Error Bounds for Polynomial Spline Interpolation*

By Martin H. Schultz

Abstract. New upper and lower bounds for the L^2 and L^{∞} norms of derivatives of the error in polynomial spline interpolation are derived. These results improve corresponding results of Ahlberg, Nilson, and Walsh, cf. [1], and Schultz and Varga, cf. [5].

- 1. Introduction. In this paper, we derive new bounds for the L^2 and L^∞ norms of derivatives of the error in polynomial spline interpolation. These bounds improve and generalize the known error bounds, cf. [1] and [5], in the following important ways: (1) these bounds can be *explicitly calculated* and are not merely asymptotic error bounds such as those given in [1] and [5]; (2) explicit *lower* bounds are given for the error for a class of functions; (3) the degree of regularity required of the function, f, being interpolated is extended, i.e., in [1] and [5] we demand that the mth or 2mth derivative of f be in L^2 , if we are interpolating by splines of degree 2m-1, while here we demand only that some pth derivative of f, where $m \le p \le 2m$, be in L^2 ; and (4) bounds are given for high-order derivatives of the interpolation errors.
- 2. Notations. Let $-\infty < a < b < \infty$ and for each positive integer, m, let $K^m[a, b]$ denote the collection of all real-valued functions u(x) defined on [a, b] such that $u \in C^{m-1}[a, b]$ and such that $D^{m-1}u$ is absolutely continuous, with $D^mu \in L^2[a, b]$, where $Du \equiv du/dx$ denotes the derivative of u. For each nonnegative integer, m, let $\mathcal{O}_M(a, b)$ denote the set of all partitions, Δ , of [a, b] of the form

(2.1)
$$\Delta: a = x_0 < x_1 < \cdots < x_M < x_{M+1} = b.$$

Moreover, let $\mathcal{O}(a, b) \equiv \bigcup_{M=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{O}_M(a, b).$

If $\Delta \in \mathcal{O}_M(a, b)$, m is a positive integer and z is an integer such that $m-1 \le z \le 2m-2$, we define the *spline space*, $S(2m-1, \Delta, z)$, to be the set of all real-valued functions $s(x) \in C^*[a, b]$ such that on each subinterval (x_i, x_{i+1}) , $0 \le i \le M$, s(x) is a polynomial of degree 2m-1. We remark that our definition is identical with the definition of deficient splines of [1]. For generalizations of this concept of spline subspace, the reader is referred to [5]. In particular, it is easy to verify that all the results of this paper remain essentially unchanged if one allows the number z to depend on the partition points, x_i , $1 \le i \le M$, in such a way that $m-1 \le z(x_i) \le 2m-2$ for all $1 \le i \le M$. The details are left to the reader.

Following [1] we define the interpolation mapping $g_m: C^{m-1}[a, b] \to S(2m-1, \Delta, z)$ by $g_m(f) \equiv s$, where

(2.2)
$$D^k s(x_i) \equiv D^k f(x_i), \quad 0 \le k \le 2m - 2 - z, \quad 1 \le i \le M, \\ 0 \le k \le m - 1, \quad i = 0 \text{ and } M + 1.$$

Received February 4, 1969, revised February 2, 1970.

AMS Subject Classifications. Primary 6520, 6580.

Key Words and Phrases. Spline, interpolation, approximation.

Copyright © 1971, American Mathematical Society

^{*} This research was supported in part by the National Science Foundation, GP-11326.

We remark that the preceding interpolation mapping corresponds to the Type I interpolation of [1]. It is easy to modify the results of this paper for the cases in which the interpolation mapping corresponds to Types II, III, and IV interpolation of [1]. The details are left to the reader.

3. Basic L^2 -Error Bounds. In this section, we obtain explicit upper and lower bounds for the quantities $\Lambda(m, p, z, j)$, $1 \le m, m \le p \le 2m, m-1 \le z \le 2m-2$, and $0 \le j \le m$, defined by

(3.1)
$$\Lambda(m, p, z, j) \equiv \sup \{||D^{j}(f - g_{m}f)||_{L^{s}[a,b]} / ||D^{p}f||_{L^{s}[a,b]} \\ |f \in K^{p}[a,b], ||D^{p}f||_{L^{s}[a,b]} \neq 0\}.$$

First, we recall some basic results from [1] and [5] and introduce some additional notation.

THEOREM 3.1. The interpolation mapping given by (2.2) is well defined for all $\Delta \in \mathcal{O}(a, b)$, $1 \leq m$, and $m - 1 \leq z \leq 2m - 2$.

THEOREM 3.2 (FIRST INTEGRAL RELATION). If $f \in K^m[a, b]$, $1 \le m$, $\Delta \in \mathfrak{S}(a, b)$. and $m-1 \le z \le 2m-2$,

$$(3.2) ||D^m f||_{L^2[a,b]}^2 = ||D^m (f - g_m f)||_{L^2[a,b]}^2 + ||D^m g_m f||_{L^2[a,b]}^2.$$

Theorem 3.3 (second integral relation). If $f \in K^{2m}[a, b]$, $1 \le m$, $\Delta \in \mathcal{O}(a, b)$, and $m - 1 \le z \le 2m - 2$,

(3.3)
$$||D^{m}(f - \mathcal{G}_{m}f)||_{L^{\bullet}[a,b]}^{2} = \int_{a}^{b} (f - \mathcal{G}_{m}f)D^{2m}f dx.$$

Finally, following Kolmogorov, cf. [4, p. 146], if t and d are positive integers, let $\lambda_d(t)$ denote the dth eigenvalue of the boundary value problem,

$$(3.4) (-1)^t D^{2t} y(x) = \lambda y(x), a < x < b,$$

$$(3.5) D^k y(a) = D^k y(b) = 0, t \le k \le 2t - 1,$$

where the λ_d are arranged in order of increasing magnitude and repeated according to their multiplicity. We remark that the problem (3.4)–(3.5) has a countably infinite number of eigenvalues, all of which are nonnegative and it may be shown that

$$\lambda_d = (\pi/(b-a))^{2t} d^{2t} [1 + O(d^{-1})], \text{ as } t < d \to \infty.$$

Using the bootstrapping technique of [1, p. 92], and letting

$$\bar{\Delta} = \max_{0 \le i \le M} (x_{i+1} - x_i) \quad \text{and} \quad \underline{\Delta} = \min_{0 \le i \le M} (x_{i+1} - x_i),$$

for all $\Delta \in \mathcal{O}_M(a, b)$, we have the following generalization of Theorem 7 of [5]. THEOREM 3.4.

(3.6)
$$\lambda_d^{-1/2}(m-j) \leq \Lambda(m, m, z, j) \leq K_{m,m,z,j}(\bar{\Delta})^{m-j},$$

where

(3.7)
$$d \equiv (M+1)(2m-z+1)+z-i+2$$

and

$$K_{m,m,z,j} = 1, if m-1 \le z \le 2m-2, j = m,$$

$$= (1/\pi)^{m-j}, if m-1 = z, 0 \le j \le m-1,$$

$$(3.8) = \frac{(z+2-m)!}{\pi^{m-j}}, if m-1 \le z \le 2m-2, 0 \le j \le 2m-2-z,$$

$$= \frac{(z+2-m)!}{i! \pi^{m-j}}, if m-1 \le z \le 2m-2, 2m-2-z \le j \le m-1,$$

for all $1 \le m, 0 \le M, \Delta \in \mathcal{O}_M(a, b), m-1 \le z \le 2m-2$, and $0 \le j \le m$.

Proof. First, we prove the right-hand inequality of (3.6). If $m - 1 \le z \le 2m - 2$ and j = m, the result follows directly from Theorem 3.2.

Otherwise, $D^{i}(f - g_{m}f)(x_{i}) = 0$, $1 \le i \le M$, $0 \le j \le 2m - 2 - z$, and by the Rayleigh-Ritz inequality, cf. [3, p. 184],

(3.9)
$$\int_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}} \left(D^i(f - g_m f)(x) \right)^2 dx \leq \left(\frac{\overline{\Delta}}{\pi} \right)^2 \int_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}} \left(D^{i+1}(f - g_m f)(x) \right)^2 dx,$$

 $0 \le j \le 2m - 2 - z$. Summing both sides of (3.9) with respect to i from 0 to M, we obtain

(3.10)
$$||D^{j}(f - \mathcal{G}_{m}f)||_{L^{2}[a,b]} \leq \frac{\overline{\Delta}}{\pi} ||D^{j+1}(f - \mathcal{G}_{m}f)||_{L^{2}[a,b]},$$

 $0 \le j \le 2m - 2 - z$. Using (3.10) repeatedly we obtain

$$(3.11) \qquad ||D^{j}(f-g_{m}f)||_{L^{2}[a,b]} \leq \left(\frac{\overline{\Delta}}{\pi}\right)^{2m-1-z-j} ||D^{2m-1-z}(f-g_{m}f)||_{L^{2}[a,b]}.$$

Hence, if 2m - 1 - z = m, i.e., z = m - 1, then

$$(3.12) ||D^{i}(f - g_{m}f)||_{L^{2}[a,b]} \leq \left(\frac{1}{\pi}\right)^{m-i} (\bar{\Delta})^{m-i} ||D^{m}f||_{L^{2}[a,b]},$$

which is the required result for this special case.

Otherwise, since $m \le z$, applying Rolle's Theorem to $D^{2^{m-2-z}}(f - g_m f) \in C^{r-m+1}[a, b]$, which vanishes at every mesh point, we have that for each $0 \le j \le z - m + 1$, there exist points $\{\xi_i^{(j)}\}_{i=0}^{M+1-j}$ in [a, b] such that

(3.13)
$$D^{2m-2-z+j}(f-g_m f)(\xi_l^{(j)}) = 0, \qquad 0 \le j \le m-1-(2m-2-z),$$
$$= z-m+1, \qquad 0 \le l \le M+1-j,$$

$$(3.14) \quad a = \xi_0^{(j)} < \xi_1^{(j)} < \cdots < \xi_{M+1-j}^{(j)} = b, \quad 0 \leq j \leq z - m + 1,$$

(3.15)
$$\xi_l^{(j)} \leq \xi_l^{(j+1)} < \xi_{l+1}^{(j)}$$
, for all $0 \leq l \leq M+1-j$, $0 \leq j \leq z-m+1$ and

(3.16)
$$|\xi_{l+1}^{(j)} - \xi_{l}^{(j)}| \le (j+1)\overline{\Delta}, \quad 0 \le l \le M-j, \ 0 \le j \le z-m+1,$$

i.e., choose $\xi_{l}^{(0)} = x_{l}, \ 0 \le l \le M+1.$

Thus, applying the Rayleigh-Ritz inequality, we have

(3.17)
$$\int_{\xi_{1}(f)}^{\xi_{1+1}(f)} (D^{2m-2-s+j}(f-\mathfrak{G}_{m}f)(x))^{2} dx \\ \leq \left[\frac{(j+1)\overline{\Delta}}{\pi} \right]^{2} \int_{\xi_{1}(f)}^{\xi_{(j+1)}(f)} (D^{2m-2-s+(j+1)}(f-\mathfrak{G}_{m}f))^{2} dx$$

for all $0 \le l \le M - j$, $0 \le j \le z - m + 1$. Summing (3.17) with respect to l from 0 to M - j, we have

$$(3.18) \qquad ||D^{2m-2-s+j}(f-g_m f)||_{L^s[a,b]} \leq \frac{(j+1)\overline{\Delta}}{\pi} ||D^{2m-2-s+(j+1)}(f-g_m f)||_{L^s[a,b]},$$

 $0 \le j \le z - m + 1$. Using (3.18) repeatedly along with (3.2) we have

$$||D^{2m-1-s}(f-g_m f)||_{L^{s}[a,b]} \leq \frac{(z+2-m)!}{\pi^{s-m+1}} (\bar{\Delta})^{s-m+1} ||D^{m}(f-g_m f)||_{L^{s}[a,b]}$$

$$\leq \frac{(z+2-m)!}{\pi^{s-m+1}} (\bar{\Delta})^{s-m+1} ||D^{m}f||_{L^{s}[a,b]}.$$

Combining (3.11) with (3.19), we have that

$$(3.20) ||D^{i}(f - \mathcal{G}_{m}f)||_{L^{*}[a,b]} \leq \frac{(z + 2 - m)!}{m^{m-i}} (\bar{\Delta})^{m-i}||D^{m}f||_{L^{*}[a,b]},$$

if $0 \le j \le 2m - 2 - z$. Otherwise, it follows from (3.18) that

$$(3.21) ||D^{i}(f - g_{m}f)||_{L^{2}[a,b]} \leq \frac{(z + 2 - m)!}{i! \ m^{m-i}} ||D^{m}f||_{L^{2}[a,b]}.$$

Finally, we prove the left-hand inequality of (3.6). This inequality follows directly from a fundamental result of Kolmogorov, cf. [4, p. 146], which states that

(3.22)
$$\lambda_{t+1}^{-1/2}(m-j) \leq \Lambda(m, m, z, j),$$

where $t \equiv$ dimension $D^{i}(S(2m-1, \Delta, z))$, for all $1 \leq m$, $0 \leq M$, $\Delta \in \mathcal{O}_{M}(a, b)$, $m-1 \leq z \leq 2m-2$, and $0 \leq j \leq m$. But the space $D^{i}(S(2m-1, \Delta, z))$ has dimension $t \equiv (2m-j)(M+1) - (z+1-j)M = (M+1)(2m-z+1) + z-j+1$. Q.E.D.

We remark that in this case it is easy to verify that there exists a positive constant, K, such that

$$\lambda_d^{-1/2} \ge \left(\frac{b-a}{\pi}\right)^{m-i} \frac{1}{(M+1)^{m-i}} \frac{1}{s^{m-i}} \frac{1}{1+Ks^{-1}(M+1)^{-1}}$$
$$\ge \frac{1}{\pi^{m-i}} \frac{1}{s^{m-i}} \frac{1}{1+Ks^{-1}(M+1)^{-1}} (\Delta)^{m-i},$$

where s = (2m - z + 1 + (z - j + 2)/(M + 1)), and thus that splines are "quasi-optimal".

The next result generalizes Theorem 9 of [5]. THEOREM 3.5.

(3.23)
$$\lambda_d^{-1/2}(2m-j) \le \Lambda(m, 2m, z, j) \le K_{m, 2m, s, j}(\bar{\Delta})^{2m-j}$$

where

$$(3.24) d \equiv (M+1)(2m-z+1)+z-j+2$$

and

$$(3.25) K_{m,2m,s,j} \equiv (K_{m,m,s,j})(K_{m,m,s,0}), for all 1 \leq m, 0 \leq M, \Delta \in \mathcal{O}_M(a,b),$$

$$m-1 \leq z \leq 2m-2, and 0 \leq j \leq m.$$

Proof. Applying the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality to the Second Integral Relation yields the inequality

$$(3.26) ||D^{m}(f-\mathcal{G}_{m}f)||_{L^{2}[a,b]}^{2} \leq ||D^{2m}f||_{L^{2}[a,b]}||f-\mathcal{G}_{m}f||_{L^{2}[a,b]}.$$

Applying the proof of Theorem 3.4, we have

$$(3.27) ||D^{i}(f - \mathcal{G}_{m}f)||_{L^{2}[a,b]} \leq K_{m,m,z,j}||D^{m}(f - \mathcal{G}_{m}f)||_{L^{2}[a,b]}(\bar{\Delta})^{m-j}.$$

Using (3.27) for the special case of j = 0 in (3.26) yields

$$(3.28) ||D^{m}(f - g_{m}f)||_{L^{2}[a,b]} \leq ||D^{2m}f||_{L^{2}[a,b]} K_{m,m,z,0}(\overline{\Delta})^{m}.$$

Using (3.28) to bound the right-hand side of (3.27) gives us the right-hand inequality of (3.23). The left-hand inequality of (3.23) follows as in Theorem 3.4. Q.E.D.

We now recall a fundamental inequality of E. Schmidt which will be used several times in the remainder of this paper.

LEMMA 3.1. If $p_N(x)$ is a polynomial of degree N,

$$(3.29) ||Dp_N||_{L^*[a,b]} \leq \frac{E_N}{b-a} ||p_N||_{L^*[a,b]},$$

where $E_N \equiv (N+1)^2 \sqrt{2}$.

Proof. Cf. [2]. Q E.D.

THEOREM 3.6.

(3.30)
$$\lambda_d^{-1/2}(p-j) \leq \Lambda(m, p, z, j) \leq K_{m, p, z, j}(\bar{\Delta})^{p-i},$$

where

(3.31)
$$d \equiv (M+1)(2m-z+1)+z-j+2$$

and

$$(3.32) K_{m,p,s,i} \equiv \left\{ K_{p,p,2m-1,i} + K_{m,2m,s,i} \cdot 2^{(1/2)(2m-p)} \left[\frac{p!}{(2p-2m)!} \right]^2 (\overline{\Delta}/\Delta)^{2m-p} \right\}$$

for all $1 \le m$, $0 \le M$, $\Delta \in \mathcal{O}_M(a, b)$, $m , <math>4m - 2p - 1 \le z \le 2m - 2$, and $0 \le j \le m$.

Proof. Consider $S(2p-1, \Delta, 2m-1) \subset K^{2m}[a, b]$. This space is well defined since $2p-2 \ge 2(m+1)-2=2m$. Moreover, if \mathfrak{S}_m denotes the interpolation mapping of $C^{m-1}[a, b]$ into $S(2m-1, \Delta, z)$ and \mathfrak{S}_p denotes the interpolation mapping of $C^{p-1}[a, b]$ into $S(2p-1, \Delta, 2m-1)$, then $\mathfrak{S}_m(\mathfrak{S}_p f) = \mathfrak{S}_m f$ for all $f \in C^{p-1}[a, b]$. In fact, $D^k \mathfrak{S}_p f$ interpolates $D^k f$ at x_i , $1 \le i \le M$, for all $0 \le k \le 2p - (2m-1) - 2 = 2p - 2m - 1$, while $D^k \mathfrak{S}_m f$ interpolates $D^k f$ at x_i , $1 \le i \le M$, for all $0 \le k \le 2m - 2 - 2 \le 2m - (4m - 2p - 1) - 2 = 2p - 2m - 1$.

Thus,

$$(3.33) ||D^{i}(f - g_{m}f)||_{L^{2}(a,b)} \leq ||D^{i}(f - g_{p}f)||_{L^{2}(a,b)} + ||D^{i}(g_{p}f - g_{m}(g_{p}f))||_{L^{2}(a,b)}, 0 \leq j \leq m.$$

By Theorem 3.4,

$$(3.34) ||D^{i}(f-\mathcal{G}_{p}f)||_{L^{s}[a,b]} \leq K_{p,p,2m-1,j}(\bar{\Delta})^{p-i}||D^{p}f||_{L^{s}[a,b]},$$

and by Theorem 3.5

$$(3.35) ||D^{i}(g_{p}f - g_{m}(g_{p}f))||_{L^{2}[a,b]} \leq K_{m,2m,\epsilon,j}(\overline{\Delta})^{2m-j}||D^{2m}g_{p}f||_{L^{2}[a,b]}.$$

But by Schmidt's inequality and the First Integral Relation, since $g_p f$ is a piecewise polynomial of degree 2p - 1 with p > m, we have

$$(3.36) ||D^{2m} \mathcal{G}_{p} f||_{L^{2}\{a,b\}} \leq \frac{\left(\prod_{i=1}^{2m-p} E_{2p-2m-1+i}\right) ||D^{p} f||_{L^{2}\{a,b\}}}{(\underline{\Delta})^{2m-p}} \\ \leq 2^{(2m-p)/2} \left[\frac{p!}{(2p+2m)!}\right]^{2} \frac{||D^{p} f||_{L^{2}\{a,b\}}}{(\underline{\Delta})^{2m-p}}.$$

The required result now follows from (3.33), (3.34), (3.35), and (3.36). Q.E.D.

4. L^2 -Error Bounds for Higher Order Derivatives. In this section we give explicit upper bounds for the quantities $\Lambda(m, p, z, j)$ in the special cases of $m and <math>m < j \le p$. Since $g_m f$ is not necessarily in $K^i[a, b]$ if $z + 1 < j \le p$, it is necessary to modify the definition of $\Lambda(m, p, z, j)$ given in (3.1). The new definition is given by

(4.1)
$$\Lambda(m, p, z, j) \equiv \sup \left\{ \left(\sum_{i=0}^{M} ||D^{i}(f - g_{m}f)||_{L^{2}[x_{i}, x_{i+1}]}^{2} \right)^{1/2} / ||D^{p}f||_{L^{2}[a, b]} \right. \\ \left. \left. \left| f \in K^{p}[a, b], ||D^{p}f||_{L^{2}[a, b]} \neq 0 \right\} \right\} \right\}$$

The main result of this section is THEOREM 4.1.

(4.2)
$$\Lambda(m, p, z, j) \leq K_{m,p,z,j}(\bar{\Delta})^{p-j},$$

where

$$(4.3) \quad K_{m,p,z,j} \equiv \left[K_{p,p,p,j} + (K_{m,p,z,m} + K_{p,p,p,m}) 2^{(j-m)/2} \left[\frac{(2p+m)!}{(2p-j)!} \right]^2 \left(\frac{\overline{\Delta}}{\Delta} \right)^{j-m} \right],$$

for all $1 \le m, 0 \le M, \Delta \in \mathcal{P}_M(a, b), m and <math>m < j \le p$.

Proof. By Theorem 3.6,

$$(4.4) ||D^{m}(f-g_{m}f)||_{L^{2}[a,b]} \leq K_{m,p,z,m}(\overline{\Delta})^{p-m},$$

and by Theorem 3.4,

$$(4.5) ||D^{k}(f - \mathcal{G}_{p}f)||_{L^{2}(a,b)} \leq K_{p,p,p,k}(\bar{\Delta})^{p-k}, 0 \leq k \leq p.$$

Combining (4.4) and (4.5), we obtain

$$(4.6) ||D^{m}(\mathfrak{I}_{m}f - \mathfrak{I}_{p}f)||_{L^{2}[a,b]} \leq (K_{m,p,z,m} + K_{p,p,p,m})(\bar{\Delta})^{p-m}.$$

Using the Schmidt inequality in (4.6), we obtain

$$||D^{j}(\mathcal{G}_{m}f - \mathcal{G}_{p}f)||_{L^{2}[a,b]} \leq \frac{\left(\prod_{i=1}^{j-m} E_{(2p-1)-j+i}\right)}{(\underline{\Delta})^{j-m}} ||D^{m}(\mathcal{G}_{m}f - \mathcal{G}_{p}f)||_{L^{2}[a,b]}$$

$$\leq (K_{m,p,z,m} + K_{p,p,p,m}) \left(\prod_{i=1}^{j-m} E_{2p-1-j+i}\right) (\overline{\Delta})^{p-j} (\overline{\Delta}/\underline{\Delta})^{j-m}.$$

The required result follows from (4.5), (4.7), and

$$(4.8) ||D^{i}(f - \mathcal{G}_{m}f)||_{L^{2}[a,b]} \leq ||D^{i}(f - \mathcal{G}_{p}f)||_{L^{2}[a,b]} + ||D^{i}(\mathcal{G}_{p}f - \mathcal{G}_{m}f)||_{L^{2}[a,b]}.$$
Q.E.D.

We remark that in those cases in which $g_m f \in K^i[a, b]$, lower bounds of the form introduced in Section 3 can be given for $\Lambda(m, p, z, j)$.

5. L^{∞} -Error Bounds. In this section, we give explicit upper bounds for the quantities $\Lambda^{\infty}(m, p, z, j)$, $1 \le m$, $m \le p \le 2m$, $m - 1 \le z \le 2m - 2$, and $0 \le j \le p$, defined by

$$\Lambda^{\infty}(m, p, z, j) \equiv \sup \left\{ \max_{0 \le i \le M} (||D^{i}(f - g_{m}f)||_{L^{\infty}[x_{i}, x_{i+1}]}) / ||D^{p}f||_{L^{1}[a, b]} \right.$$

$$\left. |f \in K^{p}[a, b], ||D^{p}f||_{L^{1}[a, b]} \ne 0 \right\}.$$

We obtain the following results as corollaries of the results of Section 3 and Section 4. As an improvement of Theorem 6 of [5], we have

THEOREM 5.1.

(5.2)
$$\Lambda^{\infty}(m, m, z, j) \leq K_{m,m,z,j}^{\infty}(\bar{\Delta})^{m-j-1/2},$$

where

$$K_{m,m,z,j}^{\infty} \equiv K_{m,m,z,j+1}, \quad \text{if } m-1=z, \ 0 \leq j \leq m-1,$$

$$\equiv K_{m,m,z,j+1}, \quad \text{if } m-1 < z \leq 2m-2, \ 0 \leq j \leq 2m-2-z,$$

$$\equiv (j-2m+3+z)^{1/2} K_{m,m,z,j+1}, \quad \text{if } m-1 < z \leq 2m-2,$$

$$2m-2-z < j \leq m-1,$$

for all $1 \le m$, $0 \le M$, $\Delta \in \mathcal{O}_M(a, b)$, $m - 1 \le z \le 2m - 2$, and $0 \le j \le m - 1$ Proof. We give the proof in the special case of m - 1 = z, $0 \le j \le m - 1$, as the proof in the other cases is analogous. Given any $x \in [a, b]$, there exists a point $y \in [a, b]$ such that $D^i(f - g_m f)(y) = 0$ and $|x - y| \le \overline{\Delta}$. Hence, $D^i(f - g_m f)(x) = \int_x^x D^{i+1}(f - g_m f)(t) dt$ and

$$||D^{i}(f - g_{m}f)||_{L^{\infty}(a,b)} \leq (\bar{\Delta})^{1/2}||D^{i+1}(f - g_{m}f)||_{L^{2}(a,b)}.$$

The result now follows from applying Theorem 3.4 to the right-hand side of the preceding inequality. Q.E.D.

As in Theorem 5.1, we have as an improvement of Theorem 8 of [5]. THEOREM 5.2.

(5.4)
$$\Lambda^{\infty}(m, 2m, z, j) \leq K_{m, 2m, z, j}^{\infty}(\bar{\Delta})^{2m-j-1/2},$$

where

$$K_{m,2m,z,j+1}^{\infty} \equiv K_{m,2m,z,j+1}, \quad if \quad m-1=z, \ 0 < j \leq m-1,$$

$$\equiv K_{m,2m,z,j+1}, \quad if \quad m-1 < z \leq 2m-2, \ 0 \leq j \leq 2m-2-z,$$

$$\equiv (j-2m+3+z)^{1/2} K_{m,2m,z,j+1}, \quad if \quad m-1 < z \leq 2m-2,$$

$$2m-2-z < j \leq m-1,$$

for all $1 < m, 0 \le M, \Delta \in \mathcal{O}_M(a, b), m-1 \le z \le 2m-2$, and $0 \le j \le m-1$. As in Theorem 3.6, we have THEOREM 5.3.

(5.6)
$$\Lambda^{\infty}(m, p, z, j) \leq K_{m,p,z,j}^{\infty}(\overline{\Delta})^{p-j-1/2},$$

where

(5.7)
$$K_{m,p,s,j}^{\infty} \equiv \left\{ K_{p,p,2m-1,j}^{\infty} + K_{m,2m,s,j}^{\infty} \cdot 2^{(2m-p)/2} \left[\frac{p!}{(2p-2m)!} \right]^{2} \left(\frac{\overline{\Delta}}{\underline{\Delta}} \right)^{2m-p} \right\},$$

for all $1 \le m, 0 \le M, \Delta \in \mathcal{O}_M(a, b), m and <math>0 \le j \le m - 1$.

Finally, to give a result analogous to Theorem 4.1, we need an inequality due to A. A. Markov.

LEMMA 5.1. If $p_N(x)$ is a polynomial of degree N, then

(5.8)
$$||DP_N||_{L^{\infty}[a,b]} \leq \frac{M_N}{b-a} ||p_N||_{L^{\infty}[a,b]},$$

where $M_N \equiv 2N^2$.

Proof. Cf. [6]. Q.E.D.

As an extension of Theorem 10 of [5], we prove THEOREM 5.4.

(5.9)
$$\Lambda^{\infty}(m, p, z, j) \leq K_{m,p,z,j}^{\infty}(\bar{\Delta})^{p-j-1/2},$$

where

$$(5.10) \quad K_{m,p,s,i}^{\infty} \equiv \left\{ K_{p,p,p,i}^{\infty} + (K_{m,p,s,i}^{\infty} + K_{p,p,p,i}^{\infty}) 2^{i-m+1} \left(\frac{(2p-m)!}{(2p-j-1)!} \right)^{2} \left(\frac{\overline{\Delta}}{\underline{\Delta}} \right)^{i-m+1} \right\}$$

for all $1 \le m$, $0 \le M$, $\Delta \in \mathcal{O}_M(a, b)$, $m , <math>4m - 2p - 1 \le z \le 2m - 2$ and $m \le j \le p - 1$.

Proof. From Theorem 5.1, we have that

(5.11)
$$||D^{k}(f - g_{p}f)||_{L^{\infty}[a,b]} \leq K_{p,p,p,k}^{\infty}(\bar{\Delta})^{p-k-1/2}||D^{p}f||_{L^{\infty}[a,b]}, \quad 0 \leq k \leq p-1,$$
 and from Theorem 5.3

$$(5.12) ||D^{m-1}(f-g_m f)||_{L^{\infty}[a,b]} \leq K^{\infty}_{m,p,s,m-1}(\bar{\Delta})^{p-m+1/2}||D^p f||_{L^{\bullet}[a,b]}.$$

Combining (5.11) and (5.12), we have

$$(5.13) \quad ||D^{m-1}(\mathfrak{G}_m f - \mathfrak{G}_p f)||_{L^{\infty}(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b})} \leq (K^{\infty}_{m, p, \epsilon, m-1} + K^{\infty}_{p, p, p, k})(\overline{\Delta})^{p-m+1/2}||D^p f||_{L^{\bullet}(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b})}.$$
But,

$$||D^{i}(\mathfrak{G}_{m}f - \mathfrak{G}_{p}f)||_{L^{\infty}_{\Delta}\{\mathfrak{a},b\}} \leq \frac{\left(\prod_{i=1}^{j-m+1} M_{2p-1-j+i}\right)}{(\Delta)^{j-m+1}} ||D^{m-1}(\mathfrak{G}_{m}f - \mathfrak{G}_{p}f)||_{L^{\infty}_{\Delta}\{\mathfrak{a},b\}}$$

$$\leq 2^{j-m+1} \left(\frac{(2p-m)!}{(2p-j-1)!}\right)^{2} \frac{1}{(\Delta)^{j-m+1}} \cdot ||D^{m-1}(\mathfrak{G}_{m}f - \mathfrak{G}_{p}f)||_{L^{\infty}_{\Delta}\{\mathfrak{a},b\}},$$

where

$$||\cdot||_{L^{\infty}_{\Delta}[a,b]} \equiv \max_{0 \le i \le m} ||\cdot||_{L^{\infty}[x_i,x_{i+1}]}.$$

The required result follows directly from (5.11), (5.13), (5.14), and the observation that $||D^{i}(f-\mathcal{G}_{m}f)||_{L^{\infty}_{\Lambda}[a,b]} \leq ||D^{i}(f-\mathcal{G}_{p}f)||_{L^{\infty}_{\Lambda}[a,b]} + ||D^{i}(\mathcal{G}_{p}f-\mathcal{G}_{m}f)||_{L^{\infty}_{\Lambda}[a,b]}.$

Q.E.D.

Computer Science Department Yale University New Haven, Connecticut 06509

- 1. J. H. Ahlberg, E. N. Nilson & J. L. Walsh, The Theory of Splines and Their Applications, Academic Press, New York, 1967. MR 39 #684.

 2. R. Bellman, "A note on an inequality of E. Schmidt," Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., v. 50, 1944, pp. 734-736. MR 6, 61.

 3. G. H. Hardy, J. E. Littlewood & G. Pólya, Inequalities, 2nd ed., Cambridge Univ. Press, New York, 1952. MR 13, 727.

- 4. G. G. LORENTZ, Approximation of Functions, Holt, Rinehart, and Winston, New York, 1966. MR 35 #4642.
 5. M. H. Schultz & R. S. Varga, "L-splines," Numer. Math., v. 10, 1967, pp. 345-369.
- MR 37 #665.
- 6. J. Todd (Editor), A Survey of Numerical Analysis, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1962. MR 24 #B1271.