- 1 EVALUATION OF FEMALE SEXUAL FUNCTION IN PERSONS WITH TYPE 2
- 2 DIABETES MELLITUS SEEN IN A TERTIARY HOSPITAL IN SOUTH EAST
- 3 NIGERIA WITH EMPHASIS ON ITS FREQUENCY AND PREDICTORS
- 4 Ezeani Ignatius U¹,Onyeonoro Ugochukwu U²,UgwuTheophilus E³
- 6 ¹Division of Endocrinology, Diabetes and Metabolism, Department of Internal Medicine,
- 7 Federal Medical Center, Umuahia, Abia state, Nigeria;
- ²Department of Community Medicine, Federal Medical Center, Umuahia, Abia state, Nigeria;
- 9 ³Department of Internal Medicine, Enugu State University
- 10 Teaching Hospital, Enugu, Nigeria
- 12 Correspondence:

11

- 13 Dr Ezeani IgnatiusU.
- 14 Department of Medicine
- 15 Federal Medical Center,
- 16 P.M.B 7001, Umuahia, Abia state, Nigeria.
- 17 Email: ignatiusez@yahoo.com
- 18 Tel: +2348060692131.
- 20 Abstract

- 21 **Background**: women with diabetes are at increased risk of sexual problems, however, this
- problem is under reported hence the need for this study.
- 23 Methods: This was a cross sectional case-controlled study. Seventy-five consenting females
- 24 with type 2 DM were enrolled from the Diabetes Clinic of the Federal Medical Center,
- 25 Umuahia, while Seventy-five persons which included hospital workers and female companions
- 26 of subjects were recruited as control. Sexual dysfunction in both groups was diagnosed and
- 27 characterized using the female sexual function index (FSFI). Data obtained from this study was
- presented as Mean±SD and analyzed using SPSS 17 software.
- 29 **Results:** The mean age of the T2DM group and control were 44.5 years and 38.9 years
- respectively. The mean total female sexual score (TFSS) was 22.10±6.66 in the T2DM subjects,

31 while in the control subjects, it was 22.43±5.29. This was not statistically significant. The FSF

scores in the desire, lubrication and orgasm domains were all lower in the diabetic women and

this was statistically significant (P< 0.05). The domains of pain and arousal were also lower in

the diabetic women although this was not statistically significant (P > 0.05). The proportion of

diabetic females who reported problems in the arousal, lubrication, orgasm and pain domains

were higher (40.0, 36.4, 32.7, 29.1) than the controls (27.9, 16.2, 14.7, 19.1) {p<0.05}.

- **Conclusion**: The prevalence of female sexual dysfunction was high from our study. Similarly, the
- 38 Female Sexual Function Index (FSFI) score was low in women with diabetes when compared with
- 39 controls. The domains of arousal, pain, orgasm and satisfaction were the most affected domains in
- 40 subjects with DM Age, marital status, BMI, FBS and hypertension were predictive of sexual dysfunction
- 41 in the diabetic women.
- **KEYWORDS:** Female Sexual function, Diabetes mellitus, Frequency, Predictors, South east Nigeria,
- 44 Dysfunction

1. Introduction:

Diabetes Mellitus occurs throughout the world. According to the International Diabetes Federation (IDF) eighth atlas, about 425 million people worldwide, or 8.8% of adults 20-79 years, are estimated to be living with diabetes mellitus in 2017. There is a relationship between diabetes and sexual dysfunction (SD): this has been noticed in both male and female. Assexual dysfunctions in women with diabetes mellitus are often under reported when compared with men with diabetes. To the best of our knowledge, there are few studies in our environment that have focused on female sexual dysfunction, even though more cases are seen in the outpatient clinics than the number reported if any. Some probable reasons for this observation includes: 1. Women are still viewed as sexual objects in some societies and as a result of this, they are expected to accept sex and sexuality as a prelude for conception. Secondly, some societies view women who raise the issue of their sexual dysfunctions as promiscuous, this inadvertently will make them to conceal these challenges for fear of societal ridicule. In the early nineteenth

century, before the discovery of insulin, sexuality was not a common topic of discourse neither was it an area that had benefited from extensive research. The initially conceived idea about sexual dysfunction in both sexes was, "If you do not ask about it, it does not exist." The connection between diabetes and sexual function only began to be highlighted about a century ago unfortunately; more attention was given to male dysfunction. Furthermore, most of the publications placed emphasis on the effect of diabetes on male sexual function, not until the famous reproductive endocrinologist: Robert Kolodny reported the relationship between diabetes and female sexual dysfunction.⁵ There are several causes of female SD and these includes: vascular, neurological, endocrine and psychogenic causes, all these factors have been identified in the aetiology of female sexual dysfunction.⁶ Unlike male SD, female SD is majorly influenced by psychogenic factors such as depression whose occurrence is more than double in women when compared to their male counterparts.⁶

The probability of a woman with diabetes developing sexual dysfunction is higher when compared with those without DM. Sexual problems in women with diabetes could present in various ways. Some of these problems include dyspareunia, inadequate vaginal lubrication reduced arousal and desire. Even though there are studies on this subject from other parts of the world, literature on this subject from Nigeria is scarce, hence the need for this study.

1.1 Aims: The aim of this study is to examine the prevalence of sexual dysfunction in women with type 2 diabetes mellitus, compare the prevalence of sexual dysfunction in women with diabetes to that of a control group and describe the predictors of sexual dysfunction in women with diabetes.

2. Methodology:

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

This was a cross sectional case-controlled study. Seventy-five consenting females with type 2 DM were enrolled from the Diabetes Clinic of the Federal Medical Center, Umuahia, Abia state. The inclusion criteria include subjects married for atleast 1 year and have had a stable marital relationship. Patients who were on drugs like beta blockers and centrally acting drugs like alpha methyldopa known to cause female SD were excluded. Seventy-five persons which included hospital workers and female companions of subjects were recruited as control (these subjects were screened for diabetes). The questionnaire was administered by both male and female medical personnel in the diabetic unit who informed the subjects about the research and its objectives and they were assured that confidentiality will be maintained during and after the study. Information given was used only for the purpose of this study. All the staff working for the study were trained and examined before the enrollment. Information obtained from study and control subjects included age, marital status, educational status, employment history, drug history, type and duration of DM, height, weight, body mass index, waist circumference, hip circumference, and blood pressure. The weight obtained was recorded in kilograms (kg) to the nearest 0.1kg and the height recorded in meters (m) to the nearest 0.01m. The body mass index was calculated as the weight in kg divided by the square of the height in metres. The waist circumference was measured using a non-stretch metric tape and taken at the mid-point between the rib cage and iliac crest while hip circumference was taken as the maximal circumference of the buttocks.8 Sexual dysfunction in both groups was diagnosed and characterized using the female sexual function index (FSFI)⁹ which is a specific, sensitive and standardized tool for diagnosing female SD. The index is a 19-item questionnaire providing scores on six domains of sexual function (desire, arousal, lubrication, orgasm, satisfaction, and pain) as well as a total score.^{9,10,11}In women, the minimum and maximum scores are respectively 2 and 36. Women with a score

under 26 were classified as having sexual dysfunction. This cut-off point was the same figure validated by other researchers. It is a well-accepted self-report instrument for assessing sexual function of women world-wide. The data obtained from this study was presented as Mean±SD and analyzed using SPSS 17 software.

3. Results

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

Between October 2016 and September 2017, 150 married women were studied (seventy-five diabetic women and seventy-five controls), but one hundred and twenty three returned there questionnaire. They were grouped into a diabetic group (n=55) and a non-diabetic group (n=68). Women with diabetes mellitus were those attending the Diabetes and Endocrinology clinics at the Federal Medical Center, Umuahia, Abia state and non-diabetic women were their female companions and health workers at the medical center. The mean age of the T2DM group and control were 44.5 years and 38.9 years respectively. This was statistically significant (p=0.04, Table 2). The proportion of persons who had some form of education was higher in the control subjects than in patients with T2DM and this was statistically significant (p=0.02).A greater majority of the control subjects were either self-employed or civil servants compared with the subjects with T2DM, although this was not statistically significant (p=0.24). A higher proportion of the control subjects were either overweight or obese when compared with subjects with T2DM, this was not statistically significant (p=0.33). The prevalence of SD in this study was 79.2% and the mean age was 47.3±7.9. The proportion of diabetic females who reported problems in the arousal, lubrication, orgasm and pain domains was 40.0, 36.4, 32.7 and 29.1 respectively. On the other hand the proportion in the control was 27.9, 16.2, 14.7 and 19.1 respectively. Age, marital status, BMI, FBS and hypertension are predictive of sexual dysfunction in the diabetic women (OR: 1.80, 1.15, 1.67, 1.00, 8.51).

4. Discussion

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

Sexual dysfunction (SD) is known to be common in male and females with DM, although it is grossly under reported in females with DM. The prevalence of female sexual dysfunction (FSD) in this study was 29.1%. This is much higher than the 6.6% reported by Unadike et al¹² though it is almost same as the prevalence reported by Enzlinet al¹³ in the population they studied. Although the study by Unadike et al was performed in a region with a the same financial and educational background as ours, the low prevalence he reported may be as a result of changing perceptions by women (as a result of increasing modernization) on issues bordering on sexual challenges considering the fact that his study was carried out almost a decade ago. Women are becoming increasingly more informed and confident in expressing their opinions: this could be responsible for obvious increase in prevalence. Other studies reported even higher prevalence compared to findings in this study. 14,15 The complications of diabetes seem to have a much bigger influence on sexual problems as noted in our study. The mean (SD) ages of subjects with T2DM were higher than that of the controls and this was statistically significant: increasing age was associated with the development of FSD. In studies from other countries, the age of the study population may have affected the FSD prevalence in such climes; a Nigerian study had much older subjects¹⁶ while a Belgium study enrolled the youngest participants. 13 In our study, both the prevalence and age were moderate, similar to what was reported in a US study. Age has a significant impact on the sexual function of a woman as increasing age may be associated with declining sexual interest. With aging, women tend to experience hormonal changes such as estrogen/androgen reduction, which frequently cause significant bodily and emotional unpleasant effects on sexual function.¹⁷

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

This could explain the reason behind the varying prevalence rates of FSD noted in different studies. The mean total female sexual function index (FSFI) score in T2DM subjects and their control were 22.1 and 22.4 respectively (p>0.05): this is in keeping with reports from other studies. 18,19,20 The FSF scores in the desire, lubrication and orgasm domains were all lower in the diabetic women and this was statistically significant (P< 0.05). The domains of pain and arousal were also lower in the diabetic women although this was not statistically significant (P > 0.05). In the diabetic women, majority of subjects reported problems in the domains of arousal, lubrication, orgasm, satisfaction and pain when compared to the control group. This finding is in keeping with results from a study by Olarinoye et al²¹ who in a study involving fifty one type 2 DM women, noted arousal, pain, orgasm and satisfaction as the most affected domains. In absolute percentage, the proportion of diabetic females who reported problems in the arousal, lubrication, orgasm and pain domains were higher than the controls. These differences were statistically significant in the two domains of orgasm and lubrication (p < 0.05). This value is higher than results of a Malaysian study. ²² This difference could be attributed to the difference in culture, ideologies and religion: system of secularism in South East Nigeria with large inhabitants of Christians as compared with a predominantly Muslim population in the Malaysian study. This will influence expression of sexual opinions and thoughts and inexorably, cause the women to suppress topics relating to their sexuality for fear of its negative perception from the society. Thus, these sexual problems may go unreported. Age, marital status, BMI, FBS and hypertension are predictive of sexual dysfunction in the diabetic women. Higher BMI class is predictive of sexual dysfunction in the diabetic women: this finding is similar to reports from a New York study.²³In a study done in China, similar trend

was reported although this was not seen in the non diabetic control group. Although study comparison between nations is problematic because varying definition and research methods were employed in these various studies. Another interesting finding from this study is the lower BMI and difference in HC and WC in patients with diabetes when compared to the control group. A possible explanation could be that in a patient with diabetes, a vital aspect of management is lifestyle intervention with one goal being weight reduction. Therefore, it may not be uncommon to see patients with T2DM having a lower BMI, difference in HC and WC. We feel that there is need for more studies to further investigate the mechanisms of obesity and sexual dysfunction in diabetic women.

The strength of our study lies in the use of the FSFI questionnaire, a validated instrument to assess female sexual function which has been extensively used in studies. Limitations that arose from this study include: This was a small study which should be considered exploratory, no multiple comparison adjustments were made in the analysis; therefore a larger and specifically designed study is needed to evaluate other clinical and metabolic abnormalities in patients with SD Secondly, we did not consider sex hormones, history of reproductive system diseases and other factors in this study.

5. Conclusion

The prevalence of female sexual dysfunction was high from our study. Similarly, the Female Sexual Function Index (FSFI) score was low in women with diabetes when compared with controls. The domains of arousal, pain, orgasm and satisfaction were the most affected domains in subjects with DM Age, marital status, BMI, FBS and hypertension were predictive of sexual dysfunction in the diabetic women. There may be need for more research to look at the influence of diabetes type on sexual function in order to explore various treatment strategies for this group of women.

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

Consent statement Written informed consent was obtained from the patient for publication of this research article. A copy of the written consent is available for review by the Editor-in-Chief of this journal. **Declarations** Ethical approval: The Ethics and Research committee of the Federal Medical Center, Umuahia gave the ethical approval. The patients interviewed in this study did it voluntarily, and wrote an informed consent. Source of funding: none **Authors contribution** EI conceived of the study, carried out the sequence alignment and drafted the manuscript. OU and TU participated in the sequence alignment, design of the study and helped to draft the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript. Acknowledgements We thank all the staff in the department of Internal Medicine Federal Medical Center, Umuahia. who contributed towards the article by making substantial contributions to conception and revision of manuscript for important intellectual content. Conflict of Interest: we declare that the submitted work was carried out in the absence of any personal, professional or financial relationships that could potentially be construed as a conflict of interest.

References:

- 1. International Diabetes Federation Diabetes Atlas. Executive summary eighth edition.
- 219 2017: 7-12.
- 220 2. Feldman HA, Goldstein I, HatzichristoDG, Krane RJ, McKinlay JB.Impotence and its
- medical and psychosocial correlates: results of the Massachusetts Male Aging Study. J
- 222 Urol. 1994; 151(1):54–61.
- 223 3. Penson DF, Latini DM, Lubeck DP, Wallace KL, Henning JM, Lue TF;
- Comprehensive Evaluation of Erectile Dysfunction (ExCEED) database. Do impotent
- men with diabetes have more severe erectile dysfunction and worse quality of life than
- the general population of impotent patients? Results from the Exploratory
- Comprehensive Evaluation of Erectile Dysfunction (ExCEED) database. Diabetes
- 228 Care.2003; 26(4):1093–1099.
- 4. LuCC, Jiann BP, Sun CC, Lam HC, Chu CH, Lee JK. Association of glycemic control
- with risk of erectile dysfunction in men with type 2diabetes. J Sex Med. 2009;6
- 231 (6):1719–1728.
- 5. Kolodny RC: Sexual dysfunction in diabetic females. *Diabetes* 20:557–559, 1971.
- 233 6. Griffith LS, Lustman PJ. Depression in women with diabetes, Diabetes Spectrum
- 234 1997; 10: 216-23.
- Garrow JS, Webster J. Quetelet's Index: (Wt/Ht²) as a measure of fatness. Int J Obes
- 236 1985; 9: 147-153.
- 8. Bray GA. Obesity: Basic Consideration and clinical approaches. Dis Mon 1989; 35:
- 238 449-537.
- 239 9. Rosen R, Brown C, Heiman J, Leiblum S, Meston C, Shabsigh R, Ferguson D,
- D'Agostino RJ. The Female Sexual Function Index (FSFI): A multidimensional self-
- report instrument for the assessment of female sexual function. J Sex Marital Ther
- 242 2000; 26:191–208.
- 243 10. Meston CM. Validation of the Female Sexual Function Index (FSFI) in women with
- female orgasmic disorder and in women with hypoactive sexual desire disorder. J Sex
- 245 Marital Ther 2003; 29:39–46.
- 246 11. Wiegel M, Meston C, Rosen R. The female sexual functionindex (FSFI): Cross-
- validation and development of clinical cutoff scores. J Sex Marital Ther 2005;31:1–20.

- 248 12. Unadike BC, Eregie A, Ohwovoriole AE.Prevalence and types of sexual dysfunction
- amongst femalewith diabetes mellitus.Pak J Med Sci. 2009;2(2):257-260.
- 250 13. Paul Enzlin, Chantal Mathieu, Koen Demytteanere. Diabetes and Female Sexual
- Functioning: A State-of-the-Art.Diabetes Spectrum 2003.16:4.
- 252 14. Zahra Kashi, ForouzanElyasi, Zahra Kashi, BentolhodaTasfieh, Adele Bahar,
- Mohammad Khademloo. Sexual Dysfunction in Women with Type 2 Diabetes
- 254 Mellitus. Iran J Med Sci. 2015; 40; 3.
- 255 15. Shi YF, Shao XY, Lou QQ, Chen YJ, Zhou HJ, Zou JY. Study on female sexual
- dysfunction in type 2 diabetic Chinese women.

abstract	20	20				
			1		false	
1				α .	2012	25/5

abstract

abstract

- Biomed Environ Sci. 2012; 25(5):557-61.
- doi:10.3967/0895-3988.2012.05.009.
- 261 16. Ogbera AO, Chinenye S, Akinlade A, Eregie A, Awobusuyi J. Frequency and
- correlates of sexual dysfunction in women with diabetes mellitus. J Sex Med 2009; 6:
- 263 3401–3406.

- 264 17. Bachmann GA, Avci D. Evaluation and management of female sexual dysfunction.
- 265 Endocrinologist 2004;14:337–45.
- Fatemi SS, Tachavi SM. Evaluation of sexual function in women with type 2 diabetes
- mellitus. Diabetes Vasc Dis Res 2009; 6: 38–39.
- 268 19. K Esposito, MI Maiorino, G Bellastella, F Giugliano, M Romano, D Giugliano.
- Determinants of female sexual dysfunction in type 2 diabetes. International Journal of
- 270 Impotence Research. 2010. 22, 179–184.
- 271 20. Erol B, Tefekli A, Ozbey I, Salman F, Dincag N, Kadioglu A et al. Sexual dysfunction
- in type II diabetic women: a comparative study. J Sex Marital Ther 2002; 28 (1): 55–
- 273 62.
- 274 21. Olarinoye J, Olarinoye A. Determinants of sexual functionamong women with type 2
- diabetes in a Nigerian population. J Sex Med 2008; 5: 878–886.
- 276 22. IshakIH, Low WY, and Othman S. Prevalence, risk factors and predictors of female
- sexual dysfunction in aprimary care setting: A survey finding. J Sex Med 2010;7:
- 278 3080–3087.

279	23.	Veronelli A, MauriC, Zecchini B, et al. Sexual Dysfunction Is Frequent in			
280		Premenopausal Women with Diabetes, Obesity, and Hypothyroidism, and Correlate			
281		with Markers of Increased Cardiovascular Risk. A Preliminary Report. J Sex Med			
282		2009; 6(6), 1561-8.			
283					
203					
284		Appendix I			
285					
286 287 288		Female Sexual Function Index (FSFI)□			
289	Subjec	ctIdentifier 291 Date			
292 293					
293 294	INST	RUCTIONS: These questions ask about your sexual feelings and responses during			
295	the past 4weeks. Please answer the following questions as honestly and clearly as				
296	possible. Your responses will be kept completely confidential. In answering these				
297	questic	ons the following definitions apply:			
298					
299	Sexua	<u>l activity</u> can include caressing, foreplay, masturbation and vaginal intercourse.			
300	G.				
301	<u>Sexua</u>	<u>ll intercourse</u> is defined as penile penetration (entry) of the vagina.			
302 303	Sexual stimulation includes situations like foreplay with a partner, self-stimulation				
304	(masturbation), or sexual fantasy.				
305	(IIIdste	aroundly, or somair randasy.			
306	CHEC	CK <u>ONLY</u> ONE BOX PER QUESTION.			
307 308					
309	Sexua	<u>I desire</u> or <u>interest</u> is a feeling that includes wanting to have a sexual			
310	experience, feeling receptive to a partner's sexual initiation, and thinking or				
311 312	fantasi	izing about having sex.			
312 313					
314	1. Ove	er the past 4weeks, how often did you feel sexual desire or interest?			
315					
316		Almost always or always			
317		Most times (more than half the time)			
318		Sometimes (about half the time)			
319		A few times(less than half the time) Almost never or never			
320 321		Almost never of never			
322	2 Ove	er the past 4weeks, how would you rate your level (degree) of sexual desire or			
323		terest?			
324					
325		☐ Very high			
		12			
		<u></u>			

326	High
327	Moderate
328	Low
329	Very low
330	or none

331		al is a feeling that includes both physical and mental aspects of sexual
332		may include feelings of warmth or tingling in the genitals, lubrication
333	(wetness),or i	nuscle contractions.
334 335		
336	3. Over the pa	ast 4weeks, how often did you feel sexually aroused ("turned on")
337	-	xual activity or intercourse?
338	During 50	nual uservity of intercourse.
339		No sexual activity
340	\Box	Almost always or always
341		Most times (more than half the time)
342	一	Sometimes (about half the time)
343	Ħ	A few times (less than half the time)
344	Ħ	Almost never or never
345		
346	4. Over the pa	ast 4weeks, how would you rate your level of sexual arousal ("turn on")
347	-	xual activity or intercourse?
348	<i>8</i>	
349		No sexual activity
350	Ħ	Very high
351	Ħ	High
352	Ħ	Moderate
353	Ħ	Low
354	H	Very low or none at all
355		Very low of hone at all
356	5. Over the pa	ast 4weeks, how confident were you about becoming sexually aroused
357	-	kual activity or intercourse?
358		
359		No sexual activity Very
360	Ħ	High confidence
361	Ħ	Moderate Confidence
362	Ħ	Low Confidence
363	H	Very low or no confidence
364	H	very low of no confidence
365	6 Over the n	ast 4weeks, how often have you been satisfied with your arousal
366	-	nt) during sexual activity or intercourse?
367	(CACITOTIC	int) during sexual derivity of intercourse:
368		No sexual activity
369	H	Almost always or always
	H	Most times (more than half the time)
370	H	Sometimes (about half the time)
371	H	
372	H	A few times (less than half the time) Almost never or never
373	Ш	Almost hevel of hevel

374 375	-	ast 4weeks, how often did you become lubricated ("wet") during sexual r intercourse?
376	uctivity o	i intereourse:
377		No sexual activity
378	一	Almost always or always
379		Most times (more than half the time)
380		Sometimes (about half the time)
381		A few times (less than half the time)
382	一	Almost never or never
383	<u>—</u>	
384	8. Over the p	ast4weeks, how difficult was it to become lubricated ("wet") during sexual
385	activity o	r intercourse?
386		
387		No sexual activity
388		Extremely difficult or impossible
389		Very difficult
390		Difficult
391		Slightly difficult
392		Not difficult
393		
394	-	ast 4weeks, how often did you maintain your lubrication ("wetness")
395	until com	pletion of sexual activity or intercourse?
396		
397		No sexual activity
398		Almost always or always
399		Most times (more than half the time)
400	닏	Sometimes (about half the time)
401		A few times (less than half the time)
402		Almost never or never
403	10.0 41	4 1 1 1.00° 14 14 14 1 11 11 11
404 405	-	past 4weeks, how difficult was it to maintain your lubrication
405 406	("wetness	") until completion of sexual activity or intercourse?
406 407		No governal activity
407 408	H	No sexual activity Extremely difficult or impossible
408 409	H	Very difficult
410 410	H	Difficult
411 411	H	Slightly difficult
412	H	Not difficult
T14		not difficult

413	11. Over th	ne past 4weeks, when you had sexual stimulation or intercourse, how
414	Often did	l you reach orgasm (climax)?
415		
416		No sexual activity
417		Almost always or always
418		Most times (more than half the time)
419		Sometimes (about half the time)
420	Ħ	A few times (less than half the time)
421	Ħ	Almost never or never
422	_	
423	12. Over 1	the past 4weeks, when you had sexual stimulation or intercourse, how
424		was it for you to reach orgasm (climax)?
425		
426		No sexual activity
427	Ħ	Extremely difficult or impossible
428	Ħ	Very difficult
429	Ħ	Difficult
430	Ħ	Slightly difficult
431	一	Not difficult
432	_	- 100 #
433	13.Over the p	past 4weeks, how satisfied were you with your ability to reach orgasm
434	-	during sexual activity or intercourse?
435	,	
436		No sexual activity
437		Very satisfied
438		Moderately satisfied
439		About equally satisfied and dissatisfied
440		Moderately dissatisfied
441		Very dissatisfied
442	_	
443	14.Over the p	past 4weeks, how satisfied have you been with the amount of emotional
444	closeness	during sexual activity between you and your partner?
445		
446		No sexual activity
447		Very satisfied
448		Moderately satisfied
449		About equally satisfied and dissatisfied
450	Ī	Moderately dissatisfied
451	Ħ	Very dissatisfied

-	past 4weeks, how satisfied have you been with your sexual ip with your partner?
	Very satisfied Moderately satisfied About equally satisfied and dissatisfied Moderately dissatisfied Very dissatisfied
16. Over the p	past 4weeks, how satisfied have you been with your overall sexual life?
	Very satisfied Moderately satisfied About equally satisfied and dissatisfied Moderately dissatisfied Very dissatisfied
17. Over the penetration	past 4weeks, how often did you experience discomfort or pain <u>during</u> vaginal n?
	Did not attempt intercourse Almost always or always Most times (more than half the time) Sometimes (about half the time) A few times (less than half the time) Almost never or never
18. Over the p	past 4weeks, how often did you experience discomfort or pain <u>following</u> vaginal n?
	Did not attempt intercourse Almost always or always Most times (more than half the time) Sometimes (about half the time) A few times (less than half the time) Almost never or never
	ast 4weeks, how would you rate your level (degree) of discomfort or pain following vaginal penetration?
	Did not attempt intercourse Very high High Moderate Low Very low or none at all

Thank you for completing this questionnaire Copyright □ 2000 AllRights Reserved

Appendix II

CONSENTFORM

Serial number	
Evaluation of female sexual function in type 2 diabetes mellitus patients in Umuahia with emphasis on its frequency and predictors	
I,ofhereby	
consent to participate in the study on Evaluation of female sexual function in type 2 diabetes mellitus patients in Umuahia with emphasis on its frequency and predictors	
Drhas explained the nature of the study wi its benefits and risks to me. I understand that the study is to be carried out solely for the purpose of Medical Research and I am willing to act as a volunteer for that purpose.	
Date Signature Witness to signature	
I confirm that I have explained to you the purpose and nature of the study and the risinvolved, including the fact that any refusal to participate will not in any way affect you normal care by me or any other member of this institution. All information obtained in the study is strictly confidential.	ur
Date	
Signature	