η -RICCI SOLITONS ON KENMOTSU MANIFOLDS ADMITTING GENERAL CONNECTION

Ashis Biswas, Ashoke Das*, Kanak Kanti Baishya, and Manoj Ray Bakshi

ABSTRACT. The object of the present paper is to study η -Ricci soliton on Kenmotsu manifold with respect to general connection.

1. Introduction

Throughout our paper, we denote Schouten-Van Kampen connection, general connection, Zamkovoy connection, generalized Tanaka-Webster connection, quarter-symmetric connection, Levi-civita connection by the symbols ∇^s , ∇^G , ∇^z , ∇^T , ∇^q , ∇ respectively.

Recently, Biswas and Baishya ([3], [4]) introduced and studied a new connection, named general connection in the context of Sasakian geometry. The general connection ∇^G is defined as

(1) $\nabla_X^G Y = \nabla_X Y + k_1 \left[(\nabla_X \eta) (Y) \xi - \eta (Y) \nabla_X \xi \right] + k_2 \eta (X) \phi Y,$

for all $U, V \in \chi(M)$ and the pair (λ, μ) being real constants. The beauty of such connection ∇^G lies in the fact that it has the flavour of

- (i) quarter symmetric metric connection ([11], [5]) for $(k_1, k_2) \equiv (0, -1)$;
- (ii) Schouten-Van Kampen connection [26] for $(k_1, k_2) \equiv (1, 0)$;
- (iii) Tanaka Webster connection [29] for $(k_1, k_2) \equiv (1, -1)$ and

Received May 13, 2020. Revised December 3, 2020. Accepted December 8, 2020. 2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 53C15, 53C25.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Key words and phrases: quarter symmetric metric connection, Schouten-Van Kampen connection, Tanaka Webster connection, Zamkovoy connection, general connection.

^{*} Corresponding author.

[©] The Kangwon-Kyungki Mathematical Society, 2020.

(iv) Zamkovoy connection [33] for $(k_1, k_2) \equiv (1, 1)$.

In 1982, Hamilton [24] introduced the notion of Ricci flow to find a canonical metric on a smooth manifold. Then Ricci flow has become a powerful tool for the study of Riemannian manifolds, especially for those manifolds with positive curvature. Perelman ([19], [20]) used Ricci flow and its surgery to prove Poincare conjecture. The Ricci flow is an evolution equation for metrics on a Riemannian manifold defined as follows:

(2)
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}g_{ij}(t) = -2R_{ij}.$$

A Ricci soliton emerges as the limit of the solutions of the Ricci flow. A solution to the Ricci flow is called Ricci soliton if it moves only by a one parameter group of diffeomorphism and scaling. A Ricci soliton (g, V, λ) on a Riemannian manifold (M, g) is a generalization of an Einstein metric such that ([25], [13], [23] [16])

$$(\pounds_V g)(X,Y) + 2S(X,Y) + 2\lambda g(X,Y) = 0,$$

where S is the Ricci tensor, \mathcal{L}_V is the Lie derivative operator along the vector field V on M and λ is a real number. The Ricci soliton is said to be shrinking, steady or expanding according to λ being negative, zero or positive, respectively. As a generalization of Ricci soliton, the notion of η -Ricci soliton was introduced by Cho and Kimura [13]. They have studied Ricci soliton of real hypersurfaces in a non-flat complex space form and defined η -Ricci soliton, which satisfies the equation

$$(4) \qquad (\pounds_V g)(X,Y) + 2S(X,Y) + 2\lambda g(X,Y) + 2\mu \eta(X) \eta(Y) = 0,$$

where λ and μ are real numbers. In particular, if $\mu = 0$, then the notion of η -Ricci soliton (g, V, λ, μ) reduces to the notion of Ricci soliton (g, V, λ) . Recenty, η -Ricci solitons have been studied by various authors for details we refer ([9], [7], [18], [17], [28] and the reference therein).

This paper is structured as follows: After introduction, a short description of Kenmotsu manifold is given in section 2. In section 3, we have studied some properties of Kenmotsu manifold admitting general connection. Section 4 deals with η -Ricci solitons and Ricci solitons on Kenmotsu manifolds with respect to the general connection admitting some curvature restrictions. Finally in section 6, we have given an non trivial example of η -Ricci solitions and found out the relation between the scalars λ and μ on Kenmotsu manifolds with respect to the general connection.

2. Preliminaries

Let M be an n (= 2m + 1)-dimensional differentiable manifold, it said to be an almost contact Riemannian manifold if either its structural group can be reduced to $U(n) \times \{I\}$ or there is an almost contact metric structure (ϕ, ξ, η, g) consisting of a vector field ξ , (1, 1) tensor field ϕ , 1-form η and Riemannian metric g satisfying

$$\phi^2 X = -X + \eta(X)\xi,$$

(6)
$$\eta(\xi) = 1, \eta(\phi X) = 0, \ \phi \xi = 0.$$

In Kenmotsu manifolds (M^n, g) the following relations hold ([17], [28], [14], [30], [1]).

(7)
$$g(X, \phi Y) = -g(\phi X, Y), g(X, \xi) = \eta(X), \forall X, Y \in TM$$

(8)
$$q(\phi X, \phi Y) = q(X, Y) - \eta(X) \eta(Y), q(QX, Y) = S(X, Y)$$

(9)
$$S(\phi X, \phi Y) = S(X, Y) + (n-1) \eta(X) \eta(Y)$$

$$(10) \qquad (\nabla_X \phi) Y = -q(X, \phi Y) \xi - \eta(Y) \phi(X),$$

(11)
$$\nabla_X \xi = X - \eta(X)\xi,$$

$$(12) \qquad (\nabla_X \eta) Y = g(X, Y) - \eta(X) \ \eta(Y).$$

Further, for Kenmotsu manifold with structure (ϕ, ξ, η, g) , following relations holds

(13)
$$R(X,Y)\xi = \eta(X) Y - \eta(Y)X,$$

(14)
$$S(X,\xi) = -(n-1)\eta(X),$$

(15)
$$R(X,\xi)Y = q(X,Y)\xi - \eta(Y)X,$$

(16)
$$R(\xi, X)Y = \eta(Y)X - q(X, Y)\xi$$

$$(17) Q\xi = -(n-1)\xi,$$

where S and Q are Ricci tensor and Ricci operator.

3. Kenmotsu manifold admitting general connection

By the help of (5), (11) and (12) the relation (1) reduces to

(18)
$$\nabla_X^G Y = \nabla_X Y + k_1 [g(X, Y) \xi - \eta(Y) X] + k_2 \eta(X) \phi Y.$$

Substituting Y by ξ in (18) and using (5), (11)

(19)
$$\nabla_X^G \xi = (1 - k_1) (X - \eta (X) \xi).$$

Now on an acount of (5), (6), (7), (10), (11), (12) and (18), we get the following

(20)
$$\nabla_X^G \eta(Y) = \eta(\nabla_X Y) + g(X, Y) - \eta(X) \eta(Y),$$

(21)
$$\nabla_X^G(\phi Y) = \nabla_X(\phi Y) + k_1 g(X, \phi Y) \xi - k_2 \eta(X) Y + k_2 \eta(X) \eta(Y) \xi$$
,

$$\nabla_{X}^{G}g(Y,Z) = g(\nabla_{X}Y,Z) + k_{1}\eta(Z)g(X,Y) - k_{1}\eta(Y)g(X,Z) +k_{2}\eta(X)g(\phi Y,Z) + g(Y,\nabla_{X}Z) + \lambda\eta(Y)g(X,Z) -k_{1}\eta(Z)g(Y,X) + k_{2}\eta(X)g(Y,\phi Z).$$
(22)

Now we know that

(23)
$$R^G(X,Y)Z = \nabla_X^G \nabla_Y^G Z - \nabla_Y^G \nabla_X^G Z - \nabla_{[X,Y]}^G Z.$$

By using (18), (19), (20), (21) and (22) we obtain the following

$$\nabla_{[X,Y]}^{G}Z = \nabla_{[X,Y]}Z + k_{2}\eta \left(\nabla_{X}Y\right)\phi Z - k_{2}\eta \left(\nabla_{Y}X\right)\phi Z$$

$$(24) + k_{1}\left[g\left(\nabla_{X}Y,Z\right)\xi - g\left(\nabla_{Y}X,Z\right)\xi - \eta\left(Z\right)\nabla_{X}Y + \eta\left(Z\right)\nabla_{Y}X\right],$$

$\nabla_X^G \nabla_Y^G Z$

$$= \nabla_{X} (\nabla_{Y}Z) + k_{1}g(X, \nabla_{Y}Z) \xi - k_{1}\eta(\nabla_{Y}Z) X + k_{2}\eta(X) \phi \nabla_{Y}Z$$

$$+k_{1}g(\nabla_{X}Y, Z) \xi + k_{1}^{2}\eta(Z) g(X, Y) \xi - k_{1}^{2}\eta(Y) g(X, Z) \xi$$

$$+k_{1}g(Y, \nabla_{X}Z) \xi + k_{1}^{2}\eta(Y) g(X, Z) \xi - k_{1}^{2}\eta(Z) g(Y, X) \xi$$

$$+k_{1}(1 - k_{1}) g(Y, Z) X - k_{1}(1 - k_{1}) g(Y, Z) \eta(X) \xi$$

$$+k_{1}k_{2}\eta(X) g(Y, \phi Z) \xi - k_{1}\eta(\nabla_{X}Z) Y - k_{1}g(X, Z) Y$$

$$+k_{1}\eta(X) \eta(Z) Y + k_{1}k_{2}\eta(X) g(\phi Y, Z) \xi - k_{1}\eta(Z) \nabla_{X}Y$$

$$-k_{1}^{2}\eta(Z) g(X, Y) \xi + k_{1}\eta(Z) \eta(Y) X - k_{1}k_{2}\eta(Z) \eta(X) \phi Y$$

$$+k_{2}\eta(\nabla_{X}Y) \phi Z + k_{2}g(X, Y) \phi Z - k_{2}\eta(X) \eta(Y) \phi Z + k_{2}\eta(Y) \nabla_{X}\varphi Z$$

$$+k_{1}k_{2}\eta(Y) g(X, \varphi Z) \xi - k_{2}^{2}\eta(X) \eta(Y) Z + k_{2}^{2}\eta(X) \eta(Y) \eta(Z) \xi.$$

$$(25)$$

Interchanging Y and X in (25)

$$\nabla_Y^G \nabla_X^G Z$$

$$= \nabla_{Y} (\nabla_{X}Z) + k_{1}g (Y, \nabla_{X}Z) \xi - k_{1}\eta (\nabla_{X}Z) Y + k_{2}\eta (Y) \phi \nabla_{X}Z$$

$$+k_{1}g (\nabla_{Y}X, Z) \xi + k_{1}^{2}\eta (Z) g (Y, X) \xi - k_{1}^{2}\eta (X) g (Y, Z) \xi$$

$$+k_{1}g (X, \nabla_{Y}Z) \xi + k_{1}^{2}\eta (X) g (Y, Z) \xi - k_{1}^{2}\eta (Z) g (X, Y) \xi$$

$$+k_{1}k_{2}\eta (Y) g (X, \phi Z) \xi + k_{1} (1 - k_{1}) g (X, Z) Y$$

$$-k_{1} (1 - k_{1}) g (X, Z) \eta (Y) \xi - k_{1}\eta (\nabla_{Y}Z) X$$

$$-k_{1}g (Y, Z) X + k_{1}\eta (Y) \eta (Z) X + k_{1}k_{2}\eta (Y) g (\phi X, Z) \xi$$

$$-k_{1}\eta (Z) \nabla_{Y}X - k_{1}^{2}\eta (Z) g (Y, X) \xi + k_{1}\eta (Z) \eta (X) Y - k_{1}k_{2}\eta (Z) \eta (Y) \phi X$$

$$+k_{2}\eta (\nabla_{Y}X) \phi Z + k_{2}g (Y, X) \phi Z - k_{2}\eta (Y) \eta (X) \phi Z + k_{2}\eta (X) \nabla_{Y}\varphi Z$$

$$+k_{1}k_{2}\eta (X) g (Y, \varphi Z) \xi - k_{2}^{2}\eta (Y) \eta (X) Z + k_{2}^{2}\eta (Y) \eta (X) \eta (Z) \xi.$$

$$(26)$$

Now in reference of (24), (25) and (26) we get from (23)

$$R^{G}(X,Y) Z$$

$$= R(X,Y) Z + (k_{1}k_{2} - k_{2}) [\eta(Y) g(X, \phi Z) \xi - \eta(X) g(Y, \varphi Z) \xi]$$

$$+ (k_{1}k_{2} - k_{2}) [\eta(Y) \eta(Z) \phi X - \eta(X) \eta(Z) \phi Y]$$

$$+ k_{1} (1 - k_{1}) [g(X,Z) \eta(Y) \xi - g(Y,Z) \eta(X) \xi]$$

$$(27) + k_{1} [2 - k_{1}] g(Y,Z) X - k_{1} [2 - k_{1}] g(X,Z) Y.$$

On contracting (27), we obtain the Ricci tensor S^G of a Kenmotsu manifold with respect to the general connection ∇^G as

$$S^{G}(Y,Z) = S(Y,Z) + k_{2}(1 - k_{1}) g(Y,\varphi Z)$$

$$(28) + k_{1}(1 - k_{1}) \eta(Y) \eta(Z) + \left[2nk_{1} - nk_{1}^{2} - 3k_{1} + 2k_{1}^{2}\right] g(Y,Z).$$

This gives

$$Q^{G}Y = QY - k_{2}(1 - k_{1}) \phi Y + \left[2nk_{1} - nk_{1}^{2} - 3k_{1} + 2k_{1}^{2}\right] Y + k_{1}(1 - k_{1}) \eta(Y) \xi.$$

Again contracting (28) over Y and Z we obtain

(30)
$$r^G = r + k_1 (1 - k_1) + n \left[2nk_1 - nk_1^2 - 3k_1 + 2k_1^2 \right].$$

Replacing Y by ξ in (28) we get

(31)
$$S^{G}(Y,\xi) = (-n+1)(1-k_{1})^{2}\eta(Y).$$

By the help of (13), (15), (16) and (27) we obtain the the following

(32)
$$R^{G}(\xi, Y) Z = (1 - k_{1})^{2} \eta(Z) Y - (1 - k_{1}) g(Y, Z) \xi -k_{2} (k_{1} - 1) [g(Y, \varphi Z) \xi + \eta(Z) \varphi Y] +k_{1} (1 - k_{1}) \eta(Z) \eta(Y) \xi,$$

(33)
$$R^{G}(Y,Z)\xi = (1-k_{1})^{2}\eta(Y)Z - (1-k_{1})^{2}\eta(Z)Y + k_{2}(k_{1}-1)[\eta(Z)\phi Y - \eta(Y)\phi Z],$$

$$R^{G}(Y,\xi) Z$$
= $(1 - k_{1}) g(Y,Z) \xi - (1 - k_{1})^{2} \eta(Z) Y$

$$(34) +k_{2} (k_{1} - 1) [g(Y,\phi Z) \xi + \eta(Z) \phi Y] - k_{1} (1 - k_{1}) \eta(Z) \eta(Y) \xi.$$

Thus we can state the following

THEOREM 3.1. Let M be an n-dimensional Kenmotsu manifold admitting general connection ∇^G . Then (i) the curvature tensor R^G of ∇^G is given by (27), (ii) the Ricci tensor S^G of ∇^G is given by (28) and (iii) the scalar curvature r^G of ∇^G is given by (30).

4. η -Ricci solitions on Kenmotsu manifolds admitting general connection

We consider a Kenmotsu manifold with respect to general connection admitting an η -Ricci soliton (g, ξ, λ, μ) . Then from (4), it is obvious that

$$\left(35\right) \quad \left(\pounds_{\xi}^{G}g\right)\left(X,Y\right) + 2S^{G}\left(X,Y\right) + 2\lambda g\left(X,Y\right) + 2\mu\eta\left(X\right)\eta\left(Y\right) = 0.$$

Now, we express the Lie derivative along ξ on M with respect to general connection as follows:

$$(\mathcal{L}_{\xi}^{G}g)(X,Y) = \mathcal{L}_{\xi}^{G}g(X,Y) - g(\mathcal{L}_{\xi}^{G}X,Y) - g(X,\mathcal{L}_{\xi}^{G}Y)$$

$$= \mathcal{L}_{\xi}^{G}g(X,Y) - g([\xi,X],Y) - g(X,[\xi,Y]).$$

By the help of (1) and (36), we obtain

$$(\mathcal{L}_{\xi}^{G}g)(X,Y)$$

$$= \nabla_{\xi}^{G}g(X,Y) - g\left(\nabla_{\xi}^{G}X - \nabla_{X}^{G}\xi - k_{1}\left(X - \eta\left(X\right)\xi - k_{2}\phi X\right),Y\right)$$

$$(37) \quad -g\left(X,\nabla_{\xi}^{G}Y - \nabla_{Y}^{G}\xi - k_{1}\left(Y - \eta\left(Y\right)\xi - k_{2}\phi Y\right)\right).$$

Using (18) and (19), the relation (37) reduces to

(38)
$$\left(\pounds_{\varepsilon}^{G}g\right)(X,Y) = 2g\left(X,Y\right) - 2\eta\left(X\right)\eta\left(Y\right).$$

By virtue of (38), the equation (35) takes the following form

(39)
$$S^{G}(X,Y) = [1 - \mu] \eta(X) \eta(Y) - [1 + \lambda] g(X,Y).$$

Setting $X = Y = \xi$ in (39), we get

(40)
$$\mu + \lambda = (n-1)(1-k_1)^2.$$

Thus we can conclude that

THEOREM 4.1. If (g, ξ, λ, μ) is an η -Ricci soliton on Kenmotsu manifold with respect to quarter symmetric metric connection, then the η -Ricci soliton on M is expanding, steady or shrinking according as $(n-1) \geq \mu$.

Theorem 4.2. If (g, ξ, λ, μ) is an η -Ricci soliton on Kenmotsu manifold with respect to each of (i) Shouten-Van Kampen connection, (ii) Tanaka Webster connection and (iii) Zamkovoy connection, then the η -Ricci soliton on M is expanding, steady or shrinking according as $\mu \leq 0$.

DEFINITION 4.3. A Kenmotsu manifold is said to be quasi-conformal like flat with respect to general connection if

(41)
$$\omega^G(X,Y)Z = 0,$$

where ω^G is the quasi-conformal like curvature tensor with respect to general connection and is given ([2]) by

$$\omega^{G}(X,Y)Z = R^{G}(X,Y)Z + a\left[S^{G}(Y,Z)X - S^{G}(X,Z)Y\right]$$

$$-\frac{cr^{G}}{n}\left(\frac{1}{n-1} + a + b\right)\left[g\left(Y,Z\right)X - g\left(X,Z\right)Y\right]$$

$$+b\left[g\left(Y,Z\right)Q^{G}X - g\left(X,Z\right)Q^{G}Y\right],$$
(42)

for all $X, Y \& Z \in \chi(M)$, the set of all vector field of the manifold M, where scalar triple (a, b, c) are real constants. The beauty of such curvature tensor lies in the fact that it has the flavour of Riemann curvature tensor R^G if the scalar triple $(a, b, c) \equiv (0, 0, 0)$, conformal curvature tensor $C^G([10])$ if $(a, b, c) \equiv \left(-\frac{1}{n-2}, -\frac{1}{n-2}, 1\right)$, conharmonic curvature tensor $L^G([12])$ if $(a, b, c) \equiv \left(-\frac{1}{n-2}, -\frac{1}{n-2}, 0\right)$, concircular curvature tensor $E^G([8], p. 84)$ if $(a, b, c) \equiv (0, 0, 1)$, projective curvature tensor $P^G([8], p. 84)$ if $(a, b, c) \equiv \left(-\frac{1}{n-1}, 0, 0\right)$ and m-projective curvature tensor $P^G([8], p. 84)$ if $P^$

Contracting Y over Z in the above relation, we have

$$S^{G}(X,W) = -\frac{ar^{G}}{[1-a+bn-b]}g(X,W) + \frac{cr^{G}}{n}\left(\frac{1}{n-1}+a+b\right)\frac{(n-1)}{[1-a+bn-b]}g(X,W).$$

Using (39) in (43), we find

$$[1 - \mu] \eta(X) \eta(W) - [1 + \lambda] g(X, W)$$

$$= -\frac{ar^{G}}{[1 - a + bn - b]} g(X, W)$$

$$+ \frac{cr^{G}}{n} \left(\frac{1}{n - 1} + a + b\right) \frac{(n - 1)}{[1 - a + bn - b]} g(X, W).$$

(44)

Putting $X = W = \xi$ in (44), we get

$$[\mu + \lambda] = \frac{a \left[r + k_1 \left(1 - k_1\right) + n \left(2nk_1 - nk_1^2 - 3k_1 + 2k_1^2\right)\right]}{\left[1 - a + bn - b\right]} - \frac{c \left[r + k_1 \left(1 - k_1\right) + n \left(2nk_1 - nk_1^2 - 3k_1 + 2k_1^2\right)\right]}{n}$$

(45)
$$\left(\frac{1}{n-1} + a + b\right) \frac{(n-1)}{[1-a+bn-b]}.$$

Again, putting $Y = Z = \xi$, in (42) and then using (39), we get

(46)
$$[\mu + \lambda] = -\frac{1}{b} (1 - k_1)^2 [an - a + 1 - nab + ab - n].$$

This leads to the following:

THEOREM 4.4. If (g, ξ, λ, μ) is an η -Ricci soliton on the quasi-conformal like flat Kenmotsu manifold admitting general connection ∇^G , then the scalars λ and μ are related by (45).

THEOREM 4.5. Let (g, ξ, λ, μ) be a η -Ricci soliton on Kenmotsu manifold with respect to quarter symmetric metric connection. Then the following relation hold

- (i) the η -Ricci soliton on M for each of $C^G(X,\xi)\xi = 0$ and $L^G(X,\xi)\xi = 0$ is expanding, steady or shrinking according as $\left(\frac{n^3 4n^2 + 6n 3}{n 2}\right) \leq 0$.
- (ii) the η -Ricci soliton on M having $H^G(X,\xi)\xi=0$ is expanding, steady or shrinking according as $\left(\frac{4n^3-10n^2+8n-1}{2n-2}\right) \leq 0$.

Theorem 4.6. If (g, ξ, λ, μ) is an η -Ricci soliton admitting $\omega^G(X, \xi)\xi = 0$ on Kenmotsu manifold, then with respect to each of (i) Shouten-Van Kampen connection, (ii) Tanaka Webster connection and (iii) Zamkovoy connection, the η -Ricci soliton on is expanding, steady or shrinking according as $\mu \leq 0$.

Now, let (g, ξ, λ, μ) be an η -Ricci soliton on Kenmotsu manifold admitting general connection such that V is pointwise collinear with ξ , that is, $V = \beta \xi$, where β is a function. Then obviously (35) holds and we have

$$0 = (X\beta) \eta(Y) + (Y\beta) \eta(X) + 2\beta g(X,Y) - 2\beta \eta(X) \eta(Y) (47) + 2S^{G}(X,Y) + 2\lambda g(X,Y) + 2\mu \eta(X) \eta(Y).$$

Putting $Y = \xi$ in (47) and using (5), (6) and (31) it follows that (48)

$$(X\beta) + (\xi\beta) \eta(X) - 2(n-1)(1-k_1)^2 \eta(X) + 2\lambda \eta(X) + 2\mu \eta(X) = 0.$$

Putting $X = \xi$ in (48) and using (5) and (6) we have

(49)
$$(\xi \beta) - (n-1)(1-k_1)^2 + \lambda + \mu = 0.$$

Using (49) in (48), we get

(50)
$$(X\beta) - [(n-1)(1-k_1)^2 - \lambda - \mu] \eta(X) = 0.$$

Differentiating (50) covariently with respect to Y, we find

(51)
$$- [(n-1)(1-k_1)^2 - \lambda - \mu](\nabla_Y \eta)(X) = 0.$$

From (50) and (51), we find

(52)
$$[(n-1)(1-k_1)^2 - \lambda - \mu] d\eta = 0.$$

Since $d\eta \neq 0$, therefore

(53)
$$\lambda + \mu = (n-1)(1-k_1)^2.$$

Substituting (53) in (50), we conclude that β is a constant. Hence it is verified from (47) that

(54)
$$S^{G}(X,Y) = -(\beta + \lambda) g(X,Y) + (\beta - \mu) \eta(X) \eta(Y)$$

In view of (28), the relation (54) takes the form

$$S(X,Y) = -k_{2}(1-k_{1})g(X,\varphi Y) - \left[2nk_{1} - nk_{1}^{2} - 3k_{1} + 2k_{1}^{2} + \beta + \lambda\right]g(X,Y) + \left[\beta - \mu - k_{1}(1-k_{1})\right]\eta(X)\eta(Y).$$
(55)

Thus we can state

THEOREM 4.7. If (g, ξ, λ, μ) is an η -Ricci soliton on Kenmotsu manifold with respect to general connection, such that V is pointwise collinear with ξ , then V is a constant multiple of ξ and the manifold is a generalized η -Einstein manifold with respect to the Levi-Civita connection.

COROLLARY 4.8. Kenmotsu manifold with respect to each of (i) Shouten-Van Kampen connection, (ii) Tanaka Webster connection and (iii) Zamkovoy connection admitting an η -Ricci soliton (g, ξ, λ) whose potential vector field is pointwise collinear with vector field ξ , is an η -Einstein manifold with respect to the Levi-Civita connection.

In particular, for $\mu = 0$, (53) yields

(56)
$$\lambda = (n-1)(1-k_1)^2.$$

Thus we can state

Theorem 4.9. If Kenmotsu manifold with respect to each of (i) Shouten-Van Kampen connection, (ii) Tanaka Webster connection and (iii) Zamkovoy connection possess a Ricci soliton (g, ξ, λ) whose potential vector field is pointwise collinear with vector field ξ , then such soliton is always steady.

THEOREM 4.10. If Kenmotsu manifold with respect to quarter symmetric metric connection possess a Ricci soliton (g, ξ, λ) whose potential vector field is pointwise collinear with vector field ξ , then such soliton is always expanding.

5. Example

By the help of [3] we introduce an example of 3-dimensional Kenmotsu manifold with respect to Generalised Tanaka-Webster connection. Choosing the linearly independent vector field as

(57)
$$e_1 = e^{-z} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \ e_2 = e^{-z} \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \ e_3 = \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$$

at each point of 3-dimensional manifold M, where $M = \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : x \neq 0\}$. Let g be the Reiemannian metric defined by

(58)
$$g(e_i, e_j) = \begin{cases} 1, & i=j \\ 0, & i \neq j \end{cases} \text{ for } i, j = 1, 2, 3$$

The 1-form η is defined by $g(Y, e_3) = \eta(Y)$, and the (1, 1) tensor field ϕ is defined by $\phi(e_1) = -e_2$, $\phi(e_2) = e_1$, and $\phi(e_3) = 0$.Let ∇ be the

Levi-Civita connection with respect to the Riemannian metric g. Then we have

$$[e_1, e_2] = 0, \quad [e_1, e_3] = e_1, \quad [e_2, e_3] = e_2.$$

Considering $e_3 = \xi$ and using Koszul's formula we get

$$\nabla_{e_1} e_3 = e_1, \ \nabla_{e_1} e_2 = 0, \ \nabla_{e_1} e_1 = -e_3,
\nabla_{e_2} e_3 = e_2, \ \nabla_{e_2} e_1 = 0, \ \nabla_{e_2} e_2 = -e_3,
(60)
\nabla_{e_3} e_1 = 0, \ \nabla_{e_3} e_2 = 0, \ \nabla_{e_3} e_3 = 0.$$

By the help of (59) and (60), we obtain the following

$$R(e_{1}, e_{2}) e_{3} = 0; R(e_{1}, e_{2}) e_{2} = -e_{1}; R(e_{1}, e_{3}) e_{3} = -e_{1};$$

$$R(e_{2}, e_{2}) e_{3} = 0; R(e_{2}, e_{3}) e_{3} = -e_{2}; R(e_{2}, e_{1}) e_{1} = -e_{2};$$

$$R(e_{3}, e_{2}) e_{2} = -e_{3}; R(e_{3}, e_{1}) e_{2} = 0; R(e_{3}, e_{1}) e_{1} = -e_{3};$$

$$61) R(e_{3}, e_{2}) e_{1} = R(e_{2}, e_{1}) e_{3} = R(e_{1}, e_{3}) e_{2} = 0.$$

Using (18), (23), (59) and (60), we can easily calculate the following

$$\nabla_{e_{1}}^{G} e_{2} = 0; \nabla_{e_{1}}^{G} e_{1} = -e_{3} + k_{1} e_{3}; \nabla_{e_{1}}^{G} e_{3} = e_{1} - k_{1} e_{1}.$$

$$\nabla_{e_{2}}^{G} e_{3} = e_{2} - k_{1} e_{2}; \nabla_{e_{2}}^{G} e_{1} = 0; \nabla_{e_{2}}^{G} e_{2} = -e_{3} + k_{1} e_{3}$$

$$\nabla_{e_{3}}^{G} e_{2} = +k_{2} e_{1}; \nabla_{e_{3}}^{G} e_{3} = 0; \nabla_{e_{3}}^{G} e_{1} = -k_{2} e_{2},$$
(62)

$$R^{G}(e_{1}, e_{2}) e_{2} = -e_{1} + 2k_{1}e_{1} + k_{1}^{2}e_{1}; \ R^{G}(e_{1}, e_{2}) e_{3} = 0;$$

$$R^{G}(e_{2}, e_{3}) e_{3} = -k_{2}e_{1} + k_{1}k_{2}e_{1} - e_{2} + k_{1}e_{2};$$

$$R^{G}(e_{3}, e_{1}) e_{1} = -e_{3} + k_{1}e_{3}; \ R^{G}(e_{3}, e_{2}) e_{2} = -e_{3} + k_{1}e_{3};$$

$$R^{G}(e_{2}, e_{1}) e_{1} = -e_{2} + 2k_{1}e_{2} - k_{1}^{2}e_{2};$$

$$R^{G}(e_{1}, e_{3}) e_{3} = k_{2}e_{2} - k_{1}k_{2}e_{2} - e_{1} + k_{1}e_{1};$$

$$R^{G}(e_{1}, e_{3}) e_{2} = -k_{2}e_{3} + k_{1}k_{2}e_{3}; R^{G}(e_{2}, e_{1}) e_{3} = 0;$$

(63)
$$R^G(e_1, e_3) e_3 = k_2 e_2 - k_1 k_2 e_2 - e_1 + k_1 e_1,$$

$$S^{G}(e_{1}, e_{1}) = k_{1}^{2} + 3k_{1} - 2;$$

$$S^{G}(e_{2}, e_{2}) = k_{1}^{2} + 3k_{1} - 2;$$

$$S^{G}(e_{3}, e_{3}) = -2 + 2k_{1}$$
(64)

and

(65)
$$r^G = -6 + 2k_1^2 + 8k_1.$$

Thus it can be seen that equation (33) is satisfied. Now from (39) and (64) we get

(66)
$$\mu + \lambda = 2(1 - k_1).$$

Hence the manifold under consideration satisfies Theorem 2 and Theorem 3.

THEOREM 5.1. There exists a Kenmotsu manifold (M^3, g) with respect to quarter symmetric metric connection possessing an η -Ricci soliton (ξ, λ, μ) which is expanding, steady or shrinking according as $2 \rightleftharpoons \mu$.

Theorem 5.2. There exists a Kenmotsu manifold (M^3, g) with respect to each of (i) Shouten-Van Kampen connection, (ii) Tanaka Webster connection and (iii) Zamkovoy connection admitting an η -Ricci soliton (ξ, λ, μ) which is always steady.

References

- [1] A. Başari, C. Murathan, On generalised ϕ reccurrent Kenmotsu manifolds, SDÜ Fen Dergisi 3 (1) (2008), 91–97.
- [2] K. K. Baishya & P. R. Chowdhury, On generalized quasi-conformal $N(k,\mu)$ -manifolds, Commun. Korean Math. Soc., **31** (1) (2016), 163–176.
- [3] A. Biswas and K.K. Baishya, Study on generalized pseudo (Ricci) symmetric Sasakian manifold admitting general connection, Bulletin of the Transilvania University of Brasov, 12 (2) (2019), https://doi.org/10.31926/but.mif.2019.12.61.2.4.
- [4] A. Biswas and K.K. Baishya, A general connection on Sasakian manifolds and the case of almost pseudo symmetric Sasakian manifolds, Scientific Studies and Research Series Mathematics and Informatics, 29 (1) (2019).
- [5] A. Biswas, S. Das and K.K. Baishya, On Sasakian manifolds satisfying curvature restrictions with respect to quarter symmetric metric connection, Scientific Studies and Research Series Mathematics and Informatics, 28 (1) (2018), 29–40.
- [6] D. E. Blair, Riemannian Geometry of Contact and Symplectic Manifolds, Birkhauser, Boston, 2002.
- [7] A. M. Blaga, η -Ricci solitons on Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifolds, Filomat **30** (2) (2016), 489–496.
- [8] K.Yano and S. Bochner, *Curvature and Betti numbers*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, Princeton University Press, **32** (1953).
- [9] K. K. Baishya and P. R. Chowdhury, η -Ricci solitons in $(LCS)_n$ -manifolds, Bull. Transilv. Univ. Brasov, **58** (2) (2016), 1–12.
- [10] L. P.Eisenhart, Riemannian Geometry, Princeton University Press, (1949).
- [11] Golab, S., On semi-symmetric and quarter-symmetric linear connections, Tensor(N.S.) 29 (1975), 249–254.

- [12] Y.Ishii, On conharmonic transformations, Tensor (N.S.), 7 (1957), 73–80.
- [13] J. T. Cho and M. Kimura, Ricci solitons and real hypersurfaces in a complex space form, Tohoku Math. J. 61 (2) (2009), 205–212.
- [14] K. Kenmotsu, A class of almost contact Riemannian manifolds, Tohoku Math. J. 24 (1972), 93–103.
- [15] K. K. Baishya, More on η -Ricci solitons in $(LCS)_n$ -manifolds, Bulletin of the Transilvania University of Brasov, Series III, Maths, Informatics, Physics., **60** (1), (2018), 1–10
- [16] K. K. Baishya, Ricci Solitons in Sasakian manifold, Afr. Mat. 28 (2017), 1061-1066, DOI: 10.1007/s13370-017-0502-z.
- [17] K. K. Baishya, P. R. Chowdhury, M. Josef and P. Peska, On almost generalized weakly symmetric Kenmotsu manifolds, Acta Univ. Palacki. Olomuc., Fac. rer. nat., Mathematica, **55** (2) (2016), 5–15.
- [18] D. G. Prakasha and B. S. Hadimani, η-Ricci solitons on para-Sasakian manifolds, J. Geom. 108 (2017), 383–392.
- [19] G. Perelman, The entropy formula for the Ricci flow and its geometric applications, http://arXiv.org/abs/math/0211159, (2002), 1–39.
- [20] G. Perelman, *Ricci flow with surgery on three manifolds*, http://arXiv.org/abs/math/0303109, (2003), 1–22.
- [21] G.P. Pokhariyal and R.S. Mishra, Curvature tensors and their relativistic significance, Yokohama Math. J. 18 (1970), 105–108.
- [22] G.P. Pokhariyal and R.S. Mishra, Curvature tensors and their relativistic significance II, Yokohama Math. J. 19 (2) (1971), 97–103.
- [23] R. Sharma, Certain results on κ -contact and (κ,μ) -contact manifolds, J. Geom. 89 (2008), 138–147.
- [24] R. S. Hamilton, *The Ricci flow on surfaces*, Mathematics and general relativity, Contemp. Math., American Math. Soc., **71** (1988), 237–262.
- [25] S. Deshmukh, H. Alodan and H. Al-Sodais, A note on Ricci solitons, Balkan J. Geom. Appl. 16 (2011), 48–55.
- [26] Schouten, J. A. and Van Kampen, E. R., Zur Einbettungs-und Krummungstheorie nichtholonomer, Gebilde Math. Ann. 103 (1930), 752–783.
- [27] S. M. Webster, h Pseudo hermitian structures on a real hypersurface, J. Differ. Geom. 13 (1978), 25–41.
- [28] S. Eyasmin, P. Roy Chowdhury and K. K. Baishya, η-Ricci solitons in Kenmotsu manifolds, Honam Mathematical J 40 (2) (2018), 383–392.
- [29] S. Tanno, The automorphism groups of almost contact Riemannian manifold, Tohoku Math. J. 21 (1969), 21–38.
- [30] V. F. Kirichenko, On the geometry of Kenmotsu manifolds, Dokl. Akad. Nauk, Ross. Akad. Nauk 380 (2001), 585–587.
- [31] Yano, K. and Imai T., Quarter-symmetric metric connections and their curvature tensors, Tensor (N.S.) 38 (1982), 13–18.
- [32] Yano, K., On semi-symmetric connection. Revue Roumanie de Mathematiques Pures et appliquees, **15**(1970), 1579–1586.
- [33] S. Zamkovoy, Canonical connections on paracontact manifolds. Ann. Global Anal. Geom. **36** (1) (2008), 37–60.

Ashis Biswas

Department of Mathematics Mathabhanga College City Coochbehar, India *E-mail*: biswasashis9065@gmail.com

Ashoke Das

Department of Mathematics Raiganj University City Raiganj, India E-mail: ashoke.avik@gmail.com

Kanak Kanti Baishya

Department of Mathematics Kurseong College City Derjeeling, India E-mail: kanakkanti.kc@gmail.com

Manoj Ray Bakshi

Department of Mathematics Raiganj University City Raiganj, India

 $E ext{-}mail: raybakshimanoj@gmail.com}$