

 Open access • Journal Article • DOI:10.1111/IJS.12294

EuroHYP-1: European multicenter, randomized, phase III clinical trial of therapeutic hypothermia plus best medical treatment vs. best medical treatment alone for acute ischemic stroke. — Source link

H. Bart van der Worp, Malcolm R. Macleod, Philip M.W. Bath, Jacques Demotes ...+14 more authors

Institutions: Utrecht University, Edinburgh Royal Infirmary, University of Nottingham, French Institute of Health and Medical Research ...+5 more institutions

Published on: 01 Jul 2014 - International Journal of Stroke (Wiley-Blackwell)

Topics: Modified Rankin Scale, Randomized controlled trial and Clinical trial

Related papers:

- [Hypothermia in animal models of acute ischaemic stroke: a systematic review and meta-analysis](#)
- [Intravenous Thrombolysis Plus Hypothermia for Acute Treatment of Ischemic Stroke \(ICTuS-L\): Final Results](#)
- [Mild therapeutic hypothermia to improve the neurologic outcome after cardiac arrest](#)
- [Cooling for Acute Ischemic Brain Damage \(COOL AID\) A feasibility trial of endovascular cooling](#)
- [Treatment of Comatose Survivors of Out-of-Hospital Cardiac Arrest with Induced Hypothermia](#)

Share this paper:    

View more about this paper here: <https://typeset.io/papers/eurohyp-1-european-multicenter-randomized-phase-iii-clinical-55uplxb9tw>

EuroHYP-1: European multicenter, randomized, phase III clinical trial of therapeutic hypothermia plus best medical treatment vs. best medical treatment alone for acute ischemic stroke

H. Bart van der Worp^{1*}, Malcolm R. Macleod², Philip M. W. Bath³, Jacques Demotes⁴, Isabelle Durand-Zaleski⁵, Bernd Gebhardt⁶, Christian Gluud⁷, Rainer Kollmar⁸, Derk W. Krieger⁹, Kennedy R. Lees¹⁰, Carlos Molina¹¹, Joan Montaner¹², Risto O. Roine¹³, Jesper Petersson¹⁴, Dimitre Staykov¹⁵, Istvan Szabo¹⁶, Joanna M. Wardlaw¹⁷, Stefan Schwab¹⁵, and on behalf of the EuroHYP-1 investigators[†]

Correspondence: H. B. van der Worp*, Department of Neurology and Neurosurgery, Brain Center Rudolf Magnus, University Medical Center Utrecht, Heidelberglaan 100, 3584 CX Utrecht, The Netherlands.

E-mail: h.b.vanderworp@umcutrecht.nl

¹Department of Neurology and Neurosurgery, Brain Center Rudolf Magnus, University Medical Center Utrecht, CX Utrecht, The Netherlands

²Division of Clinical Neurosciences, University of Edinburgh, Royal Infirmary of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK

³Stroke, Division of Clinical Neuroscience, University of Nottingham, Nottingham, UK

⁴Inserm, Institut de Santé Publique, Paris, France

⁵Department of Public Health, AP-HP, Henri Mondor-Albert Chenevier Hospitals, Creteil, France

⁶Center for Clinical Studies, Erlangen, Germany

⁷The Copenhagen Trial Unit, Centre for Clinical Intervention Research, Copenhagen University Hospital, Copenhagen, Denmark

⁸Clinic for Neurology and Neurogeriatrics, Darmstadt, Germany

⁹Department of Neurology, Stroke Center, Copenhagen University Hospital, Copenhagen, Denmark

¹⁰Institute of Cardiovascular and Medical Sciences, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, UK

¹¹Stroke Unit, Hospital Vall d'Hebron, Barcelona, Spain

¹²Neurovascular Research Laboratory, Department of Neurology, Hospital Vall d'Hebron, Barcelona, Spain

¹³Division of Clinical Neurosciences, Turku University Hospital, Turku, Finland

¹⁴Department of Neurology, Skåne University Hospital, Malmö, Sweden

¹⁵Department of Neurology, University of Erlangen-Nuremberg, Erlangen, Germany

¹⁶European Stroke Research Network for Hypothermia, Brussels, Belgium

¹⁷Neuroimaging Sciences, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK

Received: 2 December 2013; Accepted: 31 March 2014; Published online 15 May 2014

[†]EuroHYP-1 investigators

Executive committee: R. Kollmar, D.W. Krieger, M.R. Macleod, J. Petersson, D. Staykov, S. Schwab (chair), I. Szabo, H.B. van der Worp.

Steering Committee: J. Demotes, I. Durand-Zaleski, B. Gebhardt, C. Gluud, K.R. Lees, C. Molina, J. Montaner, R. Roine, J. Wardlaw, and all members of the Executive Committee.

Data Safety and Monitoring Committee: P.M.W. Bath (chair), G.D. Murray, P.S. Scutt (unblinded statistician), F. Sterz.

Advisory Committee: M. Brainin, U. Dirnagl, W. Hacke (chair), M. Hennerici, D. Leys, S. Meairs, B. Norrving, P.A.G. Sandercock, N. Wahlgren.

Ethics Board: B. Heinrichs, N.E. Sahlin (chair), P. Sandöe.

Trial coordination: B. Colam, A. Stilper, N. Votruba.

Rationale Cooling reduced infarct size and improved neurological outcomes in animal studies modeling ischemic stroke, and also improved outcome in randomized clinical trials in patients with hypoxic-ischemic brain injury after cardiac arrest. Cooling awake patients with ischemic stroke has been shown feasible in phase II clinical trials.

Primary aim To determine whether systemic cooling to a target body temperature between 34.0 and 35.0°C, started within six-hours of symptom onset and maintained for 24 h, improves functional outcome at three-months in patients with acute ischemic stroke.

Design International, multicenter, phase III, randomized, open-label clinical trial with blinded outcome assessment in 1500 patients aged 18 years or older with acute ischemic stroke and a National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale score of 6 up to and including 18. In patients randomized to hypothermia, cooling to a target body temperature of 34–35°C will be started within six-hours after symptom onset with rapid intravenous infusion of refrigerated normal saline or a surface cooling technique and maintained for 24 h with a surface or endovascular technique. Patients randomized to hypothermia will receive pethidine and buspirone to prevent shivering and discomfort.

Primary outcome Score on the modified Rankin Scale at 91 days, as analyzed with ordinal logistic regression and expressed as a common odds ratio.

Discussion With 750 patients per intervention group, this trial has 90% power to detect 7% absolute improvement at the 5% significance level. The full trial protocol is available at <http://www.eurohyp1.eu>. ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT01833312.

Key words: acute stroke therapy, clinical trial, hypothermia, ischemic stroke, treatment

Other EuroHYP-1 consortium members involved in the conduct of this trial: G. Broessner, C. Cordonnier, A. Czlonkowska, T. Dalkara, L. Garattini, C. Hobohm, D. Jatužis, R. von Kummer, A. Marcon, A. Meisel, F. Orzi, G. Tsvigoulis, G. Vanhooren, M. Wagner, P. Winkel.

Conflicts of interest: All authors are members of the Steering Committee of EuroHYP-1, except for PMWB, who is the chair of the trial's DSMC. Unconditional support to investigator meetings and meetings of the organization EuroHYP has been provided by BARD, EMCOOLS, MTRE, and ZOLL. These companies also provide cooling equipment at discount rates for the EuroHYP-1 trial and the related trial COOLIST, of which HBvdW is the chief investigator. EuroHYP-1 is conducted independently of the funders and equipment suppliers.

DOI: 10.1111/j.12294

Introduction and rationale

In patients with acute ischemic stroke, early intravenous thrombolysis with alteplase, the administration of aspirin, decompressive surgery, and stroke unit care are the only treatments of proven benefit (1). Even if treatments are combined, about half of the patients remain dependent or die (2). For this reason, there is a clear need for additional, effective therapeutic strategies.

In animal studies modeling ischemic stroke, cooling to 35°C reduced infarct size by about one-third, and cooling to 34°C by around 45% (3). Several prospective observational clinical studies in stroke have shown an association between raised body temperature and poor outcome (4). Finally, cooling improved outcome in randomized trials in patients with hypoxic-ischemic brain injury after cardiac arrest (5). Next to novel reperfusion strategies, hypothermia is therefore a promising treatment for patients with acute ischemic stroke.

Systematic review and meta-analysis of therapeutic hypothermia for any indication strongly suggests an association between cooling and the risk of pneumonia and sepsis. No increase in the risk of any infection was observed when cooling was used to treat ischemic stroke, but the number of patients treated was small (6). In phase II trials, cooling awake patients with ischemic stroke has been shown feasible and safe (7–10), but whether this improves functional outcome has not yet been tested in an adequately-sized randomized clinical trial.

The primary objective of EuroHYP-1 is to determine whether systemic cooling to a target body temperature between 34.0 and 35.0°C, started within six hours of symptom onset and maintained for 24 h, improves functional outcome at three months in patients with acute ischemic stroke.

Methods

Design

This is an international, multicenter, randomized, parallel-group, open-label clinical superiority trial with blinded outcome assessment, registered at ClinicalTrials.gov as NCT01833312. The trial will be conducted in accordance with the ethical principles that have their origin in the Declaration of Helsinki and are consistent with International Conference on Harmonization-Good Clinical Practice and applicable regulatory requirements.

Eligibility

Patients may be enrolled in the trial if all of the inclusion criteria listed in Table 1 have been met but will be excluded from participation for any of the reasons listed in Table 2.

Treatment allocation

After enrollment, patients will be allocated to hypothermia plus best medical treatment or best medical treatment alone based on proportional minimization through a web-based allocation service. Treatment allocation will be stratified by country and will include the following minimization factors: intention to give alteplase; intended method of cooling (surface or endovascular); gender; stroke severity [National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale (NIHSS) 6–12 or 13–18]; age (≤ 65 years or > 65 years); visibility of a relevant ischemic lesion on the first brain imaging (yes or no), and time since symptom onset (≤ 4 h or 4–6 h).

Treatment

In patients randomized to hypothermia, cooling will be started within six hours after onset of symptoms and within 90 mins of start of thrombolysis (or within 90 mins of hospital admission in patients who are not treated with thrombolysis) with intravenous infusion of 20 ml/kg refrigerated normal saline (4°C) over 30–60 mins or a surface cooling method, depending on local practice or preference. Cooling will be maintained at 34–35°C for 24 h with a surface or endovascular technique. The choice of this technique is at the discretion of the local investigator. Thereafter, patients will be passively warmed at a rate of $0.2 \pm 0.1^\circ\text{C}$ per hour until the rectal or bladder temperature reaches 36.0°C ; the cooling device will then be disconnected.

In patients randomized to hypothermia, shivering and discomfort will be prevented and treated with intravenous pethidine (max 500 mg/24 h) and oral buspirone (max 30 mg/24 h). The maximum dose of pethidine is about half that administered in previous trials targeting a body temperature of 33°C for 24 h (8,9) but higher than the dose of 2.3 mg/kg used in a recent surface cooling trial targeting a body temperature of 35°C for 12 h (10). In the last trial, pethidine was combined with intravenous dexmedetomidine. Patients who have difficulties swallowing will not receive buspirone unless they have a nasogastric tube. In one animal study of focal cerebral ischemia, pethidine did not affect the effects of hypothermia (11). For the prevention of

Table 1 Inclusion criteria

- Diagnosis of acute ischemic stroke.
- Age ≥ 18 years.
- NIHSS score of 6 up to and including 18.
- GCS motor response sub-scale score ≥ 5 .
- mRS score ≤ 2 prior to onset of stroke.
- Estimated body weight of 50 up to and including 120 kg.
- Possibility to start therapeutic hypothermia within six hours after onset of stroke.
- Possibility to start therapeutic hypothermia within 90 mins after start of alteplase administration in patients receiving thrombolysis.
- Possibility to start therapeutic hypothermia within 90 mins after admission to trial site in patients not receiving thrombolysis.
- Written informed consent obtained from the patient or his/her legally acceptable representative or under such other arrangements as may be legally established in participating countries.

Table 2 Exclusion criteria

- Evidence from CT or MRI of intracranial hemorrhage or tumour or encephalitis or any diagnosis likely to cause the present symptoms other than acute ischemic stroke. Hemorrhagic transformation of the infarct is not an exclusion criterion, except when there is a parenchymal hematoma covering more than 30% of the infarcted area, with significant space-occupying effect, or when there is a bleeding remote from the infarcted area.
- Rapidly resolving stroke symptoms.
- Use of monoaminoxidase inhibitors in the 14 days prior to screening.
- Current use of medication interacting with pethidine or buspirone.
- Acute alcohol intoxication.
- Opioid addiction.
- Nursing mother or pregnant woman, as verified by a positive urine pregnancy test in females of childbearing potential.
- Known hypersensitivity to the investigational medicinal products or any of their formulation ingredients.
- Any acutely life-threatening conditions other than acute ischemic stroke.
- Known convulsive disorder, acute closed angle glaucoma, myasthenia gravis.
- SPO₂ < 94% (as measured by pulse oximetry) under nasal oxygen administration.
- Other severe respiratory disorder.
- Bradycardia (<40 bpm).
- Severe cardiac failure, defined as NYHA classification ≥ III.
- Myocardial infarction or angina pectoris in the three months prior to screening.
- Vasospastic disorders.
- Haematological dyscrasia.
- Known platelet count < 100 000/mm³.
- Known INR > 1.7.
- Skin damage at the sites intended to be used for cooling.
- Clinical diagnosis of sepsis.
- Known severe hepatic impairment (serum ALAT and/or ASAT > 3 times ULN).
- Known renal impairment (serum creatinine > 2 mg/100 ml).
- Addison's disease.
- Any other condition that may interfere with, or be aggravated by, therapeutic hypothermia.
- Any condition that is thought to reduce the compliance to cooperate with the trial procedures.
- Patient who is imprisoned or is lawfully kept in an institution.
- Employee or direct relative of an employee of the CRO (if applicable), the department of the investigator, or the sponsor.
- Participation in an interventional clinical trial within the last 4 weeks, or be under the exclusion period from another trial.
- Prior participation in this trial.

opioid-induced nausea and vomiting, ondansetron or granisetron is recommended.

In both intervention groups, patients will be treated according to published guidelines for the treatment of acute ischemic stroke and for secondary prevention.

Outcomes

The primary outcome is the score on the modified Rankin Scale (mRS) at 91 (±14) days, as analyzed with ordinal logistic regression and expressed as a common odds ratio. Secondary outcomes include death or dependency (mRS > 2), death, and scores on the NIHSS, EQ-5D-5L, and WHODAS 2.0 at 91 (±14) days, and infarct size, growth, swelling, and hemorrhagic transformation on repeat brain imaging with computed tomography or magnetic resonance imaging at 48 h (±24). Blood biomarker levels will be assessed in venous blood drawn before start of trial treatment and at 24 and 72 h after randomization. These biomarkers include – but are not limited to – gelatinases, collagenases, stromelysines, D-dimer, and C-reactive protein. An economic evaluation including a comparison of costs and an incremental cost-utility analysis will be performed.

To allow blinded assessment of the primary outcome and to enhance statistical power, the mRS at 91 days will be assessed by at least four independent adjudicators based on a video clip of the

mRS interview, without reference to treatment allocation, coordinated from the University of Glasgow (12).

Sample size

A total of 1474 patients is required to detect an absolute reduction in the risk of death or disability (mRS > 2) of 7% (corresponding to an odds ratio of 0.74) with 90% power at the 5% significance level (two sided) and allowing for 3% loss to follow-up. This target sample size is rounded to 1500 patients.

Statistical analyses

The primary and secondary outcomes will be analyzed using the intention-to-treat population. The effect of the intervention on the primary outcome will also be studied in specific sub-groups, including those used as minimization factors at the time of randomization. Additional exploratory analyses will be based on the per-protocol population. The primary efficacy variable will be analyzed with ordinal logistic regression and expressed as a common odds ratio. The analysis will be adjusted for the minimization factors mentioned above. If the assumption of the ordinal regression analysis model is not fulfilled, the groups will be compared using a nonparametric method and that result will be the primary result. The secondary outcomes and safety variables will be analyzed with logistic regression, a general linear

univariate model, or a nonparametric test, where appropriate. A detailed statistical analysis plan will be published separately before data will be analyzed.

Data Safety and Monitoring Committee (DSMC)

An independent DSMC has been established to monitor the safety of the patients in the EuroHYP-1 trial by reviewing the available clinical data after 50, 100, 200, 400, 800, and 1200 patients have been recruited and followed up until the final assessment at 91 days and *ad hoc* as needed. With respect to efficacy and hazard, the DSMC will conduct interim analyses on partially cleaned data after the final assessment of the first 400 and 800 patients. Details are defined in a DSMC charter.

Study organization

The University of Erlangen-Nürnberg is the trial sponsor within the European Union. To qualify for participation in the trial, investigators and their staff will undergo training prior to recruiting patients into the trial. The trial will be approved by appropriate review boards in each participating center. This trial will be monitored regularly by a qualified monitor from the sponsor or an organization commissioned by the sponsor, according to GCP guidelines and the respective standard operating procedure.

Funding

EuroHYP-1 has received funding from the European Union's Seventh Framework Program (FP7/2007–2013) under grant agreement n° 278709. The prefunding phase was supported by an unconditional grant from W. Hacke from his 2009 Max Jarecki and Mihara awards. HBvdW is supported by a grant from the Dutch Heart Foundation (2010T075). PMB is Stroke Association Professor of Stroke Medicine.

Summary and conclusions

One of the reasons for the recurrent failure of allegedly neuro-protective strategies to improve functional outcome in patients may be that most inhibit only a single step in the chain of events leading to cell death. By contrast, hypothermia affects a wide range of cell death mechanisms, including energy depletion, disruption of the blood-brain barrier, free radical formation, excitotoxicity, and inflammation (4). The proof of principle for therapeutic hypothermia has been provided in randomized trials in patients with postanoxic encephalopathy after cardiac arrest (5), although the validity of trials' results have been questioned (13). In addition, the optimal target temperature and the effects of delay to treatment are still uncertain (14,15). Next to novel reperfusion strategies, therapeutic hypothermia may therefore represent the best chance for patients with acute ischemic stroke patients to gain major benefit in terms of functional outcome.

EuroHYP-1 will test this assumption, parallel to the American Intravascular Cooling in the Treatment of Stroke 2/3 Trial (ICTuS2/3; <http://clinicaltrials.gov/show/NCT01123161>).

The complete EuroHYP-1 protocol may be obtained from the study sponsor and can be accessed at the study website <http://www.eurohyp1.eu>. No rights can be derived from this summarized version.

References

- 1 Jauch EC, Saver JL, Adams HP Jr *et al.* Guidelines for the early management of patients with acute ischemic stroke: a guideline for health-care professionals from the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association. *Stroke* 2013; **44**:870–947.
- 2 Wardlaw JM, Murray V, Berge E *et al.* Recombinant tissue plasminogen activator for acute ischaemic stroke: an updated systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet* 2012; **379**:2364–72.
- 3 van der Worp HB, Sena ES, Donnan GA, Howells DW, Macleod MR. Hypothermia in animal models of acute ischaemic stroke: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Brain* 2007; **130**:3063–74.
- 4 van der Worp HB, Macleod MR, Kollmar R. Therapeutic hypothermia for acute ischemic stroke: ready to start large randomized trials? *J Cereb Blood Flow Metab* 2010; **30**:1079–93.
- 5 Arrich J, Holzner M, Herkner H, Mullner M. Hypothermia for neuroprotection in adults after cardiopulmonary resuscitation. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2009; (4):CD004128.
- 6 Geurts M, Macleod MR, Kollmar R, Kremer PH, van der Worp HB. Therapeutic hypothermia and the risk of infection: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Crit Care Med* 2014; **42**:231–42.
- 7 Krieger DW, De Georgia MA, Abou-Chebl A *et al.* Cooling for acute ischemic brain damage (cool aid): an open pilot study of induced hypothermia in acute ischemic stroke. *Stroke* 2001; **32**:1847–54.
- 8 De Georgia MA, Krieger DW, Abou-Chebl A *et al.* Cooling for acute ischemic brain damage (cool aid): a feasibility trial of endovascular cooling. *Neurology* 2004; **63**:312–7.
- 9 Hemmen TM, Raman R, Guluma KZ *et al.* Intravenous thrombolysis plus hypothermia for acute treatment of ischemic stroke (ICTuS-L): final results. *Stroke* 2010; **41**:2265–70.
- 10 Piironen K, Tiainen M, Mustanoja S *et al.* Mild hypothermia after intravenous thrombolysis in patients with acute stroke: a randomized controlled trial. *Stroke* 2014; **45**:486–91.
- 11 Sena ES, Jeffreys AL, Cox SF *et al.* The benefit of hypothermia in experimental ischemic stroke is not affected by pethidine. *Int J Stroke* 2013; **8**:180–5.
- 12 McArthur KS, Johnson PC, Quinn TJ *et al.* Improving the efficiency of stroke trials: feasibility and efficacy of group adjudication of functional end points. *Stroke* 2013; **44**:3422–8.
- 13 Nielsen N, Friberg H, Gluud C, Herlitz J, Wetterslev J. Hypothermia after cardiac arrest should be further evaluated – a systematic review of randomised trials with meta-analysis and trial sequential analysis. *Int J Cardiol* 2011; **151**:333–41.
- 14 Nielsen N, Wetterslev J, Cronberg T *et al.* Targeted temperature management at 33 degrees C versus 36 degrees C after cardiac arrest. *N Engl J Med* 2013; **369**:2197–206.
- 15 Kim F, Nichol G, Maynard C *et al.* Effect of prehospital induction of mild hypothermia on survival and neurological status among adults with cardiac arrest: a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA* 2014; **311**:45–52.