types, where *FEN1* expression is increased, this finding gives a useful hint to look for additional epigenetic changes affecting this gene in other cancer types.

### Genes defective in diseases associated with sensitivity to DNA-damaging agents

Werner syndrome is an autosomal recessive disorder. It is characterized by accelerated aging of the mesodermal tissue. The responsible gene (*WRN*) is a DNA helicase and a RecQ family member (Gray et al., 1997). The *WRN* gene is methylated in a large number of different cancer types. Examples are cell lines from colon cancer, breast cancer, and leukemia, and it is most highly methylated in primary tumor samples of CRC (37.9%), NSCLC (37.5%), gastric cancer (25%), prostate (20%), breast (17.2%), and thyroid (12.5%) (Agrelo et al., 2006; Kawasaki et al., 2008).

The product of the *Ataxia telangiectasia mutated (ATM)* gene is a serine protein kinase and tumor suppressor. When a DNA DSB has been generated, cell cycle arrest is initiated by the ATM signaling network. After an initial finding that CRC cell lines are methylated at the *ATM* gene (Kim et al., 2002), it has been found that also primary breast tumors are very often methylated (78%) (Vo et al., 2004). But these high methylation frequencies do not seem to be a general finding in breast cancer. One group could not confirm these results (Treilleux et al., 2007). Another group could show that *ATM* is methylated in blood samples of breast cancer patients (Flanagan et al., 2009). Therefore, the relevance of *ATM* gene methylation in breast cancer is not clear. Furthermore, *ATM* is significantly methylated (25%) in HNSCCs (Ai et al., 2004).

#### Fanconi anemia

Fanconi anemia is an autosomal recessive genetic disorder. Thirteen genes are associated with this disease. These genes are DNA repair genes and mutation of each of them leads to the same disorder. The genes are called Fanconi anemia, complementation group A, B, C, D1, D2, E, F, G, I, J, L, M, and N (FANCA-N). Assembly of a complex of FANC proteins is activated by replicative stress, particularly DNA damage caused by crosslinking agents. At this time, epigenetic inactivation is only known for a few of these genes. Methylation of FANCF is mostly observed in primary OC and cell lines (Olopade and Wei, 2003). The range of promoter methylation was between 21% (Olopade and Wei, 2003) and 24% (Dhillon et al., 2004) and up to 27.8% (Wang et al., 2006) in primary tumors. One result showed only 13.2% methylation frequency (Lim et al., 2008). Furthermore, promoter methylation was found in NSCLC with 14% and in HNSCC with 15% (Marsit et al., 2004). A high methylation rate of FANCF was also found in cervical cancer with 30% (Narayan et al., 2004). In contrast to these findings, no or just very rare promoter methylation was found in breast cancer (Wei et al., 2008; Tokunaga et al., 2009). Additionally, very minimal promoter methylation was found in the genes FANCC and FANCL in sporadic acute leukemia. AML showed a 0.7% methylation frequency for FANCC; in acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL), the methylation frequency was 3.1% for FANCC and the gene FANCL was methylated in 1% of ALL cases (Hess et al., 2008). In general, not much is known about epigenetic inactivation of this whole gene family in cancer.

# Other conserved DNA damage response genes

The last interesting candidate is the CHK2 checkpoint homologue (CHK2). CHK2 is a protein kinase functioning in an important DNA damage response pathway and is involved in regulation of cell cycle arrest (Matsuoka et al., 1998). It has been shown that this gene is inactivated by promoter methylation in NSCLC with 28.1% tumor methylation frequency in total (squamous cell lung carcinoma 40%; adenocarcinoma 19%) (Kim et al., 2009) and in NSCLC cell lines (Zhang et al., 2004). In gliomas, CHK2 is methylated in the proximal CpG island promoter and is significantly down-regulated (Wang et al., 2010). For breast cancer, colon cancer, and OC, it has been shown that methylation in the proximal CpG island in tumors as well as in normal tissue has no influence on cancer progression (Williams et al., 2006). The distal CpG island is unmethylated in these cancer types (Williams et al., 2006). Additionally, no methylation in breast cancer was found (Sullivan et al., 2002). In conclusion, this gene shows some interesting findings and it may be worth to look for CHK2 methylation in other cancer types.

#### Conclusions

Epigenetic inactivation of DNA repair genes in cancer has been reported for several DNA repair pathways including BER, NER, DNA MMR, and several other DNA damage processing mechanisms. Within one DNA repair pathway, specific genes are often preferentially methylated. It remains to be determined whether this specificity is due to selection of particular repair gene silencing events in promoting tumorigenesis or is due to preferential targeting of the DNA methylation machinery to specific DNA repair gene promoters.

It can be assumed that these epigenetic inactivation processes can result in an increase in genetic instability during tumorigenesis that can be directly attributed to the deficiencies in DNA repair. Therefore, inactivation of DNA repair genes can be seen as an important event in cancer initiation and/or progression by reducing genomic stability leading to genetic aberrations at other important gene loci. Such a mechanism is proven for inactivation of MMR pathways in colorectal tumors but awaits direct confirmation for a number of other DNA repair genes that are found methylated in tumors. On the other hand, diminished DNA repair is expected to lead to reduced cell survival in general, and additional events are likely occurring that enable a cell with reduced repair capacity to undergo uncontrolled proliferation instead of cell death (e.g. mutation in TP53). Interestingly, reduced repair capacity for alkylated guanines by promoter methylation of the MGMT gene has provided a therapeutic benefit in patients with glioma (Esteller et al., 2000). Conversely, inactivation of the MMR system has been associated with resistance of cells to cisplatinum treatment (Fink et al., 1997). With ever-increasing knowledge of the epigenome of specific cancer types, there is now the opportunity to develop chemotherapy regimens tailored to a patient's DNA repair gene status by incorporating information on epigenetic silencing of the relevant genes in the tumor.

Conflict of interest: none declared.

#### Funding

Work of the authors was supported by NIH grant ES06070 to G.P.P.

#### References

- Agrelo, R., Cheng, W.H., Setien, F., et al. (2006). Epigenetic inactivation of the premature aging Werner syndrome gene in human cancer. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *103*, 8822–8827.
- Ai, L., Vo, Q.N., Zuo, C., et al. (2004). Ataxia-telangiectasia-mutated (ATM) gene in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma: promoter hypermethylation with clinical correlation in 100 cases. Cancer Epidemiol. Biomarkers Prev. *13*, 150–156.
- Arai, K., Morishita, K., Shinmura, K., et al. (1997). Cloning of a human homolog of the yeast OGG1 gene that is involved in the repair of oxidative DNA damage. Oncogene 14, 2857–2861.
- Baylin, S.B., Esteller, M., Rountree, M.R., et al. (2001). Aberrant patterns of DNA methylation, chromatin formation and gene expression in cancer. Hum. Mol. Genet. 10, 687–692.
- Benachenhou, N., Guiral, S., Gorska-Flipot, I., et al. (1998). Allelic losses and DNA methylation at DNA mismatch repair loci in sporadic colorectal cancer. Carcinogenesis *19*, 1925–1929.

- Bernal, C., Vargas, M., Ossandon, F., et al. (2008). DNA methylation profile in diffuse type gastric cancer: evidence for hypermethylation of the BRCA1 promoter region in early-onset gastric carcinogenesis. Biol. Res. 41, 303–315.
- Bronner, C.E., Baker, S.M., Morrison, P.T., et al. (1994). Mutation in the DNA mismatch repair gene homologue hMLH1 is associated with hereditary nonpolyposis colon cancer. Nature 368, 258–261.
- Carter, T., Vancurova, I., Sun, I., et al. (1990). A DNA-activated protein kinase from HeLa cell nuclei. Mol. Cell. Biol. 10, 6460–6471.
- Catteau, A., Harris, W.H., Xu, C.F., et al. (1999). Methylation of the BRCA1 promoter region in sporadic breast and ovarian cancer: correlation with disease characteristics. Oncogene *18*, 1957–1965.
- Chen, H.Y., Shao, C.J., Chen, F.R., et al. (2010). Role of ERCC1 promoter hypermethylation in drug resistance to cisplatin in human gliomas. Int. J. Cancer 126, 1944–1954.
- Chevillard, S., Radicella, J.P., Levalois, C., et al. (1998). Mutations in OGG1, a gene involved in the repair of oxidative DNA damage, are found in human lung and kidney tumours. Oncogene *16*, 3083–3086.
- Ciccia, A., and Elledge, S.J. (2010). The DNA damage response: making it safe to play with knives. Mol. Cell *40*, 179–204.
- Czerninski, R., Krichevsky, S., Ashhab, Y., et al. (2009). Promoter hypermethylation of mismatch repair genes, hMLH1 and hMSH2 in oral squamous cell carcinoma. Oral Dis. *15*, 206–213.
- Dhillon, V.S., Shahid, M., and Husain, S.A. (2004). CpG methylation of the FHIT, FANCF, cyclin-D2, BRCA2 and RUNX3 genes in Granulosa cell tumors (GCTs) of ovarian origin. Mol. Cancer *3*, 33.
- Difilippantonio, M.J., Zhu, J., Chen, H.T., et al. (2000). DNA repair protein Ku80 suppresses chromosomal aberrations and malignant transformation. Nature 404, 510–514.
- Dobrovic, A., and Simpfendorfer, D. (1997). Methylation of the BRCA1 gene in sporadic breast cancer. Cancer Res. *57*, 3347–3350.
- Duncan, J.A., Reeves, J.R., and Cooke, T.G. (1998). BRCA1 and BRCA2 proteins: roles in health and disease. Mol. Pathol. *51*, 237–247.
- Esteller, M., Levine, R., Baylin, S.B., et al. (1998). MLH1 promoter hypermethylation is associated with the microsatellite instability phenotype in sporadic endometrial carcinomas. Oncogene *17*, 2413–2417.
- Esteller, M., Hamilton, S.R., Burger, P.C., et al. (1999). Inactivation of the DNA repair gene O<sup>6</sup>-methylguanine-DNA methyltransferase by promoter hypermethylation is a common event in primary human neoplasia. Cancer Res. 59, 793–797.
- Esteller, M., Garcia-Foncillas, J., Andion, E., et al. (2000). Inactivation of the DNA-repair gene MGMT and the clinical response of gliomas to alkylating agents. N. Engl. J. Med. 343, 1350–1354.
- Fink, D., Zheng, H., Nebel, S., et al. (1997). *In vitro* and *in vivo* resistance to cisplatin in cells that have lost DNA mismatch repair. Cancer Res. 57, 1841–1845.
- Flanagan, J.M., Munoz-Alegre, M., Henderson, S., et al. (2009). Gene-body hypermethylation of ATM in peripheral blood DNA of bilateral breast cancer patients. Hum. Mol. Genet. 18, 1332–1342.
- Fleisher, A.S., Esteller, M., Wang, S., et al. (1999). Hypermethylation of the hMLH1 gene promoter in human gastric cancers with microsatellite instability. Cancer Res 59, 1090–1095.
- Fousteri, M., and Mullenders, L.H. (2008). Transcription-coupled nucleotide excision repair in mammalian cells: molecular mechanisms and biological effects. Cell Res. *18*, 73–84.
- Friedberg, E.C. (2001). How nucleotide excision repair protects against cancer. Nat. Rev. Cancer 1, 22–33.
- Gazzoli, I., Loda, M., Garber, J., et al. (2002). A hereditary nonpolyposis colorectal carcinoma case associated with hypermethylation of the MLH1 gene in normal tissue and loss of heterozygosity of the unmethylated allele in the resulting microsatellite instability-high tumor. Cancer Res *62*, 3925–3928.
- Goldenberg, D., Harden, S., Masayesva, B.G., et al. (2004). Intraoperative molecular margin analysis in head and neck cancer. Arch. Otolaryngol. Head Neck Surg. *130*, 39–44.
- Gras, E., Catasus, L., Arguelles, R., et al. (2001a). Microsatellite instability, MLH-1 promoter hypermethylation, and frameshift mutations at coding mononucleotide repeat microsatellites in ovarian tumors. Cancer 92, 2829–2836.

- Gras, E., Cortes, J., Diez, O., et al. (2001b). Loss of heterozygosity on chromosome 13q12-q14, BRCA-2 mutations and lack of BRCA-2 promoter hypermethylation in sporadic epithelial ovarian tumors. Cancer *92*, 787–795.
- Gray, M.D., Shen, J.C., Kamath-Loeb, A.S., et al. (1997). The Werner syndrome protein is a DNA helicase. Nat. Genet. *17*, 100–103.
- Guan, H., Ji, M., Hou, P., et al. (2008). Hypermethylation of the DNA mismatch repair gene hMLH1 and its association with lymph node metastasis and T1799A BRAF mutation in patients with papillary thyroid cancer. Cancer *113*, 247–255.
- Hanawalt, P.C., and Spivak, G. (2008). Transcription-coupled DNA repair: two decades of progress and surprises. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. *9*, 958–970.
- Hegi, M.E., Diserens, A.C., Gorlia, T., et al. (2005). MGMT gene silencing and benefit from temozolomide in glioblastoma. N. Engl. J. Med. 352, 997–1003.
- Hendrich, B., and Bird, A. (1998). Identification and characterization of a family of mammalian methyl-CpG binding proteins. Mol. Cell. Biol. *18*, 6538–6547.
- Hendrich, B., Hardeland, U., Ng, H.H., et al. (1999). The thymine glycosylase MBD4 can bind to the product of deamination at methylated CpG sites. Nature 401, 301–304.
- Henneke, G., Friedrich-Heineken, E., and Hubscher, U. (2003). Flap endonuclease 1: a novel tumour suppresser protein. Trends Biochem. Sci. 28, 384–390.
- Herfarth, K.K., Brent, T.P., Danam, R.P., et al. (1999). A specific CpG methylation pattern of the MGMT promoter region associated with reduced MGMT expression in primary colorectal cancers. Mol. Carcinog. 24, 90–98.
- Herman, J.G., Umar, A., Polyak, K., et al. (1998). Incidence and functional consequences of hMLH1 promoter hypermethylation in colorectal carcinoma. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *95*, 6870–6875.
- Hess, C.J., Ameziane, N., Schuurhuis, G.J., et al. (2008). Hypermethylation of the FANCC and FANCL promoter regions in sporadic acute leukaemia. Cell. Oncol. *30*, 299–306.
- Hilton, J.L., Geisler, J.P., Rathe, J.A., et al. (2002). Inactivation of BRCA1 and BRCA2 in ovarian cancer. J. Natl. Cancer Inst. 94, 1396–1406.
- Hiraoka, L.R., Harrington, J.J., Gerhard, D.S., et al. (1995). Sequence of human FEN-1, a structure-specific endonuclease, and chromosomal localization of the gene (FEN1) in mouse and human. Genomics 25, 220–225.
- Hitchins, M.P., Wong, J.J., Suthers, G., et al. (2007). Inheritance of a cancerassociated MLH1 germ-line epimutation. N. Engl. J. Med. 356, 697–705.
- Howard, J.H., Frolov, A., Tzeng, C.W., et al. (2009). Epigenetic downregulation of the DNA repair gene MED1/MBD4 in colorectal and ovarian cancer. Cancer Biol. Ther. *8*, 94–100.
- Iacobuzio-Donahue, C.A., Maitra, A., Olsen, M., et al. (2003). Exploration of global gene expression patterns in pancreatic adenocarcinoma using cDNA microarrays. Am. J. Pathol. *162*, 1151–1162.
- Jackson, S.P., and Bartek, J. (2009). The DNA-damage response in human biology and disease. Nature 461, 1071–1078.
- Kaina, B., Christmann, M., Naumann, S., et al. (2007). MGMT: key node in the battle against genotoxicity, carcinogenicity and apoptosis induced by alkylating agents. DNA Repair (Amst.) 6, 1079–1099.
- Kane, M.F., Loda, M., Gaida, G.M., et al. (1997). Methylation of the hMLH1 promoter correlates with lack of expression of hMLH1 in sporadic colon tumors and mismatch repair-defective human tumor cell lines. Cancer Res. 57, 808–811.
- Kawasaki, T., Ohnishi, M., Suemoto, Y., et al. (2008). WRN promoter methylation possibly connects mucinous differentiation, microsatellite instability and CpG island methylator phenotype in colorectal cancer. Mod. Pathol. 21, 150–158.
- Kikuchi, K., Taniguchi, Y., Hatanaka, A., et al. (2005). Fen-1 facilitates homologous recombination by removing divergent sequences at DNA break ends. Mol. Cell. Biol. 25, 6948–6955.
- Kim, W.J., Vo, Q.N., Shrivastav, M., et al. (2002). Aberrant methylation of the ATM promoter correlates with increased radiosensitivity in a human colorectal tumor cell line. Oncogene *21*, 3864–3871.
- Kim, J.M., Sohn, H.Y., Yoon, S.Y., et al. (2005). Identification of gastric cancerrelated genes using a cDNA microarray containing novel expressed sequence tags expressed in gastric cancer cells. Clin. Cancer Res. 11, 473–482.

- Kim, D.S., Kim, M.J., Lee, J.Y., et al. (2009). Epigenetic inactivation of checkpoint kinase 2 gene in non-small cell lung cancer and its relationship with clinicopathological features. Lung Cancer *65*, 247–250.
- Kim, H.G., Lee, S., Kim, D.Y., et al. (2010). Aberrant methylation of DNA mismatch repair genes in elderly patients with sporadic gastric carcinoma: a comparison with younger patients. J. Surg. Oncol. 101, 28–35.
- Klungland, A., and Lindahl, T. (1997). Second pathway for completion of human DNA base excision-repair: reconstitution with purified proteins and requirement for DNase IV (FEN1). EMBO J. 16, 3341–3348.
- Koike, M. (2002). Dimerization, translocation and localization of Ku70 and Ku80 proteins. J. Radiat. Res. (Tokyo) 43, 223–236.
- Krause, A., Combaret, V., Iacono, I., et al. (2005). Genome-wide analysis of gene expression in neuroblastomas detected by mass screening. Cancer Lett. 225, 111–120.
- Laird, P.W. (2005). Cancer epigenetics. Hum. Mol. Genet. 14 Spec No 1, R65-R76.
- Lam, J.S., Seligson, D.B., Yu, H., et al. (2006). Flap endonuclease 1 is overexpressed in prostate cancer and is associated with a high Gleason score. BJU Int. 98, 445–451.
- LaTulippe, E., Satagopan, J., Smith, A., et al. (2002). Comprehensive gene expression analysis of prostate cancer reveals distinct transcriptional programs associated with metastatic disease. Cancer Res. *62*, 4499–4506.
- Lawes, D.A., Pearson, T., Sengupta, S., et al. (2005). The role of MLH1, MSH2 and MSH6 in the development of multiple colorectal cancers. Br. J. Cancer *93*, 472–477.
- Lee, M.N., Tseng, R.C., Hsu, H.S., et al. (2007). Epigenetic inactivation of the chromosomal stability control genes BRCA1, BRCA2, and XRCC5 in non-small cell lung cancer. Clin. Cancer Res. 13, 832–838.
- Lim, S.L., Smith, P., Syed, N., et al. (2008). Promoter hypermethylation of FANCF and outcome in advanced ovarian cancer. Br. J. Cancer *98*, 1452–1456.
- Liu, K., Huang, H., Mukunyadzi, P., et al. (2002). Promoter hypermethylation: an important epigenetic mechanism for hMLH1 gene inactivation in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. Otolaryngol. Head Neck Surg. 126, 548–553.
- Marsit, C.J., Liu, M., Nelson, H.H., et al. (2004). Inactivation of the Fanconi anemia/BRCA pathway in lung and oral cancers: implications for treatment and survival. Oncogene *23*, 1000–1004.
- Maruya, S., Issa, J.P., Weber, R.S., et al. (2004). Differential methylation status of tumor-associated genes in head and neck squamous carcinoma: incidence and potential implications. Clin. Cancer Res. *10*, 3825–3830.
- Matsuoka, S., Huang, M., and Elledge, S.J. (1998). Linkage of ATM to cell cycle regulation by the Chk2 protein kinase. Science *282*, 1893–1897.
- Mellai, M., Caldera, V., Annovazzi, L., et al. (2009). MGMT promoter hypermethylation in a series of 104 glioblastomas. Cancer Genomics Prot. *6*, 219–227.
- Miki, Y., Swensen, J., Shattuck-Eidens, D., et al. (1994). A strong candidate for the breast and ovarian cancer susceptibility gene BRCA1. Science *266*, 66–71.
- Nagasaka, T., Rhees, J., Kloor, M., et al. (2010). Somatic hypermethylation of MSH2 is a frequent event in Lynch syndrome colorectal cancers. Cancer Res. 70, 3098–3108.
- Narayan, G., Arias-Pulido, H., Nandula, S.V., et al. (2004). Promoter hypermethylation of FANCF: disruption of Fanconi anemia-BRCA pathway in cervical cancer. Cancer Res. *64*, 2994–2997.
- Narod, S.A. (2010). BRCA mutations in the management of breast cancer: the state of the art. Nat. Rev. Clin. Oncol. 7, 702–707.
- Natarajan, A.T., Vermeulen, S., Darroudi, F., et al. (1992). Chromosomal localization of human O<sup>6</sup>-methylguanine-DNA methyltransferase (MGMT) gene by in situ hybridization. Mutagenesis *7*, 83–85.
- Nikolova, T., Christmann, M., and Kaina, B. (2009). FEN1 is overexpressed in testis, lung and brain tumors. Anticancer Res. *29*, 2453–2459.
- Ogino, S., Meyerhardt, J.A., Kawasaki, T., et al. (2007). CpG island methylation, response to combination chemotherapy, and patient survival in advanced microsatellite stable colorectal carcinoma. Virchows Arch. *450*, 529–537.

- Olopade, O.I., and Wei, M. (2003). FANCF methylation contributes to chemoselectivity in ovarian cancer. Cancer Cell 3, 417–420.
- Otto, C.J., Almqvist, E., Hayden, M.R., et al. (2001). The 'flap' endonuclease gene FEN1 is excluded as a candidate gene implicated in the CAG repeat expansion underlying Huntington disease. Clin. Genet. 59, 122–127.
- Oue, N., Shigeishi, H., Kuniyasu, H., et al. (2001). Promoter hypermethylation of MGMT is associated with protein loss in gastric carcinoma. Int. J. Cancer *93*, 805–809.
- Peng, B., Hodge, D.R., Thomas, S.B., et al. (2005). Epigenetic silencing of the human nucleotide excision repair gene, hHR23B, in interleukin-6-responsive multiple myeloma KAS-6/1 cells. J. Biol. Chem. 280, 4182–4187.
- Peng, B., Hurt, E.M., Hodge, D.R., et al. (2006). DNA hypermethylation and partial gene silencing of human thymine-DNA glycosylase in multiple myeloma cell lines. Epigenetics 1, 138–145.
- Pfeifer, G.P. (2006). Mutagenesis at methylated CpG sequences. Curr. Top. Microbiol. Immunol. *301*, 259–281.
- Riedl, T., Hanaoka, F., and Egly, J.M. (2003). The comings and goings of nucleotide excision repair factors on damaged DNA. EMBO J. 22, 5293-5303.
- Sato, M., Girard, L., Sekine, I., et al. (2003). Increased expression and no mutation of the flap endonuclease (FEN1) gene in human lung cancer. Oncogene 22, 7243–7246.
- Seedhouse, C.H., Das-Gupta, E.P., and Russell, N.H. (2003). Methylation of the hMLH1 promoter and its association with microsatellite instability in acute myeloid leukemia. Leukemia *17*, 83–88.
- Shamsara, J., Sharif, S., Afsharnezhad, S., et al. (2009). Association between MGMT promoter hypermethylation and p53 mutation in glioblastoma. Cancer Invest. *27*, 825–829.
- Shinmura, K., and Yokota, J. (2001). The OGG1 gene encodes a repair enzyme for oxidatively damaged DNA and is involved in human carcinogenesis. Antioxid. Redox Signal *3*, 597–609.
- Singh, P., Zheng, L., Chavez, V., et al. (2007). Concerted action of exonuclease and Gap-dependent endonuclease activities of FEN-1 contributes to the resolution of triplet repeat sequences (CTG)n- and (GAA)n-derived secondary structures formed during maturation of Okazaki fragments. J. Biol. Chem. 282, 3465–3477.
- Singh, P., Yang, M., Dai, H., et al. (2008). Overexpression and hypomethylation of flap endonuclease 1 gene in breast and other cancers. Mol. Cancer Res. *6*, 1710–1717.
- Steinmann, K., Sandner, A., Schagdarsurengin, U., et al. (2009). Frequent promoter hypermethylation of tumor-related genes in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. Oncol. Rep. 22, 1519–1526.
- Sugasawa, K., Okamoto, T., Shimizu, Y., et al. (2001). A multistep damage recognition mechanism for global genomic nucleotide excision repair. Genes Dev. 15, 507–521.
- Sullivan, A., Yuille, M., Repellin, C., et al. (2002). Concomitant inactivation of p53 and Chk2 in breast cancer. Oncogene *21*, 1316–1324.
- Suter, C.M., Martin, D.I., and Ward, R.L. (2004). Germline epimutation of MLH1 in individuals with multiple cancers. Nat. Genet. *36*, 497–501.
- Taccioli, G.E., Gottlieb, T.M., Blunt, T., et al. (1994). Ku80: product of the XRCC5 gene and its role in DNA repair and V(D)J recombination. Science *265*, 1442–1445.
- Tano, K., Shiota, S., Collier, J., et al. (1990). Isolation and structural characterization of a cDNA clone encoding the human DNA repair protein for O<sup>6</sup>-alkylguanine. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *87*, 686–690.
- Titze, S., Peters, H., Wahrisch, S., et al. (2010). Differential MSH2 promoter methylation in blood cells of Neurofibromatosis type 1 (NF1) patients. Eur. J. Hum. Genet. *18*, 81–87.
- Tokunaga, E., Okada, S., Kitao, H., et al. (2009). Low incidence of methylation of the promoter region of the FANCF gene in Japanese primary breast cancer. Breast Cancer, in press.
- Treilleux, I., Chapot, B., Goddard, S., et al. (2007). The molecular causes of low ATM protein expression in breast carcinoma; promoter methylation and levels of the catalytic subunit of DNA-dependent protein kinase. Histopathology *51*, 63–69.
- Vo, Q.N., Kim, W.J., Cvitanovic, L., et al. (2004). The ATM gene is a target for epigenetic silencing in locally advanced breast cancer. Oncogene 23, 9432–9437.

- Wang, Y.C., Lu, Y.P., Tseng, R.C., et al. (2003). Inactivation of hMLH1 and hMSH2 by promoter methylation in primary non-small cell lung tumors and matched sputum samples. J. Clin. Invest. 111, 887–895.
- Wang, Z., Li, M., Lu, S., et al. (2006). Promoter hypermethylation of FANCF plays an important role in the occurrence of ovarian cancer through disrupting Fanconi anemia-BRCA pathway. Cancer Biol. Ther. 5, 256–260.
- Wang, H., Wang, S., Shen, L., et al. (2010). Chk2 down-regulation by promoter hypermethylation in human bulk gliomas. Life Sci. 86, 185–191.
- Wei, M., Xu, J., Dignam, J., et al. (2008). Estrogen receptor alpha, BRCA1, and FANCF promoter methylation occur in distinct subsets of sporadic breast cancers. Breast Cancer Res. Treat 111, 113–120.
- Wiebauer, K., and Jiricny, J. (1989). *In vitro* correction of G-T mispairs to G-C pairs in nuclear extracts from human cells. Nature *339*, 234–236.
- Williams, L.H., Choong, D., Johnson, S.A., et al. (2006). Genetic and epigenetic analysis of CHEK2 in sporadic breast, colon, and ovarian cancers. Clin. Cancer Res. 12, 6967–6972.
- Wolf, P., Hu, Y.C., Doffek, K., et al. (2001). O<sup>6</sup>-methylguanine-DNA methyltransferase promoter hypermethylation shifts the p53 mutational spectrum in non-small cell lung cancer. Cancer Res. *61*, 8113–8117.
- Wooster, R., Neuhausen, S.L., Mangion, J., et al. (1994). Localization of a breast cancer susceptibility gene, BRCA2, to chromosome 13q12–13. Science *265*, 2088–2090.
- Wu, X., Wilson, T.E., and Lieber, M.R. (1999). A role for FEN-1 in nonhomologous DNA end joining: the order of strand annealing and nucleolytic processing events. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *96*, 1303–1308.

- Wu, Y.H., Tsai Chang, J.H., Cheng, Y.W., et al. (2007). Xeroderma pigmentosum group C gene expression is predominantly regulated by promoter hypermethylation and contributes to p53 mutation in lung cancers. Oncogene 26, 4761–4773.
- Wu, J.Y., Wang, J., Lai, J.C., et al. (2008). Association of O<sup>6</sup>-methylguanine-DNA methyltransferase (MGMT) promoter methylation with p53 mutation occurrence in non-small cell lung cancer with different histology, gender, and smoking status. Ann. Surg. Oncol. 15, 3272–3277.
- Xing, D., Scangas, G., Nitta, M., et al. (2009). A role for BRCA1 in uterine leiomyosarcoma. Cancer Res. 69, 8231–8235.
- Yang, J., Xu, Z., Li, J., et al. (2010). XPC epigenetic silence coupled with p53 alteration has a significant impact on bladder cancer outcome. J. Urol. 184, 336–343.
- Yoon, J.H., Iwai, S., O'Connor, T.R., et al. (2003). Human thymine DNA glycosylase (TDG) and methyl-CpG-binding protein 4 (MBD4) excise thymine glycol (Tg) from a Tg:G mispair. Nucleic Acids Res. *31*, 5399–5404.
- Yoshida, K., and Miki, Y. (2004). Role of BRCA1 and BRCA2 as regulators of DNA repair, transcription, and cell cycle in response to DNA damage. Cancer Sci. *95*, 866–871.
- Yu, J., Zhu, T., Wang, Z., et al. (2007). A novel set of DNA methylation markers in urine sediments for sensitive/specific detection of bladder cancer. Clin. Cancer Res. 13, 7296–7304.
- Zhang, P., Wang, J., Gao, W., et al. (2004). CHK2 kinase expression is downregulated due to promoter methylation in non-small cell lung cancer. Mol. Cancer *3*, 14.
- Zhang, H., Zhang, S., Cui, J., et al. (2008). Expression and promoter methylation status of mismatch repair gene hMLH1 and hMSH2 in epithelial ovarian cancer. Aust. NZ J. Obstet. Gynaecol. *48*, 505–509.