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Abstract

In this paper, we compare three exploitation strategies for the deep geothermal system of Groß Schönebeck in the North German Basin. Investigating optimum reservoir designs is one of the key issues for efficient and sustainable utilization of geothermal resource. With this objective we simulate the hydraulic-thermal coupled subsurface processes related to the provision of geothermal energy. The presented application including, visualization, mesh generation and numerical simulation is based on open source software. The numerical investigations of the three exploitation concepts take into account all geological layers, major natural fault zones, hydraulic fractures, geothermal wells and related hydraulic-thermal coupled processes. In the current exploitation concept, the fluid flows through the rock matrix between the injection and the production well (matrix dominated). The related numerical model is compared and calibrated to available field data. Then, the model is used to investigate two alternative stimulation concepts. All three concepts
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were evaluated taking into account the evolution of the production temperature as well as the hydraulic conductivity between production and injection well. As an alternative to the current situation, a fracture dominated system is investigated where the fluid flows through hydraulically induced fractures between injection and production well. Compared to the reference model, a twofold increase in productivity could be observed together with a significantly reduced time before the onset of a thermal breakthrough. The second alternative is a hybrid concept combining both matrix and fracture-dominated flow paths between the production and the injection well. We show that this hybrid approach could significantly increase the reservoir productivity and prolongs the time before the onset of thermal breakthrough. 
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1. Introduction

To reduce climate gas emissions, geothermal energy can play an important role for the future energy supply (Sims et al., 2007). Extracting energy from hot sedimentary aquifers may be considered as one of the most cost-effective energy sources with significantly reduced emissions compared to fossil fuels. The successful exploration, development and exploitation of geothermal resources is based on a collaborative effort involving different scientific and engineering disciplines. One of these disciplines is dynamic reservoir modeling which simulates the transient processes during the lifetime of the reservoir. This modeling is widely used to optimize the management and utilization geothermal resources.
To optimize exploitation strategy for geothermal resources we performed dynamic simulation based on geological information for the geothermal research site at Groß Schönebeck in the Northeast German Basin. This site is one of the key in-situ laboratories in Germany for the investigation of an efficient provision of geothermal energy from deep sedimentary basins. By means of the dynamic simulation we investigate three alternative exploitation concepts and we discuss advantages and disadvantages in terms of their productivity and sustainability. This means that productivity must be high enough and that a sufficient production temperature must be guaranteed for more than 30 years so that exploitation of geothermal energy can become part of the energy mix. A productivity index between 60 and 120 $m^3/(h \times MPa)$ and a production temperature above 373 K is the requirement for efficient electricity generation (Hofmann et al., 2014).

The current state (reference model) represents the first exploitation concept (Figure 4b), there the fluid flows through the rock matrix. Field measurements indicate that this exploitation is not sufficient for economic use. Therefore, two additional exploitation concepts are considered: First, a fracture dominated system (Figure 5b) there the fluid flows through hydraulically induced fractures and second, a combination of matrix and fracture-dominated system (Figure 6b) referred to as hybrid-system in the following. The dynamic simulation of these three scenarios is based on an existing structural geological model (Moeck et al., 2008; Muñoz et al., 2010) and comprises heterogeneous geological layers, natural faults, induced fractures, and deviated geothermal wells (Figure 1).

The simulation of three alternative exploitation concepts for the Groß
Figure 1: (a) Geological model developed on the basis of two-dimensional seismic and wellbore data. The injection well E GrSk 3/90 (1) is almost vertical and the production well Gt GrSk 4/05 A-2 (2) is directed towards a NE-striking/W-dipping fault. The black ellipses show the induced fractures of the doublet system at the Groß Schönebeck site (modified from Blöcher et al. (2010)). (b) Fault system of the Groß Schönebeck reservoir consisting of 130° striking major faults (hydraulic barriers), and 30° and 170° striking minor faults (hydraulically transmissive).
Schönebeck geothermal reservoir demonstrates how modeling is used to understand the current nature of the geothermal system (e.g., its properties and processes) and improve reservoir exploitation. Based on a validated reference model of the current state, we suggest an optimized well and stimulation design in terms of productivity and sustainability. The presented application (from structural geological model to complex reservoirs simulation) is based on open source software (Paraview\textsuperscript{1} for visualisation, MeshIt (Cacace and Blöcher, 2015) including Tetgen (Si, 2015) for mesh generation and OpenGeoSys\textsuperscript{2} for coupled simulations), thus presenting a cost efficient and robust alternative to commercial software for the scientific community.

For complex numerical simulations, geometries of different spatial scales and dimensions have to be handled by the simulators. This requirement is fulfilled by superimposing lower dimensional elements onto higher dimensional elements (Figure 3b), which is called conformable meshing (Lo, 2014). To satisfy the continuity condition, fracture and wellbore elements must be located along boundaries of the rock matrix elements (Segura and Carol, 2004). Besides conformable meshing, the applied software MeshIt (Cacace and Blöcher, 2015) supports various 3D geological models as input and provides interfaces to different commercial and open-source multi-physics simulators.

In order to present the evaluated exploitation concepts in combination with the required technical effort, the following structure was chosen: First, we explain the geological setting and available field measurements of the Groß-Schönebeck site which are used to construct and to calibrate the numerical

\textsuperscript{1}http://www.paraview.org/
\textsuperscript{2}http://www.opengeosys.org/
models. Second, we present the results of evaluating these three exploitation concepts and discuss these results in terms of productivity and temperature evolution.

2. Site description

All available data of the geology, wells, hydraulically induced fractures and fault zones including their hydraulic and thermal properties will be integrated in the numerical investigation. The developed reference model will be calibrated by available field measurements (Section 2.2) in terms of productivity and flow patterns.

2.1. Geological setting

Groß Schönebeck is located about 40 km north of Berlin, Germany. The investigated geothermal reservoir of Groß Schönebeck is located between -3830 and -4250 m true vertical depth subsea (TVDSS). The faulted reservoir rocks can be roughly classified into siliciclastic sedimentary rocks consisting of conglomerates, sandstones and siltstones (Upper Rotliegend) and andesitic volcanic rocks (Lower Rotliegend). The siliciclastic rocks can be subdivided depending on their lithological properties into five formations (Blöcher et al., 2010). Of these five formations (Figure 1a), the Elbe base sandstones I and II are the most promising horizons for geothermal exploitation. They are characterized by a total thickness of approximately 100 m (-4000 to -4100 m TVDSS), a permeability locally higher than 1 mD (Trautwein, 2005; Trautwein and Huenges, 2005), a porosity of up to 10% (Huenges and Hurter, 2002), and a temperature of about 150°C (Wolfgramm et al., 2003). Hydraulic and thermal properties of all units and faults (Table 1 and Table...
Table 1: Hydraulic properties (porosity $\phi$ and permeability $k$) and thermal properties (specific heat capacity $c_s$ and thermal conductivity $\lambda_s$ of the solid) of the reservoir units (Blöcher et al., 2010).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>$\phi$</th>
<th>$k$</th>
<th>$c_s$</th>
<th>$\lambda_s$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1) Hannover formation</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>9.9E-17</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2) Elbe alternating sequence</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>9.9E-17</td>
<td>1380</td>
<td>2.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3) Elbe base sandstone II</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6.4E-16</td>
<td>920</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4) Elbe base sandstone I</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1.3E-15</td>
<td>920</td>
<td>3.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5) Havel formation</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>9.9E-17</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6) Volcanic rocks</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>9.9E-17</td>
<td>1380</td>
<td>2.31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2) are based on previously published data (see Blöcher et al. (2010) and references therein).

The sub-horizontal reservoir rocks are cross-cut by several natural fault zones striking preferentially from 130° (major faults) to 30° and 170° (minor faults) (Moeck et al., 2009). Within the current stress field, the latter bear the highest ratio of shear to normal stress, and are in a critically stressed state within the sandstones and in a highly stressed state within the volcanic layer (Figure 1b). According to previous studies which indicate a structural relationship between potential fluid flow along and across faults and their state of stress (Barton et al., 1995; Ito and Zoback, 2000), minor faults in Groß Schönebeck are assumed to be hydraulically transmissive, and the major fault zones are expected to behave as hydraulic barriers (Figure 1b).
Table 2: Hydraulic properties (porosity $\phi$, permeability $k$ and aperture $a$) of fault zones and induced fractures.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$\phi$</th>
<th>$k$</th>
<th>$a$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Major fault zones</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1.0E-15</td>
<td>1.0E-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minor fault zones</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1.0E-13</td>
<td>1.0E-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Induced fractures</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1.0E-10</td>
<td>1.0E-02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.2. Well and stimulation design - hydraulic well tests

Circulation of geothermal water is maintained via a thermal water loop consisting of a well doublet system with an injection (E GrSk 3/90) and a production (Gt GrSk 4/05 A-2) well, which was completed in 2007 (Figure 1a). The geothermal water loop was established in 2011 by additional surface flow lines (Frick et al., 2011).

The injection well is an abandoned gas exploration well, which was re-opened in 2001. The injection/production potential of the well was tested along the entire open hole section between -3799 m to -4228 m TVDSS. The production potential of a well can be indicated by the productivity index (PI) which is defined as the flow rate per unit pressure drop $PI = \frac{V}{\Delta p}$. The initial productivity index of the injection well was $0.97 \, m^3/(h*MPa)$ (Zimmermann et al., 2009).

The production well Gt GrSk 4/05 A-2 was drilled along the minimal principal stress direction ($S_h = 288^\circ$ azimuth) with an inclination of up to $49^\circ$ (Zimmermann et al., 2010) and a total depth of 4404.4 m MD. Due to the inclination the horizontal distance of both wells is ranging between 300 and
450 m in the reservoir section. In such a doublet configuration, it is the rock matrix that is the heat exchanger, a system that we call matrix-dominated.

To increase the efficiency of the doublet system three stimulation treatments and eight perforation treatments were performed in the production well and four stimulation treatments were performed in the injection well, which is cased with a perforated liner within the reservoir (Figure 1a). At the production well, a water-frac treatment was applied in the low permeability volcanic rocks and two gel-proppant treatments were used to stimulate the sandstone sections (Zimmermann et al., 2010; Zimmermann and Reinicke, 2010). At the injection well, two gel-proppant fracs and two water-fracs were performed within the same reservoir section and are henceforth referred to as “multi-frac” (Zimmermann et al., 2009). Since all induced fractures are mainly tensile, they are parallel to the maximum horizontal stress direction $S_H = 18.5 \pm 3.7^\circ$ (Kwiatek et al., 2010). The geometry of the individual fractures is summarised in Table 3. The horizontal distance between the water-frac, first gel-proppant frac and second gel-proppant frac within the production well and the multi-frac within the injection well is 448, 352, and 308 m, respectively (Blöcher et al., 2010). The hydraulic and geometric properties (Table 2) of the induced fractures are estimated using modeled data based on measured field data (Zimmermann and Reinicke, 2010).

To clean the well and to remove residual drilling mud in the near-wellbore vicinity, an acid matrix stimulation was performed in 2009 using a coil tubing unit (Zimmermann et al., 2011). To measure the magnitude of increase of the reservoir performance several production and injection tests were performed (Figure 2). After the stimulation treatments and before the acid
Table 3: Dimensions (half-length and height) of hydraulically induced fractures (Blöcher et al., 2010).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Frac type</th>
<th>Half-length [m]</th>
<th>Height [m]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Water-frac</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gel-proppant frac</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi-frac</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>185</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

matrix stimulation, a casing lift test (CLT) in conjunction with flowmeter profiling (Figure 7) was carried out in 2007 to obtain hydraulic information from the production well. During this CLT, a fluid volume of 356 m³ was produced during 11.8 h (Zimmermann et al., 2010). The calculated productivity index at the end of the test was 10.1 m³/(h * MPa). Following the acid matrix stimulation, an additional CLT was performed in 2009 indicating a productivity index of approximately 13-15 m³/(h * MPa) after producing a fluid volume of 140 m³ in 4h (Zimmermann et al., 2011). After establishing the geothermal water loop, the reservoir was tested by the means of several communication experiments (CE, simultaneous injection and production). In the first of more than 100 CEs the productivity index was measured to be 6 m³/(h * MPa) for the production well. During this test a fluid volume of 141 m³ was produced in 4.4 h.

None of the production tests reached steady state conditions (Figure 2). Therefore, the PI determined under such dynamic conditions must be considered to overestimate the real production potential of the reservoir. Additional field data also shows a further decrease in the overall productivity.
Figure 2: Time dependent behavior of the productivity index of the enhanced geothermal system Groß Schönebeck during the CLT 2007 (Zimmermann et al., 2010), CLT 2009 (Zimmermann et al., 2011) and CE in 2011.

of the reservoir from 6 m³/(h * MPa) in 2011 to 1 m³/(h * MPa) in 2013 (Blöcher et al., 2012).

3. Model setup

The reservoir models (see Figures 4, 5 and 6) used for hydraulic-thermal coupled simulations consist of 6 major geological formations, 10 fault zones, 4 to 6 hydraulic fractures (depending on the stimulation scenarios considered), and 2 to 3 geothermal wells (one production and two injection wells).
3.1. Meshing

Dynamic reservoir modeling requires a gridding or meshing of the 3D geological model. Commercial software exist which provide built-in modules to generate grids (e.g. EarthVision (Chen et al., 2013) and SKUA-GOCAD (e.g. Collon et al., 2015)) or unstructured meshes (Petrel (Souche et al., 2013)). The generated grids and meshes are generally used by related commercial simulators (e.g. Eclipse\(^3\), NEXUS\(^4\) or Paradigm SKUA-GOCAD and Flow Simulation\(^5\)).

In this study all available geological information (geological layers, faults, fractures and wells) of the geothermal reservoir Groß Schönebeck have been converted from an existing EarthVision geo-model (Moeck et al., 2005) into a boundary-conforming, constrained Delaunay 3D mesh (Figure 3) by using the software MeshIt (Cacace and Blöcher, 2015). MeshIt is a multi-platform software, which combines algorithms from computational geometry and Delaunay triangulations within a graphical user interface. Geological information can be provided to MeshIt either in the form of volume-based 3D geological models (e.g. Paradigm Gocad \(^6\), EarthVision \(^7\) and Petrel \(^8\)) for which existing importing interfaces exist (e.g. GoCad ASCII Files (*.gp)), or as single triplets surface files (x,y,z coordinates) which are provided by various 3D geological models. The assignment of specific material identi- 

\(^3\)http://www.software.slb.com/products/foundation/Pages/eclipse.aspx
\(^4\)http://www.landmarksoftware.com/Pages/Nexus.aspx
\(^5\)http://www.pdgm.com/Solutions.aspx
\(^6\)http://www.pdgm.com/products/gocad/
\(^7\)http://www.dgi.com/earthvision/evmain.html
\(^8\)http://www.software.slb.com/products/platform/Pages/petrel.aspx
fiers (Figure 3a) to each component of the model, being a 3D matrix, 2D fault or 1D well element, enables to easily export the newly generated mesh to existing forward numerical simulators (e.g. OpenGeoSys\textsuperscript{9} or Comsol\textsuperscript{10}). Following this approach, source/sink points are represented by 0D points, geothermal wells by 1D poly-lines, faults and fractures by 2D triangulated surfaces, which are embedded in a 3D unstructured tetrahedral mesh of the rock matrix (Figure 3b).

For the three different exploitation scenarios the generated meshes consist of more than 4,180,000 tetrahedra. The typical time needed to build one of these meshes is approximately 1 min.

3.2. Numerical simulation

The hydraulic-thermal coupled simulations are conducted using OpenGeoSys (OGS) which is a scientific open-source initiative for numerical simulation of Thermo-Hydro-Mechanical-Chemical (THMC) processes in porous-fractured media (Watanabe et al., 2012; Kolditz et al., 2012). OGS is primarily based on the finite element method (FEM) and offers a hybrid approach combining discrete fracture and continua models for simulating flow, transport, and deformation processes in fractured rocks. In the following, governing equations used in the current study and applied numerical schemes are briefly presented.

With the Boussinesq approximation and Darcy’s law (Equation 1), groundwater flow in porous media can be expressed as the following volume balance

\textsuperscript{9}\url{www.opengeosys.org} \textsuperscript{10}\url{www.comsol.com}
Figure 3: (a) Generated mesh of the reference case with specific material identifiers assigned to each component of the model, being a 3D matrix, 2D fault or 1D well element. (b) Detailed view of the production side: superposition of 0D (e.g. source/sink point), 1D (e.g. well path) and 2D (e.g. fractures/faults) elements onto 3D elements of the porous matrix.
equation (Lewis and Schrefler, 1998),

\[ S_s \frac{\partial p}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \left( \frac{k}{\mu} \left( -\nabla p + \rho^f \mathbf{g} \right) \right) = Q_H \]  

where \( S_s \) is the constrained specific storage of the medium, \( p \) is liquid phase pressure, \( k \) is the permeability tensor, \( \mu \) is fluid dynamic viscosity, \( \rho^f \) is the fluid density, \( \mathbf{g} \) is the gravitational acceleration vector, and \( Q_H \) is a fluid source/sink term. For discrete fractures and wellbores, the permeability is given by the parallel plate concept (Snow, 1969) and the Hagen-Poiseuille equation, respectively.

Heat balance in porous media (Equation 2) can be expressed as (Lewis and Schrefler, 1998),

\[ c_p \rho \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot c_p^f \rho^f \mathbf{q}_H T - \lambda \nabla T = Q_T \]  

where \( c_p \rho = n c_p^f \rho^f + (1-n)c_p^s \rho^s \) is the heat storage of a porous medium with \( c_p \) specific heat capacity of the fluid, \( c_p^s \) specific heat capacity of the solid and \( \rho^s \) solid density. \( T \) is temperature, \( \mathbf{q}_H \) is Darcy velocity, \( \lambda = n \lambda^f + (1-n)\lambda^s \) is effective heat conductivity of the porous medium with \( \lambda^f \) heat conductivity of the fluid and \( \lambda^s \) heat conductivity of the solid, and \( Q_T \) is a source/sink term.

Details on the governing equations implemented in OGS are described in Watanabe et al. (2010, 2012) and references therein. Primary variables to be solved in the present non-linear multi-field problem are pressure and temperature. Galerkin FEM with linear interpolation and the backward Euler method are applied to obtain the approximated solutions.

To deal with the proposed reservoir representation including all 0D, 1D,
2D and 3D elements, two extensions have been made to OGS: (i) a monolithic approach for solving hydraulic-thermal (HT) coupled processes, and (ii) integration of the PETSc library for parallel computing. The monolithic approach means that the two equations for fluid flow and heat transport are solved simultaneously (Baca et al., 1984). Compared to the conventional partitioned coupling approach that solves the two equations separately, the monolithic approach provides more robust solutions for fully coupled hydraulic and heat transport processes. This is particularly important for geothermal reservoir simulations because heterogeneous flow fields are induced by the multi-dimensional elements. The Newton-Raphson method is used to solve the non-linear monolithic solution. Furthermore, in order to carry out the simulations in reasonable time (current time of computation is between 12 and 36 hours for 100 time steps), linear and nonlinear solvers of OGS are replaced by the PETSc library (Balay et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2014) to achieve efficient parallel computations based on MPI (Message Passing Interface) technology.

4. Simulations of exploitation concepts

We investigate the production temperature and pressure response of the reservoir for the three exploitation scenarios, namely a matrix-dominated, fracture-dominated, and hybrid system.

Firstly, a matrix-dominated system as established in the field at Groß Schönebeck has been simulated (Figure 4), and the results have been compared to available field data derived from the CLTs 2007 and 2009 and the CE 2011 experiments (Section 2.2). The numerical simulation of the matrix-
dominated system was calibrated with available geometrical and hydraulic
data (Section 2.1). The calibrated model was afterwards used to numerically
investigate the effects of considering fracture-dominated (Figure 5) and hy-
brid designs (Figure 6). For studying the latter ones, we integrated a third
well path and additional hydraulic stimulations of the relevant wellbore sec-
tions into the model.

In the fracture-dominated design, we consider a direct connection between
the former injection well E GrSk 3/90 and the planned well in the area of
the volcanic rocks. Well connectivity is achieved by a water-frac treatment
in the proposed well, which generates a common area of increased perme-
ability between the two wells (Figure 5). In the hybrid design an additional
gel-proppant treatment is considered in the Elbe base sandstone layer, thus
connecting the former production well Gt GrSk 4/05 A-2 via the matrix to
the newly established doublet system. In this configuration the proposed
third well is assumed to act as production well, whereas the well E GrSk
3/90 and the well Gt GrSk 4/05 A-2 act as injection wells (Figure 6).

In all simulations, we consider a constant production rate of $\dot{V} = 30 \text{ m}^3/\text{h}$
and a desired production temperature of at least 400 $K$. The results are
discussed in terms of the time required to approach quasi steady-state con-
ditions, the transient PI and the time to thermal breakthrough. Here, the
thermal breakthrough is defined as the time until the initial production tem-
perature of 420 $K$ drops below 400 $K$.

In all simulations, variations in fluid density and viscosity in response
to changes in the temperature and pressure fields are considered, while the
effects of variations of the salinity are neglected.
Figure 4: Matrix-dominated exploitation strategy consisting of one injection wells E GrSk 3/90 (1) and one production well Gt GrSk 4/05 A-2 (2) for the enhanced geothermal system Groß Schönebeck. Simulated temperature field after 30 years of production and injection of a horizontal cross section at -4042 m depth (a) and stream traces of the injected fluid including the corresponding 373.15 K isothermal surface in the reservoir section (b).
Figure 5: Fracture-dominated exploitation strategy consisting of one injection well E GrSk 3/90 (1), one planned production well (3) and one additional fracture (black ellipse) for the enhanced geothermal system Groß Schönebeck. Simulated temperature field after 30 years of production and injection of a horizontal cross section at -4042 m depth (a) and stream traces of the injected fluid including the corresponding 373.15 K isothermal surface in the reservoir section (b).
Figure 6: Hybrid exploitation strategy consisting of two injection wells E GrSk 3/90 (1) and Gt GrSk 4/05 A-2 (2), one planned production well (3) and two additional fractures (black ellipses) for the enhanced geothermal system Groß Schönebeck. Simulated temperature field after 30 years of production and injection of a horizontal cross section at -4042 m depth (a) and stream traces of the injected fluid including the corresponding 373.15 K isothermal surface in the reservoir section (b).
5. Results

5.1. Calibration of reference model

The simulation of the matrix-dominated exploitation strategy aims at reproducing the current exploitation conditions at the Groß Schönebeck research facility. To investigate the relevance of this simulation we compared the numerical results with results from the field experiments. Figure 7 shows the inflow profile of the production well Gt GrSk 4/05 A-2 obtained during the CLT 2007 in comparison to the simulated results. Although the simulation considers the well path as an open-hole section and the actual measured flow rate differed slightly from the simulated flow rate, an excellent fit between measured (Zimmermann et al., 2010; Henninges et al., 2012) and simulated contributions could be obtained. Differences can be observed below the second gel-proppant fracture, where the well is only partly perforated, and at the location of the fracture. At these positions turbulent flow conditions influence the measurements. In general, the cumulative flow should increase from bottom to top as shown by the simulation results. A cross-flow between different geological layers was not observed and is therefore considered to be improbable.

Besides the contribution of different intervals, the pressure response of the reservoir was simulated for the flow rates measured during the CLT 2007 (Zimmermann et al., 2010), the CLT 2009 (Zimmermann et al., 2011) as well as the CE 2011. Simulated results were compared to the measured reservoir pressure responses during these tests (see Section 2.2). For simulated and measured data, the productivity index was derived according to the production rate $\dot{V}$ and the corresponding pressure drawdown $\Delta p$. Since steady state
Figure 7: Measured (CLT 2007) and simulated inflow profile at the production well Gt GrSk 4/05 A-2 showing the individual contributions from the stimulated sections.
conditions were not reached during all field tests, we compare the evolution of the dynamic PI. For all tests, a linear dependency was observed between simulated and measured dynamic PI (Figure 8). For the CLT 2007 and the CE 2011 the measured PI is 1.5 to 2.5 times lower than the simulated one. For the CLT in 2009 a good match between measured and simulated PI is achieved.

Figure 8: Measured and calculated productivity index. The dynamic productivity indexes calculated for the CLT 2007, CLT 2009 and CE 2011 are shown. The simulated values are based on the simulation of the matrix-dominated system.
Table 4: Simulation results obtained for the matrix-dominated, fracture-dominated and hybrid systems. Compared are the time for achieving hydraulic steady state conditions $t_{ss}$, the corresponding productivity index $PI_{ss}$, the onset time of thermal breakthrough $t_{tb0}$, and the time of thermal breakthrough $t_{tb}$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exploitation strategy</th>
<th>$t_{ss}$ [years]</th>
<th>$PI_{ss}$ [$m^3/(h \times MPa)$]</th>
<th>$t_{tb0}$ [years]</th>
<th>$t_{tb}$ [years]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Matrix</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>3.65</td>
<td>24.14</td>
<td>&gt;100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fracture</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>8.11</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>3.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hybrid</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>11.19</td>
<td>2.13</td>
<td>12.55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.2. Simulation of exploitation strategies

Based on the calibrated numerical simulation of the matrix-dominated system, the numerical simulations of the fracture-dominated and the hybrid system (Figure 5 and Figure 6) were adapted. The results of all three scenarios in terms of PI and temperature evolution are shown in Figure 9 and are summarized in Table 4.

For the matrix-dominated system (Figures 4a and 4b) quasi steady state conditions for the pressure field could be achieved after 1.56 years. Here quasi steady state is defined as minimum of the time derivative of the pressure field. The corresponding PI is $3.65 \ m^3/(h \times MPa)$. After 24.14 years, the temperature at the production well starts decreasing (more than 1 K temperature drop) but does not drop below 400 K after 100 years of production.

For the fracture-dominated system (Figures 5a and 5b) the production and injection points are only 290 m apart from each other and connected by a highly conductive hydraulic fracture. This has a positive effect on
Figure 9: Time dependent behavior of productivity index and production temperature of a matrix-dominated, fracture-dominated and hybrid system, respectively. The squares and the circles indicate the time for achieving hydraulic steady state conditions and of thermal breakthrough, respectively.

The productivity but a negative effect on the temperature evolution of the production well. On one hand, steady state conditions are archived after 0.14 years and the corresponding productivity index is $8.11 \text{ m}^3/(\text{h} \ast \text{MPa})$. On the other hand, thermal breakthrough starts after 0.78 years and the production temperature drops below 400 K after 3.73 years.

For the hybrid system (Figures 6a and 6b) the additional fracture in the sandstone layers increases the productivity. Furthermore, due to the increased accessible reservoir volume the time before thermal breakthrough in comparison to the fracture-dominated system is prolonged. By producing
30 \text{ m}^3/\text{h} from the newly planned well while injecting 15 \text{ m}^3/\text{h} each into E GrSk 3/90 and Gt GrSk 4/05 A-2, steady state conditions are achieved after 0.44 years and the corresponding PI is 11.19 \text{ m}^3/(h \ast MPa). Although the temperature starts decreasing after 2.13 years, a production temperature above 400 K can be expected for more than 12.5 years.

Comparing data from all three simulated scenarios (Figure 9), it can be seen that the initial PI (<0.1 days of production) is the highest for the matrix-dominated system followed by the hybrid and fracture-dominated systems. Comparing the wellbore and fracture storage, it is obvious that the matrix-dominated system has the highest storage followed by the hybrid and the fracture-dominated systems. Therefore, wellbore and fracture storage can be linked to the magnitude of the initial PI. Since fluid viscosity and density vary with pressure and temperature, all three simulations show a decrease of productivity after the onset of thermal breakthrough. An increased density and viscosity at the production side due to a thermal breakthrough will therefore reduce the simulated productivity.

6. Discussion and Conclusion

We have shown in this study that 3D modeling of a geothermal reservoir can bring valuable information for possible exploitation concepts. The current state, a matrix-dominated system, was compared to a fracture-dominated and a hybrid system. For the matrix-dominated system the sustainability of the production temperature could be proven. However, the relatively low PI of 3.65 \text{ m}^3/(h \ast MPa) does not allow an efficient utilization of the geothermal resource. The fracture-dominated system increases the PI by a factor of
more than 2. Due to a hydraulic short cut between production and injection well thermal breakthrough occurs in less than 4 years and the efficient and sustainable utilization is questionable. With an additional fracture along the production well, the productivity and the sustainability could be further improved.

6.1. Comparison of simulated and measured results from Groß Schönebeck

Data from the experiments performed in Groß Schönebeck were reproduced by the numerical simulation. The cumulative flow measured during the CLT 2007 is very similar to the simulation results, although the simulated and measured results slightly differ. Using the produced flow rate and comparing the simulated and measured pressure drawdown by means of the dynamic PI yields a linear relation between simulated and measured results. It is worth to mention that the CLT 2007 was performed before removing residual drilling mud (constituents: calcite, dolomite, and aragonite) from the wellbore vicinity (Zimmermann et al., 2011). A skin effect can therefore not be ruled out. After acidizing the reservoir interval (Section 3), the measured and simulated pressure response as shown for the CLT 2009 are in good agreement. During the following circulation experiments, a strong decrease in reservoir performance was observed (Regenspurg et al., 2015), indicating that additional effects influencing the well productivity must be considered.

6.2. Mechanical and chemical effects

Current field observations indicate that the productivity and sustainability of the Groß Schönebeck reservoir are influenced by several other processes which are not yet quantified. These processes might include: free gas and
two-phase flow, chemical precipitates in the wellbore, chemical alteration of
the porous matrix, mechanical none sustainability of hydraulically induced
fractures and barrier effects of internal fault zones (Regenspurg et al., 2015).
These processes are currently not quantified and, therefore, not considered
in the current evaluation of the exploitation concepts.

In particular, reactive transport can be simulated by coupling OGS to
PhreeqC (Xie et al., 2006; Charlton and Parkhurst, 2011; Parkhurst and
Appelo, 2013). Non-parallelization of this coupling results in more computa-
tional time. Integrating of two-phase flow (free gas phase within the brine)
and internal no-flow boundaries (e.g. fault zones) into OGS is ongoing and
will be considered as soon as available (Wang et al., 2011). The required
fracture mechanics to show the sustainability of the induced fractures will be
considered in future work. Since the mechanical processes are not fully cou-
pled with the hydraulic-thermal coupled processes this feature was neglected.
Not considering these effects will not change the general comparison of the
exploitation strategies but will alter the prediction of productivity indices.

6.3. Workflow for reservoir simulations

In addition to direct application, the simulations conducted for Groß
Schönebeck geothermal reservoir can be used as an example for transforming
a structural geological model into a complex reservoir simulation. It can be
applied to other settings including all geological features of interest. Fur-
thermore, it provides a method for simulating groundwater and heat trans-
port processes in a multi-component and multi-dimensional setting including
1D geothermal wells, 2D fault zones and macro fractures embedded in the
three dimensional volume of the porous reservoir. The added value of the
study stems from enabling the transfer of 3D geological datasets of varying complexities into numerical reservoir simulators to quantify the processes of interest.
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