

Erratum: “Comparative assessment of a new nonempirical density functional: Molecules and hydrogen-bonded complexes” [J. Chem. Phys. 119, 12129 (2003)]

Cite as: J. Chem. Phys. 121, 11507 (2004); <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1795692>

Submitted: 26 July 2004 • Accepted: 29 July 2004 • Published Online: 30 November 2004

Viktor N. Staroverov, Gustavo E. Scuseria, Jianmin Tao, et al.



View Online



Export Citation

ARTICLES YOU MAY BE INTERESTED IN

[Comparative assessment of a new nonempirical density functional: Molecules and hydrogen-bonded complexes](#)

The Journal of Chemical Physics **119**, 12129 (2003); <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1626543>

[Density-functional thermochemistry. III. The role of exact exchange](#)

The Journal of Chemical Physics **98**, 5648 (1993); <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.464913>

[A consistent and accurate ab initio parametrization of density functional dispersion correction \(DFT-D\) for the 94 elements H-Pu](#)

The Journal of Chemical Physics **132**, 154104 (2010); <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.3382344>

Lock-in Amplifiers
up to 600 MHz



Zurich
Instruments



ERRATA

Erratum: "Comparative assessment of a new nonempirical density functional: Molecules and hydrogen-bonded complexes" [J. Chem. Phys. 119, 12129 (2003)]

Viktor N. Staroverov^{a)} and Gustavo E. Scuseria
Department of Chemistry, Rice University, Houston, Texas 77005

Jianmin Tao and John P. Perdew
Department of Physics and Quantum Theory Group, Tulane University, New Orleans, Louisiana 70118

(Received 26 July 2004; accepted 29 July 2004)

[DOI: 10.1063/1.1795692]

TPSSh vibrational frequencies were inadvertently computed using the TPSS functional at the TPSSh geometries. The mistake affects the last row in Table VIII and the TPSSh dissociation energies (D_0) of hydrogen-bonded complexes in Table IX.

The last row in Table VIII should be replaced by the following:

Method	ME	MAE	Max (+)	Max (−)
TPSSh	6.6	26.7	141.4 (F_2^+)	−78.0 (HF^+)

The TPSSh D_0 values in Table IX should be

Method	D_0 (kcal/mol)	
	ME	MAE
TPSSh	0.06	0.46

^{a)}Electronic mail: vstarove@rice.edu

This makes TPSSh the second best functional for H-bond energetics. TPSSh remains the best functional for harmonic vibrational frequencies of molecules. TPSSh results for other properties are not affected.

Also, the LSDA energies of Cl , P^+ , Ar^+ , HCl^+ , S^- , and O_2^- used in calculations of standard enthalpies of formation, ionization potentials, and electron affinities were not fully converged, because the default SCF algorithm fails to produce self-consistent LSDA solutions for these systems. The quadratically convergent SCF algorithm (the option SCF=QC) may be used as a remedy. The correction slightly affects the results reported in Tables I and II, where the ME and MAE for LSDA should be replaced with the following. Table I: ME=−83.27, MAE=83.27 (G2/97); ME=−196.57, MAE=196.57 (G3-3); ME=−121.38, MAE=121.38 (G3/99). Table II: ME=0.047, MAE=0.231. These changes are very small and in no way affect any of the conclusions.

TPSSh ω_e and D_0 values in Tables S6 and S7, and LSDA values for the affected atoms and molecules in Tables S1, S2, and S3 of EPAPS Document No. E-JCPSA6-119-302348 (Ref. 51) have been corrected. The old EPAPS files have been replaced with new ones.