

Establishing the Supremacy of European Law

*The Making of an
International Rule of Law in Europe*

KAREN J. ALTER

OXFORD
UNIVERSITY PRESS

CONTENTS

<i>Abbreviations</i>	xvii
<i>Tables and Figures</i>	xviii
<i>Legal Decisions Cited</i>	xix
1. The Making of an International Rule of Law in Europe	1
I. The establishment of a limited European legal system, 1950–1964	5
II. The transformation of the European legal system	16
III. Explaining the making of an international rule of law in Europe: the plan of the book	27
2. National Judicial Interests and the Process of Legal Integration in Europe	33
I. Explaining change in national legal doctrines: the dependent variable	34
II. Legalism, neo-realism and neo-functionalism: where are the politics?	39
III. A general theory of judicial interests	45
IV. Integrating EC law supremacy into national legal systems: an (historical) institutionalist account	52
V. National judicial constraints on European legal integration	60
3. German Judicial Acceptance of European Law Supremacy	64
I. The main judicial actors in the legal debate	66
II. Negotiating doctrinal change regarding European law supremacy	71
III. Conclusion	118
4. French Judicial Acceptance of European Law Supremacy	124
I. The main judicial actors in the legal debate	127
II. The process of doctrinal change regarding European law supremacy	135
III. Conclusion	178

5. Winning Political Support: Why Did National Governments Accept a Judicial Revolution That Transferred Away National Sovereignty?	182
I. How did the ECJ escape member state control?	183
II. Why did national governments not reassert control?	192
III. What powers do national governments have over the process of legal integration?	202
IV. National governments and the European rule of law	207
6. The Transformation of the European Legal System and the Rule of Law in Europe	209
I. The vicious circle of international law: weak legal mechanisms combined with poor compliance	211
II. The virtuous circle: how effective legal systems enhance the rule of law	217
III. Extending the influence of law and courts into the political process	221
IV. Conclusion	229
<i>References</i>	233
<i>Index</i>	251