John Frederick Fink; Sergio Ruiz Every graph is an induced isopart of a circulant

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 36 (1986), No. 2, 172-176

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/102080

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1986

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://dml.cz

EVERY GRAPH IS AN INDUCED ISOPART OF A CIRCULANT

JOHN FREDERICK FINK, Dearborn and SERGIO RUIZ, Valparaíso

(Received January 21, 1984)

1. INTRODUCTION

We will say that a graph is nonempty if it contains at least one edge.

By a *decomposition* of a nonempty graph G is meant a family of subgraphs $G_1, G_2, ..., G_k$ of G such that their edge sets form a partition of the edge set of G. This is denoted by

$$G = G_1 \oplus G_2 \oplus \ldots \oplus G_k.$$

Each member of the family is called a *part* of the decomposition. A graph G is said to be *H*-decomposable (or has an *H*-decomposition) if G has a decomposition in which all of its parts are isomorphic to the graph H. If G is H-decomposable, then H is referred to as an *isopart* of G. We also say that G has an *isomorphic decomposition* into the graph H. An obvious necessary condition for a graph G to be H-decomposable is that the size of G is a multiple of the size of H.

Wilson [5], using algebraic techniques, proved that for every nonempty graph H there exists an integer λ (depending on H) such that if $n \ge \lambda$ and n satisfies certain divisibility conditions, then the complete graph K_n is H-decomposable.

Fink [2] showed that every nonempty graph H is an induced isopart of a regular (not necessarily complete) graph G. In this note we prove a similar result with the added condition that the graph G is a circulant (its adjacency matrix is a circulant).

2. LABELINGS AND ISOMORPHIC DECOMPOSITIONS OF GRAPHS

Let $n \ge 3$ be an integer and S a nonempty subset of $\{1, 2, ..., \lfloor n/2 \rfloor\}$. The *circulant* G = G(n; S) has vertex set $V(G) = \{v_0, v_1, ..., v_{n-1}\}$ and $v_i v_j \in E(G)$, the edge set of G, if and only if either j - i or i - j is congruent, modulo n, to an element of S. Then set S is called the *length set* of G and the *length of any pair* v_i, v_j of vertices is defined as $l(v_i, v_j) = \min\{|i - j|, |n - (i - j)|\}$. By the length l(e) of an edge $e = v_i v_i$ we mean $l(e) = l(v_i, v_j)$.

Circulants can be drawn in the Euclidean plane with its *n* vertices $v_0, v_1, ..., v_{n-1}$ regularly distributed counterclockwise about a circle, where the edges are represented by chords joining the appropriate vertices.

٠

Note that in G = G(n; S), for any vertex $v_i \in V(G)$ and any $s \in S$, the vertex v_i is adjacent to both v_{i+s} and v_{i-s} (where the subscripts are expressed modulo n). Moreover, $v_{i+s} \neq v_{i-s}$ unless s = n/2. Therefore if $n/2 \in S$, then G(n; S) is regular of degree 2|S| - 1; otherwise, it is regular of degree 2|S|. The cyclic permutation $\varphi = (v_0v_1 \dots v_{n-1})$ is an automorphism of G. Associated with φ we have an induced permutation φ_E defined on the edges of G as follows: The image of an edge xy of Gunder φ_E is the edge $\varphi(x) \varphi(y)$. Considering the action of the permutation group

$$\{\varphi_E, \varphi_E^2, \ldots, \varphi_E^n\}$$

on E(G), we observe that this action partitions the edge set of G into |S| orbits. Two edges belong to the same orbit E_s if and only if they have the same length s. If l(e) = n/2 then the orbit containing e has n/2 members; otherwise it has n members.

The following lemma will enable us to construct some isomorphic decompositions of a given circulant. Henceforth N_n will denote the set $\{0, 1, ..., n-1\}$, for $n \ge 1$.

Lemma 1. Let G = G(n; S) be a circulant such that $n/2 \notin S$. For each $s \notin S$, let e_s be an edge in the orbit E_s . If H is the subgraph of G induced by the edges e_i , $i \notin S$, then G is H-decomposable.

Proof. For each $k \in N_n$, let $A_k = \{\varphi_E^k(e_s) \mid s \in S\}$ and let G_k be the subgraph of G induced by the set $\varphi_E^k(A_0)$ of edges. Thus, $G_0 = H$.

We now show that φ^k is an isomorphism between G_0 and G_k , for each $k \in N_n$. Let v_s and v_t be two adjacent vertices of G_0 . Note that $\varphi^k(v_s)$ and $\varphi^k(v_t)$ are adjacent in G_k since $\varphi^k_E(v_s v_t) = \varphi^k(v_s) \varphi^k(v_t)$ and $\varphi^k_E(v_s v_t) \in A_k$; thus $G_0 \cong G_k$.

It remains only to prove that $\{A_k \mid k \in N_n\}$ forms a partition of E(G). If $e \in E(G)$, then $l(e) \in S$, and by the way that G_0 was defined, there exists an edge e_0 in G_0 such that $l(e_0) = l(e)$. However, there exists $k \in N_n$ such that $\varphi_E^k(e_0) = e$; therefore $e \in A_k$ and consequently $E = \bigcup_{i=0}^{n-1} E_k$.

To show that the sets $A_0, A_1, \ldots, A_{n-1}$ are pairwise disjoint, we proceed by a counting argument. Since G is regular of degree 2|S|, it has n|S| edges. If $A_0, A_1, \ldots, \ldots, A_{n-1}$ were not pairwise disjoint, then

$$n|S| = |E(G)| < \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} |A_i| = n|S|,$$

which is a contradiction.

Therefore $G = G_0 \oplus \ldots \oplus G_{n-1}$ and G is H-decomposable.

We say that G is rotationally H-decomposable if G possesses the kind of decomposition described in the preceding lemma. Loosely speaking, each part G_{i+1} is obtained from G_i by rotating G_i counterclockwise through an angle of $2\pi/n$ radians about its center. Now, the basic idea leading to the main results is to imbed a preassigned graph H into a circulant such that H is a part of the H-decomposition. To achieve this we will extend a labeling technique introduced by Rosa [4] when he rotationally decomposed K_{2n+1} into a graph of size *n* admitting a so called ϱ -valuation.

Given a graph G = G(V, E), with vertex set V and edge set E, a labeling f of G is a one-to-one map from V into the set \mathbb{N} of nonnegative integers. The labeling f induces a map \overline{f} from E into \mathbb{N} , called *induced edge labeling*, such that $\overline{f}(uv) = |f(u) - f(v)|$.

By using powers of 2 as labels, we can easily verify the following lemma.

Lemma 2. Every nonempty graph has a labelling such that its induced edge labeling is one-to-one and there exist two adjacent vertices with labels 1 and 2.

Theorem 1. For every nonempty graph H, there exists a connected circulant G such that G is rotationally H-decomposable.

Proof. We assume, without lost of generality, that $V(H) = \{v_0, v_1, ..., v_m\}$ and that $v_0v_1 \in E(H)$. By Lemma 2, there exists a labeling f of H for which \overline{f} is one-to-one, $f(v_0) = 1$ and $f(v_1) = 2$.

Let $S = \{\overline{f}(e) \mid e \in E(H)\}$ and $r = \max S$. Then by Lemma 1, the circulant G(n; S) is H-decomposable, where $n = \max \{2r + 1, |V(H)|\}$. Note that $l \in S$ so that G contains a hamiltonian cycle and is connected. Moreover, from the proof of Lemma 1, it follows that G is rotationally H-decomposable.

With this result at hand we can prove an even stronger result.

Corollary 1. For every nonempty graph H there exists a connected circulant G such that G is rotationally H-decomposable and every part of such a decomposition is an induced subgraph of G.

Proof. Case 1. If H is a complete graph then a labeling f described in the proof of Theorem 1 will give the required decomposition.

Case 2. If H is not complete, we can add to H all the edges of its complement obtaining a complete graph H^* . Next, we do as in Case 1 to obtain a labeled graph H^* and a connected circulant G^* which is rotationally decomposed into (induced) copies of H^* . Deleting from G^* all edges having lengths not present in the set of lengths corresponding to the edges of H, it is obtained a circulant G with the properties stated in the Corollary.

3. APPLICATIONS TO DIGRAPHS

If F is a digraph and $F_1, F_2, ..., F_n (n \ge 1)$ are nonempty arc-disjoint subdigraphs of F satisfying the property that

$$E(F) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} E(F_i),$$

then we say that F is the arc sum of the parts $F_1, F_2, ..., F_n$ and write $F = F_1 \oplus \oplus F_2 \oplus ... \oplus F_n$. If there is a digraph D that is isomorphic to each of the parts

٨

174

 $F_1, F_2, ..., F_n$ then we say that F has an isomorphic decomposition into the digraph D or that F is D-decomposable.

A digraph is r-regular (or simply regular) if each of its vertices has both indegree and outdegree equal to r. For example, a regular digraph is obtained from a complete graph K_p by replacing each edge uv by two arcs (u, v) and (v, u). This digraph is called the *complete symmetric digraph* K_p^* . Isomorphic decompositions of K_p^* have been considered, among others, by Bermond and Sotteau [1]. Harary, Robinson and Wormald [3] showed that if t divides p(p - 1), then K_p^* has an isomorphic decomposition into t copies of some digraph. Using algebraic techniques, Wilson [5] proved that for every nonempty digraph D, the digraph K_p^* is D-decomposable for infinitely many p.

Along these lines we have:

Corollary. For every nonempty digraph D, there exists a connected regular digraph F such that $F = F_0 \oplus F_1 \oplus ... \oplus F_{n-1}$ for some $n \ge 1$ and each part is an induced subdigraph of F isomorphic to D.

Proof. Let H be the underlying graph of the digraph D. According to Corollary 2, there exists a connected circulant G such that G is rotationally H-decomposable and every part of such a decomposition is an induced subgraph of G. With the aid of G, we will now construct the desired digraph F so that G is, in fact, the underlying graph of F.

Let f be the labeling of H which gave origin to the decomposition

$$G = G_0 \oplus G_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus G_{n-1}$$
, where $V(G_0) = \{v_{f(x)} \mid x \in V(H)\}$.

For each part G_i in the *H*-decomposition of *G*, let φ^i be the *i*th power of the cycle $(v_0v_1 \ldots v_{n-1})$ such that φ^i is the isomorphism from G_0 to $G_i(\varphi^i)$ preserves the length of each edge of G_0). Now, for each part G_i and each edge v_sv_t of G_i , we employ the following procedure.

There are unique vertices $a, b \in V(D) = V(H)$ such that $v_s = \varphi^i(v_{f(a)})$ and $v_t = \varphi^i(v_{f(f)})$. Let E(ab) be the set of arcs of D joining the vertices a and b of D. Replace the edge $v_s v_t$ of G by the set of arcs $\{(v_s, v_t), (v_t, v_s)\}, \{(v_s, v_t)\}$ or $\{(v_t, v_s)\}$ according to whether E(ab) is $\{(a, b), (b, a)\}, \{(a, b)\}$ or $\{(b, a)\}$, respectively. Then the digraph F so obtained is conected, regular and has a D-decomposition where each part is induced.

We note, in closing this chapter, that a similar proof can be applied to multigraphs and multidigraphs.

References

- J. C. Bermond and D. Sotteau: Graph decompositions and G-designs, Proceedings of the Fifth British Combinatorial Conference (Univ. Aberdeen, 1975). Congressus Numeratium, No. XV, Utilitas Math., Winnipeg, Man., (1976), 53-72.
- [2] J. F. Fink: Every graph is an induced isopart of a connected regular graph, submitted.
- [3] F. Harary, R. W. Robinson and N. C. Wormald: Isomorphic factorizations V.: Directed graphs, Mathematika 25 (1978), 279-285.
- [4] A. Rosa: On certain valuations of the vertices of a graph, Theory of Graphs, Proc. Internat. Sympos. Rome 1966, Gordon and Breach, New York, (1967), 349-355.
- [5] R. M. Wilson: Decomposition of complete graphs into subgraphs isomorphic to a given graph, Proceedings of the Fifth British Combinatorial Conference (Univ. Aberdeen, 1975). Congressus Numeratium No XV, Utilitas Math., Winnipeg, Man. (1976), 647-659.

Authors' addresses: J. F. Fink, University of Michigan, Dearborn, U.S.A.; S. Ruiz, Universidad Católica de Valparaíso, Valparaíso, Chile.