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#### Abstract

: This paper studies the fine structure of the Straubing hierarchy of star-free languages. Sequences of equations are defined and are shown to be sufficiently strong to characterize completely the monoid varieties of a natural subhierarchy of level one. In a few cases, it is also shown that those sequences of equations are equivalent to finite ones. Extensions to a natural sublevel of level two are discussed.


## Article:

## 1. Introduction

This paper deals with the problem of the decidability of the different levels of the Straubing hierarchy of starfree languages. The problem is a central one in the theory of regular languages. Its study is justified by its recognized connections with logic and the theory of complexity. More specifically, this paper is concerned with the problem of finding equations for Straubing's varieties of monoids.

### 1.1. Literature review

Let $A$ be a given finite alphabet. The regular languages over $A$ are those subsets of $A^{*}$, the free monoid generated by $A$, constructed from the finite languages over $A$ by the boolean operations, the concatenation product and the star. The star-free languages are those regular languages which can be obtained from the finite languages by the boolean operations and the concatenation product only. According to Schützenberger [14], $L$ $\subseteq A^{*}$ is star-free if and only if its syntactic monoid $M(L)$ is finite and aperiodic or $M(L)$ contains no nontrivial subgroups). General references on the star-free languages are McNaughton and Papert [12], Eilenberg [8], or Pin [13].

Natural classifications of the star-free languages are obtained based on the alternating use of the boolean operations and the concatenation product. Let $A^{+}=A^{*}-\{1\}$, where 1 denotes the empty word. Let $A^{+} B_{0}$ be the class of finite or cofinite subsets of $A^{+}$, and let $A^{+} B_{\mathrm{k}+1}$ denote the class of subsets of $A^{+}$which are boolean combinations of languages of the form $\mathrm{L}_{1} \ldots \mathrm{~L}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{n} \geq 1)$ with $\mathrm{L}_{1}, ., \mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{n}} \in A^{+} B_{k}$. Only nonempty words over $A$ are considered to define this hierarchy; in particular, the complement operation is applied with respect to $A^{+}$. The language classes $A^{+} B_{0}, A^{+} B_{1}, \ldots$ form the so-called dot-depth hierarchy introduced by Cohen and Brzozowski in [7]. The union of the classes $A^{+} B_{0}, A^{+} B_{1}, \ldots$ is the class of star-free languages.

Our attention is directed toward a closely related and more fundamental hierarchy, this one in $A^{*}$, introduced by Straubing in [16]: $A * V_{0}$ consists of the empty set $A^{*}$, and $A * V_{k+1}$ denotes the class of languages over $A$ which are boolean combinations of languages of the form $\mathrm{L}_{0} a_{1} \mathrm{~L}_{1} a_{2} \ldots a_{\mathrm{n}} L_{\mathrm{n}}(n \geq 0)$ with $L_{0}, \ldots, L_{\mathrm{n}} \in A^{*} V_{k}$ and $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{\mathrm{n}} \in$ $A$. Let $A^{*} V=\bigcup_{k \geq o} A^{*} V_{\mathrm{k}}$. $L \subseteq A^{*}$ is star-free if and only if $L \in A^{*} V_{k}$ for some $k \geq 0$. The dot-depth of $L$ is the smallest such $k$.

For $k \geq 1$, let us define subhierarchies of $A * V$ as follows: for all $\mathrm{m} \geq 1$, let $A^{*} V_{k, m}$ denote the class of boolean combinations of languages of the form $L_{0} a_{1} L_{1} a_{2} \ldots a_{\mathrm{n}} L_{\mathrm{n}}(0 \leq \mathrm{n} \leq \mathrm{m})$ with $L_{0}, \ldots, L_{\mathrm{n}} \in A^{*} V_{k-1}$ and $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{\mathrm{n}} \in A$. We have $A^{*} V_{k}=\bigcup_{m>1} A^{*} V_{k, m}$. Easily, $A^{*} V_{k, m} \subseteq A^{*} V_{k+1, m}$, and $A^{*} V_{k, m} \subseteq A^{*} V_{k, m+1}$. Similarly, subhierarchies of $A+B_{k}$ can be defined. In $A^{+} B_{1}$ several hierarchies and classes of languages have been studied; the most prominent examples are the $\beta$-hierarchy [6], also called depth-one finite cofinite hierarchy, and the class of locally testable languages.

The Straubing hierarchy gives examples of *-varieties of languages. One can show that $V, V_{k}$ and $V_{k, m}$ are *varieties of languages. According to Eilenberg, there exist varieties of monoids $\mathrm{V}, \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{k}}$ and $\mathrm{V}_{k, m}$ corresponding to $V, V_{k}$ and $V_{k, m}$, respectively. V is the variety of aperiodic monoids. We have that for $L \subseteq A^{*}, L \in A^{*} V$ if and only if $M(L) \in \mathrm{V}$, for each $k \geq 0, L \in A^{*} V_{k}$ if and only if $M(L) \in V_{k}$, and for $k \geq 1, m \geq 1, L \in A^{*} V_{k, m}$ if and only if $M(L) \in V_{k, m}$.

An outstanding open problem is whether one can decide if a star-free language has dot-depth $k$, i.e., can we effectively characterize the varieties $\mathrm{V}_{k}$ ? The variety $\mathrm{V}_{0}$ consists of the trivial monoid alone, $\mathrm{V}_{1}$ of all finite $\mathcal{J}$ trivial monoids [15]. Straubing [17] conjectured an effective characterization, based on the syntactic monoid of the language, for $\mathrm{V}_{2}$. His characterization, formulated in terms of the novel use of categories in semigroup theory is shown to be necessary in general, and sufficient for an alphabet of two letters.

In the framework of semigroup theory, Brzozowski and Knast [5] showed that the dot-depth hierarchy is infinite. Thomas [19] gave a new proof of this result, which shows also that the Straubing hierarchy is infinite, based on the following version of the Ehrenfeucht-Fraissé game.

First, one regards a word $w \in A^{*}$ of length $|w|$ as a word model $w=\left(\{1, \ldots, w\},<^{w},\left(Q_{a}^{w}\right)_{a \in A}\right)$ where the universe $\{1, \ldots,|w|\}$ represents the set of positions of letters in $w,<^{w}$ denotes the $<$-relation in $w$, and $Q_{a}^{w}$ are unary relations over $\{1, \ldots|w|\}$ containing the positions with letter $a$, for each $a \in A$. For a sequence $\bar{m}=$ ( $m_{1}, \ldots, m_{k}$ ) of positive integers, where $k \geq 0$, the game $\mathcal{G}_{\bar{m}}(u, v)$ is played between two players $I$ and $I I$ on the word models $u$ and $v$. A play of the game consists of $k$ moves. In the $i$ th move, player $I$ chooses, in $u$ or in $v$, a sequence of $m_{i}$, positions; then player II chooses, in the remaining word, also a sequence of $m_{i}$ positions. After $k$ moves, by concatenating the position sequences chosen from $u$ and $v$, two sequences of positions $p_{1} \ldots p_{n}$ from $u$ and $q_{1} \ldots q_{n}$ from $v$ have been formed where $n=m_{1}+\ldots+m_{k}$. Player $I I$ has won the play if the two subwords in $u$ and $v$ given by the position sequences $p_{1} \ldots p_{n}$, and $q_{1} \ldots q_{n}$ coincide. If there is a winning strategy for player $I I$ in the game $\mathcal{G}_{\bar{m}}(u, v)$ to win each play we write $u \sim_{\bar{m}} v$. The two players play the game $\mathcal{G}_{\bar{m}}(u, v)$ on a pair of words $u$ and $v$. Player $I$ tries to demonstrate a difference between them while player $I I$ tries to keep the words looking the same. $\sim_{\bar{m}}$ naturally defines a congruence on $A^{*}$. Thomas $[18,19]$ infers that for $k \geq 1, M \in V_{k}$ if and only if for every morphism : $A^{*} \rightarrow M$ there exists $\bar{m}=\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{k}\right)$ such that $\sim_{\bar{m}}$ refines $\varphi$, or, more precisely, for $k \geq 1, m \geq 1, M \in V_{k, m}$ if and only if for every morphism $\varphi: A^{*} \rightarrow M$ there exists $\bar{m}=\left(m, m_{2}, \ldots, m_{k}\right)$ such that $\sim_{\bar{m}}$ refines $\varphi$. Applications of the characterizations of $V_{k}$ and $V_{k, m}$ in terms of the $\sim_{\bar{m}}$ 's appear in [1,2,3,4].

Eilenberg showed that every variety of monoids is ultimately defined by a sequence of equations. For example, the variety V of aperiodic monoids is ultimately defined by the equatons $x^{n}=x^{n+1}(n>0)$. The variety $\mathrm{V}_{1}$ is ultimately defined by the equations $(x y)^{m}=(y x)^{m}$ and $x^{m}=x^{m+1}(m>0)$. This gives a decision procedure for $\mathrm{V}_{1}$, i.e., $M \in \mathrm{~V}_{1}$ if and only if for all $x, y \in M,(x y)^{m}=(y x)^{m}$ and $x^{m}=x^{m+1}$ with $m$ the cardinality of $M$. One can show that every variety of monoids generated by a single monoid is defined by a (finite or infinite) sequence of equations. $\mathrm{V}_{1, m}$ being generated by $A^{* / \sim(m)}$, can we find explicitly a sequence of equations that define $\mathrm{V}_{1, m}$ ? If so, can we find explicitly a finite sequence of equations that define $\mathrm{V}_{1, m}$ ? An attempt to answer these open problems was made in [2]. There, finite sequences of equations were defined which are satisfied in the $\mathrm{V}_{1, m}$ ' s (but not necessarily complete for the $\mathrm{V}_{1, m}$ 's). It was shown that those sequences of equations are complete for $\mathrm{V}_{1,1}, \mathrm{~V}_{1,2}$ and $\mathrm{V}_{1,3}$. More precisely, $\mathrm{V}_{1,1}$ is defined by $x=x^{2}$ and $x y=y x, V_{1,2}$ by $x y z x=x y x z x$ and $(x y)^{2}=(y x)^{2}$, and $\mathrm{V}_{1,3}$ by $x z y x v x w y=x z x y x v x w y, y w x v x y z x=y w x v x y x z x$ and $(x y)^{3}=(y x)^{3}$ (suggested to me by J.-E. Pin to be equivalent to $x y x z x=x y x^{2} z x, x y z x^{2} u z=x y x z x^{2} u z, z u x^{2} z y x=z u x^{2} z x y x$ and $\left.(x y)^{3}=(y x)^{3}\right)$.

This paper studies the fine structure of the Straubing hierarchy. The results are concerned in particular with sequences of equations for the corresponding varieties of monoids. The question of finding complete sequences of equations which characterize Straubing's varieties is solved for the $V_{1, m}$ 's. It is also shown that the sequences of equations which characterize $\mathrm{V}_{1,1}, \mathrm{~V}_{1,2}$ and $\mathrm{V}_{1,3}$ are equivalent to finite ones. Generalizations to $\mathrm{V}_{2,1}$ are discussed. (Knast [9,10] provide an equation system for level one of Brzozowski's dot-depth hierarchy.) The proofs rely on some combinatorial properties of the congruences $\sim_{(m)}$ and $\sim_{(1, \mathrm{~m})}$ stated in Section 2.

In the following, notation and basic concepts are introduced.

### 1.2. Preliminaries

For more information on the matters discussed in this subsection, see the books by Eilenberg [8], Lallement [11] or Pin [13].

Let $A$ be a finite set. $|\mathrm{A}|$ denote the cardinality of $A$ or the number of elements in $A$. $A^{*}$, the free monoid generated by $A$, is the set of all sequences of length $\geq 0$ of elements of $A$ with concatenation being the operation (such sequences are called words). The unique string of length 0 , denoted by 1 and called the empty word, acts as the identity. A language over $A$ is a subset of $A^{*}$. $|w|$ denotes the length of the word $w$, and $w \alpha$ denotes the set of letters in $w$. A word $u$ is a prefix of $w$ if there exists a word $v$ such that $u v=w$. A word $u$ is a suffix of $w$ if there exists a word $v$ such that $v u=w$. A word $u$ is a factor (or segment) of a word $v$ if there exists words $x$ and $y$ such that $v=$ xuy. A word $u=\mathrm{a}_{1} \ldots a_{n}$ (where $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}$ are letters) is a subword of $v$ if there exist words $v_{0}, \ldots, v_{n}$ such that $v=v_{0} a_{1} v_{1} a_{2} \ldots a_{n} v_{n}$.

An equivalence $\sim$ on $A^{*}$ is a congruence if $x \sim y$ implies $u x v \sim u y v$ for all $u, v, x, y \in A^{*}$. A congruence $\sim$ is aperiodic if there exists $n \geq 0$ such that $x^{n} \sim x^{n+1}$, for all $x$. The $\sim$ class of $x$ is $[x]_{\sim}=\{y \mid x \sim y\}$. The set of all $\sim$-classes is denoted by $A^{* / \sim}$ and the index of $\sim$ is defined as the cardinality of $A^{* / \sim}$. This set becomes a monoid by considering the operation $[x]_{\sim}[y]_{\sim}=[x y]_{\sim} ;[1]_{\sim}$ acts as the identity. There exists a surjective morphism $\sim: A^{*}$ $\rightarrow A^{* / \sim}$, defined by $x \sim=[x] \sim$. Conversely, any morphism : $A^{*} \rightarrow M$ induces a congruence on $A^{*}$ defined by $x \varphi y$ if and only if $x \varphi=y \varphi$. Note that we use the same symbol to denote the congruence and the related morphism. If $\varphi$ is surjective, there exists an isomorphism between $A * / \varphi$ and $M$. Any monoid can then be represented as a quotient of $A^{*}$ by a congruence.

If $L \subseteq A^{*}$ is a union of, $\sim$-classes, we say that $L$ is a $\sim$-language. For any language $L$ over A , the syntactic congruence of $L$ is defined by $x \sim_{L} y$ if and only if for all $u, v \in A^{*}, u x v \in L$ if and only if $u y v \in L . \sim_{L}$ is the congruence of minimal index with the property that $L$ is a $\sim$-language, i.e., for any congruence $\sim$ on $A^{*}, L$ is a $\sim$,-language if and only if $\sim \subseteq \sim_{L}$. The quotient monoid $A^{*} / \sim_{L}$ is denoted by $M(L)$ and is called the syntactic monoid of $L$. If $M$ is a monoid and there exists a morphism : $A^{*} \rightarrow M$ such that $L=S \varphi^{-1}$ for some $S \subset M$, we say that $M$ recognizes $L$. A language is said to be recognizable if it is recognized by a finite monoid. Kleene's theorem asserts that the regular languages in $A^{*}$ are exactly those recognized by finite monoids. It is well known that $M(L)$ is the monoid $M$ of minimal cardinality with the property that $M$ recognizes $L$; in fact, $M(L) \prec$ $M$ if and only if $M$ recognizes $L$. Also $L$ is regular if and only if $M(L)$ is finite.
$W$ is a variety of monoids, or M-variety, if

- it is a class of finite monoids closed under division, i.e., if $M \in W$ and $M^{\prime}<M$ (or $\mathrm{M}^{\prime}$ is a morphic image of a submonoid of $M$ ), then $M^{\prime} \in W$, and
- it is closed under finite direct product, i.e., if $M, M^{\prime} \in W$, then $M \times M^{\prime} \in \mathrm{W}$.

For any class $C$ of finite monoids, we denote by $\langle C\rangle_{M}$ the last $M$-variety containing $C$. Clearly, $M$ $\in\langle C\rangle_{M}$ if and only if there exists a finite sequence $M_{1}, \ldots, M_{n}$ of monoids of $C$ such that $M \prec M_{1}$ $\times \ldots \times M_{n}$. We call $\langle C\rangle_{M}$ the $M$-variety generated by $C$.
$W$ is a *-variety of languages if

- for every finite alphabet $A, A^{*} \mathcal{W}$ denotes a class of recognizable languages over $A$ closed under boolean operations,
- if $L \in A^{*} \mathcal{W}$ and $a \in A$, then $a^{-1} L=\left\{w \in A^{*} \mid a w \in L\right\}$ and $L a^{-1}=\left\{w \in A^{*} \mid w a \in L\right\}$ are in $A^{*} \mathcal{W}$, and
- if $L \in A^{*} \mathcal{W}$ and $\varphi: B^{*} \rightarrow A^{*}$ is a morphism, then $\mathrm{L} \varphi^{-1}=\left\{w \in B^{*} \mid w \varphi \in L\right\}$ is in $B^{*} \mathcal{W}$.

Eilenberg has shown that there exists a one-to-one correspondence between $M$-varieties and *-varieties. To a given *-variety of languages $\mathcal{W}$ corresponds the $M$-variety $W$ which consists of the syntactic monoids of the languages in $A^{*} \mathcal{W}$ for some $A$, and to a given $M$-variety $W$ corresponds the *-variety of languages $\mathcal{W}$ where $A^{*} \mathcal{W}$ is the class of subsets $L$ of $A^{*}$ for which there is $M \in W$ such that $M(L) \prec M$. The notion of variety captures the conditions under which a family of languages can be characterized by monoids and vice versa.
$\geq$ Let $u, v \in A^{*}$. A monoid $M$ satisfies the equation $u=v$ if and only if $u \varphi=v \varphi$ for all morphisms $: A^{*} \rightarrow M$. One can show that the class of monoids $M$ satisfying the equation $u=v$ is an $M$-variety, denoted by $W(u, v)$. Let $\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right)_{n>0}$ be a sequence of pairs of words of $A^{*}$. Consider the following $M$-varieties: $W^{\prime}=\cup_{n>0} W\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right)$ and $W^{\prime \prime}=\bigcup_{m>0} \bigcup_{n \geq 0} W\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right)$. We say that $W^{\prime}\left(W^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is defined (ultimately defined) by the equations $=\mathrm{v} .(\mathrm{n}>0)$ : this corresponds to the fact that a monoid $M$ is in $\mathrm{W}^{\prime \prime}$ ( $\mathrm{W}^{\prime \prime}$ ) if and only if $M$ satisfies the equations un $=\mathrm{v}$. for all $n>0$ (for all $n$ sufficiently large). The equational approach to varieties is discussed in Eilenberg [8].
Eilenberg showed that every M-variety is ultimately defined by a sequence of equations and that every Mvariety generated by a single monoid is defined by a sequence of equations.

## 2. Some combinatorial properties

### 2.1. Of the $\sim_{(m)}$ 's

Simon's effective characterization of $\mathrm{V}_{1}$ [15] depends on some combinatorial properties of the congruences $\sim_{(\mathrm{m})}$ stated in this subsection. A monoid $M$ in $\mathrm{V}_{1}$ satisfies $(x y)^{m}=(y x)^{m}$ and $x^{m}=x^{m+1}$ for some $m$ since $M<A^{* / \sim} \sim_{(m)}$ for some $m$ and $(x y)^{m} \sim_{(m)}(y x)^{m}$ and $x^{m} \sim_{(\mathrm{m})} x^{m+1}$. It turns out that these two equations form a complete sequence of equations for $V_{1}$.

Lemma 2.1. Let $m \geq 1$. Let $u, v \in A^{*}$. If $u \sim_{(m)} v$, then there exists $w \in A^{*}$ such that $u$ is a subword of $w, v$ is a subword of $w$ and $u \sim_{(m)} w \sim_{(m)} v$.

Lemma 2.2. Let $m \geq 1$. Let $u, v \in A^{*}$. Then $u \sim_{(m)} u v\left(u \sim_{(m)} v u\right)$ if and only if there exist $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{m} \in A^{*}$ such that $u=u_{m} \ldots u_{1}\left(u=u_{1} \ldots u_{m}\right)$ and $v \alpha \subseteq u_{1} \alpha \subseteq \ldots \subseteq u_{m} \alpha$.

Lemma 2.3. Let $m \geq 1$. Let $a \in A$ and $u, v \in A^{*}$. Then $u v \sim_{(m)}$ uav if and only if there exist nonnegative integers $n, n^{\prime}, n+n^{\prime} \geq m$ such that $u \sim_{(n)}$ ua and $v \sim_{\left(n^{\prime}\right)} a v$.

### 2.2. Of the $\sim(1, m)$ 's

This subsection states some combinatorial properties of the congruences $\sim(1, \mathrm{~m})$. In this and the next sections, if $w$ $=a_{1} \ldots a_{n}$ is a word and $1 \leq p \leq q \leq n, w[p, q], w(p, q), w(p, q]$ and $w[p, q)$ will denote, respectively, the segments $a_{p} \ldots a_{q}, a_{p+1} \ldots a_{q-1}, a_{p+1} \ldots a_{q}$ and $a_{p} \ldots a_{q-1}$.

In the following, we talk about positions spelling the first and the last occurrences of every subword of length $\leq$ $m$ of a word $w$. Consider the following example: let $A=\{a, b, c\}$ and

$$
w=\bar{a} \bar{b} \bar{b} \bar{b} b \bar{a} \bar{a} a \bar{b} \bar{c} \bar{b} \bar{c} \bar{c} c \bar{b} b b \bar{a} b a \bar{c} c b b a b c c a
$$

The overlined positions of $w$ are the positions which spell the first occurrences of every subword of length $\leq 3$ in $w$.

To find the positions which spell the first occurrences of every subword of length $\leq m$ of a word $w$ (or the ( $m$ ) first position in $w$ ), proceed as follows:

- let $w_{1}$ denote the smallest prefix of $w$ such that $w_{1} \alpha=w \alpha$ (call the last position of $\left.w_{1}, p_{1}\right)$;
- let $w_{2}$ denote the smallest prefix of $w\left(p_{1},|w|\right]$ such that $w_{2} \alpha=\left(w\left(p_{1},|w|\right]\right) \alpha$ (call the last position of $w_{2}$, $p_{2}$ );
.
.
- $\quad$ and let $w_{m}$ denote the smallest prefix of $w\left(p_{m-1},|w|\right]$ such that $w_{m} \alpha=\left(w\left(p_{m-1},|w|\right]\right) \alpha$ (call the last position of $w_{m}, p_{m}$ ).
$p_{1}, \ldots, p_{m}$ are among the positions we are looking for. Then, repeat the process to find the positions which spell the first occurrences of every subword of length $\leq m$ of $w\left[1, p_{1}\right)$ and of length $\leq(m-i+1)$ of $w\left(p_{i-1}, p_{i}\right)$ for $2 \leq i$ $\leq m$.

A similar statement is valid to find the positions spelling the last occurrences of every subword of length $\leq m$ of $w$ (or the ( $m$ ) last positions in $w$ ).

The $(m)$ first and the $(m)$ last positions in $w$ are called the $(m)$ positions in $w$.
The following Lemmas are from [4].
Lemma 2.4. Let $m \geq 1$. Let $u, v \in A^{+}$and let $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{s}$ in $u\left(p_{1}<\ldots<p_{s}\right)\left(q_{1}, \ldots, q_{s^{\prime}}\right.$ in $\left.v\left(q_{1}<\ldots<q_{s^{\prime}}\right)\right)$ be the ( $m$ ) positions in $u(v) . u \sim(1, m) v$ if and only if

- $s=s^{\prime}$,
- $\quad Q_{a}^{u} p_{i}$ if and only if $Q_{a}^{v} q_{i}, \mathrm{a} \in A$ for $1 \leq i \leq s$, and
- $u\left(p_{i}, p_{i+1}\right) \sim_{(1)} v\left(q_{i}, q_{i+1}\right)$ for $1 \leq i \leq s-1$.

Lemma 2.5. Let $m \geq 1$. Let $u, v \in A^{+}$be such that $u \sim(1, m) v$. Then there exists $w \in A^{+}$satisfying:

- $\quad Q_{a}^{u} p_{i}$ if and only if $Q_{i}^{\prime}$ if and only if $Q_{a}^{w} p_{i}^{\prime \prime}, a \in A$ for $1 \leq i \leq s$,
- $\quad u_{i} \sim(1) w_{i} \sim_{(1)} v_{i}$, for $1 \leq i \leq s-1$, and
- $\quad u_{i}, v_{i}$ are subwords of $w_{i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq s-1$,
where $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{s}\left(p_{1}<\ldots<p_{s}\right), p_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, p_{s}^{\prime}\left(p_{1}^{\prime}<\ldots<p_{s}^{\prime}\right), p_{1}^{\prime \prime}, \ldots, p_{s}^{\prime \prime}\left(p_{1}^{\prime \prime}<\ldots<p_{s}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ denote the $(m)$ positions in $u$, $v$ and $w$, respectively, $u_{i}=u\left(p_{i}, p_{i+1}\right), v_{i}=v\left(p_{i}^{\prime}, p_{i+1}^{\prime}\right)$, and $w_{i}=\left(p_{i}^{\prime \prime}, p_{i+1}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ for $1 \leq I \leq s-1$.

Lemma 2.4 and Lemma 2.5 imply that for $\mathrm{m} \geq 1$, and $u, v \in A^{*}$, if $u \sim(1, \mathrm{~m}) v$, then there exists $w \in A^{*}$ such that $u$ is a subword of $w, v$ is a subword of $w$ and $u \sim_{(1, \mathrm{~m})} w \sim_{(1, \mathrm{~m})} v$.

We end this section with a few observations useful in the proof of Theorem 4.3. First, $x^{2 m+1} \sim_{(1, m)} x^{2 m+2}$ and $2 m+1$ is the smallest positive integer $n$ with the property that $x^{n} \sim_{(1, m)} x^{n+1}$. Second, consider a nonempty word $w$ over an alphabet of two letters. Let $\mathrm{m} \geq 1$ and let $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{s} .\left(p_{2}<\ldots<p_{s}\right)$ be the ( $m$ ) positions in $w$. Let $1 \leq i \leq s$ -1 . If it is not the case that $p_{i}$ is the last among the ( $m$ ) first positions in $w$ and $p_{i+1}$ the first among the ( $m$ ) last positions in $w$, then $\left|\left(w\left(p_{i}, p_{i+1}\right)\right) \alpha\right|<2$.
3. Equations and the Vim, 's

In this section, we define sequences of equations and show that they are complete for the $\mathrm{V}_{1 m}$ 's. Also, in a few cases, we show that those sequences of equations are equivalent to finite ones.
3.1. Complete sequences of equations for the $\mathrm{V}_{1, m}$ 's

We now define some terminology that will be used in Definition 3.1 and Definition 4.1.
By an $i$-subset we mean a subset with $i$ elements.
Let $\mathrm{m} \geq 1$. A segment of type $r_{1}(m)$ in the element of $\left\{x_{1}\right\}$ is $x_{1}$; a segment of type $r_{2}(m)$ in the elements of $\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}\right\}$ is $\left(x_{2}\right)^{e} x_{1}$ or $\left(x_{1}\right)^{e} x_{2}$ for some $e, 1 \leq e \leq m$; a segment of type $r_{i+1}(m)$ in the elements of $S_{i+1}=\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{i}, x_{i+1}\right\}$ is the nonempty concatenation of at most $m$ segments of type $r_{i}(m)$ in the elements of an $i$-subset of $S_{i+1}$, say $S_{i}$, followed by the concatenation (maybe empty) of at most $m$ segments of type $r_{i-1}(m)$ in the elements of an $i-1-$ subset of $S_{i}$, say $S_{i-1}, \ldots$, followed by the concatenation (maybe empty) of at most $m$ segments of type $r_{1}(m)$ in the element of a 1-subset of $S_{2}$, say $S_{1}$, followed by the element in $S_{i+1}-S_{i}$. Similarly, a segment of type $l_{1}(m)$ in the element of $\left\{x_{1}\right\}$ is $x_{1}$; a segment of type $l_{2}(m)$ in the elements of $\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}\right\}$ is $x_{1}\left(x_{2}\right)^{e}$ or $x_{2}\left(x_{1}\right)^{e}$ for some $e, 1 \leq e \leq$ $m$; a segment of type $l_{i+1}(m)$ in the elements of $S_{i+1}=\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{i}, x_{i+1}\right\}$ is the nonempty concatenation of at most $m$ segments of type $l_{i}(m)$ in the elements of an $i$-subset of $S_{i+1}$, say $S_{i}$, preceded by the concatenation (maybe empty) of at most $m$ segments of type $l_{i-1}(m)$ in the elements of an $i$-1-subset of $S_{i}$, say $S_{i-1}, \ldots$, preceded by the concatenation (maybe empty) of at most $m$ segments of type $l_{1}(m)$ in the element of a 1-subset of $S_{2}$ say $S_{1}$, preceded by the element in $S_{i+1}-S_{i}$.

Definition 3.1.Let $\mathrm{m} \geq 1$ and let $r$ be a nonnegative integer. $C_{(m)}^{r}$ is afinite sequence consisting of equations of the form

$$
u_{r} \ldots u_{0} v_{0} \ldots v_{r}=u_{r} \ldots u_{0} x v_{0} \ldots v_{r}
$$

where $u_{0}=x^{n 0}, v_{0}=x^{n^{\prime} 0}$, where for $1 \leq i \leq r, u_{i}$ is the concatenation of $n_{i}$ segments of type $l_{i+1}(m)$ in the elements of $\left\{x, y_{1}, y_{i}\right\}$ is the concatenation of $n_{i}^{\prime}$ segments of type $r_{i+1}(m)$ in the elements of $\left\{x, z 1, \ldots, z_{i}\right\}$, and where $n_{i}, n_{i}^{\prime} \geq 0,0 \leq i \leq r$, and $m=n_{0}+\ldots+n_{r}+n_{o}^{\prime}+\ldots+n_{r}^{\prime}$.

Note that $C_{(m)}^{0}$ consists of the equation $x^{m}=x^{m+1}$. We have $C_{(m)}^{0} \subseteq C_{(m)}^{1} \subseteq C_{(m)}^{2} \subseteq C_{(m)}^{3} \subseteq \ldots$
Theorem 3.3 gives a characterization of $\mathrm{V}_{1, m}$ in terms of the $C_{(m)}^{r}{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{s}$
Lemma 3.2. Let $|A|=r+1, r \geq 0$. Let $M$ be a monoid generated by $A$. Then $M$ belongs to $\mathrm{V}_{1, m}$ if and only if $M$ satisfies the equations in $C_{(m)}^{r}$.

Proof. We have to prove that $M \in \mathrm{~V}_{1, m}$ if and only if $M$ satisfies the equations in $C_{(m)}^{r}$, or $M \in \mathrm{~V}_{1, m}$ if and only if for every morphism $\varphi: A^{*} \rightarrow M$,

$$
\text { (1) } u_{r} \ldots u_{0} v_{0} \ldots v_{r} \varphi=u_{r} \ldots u_{0} x v_{0} \ldots v_{r} \varphi
$$

for every equation $u_{r} \ldots u_{0} v_{0} \ldots v_{r}=u_{r} \ldots u_{0} x v_{0} \ldots v_{r}$ in $C_{(m)}^{r}$. Suppose $M \in \mathrm{~V}_{1, m}$ and let $\varphi: A^{*} \rightarrow M$ be a morphism. Then $\sim_{(\mathrm{m})} \subseteq \varphi$. Now, let

$$
u_{r} \ldots u_{0} v_{0} \ldots v_{r}=u_{r} \ldots u_{0} x v_{0} \ldots v r
$$

be an equation in $C_{(m)}^{r}$ where the $u^{\prime}$ s and the $v^{\prime}$ s are as in Definition 3.1 and let $n=n_{0}+\ldots+n_{r}$ and $n^{\prime}=n_{o}^{\prime}+\ldots+$ $n_{r}^{\prime}$. By Lemma 2.2, $u_{r} \ldots u_{0} \sim_{(n)} u_{r} \ldots u_{0} x$ and $v_{0} \ldots v_{r} \sim_{\left(n^{\prime}\right)} x v_{0} \ldots v_{r}$. Since $n+n^{\prime}=m$, Lemma 2.3 implies that

$$
u_{r} \ldots u_{0} v_{0} \ldots v_{r} \sim{ }_{(m)} u_{r} \ldots u_{0} x v_{0} \ldots v_{r}
$$

and hence Equation (1) holds.
Conversely, let : $A^{*} \rightarrow M$ be a surjective morphism satisfying all the instances of Equation (1). We want to show that $\sim_{(\mathrm{m})} \subseteq \varphi$. Let $f \sim_{(\mathrm{m})} g$. Lemma 2.1 permits us to consider only the case where $f$ is a subword of $g$. We observe also that if $f$ is a subword of $h$ and $h$ is a subword of $g$, we have also $f \sim_{(m)} h$. Hence, we have only to consider the case where $f=u v$ and $g=u a v$. So we have $u v \sim_{(m)} u a v$. Lemma 2.3 implies the existence of $n$ and $n^{\prime}$ such that $n+n^{\prime} \geq m, u \sim_{(n)} u a$ and $v \sim_{\left(n^{\prime}\right)} a v$. Lemma 2.2 implies the existence of $w_{1}, \ldots, w_{n} \in A^{*}, w_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, w_{n \prime}^{\prime} \in$ $A^{*}$ such that $u=w_{n} \ldots w_{1}, v=w_{1}^{\prime} \ldots w_{n \prime}^{\prime},\{\mathrm{a}\} \subseteq w_{1} \alpha \subseteq \ldots \subseteq w_{n} \alpha$ and $\{\mathrm{a}\} \subseteq w_{1}^{\prime} \alpha \subseteq \ldots \subseteq w_{n}^{\prime} \alpha$. We can choose $w_{1}$ to be the smallest suffix of $u$ to contain $a, w_{2}$ the smallest suffix of $u-w_{1}$ to contain $w_{1} \alpha, \ldots, w_{1}^{\prime}$ the smallest prefix of $v$ to contain $a, w_{2}^{\prime}$ the smallest prefix of $v-w_{1}^{\prime}$ to contain $w_{1}^{\prime} \alpha, \ldots$. Hence $u=w w_{n} \ldots w_{1}, v=w_{1}^{\prime} \ldots$ $w_{n}^{\prime}, w^{\prime}$ where $w, w^{\prime} \in A^{*}$. There exist nonnegative integers $n_{0}, \ldots, n_{r}$ such that the $n_{0}$ first segments among $w_{1}$, $\ldots, w_{n}$ are of type $l_{1}\left(m_{0}\right)$ in the element of $\{\mathrm{a}\}$, the $n_{1}$ next segments are of type $l_{2}\left(m_{1}\right)$ in the elements of $\left\{a, b_{1}\right\}$, $\ldots$, and the last $n_{r}$ segments are of type $l_{r+1}\left(m_{r}\right)$ in the elements of $\left\{\mathrm{a}, b_{1}, \ldots, b_{r}\right\}$. Here, $m_{0}, \ldots, m_{r}$ are positive integers, $a, b_{1}, \ldots, b_{r}$ are in $A$. Similarly, there exist nonnegative integers $n_{o}^{\prime}, \ldots, n_{r}^{\prime}$ such that the $n_{o}^{\prime}$ first segments among $, w_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, w_{n}^{\prime}$, are of type $r_{1}\left(m_{o}^{\prime}\right)$ in the element of $\{\mathrm{a}\}$, the $n_{1}^{\prime}$ next segments are of type $r_{2}\left(m_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ in the elements of $\left\{a, c_{1}\right\}, \ldots$, and the last $n_{r}^{\prime}$ segments are of type $r_{r+1}\left(m_{r}^{\prime}\right)$ in the elements of $\left\{a, c_{1}, \ldots, c_{r}\right\}$. Here, $m_{o}^{\prime}, \ldots, m_{r}^{\prime}$ are positive integers and $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{r}$ are in $A$. It is possible that some of $m_{0}, \ldots, m_{r}, m_{o}^{\prime}, \ldots$, $m_{r}^{\prime}$ be greater than $m$. If this is the case for some $m_{i}$, say, $0 \leq i \leq r$, and $w_{k}(1 \leq k \leq n)$ is of type $l_{\mathrm{i}+1}\left(m_{i}\right)$ in the elements of an $i+1$-subset of $A$, one can write $w_{k} \varphi$ as $n e w w_{k} \varphi$ where $n e w w_{k}$ is of type $l_{i+1}(m)$ in the elements of that subset of $A$. This can be done using instances of Equation (1). For example, let $r=2$ and $A=\{a, b, c\}$ Let

$$
u=\overbrace{a b a b a b}^{w} \overbrace{a b c}^{w_{4}} \overbrace{\text { bacacaaaccacacccccacca }}^{w_{3}} \overbrace{a b b b}^{w_{2}} \overbrace{a}^{w_{1}}, \text { and } v=\overbrace{\text { cccca }}^{w_{1}^{\prime}} \overbrace{\text { cbccba }}^{w_{2}^{\prime}} \overbrace{\text { bccc }}^{w^{\prime}}
$$

$u v \sim_{(5)} u a v$ since $u \sim_{(4)} u a$ and $v \sim_{(2)} a v$. Here, $m=5, n=4$ and $n^{\prime}=2$. There is $n_{0}=1$ segment in $u$ of type $l_{1}\left(m_{0}\right)$ $=l_{1}(1)$ in the element of $\{\mathrm{a}\}$, i.e. $w_{1}$; there is $n_{1}=1$ segment in $u$ of type $l_{2}\left(m_{1}\right)=l_{2}(3)$ in the elements of $\{\mathrm{a}, b\}$, i.e. $w_{2}$; and there are $n_{2}=2$ segments in $u$ of type $l_{3}\left(m_{2}\right)=l_{3}(7)$ in the elements of $\{\mathrm{a}, b, c\}$, i.e. $w_{3}$ and $w_{4}$.

Also, there is $n_{1}^{\prime}=0$ segments in $v$ of type $r_{1}\left(m_{0}^{\prime}\right)=r_{1}(1)$ in the element of $\{\mathrm{a}\}$; there is $n_{1}^{\prime}=1$ segment in $v$ of type $r_{2}\left(m_{1}^{\prime}\right)=r_{2}(4)$ in the elements of $\{\mathrm{a}, c\}$, i.e. $w_{1}^{\prime}$; and there is $n_{2}^{\prime}=1$ segment in $v$ of type $r_{3}\left(m_{2}^{\prime}\right)=r_{3}(2)$ in the elements of $\{\mathrm{a}, b, c\}$; i.e. $w_{2}^{\prime}$. We have that $m_{2}>5$ and $w_{3}$ is of type $l_{3}\left(m_{2}\right)$ in the elements of $\{\mathrm{a}, b, c\}$. By hypothesis, $: A^{*} \rightarrow M$ is a surjective morphism satisfying all the instances of Equation (1). In particular, since $v_{1}=x v_{1}$ where $v_{1}$ is the concatenation of 5 segments of type $r_{2}(5)$ in the elements of $\left\{x, z_{1}\right\}$ belongs to $C_{(5)}^{2}, v_{1} \varphi$ $=x v_{1} \varphi$. Hence, one can write $w_{3} \varphi$ as neww $w_{3} \varphi$ where $n e w w_{3}$ is of type $l_{3}(5)$ in the elements of $\{a, b, c\}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
w_{3} \varphi & =b a(c a)(c a)(a a c)(c a)(c a) c c c c c a c c a \varphi \\
& =b c(a c)(a a a c)(c a)(c a)(c c c c c a) c c a \varphi \\
& =b a(c a)(a a c)(c a)(c a)(c c c c c a) c c a \varphi \\
& =b c(a a a c)(c a)(c a)(c c c c c a)(c c a) \varphi \\
& =b a a a c c a c a c c c c a c c a \varphi \\
& =\text { neww }_{3} \varphi
\end{aligned}
$$

(the segments inside ( ) are of type $r_{2}(5)$ in the elements of $\{\mathrm{a}, c\}$ ). Similarly, if we have some $m_{i}^{\prime}$ greater than $m$. Hence $w_{n} \ldots \mathrm{w} 1 w_{1}^{\prime} \ldots w_{n^{\prime}}^{\prime} \varphi=\mathrm{wn} \ldots w_{1} \mathrm{a} w_{1}^{\prime} \ldots w_{n}^{\prime} \varphi$ and $u v \varphi=u a v \varphi$ follows.

Theorem 3.3. $\mathrm{V}_{1, m}$ is defined by $\bigcup_{r \geq 0} C_{(m)}^{r}$.
Proof. By Lemma 3.2.
3.2. Are the complete sequences of equations of the preceding sub-section equivalent to finite ones?

Is $\mathrm{V}_{1, m}$ defined by a finite sequence of equations, or is $\mathrm{U}_{r \geq 0} C_{(m)}^{r}$ equivalent to a finite sequence of equations? The answer is positive for $\mathrm{V}_{1,1}, \mathrm{~V}_{1,2}$ and $\mathrm{V}_{1,3}$ as the next three Theorems show.

Theorem 3.4. $\mathrm{U}_{r \geq 0} C_{(1)}^{r}$ is equivalent to $C_{(1)}^{1}$.
Proof. $\mathrm{x}=x^{2}$ is an instance of $x y_{1}=x y_{1} x$. For $\mathrm{r}>0,1 \leq i \leq \mathrm{r}$, equations of the form $u_{i}=u_{i} x$ where $u_{i} \sim(1) x y_{1} \ldots y_{i}$ are easily seen to be deduced from $x y_{1}=x y_{1} x$, and the equations of the form $v_{i}=x v_{i}$ where $v_{i} \sim \sim_{(1)} x z_{1} \ldots z_{i}$ are seen to be deduced from $z_{1} x=x z_{1} x$. The equations $x y_{1}=x y_{1} x$ and $z_{1} x=x z_{1} x$ both belong to $C_{(1)}^{1}$.

Theorem 3.5. $\cup_{r \geq 0} C_{(2)}^{r}$ is equivalent to $C_{(2)}^{1}$.
Proof. $x^{2}=x^{3}$ is a special instance of $x y_{1} z_{1} x=x y_{1} x z_{1} x$. For $\mathrm{r}>0,1 \leq k \leq \mathrm{r}$, let $u_{k} \sim_{(1)} x y_{1} \ldots y_{k}$ and $v_{k} \sim(1) x z_{1} \ldots z_{k}$. For $1 \leq i, j \leq \mathrm{r}$, instances of $u_{i} x=u_{i} x^{2}, x v_{j}=x^{2} v_{j}$, and $u_{i} v_{j}=u_{i} x v_{j}$ are easily seen to be deduced from $x y_{1} z_{1} x=$ $x y_{1} x z_{1} x$. For $1 \leq i \leq j \leq r$, equations of the form $u_{j} u_{i}=u_{j} u_{i} x$ and $v_{i} v_{j}=x v_{i} v_{j}$ can be deduced from $x y_{1} z_{1} x=x y_{1} z z_{1} x$, $\left(x y_{1}\right)^{2}=\left(x y_{1}\right)^{2} x$ and $\left(z_{1} x\right)^{2}=x\left(z_{1} x\right)^{2}$ which belong to $C_{(2)}^{1}$. For instance, $u_{j} u_{i}=u_{j} x u_{i} x u_{i}$ (using $x y_{1} z_{1} x=x y_{1} x z_{1} x$ several times since $\left(x u_{i} x\right) \alpha \subseteq u_{i} \alpha$ and $\left.\left(x u_{i} x\right) \alpha \subseteq u_{j} \alpha\right)=u_{j} x u_{i} x u_{i} x$ (using $\left.\left(x y_{1}\right)^{2}=\left(x y_{1}\right)^{2} x\right)=u_{j} u_{i} x$ (since $u_{j} u_{i}=$ $\left.u_{j} x u_{i} x u_{i}\right)$.

Theorem 3.6. $U_{r \geq 0} C_{(3)}^{r}$ is equivalent to $C_{(3)}^{2}$.
Proof. The following sequence of equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x y_{1} x z_{1} x=x y_{1} x^{2} z_{1} x \\
& x y_{1} z_{1} x^{2} z_{2} z_{1}=x y_{1} x z_{1} x^{2} z_{2} z_{1} \\
& y_{1} y_{2} x^{2} y_{1} z_{1} x=y_{1} y_{2} x^{2} y_{1} x z_{1} x \\
& \left(x y_{1}\right)^{3}=\left(x y_{1}\right)^{3} x \\
& \left(z_{1} x\right)^{3}=x\left(z_{1} x\right)^{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

belongs to $C_{(3)}^{2}$. For $\mathrm{r}>0,1 \leq l \leq r$, let $u_{l} \sim\left({ }_{(1)} x y_{1} \ldots y_{l}\right.$ and $v_{l} \sim{ }_{(1)} x z_{1} \ldots z_{l}$
$x^{3}=x^{4}$ is an instance of $x y_{1} x z_{1} x=x y_{1} x^{2} z_{1} x$. For $1 \leq i, j \leq r$, equations of the form $u_{i} x^{2}=u_{i} x^{3}, x^{2} v_{j}=x^{3} v_{j}$, and $u_{i} x v_{j}$ $=u_{i} x^{2} v j$ are easily seen to be deduced from $x y_{1} x z_{1} x=x y_{1} x^{2} z_{1} x$.

For $1 \leq k \leq r$ and $1 \leq i \leq j \leq r$, we show how to deduce instances of $u_{j} u_{i} v_{k}=u_{j} u_{i} x v_{k}$ from the five equations stated at the beginning of the proof (instances of $u_{j} u_{i} x=u_{j} u_{i} x^{2}, x v_{i} v_{j}=x^{2} v_{i} v_{j}$, and $u_{k} v_{i} v_{j}=u_{k} x v_{i} v_{j}$ are deduced similarly).
$u_{j} u_{i} v_{k}=u_{j} u_{i} x v_{k}$ can be written as $w^{\prime} x w w^{\prime \prime} x w^{\prime \prime \prime}=w^{\prime} x w x w^{\prime \prime} x w^{\prime \prime \prime}$ where $w \in\left\{y_{1}, \ldots, y_{i}\right\}^{*}, w^{\prime} \sim_{(1)} x y_{1} \ldots y_{j}, w^{\prime \prime} \in\left\{z_{1}, \ldots\right.$ ,$\left.z_{k}\right\}^{*}, w^{\prime \prime \prime} \in\left\{x, z_{1}, \ldots, z_{k}\right\}^{*}$ and can be deduced as follows: if $w=1$, then $w^{\prime} x w^{\prime \prime} x=w^{\prime} x^{2} \mathrm{w}^{\prime \prime} x$ using $x y_{1} x z_{1} x=$ $x y_{1} x^{2} z_{1} x$ since $x$ is in $w^{\prime}$; if $w \neq 1$, then $w=w_{1} \ldots w_{n}$ and $w^{\prime} x w x w^{\prime \prime} x=w^{\prime} x w_{1} \ldots w_{n} x w^{\prime \prime} x$
$=w^{\prime} x 2 w l \ldots w n w^{\prime \prime} x$ (using $x y_{1} x z_{1} x=x y_{1} x^{2} z_{1} x$ since $x$ is in $w^{\prime}$ )
$=w^{\prime} x^{2} w_{1} x w_{2} \ldots w_{n} x w^{\prime \prime} x$ (using $y_{1} y_{2} x^{2} y_{1} z_{1} x=y_{1} y_{2} x^{2} y_{1} x z_{1} x$ since $w_{1}$ is in $w^{\prime}$ )
$=w^{\prime} x^{2} w_{1} x^{2} w_{2} \ldots w_{n} x w^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{x}$ (using $x y_{1} x z_{1} x=x y_{1} x^{2} z_{1} z$ )
$=w^{\prime} x^{2} w_{1} x^{2} w_{2} x w_{3} \ldots w_{n} x w^{\prime \prime} x$ (using $y_{1} y_{2} x^{2} y_{1} z_{1} x=y_{1} y_{2} x^{2} y_{1} x z_{1} x$ since $w_{2}$ is in $w^{\prime}$ )
$=w^{\prime} x^{2} w_{1} x^{2} \mathrm{w}_{2} x^{2} w_{3} \ldots w_{n} x w^{\prime \prime} x$ (using $x y_{1} x z_{1} x=x y_{1} x^{2} z_{1} x$ )
!
$=w^{\prime} x^{2} w_{1} x^{2} w_{2} x^{2} w_{3} x^{2} \ldots x^{2} w_{n} x w^{\prime \prime} x$
$=w^{\prime} x^{2} w_{1} x^{2} w_{2} x^{2} w_{3} x^{2} \ldots x^{2} w_{n} w^{\prime \prime} x$ (using $y_{1} y_{2} x^{2} y_{1} z_{1} x=y_{1} y_{2} x^{2} y_{1} x z_{1} x$ since $w_{n}$ is in $w^{\prime}$ )
$=w^{\prime} x^{2} w_{1} x^{2} w_{2} x^{2} w_{3} x^{2} \ldots x w_{n} w^{\prime \prime} x$ (using $x y_{1} x z_{1} z=x y_{1} x^{2} x_{1} x$ )
$=w^{\prime} x^{2} w_{1} x^{2} w_{2} x^{2} w_{3} x^{2} \ldots x^{2} w_{n-1} w_{n} w^{\prime \prime} x$ (using $y_{1} y_{2} x^{2} y_{1} z_{1} x=y_{1} y_{2} x^{2} y_{1} x z_{1} x$ since $w_{n-1}$ is in $w^{\prime}$ )
$=w^{\prime} x^{2} w_{1} x^{2} w_{2} x^{2} w_{3} x^{2} \ldots x w_{n-1} w_{n} w^{\prime \prime} x$ (using $x y_{1} x z_{1} x=x y_{1} x^{2} z_{1} x$
:
$=w^{\prime} x^{2} w_{1} \ldots w_{n} w^{\prime \prime} x$
$=w^{\prime} x w_{1} \ldots w_{n} w^{\prime \prime} x$ (using $x y_{1} x z_{1} x=x y_{1} x^{2} z_{1} x$ since $x$ is in $w^{\prime}$ )
$=w^{\prime} x w w^{\prime \prime} x$.
Now, for $1 \leq i \leq j \leq k \leq r$, we show how $u_{k} u_{j} u_{i}=u_{k} u_{j} u_{i} x$ can be deduced (the proof is similar for the equations $v_{i} v_{j} v_{k}=x v_{i} v_{j} v k$ ). Similarly as above, we can show that $u_{k} u_{j} u_{i}=u_{k} u_{j} z u_{i}$ can be deduced where $z$ is any variable among $x, y_{l}, \ldots, y_{i}$. Hence, $u_{k} u_{j} u_{i}=u_{k} u_{j} u_{i} x u_{i}$ follows. So we get, $u_{k} u_{j} u_{i}=u_{k} u_{j} u_{i} x u_{i}=u_{k} u_{j} u_{i} x u_{i} x u_{i}=u_{k} u_{j} u_{i} x u_{i} x u_{i} x u_{i}=$ $u_{k} u_{j} u_{i} x u_{i} x u_{i} x$ (using $\left.\left(x y_{1}\right)^{3}=\left(x y_{1}\right)^{3} x\right)=u_{k} u_{j} u_{i} x u_{i} x=u_{k} u_{j} u_{i} x$.

For $\mathrm{m} \geq 4$, does there exist $r^{\prime}$ for which $\mathrm{U}_{\mathrm{r} \geq 0} C_{(m)}^{r}$ is equivalent to $C_{(m)}^{r \prime}$ ? A positive answer to this question would provide complete finite sequences of equations for all the $\mathrm{V}_{1, \mathrm{~m}}$ 's.

## 4. Equations and $\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{2}, 1}$

In this section, we give an equational characterization of the monoids in $V_{2,1}$ generated by two letters.
Definition 4.1. Let $\mathrm{m} \geq 1 . C_{(1, m)}$ is a finite sequence consisting of equations of the form $u x y v=u y x v$ where $u, v$ are the concatenation of $m$ segments of type $r_{2}(2 m+1)$ or $l_{2}(2 m+1)$ in the elements of $\{\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}\}$.
$C_{(1, m)}^{\prime}$ is a finite sequence consisting of equations of the form

$$
u^{\prime} u x v v^{\prime}=u^{\prime} u x^{2} v v^{\prime}
$$

where $u=x^{n}, v=x^{n^{\prime}}$, where $u^{\prime}\left(v^{\prime}\right)$ is the concatenation of $m-n\left(m-n^{\prime}\right)$ segments of type $r_{2}(2 m+1)$ or $l_{2}(2 m+1)$ in the elements of $\{\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}\}$, and where $0 \leq n, n^{\prime} \leq m$.

Note that the equations in $C_{(1, m)}$ are of the form $w_{1} x y w_{2}=w_{1} y x w_{2}$ and the ones in $C_{(1, m)}^{\prime}$ of the form $w_{3} x w_{4}=$ $w_{3} x^{2} w_{4}$. Recall from Subsection 1.1 that $x y=y x$ and $x=x^{2}$ are the defining equations for $\mathrm{V}_{1,1}$. The following Lemma is from [4].

Lemma 4.2. Every monoid in $\mathrm{V}_{2,1}$ satisfies $C_{(1, m)} \cup C_{(1, m)}^{\prime}$ for all sufficiently large $m$.
Lemma 4.3. Let $M$ be a monoid generated by $A$, an alphabet of two elements. Then $M$ belongs to $\mathrm{V}_{2,1}$ if and only if $M$ ultimately satisfies the equations $\bigcup_{m \geq 1} C_{(1, m)} \cup C_{(1, m)}^{\prime}$.

Proof. We have to prove that $M \in \mathrm{~V}_{2,1}$ if and only if $M$ satisfies the equations in $C_{(1, m)} \cup C_{(1, m)}^{\prime}$ for all $m$ sufficiently large. By Lemma 4.2, monoids in $V_{2,1}$ satisfy $C_{(1, m)} \cup C_{(1, m)}^{\prime}$ for all sufficiently large $m$.

Conversely, let $\varphi: A^{*} \rightarrow M$ be a surjective morphism satisfying $w \varphi=w^{\prime} \varphi$ for every equation $w=w^{\prime}$ in $\cup_{n \geq m} C_{(1, n)} \cup C_{(1, n)}^{\prime}$ for some $m \geq 1$. Let us show that $M \in \mathrm{~V}_{2,1}$. It is sufficient to prove that for all $f$ and $g$ in $A^{*}$, $f \sim_{(1, m)} g$ implies $f \varphi=g \varphi$. For $f=g=1$, it is certainly true. So assume $f, g \neq 1$ and $f \sim_{(1, m)} g$. We want to show that $f \varphi=g \varphi$. Let $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{s}\left(p_{1}<\ldots<p_{s}\right)\left(q_{1}, \ldots, q_{s}\left(q_{1}<\ldots<q_{s}\right)\right)$ be the ( $m$ ) positions in $f(g)$. Lemma 2.5 allows us to consider only the case where

- $\quad Q_{a}^{f} p_{i}$ if and only if $Q_{a}^{g} q_{\mathrm{i}}, a \in A$ for $1 \leq i \leq s$,
- $\quad f_{i} \sim(1) g_{i}$, and
- $\quad f_{i}$ is a subword of $g_{i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq s-1$,
where $f_{i}=f\left(p_{i}, p_{i+1}\right), g_{i}=g\left(q_{i}, g_{i+1}\right)$ for $1 \leq \mathrm{i} \leq s-1$. Here

$$
\begin{gathered}
f=a_{1} f_{1} a_{2} f_{2} \ldots a_{s-1} f_{s-1} a_{s}, \\
g=a_{1} g_{1} a_{2} g_{2} \ldots a_{s-1} g_{s-1} a_{s},
\end{gathered}
$$

where $Q_{a i}^{f} p_{i}$ and $Q_{a i}^{g} q_{i}$ for some $a_{i} \in A, 1 \leq i \leq s$. The above permits us to consider only the case where $f=$ $a_{1} f_{1} a_{2} f_{2} \ldots f_{i-1} a_{i} f_{i} a_{i+1} f_{i+1} \ldots a_{s-1} f_{s-1} a_{s}, g=a_{1} f_{1} a_{2} f_{2} \ldots f_{i-1} a_{i} g_{i} a_{i+1} f_{i+1} \ldots a_{s-1} f_{s-1} a_{s}$, where $f_{i}$ is a subword of $g_{i}$ and $f_{i} \sim_{(1)} g_{i}$ for some $i$ between 1 and $s-1$. We observe also that if $f_{i}$ is a subword of $h_{i}$ and $h_{i}$ a subword of $g_{i}$, we have also $f_{i} \sim(1) h_{i}$. Hence we have only to consider the case where $f=a_{1} f_{1} a_{2} f_{2} \ldots f_{i-1} a_{i} u v a_{i+1} f_{i+1} \ldots a_{s-1} f_{s-1} a_{s}, g=$ $a_{1} f_{1} a_{2} f_{2} \ldots f_{i-1} a_{i} u a v a_{i+1} f_{i+1} \ldots a_{s-1} f_{s-1} a_{s}$ for some $i$ between 1 and $s-1$, some $a$ in $u$ or in $v$. Since $|\mathrm{A}|=2$, we have the following cases.

Case 1: If $p_{i}$ is the last position among the $(m)$ first positions in $f$ and $p_{i+1}$ the first position among the $(m)$ last positions in $g$, then using a particular case of $C_{(1, m)}^{\prime}$ i.e $x^{2 m+1}=\mathrm{x}^{2 m+2}$ enables us to assume that $f$ and $g$ do not contain more than $2^{m+1}$ consecutive occurrences of a letter. Hence, we are able to write $f \varphi$ and $g \varphi$ as $f \varphi=$ $u m \ldots u_{1} u v v_{1} \ldots v_{m} \varphi, g \varphi=u_{m} \ldots u_{1} u a v v_{1} \ldots v_{m} \varphi$ where the $u$ 's and the $v$ 's are segments of type $r_{2}(2 m+1)$ or $l_{2}(2 m+1)$ in the elements of $\{a, \mathrm{~b}\}$ where $\mathrm{a}, b \in A$. Then using $C_{(1, m)}$ and $C_{(1, m)}^{\prime}$ enables us to write $g \varphi$ as $u_{m} \ldots$ $u_{1} u v v_{1} \ldots v_{m} \varphi=f \varphi$ since $a$ is in $u$ or in $v$.

Case 2: Otherwise, $u v$ contains only $a$ 's, and $a$ is in $u$ or in $v$. Assume $a$ is in $u$, so $u v=u_{0}^{\prime} a u_{0} v$ for some $u_{0}^{\prime}, u_{0} \in$ $a^{*}$. The proof when $a$ is in $v$ is similar. Using $x^{2 m+1}=x^{2 m+2}$ enables us as in Case 1 to assume that $f$ and $g$ do not contain more than $2 \mathrm{~m}+1$ consecutive occurrences of a letter. From the choice of the $p_{i}$ 's, $a_{1} f_{1} a_{2} f_{2} \ldots f_{i-1} a i u_{0}^{\prime}$ $\sim_{(m)} a_{1} f_{1} a_{2} f_{2} \ldots f_{i-1} a_{i} u_{0}^{\prime} a$ and $u_{0} v a_{i+1} f_{i+1} \ldots a_{s-1} f_{s-1} a_{s} \sim_{(m)} a u_{0} v a_{i+1} f_{i+1} \ldots a_{s-1} f_{s-1} a_{s}$. Lemma 2.2 hence implies the existence of $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{m} \in A^{*}, v_{1} \ldots v_{m} \in A^{*}$ such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
a_{1} f_{1} a_{2} f_{2} \ldots f_{i-1} a_{i} u_{0}^{\prime}=u_{m} \ldots u_{1} \\
u_{0} v a_{i+1} f_{i+1} \ldots a_{s-1} f_{s-1} a_{s}=v_{1} \ldots v_{m}
\end{gathered}
$$

$\{a\} \subseteq u_{1} \alpha \subseteq \ldots \subseteq u_{m} \alpha$ and $\{a\} \subseteq v_{1} \alpha \subseteq \ldots \subseteq v_{m} \alpha$. Moreover, it is easy to see that if we assume that there exist $k$ and $l$ between 0 and $m$ such that $u_{m-k}=\ldots=u_{1}=a=v_{1}=\ldots=v_{m-l}$, and such that the other $u$ 's and $v$ 's are of type $r_{2}(2 m+1)$ or $l_{2}(2 m+1)$ in the elements of $\{a, b\}$, then $u_{m} \ldots u_{1}$ is a suffix of $a_{1} f_{1} a_{2} f_{2} \ldots f_{i-1} a_{i} u_{0}^{i}$ and $v_{1} \ldots v_{m}$ is a prefix of $u_{0} v a_{i+1} f_{i+1} \ldots a_{s-1} f_{s-1} a_{s .} C_{(1, m)}^{\prime}$ gives

$$
\begin{gathered}
u_{m} \ldots u_{m-k+1} a^{2 m+1-k-l} v_{m-l+1} \ldots v_{m} \varphi= \\
u_{m} \ldots u_{m-k+1} a^{2 m+2-k-l} v_{m-l+1} \ldots v_{m} \varphi
\end{gathered}
$$

The result $f \varphi=g \varphi$ follows.
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