Math. Control Signals Systems (1992) 5: 453

Mathematics of Control, Signals, and Systems © 1992 Springer-Verlag New York Inc.

Correction Ergodic and Adaptive Control of Nearest-Neighbor Motions

Vivek S. Borkar and Mrinal K. Ghosh

In [BG] the proof given for Theorem 4.1 is incorrect. The same proof is reproduced in [B]. The following correct argument uses the notation of [BG].

If K > 0 is a bound on $|(k(\cdot, \cdot)|, (3.2) \text{ implies that } |V_{\theta}(i)| \le 2KE_{\theta}[\tau(0)|X_0 = i]$. From Corollary 3.13, it follows that $\sup_{\theta} |V_{\theta}(i)| < \infty$ for each *i*. The rest of the proof follows as before.

References

- [B] V. S. Borkar, Topics in Controlled Markov Chains, pp. 126–128, Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics, No. 240, Longman Scientific and Technical, Barlow, 1991.
- [BG] V. S. Borkar and M. K. Ghosh, Ergodic and adaptive control of nearest-neighbor motions, Math. Control Signals Systems, 4 (1991), 81-98.