

ERRATUM

RANDOMIZED PARALLEL ALGORITHMS FOR TRAPEZOIDAL DIAGRAMS

[INT. J. COMPUT. GEOMETRY APPL., Vol. 2, No. 2 (1992) 117–133]
K. L. CLARKSON, R. COLE and R. E. TARJAN

The following are the references and appendix that should appear after Ref. 19 on page 133 of the paper.

- of hyperplanes in d dimensions”, *Proc. Second Symposium on Parallel Algorithms and Architectures*, pages 290–297, 1990.
21. K. Hoffman, K. Mehlhorn, P. Rosenstiehl, and R. Tarjan, “Sorting jordan sequences in linear time using level-linked search trees”, *Information and Control*, pages 170–184, 1986.
 22. K. Mulmuley, “A fast planar point location algorithm: part I”, *Proc. 29th Annual IEEE Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science*, pages 580–589, 1988.
 23. S. Rajasekaran and J.H. Reif, “Optimal and sublogarithmic time randomized parallel sorting algorithms”, *SIAM Journal on Computing*, 18:594–607, 1988.
 24. R. Seidel, “A simple and fast incremental randomized algorithm for computing trapezoidal decompositions and for triangulating polygons”, *Computational Geometry: Theory and Applications*, 1:51 – 64, 1991.

Appendix H

This appendix gives an algorithm for computing the trapezoidal diagram of a set S of n line segments in $O(n^2)$ expected time. The algorithm uses randomized divide-and-conquer: take random $R \subset S$ of size $r = n/\log n$, and compute $T(R)$ using Goodrich’s algorithm.¹⁵ (Alternatively, use an algorithm analogous to the

suboptimal one given in Ref. 20.) Insert the remaining segments of S into $\mathcal{T}(R)$, and use subsampling of the segments meeting each $T \in \mathcal{T}(R)$ to yield subproblems all of size $O(\sqrt{\log n})$ with high probability. Now use an optimal serial algorithm for such subproblems, and Goodrich's algorithm for the remainder.

The insertion step, in more detail: note that the complete adjacency representation of $\mathcal{T}(R)$ divides the segments of R into $O(r^2)$ total pieces, with $O(r^2)$ on any segment of R (actually $O(r\alpha(r))$ trapezoids meet any given segment, but the larger bound will suffice). We use list ranking² to obtain, for each segment in R , a sorted array of the pieces induced by $\mathcal{T}(R)$, with pointers to the trapezoids meeting each piece. Now for each segment $a \in S$, use binary search on the array for every segment $b \in R$, to find the trapezoids containing the intersection point of a and b .

This does not give all the trapezoids meeting a ; the remaining trapezoids are obtained as follows: consider the *convex diagram* of R , the subdivision induced using visibility edges from segment endpoints only, not intersection points. The trapezoids within each region of the convex diagram can be ordered top to bottom; such orderings can be obtained by list ranking, applied to adjacencies between trapezoids with common edges that are visibility segments from intersection points. The trapezoids in a convex cell that meet a segment $a \in S$ are an interval in that list, and the highest and lowest trapezoids contain either intersection points of a with R , or endpoints of a . Binary searches suffice to locate the endpoints of a in the list, if either are contained in trapezoids in the cell. Finally, the trapezoids meeting a , other than the highest and lowest, can be obtained after sufficient processors are allocated to do this. (Note that the number of trapezoids met is available.) We have, for every $a \in S$, the set of trapezoids of $\mathcal{T}(R)$ that it meets. By integer sorting we obtain a collection of subproblems as discussed in §3.1. This completes the first phase of processing.

Now for each $T \in \mathcal{T}(R)$, take a random subset of the segments that meet it, of size $K|n_T| \log |n_T| / \sqrt{\log n}$, where n_T is the number of segments meeting T , and K is an appropriate constant. Again apply Goodrich's algorithm to each such subset, and use the same insertion technique, to obtain a collection of subproblems. Now after appropriate processor allocations, apply an optimal serial algorithm to those subproblems with no more than $\sqrt{\log n}$ segments, and apply Goodrich's algorithm to the remainder.

Analysis. It is easy to verify that the algorithm consists of a constant number of stages each requiring $O(\log n)$ time in the worst case.

It is also easy to verify that $O(n^2)$ expected work is required, using Lemma 1. For example, computing the trapezoidal diagrams of the random subsets in the second phase of processing requires expected work proportional to

$$\sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}(R)} [O(|n_T|) \log |n_T| / \sqrt{\log n}]^2 \log |n_T|;$$

since $|n_T| = O(\log^2 n)$ for all T with probability $1 - 1/n$, the above is no more than

$$\sum_{T \in \mathcal{T}(R)} O(|n_T|^2)(\log \log n)^2 / \log n,$$

which is $O(n^2(\log \log n)^2 / \log n)$.