

Evaluation of the cytotoxic activity of extracts from medicinal plants used for the treatment of malaria in Kagera and Lindi regions, Tanzania

Ramadhani S. O. Nondo^{1*}, Mainen J. Moshi¹, Paul Erasto², Denis Zofou^{3,4}, Abdel J. Njouendou⁴, Samuel Wanji⁴, Moses N. Ngemenya³, Abdul W Kidukuli¹, Pax J. Masimba¹, Vincent P.K. Titanji³

¹Department of Biological and Pre-Clinical Studies, Institute of Traditional Medicine, Muhimbili University of Health and Allied Sciences, Dar es Salaam, Tanzania. ²National Institute for Medical Research, Dar es Salaam, Tanzania. ³Biotechnology Unit, University of Buea, Buea, South West Region, Cameroon. ⁴Research Foundation in Tropical Diseases and Environment, Buea, South West Region-Cameroon.

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received on: 06/02/2015
Revised on: 18/02/2015
Accepted on: 09/03/2015
Available online: 27/04/2015

Key words:

Toxicity, medicinal plants, malaria, LLC-MK2 cells, brine shrimps assay, Tanzania

ABSTRACT

A number of medicinal plants used for treatment of malaria in Tanzania have been documented, but information on their safety and efficacy is still based on traditional knowledge accumulated over years and not on pre-clinical and clinical evaluation. The present study aimed to assess the cytotoxic activity of extracts of selected plant species used for treatment of malaria in Tanzania. Ethanol extracts were evaluated for cytotoxicity by using MTT assay on LLC-MK2 cells and by brine shrimp lethality assay. Forty five (93.75%) out of 48 crude extracts assessed using LLC-MK2 cells were non-cytotoxic while three extracts (6.25%) were cytotoxic with $CC_{50} < 30$ $\mu\text{g/mL}$ (cut-off point). In the brine shrimp assay 30 (65.2%) out of 46 extracts tested were non-toxic while 16 extracts (34.8%) were toxic ($LC_{50} < 100$ $\mu\text{g/mL}$). *Antiaris toxicaria* stem bark extract was the most cytotoxic to mammalian cells. This study demonstrates that, most of the antimalarial plants tested were non-toxic. These observations corroborate with traditional healers' claims that the herbal medicines used in their areas are safe. However, further studies using different toxicity models are suggested to further confirm their claims.

INTRODUCTION

The use of plants as source of medicines for treatment of infectious and non-infectious diseases is an old human tradition (Petrovska, 2012), and the practice is now increasing due to increased global health challenges (WHO, 2002). Malaria is one of diseases treated by herbal medicines originating from different plant parts such as roots, stem bark, leaves, flowers and fruits. It is an old life threatening parasitic disease caused by parasites of the genus *Plasmodium*. The parasites infect and destroy red blood cells, leading to fever, severe anaemia, cerebral malaria and death may occur if the patient is not treated properly and on time (Fidock *et al.*, 2004; NIAID, 2007).

* Corresponding Author
Ramadhani SO Nondo, Department of Biological and Pre-Clinical Studies, Institute of Traditional Medicine, Muhimbili University of Health and Allied Sciences, Dar es Salaam, Tanzania.
Email: nondo75@yahoo.com

Exploration of the accumulated indigenous knowledge on the treatment of malaria using medicinal plants enabled the isolation of two important and currently used antimalarial drugs; artemisinin from *Artemisia annua* and quinine from the bark of *Cinchona spp* (Wells, 2011). Despite plants being a rich source of useful chemical compounds of various structures and with different pharmacological properties on biological systems (Butler, 2004; Moshi *et al.*, 2009), some of them may be toxic to humans. For example, some of the toxicities associated with the use of medicinal plants include allergic reactions, irritation of the gastrointestinal tract, destruction of red blood cells, and damage of body organs such as the heart and kidney and carcinogenicity (Westendorf, 1999; IARC, 2012). Several medicinal plants have previously been reported to be toxic. Some of the examples include *Symphytum officinale L.* used for wound healing which contains hepatotoxic pyrrolizidine alkaloids and *Valerian officinalis* used as a sedative for treatment of insomnia and anxiety which causes hepatitis

(Abdualmjid and Segi, 2013). *Aristolochia spp* contain aristolochic acid I and II that cause renal failure (Debelle *et al.*, 2008); *Drimys sanguinea* and *Bowiea volubilis* which are traditionally used for headache, oedema, infertility and bladder problems contain cardiotoxic bufadienolides (Van der Bijl Jr. and Van der Bijl Sen., 2012). Although the use of herbal medicines is controlled in many countries, information about their efficacy and safety is based on traditional knowledge transmitted through generations over years and not on pre-clinical and clinical evaluation (Chalut *et al.*, 1999). Tanzania shares the same experience of having a number of traditional healers who use traditional medicines for treatment of different diseases and is endowed with over 12,000 plant species, of which at least 10% have medicinal values (Mahunnah *et al.*, 2012). Furthermore, Tanzania is among the six African countries with many reported cases of malaria (WHO, 2012) and because of the long history of the disease, the practice of using medicinal plants to treat malaria is very common (Mahunnah, 1987; Gessler *et al.*, 1995 Kinung'hi *et al.*, 2010). Although several antimalarial medicinal plants have been documented in Tanzania, their safety has not been well studied. Therefore in this study the toxicity of crude extracts of medicinal plants used for the treatment of malaria in Kagera and Lindi regions, Tanzania, were assessed using the LLC- MK2 monkey kidney epithelial cell line and the brine shrimp larvae (*Artemia salina* L.).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials

Monkey kidney epithelial cells, LLC-MK2 (ATCC[®], USA) were obtained from American Type Culture Collections (USA) and ethanol (Carlo erba[®]) was purchased from Techno Net Scientific (Dar es Salaam, Tanzania). Foetal Bovine Serum (FBS, BioWhittaker[®], Verviers, Belgium), RPMI-1640 medium (Sigma), MTT 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyl tetrazolium bromide (Sigma), sodium bicarbonate (sigma), dimethylsulfoxide (Sigma), cell culture flasks (Corning, NY, USA), 96 well cell culture plates (Costar[®], Corning, NY, USA), Centrifuge tubes (Corning, NY, USA), Syringe adapted filters 0.22 μ m (Corning, NY, USA), Trypsin-EDTA (Sigma) were all purchased from Sigma (Steinheim, Germany). Plant materials were collected from Kagera (November, 2012) and Lindi (July, 2012) regions, Tanzania. Identification of the plants was done by Mr. Haji. O. Selemani, a Botanist at the Department of Botany, University of Dar es Salaam, and the voucher specimens are deposited at the University of Dar es Salaam and at Muhimbili University of Health and Allied Sciences Herbaria, Tanzania.

Extraction of crude extracts

The powdered plant materials were macerated in 80% ethanol at room temperature for 24h and then filtered through cotton wool. The solid plant materials were macerated again in the same solvent for another 24h and the extracts obtained from the first and the second extractions were pooled and concentrated under *vacuo* using a Heldolph[®] rotary evaporator (Heldolph

instruments GmbH, Schwabach, Germany) to obtain viscous extracts which were further dried using a freeze drier (Edwards High Vacuum International, Crawley Sussex, England). The dry extracts were stored at -20°C until use.

Preparation of stock solutions

Stock solutions were prepared by dissolving 4 mg of crude extracts in 100 μ L dimethyl sulfoxide and then diluted with RPMI-1640 cell culture medium to make 400 μ g/mL. All solutions were sterilized by passing through 0.22 μ m syringe-adapted filters and stored at -20°C until use.

Determination of cytotoxic activity on LLC-MK2 cells

Cytotoxicity of the crude extracts was evaluated on LLC-MK2 monkey kidney epithelial cells. Cells were grown in RPMI-1640 culture medium with L-glutamine and 25 mM HEPES (Steinheim, Germany). The medium was supplemented with 2 mg/mL NaHCO₃ (sigma), 10 μ g/mL hypoxanthine (Sigma), 11.1 mM glucose (sigma), 10% FBS (BioWhittaker[®], Verviers, Belgium) and 5 μ g/mL gentamicin. The cells were incubated at 5% O₂, 5% CO₂, and 90% N₂ in humidified incubator (SHEL LAB[™], Sheldon Mfg Inc, OR, USA) at 37°C until confluent before used for cytotoxicity assay. Trypsinated cells were distributed in 96 well plates at 10,000 cells in 100 μ L per well and incubated for 48 h to allow them to attach before adding the extract. After 48 h the medium was removed completely from each well, and 100 μ L of fresh culture medium was then added. Thereafter 100 μ L of crude extracts (400 μ g/mL) were added in row H and then serially diluted to row B to give concentrations ranging from 200 – 3.125 μ g/mL. Cells in row A served as controls without drug (100% growth). The cells with or without extracts were incubated at 37°C for 72 h before determining their viability. Each concentration level was tested in triplicate.

MTT Assay

Cell viability was determined using MTT assay (Niles *et al.*, 2008; 2009). After 72 h of incubation, the culture medium in each well with or without extract was removed completely from the assay plates and replaced by 100 μ L of fresh culture medium. Then 10 μ L of 5 mg/mL Thiazolyl Blue Tetrazolium Bromide, MTT (Sigma) were added into each well to achieve a final concentration of 0.45mg/mL before incubated for 3 h at 37°C. After 3 h, the culture medium with MTT was carefully removed followed by addition of 100 μ L dimethylsulfoxide to dissolve formazan crystals and then incubated for 1 h before recording the optical density (Emax-Molecular Devices Corporation, California, USA) at 595 nm.

Data analysis

The percentage viability and percentage mortality were calculated from the OD values using Microsoft Excel 2010. The mean results of the percentage mortality were plotted against the logarithms of concentrations using the Fig P computer program Ver 4.189/07 (Biosoft Inc, USA). Regression equations obtained

from the graphs were used to calculate the fifty percent cytotoxic concentration (CC₅₀), which is the concentration killing fifty percent of the cells. An extract with CC₅₀>30 µg/mL is considered non-toxic (Fadeyi *et al.*, 2013).

Brine shrimp toxicity assay

The brine shrimp lethality assay is a non-specific toxicity assay that is used in natural products research to detect the presence of pharmacologically active chemical constituents. It uses *Artemia salina* L. (Artemiidae) larvae (Meyer *et al.*, 1982). Solutions of plant extracts were made in dimethylsulfoxide. The brine shrimp toxicity assay was conducted and data analyzed as previously reported (Nondo *et al.*, 2011). An LC₅₀ (concentration killing fifty percent of the brine shrimp larvae) value greater than 100 µg/mL is considered to represent a non-toxic compound or extract (Moshi *et al.*, 2010). Each extract was tested in duplicate and the concentrations of dimethylsulfoxide were restricted to a maximum of 0.6% in the final volume.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Forty eight extracts from 38 medicinal plants distributed into 19 different plant families were evaluated for cytotoxic activity on mammalian cells (LLC-MK2 cells) as presented in Table 1. The results revealed that 45 (93.75%) out of 48 extracts tested were non-cytotoxic and exhibited CC₅₀ values above the cut-off point which is 30 µg/mL. Of these, 33 extracts (73.3%) from 24 plant species were found to have CC₅₀ values above 200 µg/mL, the highest concentration tested. Only three (6.25%) out of 48 extracts were found to be cytotoxic with CC₅₀< 30 µg/mL (cut-off point). The extracts were from *Aspilia natalensis* aerial parts (18.57 ± 1.04 µg/mL), *Antiaris toxicaria* leaves (12.51 ± 0.65 µg/mL) and *Antiaris toxicaria* stem bark (1.44 ± 0.48 µg/mL) (Table 1).

The brine shrimp toxicity assay showed that thirty extracts (65.2%) out of the 46 extracts tested had LC₅₀ values greater than 100 µg/mL; the cut-off point. Among these, 8 extracts had LC₅₀ values greater than 1000 µg/mL, while the remaining had LC₅₀ values between 100 and 800 µg/mL. Only Sixteen extracts (34.8%) showed LC₅₀ <100 µg/mL, and therefore classified as toxic. *Maesa lanceolata* leaf extract was the most toxic with LC₅₀ = 1.55 µg/mL, followed by the extracts from *Dalbergia malangensis* leaves (16.47 µg/mL), *Aspilia natalensis* aerial parts (34.93 µg/mL), *Desmodium salicifolium* stem (36.87 µg/mL), and *Dalbergia malangensis* stem extract (47.59 µg/mL) (Table 2). The high toxicity of *M. lanceolata* leaf extract on brine shrimp larvae may be due to the effect of saponins. Previous studies revealed that the leaves of *M. lanceolata* are rich in triterpenoidal saponins and these compounds were reported to have high molluscicidal and hemolytic activities (Sindambiwe *et al.*, 1998; Apers *et al.*, 2001). According to the American National Cancer Institute (NCI), a crude plant extract is considered to be cytotoxic if its CC₅₀ value on mammalian cells is <30 µg/mL (Fadeyi *et al.*, 2013). On the other hand the cut-off point to consider a crude plant extract non-

toxic in the brine shrimp toxicity assay is LC₅₀>100 µg/mL (Moshi *et al.*, 2010). Based on the results obtained in the two bioassays, three extracts were found to be toxic against LLC-MK2 cells and 16 extracts were found to be toxic on brine shrimp larvae. Of these only one extract from *A. natalensis* aerial parts was found to be toxic in both assays, which may be an indicator of consensus for cytotoxicity.

The extract from *A. toxicaria* stem bark was ranked the most toxic on the mammalian cells (LLC-MK2 cells) but it was ranked as exceptionally non-toxic using the brine shrimp toxicity assay (with LC₅₀ >1,000 µg/mL). On the other hand *M. lanceolata* leaf extract was ranked as the most toxic on brine shrimp assay with LC₅₀ = 1.55 µg/mL but ranked as non-toxic on LLC-MK2 cells test (Table 1 and 2). These observations suggest that the two models used in this study complement each other for the detection of toxic compounds that may be attributed to different mechanisms of toxicity; although the brine shrimp bioassay was found to be more sensitive in detecting toxic extracts than LLC-MK2 cells. The difference may be explained partly by the non-specificity of the brine shrimp assay in detecting toxic compounds (Meyer *et al.*, 1982) and the differences in the criteria set to define a toxic substance, although in some studies brine shrimp assay has been reported to demonstrate some correlation with cell line results for detecting cytotoxic compounds/extracts (Meyer *et al.*, 1982; Carballo *et al.*, 2002).

The cytotoxicity of *A. natalensis* aerial parts extract was predicted by both assays; but it exhibited higher toxicity to mammalian cells than to brine shrimp larvae. The cytotoxic activity of *A. natalensis* (CC₅₀ = 18.57 ± 1.04 µg/mL) on LLC-MK2 cells was comparable to that of the standard cytotoxic drug used in this study (Imatinib, Gleevec) which had CC₅₀ of 18.61 µg/mL. A previous study revealed that an infusion and paste prepared from leaves of *A. natalensis* are used topically in South Africa to treat skin diseases (Mabona *et al.*, 2013), but information regarding its toxicity was limited. Information from the traditional healers who reported these plants indicated that the decoctions of *A. natalensis* leaves and *A. toxicaria* leaves and stem bark are used orally for malaria associated with high fever (“*Malaria kali*”). They, however, emphasized that the decoction of *A. natalensis* should be consumed in small quantity because if taken in large quantities it causes stomach pain. These results may support the safety concern raised by traditional healers regarding oral administration of extracts from this plant.

Antiaris toxicaria is a known poisonous plant used in arrow poisoning associated with the presence of a number of cardiac glycosides which are inhibitors of Na⁺/K⁺ -ATPase pump (Kopp *et al.*, 1992; Shi *et al.*, 2010). In addition, the cardiac glycosides and coumarins isolated from *A. toxicaria* were reported to have cytotoxic activity on various cancer cell lines (Dai *et al.*, 2009; Liu *et al.*, 2013; Shi *et al.*, 2014). In this study we found that ethanolic extracts of the leaves and stem bark of *A. toxicaria* were very toxic to non-cancer cells (LLC-MK2). However, these results do not support the questionnaire-based toxicity information collected from traditional healers.

Table 1: Cytotoxic activity of crude extracts on LLC-MK2 cells ($CC_{50} \pm SD$ in $\mu\text{g/mL}$).

Plant family	Plant species	Vernacular name	Plant part	$CC_{50} \pm SD$ ($\mu\text{g/mL}$) 80% EtOH crude extract
Acanthaceae	<i>Acanthus pubescens</i> (Oliv.) Vatke	Amatoju	R	>200
Apocynaceae	<i>Funtumia africana</i> (Benth) Staff	Mwezamaino/omwelamaino	SB	>200
	<i>Funtumia africana</i> (Benth) Staff	Mwezamaino/omwelamaino	L	>200
	<i>Holarrhena pubescens</i> (Huch-Ham)	Nalupande	R	>200
	<i>Holarrhena pubescens</i> (Huch-Ham)	Nalupande	R	>200 ^b
Burseraceae	<i>Canarium schweinfurthii</i> Engl.	Omubafu wa kike/muubani wa kike	SB	>200
Celastraceae	<i>Salacia lovetii</i> N. Halle & B. Mathew	Omzindabikaka	L	>200
Compositae	<i>Aspilia mosambecensis</i> (Oliv.) Wild	Eshurwa rusharila/Esisa	AP	>200
	<i>Aspilia natalensis</i> (Sond) Wild	Kanyamoisa	AP	18.57 \pm 1.04
	<i>Guizotia scabra</i> (Vis.) Chiov	Echihongosheija	WP	>200
	<i>Vernonia glabra</i> (Steetz) Vatke	Msangusangu	L	100.75 \pm 16.69
Convolvulaceae	<i>Ipomoea rubens</i> Choisy	Kataba	L	>200
Euphorbiaceae	<i>Bridelia micrantha</i> (Hochst.) Bail	Omushamako	SB	156.80 \pm 0.44
	<i>Phyllanthus nummularifolius</i> Poir	Karungi	WP	>200
	<i>Phyllanthus nummularifolius</i> Poir	Karungi	WP	>200 ^a
	<i>Cassia singueana</i>	Mlewelewe	R	>200 ^b
Fabaceae	<i>Cassia singueana</i>	Mlewelewe	R	>200
	<i>Dalbergia malangensis</i> E.P. Sousa	Omugorora	L	107.29 \pm 11.04
	<i>Dalbergia malangensis</i> E.P. Sousa	Omugorora	S	>200
	<i>Desmodium salicifolium</i> (Poir) DC	Batengeliange/Omukongoranwa	AP	>200
	<i>Erythrina saculeuxii</i> Hua	Mlindimila/mnungunungu	SB	>200
	<i>Erythrina schliebenii</i> Harms	Mlindimila	SB	>200
	<i>Erythrina schliebenii</i> Harms	Mlindimila	SB	>200 ^a
	<i>Erythrina schliebenii</i> Harms	Mlindimila	R	>200
<i>Macrotyloma axillare</i> (E. Mey) Verdc	Akaihabukuru	AP	>200	
Labiatae	<i>Leonotis nepaetifolia</i> (L.) R. Br	Ekitatelante	FL	130.04 \pm 0.23
	<i>Leonotis nepaetifolia</i> (L.) R. Br	Ekitatelante	L	137.80 \pm 2.29
	<i>Leonotis nepaetifolia</i> (L.) R. Br	Ekitatelante	AP	124.13 \pm 11.86
Loganiaceae	<i>Anthocleista grandiflora</i> Gilg	Omubagaigana/mbagai gana	SB	>200
Melastomataceae	<i>Dissotis brazzae</i> Cogn	Bulitilo	AP	134.47 \pm 2.7
	<i>Dissotis melleri</i> Hook. f.	Ekituntun/Etuntun	AP	83.33 \pm 3.31
	<i>Dissotis rotundifolia</i> (Sm) Triana	Obwehehe/Obwee	AP	125.90 \pm 1.86
	<i>Melastomatrum capitatum</i> (Vahl) A. & R. Fern	Katuntun/akatuntun	AP	>200
Meliantaceae	<i>Bersama abyssinica</i>	Omujaalya	SB	>200
Moraceae	<i>Antiaris toxicaria</i> (Pers) Lesch	Omujuju	SB	1.44 \pm 0.48
	<i>Antiaris toxicaria</i> (Pers) Lesch	Omujuju	L	12.51 \pm 0.65
Myristicaceae	<i>Pycnanthus angolensis</i> (Welw.) Warb	Omunonoba	F	136.32 \pm 3.09
	<i>Pycnanthus angolensis</i> (Welw.) Warb	Omunonoba	SB	>200
	<i>Pycnanthus angolensis</i> (Welw.) Warb	Omunonoba	L	>200
Myrsinaceae	<i>Maesa lanceolata</i> Forsk	Omuzilanyama/omuhanga	L	141.86 \pm 2.02
Myrtaceae	<i>Syzygium cordatum</i> Krause	Omugege	SB	>200
Rosaceae	<i>Eriobotrya japonica</i> (Thunb.) Lindl	Musharazi/Omusharazi	L	>200
Rubiaceae	<i>Pentas bussei</i> (K. Krause)	Rusharila kibira	AP	>200
	<i>Hallea rubrostipulata</i> (K. Schum) J.F.Leny	Mchunguchungu	R	141.69 \pm 0.61
	<i>Hallea rubrostipulata</i> (K. Schum) J.F.Leny	Mchunguchungu	SB	>200
	<i>Oxyanthus speciosus</i> DC	Omwankibira	L	>200
	<i>Rhytignia obscura</i> Robyns	Omulokola/lulokola	L	>200
Rutaceae	<i>Teclea amaniensis</i>	-	R	>200
Standard drug: Gleevec (Imatinib)				18.61 \pm 1.30

a= aqueous extract, b= methanol extract. All other extracts are extracted by 80% ethanol. CC_{50} = cytotoxic concentration fifty percent (mean \pm SD, n=3). R = root, S = stem, SB = stem bark, L = leaves, AP = aerial parts (stem + leaves), F = fruits, FL = flowers, WP = whole plant

During our ethnobotanical survey, traditional healers reported that decoctions of leaves and stem bark were non-toxic when taken orally for treatment of malaria. This information from the reporting traditional healers is supported by animal studies. Kang *et al.*, (2008) reported that aqueous and ethanolic leaf extracts of *A. toxicaria* were not toxic to mice even at high doses when given orally. But toxicity was observed when these extracts were administered by intra-peritoneal route. This may suggest that the bioavailability of the cardiac glycosides present in leaves and stem bark is low when given orally compared to when given through other routes. Apart from the toxicity evaluation reported in

this study, the plant extracts reported in this study were previously evaluated for *in vitro* antimalarial activity against *P. falciparum* Dd2 strains. At a single concentration of 100 $\mu\text{g/mL}$, ethanolic extracts from *A. toxicaria* stem bark, *M. lanceolata* leaves, *A. natalensis* and *D. salicifolium* aerial parts inhibited the growth of malaria parasites *in vitro* (Nondo *et al.*, 2015). Since the LLC-MK2 cells are normal mammalian cells, toxicity against these cells most likely predicts lack of selectivity and thus it will be toxic to mammalian cells, and therefore the traditional healers and patients should be informed on the risk of toxicity that might arise following use of extracts from these plants.

Table 2: Brine shrimp toxicity results.

Plant species	Part	LC ₅₀ (µg/mL)	95% CI (µg/mL)
<i>Acanthus pubescens</i> (Oliv.) Vatke	R	140.94	113.20 – 175.47
<i>Athocleista grandiflora</i> Gilg	SB	> 1,000	
<i>Antiaris toxicaria</i> (Pers) Lesch	L	154.24	112.18 – 212.08
<i>Antiaris toxicaria</i> (Pers) Lesch	SB	>1,000	
<i>Aspilia mosambicensis</i> (Oliv.) Wild	AP	122.17	93.98 – 158.82
<i>Aspilia natalensis</i> (Sond)	AP	34.93	25.68 – 47.50
<i>Bersama abyssinica</i>	SB	729.14	433.75 – 1,225.68
<i>Bersama abyssinica</i>	R	184.35	126.88 – 267.86
<i>Bridelia micrantha</i> (Hochst.) Bail	SB	>1,000	
<i>Canarium schweinfurthii</i> Engl.	SB	273.51	203.66 – 367.32
<i>Cassia singueana</i>	R	> 1,000	
<i>Cassia singueana</i>	R ^b	332.36	241.19 – 458.0
<i>Dalbergia malangensis</i> E.P. Sousa	L	16.47	10.78 – 25.17
<i>Dalbergia malangensis</i> E.P. Sousa	Stem	47.59	39.89 – 56.77
<i>Desmodium salicifolium</i> (Poir) DC	AP	36.87	28.96 – 46.94
<i>Dissotis brazzae</i> Cogn	AP	244.39	187.69 – 318.17
<i>Dissotis melleri</i> Hook. f.	AP	116.75	89.26 – 152.71
<i>Dissotis rotundifolia</i> (Sm) Triana	AP	>1,000	
<i>Eriobotrya japonica</i> (Thunb.) Lindl	L	>1,000	
<i>Erythrina schliebenii</i> Harms	SB	729.14	433.75 – 1,225.68
<i>Erythrina schliebenii</i> Harms	R	93.26	74.97 – 116.02
<i>Funtumia africana</i> (Benth) Staff	SB	223.06	175.64 – 283.29
<i>Funtumia africana</i> (Benth) Staff	L	348.56	223.29 – 544.10
<i>Guizotia scabra</i> (Vis.) Chiov	WP	60.14	43.49 – 83.17
<i>Hallea rubrostipulata</i> (K. Schum) J.F.Leny	SB	125.45	99.01 – 158.95
<i>Hallea rubrostipulata</i> (K. Schum) J.F.Leny	R	>1,000	
<i>Holarrhena pubescens</i> (Huch-Ham)	R ^a	291.58	204.76 – 415.21
<i>Holarrhena pubescens</i> (Huch-Ham)	R	63.16	54.77 – 72.82
<i>Holarrhena pubescens</i> (Huch-Ham)	R ^b	135.24	111.40 – 164.19
<i>Ipomoea rubens</i> choisy	AP	97.01	73.05 – 128.83
<i>Leonotis nepaetifolia</i> (L.) R. Br	AP	128.74	90.92 – 182.30
<i>Leonotis nepaetifolia</i> (L.) R. Br	FL	91.75	68.62 – 122.67
<i>Macrotyloma axillare</i> (E. Mey) Verdc	AP	123.91	89.60 – 171.37
<i>Maesa lanceolata</i> Forsk	L	1.55	0.59 – 4.08
<i>Melastomatum capitatum</i> (Vahl) A.& R. Fern	AP	390.17	267.79 – 568.48
<i>Oxyanthus speciosus</i> DC	L	229.48	152.28 – 345.83
<i>Pentas bussei</i> (K. Krause)	AP	729.14	433.75 – 1,225.68
<i>Phyllanthus nummulariifolius</i> Poir	WP	86.14	67.83 – 109.40
<i>Phyllanthus nummulariifolius</i> Poir	WP ^a	87.39	68.02 – 110.03
<i>Pycnanthus angolensis</i> (Welw.) Warb	F	81.01	52.74 – 124.43
<i>Pycnanthus angolensis</i> (Welw.) Warb	L	78.55	68.19 – 90.49
<i>Pycnanthus angolensis</i> (Welw.) Warb	SB	93.58	74.98 – 116.79
<i>Rhytignia obscura</i> Robyns	L	489.33	296.20 – 608.37
<i>Syzygium cordatum</i> Krause	SB	99.93	80.33 – 124.31
<i>Vernonia glabra</i> (Steetz) Vatke	L	>1,000	

LC₅₀ = lethal concentration fifty, CI = confidence interval, a= aqueous extract, b= methanol extract. All other extracts were extracted by 80% ethanol. R = root, S = stem, SB = stem bark, L = leaves, AP = aerial parts (stem + leaves), F = fruits, FL = flowers, WP = whole plant.

CONCLUSION

Most of the antimalarial medicinal plants tested were non-toxic, and hence support the traditional healers' claims who believe that the herbal medicines they use are safe. However, further studies using different toxicity models are suggested to confirm their claims. Only the extracts of *A. natalensis* and *A. toxicaria* were categorized as toxic to mammalian cells. The evidence of *A. natalensis* toxicity obtained in this study supports the cautionary note that was given by the collaborating traditional healers.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are very grateful to the Swedish International Development Cooperation Agency (Sida) for financial support.

The authors also wish to acknowledge traditional healers (Mr. Mohamed Ngalanga, Mr. Didas Ngevera, Mr. Dominic Mushwahili, Mr. Buchadi Tibikunda and Mr. Papianus Rwechungura) and the Botanist (Mr. Haji.O. Selemani) for their assistance in collecting plants used in this study. Extraction of the plant extracts and brine shrimp toxicity assay were done at the Institute of Traditional Medicine in Tanzania; whereas toxicity study on LLC-MK2 cells was done at the Research Foundation in Tropical Diseases and Environment, and at the University of Buea in Cameroon.

REFERENCES

- Abdualmjid RJ, Sergi C. Hepatotoxic Botanicals: An Evidence-based Systematic Review. *J Pharm Pharmaceut Sci*, 2013; 16(3): 376-404.
- Apers S, Baronikova S, Sindambiwe J. B, Witvrouw M, De Clercq E, Vanden Berghe D, Van Marck E, Vlietinck A, Pieters L. Antiviral, haemolytic and molluscicidal activities of triterpenoid saponins

from *Maesa lanceolata*: establishment of structure-activity relationships. *Planta Med*, 2001; 67(6):528-32.

Butler MS. The role of Natural Product Chemistry in Drug Discovery. *J Nat Prod*, 2004; 67: 2141-2153.

Carballo JL, Hernández-Inda ZL, Pérez P, García-Grávalos MD. A comparison between two brine shrimp assays to detect in vitro cytotoxicity in marine natural products. *BMC Biotechnology*, 2002; 2:17.

Chalut et al. Drug therapy and hazardous substances committee: Toxicological risks of herbal remedies. *Paediatr Child Health*, 1999; 4(8): 536 – 538.

Dai H, Gan Y, Que D, Wu J, Wen Z, Mei W. A new cytotoxic 19-Nor-cardenolide from the latex of *Antiaris toxicaria*. *Molecules*, 2009; 14:3694-3699.

Debelle FD, Vanherwghem J, Nortier JL. Aristolochic acid nephropathy: A worldwide problem. *Kidney International*, 2008; 74:158-169.

Fadeyi SA, Fadeyi OO, Adejumo AA, Okoro C, Myles EL. In vitro anticancer screening of 24 locally used Nigerian medicinal plants. *BMC Compl and Altern Med*, 2013; 13:79.

Fidock DA, Rosenthal P J, Croft SL, Brun R, Nwaka S. Antimalarial Drug Discovery: Efficacy Models for Compound Screening. *Nat Rev Drug Discov*, 2004; 3:509-520.

Gessler MC, Msuya DE, Nkunya MHH, Mwasumbi LB, Schar A, Heinrich M., Tanner M. Traditional healers in Tanzania: the treatment of malaria with plant remedies. *J Ethnopharmacol*, 1995; 48:131–144.

IARC. 2012. IARC monographs on the evaluation of carcinogenic risks to humans: A review of human carcinogens, volume 100A. IARC, Lyon, France 2012. [ONLINE] Available at: monographs.iarc.fr/ENG/Monographs/vol100A/mono100A.pdf.

Kang S, Ren S, Ouyang L, Qiu M, Liu M. Study on the Acute Toxicity of Leaves of *Antiaris toxicaria* Lesch. *Lishizhen Medicine and Materia Medica Research*; 2008-9.

Kinung'hi SM, Mashauri F, Mwanga JR, Nnko SE, Kaatano GM, Malima R, Mboera LE. Knowledge, attitudes and practices about malaria among communities: comparing epidemic and non-epidemic prone communities of Muleba district, North-western Tanzania. *BMC Public Health*, 2010; 10:395.

Kopp B, Bauer WP, Schmüch AB. Analysis of some Malaysian dart poison. *J Ethnopharmacol*, 1992; 36(1):57-62.

Liu Q, Tang J, Hu M, Liu J, Chen H, Gao H, Wang G, Li S, Hao X, Zhang X, Yao X. Antiproliferative cardiac glycosides from latex of *Antiaris toxicaria*. *J Nat Prod*, 2013; 76:1771-1780.

Mabona U, Van Vuuren SF. Southern African medicinal plants used to treat skin diseases. *S Afr J Bot*, 2013; 87:175-193.

Mahunnah RL. Traditional plant medicines used by Hayas of North-western Tanzania. *J Econ and Taxon Bot*, 1987; 10 (2): 371–379.

Mahunnah RL, Uiso FC, Kayombo EJ. 2012. Documentation of Traditional Medicine in Tanzania: A Traditional Medicine Resource Book. Dar es Salaam, Tanzania: Dar es Salaam University Press.

Meyer BN, Ferrign RN, Putnam JE, Jacobson LB, Nicholas DE, McLaughlin JL. Brine Shrimp: A convenient general bioassay for active plant constituents. *Planta Medica*, 1982; 45:31-34.

Moshi MJ, Innocent E, Magadula JJ, Otieno DF, Weisheit A, Mbabazi PK, Nondo RSO. Brine Shrimp of Some Plants used as Traditional Medicine in Kagera Region, Northwest Tanzania. *TJHR*, 2010; 12:63-67.

Moshi MJ, Otieno DF, Mbabazi PK, Weisheit A. The ethnomedicine of the Haya people of Bugabo ward, Kagera Region, north western Tanzania. *J Ethnobiol and Ethnomed*, 2009; 5:24.

NIAID. 2007. Understanding Malaria: fighting an Ancient Scourge. NIH Publication 2007, No.07-7139. [ONLINE] Available at <http://www.niaid.nih.gov/topics/malaria/documents/malaria.pdf>. [Accessed 20 August 2011].

Niles AL, Moravec RA, Riss TL. *In vitro* viability and cytotoxicity testing and same-well multi-parametric combinations for high throughput screening. *Curr Chem Genomics*, 2009; 3:33-41.

Niles AL, Moravec RA, Riss TL. Update on in vitro cytotoxicity assays for drug development. *Expert Opin Drug Discov*, 2008; 3(6):655-69.

Nondo RSO, Mbwambo ZH, Kidukuli AW, Innocent EM, Miale MJ, Erasto P, Moshi MJ. Larvicidal, antimicrobial and brine shrimp activities of extracts from *Cissampelos mucronata* and *Tephrosia villosa* from Coast region, Tanzania. *BMC Comp Alt Med*, 2011; 11:33.

Nondo RSO, Zofou D, Moshi MJ, Erasto P, Wanji S, Ngemenya MN, Titanji VPK, Kidukuli AW and Masimba PJ. Ethnobotanical survey and in vitro antiparasitic activity of medicinal plants used to treat malaria in Kagera and Lindi regions, Tanzania. *J Med Plants Res*, 2015; 9(6): 179 -192.

Petrovska BB. Historical review of medicinal plants' usage. *Pharmacog Rev*, 2012; 6(11): 1-5.

Shi L, Kuo S, Sun H, Morris-Natschke SL, Lee K, Wu T. Cytotoxic cardiac glycosides and coumarins from *Antiaris toxicaria*. *Bioorg Med Chem*, 2014; 22(6):1889-1898.

Shi L, Liao Y, Su M, Lee A, Kuo P, Damu AG, Kuo S, Sun H, Lee K, Wu T. Cardiac glycosides from *Antiaris toxicaria* with potent cardiotoxic activity. *J N Prod*, 2010; 73(7):1214-1222.

Sindambiwe JB, Calomme M, Geerts S, Pieters L, Vlietinck AJ, Vanden Berghe DA. Evaluation of biological activities of triterpenoid saponines from *Maesa lanceolata*. *J Nat Prod*, 1998; 61(5):585-90.

Van der Bijl P (Jr.), Van der Bijl P (Sen.). Cardiotoxicity of plants in South Africa. *Cardiovas J Afr*, 2012; 23(9): 476-477.

Wells TN. Natural products as starting points for future anti-malarial therapies : going back to our roots ?. *Malaria Journal*, 2011; 10 (Suppl 1): S3.

Westendorf J. 1999. Plant toxins. In: Marquardt H, Schäfer SG, Mc Clellan RO, Welsch F, ed. *Toxicology*. California: Academic Press 959-1007.

WHO. 2012. WHO Global Malaria Programme. *World Malaria Report 2012*. Geneva; Switzerland.

WHO. 2002. WHO Traditional Medicine Strategy 2002-2005. WHO/EDM/TRM/2002.1. Geneva.

How to cite this article:

Nondo R.S.O., Moshi M. J., Erasto P., Zofou D., Njouendou A. J., Wanji S., Ngemenya M.N., Kidukuli A.W., Masimba P.J., Titanji V.P.K. Evaluation of the cytotoxic activity of extracts from medicinal plants used for the treatment of malaria in Kagera and Lindi regions, Tanzania. *J App Pharm Sci*, 2015; 5 (04): 007-012.