

Essential self-adjointness of Schrödinger operators with potentials singular along affine subspaces

Mikio MAEDA

(Received September 19, 1980)

1. Introduction

The aim of this paper is to study the essential self-adjointness of a Schrödinger operator $-\Delta + q(x)$ acting in $L^2(\mathbf{R}^m)$, $m \geq 1$, with the domain $C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^m \setminus F)$, where F is the union of at most countable number of k_α -dimensional ($0 \leq k_\alpha \leq m-1$) affine subspaces S_α ($\alpha \in A$) in \mathbf{R}^m which satisfy

$$r = \inf \{ \text{dist}(S_\alpha, S_\beta); \alpha, \beta \in A, \alpha \neq \beta \} > 0.$$

Here $\text{dist}(S_\alpha, S_\beta)$ denotes the distance from S_α to S_β .

This study is motivated by a theorem proved by B. Simon [6], which is a generalization of the results of H. Kalf and J. Walter [1] and U. W. Schmincke [5]. In this theorem of Simon, which corresponds to the case of $F = \{0\}$, it is assumed that the potential $q = q_1 + q_2$ is a real-valued function with $q_1 \in L_{\text{loc}}^2(\mathbf{R}^m \setminus \{0\})$ and $q_2 \in L^\infty(\mathbf{R}^m)$ such that

$$q_1(x) \geq -(1/4)m(m-4)|x|^{-2} \quad (x \in \mathbf{R}^m \setminus \{0\}).$$

We extend this result to the case of the general F as stated above. The following is our theorem.

THEOREM. *Set $\Omega = \mathbf{R}^m \setminus F$ and let $a_j \in C^1(\Omega)$ ($1 \leq j \leq m$), $q_1 \in L_{\text{loc}}^2(\Omega)$ and $q_2 \in L^\infty(\mathbf{R}^m)$ be real-valued functions. Assume that for some ε ($0 < \varepsilon < r/2$), q_1 satisfies the following conditions:*

(C.1) *For each $\alpha \in A$*

$$q_1(x) \geq -(1/4)(m - k_\alpha)(m - k_\alpha - 4) [\text{dist}(x, S_\alpha)]^{-2}$$

whenever $0 < \text{dist}(x, S_\alpha) < \varepsilon$.

(C.2) *q_1 is bounded from below on*

$$\bigcap_{\alpha \in A} \{x \in \mathbf{R}^m; \varepsilon \leq \text{dist}(x, S_\alpha)\}.$$

Let $q = q_1 + q_2$. Then the symmetric operator T acting in $L^2(\mathbf{R}^m)$ defined by

$$T = -\sum_{j=1}^m (\partial/\partial x_j - ia_j(x))^2 + q(x), \quad D(T) = C_0^\infty(\Omega),$$

is essentially self-adjoint.

For the proof of this theorem, we apply the method given in Simon [6] and Kalf-Walter [2].

2. Basic lemmas

Let us first recall Kato's inequality. Set $L = \sum_{j=1}^m (\partial/\partial x_j - ia_j(x))^2$. If $u \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega)$ and $Lu \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega)$, then we have the following distributional inequality (see [3], [4], [7], [8]):

$$\Delta|u| \geq \operatorname{Re}[(\operatorname{sgn} \bar{u})Lu].$$

By the aid of this inequality, we obtain the following lemma as in [6] and [2].

LEMMA 1. Let Ω and T be as in the theorem, and suppose that there exist functions Q , Φ and Φ_n ($n=1, 2, \dots$) which satisfy the following conditions:

(P.1) $Q \in C^0(\Omega)$, $\Phi \in C^2(\Omega) \cap L^2(\Omega)$, $(-\Delta + Q)\Phi \in L^2(\Omega)$ and $\Phi_n \in C^2_0(\Omega)$ ($n=1, 2, \dots$).

(P.2) $\Phi_n \rightarrow \Phi$ weakly in $L^2(\Omega)$ and $(-\Delta + Q)\Phi_n \rightarrow (-\Delta + Q)\Phi$ weakly in $L^2(\Omega)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

(P.3) $q_1 \geq Q$ on Ω , $\Phi_n \geq 0$ on Ω ($n=1, 2, \dots$) and $(-\Delta + Q + \delta)\Phi > 0$ on Ω for some $\delta \in \mathbf{R}$.

Then the assertion of the theorem holds.

Before stating Lemma 2 we introduce some functions.

Let $\alpha(t)$ be a non-increasing function in $C^\infty(\mathbf{R})$ such that

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \alpha(t) &= 1 \quad \text{for } t \leq 0, & \alpha(t) &= 0 \quad \text{for } t \geq 1, \\ 0 < \alpha(t) < 1 & \quad \text{for } 0 < t < 1, \end{aligned}$$

$$\sup_{0 < t < 1} |\alpha'(t)| < 3 \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{0 < t < 1} |\alpha''(t)| < 5.$$

Let f and f_n ($n=1, 2, \dots$) be functions which satisfy the following conditions (1)~(4):

(1) $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^m)$ and $f_n \in C^\infty_0(\mathbf{R}^m)$ ($n=1, 2, \dots$), where $\mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^m)$ is the Schwartz space of C^∞ -functions of rapid decrease.

(2) $f(x) > 0$ and $0 \leq f_n(x) \leq f_{n+1}(x) \leq f(x)$ for any $x \in \mathbf{R}^m$ and $n=1, 2, \dots$.

(3) If we set $D_n = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^m; f_n(x) = f(x)\}$ ($n=1, 2, \dots$), then $D_n \subseteq \operatorname{Int} D_{n+1}$ ($n=1, 2, \dots$) and $\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty D_n = \mathbf{R}^m$, where $\operatorname{Int} D_{n+1}$ is the interior of D_{n+1} .

(4) For any $r > 0$, $x, y, \sigma, \tau \in \mathbf{R}^m$ with $|x - y| < r$ and $|\sigma| = |\tau| = 1$, the following estimates hold:

$$(2.2) \quad |D_\sigma f(x)| \leq f(x) \leq e^r f(y), \quad |D_\sigma D_\tau f(x)| \leq 3f(x), \\ |D_\sigma f_n(x)| \leq 4f(x) \quad \text{and} \quad |D_\sigma D_\tau f_n(x)| \leq 20f(x) \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots),$$

where D_σ denotes the directional derivative in the direction σ .

An example of a set of f and f_n is given by (cf. [2])

$$f(x) = \exp(-(1 + |x|^2)^{1/2}), \quad f_n(x) = \alpha(|x|/n - 1) \cdot \exp(-(1 + |x|^2)^{1/2}).$$

Let f and f_n satisfy (1)~(4), P be an orthogonal transformation acting in \mathbf{R}^m , and $a \in \mathbf{R}^m$. If we define \tilde{f} and \tilde{f}_n ($n=1, 2, \dots$) by $\tilde{f}(x) = f(Px + a)$ and $\tilde{f}_n(x) = f_n(Px + a)$, then \tilde{f} and \tilde{f}_n also satisfy (1)~(4). We use this fact in the proof of Lemma 2.

LEMMA 2. Let v be an arbitrary positive constant, S be a k -dimensional affine subspace in \mathbf{R}^m ($0 \leq k \leq m-1$), and f, f_n ($n=1, 2, \dots$) be functions which satisfy (1)~(4) stated above. Set $V = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^m; 0 < \text{dist}(x, S) < v\}$.

Then there exist functions ψ and ψ_n ($n=1, 2, \dots$) which satisfy the following conditions (i)~(v):

- (i) $\psi \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R}^m \setminus S)$ and $\psi_n \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^m \setminus S)$ ($n=1, 2, \dots$).
- (ii) $\psi(x) > 0$ and $0 \leq \psi_n(x) \leq \psi_{n+1}(x) \leq \psi(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbf{R}^m$ and $n=1, 2, \dots$.
- (iii) If we set $E_n = \{x \in V; \psi_n(x) = \psi(x)\}$ ($n=1, 2, \dots$), then $E_n \subseteq \text{Int } E_{n+1}$ ($n=1, 2, \dots$) and $\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty E_n = V$.
- (iv) $\psi(x) = f(x)$ and $\psi_n(x) = f_n(x)$ ($n=1, 2, \dots$) for $x \in \mathbf{R}^m \setminus S \setminus V$.
- (v) There is a constant $c > 0$ depending only on v and m such that the following estimates (v-a), (v-b) and (v-c) hold:

$$(v-a) \quad \int_V |\psi|^2 dx \leq c \int_V |f|^2 dx.$$

$$(v-b) \quad |(-\Delta - (1/4)(m-k)(m-k-4)) [\text{dist}(x, S)]^{-2} \psi(x)| < c\psi(x) \\ \text{for any } x \in V.$$

$$(v-c) \quad \int_V |(-\Delta - (1/4)(m-k)(m-k-4)) [\text{dist}(x, S)]^{-2} \psi_n|^2 dx \\ \leq c \int_V |f|^2 dx \quad \text{for any } n = 1, 2, \dots$$

PROOF. We prove this lemma only for $k \neq 0$; our proof is valid for $k=0$ under some modification.

By a coordinate transformation remarked just before Lemma 2, we may assume that $S = \mathbf{R}^k \times \{0\}$ from the beginning. Then $\text{dist}(x, S) = |x_2|$ for any $x = (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbf{R}^m = \mathbf{R}^k \times \mathbf{R}^{m-k}$.

Set $\beta(x_2) = \alpha(2 - (2/v)|x_2|)$, $x_2 \in \mathbf{R}^{m-k}$ and define ψ and ψ_n ($n=1, 2, \dots$) by

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(x) &= f(x)\beta(x_2) + |x_2|^{(4-m+k)/2}f(x_1, 0)(1 - \beta(x_2)), \\ \psi_n(x) &= f_n(x)\beta(x_2) + |x_2|^{(4-m+k)/2}f_n(x_1, 0)\beta(nx_2)(1 - \beta(x_2))\end{aligned}$$

for $x = (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbf{R}^m = \mathbf{R}^k \times \mathbf{R}^{m-k}$ and $n = 1, 2, \dots$.

Let us verify that ψ and ψ_n defined as above satisfy the conditions (i)~(v). Since by definition (i), (ii), (iii) and (iv) hold evidently, we have only to prove (v). In what follows we use c_j ($j = 1, 2, 3, 4$) to denote constants depending only on v and m .

First we remark that for any integer $s > -m + k$

$$(2.3) \quad \int_V |x_2|^s |f(x_1, 0)|^2 dx = (m-k)(m-k+s)^{-1} v^s \int_V |f(x_1, 0)|^2 dx \\ \leq m v^s e^{2v} \int_V |f|^2 dx.$$

By this inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_V |\psi|^2 dx &\leq 2 \int_V |f|^2 dx + 2 \int_V |x_2|^{4-m+k} |f(x_1, 0)|^2 dx \\ &\leq 2(1 + m v^{4-m+k} e^{2v}) \int_V |f|^2 dx,\end{aligned}$$

which implies (v-a).

We proceed to prove (v-b). Let us set

$$\begin{aligned}I(x) &= (-\Delta - (1/4)(m-k)(m-k-4)|x_2|^{-2})\psi(x), \\ \Delta_1 &= \sum_{i=1}^k \partial^2 / \partial x_i^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta_2 = \Delta - \Delta_1.\end{aligned}$$

We first note that

$$(2.4) \quad (\Delta_2 + (1/4)(m-k)(m-k-4)|x_2|^{-2})|x_2|^{(4-m+k)/2} = 0.$$

If $0 < |x_2| \leq v/2$, then $\psi(x) = |x_2|^{(4-m+k)/2}f(x_1, 0)$, so that

$$\begin{aligned}|I(x)| &\leq |x_2|^{(4-m+k)/2} |(\Delta_1 f)(x_1, 0)| \\ &\quad + |(\Delta_2 + (1/4)(m-k)(m-k-4)|x_2|^{-2})|x_2|^{(4-m+k)/2} \cdot f(x_1, 0) \\ &= |x_2|^{(4-m+k)/2} |(\Delta_1 f)(x_1, 0)|\end{aligned}$$

by (2.4). Since

$$|(\Delta_1 f)(x_1, 0)| \leq 3kf(x_1, 0) < 3mf(x_1, 0),$$

in view of condition (2.2), it follows that $|I(x)| < 3m\psi(x)$ for $0 < |x_2| \leq v/2$. We next consider the case $v/2 < |x_2| < v$. Noting that

$$(2.5) \quad |(\partial\beta/\partial x_i)(x_2)| < 6/v \quad \text{and} \quad |(\partial^2\beta/\partial x_i^2)(x_2)| < 44/v^2$$

for $k+1 \leq i \leq m$ and using (2.2) we can see that there is a constant c_1 such that $|I(x)| < c_1 f(x)$. Combining this with the fact that

$$f(x) = f(x)\beta(x_2) + f(x)(1 - \beta(x_2)) \leq (1 + e^v \sup_{v/2 < t < v} t^{(m-k-4)/2})\psi(x),$$

we obtain $|I(x)| < c_2 \psi(x)$ for $v/2 < |x_2| < v$. Thus (v-b) is satisfied.

Finally we show (v-c). For simplicity we prove (v-c) only for $n = 3, 4, \dots$. Let us set $\gamma_n(x_2) = \beta(nx_2)(1 - \beta(x_2))$ for $x_2 \in \mathbf{R}^{m-k}$. Then by (2.5) we have

$$(2.6) \quad |(\partial\gamma_n/\partial x_i)(x_2)| \leq \begin{cases} (6/v)n & \text{if } v/(2n) < |x_2| < v/n \\ 6/v & \text{if } v/2 < |x_2| < v \\ 0 & \text{elsewhere,} \end{cases}$$

$$(2.7) \quad |(\partial^2\gamma_n/\partial x_i^2)(x_2)| \leq \begin{cases} (44/v^2)n^2 & \text{if } v/(2n) < |x_2| < v/n \\ 44/v^2 & \text{if } v/2 < |x_2| < v \\ 0 & \text{elsewhere} \end{cases}$$

for $i = k+1, \dots, m$. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ \int_v |(-\Delta - (1/4)(m-k)(m-k-4)|x_2|^{-2})\psi_n|^2 dx \right\}^{1/2} \\ & \leq \left\{ \int_v |\Delta(f_n(x)\beta(x_2))|^2 dx \right\}^{1/2} \\ & \quad + (1/4)(m-k)|m-k-4| \left\{ \int_v |x_2|^{-4}(f_n(x)\beta(x_2))^2 dx \right\}^{1/2} \\ & \quad + \left\{ \int_v |x_2|^{4-m+k}(\gamma_n(x_2))^2((\Delta_1 f_n)(x_1, 0))^2 dx \right\}^{1/2} \\ & \quad + \left\{ \int_v |(A_2 + (1/4)(m-k)(m-k-4)|x_2|^{-2})(|x_2|^{(4-m+k)/2}\gamma_n(x_2))|^2 \right. \\ & \quad \quad \left. \times (f_n(x_1, 0))^2 dx \right\}^{1/2} \\ & = I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4, \end{aligned}$$

where I_j ($j = 1, 2, 3, 4$) denotes the j -th term respectively.

By virtue of (2.2), (2.3) and (2.5), we can easily check that there is a constant c_3 such that

$$(2.8) \quad I_1 + I_2 + I_3 \leq c_3 \left\{ \int_v |f|^2 dx \right\}^{1/2}.$$

Now we estimate I_4 . By virtue of (2.4), (2.6) and (2.7),

$$I_4 = \left\{ \int_v |2 \sum_{i=k+1}^m \partial/\partial x_i (|x_2|^{(4-m+k)/2}) \cdot (\partial\gamma_n/\partial x_i)(x_2)|^2 dx \right\}^{1/2}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + |x_2|^{(4-m+k)/2} (A_2 \gamma_n)(x_2)^2 (f_n(x_1, 0))^2 dx \}^{1/2} \\
 \leq & (m-k) |4-m+k| \left\{ \int_{V_n} |x_2|^{2-m+k} (6n)^2 v^{-2} (f(x_1, 0))^2 dx \right. \\
 & + \int_{V_1} |x_2|^{2-m+k} (6/v)^2 (f(x_1, 0))^2 dx \}^{1/2} \\
 & + (m-k) \left\{ \int_{V_n} |x_2|^{4-m+k} (44n^2)^2 v^{-4} (f(x_1, 0))^2 dx \right. \\
 & \left. + \int_{V_1} |x_2|^{4-m+k} (44/v^2)^2 (f(x_1, 0))^2 dx \right\}^{1/2},
 \end{aligned}$$

where we set $V_n = \{x = (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbf{R}^m = \mathbf{R}^k \times \mathbf{R}^{m-k}; v/(2n) < |x_2| < v/n\}$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$).
 Since

$$\begin{aligned}
 n^2 \int_{V_n} |x_2|^{2-m+k} (f(x_1, 0))^2 dx & = \int_{V_1} |x_2|^{2-m+k} (f(x_1, 0))^2 dx, \\
 n^4 \int_{V_n} |x_2|^{4-m+k} (f(x_1, 0))^2 dx & = \int_{V_1} |x_2|^{4-m+k} (f(x_1, 0))^2 dx
 \end{aligned}$$

for any $n = 1, 2, \dots$, it follows from (2.3) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_4 & \leq (6/v)m^2 \left\{ 2 \int_{V_1} |x_2|^{2-m+k} (f(x_1, 0))^2 dx \right\}^{1/2} \\
 & \quad + (44/v^2)m \left\{ 2 \int_{V_1} |x_2|^{4-m+k} (f(x_1, 0))^2 dx \right\}^{1/2} \\
 & \leq c_4 \left\{ \int_V |f|^2 dx \right\}^{1/2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Combining this with (2.8), we obtain

$$I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4 \leq (c_3 + c_4) \left\{ \int_V |f|^2 dx \right\}^{1/2},$$

which completes the proof of (v-c).

q. e. d.

3. Proof of the theorem

Now we fix a set of f and f_n ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) satisfying (1)~(4). For each $\alpha \in A$ we apply Lemma 2 with $S = S_\alpha$ and $v = \varepsilon/2$, and put

$$\psi^\alpha = \psi, \quad \psi_n^\alpha = \psi_n \quad \text{and} \quad E_n^\alpha = E_n, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

Let Q be a real-valued function in $C^0(\Omega)$ which satisfies the following conditions (a), (b) and (c):

- (a) $q_1(x) \geq Q(x)$ for any $x \in \Omega$.

(b) For each $\alpha \in A$

$$Q(x) = -(1/4)(m - k_\alpha)(m - k_\alpha - 4)[\text{dist}(x, S_\alpha)]^{-2},$$

whenever $0 < \text{dist}(x, S_\alpha) < \varepsilon/2$.

(c) Q is bounded on $\bigcap_{\alpha \in A} \{x \in \mathbf{R}^m; \varepsilon/2 \leq \text{dist}(x, S_\alpha)\}$.

Define Φ and Φ_n ($n=1, 2, \dots$) by

$$\Phi(x) = \begin{cases} \psi^\alpha(x) & \text{if } 0 < \text{dist}(x, S_\alpha) < \varepsilon/2 \text{ for some } \alpha \\ f(x) & \text{elsewhere,} \end{cases}$$

$$\Phi_n(x) = \begin{cases} \psi_n^\alpha(x) & \text{if } 0 < \text{dist}(x, S_\alpha) < \varepsilon/2 \text{ for some } \alpha \\ f_n(x) & \text{elsewhere.} \end{cases}$$

We now prove that the conditions (P.1), (P.2) and (P.3) in Lemma 1 are satisfied with these Q , Φ and Φ_n . Let us set $V(\alpha) = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^m; 0 < \text{dist}(x, S_\alpha) < \varepsilon/2\}$ for each $\alpha \in A$, $W = \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} V(\alpha)$ and $E = \Omega \setminus W$.

To verify (P.1) we have only to examine that $\Phi \in L^2(\Omega)$ and $(-\Delta + Q)\Phi \in L^2(\Omega)$ since the other conditions in (P.1) are obvious. Using (v-a) and (v-b) in Lemma 2, we have

$$\int_{\Omega} |\Phi|^2 dx = \int_E |f|^2 dx + \sum_{\alpha \in A} \int_{V(\alpha)} |\psi^\alpha|^2 dx \leq \int_E |f|^2 dx + c \int_W |f|^2 dx < +\infty$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} |(-\Delta + Q)\Phi|^2 dx &= \int_E |(-\Delta + Q)f|^2 dx \\ &+ \sum_{\alpha \in A} \int_{V(\alpha)} |(-\Delta - (1/4)(m - k_\alpha)(m - k_\alpha - 4)[\text{dist}(x, S_\alpha)]^{-2})\psi^\alpha|^2 dx \\ &\leq \int_E |(-\Delta + Q)f|^2 dx + c^2 \sum_{\alpha \in A} \int_{V(\alpha)} |\psi^\alpha|^2 dx < +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

We proceed to verify (P.2). Since $0 \leq \Phi_n \leq \Phi$ on Ω ($n=1, 2, \dots$) and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Phi_n(x) = \Phi(x)$ ($x \in \Omega$), it follows from Lebesgue's convergence theorem that $\Phi_n \rightarrow \Phi$ strongly in $L^2(\Omega)$. Let u be an arbitrary element of $L^2(\Omega)$. Then we have

$$(3.1) \quad \left| \int_{\Omega} \bar{u} \cdot (-\Delta + Q)(\Phi_n - \Phi) dx \right|$$

$$\leq \left\{ \int_{\Pi(n)} |u|^2 dx \right\}^{1/2} \left\{ \int_{\Omega} |(-\Delta + Q)(\Phi_n - \Phi)|^2 dx \right\}$$

where we set $\Pi(n) = \{x \in \Omega; (-\Delta + Q(x))(\Phi_n(x)) \neq (-\Delta + Q(x))(\Phi(x))\}$ ($n=1, 2, \dots$). Since, from the condition (3) imposed on f and f_n and (iii) in Lemma 2, $\Pi(n+1) \subseteq (\mathbf{R}^m \setminus D_n) \cup \{\bigcup_{\alpha \in A} (V(\alpha) \setminus E_n)\}$ for any $n=1, 2, \dots$, we obtain

$$(3.2) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega(n)} |u|^2 dx = 0.$$

On the other hand, by (v-c) and (2.2), we see that

$$\int_{\Omega} |(-\Delta + Q)(\Phi_n - \Phi)|^2 dx \leq c' \int_{\Omega} |f|^2 dx$$

for some constant c' which is independent of n . Applying this fact and (3.2) to (3.1), we conclude that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \bar{u} \cdot (-\Delta + Q)(\Phi_n - \Phi) dx = 0.$$

Finally let us verify (P.3). We define δ by

$$\delta = 20m + c + \sup \{|Q(x)|; x \in \mathcal{E}\},$$

where c is the constant given in Lemma 2 for $v = \varepsilon/2$. If $x \in \mathcal{E}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} (-\Delta + Q(x) + \delta)\Phi(x) &= -(\Delta f)(x) + Q(x)f(x) + \delta f(x) \\ &\geq -20mf(x) - \sup \{|Q(x)|; x \in \mathcal{E}\} \cdot f(x) + \delta f(x) > 0. \end{aligned}$$

If $x \in V(\alpha)$ for some $\alpha \in A$, then by (v-b) in Lemma 2

$$\begin{aligned} &(-\Delta + Q(x) + \delta)\Phi(x) \\ &= (-\Delta - (1/4)(m - k_{\alpha})(m - k_{\alpha} - 4)[\text{dist}(x, S_{\alpha})]^{-2} + \delta)\psi^{\alpha}(x) \\ &\geq (-c\psi^{\alpha}(x) + \delta\psi^{\alpha}(x)) > 0. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of (P.3).

q. e. d.

Acknowledgment

The author wishes to thank Professor F-Y. Maeda for constant aid and numerous suggestions.

References

- [1] H. Kalf and J. Walter, *Strongly singular potentials and essential self-adjointness of singular elliptic operators in $C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$* , J. Functional Anal. **10** (1972), 114-130.
- [2] H. Kalf and J. Walter, *Note on a paper of Simon on essentially self-adjoint Schrödinger operators with singular potentials*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **52** (1973), 258-260.
- [3] T. Kato, *Schrödinger operators with singular potentials*, Israel J. Math. **13** (1972), 135-148.
- [4] M. Reed and B. Simon, *Methods of modern mathematical physics, II: Fourier analysis, self-adjointness*, Academic Press, New York, 1975.
- [5] U. W. Schmincke, *Essential self-adjointness of Schrödinger operators with strongly singular*

- potentials*, *Math. Z.* **124** (1972), 47–50.
- [6] B. Simon, *Essential self-adjointness of Schrödinger operators with singular potentials*, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* **52** (1973), 44–48.
- [7] B. Simon, *Schrödinger operators with singular magnetic vector potentials*, *Math. Z.* **131** (1973), 361–370.
- [8] B. Simon, *An abstract Kato's inequality for generators of positivity preserving semigroups*, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **26** (1977), 1067–1073.

*Department of Mathematics,
Faculty of Science,
Hiroshima University*

