

Z. P. Huang

Erratum to: Size-dependent effective properties of a heterogeneous material with interface energy effect: from finite deformation theory to infinitesimal strain analysis

Published online: 26 September 2010
 © Springer-Verlag 2010

Erratum to: Acta Mechanica 190, 151–163 (2007)
 DOI 10.1007/s00707-006-0381-0

The corresponding author of the above paper regrets that in the expressions of the first kind Piola–Kirchhoff surface stress \mathbf{S}_s and the Lagrangian description of the Young–Laplace equations some terms associated with the “out-plane term” of surface gradient \mathbf{F}_s and “out-plane term” of \mathbf{S}_s were missing. In fact, \mathbf{F}_s and \mathbf{S}_s are “two-point” tensors, with base vectors both on the tangent planes \mathcal{J}_Y^0 and \mathcal{J}_y , and are not tensors in the two-dimensional tangent plane \mathcal{J}_Y^0 only. The correct forms of \mathbf{F}_s , \mathbf{S}_s and the Lagrangian description of the Young–Laplace equations are given as follows.

(i) If the displacement on the surface \mathbf{u} is decomposed into $\mathbf{u}_{0s} = u_0^\alpha \mathbf{A}_\alpha$ in the tangent plane \mathcal{J}_Y^0 and $\mathbf{u}_{0n} = u_0^n \mathbf{A}_3$ along the normal direction of \mathcal{J}_Y^0 , then the surface gradient can be written as

$$\mathbf{F}_s = \mathbf{a}_\alpha \otimes \mathbf{A}^\alpha = \mathbf{i}_0 + \mathbf{u} \nabla_{0s} + d_{0\alpha} \mathbf{A}_3 \otimes \mathbf{A}^\alpha \quad (\text{c1})$$

where \mathbf{i}_0 is the second-order identity tensor on \mathcal{J}_Y^0 , $\mathbf{u} \nabla_{0s}$ is defined as

$$\mathbf{u} \nabla_{0s} = \mathbf{u}_{0s} \nabla_{0s} - u_0^n \mathbf{b}_0 = u_0^\lambda |_\alpha \mathbf{A}_\lambda \otimes \mathbf{A}^\alpha - u_0^n \mathbf{b}_0 \quad (\text{c2})$$

and $d_{0\alpha} = u_0^\lambda b_{0\lambda\alpha} + u_0^n |_\alpha$, $\mathbf{b}_0 = b_{0\lambda\alpha} \mathbf{A}^\lambda \otimes \mathbf{A}^\alpha$ is the curvature tensor of the surface in the reference configuration.

Equation (c1) can be expressed as the sum of an “in-plane term” $\mathbf{F}_s^{(in)} = \mathbf{i}_0 + \mathbf{u} \nabla_{0s}$ and an “out-plane term” $\mathbf{F}_s^{(ou)} = d_{0\alpha} \mathbf{A}_3 \otimes \mathbf{A}^\alpha$. Hence the first kind Piola–Kirchhoff surface stress can also be decomposed into an “in-plane term” $\mathbf{S}_s^{(in)} = \mathbf{F}_s^{(in)} \cdot \mathbf{T}_s^{(1)}$, and an “out-plane term” $\mathbf{S}_s^{(ou)} = \mathbf{F}_s^{(ou)} \cdot \mathbf{T}_s^{(1)}$.

(ii) The “out-plane term” $\mathbf{S}_s^{(ou)}$ in the above paper is missing. In Eq. (4), this missing term is $\mathbf{S}_s^{(ou)} = J_2 (\partial\gamma/\partial J_1 + J_2 \partial\gamma/\partial J_2 + \gamma) \mathbf{F}_s^{(ou)}$, and in Eqs. (12) and (15), this missing term is $\gamma_0^* \mathbf{F}_s^{(ou)}$, and the discussion on Eq. (16) is inappropriate due to this missing term.

In Eq. (6), the missing term is $(\partial\gamma/\partial J_1 + J_2 \partial\gamma/\partial J_2 + \gamma) \left(\mathbf{F}_s^{(ou)} + \mathbf{F}_s^{(ou)\text{T}} \right)$, and therefore, the missing term in Eq.(13) is $\gamma_0^* \left(\mathbf{F}_s^{(ou)} + \mathbf{F}_s^{(ou)\text{T}} \right)$. It should be mentioned that, for small deformation, $\mathbf{i}_0 + \left(\mathbf{F}_s^{(ou)} + \mathbf{F}_s^{(ou)\text{T}} \right)$ is

The online version of the original article can be found under doi:10.1007/s00707-006-0381-0.

equal to the second-order identity tensor \mathbf{i} in the tangent plane \mathcal{J}_y , and the Cauchy surface stress is a tensor in tangent plane \mathcal{J}_y of the deformed surface.

Equation (7) should be written as

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{N} \cdot \llbracket \mathbf{S}^0 \rrbracket \cdot \mathbf{N} &= - \left(\mathbf{S}_s^{(in)} \right) : \mathbf{b}_0 - \left[\mathbf{N} \cdot \left(\mathbf{S}_s^{(ou)} \right) \right] \cdot \nabla_{0s}, \\ \mathbf{P}_0 \cdot \llbracket \mathbf{S}^0 \rrbracket \cdot \mathbf{N} &= - \left(\mathbf{S}_s^{(in)} \right) \cdot \nabla_{0s} + \left[\mathbf{N} \cdot \left(\mathbf{S}_s^{(ou)} \right) \cdot \mathbf{b}_0 \right]\end{aligned}\quad (\text{c3})$$

and accordingly Eq. (8) should be

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{N} \cdot \llbracket \Delta \mathbf{S}^0 \rrbracket \cdot \mathbf{N} &= - \left(\Delta \mathbf{S}_s^{(in)} \right) : \mathbf{b}_0 - \left[\mathbf{N} \cdot \left(\Delta \mathbf{S}_s^{(ou)} \right) \right] \cdot \nabla_{0s}, \\ \mathbf{P}_0 \cdot \llbracket \Delta \mathbf{S}^0 \rrbracket \cdot \mathbf{N} &= - \left(\Delta \mathbf{S}_s^{(in)} \right) \cdot \nabla_{0s} + \left[\mathbf{N} \cdot \left(\Delta \mathbf{S}_s^{(ou)} \right) \cdot \mathbf{b}_0 \right]\end{aligned}\quad (\text{c4})$$

Equation (27) should be

$$\begin{aligned}\llbracket \sigma_{rr} \rrbracket|_{r=a} &= \frac{1}{a^2} (\gamma_0^* + 2\gamma_1^* + \gamma_1) \left(2u_r + u_\theta \cot \theta + \frac{\partial u_\theta}{\partial \theta} \right) \Big|_{r=a} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{a^2} \gamma_0^* \left(\frac{\partial^2 u_r}{\partial \theta^2} - \frac{\partial u_\theta}{\partial \theta} + \frac{\partial u_r}{\partial \theta} \cot \theta - u_\theta \cot \theta \right) \Big|_{r=a}, \\ \llbracket \sigma_{r\theta} \rrbracket|_{r=a} &= \frac{1}{a^2} \left[(2\gamma_0^* + \gamma_1^*) u_\theta + (\gamma_1^* + \gamma_1) \left(u_\theta \cot^2 \theta - \frac{\partial u_\theta}{\partial \theta} \cot \theta - \frac{\partial^2 u_\theta}{\partial \theta^2} \right) \right] \Big|_{r=a} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{a^2} \left[(2\gamma_0^* + 2\gamma_1^* + \gamma_1) \frac{\partial u_r}{\partial \theta} \right] \Big|_{r=a}.\end{aligned}\quad (\text{c5})$$

Equation (34) should be

$$\mu_* = \mu_1 + \frac{L_1 + L_2}{L_3 + L_4} \quad (\text{c6})$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}L_0 &= 2\gamma_0^{*2} - \gamma_1 (\gamma_1 + 2\gamma_1^*) - \gamma_0^* (5\gamma_1 + 2\gamma_1^*), \quad L_1 = 10(7 - 10\nu_1) L_0, \\ L_2 &= -\frac{1}{10a} (2\gamma_0^* - 7\gamma_1 - 2\gamma_1^*) L_4, \quad L_3 = 4(-7 + 10\nu_1) a (13\gamma_0^* + 7\gamma_1 + 12\gamma_1^*), \\ L_4 &= 10a^2 [4(-7 + 10\nu_1) \mu_0 - (7 + 5\nu_1) \mu_1].\end{aligned}$$

Based on (c6), Eq. (36) should be written as

$$\bar{\mu} = \mu_0 + \frac{15\mu_0 f (1 - \nu_0) [-L_1 - L_2 + (L_3 + L_4) (\mu_0 - \mu_1)]}{L_5 + L_6} \quad (\text{c7})$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}L_5 &= 2(1 - f)(-4 + 5\nu_0)(L_1 + L_2), \\ L_6 &= (L_3 + L_4)[(-7 + 5\nu_0)\mu_0 + 2(-4 + 5\nu_0)(f\mu_0 + \mu_1 - f\mu_1)].\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, Eq. (38) should be

$$\bar{\mu}_{\text{void}} = \mu_0 + 15\mu_0 f (1 - \nu_0) \frac{L_0 + M_1 + M_2}{N_0 + N_1 + N_2} \quad (\text{c8})$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}M_1 &= 2(3\gamma_0^* + 2\gamma_1^*) \mu_0 a, \quad M_2 = 4\mu_0^2 a^2, \quad N_0 = 2(1 - f)(4 - 5\nu_0) L_0, \\ N_1 &= 2[3N\gamma_0^* + 21(-1 + \nu_0)\gamma_1 + 2(N - 5 + 5\nu_0)\gamma_1^*] \mu_0 a, \\ N_2 &= 4a^2 (N - 2 + 2\nu_0) \mu_0^2, \quad N = -5 + 3\nu_0 + 2f(-4 + 5\nu_0).\end{aligned}$$

From (c7) with $\gamma_1 = \gamma_1^* = 0$, Eq. (40) can be corrected easily.

It is noted that the basic idea, theoretical framework and main results presented in the paper are not affected by these missing terms.

The author acknowledges Dr. ZQ Wang for checking over the corrections.