# RESEARCH

**Open Access** 

# Epigenomic landscape of enhancer elements during *Hydra* head organizer formation



Puli Chandramouli Reddy<sup>†</sup>, Akhila Gungi<sup>†</sup>, Suyog Ubhe and Sanjeev Galande<sup>\*</sup>

## Abstract

**Background:** Axis patterning during development is accompanied by large-scale gene expression changes. These are brought about by changes in the histone modifications leading to dynamic alterations in chromatin architecture. The *cis* regulatory DNA elements also play an important role towards modulating gene expression in a context-dependent manner. *Hydra* belongs to the phylum Cnidaria where the first asymmetry in the body plan was observed and the oral-aboral axis originated. Wnt signaling has been shown to determine the head organizer function in the basal metazoan *Hydra*.

**Results:** To gain insights into the evolution of *cis* regulatory elements and associated chromatin signatures, we ectopically activated the Wnt signaling pathway in *Hydra* and monitored the genome-wide alterations in key histone modifications. Motif analysis of putative intergenic enhancer elements from *Hydra* revealed the conservation of bilaterian *cis* regulatory elements that play critical roles in development. Differentially regulated enhancer elements were identified upon ectopic activation of Wnt signaling and found to regulate many head organizer specific genes. Enhancer activity of many of the identified *cis* regulatory elements was confirmed by luciferase reporter assay. Quantitative chromatin immunoprecipitation analysis upon activation of Wnt signaling further confirmed the enrichment of H3K27ac on the enhancer elements of *Hv\_Wnt5a*, *Hv\_Wnt11* and head organizer genes *Hv\_Bra1*, *CnGsc* and *Hv\_Pitx1*. Additionally, perturbation of the putative H3K27me3 eraser activity using a specific inhibitor affected the ectopic activation of Wnt signaling the importance of the dynamic changes in the H3K27 modifications towards regulation of the genes involved in the head organizer activity.

**Conclusions:** The activation-associated histone marks H3K4me3, H3K27ac and H3K9ac mark chromatin in a similar manner as seen in bilaterians. We identified intergenic *cis* regulatory elements which harbor sites for key transcription factors involved in developmental processes. Differentially regulated enhancers exhibited motifs for many zinc-finger, T-box and ETS related TFs whose homologs have a head specific expression in *Hydra* and could be a part of the pioneer TF network in the patterning of the head. The ability to differentially modify the H3K27 residue is critical for the patterning of *Hydra* axis revealing a dynamic acetylation/methylation switch to regulate gene expression and chromatin architecture.

Keywords: Hydra, Head organizer, Enhancer, Histone modifications, Cis regulatory elements

## Background

\*Correspondence: sanjeev@iiserpune.ac.in

<sup>†</sup>Puli Chandramouli Reddy and Akhila Gungi contributed equally to this work

Centre of Excellence in Epigenetics, Department of Biology, Indian Institute of Science Education and Research, Dr. Homi Bhabha Road, Pune 411008, India



© The Author(s) 2020. This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/

During the development of an organism, the morphology is determined through a series of patterning events dis-

cretizing the body via multiple axes such as the anterior/

posterior, dorsal/ventral and left/right. The break in the

symmetry of the animal Bauplan was the consequence of

axis generation. Elegant studies in the past have shown

that this is due to molecular polarization via distribution of morphogens into distinct territories creating localized patterning [1, 2]. Highly conserved molecules have been identified as the regulators of the animal body plan which include the Hox genes. These homeotic proteins ensure proper patterning and development of an organism and the presence of a cluster of *Hox* genes was thought to be a bilaterian invention [3] The identification and characterization of Hox genes in cnidarians and ctenophores suggested that the zootype hypothesis might not be true [4–11]. Further, mechanisms upstream of the Hox patterning system were identified and the  $Wnt/\beta$ -catenin signaling pathway was shown to play a critical role in regulating Hox gene expression. Across Metazoa, the Wnt/ $\beta$ -catenin signaling pathway is a part of the posterior patterning during development [12]. Additionally, its function upstream of the Hox genes led to postulations that Wnt might be the major player in the primary axis formation [10, 13].

The origin of a defined body axis in the animal kingdom occurred in the phylum Cnidaria that exhibit an oral-aboral axis. Studies in cnidarians and ctenophores have established that unlike in higher phyla, Hox genes do not display polarized localization across the axis [10, 14]. In Hydra and other cnidarians, the canonical Wnt/  $\beta$ -catenin signaling pathway is known to form the head organizer and recent evidence suggests that it could play an initiating role in the formation of the foot as well [15-18]. This makes *Hydra* an ideal model system to study the process of axis patterning where the primary longitudinal axis is the oral-aboral axis. We have identified the downstream molecular players of the Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway which resulted in the identification of multiple homeobox transcription factors that play a critical role in Hydra axis formation [19].

Regulation of gene expression is orchestrated by multiple short DNA sequences called *cis* regulatory elements that include promoters and enhancers. While promoters are the more proximal regulatory regions and surround the transcription start sites (TSS) of gene bodies, enhancers are distal elements that are located from few Kb to 1 Mb away from the gene body [20]. In genomewide studies, the combinatorial occupancy of histone modifications is typically used as predictive markers for enhancer identification [21-23]. The typically promoterassociated H3K4me3 modification has also been used as a signature of active enhancers in T-cells [24]. Enhancers can be categorized as active and poised enhancers. Active enhancers predominantly exhibit the H3K4me1 and H3K27ac modifications, whereas poised enhancers possess the H3K4me1 and H3K27me3 modifications [25]. Additionally, acetylation of H3 by p300 and related acetyltransferases on intergenic regions of the genome has been observed at enhancers [26, 27]. Enhancers and promoters can also be discriminated based on the type of RNAs produced from both these regulatory elements. Though both might have bidirectional transcription, enhancers typically produce fewer, shorter and less stable eRNAs restricted to the nucleus whereas promoters usually produce mRNAs that are highly stable, multiexonic, abundant and translated into proteins [28]. Enhancers and promoters also exhibit few sequence-based similarities in terms of transcription factor binding motifs/sites (TFBS). Presence of transcription factor (TF) binding motifs makes enhancers the link between the signals that the cells receive and the target transcription factors that regulate gene expression.


Studying enhancers in the process of axis patterning is critical to understand the mechanisms through which the various signaling processes operate. In Hydra the process of axis patterning is also recapitulated during the process of regeneration [19, 29]. During this process, rapid and large-scale alterations in gene expression take place and these require changes in the chromatin architecture regulated by epigenetic modifications and regulatory regions in the genome [30, 31]. Here, we report the conserved characteristics of active histone marks in Hydra which have been described previously in bilaterians. We describe the cis-regulatory elements that exhibit differential H3K27ac modification in response to systemic activation of Wnt signaling. We also show that the nearest neighboring genes of these DNA elements display head specific expression implying their role in head pattern formation. Further, using a specific pharmacological inhibitor of the JMJD3/UTX enzymes that regulate the demethylation of H3K27me3/2, we demonstrate their role in Wnt signaling mediated phenotypic transformation.

#### Results

# Genome-wide occupancy profiles of active histone marks in *Hydra*

As a precedent to understanding the role of differential histone modifications in the oral-aboral axis patterning in *Hydra*, it is important to characterize the epigenomic landscape of the head organizer. Previous studies have characterized the histone repertoire in *Hydra* and have identified unique variants such as H2A.X.2 in the gastric region of polyps [32]. In an attempt to understand if there is a unique epigenomic landscape, we sought to characterize the global patterns in histone modifications across the genome of the *Hydra* adult polyps. Towards this goal, we performed chromatin immunoprecipitation followed by high throughout sequencing (ChIP-seq) for activation-associated histone modifications namely, H3K4me3, H3K27ac and H3K9ac. Correlation analysis of

the ChIP-seq reads suggests characteristic genomic occupancy specific to each modification (Additional file 1: Fig. S1). We investigated their occupancies at gene bodies, putative promoter regions and intergenic regions. Analysis of the gene bodies in the *Hydra* genome (Fig. 1a and Additional file 2: Table S1) revealed that the occupancy of the activation marks correlates globally with the + 1 nucleosome. Further, depletion of the nucleosome represented by low H3 at the TSS marks the nucleosome-free region (NFR) that allows for accessibility of the promoter regions for binding of RNA Pol II also increases the reliability of this analysis [33]. Based on the combinatorial occupancy of these three histone modifications and reads from the transcriptome analysis using RNA-seq, 4 clusters of genes were identified as seen in Fig. 1b. Cluster 1 is characterized by genes that are highly expressed and therefore have very high levels of H3K4me3 across the transcribing gene body and high levels of H3K27ac and H3K9ac close to the TSS to help recruitment of RNA pol II. The genes in cluster 2 exhibit a very low level of expression and relatively low occupancy of the classical activation marks on histone H3 investigated here. Cluster 3 appears to be genes with no detectable expression but with very low occupancy of both the trimethylation and






the acetylation marks on H3. These genes could be the poised genes and will require the knowledge regarding the presence of histone marks associated with repressive functions to establish their identity. The genes in the 4th cluster are characterized by no detectable histone marks and expression, therefore representing the repressed genes. Further, we sought to characterize the intergenic regions of the *Hydra* genome based on the occurrence of activating histone modifications. Upon aligning the ChIP-Seq reads to the Hydra magnipapillata genome [34], we obtained the differential occupancy of the three histone marks under investigation across the Hydra genome. The genomic distribution of all the three histone modifications revealed the highest number of peaks at the intergenic regions. Additionally, we observed a higher number of intergenic regions with the occurrence of H3K27ac peaks relative to H3K4me3 peaks (Fig. 1c).

#### Intergenic regions as enhancers in the Hydra genome

Differential occupancy of histone modifications is a part of the regulation of gene expression changes caused by structural changes in chromatin. We retrieved the intergenic regions of the Hydra genome excluding the promoters, which displayed the occurrence of H3K27ac as a typical marker for enhancers and investigated the distribution of the three histone modifications namely H3K4me3, H3K27ac and H3K9ac on these intergenic regions. We plotted the occupancy of the three histone modifications on all the intergenic regions and obtained three k-means clusters based on the distribution pattern of the modifications around the peak center (Fig. 2a and Additional file 3: Table S2, Additional file 4: Table S3). Cluster 1 consists of 2884 intergenic regions with a higher level of H3K4me3 than H3K27ac and the distribution of the marks occurs in a broad peak. Cluster 2 consists of 4475 intergenic regions which also exhibits a higher level of H3K4me3 than H3K27ac, however, the distribution of the marks is in sharper peaks. The 8854 regions in cluster 3 have an epigenetic signature where the level of H3K27ac is higher and H3K4me3 is absent. We then plotted the intergenic regions and the average distribution of the histone modifications centering the peaks for each histone mark (Fig. 2b and Additional file 3: Table S2). To determine the presence of transcription reads from the intergenic regions, we analyzed the RNA-seq reads originating from these regions. The RNAseq reads in Fig. 2c depict transcription arising from the corresponding intergenic regions in Fig. 2a and the 3 clusters shown are representative of the k-means clusters generated based on the distribution of the histone modification. We observed very high levels of transcription arising from the intergenic regions of cluster 1 (Fig. 2c) in addition to the wide peaks of H3K27ac occupancy (Fig. 2a). Additionally, the occurrence of transcripts from multiple locations across the intergenic DNA elements was also observed as seen in the heat map provided (Fig. 2d). The second cluster obtained also displayed transcription of RNA which was restricted usually to a single originating location and was not spread across the DNA element (Fig. 2c, d). This pattern was similar to the pattern of occupancy of the histone marks on these intergenic regions (Fig. 2a). The third cluster did not show any transcriptional activity and the lack of H3K4me3 mark was striking in this case with a presence of the H3K27ac mark (Fig. 2a, d) which is seen in the regions in cluster 3 of Fig. 2a, c. To understand the role of these intergenic regions in transcriptional regulation, we identified the TFBS motifs present in these regions using the MEME-ChIP tool in the MEME Suite package. The motifs across the three clusters which exhibit central enrichment of TFBS in a 500 bp DNA element around the histone modification peak are represented (Fig. 2e, Additional file 1: Fig. S2). Multiple TFBS were identified on the intergenic regions and most of these have a developmental role like the homeodomain-containing TFs, Nkx1-2, Irx3 and PBX3 [93-95]. Notably, we observed significant enrichment of TCF7L2, which is a key target transcription factor of the head patterning Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway in Hydra, on multiple intergenic regions in the 2nd cluster (Fig. 2e, Additional file 1: Fig. S2).

# Intergenic genomic DNA is differentially modified for the process of axis patterning in *Hydra*

The oral-aboral axis of Hydra is characterized by the molecular and morphological distinction of the body column into the head and foot structures. The canonical Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway plays a critical role in the formation of the head organizer and differentiation of the associated structures such as tentacles and mouth. To understand the molecular mechanisms underlying the head formation and axis patterning in Hydra, we used Alsterpaullone (ALP), a GSK3β inhibitor to induce systemic activation of the Wnt/ $\beta$ -catenin signaling pathway in the polyps. Following activation of the Wnt pathway, to decipher the epigenetic regulation of transcription, we performed ChIP-seq for H3K4me3, H3K27ac and H3K9ac in both treated and control animals. We then retrieved intergenic regions which show increased occupancy of H3K27ac upon activation of Wnt signaling (Additional file 5: Table S4), which is a classical enhancer associated mark, and monitored the occupancy of H3K4me3 and H3K9ac on these intergenic regions. Based on the differential occupancy of the three marks on the intergenic regions, we obtained four clusters of DNA elements using k-means based clustering (Fig. 3a, Additional file 5: Table S4). Depicted below the




heatmap in Fig. 3a is the average profile of the occurrence of the histone modification in each cluster. The intergenic regions in the clusters 1 and 2, containing

1220 and 601 sequences respectively, display high levels of H3K27ac, H3K4me3 and H3K9ac marks distributed in relatively broad regions surrounding the peak center. **Fig. 3** Dynamic regulation of enhancer-like elements during axis patterning in *Hydra*. **a** The occupancy of the H3K27ac, H3K4me3 and H3K9ac on the enriched peak regions identified by activation of Wnt signaling using Alsterpaullone (ALP). Four k-means clusters were obtained based on the combinatorial presence of the three modifications. The average profile of the modifications is depicted below the heatmap of each modification with the colors denoting different clusters. **b** Distribution of Gene ontology (GO) terms for transcription factors (GO:0003700, GO:0043565) and Wnt signaling pathway members (GO:0016055) for the nearest neighbour genes of the intergenic regions across the four clusters. **c** Comparison of head specific genes identified by performing differential gene expression analysis using RNA-Seq from head and foot regions (SRR6815024-29) with the nearest neighbour genes of the intergenic regions from clusters 1 & 2 (cluster1\_2) and clusters 3 & 4 (cluster3\_4). **d** The 774 head specific genes which exhibit enriched H3K27ac mark upon ALP treatment were subjected to network analysis using STRING [38]. Cluster analysis of the network generated showed a large cluster enriched with Wnt signaling pathway members. **e** The transcription factor binding motifs/sites (TFBS) that show central enrichment in the intergenic H3K27ac peak regions of the 774 genes (intersection of head specific and cluster3\_4 genes). The logos of motifs for the TFBS are shown in the first column and the total number of sites identified by DREME (black font) or FIMO (red font) are shown in the second column. The third column shows the transcription factors identified by TOMTOM. **f** Integrative Genomics Viewer (IGV) [39] track plots depict differential occupancy of H3K27ac and H3K4me3 upon ALP treatment on the gene body and upstream regulatory regions of *Hv\_Bra1* which plays a role in head patterning in *Hydra*. The regions showing enriched H3K27ac peaks are depicted in grey in the last track

There are 6459 intergenic regions belonging to cluster 3 which show occupancy of H3K27ac in sharp peaks and moderate levels of H3K9ac and this cluster shows clear increase in the levels of H3K27ac and H3K9ac upon the ectopic activation of Wnt signaling pathway. The level of H3K4me3 also increases but much more subtly (Fig. 3a). Cluster 4 contains 4729 elements that show an interesting pattern of histone modifications on the intergenic regions. H3K27ac on these intergenic regions is gained upon ALP treatment and H3K9ac levels show a slight reduction in their levels. These regions are depleted of H3K4me3 occupancy following ALP treatment (Fig. 3a). To understand the role these intergenic regions play in transcriptional regulation during axis patterning, we retrieved the genes located nearest to these regions in all 4 clusters. Gene Ontology (GO) analysis enabled the identification of number of transcription factors and Wnt signaling pathway members in all the clusters (Fig. 3b, Additional file 6: Table S5). The clusters 3 and 4 show greater numbers of transcription factors and Wnt signaling pathway members with dynamic regulation via cis-regulatory DNA elements. We compared the genes closest to the intergenic regions in the combined cluster1\_2 (clusters 1 & 2) and the combined cluster3\_4 (clusters 3 & 4) with head specific genes obtained by comparing publicly available RNA-Seq datasets of *Hydra* head and foot. Here we observed that there are 774 genes that are common between the head and cluster3 4 which correlates with the presence of a larger number of Wnt signaling pathway genes important for head patterning (Fig. 3c, Additional file 1: Fig. S3, Additional file 7: Table S6). Using these 774 genes, a network and clustering analysis was carried out for 237 available orthologs using the STRING database. Following a network enrichment analysis for KEGG pathways, an enrichment of the Wnt and Notch signaling pathway members was observed (Fig. 3d, Additional file 1: Fig. S4, Additional file 8: Table S7). The Wnt signaling pathway was identified as the largest cluster with highly significant interactions and as seen in the network, loci encoding multiple wnt ligands were shown to exhibit differential H3K27ac occupancy on their neighboring intergenic regions. Along with the ligands, neighboring intergenic regions of loci encoding multiple transcription factors such as CnGsc, HyBra1, CnASH and CnOtx also display similar differential histone modifications. We performed a motif enrichment analysis using MEME-ChIP on the 774 regions that are common to the head and clusters 3 and 4 and the motifs that show a central enrichment flanking the peak center have been represented in Fig. 3e (Additional file 1: Fig. S5). A majority of the TFs that have been identified belong to the Zinc-coordinating DNA-binding domains superclass of TFs and many of the TFs also have roles in axis patterning, matrix remodeling and nervous system development. Further, multiple TFs that interact with components of the Wnt signaling pathway such as Hic1 and Elk3 were found bind to many intergenic regions [77]. We then investigated the dynamic nature of the histone modifications on few genes that are critical in establishing and maintaining the head organizer activity. Brachyury is a T-box transcription factor that plays a role in tissue patterning during budding and head regeneration in Hydra [36, 37]. Upon constitutive activation of Wnt signaling, Brachyury is upregulated and shows an increase in the occurrence of the histone modifications across the gene body with a greater distribution of the histone modifications proximal to the promoter (Fig. 3f).

**Identified** *cis* **regulatory elements exhibit enhancer activity** Next, we selected genes that play a critical role head organizer activity with putative enhancer activity and monitored the histone modification profiles of their upstream genomic regions (Fig. 4a). In vitro luciferase assay was performed in HEK293T cells using upstream



#### (See figure on next page.)

**Fig. 4** Enhancer activity of *cis*-regulatory elements upstream to multiple Wnts and head organizer genes. Putative enhancer elements were identified based on differential modification of H3K27ac after systemic activation of Wnt signaling and validated by luciferase assay. **a** Plots of the genomic regions generated using IGV depicting occupancy of the H3K27ac, H3K4me3 histone modifications and RNA expression on distal genomic regions of  $Hv_Wnt11$ ,  $Hv_Wnt5a$  and  $Hv_Pitx1$ . ALP-Alsterpaullone treated and VC-vehicle control. The magenta rectangles show the regions cloned into the reporter construct for performing luciferase reporter assays and quantitative ChIP-PCRs. **b** The relative luminescence for each of the genomic regions is plotted using pGL3-basic as the control (first column) (\*\* indicates a *p* value < 0.01 using Student's t-test). **c** Relative enrichment of the occupancy of H3K27ac on the neighboring intergenic regions for the target regions has been plotted as fold enrichment over the lgG control in both DMSO controls (- 5  $\mu$ M ALP) and ALP treated polyps (+ 5  $\mu$ M ALP) (\* indicates a *p* value < 0.05 and \*\* indicates a *p* value < 0.01 using Student's t-test)

intergenic (not promoter) regions identified based on H3K27ac modification for genes that are a part of the Wnt signaling network. The upstream genomic regions of Hv\_Wnt5a, Hv\_Wnt7, Hv\_Bra1 and CnGsc which are expressed near the head organizer or tentacles in Hydra exhibited significant enhancer activity (Fig. 4b). Additionally, to validate the enrichment of H3K27ac over these regions in response to systemic activation of Wnt signaling we performed quantitative ChIP-PCR analysis. Here, all the regions could not be tested successfully due to failed amplification during PCR. This could be due to repeated sequences observed in this region. However, except Hv\_Wnt7, the other Wnts (Hv\_Wnt5a and Hv\_ Wnt11), the head organizer gene Hv\_Bra1 and Hv\_Pitx1 exhibit enrichment of H3K27ac (Fig. 4c). This confirms the regulation of these genes by enhancer activity associated with the identified *cis*-regulatory elements. The differences observed in the luciferase assay and ChIP-PCRs could be due to the variations in the regulatory mechanisms involved under in vivo conditions.


# Differential modification of H3K27 regulates axis patterning in *Hydra*

In *Hydra*, the canonical Wnt/ $\beta$ -catenin signaling pathway acts as the head organizer and ectopic activation of this pathway is achieved by inhibiting the GSK-3β kinase using Alsterpaullone (ALP). ALP treatment results in multiple molecular and structural changes in the polyp leading to the transformation of the entire body column into a head by giving rise to mis-localized tentacles [40]. As has been previously discussed, such molecular changes involve large-scale global changes in the epigenomic landscape in the cells. To understand the role of specific histone modifications in the axis patterning in Hydra, we used a specific pharmacological inhibitor for KDM6A/6B and activated the Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway using ALP. We observed that when the ALP treated polyps were subjected to the specific inhibitor GSK-J4 that acts against the histone lysine demethylases (KDMs) UTX/JMJD3 (KDM6A/KDM6B) [41], it resulted in a significant reduction in the formation of ectopic tentacles all over the body column of the polyps (Fig. 5a, b, Additional file 1: Fig. S6). Histone modifications have been shown to occur in a stepwise manner and removal of the trimethylation at H3K27 is essential for the acetylation to occur at the same residue. KDM6 demethylases are implicated in the demethylation of the repressive H3K27me3 mark that enables deposition of the H3K27ac mark which results in the activation of repressed genes [42, 43].

#### Discussion

The post-genomic era evidenced a shift in focus to noncoding regulatory sequences (cis regulatory elements-CREs) primarily due to their paramount contribution towards the differential regulation of gene regulatory networks (GRNs) comprising conserved gene repertoires in an organism/cell type-specific manner. It is fairly established that CREs play a critical role in the evolution of morphological and cell type diversity [44-47]. Among CREs, enhancer elements in combination with promoter elements control gene expression pattern in spatiotemporal fashion and act as substrates for the evolution of novelties such as morphological and functional diversities [48, 49]. Most of the studies related to enhancers are limited to selected model organisms. Owing to this, their divergence at the base of metazoans, where major evolutionary transitions took place with respect to developmental processes such as axis pattering and cell type functions, is poorly understood. Until now very few studies have reported the identification of the enhancer elements from the basal metazoan phylum Cnidaria suggesting their roles in gene regulation in different paradigms [31, 50, 51].

Here, we have characterized the histone modification profiles for three histone marks—H3K27ac, H3K9ac and H3K4me3 typically associated with transcriptional activation [52, 53] in *Hydra*, a member of the phylum Cnidaria. The level and distribution of the histone modifications across the gene body indicates the status of transcription and is different for genes depending on their function [54–56]. Based on the occupancy of the activation-associated histone marks, four clusters of genes have emerged among which the first two represent the



high and intermediate level of expression and a fourth cluster representing the repressed genes. The third cluster presumably depicts a set of poised genes that might be important for the perpetual developmental processes occurring in the *Hydra* body column [57]. These genes can be activated or repressed based on signaling stimuli

#### (See figure on next page.)

**Fig. 5** Effect of inhibition of the histone demethylase activity of KDM6A/6B on axis patterning in *Hydra*. **a**, **b** Effect of the pharmacological inhibitor on the ectopic tentacle phenotype which is resulted by ALP treatment. Treatment with the inhibitor of KDM6A/6B (3  $\mu$ M GSK-J4) results in a significant decrease in the number of tentacles obtained due to ALP treatment. Scale bar = 500  $\mu$ m. \*\*\*\* indicates a *p* value < 0.0001 estimated by a one-way ANOVA test. **c** Whole mount in situ hybridization for *Hv\_Wnt3a* and *HyBra1* shows decreased ectopic organizer centers (blue spots) formed when polyps are treated with GSK-J4 in combination with ALP. Scale bar = 500  $\mu$ m. **d** Relative enrichment of H3K27ac occupancy on the neighboring genomic regions of target genes with enhanced occupancy upon ALP treatment. Upon combinatorial treatment with GSK-J4 and ALP, the level of H3K27ac shows reduced occupancy on the neighboring intergenic regions. \* indicates a *p* value < 0.001 using Student's t-test (the first two bars have been reproduced from Fig. 4c to indicate changes in H3K27ac occupancy occupancy observed upon ALP treatment alone)


for specific developmental and regenerative processes in a context-dependent manner in Hydra. The occupancy of these histone modifications on a large fraction of the intergenic regions in the genome indicates putative enhancer elements in the Hydra genome. Enhancers are a critical part of the cis regulatory elements for coordinated regulation of gene expression in a signal-dependent and cell-specific manner. Enhancers have been identified and shown to have a conserved mode of function in Nematostella, another Cnidarian [58]. Based on the occupancy of the classical enhancer associated histone mark, H3K27ac, we identified three types of enhancerlike intergenic regions in the Hydra genome. The first cluster exhibits features of super enhancers with broad peaks of H3K27ac, H3K4me3 occupancy and high levels of transcription with multiple originating locations. The second cluster shows the characteristics of typical enhancers with sharp peaks of the activating histone marks and enhancer RNA like transcription events. The third cluster is unique in that it displayed high H3K27ac marks, but no transcription-associated H3K4me3 marks or transcription for the DNA elements. This has been observed in some super enhancers which respond to specific signaling stimuli and do not have an enhancer RNA transcription [59]. We have also identified multiple TFBS on the intergenic regions that belong to TFs involved in development and programming processes in organisms. The TCF7L2 binding site was also identified in the cluster which is the target TF of the head patterning signaling network in *Hydra* and responds to the Wnt/ $\beta$ -catenin signaling stimulus [19].

Upon activation of the canonical Wnt/ $\beta$ -catenin signaling pathway in *Hydra*, we observed differential histone modification on multiple intergenic regions. We identified four clusters based on the differential active histone modifications. Multiple transcription factors and Wnt signaling pathway genes were identified close to the intergenic regions showing changes in their modification status. Among the Wnt signaling pathway members are multiple *wnt* ligands and many critical transcription factors that play a role in the patterning processes as a response to Wnt signaling [60–65]. The TFBS showing

enrichment on the "head-related" intergenic regions have a majority of Zinc finger family of transcription factors which have been known to play roles in patterning processes. Multiple Zic proteins were identified to have TFBS on the Wnt responsive intergenic regions which can bind to multiple transcription factors that play a role in developmental signaling [66–70], chromatin remodeling proteins [71, 72] and many nuclear factors. Additionally, the consensus binding motifs for Zic family TFs have been shown to be enriched in enhancers and involves binding to chromatin remodelers [71, 73–76]. Further, we have identified the TF like *brk* that has a role in transcriptional regulation and the TFs Hic1 and Elk3 that interact with the Wnt signaling pathway to have TFBS in the differentially modified intergenic regions [77].

We have established the enhancer activity for four genomic regions upstream of genes that play a critical role in head patterning namely Hv\_Wnt7, Hv\_Wnt5a, CnGsc and Hv\_Bra1. Previous studies have shown that the Hv\_Wnt11, Hv\_Wnt7, Hv\_Bra1 and CnGsc are expressed in the Hydra head organizer [78]. Hv\_Wnt5a is localized to epithelial cells which give rise to tentacles during bud development [79] and Hv\_Pitx is localized to and important for the organizer formation in the budding zone [80]. This indicates the spatiotemporal regulation of chromatin via H3K27ac and the regulation of these genes during the process of axis determination in an enhancermediated manner. To achieve this type of regulation these genes need to be in relatively close proximity in the genome. However, none of these genes could be located in the same scaffold. This could be presumably due to highly fragmented assemblies of current Hydra genomes available [34]. Chromosome level assembly of *Hydra* genome will greatly facilitate the studies on cis-regulatory elements.


Further, we have used the H3K27 methylation mark as a paradigm to assess the differential modification of H3K27ac. The change of post-translational modifications on histones from acetylation to methylation has been implicated in regulating gene expression for the processes of epithelial-mesenchymal transition and differentiation in cancers and neuronal progenitors respectively



[81, 82]. We observed genome-wide changes in the H3K27 acetylation status in *Hydra* upon activation of the Wnt/ $\beta$ -catenin signaling pathway which resulted in both the ectopic tentacle phenotype and an expansion

of the expression domain of the Wnt target genes like  $Hv_Wnt3a$  and  $Hv_Bra1$ . Disruption of the histone demethylation by pharmacological inhibition of JMJD3/UTX (KDM6A/B) leads to a rescue of the ectopic tentacle





phenotype caused by the systemic activation of the Wnt/ $\beta$ -catenin signaling. This is a result of an altered ability of the H3K27 residue to be acetylated due to disruption in its ability to be demethylated, which transmutes the manifestation of the molecular and morphological changes in *Hydra*. We propose this H3K27 ac/me switch between the acetylated (ac) and the methylated (me) states as a critical player in the epigenetic regulation of the Wnt/ $\beta$ -catenin mediated axis patterning in *Hydra* (Fig. 6).

## Conclusion

In summary, we have identified the intergenic regulatory elements that possess enhancer-like histone modification signatures such as H3K27Ac and specifically characterized the enhancers that are responsive to Wnt signaling. These putative enhancers are enriched in binding motifs for multiple TFs, among which a majority that belong to the Zinc coordinating domain-containing superfamily with that have been shown to play important roles in developmental processes. Additionally, many TFs known to associate with components of the Wnt signaling pathway such as Hic1, T-box and Ets members have binding motifs on the differentially modified intergenic DNA elements. This investigation also resulted in the identification of candidate pioneer transcription factors that regulate gene expression via *cis*-regulatory elements in response to Wnt signaling in *Hydra*. We have demonstrated the in vitro enhancer activity of the *cis*-regulatory elements for *Hv\_Wnt7*, *Hv\_Wnt5a*, *CnGsc* and *Hv\_Bra1*. Further, we suggest a role of covalent modification of the histone residue H3K27 as a switch to gain acetylation or methylation and facilitate regulation of the Wnt responsive genes in a spatio-temporal manner.

## Methods

#### Hydra culture

A clonal culture of *Hydra vulgaris* Ind-Pune was maintained at 18 °C using standard protocols described previously [83, 84]. *Hydra* polyps were fed with freshly hatched *Artemia nauplii* larvae daily and cleaned 6–8 h post feeding.

#### Alsterpaullone treatment

*Hydra* polyps were starved for 24 h and subjected to 5  $\mu$ M Alsterpaullone (ALP) (Sigma–A4847) in hydra medium for 24 h. Control treatments with hydra medium and DMSO as a vehicle control were performed. Alsterpaullone was removed after the pulse and this time point is considered as 0 h post treatment. The polyps were incubated in hydra medium for an additional 72 h to observe the morphology of the polyps.

#### Inhibitor treatments

Fifty polyps were used for each of the inhibitor treatments. The chemical inhibitor GSK-J4 (for KDM6A and KDM6B) was obtained from Sigma Aldrich (Cat. No. SML0701). GSK-J4 was used at a final concentration of 3  $\mu$ M to perform all the experiments. The polyps were treated with the demethylase inhibitor for 24 h before the ALP treatment was started. The demethylase inhibitor was changed every day and the treatment continued for 96 h while the ALP treatment was performed as previously mentioned. After 96 h, the animals were fixed in 4% PFA overnight at 4 °C following relaxation with 2% urethane. The polyps were stored in 50% glycerol and imaged. For whole mount in situ hybridization, treatment with GSK-J4 was performed 24 h before the ALP pulse and the polyps were fixed 24 h after the ALP pulse.

#### Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP)

Two thousand Hydra polyps each for DMSO control and ALP treatment were cross-linked with 1% formaldehyde, lysed and sonicated in sonication buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 200 mM NaCl, 1% SDS, 4% NP-40, 1 mM PMSF) to obtain an average chromatin size of 300 bp. Chromatin was pre-cleared using 50 µl of a 50% protein A sepharose (GE healthcare) slurry for 1 h at 4 °C with gentle inverting. Immunoprecipitations were carried out in 1 ml of ChIP buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl, 2 mM EDTA, 1% Triton-X 100) with Anti-H3K4me3, Anti-H3K27ac, Anti-H3K9ac and Anti-H3. An appropriate IgG control was also used with inverting at 4 °C for 14–16 h. The samples were then incubated with 50 µl of a 50% Protein A sepharose slurry (saturated with 0.5% BSA and 10 mg/ml yeast tRNA) for 3 h at 4 °C with gentle inverting. ChIP samples were reversecrosslinked, and the DNA was purified using a Qiaquick column (Qiagen). Input chromatin was obtained after preclearing, by de-crosslinking and purifying input DNA using a Qiaquick column (Qiagen) according to manufacturer's instructions. Purified DNA was subjected to library preparation for sequencing or used for quantitative PCR. The fold enrichment was calculated using the formula—Fold  $enrichment = 2^{(Ct (Target antibody)-Ct (IgG))}$ . The details of the primers used to perform the ChIP RT-PCR are provided in Additional file 9: Table S8.

#### ChIP sequencing

ChIP-seq was performed using the SOLiD 5500 platform (Applied Biosystems). ChIP-seq libraries were prepared from 5 ng of DNA extracted after chromatin immunoprecipitation for different histone modifications using fragment library construction kit from Life technologies. Here, end repairing of the ChIPed DNA was carried out and then adaptors were ligated using T4 DNA ligase. Adaptor ligated products were amplified using adaptor specific primers for 12 cycles. As per the manufacturer's protocol DNA purification was performed using Agencourt XP beads (Beckman Coulter). Quality and quantity of the libraries were analyzed using Agilent bioanalyzer 2100 high-sensitivity DNA kit. The pooled libraries were used for sequencing.

#### **ChIP-seq analysis**

Hydra vulgaris reference genome from NCBI was downloaded (GCF\_000004095.1\_Hydra\_RP\_1.0) and used for alignment and other analysis [34]. The genome sequence was converted to colour space using bowtie-build and this is used as a reference for alignment of ChIP-seq reads by Bowtie [85]. Using SAMtools [86] aligned sam files were converted to bam files and used for further analysis. Correlation of bam files, peak profiles, heatmaps and cluster analysis were carried out by using deep-Tools [87]. Identification of ChIP-seq peaks, differential enrichment of peaks and annotation of these peaks were carried out by HOMER [88]. Motif enrichment analysis was performed by extracting 500 bp regions flanking the H3K27ac peak center and subjected to MEME-ChIP using MEME-Suite [35]. Following MEME-ChIP, the obtained motifs were submitted to TOMTOM in MEME-Suite to identify the TF that binds to the intergenic region. RNA-seq data generated previously in the lab [19] was used to show transcription over the selected peak regions (SAMN08966965).

#### Identification of differentially expressed genes

Data from NCBI-SRA was downloaded and used for this analysis. Here, sequence reads were aligned to the reference genome using HISAT2 [89]. Aligned bam files were used to get count matrix files using featureCounts function of Subread package [90]. Differential gene expression analysis in head vs foot was carried out by using EdgeR [91].

#### Luciferase assay

The selected intergenic regions were cloned into pGL3-Basic vector downstream of the luciferase ORF to eliminate chances of promoter-based activity. HEK293T cells were transfected with the target clones along with pRL-TK vector expressing *Renilla* luciferase as a transfection control. The cells were harvested 48 h post transfection and processed as per the given procedure using the Dual-Luciferase<sup>®</sup> Reporter Assay System (Promega). The luminescence was recorded for the target firefly luciferase and *Renilla* luciferase using EnSight<sup>TM</sup> Multimode Microplate Reader (PerkinElmer). The firefly luminescence values were normalised to the *Renilla* luminescence values and the fold change was calculated over the pGL3 basic empty vector normalised values.

#### Whole mount in situ hybridization

Digoxigenin-labeled RNA probes for Hv\_Wnt3a and Hv Bra1 were prepared by in vitro transcriptions from templates amplified from a recombinant pCR BluntII TOPO (Invitrogen) plasmids containing the Hv Wnt3a and Hv\_Bra1 cDNA using PCR. (DIG Labeling Mix—Sigma—1277073910; SP6 RNA Polymerase— Sigma-10810274001; T7 RNA Polymerase-Sigma-10881767001). Whole-mount in situ hybridization was performed on the polyps as described [92] with the following changes. Treatment with proteinase-K was performed for 5 min and heat-inactivation of the endogenous alkaline phosphatases was done at 70 °C for 15 min in 1X SSC. Digoxigenin-labeled RNA probe at a concentration of 150 ng/ml was used for hybridization at 59 °C. The post-hybridization washes were performed using 1X SSC-HS gradients. After staining with 50% NTMT/50% BM-purple AP substrate for 1 h at room temperature, the animals were mounted in 80% glycerol for imaging. Imaging was performed using Olympus MVX10 stereomicroscope.

#### Supplementary information

**Supplementary information** accompanies this paper at https://doi. org/10.1186/s13072-020-00364-6.

Additional file 1. Additional figures.

Additional file 2: Table S1. Bed file for locations of ChIP-Seq and RNA-Seq peaks for genic regions in *Hydra*.

Additional file 3: Table S2. (a) ChIP-Seq peak annotation for H3K4me3 in whole Hydra polyps. (b) ChIP-Seq peak annotation for H3K27ac in whole Hydra polyps. (c) ChIP-Seq peak annotation for H3K9ac in whole Hydra polyps.

Additional file 4: Table S3. BED file for H3K27ac ChIP-Seq peaks on intergenic regions.

Additional file 5: Table S4. BED file for H3K27ac ChIP-Seq peaks on intergenic regions enriched after ALP treatment.

Additional file 6: Table S5. (a) Annotated protein list for genes nearest to intergenic regions with H3K27ac enriched after ALP treatment in cluster1. (b) Annotated protein list for genes nearest to intergenic regions with H3K27ac enriched after ALP treatment in cluster2. (c) Annotated protein list for genes nearest to intergenic regions with H3K27ac enriched after ALP treatment in cluster3. (d) Annotated protein list for genes nearest to intergenic regions with H3K27ac enriched after ALP treatment in cluster3. (d) Annotated protein list for genes nearest to intergenic regions with H3K27ac enriched after ALP treatment in cluster3. (d) Annotated protein list for genes nearest to intergenic regions with H3K27ac enriched after ALP treatment in cluster4.

Additional file 7: Table S6. (a) Gene lists for the four clusters in Fig 3c. (b) List of genes upregulated in the head. (c) List of genes downregulated in the head.

Additional file 8: Table S7. Enrichment of PFAM terms in network analysis. Clustering of the genes identified in the STRING db and colour codes for the clusters generated. Additional file 9: Table S8. (a) Primer used for ChIP-qRT-PCR. (b) Relative luminiscence readings for the luciferase assay performed with the target intergenic regions (Source data for Fig. 4b) (c) ddCt values of H3K27ac occupancy estimated using ChIP-RT qPCR (Source data for Fig. 4c) (d) ddCt values of H3K27ac occupancy estimated using ChIP-RT qPCR (Source data for Fig. 5d).

#### Acknowledgements

Work was supported by the Centre of Excellence in Epigenetics Program of the Department of Biotechnology, Government of India (BT/01/COE/09/07) to SG. PCR is an Early Career Fellow of the Wellcome-Trust-DBT India Alliance. AG is supported by fellowship from the CSIR. We thank Saurabh J Pradhan for his help with the library preps and sequencing.

#### Authors' contributions

PCR, SU and SG conceived the project. AG, PCR and SG wrote the manuscript. PCR, AG, SU performed experiments. PCR performed the ChIP-Seq analysis and transcriptome analysis. PCR, AG and SG interpreted the data. SG supervised the project. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Funding

Work was supported by the Centre of Excellence in Epigenetics Program of the Department of Biotechnology, Government of India (BT/01/COE/09/07) to SG. PCR is an Early Career Fellow of the Wellcome-Trust-DBT India Alliance. AG is supported by fellowship from the CSIR.

#### Availability of data and materials

The results of MEME-Suite motif analysis related data are available in Figshare at the https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.7993853. The ChIP-Seq data is available in NCBI under the following IDs: SRR8893489-97. For the differential gene expression analysis of head versus food transcriptomes, the data was used from the following IDs: (SRR6815024-29). The source data for Fig. 4b, c and 5d is available in Additional file 9: Table S8.

#### Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

#### **Consent for publication**

Not applicable.

#### **Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Received: 30 May 2020 Accepted: 26 September 2020 Published online: 12 October 2020

#### References

- Nusslein-Volhard C, Wieschaus E. Mutations affecting segment number and polarity in *Drosophila*. Nature. 1980;287(5785):795–801.
- Niehrs C. Regionally specific induction by the Spemann-Mangold organizer. Nat Rev Genet. 2004;5(6):425.
- Slack JM, Holland PW, Graham CF. The zootype and the phylotypic stage. Nature. 1993;361(6412):490–2.
- Schummer M, Scheurlen I, Schaller C, Galliot B. HOM/HOX homeobox genes are present in hydra (*Chlorohydra viridissima*) and are differentially expressed during regeneration. EMBO J. 1992;11(5):1815–23.
- Shenk MA, Bode HR, Steele RE. Expression of Cnox-2, a HOM/HOX homeobox gene in hydra, is correlated with axial pattern formation. Development. 1993;117(2):657–67.
- Shenk MA, Gee L, Steele RE, Bode HR. Expression of Cnox-2, a HOM/ HOX gene, is suppressed during head formation in hydra. Dev Biol. 1993;160(1):108–18.
- Gauchat D, Mazet F, Berney C, Schummer M, Kreger S, Pawlowski J, et al. Evolution of Antp-class genes and differential expression of Hydra Hox/paraHox genes in anterior patterning. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2000;97(9):4493–8.

- Kamm K, Schierwater B. Ancient complexity of the non-Hox ANTP gene complement in the anthozoan *Nematostella vectensis*: implications for the evolution of the ANTP superclass. J Exp Zool B Mol Dev Evol. 2006;306(6):589–96.
- Ryan JF, Mazza ME, Pang K, Matus DQ, Baxevanis AD, Martindale MQ, et al. Pre-bilaterian origins of the Hox cluster and the Hox code: evidence from the sea anemone, *Nematostella vectensis*. PLoS ONE. 2007;2(1):e153.
- Reddy PC, Unni MK, Gungi A, Agarwal P, Galande S. Evolution of Hox-like genes in Cnidaria: study of Hydra Hox repertoire reveals tailor-made Hoxcode for Cnidarians. Mech Dev. 2015;138(Pt 2):87–96.
- He S, Del Viso F, Chen CY, Ikmi A, Kroesen AE, Gibson MC. An axial Hox code controls tissue segmentation and body patterning in *Nematostella* vectensis. Science. 2018;361(6409):1377–80.
- 12. Petersen CP, Reddien PW. Wnt signaling and the polarity of the primary body axis. Cell. 2009;139(6):1056–68.
- Lee PN, Pang K, Matus DQ, Martindale MQ. A WNT of things to come: evolution of Wnt signaling and polarity in cnidarians. Semin Cell Dev Biol. 2006;17(2):157–67.
- Finnerty JR, Master VA, Irvine S, Kourakis MJ, Warriner S, Martindale MQ. Homeobox genes in the Ctenophora: identification of paired-type and Hox homologues in the atentaculate ctenophore, *Beroe ovata*. Mol Mar Biol Biotechnol. 1996;5(4):249–58.
- 15. Gufler S, Artes B, Bielen H, Krainer I, Eder MK, Falschlunger J, et al. beta-Catenin acts in a position-independent regeneration response in the simple eumetazoan *Hydra*. Dev Biol. 2018;433(2):310–23.
- Hobmayer B, Rentzsch F, Kuhn K, Happel CM, von Laue CC, Snyder P, et al. WNT signalling molecules act in axis formation in the diploblastic metazoan *Hydra*. Nature. 2000;407(6801):186–9.
- Plickert G, Jacoby V, Frank U, Muller WA, Mokady O. Wnt signaling in hydroid development: formation of the primary body axis in embryogenesis and its subsequent patterning. Dev Biol. 2006;298(2):368–78.
- Wikramanayake AH, Hong M, Lee PN, Pang K, Byrum CA, Bince JM, et al. An ancient role for nuclear beta-catenin in the evolution of axial polarity and germ layer segregation. Nature. 2003;426(6965):446–50.
- Reddy PC, Gungi A, Ubhe S, Pradhan SJ, Kolte A, Galande S. Molecular signature of an ancient organizer regulated by Wnt/beta-catenin signalling during primary body axis patterning in *Hydra*. Commun Biol. 2019;2:434.
- 20. Maston GA, Evans SK, Green MR. Transcriptional regulatory elements in the human genome. Annu Rev Genomics Hum Genet. 2006;7:29–59.
- Arnold CD, Gerlach D, Stelzer C, Boryn LM, Rath M, Stark A. Genome-wide quantitative enhancer activity maps identified by STARR-seq. Science. 2013;339(6123):1074–7.
- Bonn S, Zinzen RP, Girardot C, Gustafson EH, Perez-Gonzalez A, Delhomme N, et al. Tissue-specific analysis of chromatin state identifies temporal signatures of enhancer activity during embryonic development. Nat Genet. 2012;44(2):148–56.
- Heintzman ND, Hon GC, Hawkins RD, Kheradpour P, Stark A, Harp LF, et al. Histone modifications at human enhancers reflect global cell-typespecific gene expression. Nature. 2009;459(7243):108–12.
- Pekowska A, Benoukraf T, Zacarias-Cabeza J, Belhocine M, Koch F, Holota H, et al. H3K4 tri-methylation provides an epigenetic signature of active enhancers. EMBO J. 2011;30(20):4198–210.
- Fang Y, Wang Y, Zhu Q, Wang J, Li G. In silico identification of enhancers on the basis of a combination of transcription factor binding motif occurrences. Sci Rep. 2016;6:32476.
- Djebali S, Davis CA, Merkel A, Dobin A, Lassmann T, Mortazavi A, et al. Landscape of transcription in human cells. Nature. 2012;489(7414):101–8.
- Heintzman ND, Stuart RK, Hon G, Fu Y, Ching CW, Hawkins RD, et al. Distinct and predictive chromatin signatures of transcriptional promoters and enhancers in the human genome. Nat Genet. 2007;39(3):311–8.
- Andersson R, Sandelin A, Danko CG. A unified architecture of transcriptional regulatory elements. Trends Genet. 2015;31(8):426–33.
- Wenger Y, Buzgariu W, Perruchoud C, Loichot G, Galliot B. Generic and context-dependent gene modulations during *Hydra* whole body regeneration. bioRxiv. 2019;587147. https://doi.org/10.1101/587147.
- Petersen HO, Hoger SK, Looso M, Lengfeld T, Kuhn A, Warnken U, et al. A comprehensive transcriptomic and proteomic analysis of hydra head regeneration. Mol Biol Evol. 2015;32(8):1928–47.
- Murad R, Macias-Muñoz A, Wong A, Ma X, Mortazavi A. Integrative analysis of *Hydra* head regeneration reveals activation of distal enhancer-like elements. bioRxiv. 2019:544049. https://doi.org/10.1101/544049.

- 32. Reddy PC, Ubhe S, Sirwani N, Lohokare R, Galande S. Rapid divergence of histones in Hydrozoa (Cnidaria) and evolution of a novel histone involved in DNA damage response in hydra. Zoology. 2017;123:53–63.
- Radman-Livaja M, Rando OJ. Nucleosome positioning: how is it established, and why does it matter? Dev Biol. 2010;339(2):258–66.
- 34. Chapman JA, Kirkness EF, Simakov O, Hampson SE, Mitros T, Weinmaier T, et al. The dynamic genome of *Hydra*. Nature. 2010;464(7288):592–6.
- Bailey TL, Boden M, Buske FA, Frith M, Grant CE, Clementi L, et al. MEME SUITE: tools for motif discovery and searching. Nucleic Acids Res. 2009;37(Web Server issue):W202–W208208.
- Bielen H, Oberleitner S, Marcellini S, Gee L, Lemaire P, Bode HR, et al. Divergent functions of two ancient Hydra *Brachyury paralogues* suggest specific roles for their C-terminal domains in tissue fate induction. Development. 2007;134(23):4187–97.
- 37. Technau U, Bode HR. HyBra1, a Brachyury homologue, acts during head formation in *Hydra*. Development. 1999;126(5):999–1010.
- Szklarczyk D, Gable AL, Lyon D, Junge A, Wyder S, Huerta-Cepas J, et al. STRING v11: protein–protein association networks with increased coverage, supporting functional discovery in genome-wide experimental datasets. Nucleic Acids Res. 2019;47(D1):D607–D613613.
- Robinson JT, Thorvaldsdottir H, Winckler W, Guttman M, Lander ES, Getz G, et al. Integrative genomics viewer. Nat Biotechnol. 2011;29(1):24–6.
- Broun M, Gee L, Reinhardt B, Bode HR. Formation of the head organizer in hydra involves the canonical Wnt pathway. Development. 2005;132(12):2907–16.
- Kruidenier L, Chung CW, Cheng Z, Liddle J, Che K, Joberty G, et al. A selective jumonji H3K27 demethylase inhibitor modulates the proinflammatory macrophage response. Nature. 2012;488(7411):404–8.
- Petruk S, Black KL, Kovermann SK, Brock HW, Mazo A. Stepwise histone modifications are mediated by multiple enzymes that rapidly associate with nascent DNA during replication. Nat Commun. 2013;4:2841.
- Wang W, Lim KG, Feng M, Bao Y, Lee PL, Cai Y, et al. KDM6B counteracts EZH2-mediated suppression of IGFBP5 to confer resistance to PI3K/AKT inhibitor treatment in breast cancer. Mol Cancer Ther. 2018;17(9):1973–83.
- 44. Carroll SB. Evo-devo and an expanding evolutionary synthesis: a genetic theory of morphological evolution. Cell. 2008;134(1):25–36.
- Stern DL, Orgogozo V. The loci of evolution: how predictable is genetic evolution? Evolution. 2008;62(9):2155–77.
- Wittkopp PJ, Kalay G. Cis-regulatory elements: molecular mechanisms and evolutionary processes underlying divergence. Nat Rev Genet. 2012;13(1):59–69.
- Wray GA. The evolutionary significance of cis-regulatory mutations. Nat Rev Genet. 2007;8(3):206–16.
- Levine M. Transcriptional enhancers in animal development and evolution. Curr Biol. 2010;20(17):R754–R763763.
- Ong C-T, Corces VG. Enhancer function: new insights into the regulation of tissue-specific gene expression. Nat Rev Genet. 2011;12(4):283–93.
- Schwaiger M, Schönauer A, Rendeiro AF, Pribitzer C, Schauer A, Gilles AF, et al. Evolutionary conservation of the eumetazoan gene regulatory landscape. Genome Res. 2014;24(4):639–50.
- Weizman EN, Tannenbaum M, Tarrant AM, Hakim O, Levy O. Chromatin dynamics enable transcriptional rhythms in the cnidarian Nematostella vectensis. PLoS Genet. 2019;15(11):e1008397.
- Creyghton MP, Cheng AW, Welstead GG, Kooistra T, Carey BW, Steine EJ, et al. Histone H3K27ac separates active from poised enhancers and predicts developmental state. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2010;107(50):21931–6.
- Wang Z, Zang C, Rosenfeld JA, Schones DE, Barski A, Cuddapah S, et al. Combinatorial patterns of histone acetylations and methylations in the human genome. Nat Genet. 2008;40(7):897.
- Chen K, Chen Z, Wu D, Zhang L, Lin X, Su J, et al. Broad H3K4me3 is associated with increased transcription elongation and enhancer activity at tumor-suppressor genes. Nat Genet. 2015;47(10):1149–57.
- Okitsu CY, Hsieh JC, Hsieh CL. Transcriptional activity affects the H3K4me3 level and distribution in the coding region. Mol Cell Biol. 2010;30(12):2933–46.
- Pu M, Wang M, Wang W, Velayudhan SS, Lee SS. Unique patterns of trimethylation of histone H3 lysine 4 are prone to changes during aging in *Caenorhabditis elegans* somatic cells. PLoS Genet. 2018;14(6):e1007466.
- 57. Muller WA. Pattern formation in the immortal *Hydra*. Trends Genet. 1996;12(3):91–6.

- Schwaiger M, Schonauer A, Rendeiro AF, Pribitzer C, Schauer A, Gilles AF, et al. Evolutionary conservation of the eumetazoan gene regulatory landscape. Genome Res. 2014;24(4):639–50.
- Hah N, Benner C, Chong LW, Yu RT, Downes M, Evans RM. Inflammationsensitive super enhancers form domains of coordinately regulated enhancer RNAs. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2015;112(3):E297–302.
- 60. Zhang Z, Deb A, Zhang Z, Pachori A, He W, Guo J, et al. Secreted frizzled related protein 2 protects cells from apoptosis by blocking the effect of canonical Wht3a. J Mol Cell Cardiol. 2009;46(3):370–7.
- Arnold SJ, Stappert J, Bauer A, Kispert A, Herrmann BG, Kemler R. Brachyury is a target gene of the Wnt/beta-catenin signaling pathway. Mech Dev. 2000;91(1–2):249–58.
- Niehrs C, Steinbeisser H, De Robertis EM. Mesodermal patterning by a gradient of the vertebrate homeobox gene goosecoid. Science. 1994;263(5148):817–20.
- Puelles E, Acampora D, Lacroix E, Signore M, Annino A, Tuorto F, et al. Otx dose-dependent integrated control of antero-posterior and dorso-ventral patterning of midbrain. Nat Neurosci. 2003;6(5):453–60.
- Wheeler SR, Carrico ML, Wilson BA, Brown SJ, Skeath JB. The expression and function of the achaete-scute genes in *Tribolium castaneum* reveals conservation and variation in neural pattern formation and cell fate specification. Development. 2003;130(18):4373–81.
- Lolas M, Valenzuela PD, Tjian R, Liu Z. Charting Brachyury-mediated developmental pathways during early mouse embryogenesis. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2014;111(12):4478–83.
- 66. Fujimi TJ, Hatayama M, Aruga J. Xenopus Zic3 controls notochord and organizer development through suppression of the Wnt/beta-catenin signaling pathway. Dev Biol. 2012;361(2):220–31.
- Koyabu Y, Nakata K, Mizugishi K, Aruga J, Mikoshiba K. Physical and functional interactions between Zic and Gli proteins. J Biol Chem. 2001;276(10):6889–922.
- Pardo M, Lang B, Yu L, Prosser H, Bradley A, Babu MM, et al. An expanded Oct4 interaction network: implications for stem cell biology, development, and disease. Cell Stem Cell. 2010;6(4):382–95.
- 69. Pourebrahim R, Houtmeyers R, Ghogomu S, Janssens S, Thelie A, Tran HT, et al. Transcription factor Zic2 inhibits Wht/beta-catenin protein signaling. J Biol Chem. 2011;286(43):37732–40.
- Sanchez-Ferras O, Bernas G, Laberge-Perrault E, Pilon N. Induction and dorsal restriction of Paired-box 3 (Pax3) gene expression in the caudal neuroectoderm is mediated by integration of multiple pathways on a short neural crest enhancer. Biochim Biophys Acta. 2014;1839(7):546–58.
- Luo Z, Gao X, Lin C, Smith ER, Marshall SA, Swanson SK, et al. Zic2 is an enhancer-binding factor required for embryonic stem cell specification. Mol Cell. 2015;57(4):685–94.
- Zhu P, Wang Y, He L, Huang G, Du Y, Zhang G, et al. ZIC2-dependent OCT4 activation drives self-renewal of human liver cancer stem cells. J Clin Investig. 2015;125(10):3795–808.
- Sankar S, Yellajoshyula D, Zhang B, Teets B, Rockweiler N, Kroll KL. Gene regulatory networks in neural cell fate acquisition from genome-wide chromatin association of Geminin and Zic1. Sci Rep. 2016;6:37412.
- Simoes-Costa MS, McKeown SJ, Tan-Cabugao J, Sauka-Spengler T, Bronner ME. Dynamic and differential regulation of stem cell factor FoxD3 in the neural crest is encrypted in the genome. PLoS Genet. 2012;8(12):e1003142.
- Winata CL, Kondrychyn I, Kumar V, Srinivasan KG, Orlov Y, Ravishankar A, et al. Genome wide analysis reveals Zic3 interaction with distal regulatory elements of stage specific developmental genes in zebrafish. PLoS Genet. 2013;9(10):e1003852.
- Yu W, Briones V, Lister R, McIntosh C, Han Y, Lee EY, et al. CG hypomethylation in Lsh—/— mouse embryonic fibroblasts is associated with de novo H3K4me1 formation and altered cellular plasticity. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2014;111(16):5890–5.
- Valenta T, Lukas J, Doubravska L, Fafilek B, Korinek V. HIC1 attenuates Wnt signaling by recruitment of TCF-4 and beta-catenin to the nuclear bodies. EMBO J. 2006;25(11):2326–37.

- Lengfeld T, Watanabe H, Simakov O, Lindgens D, Gee L, Law L, et al. Multiple Wnts are involved in *Hydra* organizer formation and regeneration. Dev Biol. 2009;330(1):186–99.
- Philipp I, Aufschnaiter R, Ozbek S, Pontasch S, Jenewein M, Watanabe H, et al. Wnt/beta-catenin and noncanonical Wnt signaling interact in tissue evagination in the simple eumetazoan *Hydra*. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2009;106(11):4290–5.
- Watanabe H, Schmidt HA, Kuhn A, Hoger SK, Kocagoz Y, Laumann-Lipp N, et al. Nodal signalling determines biradial asymmetry in *Hydra*. Nature. 2014;515(7525):112–5.
- Song Y, Wang R, Li LW, Liu X, Wang YF, Wang QX, et al. Long non-coding RNA HOTAIR mediates the switching of histone H3 lysine 27 acetylation to methylation to promote epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition in gastric cancer. Int J Oncol. 2019;54(1):77–86.
- Balmer NV, Klima S, Rempel E, Ivanova VN, Kolde R, Weng MK, et al. From transient transcriptome responses to disturbed neurodevelopment: role of histone acetylation and methylation as epigenetic switch between reversible and irreversible drug effects. Arch Toxicol. 2014;88(7):1451–68.
- Reddy PC, Barve A, Ghaskadbi S. Description and phylogenetic characterization of common hydra from India. Curr Sci. 2011;101(6):736–8.
- Horibata Y, Sakaguchi K, Okino N, Iida H, Inagaki M, Fujisawa T, et al. Unique catabolic pathway of glycosphingolipids in a hydrozoan, *Hydra magnipapillata*, involving endoglycoceramidase. J Biol Chem. 2004;279(32):33379–89.
- Langmead B. Aligning short sequencing reads with Bowtie. Curr Protoc Bioinform. 2010;Chapter 11:Unit 11 7.
- Li H, Handsaker B, Wysoker A, Fennell T, Ruan J, Homer N, et al. The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. Bioinformatics. 2009;25(16):2078–9.
- Ramirez F, Dundar F, Diehl S, Gruning BA, Manke T. deepTools: a flexible platform for exploring deep-sequencing data. Nucleic Acids Res. 2014;42(Web Server issue):W187–W191191.
- Heinz S, Benner C, Spann N, Bertolino E, Lin YC, Laslo P, et al. Simple combinations of lineage-determining transcription factors prime cisregulatory elements required for macrophage and B cell identities. Mol Cell. 2010;38(4):576–89.
- 89. Kim D, Langmead B, Salzberg SL. HISAT: a fast spliced aligner with low memory requirements. Nat Methods. 2015;12(4):357–60.
- 90. Liao Y, Smyth GK, Shi W. The Subread aligner: fast, accurate and scalable read mapping by seed-and-vote. Nucleic Acids Res. 2013;41(10):e108.
- Robinson MD, McCarthy DJ, Smyth GK. edgeR: a Bioconductor package for differential expression analysis of digital gene expression data. Bioinformatics. 2010;26(1):139–40.
- Martinez DE, Dirksen ML, Bode PM, Jamrich M, Steele RE, Bode HR. Budhead, a fork head/HNF-3 homologue, is expressed during axis formation and head specification in hydra. Dev Biol. 1997;192(2):523–36.
- Selleri L, Zappavigna V, Ferretti E. 'Building a perfect body': control of vertebrate organogenesis by PBX-dependent regulatory networks. Genes Dev. 2019;33(5–6):258–75.
- Dana AA, Tamashiro VB, Alarcon YM. Nkx1-2 is a transcriptional repressor and is essential for the activation of Brachyury in P19 mouse embryonal carcinoma cell. Differentiation. 2012;83(5):282–92.
- Rodríguez-Seguel E, Alarcón P, Gómez-Skarmeta JL. The Xenopus Irx genes are essential for neural patterning and define the border between prethalamus and thalamus through mutual antagonism with the anterior repressors Fezf and Arx. Dev Biol. 2009;329(2):258–68.

#### **Publisher's Note**

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.