



Erratum to the Paper "Some Classes of Kenmotsu Manifolds with Respect to Semi-Symmetric Metric Connection"

D.G. PRAKASHA¹, A. TURGUT VANLI^{2,*}, C.S. BAGEWADI³, D.A. PATIL⁴

¹Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences, Karnatak University, Dharwad - 580 003, India.

²Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences, Gazi University, 06500 Ankara, Turkey.

³Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Technology, Kuvempu University, Shankaraghatta -577 451, India.

⁴Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Basic Sciences, B.V.B. College of Engineering & Technology, Hubli-580 002, India.

Received:14/08/2014 Accepted:27/02/2015

ABSTRACT

In this paper, we correct the example in the paper "Some classes of Kenmotsu manifolds with respect to semi-symmetric metric connection" Acta Mathematica Sinica, English Series, Vol.29 ,No.7, 1311-1322, July 2013.

MSC(2010): 53C15, 53C25, 53D10.

Keywords: Kenmotsu manifolds, Conharmonic curvature tensor, Semi-symmetric metric connection.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\tilde{\nabla}$ be a linear connection in an n -dimensional differentiable manifold M . The torsion tensor \tilde{T} is given by

$$\tilde{T}(X, Y) = \tilde{\nabla}_X Y - \tilde{\nabla}_Y X - [X, Y].$$

The connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ is symmetric if its torsion tensor vanishes, otherwise it is non-symmetric. If there is a Riemannian metric g in M such that $\tilde{\nabla} g = 0$, then the connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ is a metric connection, otherwise it is non-metric. It is known that a linear connection is symmetric and metric if and only if it is the Levi-Civita connection.

In a Kenmotsu manifold $M(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$, a semi-symmetric metric connection is defined by

$$\tilde{T}(X, Y) = \eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y$$

with ξ is the associated vector field (that is, $g(X, \xi) = \eta(X)$).

A relation between the semi-symmetric metric connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ and the Levi-Civita connection ∇ of M is given by $\tilde{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + \eta(Y)X - g(X, Y)\xi$.

In a Kenmotsu manifold M of dimension $n \geq 3$, the conharmonic curvature tensor \tilde{K} with respect to semi-symmetric metric connection $\tilde{\nabla}$ is given by

$$\tilde{K}(X, Y)Z = \tilde{R}(X, Y)Z - \frac{1}{n-2} \{ \tilde{S}(Y, Z)X - \tilde{S}(X, Z)Y + g(Y, Z)\tilde{Q}X - g(X, Z)\tilde{Q}Y \}$$

*Corresponding author, e-mail:ayselvanli@gmail.com

for $X, Y, Z \in \Gamma(TM)$ where \tilde{R} , \tilde{S} and \tilde{Q} are the Riemannian curvature tensor, Ricci tensor and the Ricci operator with respect to the connection $\tilde{\nabla}$, respectively.

Theorem. A conharmonically flat Kenmotsu manifold with respect to semi-symmetric metric connection is an η -Einstein manifold with respect to semi-symmetric metric connection.

We give an example which is not true opposite of the Theorem; that is, $M(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ is an η -Einstein manifold but isn't a conharmonically flat Kenmotsu manifold with respect to semi-symmetric metric connection.

Example. We consider 5-dimensional manifold $M = \{(x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2, z) \in \mathbb{R}^5 : z \neq 0\}$,

where (x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2, z) are the standard coordinates in \mathbb{R}^5 . We choose the vector fields

$$e_1 = -e^{-z} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \quad e_2 = -e^{-z} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2},$$

$$e_3 = e^{-z} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_1}, \quad e_4 = e^{-z} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_2}, \quad e_5 = \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$$

which are linearly independent at each point of M . Let g be the Riemannian metric defined by

$$g = \sum_{i=1}^2 e^{2z} (dx_i \otimes dx_i + dy_i \otimes dy_i) + \eta \otimes \eta$$

where η is the 1-form defined by $\eta(X) = g(X, e_5)$ for any vector field X on M . Hence, $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4, e_5\}$ is an orthonormal basis of M . We defined the (1,1) tensor field ϕ as

$$\phi \left(\sum_{i=1}^2 \left(X_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} + Y_i \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i} \right) + Z_i \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^2 \left(Y_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} - X_i \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i} \right)$$

Thus, we have

$$\phi(e_1) = e_3, \quad \phi(e_2) = e_4, \quad \phi(e_3) = -e_1, \quad \phi(e_4) = -e_2$$

and $\phi(e_5) = 0$.

The linearity property of ϕ and g yields that

$$\eta(e_5) = 1, \quad \phi^2 X = -X + \eta(X)e_5,$$

$$g(\phi X, \phi Y) = g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y)$$

for any vector fields X, Y on M . Thus for $e_5 = \xi$,

$M(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ defines an almost contact metric manifold. The 1-forms η is closed. In addition, we have

$$\Phi = -\sum_{i=1}^2 e^{2z} dx_i \wedge dy_i.$$

$$\text{Hence, } d\Phi = -\sum_{i=1}^2 2e^{2z} dz \wedge dx_i \wedge dy_i = 2\eta \wedge \Phi.$$

Therefore $M(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ is an almost Kenmotsu manifold. It can be seen that $M(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ is normal. So, it is Kenmotsu manifold. Moreover, we get

$$[e_i, \xi] = e_i, \quad [e_i, e_j] = 0, \quad i, j = 1, 2, 3, 4.$$

The Riemannian connection ∇ of the metric g is given

$$2g(\nabla_X Y, Z) = Xg(Y, Z) + Yg(Z, X) - Zg(X, Y)$$

$$+ g([X, Y], Z) - g([Y, Z], X) + g([Z, X], Y).$$

Using the Koszul's formula, we obtain

$$\nabla_{e_i} e_i = -\xi, \quad \nabla_{e_i} e_j = 0, \quad \nabla_{e_i} \xi = 0$$

$$\nabla_{\xi} e_i = -e_i \quad i = 1, 2, 3, 4.$$

Therefore, the semi-symmetric metric connection on M is given

$$\tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} e_i = -2\xi, \quad \tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} e_j = 0, \quad \tilde{\nabla}_{e_i} \xi = e_i$$

$$\tilde{\nabla}_{\xi} e_i = -e_i \quad i = 1, 2, 3, 4.$$

With the help of the above results. It can be easily verified that

$$\tilde{R}(e_i, e_j)e_k = 0 \quad \tilde{R}(e_i, e_j)e_i = 2e_j$$

$$\tilde{R}(e_i, e_j)e_j = -2e_i \quad \tilde{R}(e_i, \xi)e_j = 0$$

$$\tilde{R}(\xi, e_j)\xi = 2e_i \quad \tilde{R}(e_i, \xi)e_i = 2\xi$$

$$\tilde{R}(\xi, e_j)e_j = -4\xi \quad \tilde{R}(e_i, \xi)\xi = 0$$

$$i, j = 1, 2, 3, 4.$$

From the above expressions of the curvature tensor we obtain

$$\tilde{S}(X, Y) = 10g(X, Y) - 2\eta(X)\eta(Y)$$

for any vector fields X and Y . Therefore, $M(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ is an η -Einstein manifold with respect to semi-symmetric metric connection. In addition, we have $\tilde{K}(\xi, e_i)\xi \neq 0$. Thus, $M(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ isn't a conharmonically flat Kenmotsu manifold with respect to semi-symmetric metric connection.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

No conflict of interest was declared by the authors.

REFERENCES

[1] D.G. Prakasha, A. Turgut Vanli, C.S. Bagewadi and D.A. Patil, "Some classes of Kenmotsu manifolds with respect to semi-symmetric metric connection", Acta Mathematica Sinica, English Series, Vol.29, No.7, 1311-1322, July 2013.