



Reeves, J. C., Moon, B. C., Benton, M. J., & Stubbs, T. L. (2020). Evolution of ecospace occupancy by Mesozoic marine tetrapods. *Palaeontology*. https://doi.org/10.1111/pala.12508

Peer reviewed version

Link to published version (if available): 10.1111/pala.12508

Link to publication record in Explore Bristol Research PDF-document

This is the author accepted manuscript (AAM). The final published version (version of record) is available online via Wiley at https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1111/pala.12508?af=R . Please refer to any applicable terms of use of the publisher.

University of Bristol - Explore Bristol Research General rights

This document is made available in accordance with publisher policies. Please cite only the published version using the reference above. Full terms of use are available: http://www.bristol.ac.uk/red/research-policy/pure/user-guides/ebr-terms/

1 EVOLUTION OF ECOSPACE OCCUPANCY BY MESOZOIC MARINE TETRAPODS 2

- ⁵ ¹School of Earth Sciences, University of Bristol, Wills Memorial Building, Queen's Road,
- Bristol, BS8 1RJ, UK; e-mails: jane.reeves-3@manchester.ac.uk, Tom.Stubbs@bristol.ac.uk,
 Benjamin.Moon@bristol.ac.uk, mike.benton@bristol.ac.uk
- 8 ²Current address: Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences, University of
- 9 Manchester, Oxford Road, Manchester, M13 9PL. e-mail: Jane.Reeves-3@manchester.ac.uk
- 10 *Corresponding author
- 11 ORCID Jane 0000-0003-4848-8271; Mike 0000-0002-4323-1824; Tom 0000-0001-7358-
- 12 1051; Ben 0000-0002-0136-432X
- 13

14 **Abstract:** Ecology and morphology are different, and yet in comparative studies of fossil

- 15 vertebrates the two are often conflated. The macroevolution of Mesozoic marine tetrapods
- 16 has been explored in terms of morphological disparity, but less commonly using ecological-
- 17 functional categories. Here we use ecospace modelling to quantify ecological disparity across
- 18 all Mesozoic marine tetrapods. We document the explosive radiation of marine tetrapod
- 19 groups in the Triassic and their rapid attainment of high ecological disparity. Late Triassic
- 20 extinctions led to a marked decline in ecological disparity, and the recovery of ecospace and
- 21 ecological disparity was sluggish in the Early Jurassic. High levels of ecological disparity
- 22 were again achieved by the Late Jurassic and maintained through the Cretaceous, when
- 23 ecospace became saturated by the Late Cretaceous. Sauropterygians, turtles and
- 24 ichthyosauromorphs were the largest contributors to ecological disparity. Through the
- 25 Mesozoic, we find that established groups remained ecologically conservative and did not
- 26 explore occupied or vacant niches. Several parts of ecospace remained vacant for long spans
- of time. Newly evolved, radiating taxa almost exclusively explored unoccupied ecospace,
- suggesting that abiotic releases are needed to empty niches and initiate diversification. In the balance of evolutionary drivers in Mesozoic marine tetrapods, abiotic factors were key to
- 30 initiating diversification events, but biotic factors dominated the subsequent generation of
- and a subsequence of the subsequence of the
- 32

33 Key words: macroevolution, marine tetrapod, plesiosaur, ichthyosaur, ecology, ecospace

by JANE C. REEVES^{1,2,*}, BENJAMIN C. MOON¹, MICHAEL J. BENTON¹ and THOMAS
 L. STUBBS¹

34 UNDERSTANDING the expansion of biodiversity, both in terms of the diversity of species

and the diversity of adaptations, are core questions in macroevolution (Simpson 1944;

36 Morlon 2014; Benton 2015). Such questions have often focused on adaptive radiations (Ruta

37 *et al.* 2013; Benson *et al.* 2014), where bursts of diversification coincide with the exploration

of new ecological opportunities (niches, or defined portions of ecospace). Simpson (1944)
 proposed three models for the occupation of new niches: the evolution of key innovations

40 (e.g. wings in birds); the removal of competition through emptying existing niches by

41 extinction: and the generation of new habitats through environmental change (Simpson 1944:

42 Yoder *et al.* 2010). Subsequent debates over which of these three factors is more important in

43 influencing diversity has led to two broad hypotheses, the Red Queen and the Court Jester

44 (Benton 2009). The Red Queen hypothesis (Van Valen 1973) favours intrinsic biotic factors,
45 such as biological innovations or competition, while the Court Jester hypothesis (Barnosky
46 2001) focuses on abiotic factors, such as mass extinctions or climate change, as the dominant

46 2001) focuses on abiotic factors, such as mass extinctions47 drivers of diversification (Benton 2009).

48 Mesozoic marine tetrapods make an excellent case study to investigate origins of

49 biodiversity, as they include between 12–18 independent transitions from land to sea (Motani

50 2009; Benson 2013; Kelley and Pyenson 2015) and their anatomy and ecology are in some 51 cases well documented. Their initial diversification followed the devastating Permian-

51 Cases wen documented. Their initial diversification followed the devastating Perman-52 Triassic mass extinction (PTME), in which >90% of marine species became extinct (Sahney

52 Thassie mass extinction (PTWE), in which >90% of marine species became extinct (Samey 53 & Benton 2008; Motani 2009; Benton *et al.* 2013; Stubbs & Benton 2016). Through the

54 Mesozoic, marine tetrapods flourished, showing a series of extinctions and clade expansions

55 (Scheyer *et al.* 2014; Kelley & Pyenson 2015; Stubbs & Benton 2016; Foffa *et al.* 2018;

56 Moon & Stubbs 2020) and building complex ecosystems similar to modern marine networks

57 (Fröbisch *et al.* 2013). Triassic marine reptiles ranged from the durophagous placodonts

58 (Rieppel 2000), to the hammer-headed herbivorous *Atopodentatus unicus* (Li *et al.* 2016) and

large macrophagous ichthyosaurs such as *Thalattoarchon saurophagis* (Fröbisch *et al.* 2013).
 The Jurassic saw the rise of Plesiosauria (O'Keefe 2002) the diversification of 'shark-like'

The Jurassic saw the rise of Plesiosauria (O'Keefe 2002) the diversification of 'shark-like' Neoichthyosauria (Motani 2009; Gutarra *et al.* 2019), and appearance of several clades of

61 Neoichthyosauria (Motani 2009; Gutarra *et al.* 2019), and appearance of several clades of 62 marine turtles (Nicholls, 1997; Evers & Benson 2019). New clades arose in the Cretaceous,

63 including predatory mosasaurs, multiple marine snake lineages, chelonioids (the extant group)

64 of sea turtles), and Hesperornithidae, penguin-sized diving birds (Pyenson *et al.* 2014;

65 Driscoll *et al.* 2019; Evers & Benson 2019). Most of these clades died out during the Late

66 Cretaceous or at the Cretaceous-Paleogene (K/Pg) mass extinction (Pyenson *et al.* 2014).

67 Computational studies in macroevolution often focus on taxonomic diversity (species or 68 genus richness) or disparity (morphological diversity). Complex disparity data sets, whether

69 based on discrete or continuous characters, can be represented as morphospaces,

70 summarizing the major axes of variation in form (Raup 1966; Mitteroecker & Huttegger

71 2009). Morphospaces may be interpreted in ecological-functional terms by incorporating taxa

72 with known lifestyles, but this has to be done with caution because of the 'many-to-one'

73 problem (Wainwright et al. 2005), whereby one form might have many functions, or many

74 forms might perform the same function. Quantitative ecospace modelling, a variant of

75 morphospace modelling (Bambach 1983; Bambach *et al.* 2007), uses ecological

76 characteristics (prey preference, habitat, body size, environmental preferences, etc.) derived

from morphology and sedimentology to create an ecospace (Bush & Novack-Gottshall 2012;

78 Dick & Maxwell 2015). The method makes a link between morphology and ecology, and the 79 resulting ecospace is a reflection of ecospace occupancy within a group and trends in

80 ecological disparity can be assessed.

81 While Mesozoic marine tetrapod diversity and disparity have been investigated 82 previously, as have the drivers for their diversification (e.g. Benson & Butler 2011; Benson &

- 83 Druckenmiller 2014; Pyenson et al. 2014; Stubbs & Benton 2016; Foffa et al. 2018; Flannery
- 84 Sutherland et al. 2019; Moon & Stubbs 2020), ecospace modelling has only been used in one
- 85 study of ichthyosaurs (Dick & Maxwell 2015). The aim of this study is to produce the first,
- 86 holistic, high resolution record of ecospace occupancy and ecological disparity of marine
- 87 tetrapods through the Mesozoic. The ecospace is defined for marine tetrapod taxa based on a
- range of ecologically informative characteristics and is then used to test major questions
- 89 about marine tetrapod evolution: (1) how rapid was the ecological diversification of
- 90 Mesozoic marine tetrapods in the Triassic? (2) when was maximum ecospace occupancy and
- 91 disparity seen? (3) what impact did major extinction events have on ecological disparity? (4)
- 92 what are the relative influences of biotic or abiotic drivers on ecological disparity and 93 evolution?
- 93 ev 94

95 MATERIALS AND METHODS

- 96 Taxon selection
- 97 Taxa were coded at generic level to reduce possible inaccuracies from species
- 98 misidentification, poor fossil representation, and uneven sampling of the fossil record
- 99 (Benton 2015). Most Mesozoic marine tetrapod genera are monospecific in any case, and
- 100 those that comprise multiple species are often disputed. The majority of taxa are sauropsids,
- 101 but we also include temnospondyls. Primary sources for the taxon compilations are:
- 102 Temnospondyli from Scheyer *et al.* (2014); Rhynchocephalia, Squamata (not including
- 103 Mosasauroidea), Dryosauridae, Pholidosauridae and Testudinata from Bardet et al. (2014);
- 104 Hesperornithiformes from the Paleobiology Database (PBDB; https://paleobiodb.org.); and
- 105 remaining datasets by T.L.S. (Thalattosuchia, Sauropterygia, Mosasauridae and
- 106 Thalattosauria) and B.C.M. (Ichthyosauromorpha), compiled during their PhD work (Stubbs 107 & Benton 2016; Moon 2018)
- 107 & Benton 2016; Moon 2018).
- Where possible, the holotype of the type species of each genus was used, except in cases where the holotype was poor or incomplete, or where more complete or informative
- 110 specimens had since been reported. In some cases, it was necessary to combine information
- from both type and non-type species to code the genus accurately because of incomplete
- 112 preservation. In rare cases where more than one species within a genus demonstrated 113 different ecological characters (such as great size disparity), each species was coded
- separately. Full information is given in Reeves *et al.* (2020).
- 114 separately. Full information is given in Reeves *et al.* (2020). 115 We excluded genera if their taxonomy is equivocal or the materials are too incomplete to
- 116 indicate their ecology. Most Mesozoic marine tetrapods are known from adequate to
- 117 excellent specimens, but some have been named from incomplete or fragmentary remains
- 118 (Cleary *et al.* 2015; Tutin & Butler 2017; Driscoll *et al.* 2019); we excluded genera for which 119 we could not code more than five of our nine ecological characteristics. Finally, a few genera
- 120 are represented only by juvenile, or suspected juvenile specimens, and these were excluded as
- 121 their ecology might have changed through ontogeny. For example, Green turtles (*Chelonia*
- *mydas*) shift their diet during ontogeny (Arthur *et al.* 2008), as did the ichthyosaur
- 123 Stenopterygius quadriscissus (Dick *et al.* 2016). Temnospondyls are reported to have
- 124 changed habitat as they matured (Stever 2003), and some marine reptiles such as ichthyosaurs
- 125 may have had 'nursery' sites where juveniles lived separately from the adults, as do some
- 126 modern sharks (Williams *et al.* 2015). We make one exception to this rule for the Rhaetian
- 127 plesiosaur *Rhaeticosaurus*. The only known specimen may be a juvenile, but *Rhaeticosaurus*
- 128 is the earliest known plesiosaur, and the only plesiosaur from the Triassic (Wintrich *et al.*
- 129 2017). Therefore, excluding this taxon from the Rhaetian time bin would misrepresent
- 130 ecological disparity during this interval, where otherwise only placodonts are known. In total,
- 131 371 taxa (352 genera) are represented in the matrix (see Reeves *et al.* 2020 for full details).

- 132
- 133 Ecospace construction
- 134 Ecospace was defined by nine ecological characters (Table 1), with a total of 35 possible
- 135 character states. Where appropriate, multistate characters were used to reduce the influence of
- 136 repeat coding. Ecological characters were established from morphological evidence that
- 137 informs on ecology. For example, a connection between body shape, swimming speed, and
- 138 hunting ability is well documented (e.g. Massare 1988; Motani et al. 1996; Sfakiotakis et al.
- 139 1999). Not all morphological variation is linked to independent ecological characters; for
- 140 example, the same prey may be caught and eaten whether the predator shows morphological
- 141 evidence for either suction or ram feeding.
- 142
- 143 Ecological traits
- 144 *Size*. Body size is related to prey selection, trophic level and habitat use (Cohen *et al.* 1993)
- 145 and can be measured in various ways. We considered trying to estimate body mass for each
- 146 taxon, but methods are debated (Alexander 1998), estimates are fraught with error, and there
- 147 have been few such efforts to estimate mass or volume for Mesozoic marine tetrapods
- 148 (Motani 2001; Gutarra *et al.* 2019). In comparison, body length is quick and simple to
- determine, and we used a standard measurement from rostrum (anterior snout) to tail tip.
- 150 Body lengths were recorded from the literature, either from the description or size estimates,
- 151 or measured from published images. We recorded exact lengths, and then assigned these to
- 152 several size range bins (Table 1). Size-range bins such as these have been used in earlier
- 153 works, including Dick & Maxwell (2015), because exact measurements can entail debates
- around whether one uses a range or mean for multiple specimens and whether a measurement
- 155 on a single specimen is exactly representative of the original population. When total body
- 156 length could not be measured, we compared measurements from those parts of the anatomy
- represented, such as the skull, trunk or limb bones, and made comparisons to a nearest
- relative of the same size for assignment to size bins. Such cases are noted in the
- 159 supplementary data (Reeves *et al.* 2020).
- 160

161 *Habitat.* We coded two broad habitat types (Table 1), based on the sedimentology and 162 associated fossils of the formations from which specimens had been found, and largely

- 163 following Benson & Butler (2011).
- 164

165 *Diet.* We assigned all taxa to one of two categories, herbivore or carnivore. Herbivory is rare

- among Mesozoic marine tetrapods (Li *et al.* 2016). Most clades (e.g. ichthyosaurs,
- 167 mosasaurs, sauropterygians, thalattosuchians) have always been regarded as purely
- 168 carnivorous, and they are all recorded as such. On the other hand, the diet of most extinct
- 169 turtles is unclear (Parham & Pyenson 2010) and so modern analogues were used. Among
- 170 extant Cheloniidae, only the Green turtle is reported as herbivorous (Arthur *et al.* 2008); the
- 171 rest are either carnivorous (*Dermochelys*) or omnivorous. Therefore, we coded all turtles as
- 172 carnivorous, unless there is specific evidence for herbivory. An omnivorous diet has been
- 173 proposed for some extinct turtles, but morphological traits related to this diet are ambiguous
- in the fossil record (Maniel & de la Fuente, 2016), and this lifestyle is inconsistently reported
- 175 within the literature. To avoid creating an additional category for only a few taxa,
- 176 omnivorous turtles were coded as carnivores to distinguish them from more specialised
- 177 herbivores.
- 178
- *Feeding strategy.* We identify three feeding strategies (Table 1), based on body shape and swimming style (e.g. Massare 1988; Motani *et al.* 1996; Sfakiotakis *et al.* 1999). Following

181 Massare (1988, 1994), we assign categories based on overall body plan: Body Plan I, pursuit;

182 Body Plan II, ambush; Body Plan III, some pursuit, some ambush (coded as ambush only);

183 Body Plan IV, foraging. Among axial swimmers, the thunniform mode is related to constant,

- 184 fast, powerful motion associated with 'pursuit' style predation, and the anguilliform mode
- 185 with slow speeds punctuated with sudden bursts of acceleration, so correlating with an
- 186 'ambush' style of predation (Massare 1988; Sfakiotakis *et al.* 1999). Paraxial swimmers are
 187 generally considered to be ambush predators, a mode supported by their long neck and
- flexible body and agile movements restricted by armour and stiffened limb girdles (Massare
- 189 1988). The foraging category, a more passive prey-gathering mode, is identified for taxa that
- 190 were less adapted for swimming (with only minor limb and body modifications), and
- 191 predominantly associated with paraxial swimming style. Although pliosaurs fit the ambush
- 192 body plan, they were considered to have been pursuit predators by Massare (1988) and were 193 coded as such here.
- 194

195 *Food location.* Four categories for food source were recorded (Table 1): pelagic for prey

- 196 located in the water column, benthic for prey resting on or near the sea floor, sessile for
- 197 stationary or non-active prey and non-sessile for free-moving prey. Most taxa were assumed
- 198 to have hunted mobile prey unless the associated literature or prey preference (e.g. herbivory)
- 199 indicated otherwise. Placodonts were coded in benthic prey categories following Rieppel
- 200 (2002). Benthic feeding was identified by a combination of armour, pachyostosis (Houssaye
- 201 2009) and cranial and limb adaptations.
- 202

203 *Feeding guilds.* Prey preferences have been established in Mesozoic marine tetrapods through 204 comparative studies of tooth morphology and prey preference in extant marine predators 205 (whales) and correlation with gut contents (Massare 1987, 1997). Following these studies, 206 tooth morphology was used to code prey preference into different feeding guilds (e.g. Foffa et al. 2018). Additional guilds were created to incorporate more recently discovered feeding 207 208 styles (Table 1). The filter guild was coded from the presence of numerous small thin teeth 209 and incorporates both herbivorous (e.g. Atopodentatus: Li et al. 2016) and carnivorous (e.g. 210 Morturneria: O'Keefe et al. 2017) lifestyles. The crush-cut guild of Bardet et al. (2015) 211 incorporates the unusual tooth morphology of several mosasaurs. Lunge feeding has been recognised in several genera and relates to a prey preference and feeding method similar to 212 213 baleen whales (Motani et al. 2015). 214 As previously discussed, extinct turtle diets are difficult to extrapolate from morphology

- 214 As previously discussed, extinct turbe diets are difficult to extrapolate from morphology 215 (Parham & Pyenson 2010, Foth et al, 2017). Guilds were assigned from descriptions of prey 216 preference discussed in the literature. Where their prey preference thought to show a wide 217 manual of feading preferences two were coded in the general swild. Although this grild
- range of feeding preferences taxa were coded in the general guild. Although this guild
- 218 represents a preference for fish and softer prey it is in the middle of Massare's (1987) 210 the diag triangle' and can appendice a more generalized dist. Some tarther wave described as
- 219 'feeding triangle' and can express a more generalised diet. Some turtles were described as 220 having a "shearing" technique for eating (Parham & Pyenson 2010, fig. 3). As this did not
- having a "shearing" technique for eating (Parham & Pyenson 2010, fig. 3). As this did not fit into any coding categories, and we did not wish to create an additional mode for one small
- clade, we also coded it in the general guild. Turtles proposed to have had a diet primarily of
- 223 jellyfish were coded in the smash guild to reflect the similarity of prey choice with other 224 members of this guild.
- 224 mei 225
- 226 Specialist or generalist feeding. Following Massare (1987), we use the dentition to
- distinguish between specialist (homodont) and generalist (heterodont) feeding behaviours.
- The assumption is that those tetrapods with identical (homodont) teeth had a more restricted
- diet than those with variable (heterodont) tooth shape or size. Size heterodonty, as exhibited

by the "fish trap" fangs of *Nothosaurus* (Rieppel 2002a, p. 52), suggests a specialised prey
preference, but the diet cannot be resolved any further than fish in this case, so the diet mode
is reported as generalist.

233

234 Armour. We coded the amount of armour into four grades (Table 1): absent, rows of

- 235 osteoderms or scutes, 'half' armour (i.e. either just a carapace or plastron) or a fully encasing
- armour. Some clades such as placodonts were often heavily armoured presumably as
- protection from predators, whereas in others their lighter armour may also have had functions
 in hydrodynamics (Renesto & Tintori 1995) or osmotic regulation (e.g. Rieppel & Reisz
- 238 in hydr239 1999).
- 240

241 *Aquatic adaptation.* Limb shape was used to approximate aquatic adaptation (Table 1).

- 242 Plesiopedal limbs are associated with the retention of terrestrial abilities, whereas hydropedal
- 243 limbs indicate a fully aquatic lifestyle and the ability to gather food from more wildly
- distributed locations (Ross 2009; Kelley *et al.* 2014). We follow Benson & Butler (2011) in
 coding these traits.
- 246

247 *Ecospace occupancy analysis*

248 The matrix of ecological traits coded for each taxon was the basis for a multivariate study of 249 variation and the generation of 'ecospaces'. The coded ecological character matrix was 250 converted into a NEXUS file, and a pairwise dissimilarity matrix was calculated based on 251 Gower's coefficient (Gower 1971) in the R package Claddis (Lloyd 2016). Dissimilarity is 252 visualised using non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMDS), with the metaMDS function 253 in the R package vegan (Oksanen et al. 2016). The maximum dimensionality of the ecospace 254 was set to two axes, and 10,000 random starts were used in the search for a stable solution. 255 The performance of NMDS was assessed based on stress values (0.136, Reeves et al. 2020, 256 Fig. S1). To explore temporal ecospace trends, taxa were plotted in separate time bins and 257 convex hulls were used to highlight the overall area of ecospace occupation in each bin.

Taxa were placed in 30 stage-level time bins for the Mesozoic (total 186 Myr duration),
averaging 6.2 Myr in duration. First (FAD) and last (LAD) appearance dates for each taxon
were determined from several sources: Temnospondyli from Schoch & Milner (2014),
Ichthyosauromorpha, Mosasauroidea, Sauropterygia, Thalattosauria and Thalattosuchia from
thesis data of B.C.M. and T.L.S., and the remaining taxa from the literature and PBDB.
Geological dates come from the most recent ICS Chart (http://www.stratigraphy.org/; Cohen *et al.* 2013). In the temporal analyses, raw generic diversity/bin sampling is plotted.

265 Disparity statistics were calculated to summarize ecospace occupancy. We compare the 266 ecological diversity of major marine tetrapod clades and also assess trends through time. We 267 calculated disparity directly from the Gower pairwise distances matrix using within-bin 268 weighted mean pairwise disparity (WMPD; Close et al. 2015; Strickson et al. 2016). In addition, we examine metrics that provide insights into the overall expanse of ecospace. As 269 270 distances in non-metric ordinations may not be directly Euclidean, we needed to instead use a 271 linear approach when calculating metrics based on absolute distances within ecospace. For 272 this we applied principal coordinate analysis (PCOa) to the Gower pairwise dissimilarity matrix, and then calculated the minimum spanning tree (MST) length metric (Guillerme et al. 273 274 2019; Benevento et al. 2019) from the PCOa axes (Fig. S2), in the R package dispRity 275 (Guillerme 2018). Distances between taxa using NMDS and PCOa methods show a moderately strong, significant and linear correlation (Mantel test, R = 0.92, $R^2 = 0.85$, P =276 277 0.001, Reeves et al. 2020, Fig. S3). Our tests revealed that the MST metric is sensitive to bin 278 sample size. We therefore used partial rarefaction to prevent bins with more taxa having

279 potentially inflated disparity. Bins with a sample size greater than the median of all 30 bins (*n*

- 280 = 16) were rarefied to 16 samples, whereas bins with fewer than 16 samples were based on
- their total sample. Unrarefied MST disparity is presented in the supplement (Fig. S4). To
- 282 dissect the contribution of major groups to overall ecological disparity through time, we
- 283 calculated Foote's partial disparity metric from the PCOa and NMDS axes using the MDA
- 284 package in MATLAB (Foote 1993; Navarro 2003). In all these disparity calculations 95%

285 confidence intervals were created using 500 bootstrap replicates.

286 We characterize ecospace expansion and 'packing' between successive Mesozoic time 287 bins using the niche packing "flexible" metric (NP flexible) of Pigot et al. (2016). This metric 288 reveals the number of taxa in one bin that can be packed within the total morphospace/ 289 ecospace area/volume of another bin (irrespective of the location of taxa in ordination space). 290 We applied this metric to successive time bins throughout the Mesozoic, beginning with the 291 Induan/ Olenekian expansion in the earliest Triassic, then the Olenekian/ Anisian, right 292 through to the Campanian/Maastrichtian at the end of the Cretaceous. For each comparison, 293 we examine the proportion of taxa in the second bin that expand the ecospace convex hull 294 area of the preceding bin, thus providing insights into bins with notable high ecospace 295 expansion (Nordén *et al.* 2018). Times with low or no expansion reflect bins defined by 296 ecospace packing. We separately applied this method to both the NMDS ecospace axes and

- the PCOa axes 1 and 2.
- 298

299 **RESULTS**

300 Ecospace occupation

301 Marine tetrapod ecospace is characterized by islands of clustering in densely populated

- 302 regions. We identified six groupings according to their distinct occupation of ecospace (Fig.
- 303 1A). These groupings were identified visually and are characterised by a distinctive
- 304 combination of character state scores within the ecospace (Fig. 2). As a consequence, some
- 305 groups have much larger ecospace variance than others. For instance, group 6 has large
- 306 variance and contains two sub-groups visually separated by a gap in ecospace occupancy, but
- 307 these sub-groups cannot be explained by the distribution of ecological character states and
- have thus been retained as a single group. Conversely, groups 1 and 2 have substantially
 overlapping values along both ecospace axes and low variance (particularly along axis 2), but
- 310 can be clearly distinguished by a single ecological character, feeding strategy, justifying their
- 311 separation into two distinct groups. According to this informal grouping scheme, there is
- 312 evidence for both ecological differentiation by major clades and several instances of
- 313 convergence (Fig. 1A, Fig. S5). Examining the distribution of ecological character coding
- 314 reveals that foraging mode, habitat, prey location, armour type and limb shape greatly impact
- the distribution of taxa in ecospace. The remaining four characters, size, diet, dental guild,
- and tooth heterodonty have more mixed distributions (Fig. 2).

317 There are six notable groupings in ecospace, containing taxa sharing ecological characteristics (Fig. 2). Taxa in group 1 (1, Fig. 1A) were pursuit carnivores that hunted 318 319 pelagic prey in deep ocean environments and had hydropedal limbs (Fig. 2). They showed 320 diverse body sizes, ranging from size category 1-2 m to 8-15 m, and occupied the pierce, 321 crunch, cut and general dental guilds (Fig. 2). This grouping contains derived ichthyosaurs, a 322 small number of pliosaurs and the mosasaur Plotosaurus (Fig. 1B). Group 2 (2, Fig. 1A) 323 shares most ecological characteristics with group 1, but taxa in this grouping were instead 324 ambush predators, and represented by plesiosaurian sauropterygians and a few ichthyosaurs 325 (Fig. 1B, 2). Group 3 (3, Fig. 1A) also represents ambush carnivores that fed on pelagic prey and had hydropedal limbs, but this time in shallow water environments (Fig. 2). Once again 326 there is a diverse range of body sizes in this grouping, including both small forms (1-2 m)327

328 and large taxa (categories ranging through 2–15 m total body length). This grouping includes

- 329 some Triassic ichthyosaurs, most mosasaurs and thalattosuchian crocodylomorphs (Fig. 1B).
- 330 Group 4 (4, Fig. 1A), located at the high positive region of ecospace axis 2, is a diverse
- 331 assemblage of shallow water, ambush, pelagic feeders, but which retained plesiopedal limbs
- 332 (Fig. 2). Taxa at the positive extreme of axis 2 in this assemblage also had body armour in the 333 form rows of osteoderms or scutes (Fig. 2). Many size categories are seen in group 4, but
- form rows of osteoderms or scutes (Fig. 2). Many size categories are seen in group 4, but
 there is a greater proportion of smaller forms with total lengths of less than 1m, and even less
- then 50 cm. This group includes basal ichthyosauromorphs and hupehsuchians, teleosaurid
- and pholidosaurid crocodylomorphs, hesperornithiform birds, Triassic eosauropterygians
- 337 (nothosaurs and pachypleurosaurus), some basal mosasaurs, all lizards and snakes,
- 338 thalattosaurs and temnospondyls (Fig. 1B).

339 The extreme positive regions of ecospace axis 1 are more sparsely occupied, as are the 340 extreme negative-value regions of axis 2. These ecospace regions contain two groups of taxa 341 (5 and 6), primarily representing placodont sauropterygians and turtles (Fig. 1). Group 5 (5, 342 Fig. 1A) comprises unarmoured, partially armoured and fully armoured taxa with plesiopedal 343 limbs that existed in shallow water environments. They were foragers that fed on benthic 344 prey and belonged to the crush or filter guilds and often had heterodont dentition (Fig. 2). 345 This region is primarily occupied by placodont sauropterygians, enigmatic taxa such as the 346 herbivore Atopodentatus and Paludidraco, and some turtles (Fig. 1B). The remaining turtles occupy group 6 (6, Fig. 1A, B) which covers a broad range of ecospace, reflecting varied 347 348 niches and lifestyles. All turtles in group 6 were armoured, edentulous foragers with 349 hydropedal limbs. This grouping includes both shallow and deep ocean forms, forming two 350 subgroups, that fed on either pelagic or benthic prey, and both carnivores and herbivores (Fig. 351 2).

352

353 Temporal trends in ecospace occupation

- 354 Time-slicing marine tetrapod ecospace reveals many notable trends (Fig. 3, Fig. S6). The
- Triassic (Fig. 3A) is characterised by expanding ecospace, because of the initial increase in 355 356 species richness of multiple marine tetrapod clades. Ecospace expansion happens quickly, 357 most of it between the Induan and Anisian (e.g. Benton et al. 2013, Stubbs & Benton 2016). 358 The Triassic is also notable for the widespread overlap of taxa in ecospace, particularly in 359 group 4 (Fig. 1A). Placodonts are distinct and expand the Triassic ecospace to high positive 360 regions of ecospace axis 1. Ichthyosauromorphs display a clear and dramatic movement 361 through the Triassic ecospace, from group 4 to group 1 (Fig. 1A, 3A). The overall bounds of 362 ecospace realized within the Triassic are not substantially expanded for the remainder of the
- 363 Mesozoic (Fig. 3B, C).
- The Jurassic begins with a notable contraction of ecospace (Fig. 3B), caused by Late Triassic extinctions and the bottleneck in marine reptile evolution previously noted (e.g. Thorne *et al.* 2011; Stubbs & Benton 2016; Moon & Stubbs 2020). This contraction between
- 367 the Triassic and Jurassic reflects the loss of placodonts, non-plesiosaurian eosauropterygians,
- 368 thalattosaurs and other Triassic taxa. Only a few lineages of ichthyosaurs and sauropterygians 369 crossed the system boundary, and re-expansion of ecospace was led by these two clades for
- the first three stages of the Jurassic, but they occupied a limited, and unchanging ecospace for
- 371 19 Myr. Then, thalattosuchian crocodylomorphs emerged in the Pliensbachian and Toarcian,
- 372 expanding the ecospace substantially. Through the remainder of the Jurassic, ichthyosaurs
- and sauropterygians (plesiosaurs, pliosaurs) remained remarkably static in ecospace
- 374 occupation, and thalattosuchians (first teleosaurids, then metriorhynchids) also held steady in
- their separate region of ecospace. Turtles further expanded ecospace to increasingly positive

values along ecospace axis 1 in the Late Jurassic, partially occupying ecospace vacated some
40 Myr earlier, by placodont extinctions at the end of the Triassic (Fig. 3A, B).

378 The Cretaceous begins with similar ecospace occupancy to the end of the Jurassic (Fig. 379 3C). Plesiosaur and ichthyosaur ecospace remained stable throughout the Cretaceous, but 380 ichthyosaurs went extinct in the Cenomanian. Mosasaurs and other squamates invaded the 381 oceans after this point but occupied different ecospace to both Jurassic/Cretaceous 382 ichthyosaurs and plesiosaurs, in groups 3 and 4 (Fig. 1A); a zone that was largely empty 383 through the Early Cretaceous. This region was previously occupied by sauropterygians and 384 thalattosaurs in the Triassic and some thalattosuchian crocodylomorphs in the Late Jurassic. 385 In the last three stages of the Cretaceous (Fig. 3C), ecospace filled up until nearly every sector was occupied. The diversifications of mosasaurs, turtles, hesperornithiform birds, 386 387 marine crocodiles and elasmosaurid plesiosaurs saturated ecospace but did not notably 388 expand overall ecospace when compared with the mid-Cretaceous, or even the Late Jurassic.

389

390 Disparity Analysis

391 *Partial disparity*. Partial disparity (Figs. 4, S7) shows some rapid shifts in dominance and

392 turnovers in the Early Triassic, Early Jurassic, and Late Cretaceous, but stability throughout

393 much of the Jurassic and Early Cretaceous. Initially, all disparity was provided by

temnospondyls in the Induan, but their influence was rapidly reduced by the diversification of

395 ichthyosauromorphs in the Olenekian, whose contribution to ecospace was in turn overtaken

396 by sauropterygians in the Anisian as the main contributors to ecological disparity for the 397 remainder of the Triassic - although much of the Triassic sauropterygian disparity is

- 398 contributed by placodonts. Dramatic changes in the Late Triassic are evidence of the
- 399 previously reported extinction and bottleneck (Thorne *et al.* 2011; Stubbs & Benton 2016;

400 Moon & Stubbs 2020).

401 Ichthyosaurs and plesiosaurian sauropterygians make equal contributions to disparity in the earliest Jurassic (Fig. 4). The declining contribution of both clades during the Toarcian 402 403 and Middle Jurassic corresponds to the substantial expansion of thalattosuchian 404 crocodylomorphs. The Early Cretaceous shows little change from the Late Jurassic, with the exception of the diminishing contribution of crocodylomorphs. A major shift during this 405 406 interval is the rapid expansion of marine turtles to dominate ecospace in the second half of 407 the Mesozoic, making a steady, high contribution to disparity throughout the Late Jurassic 408 and Cretaceous. Turtles clearly adapted to a broad swathe of ecospace occupancy and were 409 successful in retaining this ecological position through the Cretaceous.

Major changes occur in the Late Cretaceous (Fig. 4). Ichthyosaurs became extinct and
thalattosuchian crocodylomorphs had already gone. Turtles increase their relative disparity
substantially, to ~50%. New marine clades such as mosasaurs, marine lizards and snakes,
hesperornithiform birds, and new crocodylomorph groups make modest contributions to total
disparity. Sauropterygians continued through all these vicissitudes but contributing only 10–

- 415 15% of disparity.
- 416

417 *Temporal disparity trends and ecospace expansion.* Both disparity metrics (WMPD and

418 MST) show that high ecological disparity was rapidly attained by the Middle Triassic (Fig.

419 5). WMPD then marginally increased through to the Norian (Fig. 5B), but the MST metric

420 shows a decline between the Carnian and Norian (Fig. 5C). Increasing disparity in the

421 WMPD metric between the Middle Triassic and Norian is linked to a reduction in

422 diversity/sampling (Fig. 5A), leading to ecospace 'thinning-out' and increasing the pairwise

423 dissimilarity between taxa - but the overall expanse of ecospace remained stable or was

424 reduced (Figs 3A, 5C). Both metrics show a reduction in disparity through the latest Triassic

- 425 to very low levels in the first three stages of the Early Jurassic (Fig. 5B, C). Ecological
- 426 disparity then progressively increases to a second high point in the Late Jurassic, which is
- 427 characterized by both high pairwise dissimilarity and expansive ecospace occupation (Figs
- 3B, 5B, C). High levels of ecological disparity are maintained throughout the Early and Late 428
- 429 Cretaceous. WMPD remains stable, with a very minor reduction in the Late Cretaceous due 430
- to ecospace saturation and increasing diversity (Figs 3C, 5A, B). MST disparity documents 431 reduced disparity during the early stages of the Cretaceous, potentially due to reduced
- 432 diversity and sampling (Figs 5A, C). Overall, the rarefied MST metric closely mirrors generic
- 433 diversity, but does not show such high peaks in the Middle Triassic and Late Cretaceous.
- 434 Proportional ecospace expansion was greatest during the Early Triassic, Toarcian,
- Middle-early Late Jurassic and the mid Cretaceous (Fig. 5D). High levels of expansion in the 435 436 Early Triassic reflect the initial massive expansion of marine tetrapod ecospace between the
- 437 Induan and Anisian (Fig. 3A), establishing relatively high disparity by this interval (Figs 5B,
- 438 C). Toarcian expansion is linked to the emergence of thalattosuchians, which expand
- 439 ecospace compared to the very low ecological disparity in the preceding three Early Jurassic
- 440 bins. Expansions during the Middle Jurassic reflect successive contractions and expansion of
- 441 ecospace during this interval, potentially reflecting low samples sizes, not continued ecospace
- 442 expansion (Figs 3B, 5A). The Late Jurassic expansion marks the emergence of turtles and
- 443 ecospace expansion along axis 1 (Figs. 3B, 5D). Finally, ecospace expansion in the mid
- Cretaceous is caused by the increasing diversity of ecologically disparate turtles in ecospace 444
- 445 groups 5 and 6 (Figs. 1, 3B, 5D).

446 447 DISCUSSION

448 Ecospace occupation

449 All our analyses confirm that the Triassic was distinct from the Jurassic-Cretaceous interval, 450 documenting an explosive radiation of marine tetrapod groups, most of which disappeared during the latter half of the Late Triassic. These staggered Late Triassic extinctions massively 451 452 perturbed marine tetrapod ecological disparity. Diversity, disparity and ecospace occupation 453 all remained at low levels through the first 19 Myr of the Jurassic, even though ichthyosaurs and plesiosaurs were abundant and richly represented in some parts of the world (Cleary et al. 454 455 2015; Tutin & Butler 2017). It took until the Late Jurassic for marine tetrapods to recover 456 levels of ecological disparity comparable to the Triassic, and until the Late Cretaceous for 457 tetrapods to fully reinvade ecospace that had formerly been occupied by placodonts and other

458 Triassic clades (Fig. 3).

459 The initial expansion of marine reptiles in the Early and early Middle Triassic could be 460 interpreted as an example of an 'early burst' radiation as empty ecospace was filled rapidly 461 by new taxa (Simpson 1944; Schluter 2000; Stubbs & Benton 2016; Moon & Stubbs 2020). 462 The rapid rise of diversity, and especially disparity and ecospace occupancy, documents how 463 Triassic taxa explored and adapted to newly vacant niches that were emptied by the PTME, as well as to previously unoccupied ecospace (Benton et al. 2013; Stubbs & Benton 2016). 464 465 Saturation of ecospace by the Anisian is a measure of the rapidity of diversification and

probably indicates intense competition between marine predators in Middle Triassic seas 466 467 (Fig. 3).

468 Placodonts stand out in the Triassic as occupying their own patch of ecospace, reflecting 469 their unique adaptations to snatching and crushing molluscs (Rieppel 2002a). While 470 placodonts are often described as having had a superficial resemblance to turtles (e.g. Rieppel 471 2002b; Fröbisch et al. 2013) the, only partial, ecospace overlap between these groups shows they were not fully ecologically convergent, and that turtles expanded into different areas of 472 473 ecospace, such as open ocean environments (Figs. 1, 2).

474 The explosive radiation of marine tetrapods in the first 10 Myr of the Triassic was not 475 repeated in the Mesozoic, even after the near annihilation of many clades in the Late Triassic. 476 In the aftermath of this crisis, ichthyosaurs and sauropterygians did not expand into the empty ecospace (Fig. 3B), nor did they expand their ecospace occupancy again – somehow the 477 478 trauma of the Early Jurassic bottleneck and the loss of lineage diversity prevented both clades 479 from expanding their ecological disparity, even though species richness expanded and 480 fluctuated for the remaining 134 Myr of the Mesozoic (Benson & Butler 2011). It was 481 thalattosuchian crocodylomorphs and later turtles that expanded ecospace in the Jurassic 482 (Figs. 3B, 4, 5), with the thalattosuchians potentially benefiting from the sluggish recovery of 483 ichthyosaurs and sauropterygians in the Jurassic. Of course, we did not consider other marine 484 predators such as sharks or cephalopods in our study. For example, neoselachian sharks 485 diversified in the Jurassic and Cretaceous (Underwood 2006) and may have occupied some of 486 these 'empty' areas of the ecospace, restricting the opportunities for tetrapods. Durophagous 487 roles occupied by placodonts in the Triassic were to some extent taken over by fishes such as 488 Dapedium in the Late Triassic and Early Jurassic (Smithwick 2015; Smithwick and Stubbs 489 2018).

490 We find that all clades show considerable ecological conservatism. It is well understood 491 that selection pressure from competition can drive a broadening of habitat use (MacArthur et 492 al. 1972), and this could be seen as an expansion of occupancy or a movement across 493 ecospace. However, even in times of evidently empty ecospace, such as much of the Jurassic, 494 the incumbent clades such as ichthyosaurs and sauropterygians occupied the same small 495 patches of ecospace throughout. Their conservatism was not caused by competition from 496 other tetrapod clades, as thalattosuchians and turtles had come on the scene well into the 497 Jurassic and entered empty ecospace without apparently impinging on the ichthyosaurs or 498 sauropterygians (Foffa et al. 2018). This kind of non-interaction also seems to be a more 499 reasonable explanation of ecospace occupation in the Cretaceous than intra-clade 500 competition. Ichthyosaurs went extinct, and their assumed functional successors, the 501 mosasaurs, diversified later, and into different ecospace (Figs 1-3). Ecological conservatism 502 could relate to morphological constraints, meaning that particular groups could not always 503 expand ecospace opportunistically. The notable exception to this pattern is seen in turtles, 504 which occupied large, diverse, areas of the ecospace. In future, it might be worth testing to 505 what extent their survival through the end-Cretaceous mass extinction related to this wide 506 adaptability.

507 Mosasauroidea expanded into occupied ecospace in the Late Cretaceous (Fig. 3D), but the primary drivers have been identified as probably abiotic (Bush & Novack-Gottshall 2012; 508 509 Polcyn et al. 2014). Changing environments, most notably rapidly rising sea levels and 510 consequent expansions of the areas of continental shelves, opened new habitats where 511 mosasaurs could hunt newly evolved invertebrates, fishes and reptiles. Overall, our work on 512 marine tetrapod ecospace through the Mesozoic conforms better to the Court Jester than the 513 Red Queen – major environmental changes such as catastrophic extinctions (end-Permian; 514 Late Triassic) triggered losses and expansions, and sea level and climatic changes likely 515 modulated other opportunities for ecospace occupation. However, this refers only to the 516 coarse-scale ecological dynamics, and we cannot comment on interspecies competition.

517

518 Ecospace occupation by Ichthyosauromorpha

- 519 Ichthyosauromorpha are the only clade that showed clear directional movement through
- 520 ecospace (Fig. 6C); this matches their well-documented morphological transition from basal
- 521 'eel-like' forms to derived 'tuna-like' forms (Motani 2005; Moon & Stubbs 2020). Dick &
- 522 Maxwell (2015) described this movement as an ecospace 'migration', the complete

523 evacuation of one ecospace area for a new one, and used this as the basis for a new model of 524 ecospace occupation (Fig. 6A). The data presented here (Fig. 3, Fig. 6C), however, do not fit 525 this migration model, as ichthyosaurs expanded into new ecospaces while continuing to 526 occupy a core area of ecospace. Ichthyosauromorph ecospace is characterized by Triassic 527 expansion followed by stasis in the Jurassic and Cretaceous, rather than continually shifting 528 ecospace (Fig. 6C), although our data cannot detect other smaller-scale ecological shifts.

529 According to our data, in the Triassic (Fig. 3A, Fig. 6C), ichthyosauromorphs began by occupying groups 3 and 4 (Fig. 1), and reverted mainly to 3, and then added 2 in the 530 531 Rhaetian. They then shifted to group 1 for the Jurassic and Cretaceous (Fig. 3B, C, Fig. 6C). 532 This pattern is inconsistent with the migration model and, instead, corresponds more to the 533 'negative feedback' model of Bush & Novack-Gottshall (2012), which describes a 534 combination of contraction and expansion driven by biotic interactions (Fig. 6B). The 535 'negative feedback' model was rejected by Dick & Maxwell (2015) because they found no 536 evidence for biotic interactions. However, by incorporating other genera, we find that 537 Ichthyosauromorpha and Eosauropterygia shared some ecospace during the Triassic (Figs. 538 1B, 3A), demonstrating the potential for interaction between members of the two clades.

539 We believe our results differ from those of Dick & Maxwell (2015) because of two problems: these authors (1) did not define ecological attributes appropriately and (2) they 540 541 used generalised time bins that concealed change. On the first point, Dick & Maxwell (2015, 542 fig. 1) included an 'ecological' trait based on temporal appearance in the fossil record, but 543 this trait was not defined from morphology, so it does not conform to the standards for 544 ecospace construction (Bush & Novack-Gottshall 2012). Their use of binary characters for 545 feeding guilds introduced repeat coding of states, in which genera were coded the same simply because they do not occur in several feeding guilds. Guilds are usually mutually 546 547 exclusive, so binary states are not necessary; the multistate characters used here, alongside 548 use of Gower distances, have removed coding artefacts and show a more realistic and 549 appropriate representation of similarity and ecospace position. In the analysis by Dick & 550 Maxwell (2015, fig. 1B), their time-based character had a dominant effect on the ecospace by 551 grouping coeval genera together more closely than by their ecology; this contracted the 552 ecospace and gave the impression of temporal 'migration.'

553 On the second point, the use of broad multi-epoch time bins by Dick & Maxwell (2015) 554 removed the resolution of small-scale patterns of change, which hid the mechanisms by 555 which the movement was created and so made it impossible to distinguish between migration 556 and negative feedback. By using smaller time bins, as we do, differences in the mode of 557 movement through ecospace become more apparent. Overall, we show that expansions and 558 selective extinctions in ichthyosauromorph ecospace evolution do not fit a strict 'migration' 559 model and more closely reflect a 'negative feedback' model but with a long-term directional 560 shift. Nevertheless, our data cannot fully differentiate between the underlying processes 561 behind the 'migration' or 'negative feedback' models of macroevolution, and both models 562 may not accurately describe ichthyosauromorph ecospace evolution.

563

564 Limitations of ecospace modelling

565 The main limitation of ecospace modelling among fossils is the crudity of the ecospace

566 categories. Clades that overlap in ecospace occupancy may have, in reality, been

567 differentiated, but the traits that separated them are not preserved in the fossil record. While

568 general prey preference can be established from morphology (Massare 1987; Foffa *et al.*

- 569 2018), it is harder to identify a preferred prey species or exclusive diet, except by reference to
- 570 gut contents or coprolites or detailed functional analysis in comparison to modern analogues.
- 571 In any case, at the level of this study, such detail cannot be achieved for all taxa, and we

572 preferred to focus on broad ecological categories. Similarly, behavioural characteristics that

573 can create niche segregation are also lost. Extant marine predators, such as Orcas

574 (Orcinus orca), demonstrate subspecies segregation through unique prey and habitat

575 preferences which are not apparent from their morphology (Pitman and Ensor 2003). We

576 cannot say whether the 107 Myr of co-habitation by ichthyosaurs and plesiosaurs is because

577 they were occupying entirely distinct ecospace, or whether some were competing over shared

resources. Without an increase of biomechanical and functional morphological studies across
 Mesozoic marine tetrapods, or the discovery of more ecologically informative fossils, it may

580 be difficult to further refine the ecospace.

581 It is interesting to note that different body sizes and dental morphologies are scattered 582 throughout ecospace (Fig. 2). These traits have received considerable attention in the 583 literature (e.g. Massare 1986; Polcyn et al. 2014; Stubbs & Benton 2016; Foffa et al. 2018,), 584 but do not appear to control the distribution of taxa in marine tetrapod ecospace here. 585 This is, in part, because the five dominating characters covary considerably, whereas body 586 sizes and tooth morphologies have more complex distributions. It may also reflect the larger 587 number of character states for body sizes and dental morphologies, whereby extreme trait 588 scores show different distributions, but intermediate states show overlaps (Fig. 2). There may 589 also be some biological implications, particularly for size, where taxa with disparate sizes 590 share ecospace. For example, in modern marine ecosystems, the storm petrel (Hvdrobates 591 pelagicus) and blue whale (Balaenoptera musculus) both feed on krill (Euphausiacea) and 592 engage in some degree of ecological competition and interaction, despite their vastly different 593 body sizes. An important endeavour of future work is to develop new approaches that analyse 594 all these ecological parameters in a holistic and quantitative framework.

595

596 Influence of sampling bias

597 Disparity and diversity are frequently decoupled (Benton 2015), so there is no reason to expect taxonomic palaeodiversity to mirror morphological or ecological disparity. However, 598 599 it is important to consider that sampling bias is a serious issue in all macroevolutionary studies, and the Mesozoic marine reptile fossil record has been held up for scrutiny. It has 600 601 been argued that the levels of bias are so great as to make the raw palaeodiversity signal 602 largely misleading (e.g. Benson et al. 2010; Benson & Butler 2011), but the methods behind 603 these conclusions are flawed (Sakamoto et al. 2017). Other studies of the fossil quality of 604 ichthyosaurs (Cleary et al. 2015), plesiosaurs (Tutin & Butler 2017) and mosasaurs (Driscoll 605 et al. 2019) show little evidence that fossil number or quality drive palaeodiversity patterns. 606 In addition, a recent study of ichthyosaur morphological disparity showed that patterns are generally consistent even when taxa known only from exceptionally fossiliferous formations 607 608 are removed (Flannery Sutherland et al. 2019). In our study, it is clear that generic diversity 609 is not directly linked with ecological disparity (Fig. 5). For example, disparity is equally high 610 in the Norian (n = 9) as in the Anisian (n = 47), and in the Berriasian (n = 10) and Tithonian (n = 36). We also use rarefaction to mitigate against the effects of variable sample sizes on 611 612 our MST disparity metric (Figs. 5C, S4). Poor sampling may hinder our understanding of the tempo and patterns of marine tetrapod extinctions in the Norian and Rhaetian. The decline in 613 614 marine tetrapod diversity in these bins could be an artefact of poor sampling or could reflect changing sea levels and a loss of shallow marine habitats (Kelley et al. 2014). Nevertheless, it 615 is clear that the faunal turnovers and evolutionary bottleneck during this transition had long-616

617 term and drastic impacts on marine tetrapod macroevolution (Figs. 3–5, Fischer *et* al. 2014;

- 618 Stubbs & Benton 2016; Moon & Stubbs 2020).
- 619
- 620 *Disparity trends*

621 Our study of ecospace evolution confirms some earlier findings and conflicts with others. The 622 apparently rapid diversification of marine tetrapods in the Early to Middle Triassic seems 623 clear (Bardet 1994; Benson & Butler 2011; Benton et al. 2013; Stubbs & Benton 2016). The rapid rise of both diversity and ecospace occupation through the evolution of new feeding 624 625 guilds and lifestyles supports the finding in Stubbs and Benton (2016) that the Mesozoic 626 Marine Revolution may have originated in the Triassic and not the Jurassic as previously 627 thought (Vermeij 1977). Stubbs & Benton (2016) showed highest marine reptile 628 ecomorphological disparity in the Middle-early Late Triassic and Late Cretaceous. 629 suggesting it took over 100 million years to recover similar levels of disparity following the 630 Late Triassic extinctions. Here we also find high disparity in the Middle-early Late Triassic, 631 but instead show that this level was again attained in the Late Jurassic and maintained, or 632 even exceeded, during the Cretaceous (Figs 3 and 5). This may reflect the different trait types 633 used in each study and the differences between morphology and ecology. Stubbs & Benton 634 (2016) assessed functional ecomorphology of the jaws and dentition, using morphological 635 disparity as a proxy for ecospace occupancy. Here similar functional morphology (for prey capture) was incorporated into the prey preference ecological character, condensing different 636 637 morphologies into a smaller number of ecological categories. By reducing the range of morphologies documented by Stubbs & Benton (2016), variations between taxa and total 638 639 disparity were reduced. Further, where Stubbs & Benton (2016) used body size variation as another morphological proxy, here we have used postcranial morphology to inform on 640 several additional character traits, including habitat and aquatic adaptation. Nevertheless, 641 642 there are many shared patterns between the metrics of morphological disparity and ecospace 643 occupancy, suggesting that our measures of ecospace occupancy capture some of the same macroecological phenomena as documented by Stubbs & Benton (2016). 644

645 It has been suggested that there was a tetrapod extinction event at the Jurassic-646 Cretaceous boundary (e.g. Bardet 1994; Benson et al. 2010; Benson & Druckenmiller 2014; Tennant et al. 2016), but we find no evidence that this event impacted ecospace occupancy 647 648 and disparity of marine tetrapods; this suggests a turnover and thinning-out of ecospace rather than a major ecological perturbation. The increase of taxonomic diversity and high levels of 649 650 ecological disparity in the Late Cretaceous suggest that marine tetrapods were not in decline 651 at the end of the Mesozoic, and fossil evidence points to rapid extinction, not a gradual decline, of clades at the K/Pg boundary (Figs 3, 5) (Bardet 1994; Ross 2009; Stubbs & 652 653 Benton 2016).

654

655 *What drives diversity and disparity in the marine tetrapods?*

The drivers of diversity and disparity in marine tetrapods were both biotic and abiotic. 656 Evidence for the Red Queen, in the form of competition avoidance, is that new marine clades 657 tended to occupy empty ecospace, as seen in the Triassic and in the ichthyosauromorph 658 659 ecospace expansion. The reinvasion of similar ecospace at different times by basal 660 ichthyosauromorphs, thalattosuchians and mosasaurs indicates that there were a limited 661 number of ecological functions that were accessible to tetrapods, and different clades converged upon them repeatedly. However, filling such empty ecospace often followed a 662 long time gap, so selection to do so may not have been intense. 663

664 On the other hand, much of marine tetrapod ecospace evolution reflects abiotic drivers. 665 The process began during ecosystem recovery following the devastation of the PTME, when 666 several clades of tetrapods entered the oceans and evolved fully marine adaptations rapidly. 667 Some of these arguably replaced pre-existing sharks or other fishes that had become extinct, 668 but most occupied ecospace that had been empty before the mass extinction. Detailed studies 669 suggest that sea level change was a major driver of marine tetrapod evolution in the Triassic (Kelley *et al.* 2014) and Middle-Late Jurassic (Foffa et al. 2018), and of mosasaur evolution
(Polcyn *et al.* 2014).

672 Pyenson *et al.* (2014) note that marine shelf and open ocean tetrapods were subject to 673 different environmental controls. On continental shelves, ecosystems are more dependent on

674 minor sea level and climatic fluctuations, whereas pelagic predators in the open oceans

- 675 depend more on changes in productivity which in turn depend on tectonic and climatic
- 676 controls on circulation and upwelling. This distinction was highlighted, for example, by
- 677 Benson & Butler (2011) in their analysis of Mesozoic marine tetrapod palaeodiversity.678

679 CONCLUSIONS

- This is the first study of ecospace occupancy by Mesozoic marine tetrapods using ecospace
- 681 modelling. Ecospace modelling quantifies ecospace occupancy and can be used to describe
- 682 patterns through time. The explosive radiation of several marine tetrapod groups in the
- 683 Triassic is highlighted, as well as the disparity crash through the Late Triassic and the
- 684 sluggish recovery with slow ecospace refilling and expansion through the Jurassic, and then
- the peak in ecospace occupation in the Late Cretaceous. Through time, sauropterygians,
- turtles and ichthyosauromorphs were the biggest contributors to ecological disparity. The
- temporal movement of ichthyosauromorphs through ecospace is more consistent with the
- 688 negative feedback model, rather than a migration model. Invading new taxa favoured
- 689 unoccupied ecospace, while most established taxa show minimal ecospace movement and do
- 690 not exploit empty ecospace. Only minimal evidence for biotic interactions driving
- 691 diversification is recovered in Triassic ichthyosauromorphs and in the initial Triassic adaptive 692 radiation.
- 693
- 694 Acknowledgements. We thank members of the Bristol Palaeobiology group for feedback. This
- 695 MS comes from an MSc thesis submitted by JCR as part of the requirements of the MSc in
- Palaeobiology at the University of Bristol. Funding to MJB, TLS and BCM from UK Natural
- 697 Environment Research Council grant (NE/P013724/1) and ERC Advanced Grant (788203
 698 INNOVATION). We thank Serjoscha Evers, an anonymous reviewer, and editors Roger
- 699 Benson and Sally Thomas for their great contributions to improving the paper.
- 700
- 701 *Author contributions*. TLS, BCM and MJB designed and supervised the study, TLS and
- 702 BCM wrote code and developed the methods, JCR and TLS carried out the analyses, and all
- authors contributed to drafting the manuscript.
- 704 705 **DATA ARCHI**
- 705 DATA ARCHIVING STATEMENT
- 706 Data for this study are available in the Dryad Digital Repository:
- 707 https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.XXX
- 708

709 **REFERENCES**

- ALEXANDER, R. M. 1998. All time giants: the largest animals and their problems.
 Palaeontology, 41, 1231–1245.
- ARTHUR, K. E., BOYLE, M. C. and LIMPUS, C. J. 2008. Ontogenetic changes in diet and
 habitat use in green sea turtle (*Chelonia mydas*) life history. *Marine Ecology Progress Series*, 362, 303–311.
- BAMBACH, R. K. 1983. Ecospace utilization and guilds in marine communities through the
 Phanerozoic. 719–746. In TEVESZ, M. J. S. and McCALL, P. (eds). *Biotic interactions*
- 717 *in Recent and fossil benthic communities*. Plenum Press, New York, NY, xvii + 837 pp.
- 718 ——, BUSH, A.M. and ERWIN, D.H. 2007. Autecology and the filling of ecospace: key

- 719 metazoan radiations. *Palaeontology*, **50**, 1–22.
- BARDET, N. 1994. Extinction events among Mesozoic marine reptiles. *Historical Biology*,
 7, 313–324.
- 722 , HOUSSAYE, A., VINCENT, P., PEREDA SUBERBIOLA, X., AMAGHZAZ, M.,
- JOURANI, E. and MESLOUH, S. 2015. Mosasaurids (Squamata) from the
- Maastrichtian phosphates of Morocco: biodiversity, palaeobiogeography and
 palaeoecology based on tooth morphoguilds. *Gondwana Research*, 27, 1068–1078.
 FALCONNET, J., FISCHER, V., HOUSSAYE, A., JOUVE, S., PEREDA
- 727 SUBERBIOLA, X., PEREZ-GARCIA, A., RAGE, J. C. and VINCENT, P. 2014.
- Mesozoic marine reptile palaeobiogeography in response to drifting plates. *Gondwana Research*, 26, 869–887.
- BARNOSKY, A. D. 2001. Distinguishing the effects of the Red Queen and Court Jester on
 Miocene mammal evolution in the northern Rocky Mountains. *Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology*, 21, 172–185.
- BENEVENTO, G. L., BENSON, R. B. and FRIEDMAN, M. 2019. Patterns of mammalian
 jaw ecomorphological disparity during the Mesozoic/Cenozoic transition. *Proceedings*of the Royal Society B, 286, 20190347.
- BENSON, R. B. J. 2013. Marine reptiles. 267–279. In MACLEOD, N., ARCHIBALD, J. D.
 and LEVIN, P. S. (eds). *Grzimek's Animal Life Encyclopedia: Extinction*. Gale Cengage
- 738 Learning, Farmington Hills, MI.
- and BUTLER, R. J. 2011. Uncovering the diversification history of marine tetrapods:
 ecology influences the effect of geological sampling biases. *Geological Society, London, Special Publications*, **358**, 191–208.
- and DRUCKENMILLER, P. S. 2014. Faunal turnover of marine tetrapods during the
 Jurassic-Cretaceous transition. *Biological Reviews*, **89**, 1–23.
- 744 ——, BUTLER, R. J., LINDGREN, J. and SMITH, A. S. 2010. Mesozoic marine tetrapod
 745 diversity: mass extinctions and temporal heterogeneity in geological megabiases
- affecting vertebrates. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B*, **277**, 829–34.
- 747 , CAMPIONE, N. E., CARRANO, M. T., MANNION, P. D., SULLIVAN, C.,
- 748 UPCHURCH, P. and EVANS, D. C. 2014. Rates of dinosaur body mass evolution
 749 indicate 170 million years of sustained ecological innovation on the avian stem lineage.
 750 *PLoS Biology*, **12(6)**, e1001896.
- BENTON, M. J. 2009. The Red Queen and the Court Jester: species diversity and the role of
 biotic and abiotic factors through time. *Science*, **323**, 728–732.
- 753 . 2015. Exploring macroevolution using modern and fossil data. *Proceedings of Royal* 754 *Society B*, 282, 1–10.
- 755 _____, ZHANG, Q.-Y., HU, S.-X., CHEN, Z.-Q., WEN, W., LIU, J., HUANG, J.-Y.,
- ZHOU, C.-Y., XIE, T., TONG, J. and CHOO, B. 2013. Exceptional vertebrate biotas
 from the Triassic of China, and the expansion of marine ecosystems after the PermoTriassic mass extinction. *Earth-Science Reviews*, **125**, 199–243.
- BUSH, A. M. and NOVACK-GOTTSHALL, P. M. 2012. Modelling the ecologicalfunctional diversification of marine Metazoa on geological time scales. *Biology Letters*,
 8, 151–5.
- CLEARY, T. J., MOON, B. C., DUNHILL, A. M. and BENTON, M. J. 2015. The fossil
 record of ichthyosaurs, completeness metrics and sampling biases. *Palaeontology*, 58,
 521–536.
- CLOSE, R. A., FRIEDMAN, M., LLOYD, G. T. and BENSON, R. B. J. 2015. Evidence for
 a mid-Jurassic adaptive radiation in mammals. *Current Biology*, 25, 2137–2142.
- 767 COHEN, J. E., PIMM, S. L., YODZIS, P. and SALDANA, J. 1993. Body sizes of animal

- 768 predators and animal prey in food webs. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, **62**, 67–78.
- COHEN, K. M., FINNEY, S. C. and FAN, J.-X. 2013. The ICS International
 Chronostratigraphic Chart. *Episodes*, 36, 199–204.
- DICK, D. G. and MAXWELL, E. E. 2015. The evolution and extinction of the ichthyosaurs
 from the perspective of quantitative ecospace modelling. *Biology Letters*, 11, 20150339.
- 773 —, SCHWEIGERT, G. and MAXWELL, E. E. 2016. Trophic niche ontogeny and
 774 palaeoecology of early Toarcian *Stenopterygius* (Reptilia: Ichthyosauria).
 775 *Palaeontology*, **59**, 423–431.
- DRISCOLL, D. A., DUNHILL, A. M., STUBBS, T. L. and BENTON, M. J. 2019. The
 mosasaur fossil record through the lens of fossil completeness. *Palaeontology*, 62, 51–
 778 75.
- EVERS, S. W. and BENSON, R. B. J. 2019. A new phylogenetic hypothesis of turtles with
 implications for the timing and number of evolutionary transitions to marine lifestyles in
 the group. *Palaeontology*, **62**, 93–134.
- FISCHER, V., CAPPETTA, H., VINCENT, P., GARCIA, G., GOOLAERTS, S., MARTIN,
 J. E., ROGGERO, D. AND VALENTIN, X. 2014. Ichthyosaurs from the French
 Rhaetian indicate a severe turnover across the Triassic–Jurassic boundary.
- 785 *Naturwissenschaften*, **101**, 1027–1040.
- FLANNERY SUTHERLAND, J., MOON, B. C., STUBBS, T. L. and BENTON, M. J. 2019.
 Does exceptional preservation distort our view of disparity in the fossil record?
 Proceedings of the Royal Society B, 286, 20190091.
- FOFFA, D., YOUNG, M. T., STUBBS, T. L., DEXTER, K. G. and BRUSATTE, S. L. 2018.
 The long-term ecology and evolution of marine reptiles in a Jurassic seaway. *Nature Ecology & Evolution*, 2, 1548–1555.
- FOOTE, M. 1993. Contributions of individual taxa to overall morphological disparity.
 Paleobiology, 19, 403–419.
- FOTH, C., RABI, M. and JOYCE, W. G. 2017. Skull shape variation in extant and extinct
 Testudinata and its relation to habitat and feeding ecology. *Acta Zoologica*, 98, 310–325.
- FRÖBISCH, N. B., FRÖBISCH, J., SANDER, P. M., SCHMITZ, L. and RIEPPEL, O. C.
 2013. Macropredatory ichthyosaur from the Middle Triassic and the origin of modern trophic networks. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, U.S.A., 110, 1393– 1397.
- GOWER, J. C. 1971. A general coefficient of similarity and some of its properties.
 Biometrics, 27, 857.
- GUILLERME, T. 2018. dispRity: a modular R package for measuring disparity. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, 9, 1755–1763.
- GUTARRA, S., MOON, B. C., RAHMAN, I. A., PALMER, C. P., LAUTENSCLAGER, S.,
 BRIMACOMBE, A. J. and BENTON, M. J. 2019. Effects of body plan evolution on the
 hydrodynamic drag and energy requirements of swimming in ichthyosaurs. *Proceedings* of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 286, 20182786.
- HOUSSAYE, A. 2009. 'Pachyostosis' in aquatic amniotes: a review. *Integrative Zoology*, 4,
 325–340.
- KELLEY, N. P. and PYENSON, N. D. 2015. Evolutionary innovation and ecology in marine
 tetrapods from the Triassic to the Anthropocene. *Science*, 348, aaa3716.
- 812 , MOTANI, R., JIANG, D.-Y., RIEPPEL, O. C. and SCHMITZ, L. 2014. Selective
- 813 extinction of Triassic marine reptiles during long-term sea-level changes illuminated by
- 814 seawater strontium isotopes. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology*, 400,
 815 9–16.
- 816 LI, C., RIEPPEL, O. C., LONG, C. and FRASER, N. C. 2016. The earliest herbivorous

- 817 marine reptile and its remarkable jaw apparatus. *Science Advances*, **2**, 2–6.
- LLOYD, G. T. 2016. Estimating morphological diversity and tempo with discrete character taxon matrices: Implementation, challenges, progress, and future directions. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society*, **118**, 131–151.
- MACARTHUR, R. H., DIAMOND, J. M. and KARR, J. R. 1972. Density compensation in
 island faunas. *Ecology*, 53, 330–342.
- MANIEL, I. J. and FUENTE, M. S. de la. 2016. A Review of the Fossil Record of Turtles of
 the Clade Pan-Chelidae. *Bulletin of the Peabody Museum of Natural History*, 57, 191–
 227.
- MASSARE, J. A. 1987. Tooth morphology and prey preference of Mesozoic marine reptiles.
 Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology, 7, 121–137.

- *Physiology of Animal Swimming*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 250 pp.
 . 1997. Introduction to faunas, behavior, and evolution. 401–421. *In* CALLAWAY, J.
- M. and NICHOLLS, E. L. (eds.) *Ancient Marine Reptiles*, Academic Press, New York, 501 pp.
- MITTEROECKER, P. and HUTTEGGER, S. M. 2009. The concept of morphospaces in
 evolutionary and developmental biology: mathematics and metaphors. *Biological Theory*, 4, 54–67.
- MOON, B. C. 2018. A new phylogeny of ichthyosaurs (Reptilia: Diapsida). *Journal of Systematic Palaeontology*, 17, 129–155.
- MOON, B. C. and STUBBS, T. L. 2020. Early high rates and disparity in the evolution of
 ichthyosaurs. *Communications Biology*, 3, 1–8.
- MORLON, H. 2014. Phylogenetic approaches for studying diversification. *Ecology Letters*,
 17, 508–525.
- MOTANI, R. 2001. Estimating body mass from silhouettes: testing the assumption of
 elliptical body cross-sections. *Paleobiology*, 27, 735–750.
- 847 . 2005. Evolution of fish-shaped reptiles (Reptilia: Ichthyopterygia) in their physical
- 848 environments and constraints. *Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences*, 33, 395–
 849 420.
- 850 _____. 2009. The evolution of marine reptiles. *Evolution: Education and Outreach*, 2, 224–
 851 235.
- WOU, H. and McGOWAN, C. 1996. Eel-like swimming in the earliest ichthyosaurs.
 Nature, **382**, 347–348.
- CHEN, X.-H., JIANG, D.-Y., CHENG, L., TINTORI, A. and RIEPPEL, O. C. 2015.
 Lunge feeding in early marine reptiles and fast evolution of marine tetrapod feeding
 guilds. *Scientific Reports*, 5, 8900.
- NAVARRO, N. 2003. MDA: a MATLAB-based program for morphospace-disparity
 analysis. *Computers & Geosciences*, 29, 655–664.
- NICHOLLS, E. L. 1997. Part III: Testudines. Introduction. 219–223. *In* CALLAWAY, J. M.
 and NICHOLLS, E. L. (eds.) *Ancient Marine Reptiles*, Academic Press, New York, 501
 pp.
- NORDÉN, K. K., STUBBS, T. L., PRIETO-MÁRQUEZ, A., and BENTON, M. J. 2018.
 Multifaceted disparity approach reveals dinosaur herbivory flourished before the end-
- 864 Cretaceous mass extinction. *Paleobiology*, **44**, 620–637.
- 865 O'KEEFE, F. R. 2002. The evolution of plesiosaur and pliosaur morphotypes in the

866 Plesiosauria (Reptilia: Sauropterygia). Paläontologische Zeitschrift, 28, 101–112. 867 , OTERO, R. A., SOTO-ACUÑA, S., O'GORMAN, J. P., GODFREY, S. J. and 868 CHATTERJEE, S. 2017. Cranial anatomy of Morturneria seymourensis from 869 Antarctica, and the evolution of filter feeding in plesiosaurs of the Austral Late 870 Cretaceous. Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology, 37(4), e1347570. 871 OKSANEN, J., BLANCHET, F. G., KINDT, R., LEGENDRE, P., MINCHIN, P. R., O'HARA, R. B., SIMPSON, G. G., SOLYMOS, P., STEVENS, M. H. and WAGNER, 872 873 H. 2016. vegan: Community Ecology Package. R package version 2.3-4. 874 PARHAM, J. F. and PYENSON, N. D. 2010. New sea turtle from the Miocene of Peru and 875 the iterative evolution of feeding ecomorphologies since the Cretaceous. Journal of 876 Paleontology, 84, 231–247. 877 PIGOT, A. L., TRISOS, C. H. and TOBIAS, J. A. 2016. Functional traits reveal the 878 expansion and packing of ecological niche space underlying an elevational diversity 879 gradient in passerine birds. Proceedings of the Royal Society B, 283, 20152013. 880 PITMAN, R. and ENSOR, P. 2003. Three forms of killer whales (Orcinus orca) in Antarctic 881 waters. Journal of Cetacean Research and Management, 5, 131–139. 882 POLCYN, M. J., JACOBS, L. L., ARAÚJO, R., SCHULP, A. S. and MATEUS, O. 2014. 883 Physical drivers of mosasaur evolution. Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, 884 Palaeoecology, 400, 17–27. 885 PYENSON, N. D., KELLEY, N. P. and PARHAM, J. F. 2014. Marine tetrapod 886 macroevolution: physical and biological drivers on 250 Ma of invasions and evolution in 887 ocean ecosystems. Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology, 400, 1–8. 888 RAUP, D. M. 1966. Geometric analysis of shell coiling: general problems. Journal of 889 Paleontology, 40, 1178–1190. 890 REEVES, J. C., MOON, B. C., BENTON, M. J. and STUBBS, T. L. 2020. Data from: 891 Evolution of ecospace occupancy by Mesozoic marine tetrapods. Dryad Digital 892 Repository. 893 https://datadryad.org/stash/share/Ln04XgDXwldJ5d7BSKzw Ru5k1t7x8lI4 JaNv6SEO 894 895 RENESTO, S. and TINTORI, A. 1995. Functional morphology and mode of life of the late 896 Triassic placodont Psephoderma alpinum Meyer from the Calcare di Zorzino 897 (Lombardy, N Italy). *Rivista Italiana di Paleontologia e Stratigrafia*, **101**, 37–48. 898 RIEPPEL, O. C. 2000. Paraplacodus and the phylogeny of the Placodontia (Reptilia: 899 Sauropterygia). Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society, 130, 635–659. 900 -. 2002a. Feeding mechanics in Triassic stem-group sauropterygians: The anatomy of a 901 successful invasion of Mesozoic seas. Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society, 135, 902 33-63. 903 -. 2002b. The dermal armor of the cyamodontoid placodonts (Reptilia, Sauropterygia): 904 Morphology and Systematic Value. Fieldiana: Geology, 46, 1-41. 905 and REISZ, R. R. 1999. The origin and early evolution of turtles. Annual Review of 906 *Ecology and Systematics*, **30**, 1–22. 907 ROSS, M. R. 2009. Charting the Late Cretaceous seas: mosasaur richness and morphological 908 diversification. Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology, 29, 409-416. 909 RUTA, M., ANGIELCZYK, K. D., FROBISCH, J. and BENTON, M. J. 2013. Decoupling of 910 morphological disparity and taxic diversity during the adaptive radiation of anomodont 911 therapsids. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 280, 20131071-912 20131071. 913 SAHNEY, S. and BENTON, M. J. 2008. Recovery from the most profound mass extinction 914 of all time. Proceedings of the Royal Society B, 275, 759-65.

- SAKAMOTO, M., VENDITTI, C. and BENTON, M. J. 2017. 'Residual diversity estimates'
 do not correct for sampling bias in palaeodiversity data. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, 8, 453–459.
- SCHEYER, T. M., ROMANO, C., JENKS, J. and BUCHER, H. 2014. Early Triassic marine
 biotic recovery: the predators' perspective. *PLoS ONE*, 9(3), e88987.
- 920 SCHLUTER, D. 2000. *The ecology of adaptive radiation*. Oxford University Press, New
 921 York, 288 pp.
- SCHOCH, R. R. and MILNER, A. R. 2014. *Handbook of Paleoherpetology. Part 3A2. Temnospondyli I. In* SUES, H.-D. (ed.) Verlag Dr. Friedrich Pfeil, Munich.
- 924 SFAKIOTAKIS, M., LANE, D. M. and DAVIES, J. B. C. 1999. Review of fish swimming
 925 modes for aquatic locomotion. *IEEE Journal of Oceanic Engineering*, 24, 237–252.
- SIMPSON, G. G. 1944. *Tempo and mode in evolution*. Columbia University Press, New
 York.
- SMITHWICK, F. M. 2015. Feeding ecology of the deep-bodied fish *Dapedium*(Actinopterygii, Neopterygii) from the Sinemurian of Dorset, England. *Palaeontology*,
 58, 293–311.
- SMITHWICK, F. M. and STUBBS, T. L. 2018. Phanerozoic survivors: Actinopterygian
 evolution through the Permo-Triassic and Triassic-Jurassic mass extinction events.
 Evolution, 72, 348–362.
- STEYER, J.-S. 2003. A revision of the early Triassic "capitosaurs" (Stegocephali,
 Stereospondyli) from Madagascar, with remarks on their comparative ontogeny. *Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology*, 23, 544–555.
- 937 STRICKSON, E., PRIETO-MÁRQUEZ, A., BENTON, M. J. and STUBBS, T. L. 2016.
 938 Dynamics of dental evolution in ornithopod dinosaurs. *Scientific Reports*, 6, 28904.
- STUBBS, T. L. and BENTON, M. J. 2016. Ecomorphological diversifications of Mesozoic
 marine reptiles: the roles of ecological opportunity and extinction. *Paleobiology*, 42,
 547–573.
- TENNANT, J. P., MANNION, P. D. and UPCHURCH, P. 2016. Sea level regulated tetrapod diversity dynamics through the Jurassic/Cretaceous interval. *Nature Communications*, 7, 12737.
- THORNE, P. M., RUTA, M. and BENTON, M. J. 2011. Resetting the evolution of marine
 reptiles at the Triassic-Jurassic boundary. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, U.S.A.*, 108, 8339–8344.
- TUTIN, S. L. and BUTLER, R. J. 2017. The completeness of the fossil record of plesiosaurs,
 marine reptiles from the Mesozoic. *Acta Palaeontologica Polonica*, 62, 563–573.
- UNDERWOOD, C. J. 2006. Diversification of the Neoselachii (Chondrichthyes) during the
 Jurassic and Cretaceous. *Paleobiology*, **32**, 215–235.
- 952 VAN VALEN, L. 1973. A new evolutionary law. *Evolutionary Theory*, 1, 1–30.
- VERMEIJ, G. J. 1977. The Mesozoic Marine Revolution: evidence from snails, predators and
 grazers. *Paleobiology*, 3, 245–258.
- WAINWRIGHT, P. C., ALFARO, M. E., BOLNICK, D. I. and HULSEY, C. D. 2005.
 Many-to-one mapping of form to function: a general principle of organismal design. *Integrative and Comparative Biology*, 45, 256–262.
- WILLIAMS, M., BENTON, M. J. and ROSS, A. 2015. The Strawberry Bank Lagerstätte
 reveals insights into Early Jurassic life. *Journal of the Geological Society*, 172, 683–692.
- 960 WINTRICH, T., HAYASHI, S., HOUSSAYE, A., NAKAJIMA, Y., and SANDER, P. M.
 961 2017. A Triassic plesiosaurian skeleton and bone histology inform on evolution of a
- 962 unique body plan. *Science Advances*, **3**, e1701144.
- 963 YODER, J. B., CLANCEY, E., DES ROCHES, S., EASTMAN, J. M., GENTRY, L.,

964	GODSOE, W., HAGEY, T. J., JOCHIMSEN, D., OSWALD, B. P., ROBERTSON, J.,
965	SARVER, B. A. J., SCHENK, J. J., SPEAR, S. F. and HARMON, L. J. 2010.
966	Ecological opportunity and the origin of adaptive radiations. Journal of Evolutionary
967	<i>Biology</i> , 23 , 1581–1596.
968	

969	TABLE 1.	Ecospace	categories	and states	used in	this study.
						2

Category	States			
Body size	< 0.5m (0), 0.5–1m (1), 1–2m (2), 2–5m (3), 5–8m (4), 8–15 m (5),			
-	and $> 15m$ (6)			
Diet	Herbivore (0), carnivore (1)			
Hunting style	Ambush (0), pursuit (1), forage (2)			
Habitat	Shallow-coastal water (0), deep-open ocean (1)			
Food location	pelagic free moving (0), pelagic and sessile (sea grass) (1), benthic			
	and sessile (2), benthic and non-sessile (3)			
Feeding guild	General (0), cut (1), crunch (2), crush (3), smash (4), pierce (5), lunge			
	(6), filter (7), crush-cut (8)			
Teeth	Homodont (0), heterodont (1)			
Armour	None (0), osteoderms and scutes (1), carapace or plastron only (2),			
	carapace and plastron – full armour (3)			
Limb shape	Plesiopedal (0), hydropedal (1)			





976 visualisation and comparison of ecospace occupancy. Silhouettes are from Stubbs and Benton

977 (2016) except for the hesperonithiform by Michael Keesey and Nobu Tamura (phylopic.org)

978 and the temnospondyl by Dmitry Bogdanov (phylopic.org). Supplementary figure S5 shows 979 taxa individually labelled (see Reeves et al. 2020, Fig. S5).

980





981 982 FIG. 2. The distribution of ecological character states in Mesozoic marine tetrapod ecospace. 983 Each panel illustrates the character scores of individual taxa within ecospace, for each of the 984 nine ecological characters (Table 1). The key denotes characters scores based on colour. Non-985 applicable coding is omitted.



Other

986

A

210 -

220

230

240

250

С

-

70

80 =

90

100

110 =

120 =

130 =

140

Hauterivian

Valangi

Cretaceous

FIG. 3. Temporal patterns in Mesozoic marine tetrapod ecospace. Plots illustrate ecospace
occupation in 30 stage level bins through the Triassic (A), Jurassic (B), and Cretaceous (C).
Major groups are highlighted with symbols and colours indicated in the key. Convex hulls
denote total ecospace area. Supplementary figure S6 shows enlarged plots (see Reeves *et al.*2020, Fig. S6).

0

• •

••

•••





993 FIG. 4. Partial disparity of marine tetrapod groups through the Mesozoic. Partial disparity is

994 plotted in 30 stage-level time bins, ranging from the Induan to the Maastrichtian. Tick marks

denote the temporal occurrence of all sampled bins. Partial disparity calculated from the

NMDS ecospace scores, rather than PCOa scores, is plotted in Reeves *et al.* (2020, Fig. S7).

Silhouettes are from Stubbs and Benton (2016) except for the hesperonithiform by Michael
 Keesey and Nobu Tamura (phylopic.org) and the temnospondyl by Dmitry Bogdanov

999 (phylopic.org).





1001 FIG 5. Temporal trends of Mesozoic marine tetrapod ecologically disparity. A, generic 1002 diversity/sampling in 30 stage-level bins. B-C, disparity in 30 stage-level bins based on 1003 weighted mean pairwise disparity (WMPD) (B) and the minimum spanning tree (MST) 1004 length metric (C) (MST is from 10 PCOa axes and rarefied to the median sample size for all 1005 30 bins, n = 16). In (B) and (C) the 95% confidence intervals (grey envelopes) were created using 500 bootstrap replicates. D, proportional ecospace expansion for 29 stage-level bins. 1006 The Induan bin could not be calculated because there is no preceding bin for comparison. 1007 1008 Ecospace expansion is always calculated relative to the preceding bin, low or no expansion 1009 reflect bins defined by ecospace packing. In (D) the solid line represents expansion derived 1010 from the NMDS ecospace ordination and the dashed grey line is expansion based on PCOa 1011 axes 1 and 2. Tick marks denote the temporal occurrence of all sampled bins.





1014 Maxwell 2015). B, Negative feedback model (Bush and Novack-Gottshall 2012). C, temporal

1015 ecospace evolution in ichthyosauromorphs plotted in six time bins. A and B are redrawn from 1016 Bush and Novack-Gottshall (2012) and Dick and Maxwell (2015).