Evolution of treatment for rheumatoid arthritis

Katherine S. Upchurch¹ and Jonathan Kay¹

Abstract

Treatment for RA has changed profoundly over the past 25 years, evolving from a strategy of providing symptomatic relief, to implementation of therapeutic regimens that impact disease activity and ultimately have been shown to slow or arrest structural joint damage. Drug therapy for RA has evolved from salicylates, to NSAIDs, CSs, DMARDs, MTX, and finally to biologic response modifiers. MTX has become the initial drug of choice in most patients with RA, and some do well on MTX monotherapy without the addition of other agents. Combination regimens including MTX and other conventional DMARDs may be an effective early approach to treatment of RA. The biologic response modifiers (biologics) became available in the late 1990s, based on our understanding of the molecular mediators of synovial inflammation in RA. The first biologics inhibited TNF- α , a cytokine active in host defences against some infections and malignancies, but which also promotes inflammation and bone erosion. Inhibitors of TNF- α are mostly given with MTX, although some can be given as monotherapy. Studies consistently show that combination $MTX + TNF-\alpha$ inhibitor therapy leads to better outcomes than with either agent alone. Tight control strategies, employing objective measures, also lead to improved outcomes. When patients fail treatment with one or more TNF- α inhibitor + MTX, a number of other possible alternatives may be tried, including treatment with biologics having other mechanisms, such as antibodies to certain ILs, other cytokines and inflammatory mediators. Current therapy for RA is such that progression from symptom onset to significant disability is now no longer inevitable, and RA patients can anticipate comfortable and productive lives on medical therapy.

Key words: rheumatoid arthritis, salicylates, NSAIDs, DMARDs, methotrexate, biologic response modifiers, interleukins, cytokines.

Introduction

Both the objectives and the results of treatment for RA have changed profoundly over the past 25 years, dictated largely by an enhanced understanding of the pathogenesis of this disease. During the first half of the 20th century, RA treatment regimens included drugs that could provide only symptomatic benefit (salicylates, from which were derived NSAIDs), analgesics and physical measures such as bed rest, splinting and physical therapy. Following the early reports of the beneficial effects of gold salts in many patients with RA [1] and the subsequent discovery of the efficacy of CSs in RA [2], rheumatologists for the first time had access to drugs that they hoped

Submitted 10 January 2012; revised version accepted 14 September 2012.

could impact disease activity in a meaningful way. These therapies subsequently expanded to include other agents (including parenteral gold salts, SSZ, chloroquine, HCQ, D-Pen, ciclosporin and AZA), and these drugs were optimistically termed DMARDs. The approach recommended to treat patients with newly diagnosed RA was pyramidal: initially with analgesics, then with NSAIDs and ultimately with DMARDs, an approach that led to improvement in some—but not all—RA patients, with reduced symptoms and in some cases decreased disease activity. Large early clinical trials confirming DMARD reduction in the progression of structural joint damage, however, were initially lacking.

During the 1980s, in addition to new drugs to treat RA, new measurements were developed to assess the outcomes of therapeutic intervention. The application of these initial outcome measures, such as the Sharp radiographic scoring system [3], to assess response to early DMARDs prescribed according to the traditional treatment pyramid led to the recognition that many of these drugs did not, in fact, modify the course of the disease. In addition, it was observed that (i) the early DMARDs

¹Division of Rheumatology, Department of Medicine, University of Massachusetts Medical School, Worcester, MA, USA.

Correspondence to: Katherine S. Upchurch, Division of Rheumatology, Department of Medicine, University of Massachusetts Medical School, 55 Lake Avenue North, Worcester, MA 01605, USA. E-mail: katherine.upchurch@umassmed.edu

were often poorly tolerated for longer than 2 years, (ii) structural damage often became evident on radiographs within the first 2 years after the onset of RA [4] and (iii) low-dose weekly oral MTX, a drug widely used to treat psoriasis, not only was effective in treating PsA but also was both safe and well tolerated when given to patients with RA [5, 6]. Taken together, these observations led to US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approval of MTX for the treatment of RA in 1988 and to inversion of the traditional pyramidal approach to the medical management of RA [5-8].

By the 1990s, MTX had become the initial drug of choice of most rheumatologists to treat patients with RA in the USA, although early efficacy studies suggested that, at least within the first year of use, other drugs, including SSZ, were equally efficacious [9]. Efforts were devoted to study approaches by which to improve the tolerability of higher MTX doses (such as through s.c. administration), thereby increasing its efficacy, and patients with RA were often able to tolerate MTX for sustained periods of time, which was not usually the case with earlier DMARDs [10-14]. In spite of this, some RA patients were unable to tolerate the dose required to achieve maximal benefit or remained MTX inadequate responders. Additionally, it was contraindicated in others, such as young women contemplating pregnancy or those who used alcohol regularly. Furthermore MTX alone did not typically result in drug-free remission; sustained MTX treatment was required to maintain a clinical response and many patients had persistent disease activity even while on this drug [15]. A number of early studies supported the possibility that combination therapy with DMARDs ± CSs might prove more useful than monotherapy with MTX alone [16, 17], and this is a line of investigation that continues nearly 20 years later. To review the body of literature devoted to the different nonbiologic treatment options for both early and established (active) RA is beyond the scope of this introduction. Emerging from these studies, however, has been the general conclusion that combinations of traditional DMARDs are both safe and effective in many patients with RA and thus constitute a reasonable approach to early treatment of disease [18-27]. Although monotherapy with MTX is effective in many patients with newly diagnosed active RA [26-28]. based on health economic modelling (cost-effectiveness), the National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE) in the UK recommends a combination of DMARDs plus short-term glucocorticoids as first-line therapy as soon as possible after onset of symptoms, but ideally within 3 months of the onset of persistent symptoms of RA in appropriate patients who do not have a contraindication to this approach [29]. More recently, in the 2012 update of previously published guidelines, the ACR, with a target of low disease activity or remission, recommends DMARD monotherapy (agent not specified) in patients with disease duration of <6 months with low disease activity (activity defined by accepted DAS ranges) and for moderate or high disease activity without poor prognostic features (presence of one or more of functional limitation, extra-articular disease, positive RF or ACPAs, and bony

erosions). Combination DMARDs (two or more DMARDs, most of which are MTX based) were recommended for all others with early disease, underscoring this approach in patients before the initiation of biologic response modifiers [30].

Current treatment practice: biologic response modifiers

In the late 1990s, a sea change took place in the treatment of RA. with the introduction of biologic targeted therapies. also called biologic response modifiers. The development of these therapeutic proteins was the culmination of research over the previous two decades that had elucidated key molecular mediators of the inflammatory process that drives RA and results in structural damage to joints. These agents include mAbs and genetically engineered proteins directed against cytokines or cell-surface molecules. The earliest agents inhibited the biological activity of TNF- α , a cytokine known not only to contribute to host defence against infection and certain malignancies, but also to be key in perpetuating the inflammatory response in RA, which leads to synovial proliferation and bony destruction [31, 32]. To date, five drugs that inhibit TNF- α biological activity have been approved in the USA for clinical use in the treatment of patients with RA, each of which has been shown in rigorous testing to improve outcomes, while reducing disease activity and structural joint damage. These include, in order of FDA approval, etanercept, infliximab, adalimumab, certolizumab pegol and golimumab. Although subtly different (route of administration, dose interval, chemical structure, for example), these drugs are similar in efficacy and sideeffect profile, though the experience with certolizumab pegol and golimumab is more recent and therefore not as extensively studied as that of earlier agents. Treatment with TNF inhibitors often dramatically improves RA disease activity and, in patients who respond, may slow or arrest disease progression as assessed by clinical, radiographic and patient-reported outcome measures. TNF inhibitors are generally used in combination with MTX. Although most TNF inhibitors are effective as monotherapy, studies of TNF inhibitors used in combination with MTX have demonstrated consistently that both the clinical and structural outcomes of combination therapy are superior to those achieved with either agent alone. As a class, TNF inhibitors are generally well tolerated; however, adverse effects such as decreased resistance to both routine and opportunistic infections can be devastating and must be aggressively sought and treated [33].

Following the introduction of the first TNF inhibitors, biologic response modifiers targeting other components of the immune response involved in the pathogenesis of RA have been approved for clinical use. Anakinra, a recombinant human soluble IL-1 receptor antagonist, can be administered subcutaneously daily to treat patients with RA. Abatacept, a recombinant fusion protein that combines the T-cell co-stimulation inhibitory molecule CTLA-4 with the Fc region of human IgG, may be given subcutaneously weekly or intravenously monthly. Rituximab, a chimeric mAb directed against the surface molecule CD20 on B cells, is administered in two i.v. doses given 2 weeks apart, usually every 6 months. Most recently, tocilizumab, a humanized mAb directed against the IL-6 receptor, has been approved for monthly i.v. administration to patients with RA. Specifics regarding the biologic response modifiers approved for the treatment of RA are summarized in Table 1 [34-63].

Strategies in patients who have responded inadequately to one or more TNF inhibitors

Several possible strategies may be tried when a patient has not responded to treatment with MTX and a TNF inhibitor. Expert opinion does not point to one strategy as being superior to the others; all treatment plans are guided by observation of the individual patient's response, combined with clinical judgement. Of the possible approaches, two deserve careful consideration: (i) sequential TNF inhibitor use (i.e. trying a second TNF inhibitor if the first one has failed); and (ii) switching to a biologic DMARD with a different mechanism of action. Both of these strategies are supported by efficacy and safety data from randomized, placebo-controlled clinical trials (Table 1) [34–63].

The results of switching from one TNF inhibitor to a second TNF inhibitor were assessed in an analysis of data from the British Society for Rheumatology Biologics Registry, using rates of discontinuation due either to lack of efficacy or to adverse events (AEs) [64]. Of 6739 patients, 35% discontinued their first TNF inhibitor. Of these, 856 switched to a second TNF inhibitor. At the end of 30 months of follow-up, 73% of patients who had switched to a second TNF inhibitor continued on treatment. Patients who discontinued the initial TNF inhibitor because of lack of efficacy were more likely to have discontinued the second TNF inhibitor for the same reason; similarly, patients who discontinued the first TNF inhibitor because of AEs were more likely to have discontinued the second TNF inhibitor for the same reason; second TNF inhibitor because of AEs were more likely to have discontinued the second TNF inhibitor because of AEs were more likely to have discontinued the second TNF inhibitor because of AEs were more likely to have discontinued the second TNF inhibitor because of AEs were more likely to have discontinued the second TNF inhibitor because of another AE.

An alternative to switching between TNF inhibitors is to initiate treatment with another biologic response modifier that has a different mechanism of action. Retrospective analysis of 116 patients from the Swiss Clinical Quality Management Program for Rheumatoid Arthritis cohort who had an inadequate response to at least one TNF inhibitor revealed greater improvement in DAS28 at 3, 6 and 9 months among the 50 patients who had subsequently received two infusions of rituximab 1000 mg with concomitant i.v. glucocorticoids, 14 days apart, as compared with the 66 patients who had switched to treatment with a second or third TNF inhibitor [65].

Current issues in the management of RA

With the rapidly increasing number of biologic options available to treat patients with RA, a number of important questions have arisen. The first question is that of the optimal place of agents in the treatment algorithm. When should the addition of a biologic be considered after initiation of MTX therapy? Is 3 months an adequate trial of MTX monotherapy? Should combination agents be tried before turning to biologics and are there patients for whom biologic therapy should commence early in the course of their disease to improve outcomes? The 2012 update of the 2008 ACR recommendations for the use of diseasemodifying antirheumatic drugs and biologic agents in the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis recommends targeting low disease activity or remission, that patients with established RA should receive 3 months of DMARD therapy before adding or switching between DMARDs or switching from DMARDs to biologic agents [30].

Another issue is that of agent selection. Individualized therapy using pharmacogenetics or certain patient characteristics as a predictor of response to guide therapy selection is under investigation. For example, patients who are positive for RF or ACPA are more likely to respond to rituximab than patients who lack these antibodies. The identification of additional biomarkers that might guide medication choices and the likelihood of response to therapy will be useful in this regard in the future. Until then, the choice of therapy should be based on the efficacy and safety profile of each agent, patient preference concerning dosing and route of administration and, of course, third-party reimbursement. Clinicians are constrained in their decision-making capacity by the limited amount of data on the comparative benefits and risks of the various agents currently available. Fortunately a number of trials are currently under way to address these important questions and may bring answers.

Future therapies for RA

In addition to those targets for which drug therapies have been approved, there are other potential targets for drugs to treat RA, including ILs such as IL-17 and receptor tyrosine kinases. Of these, a target that has yielded promising results is JAK3, one of the Janus kinases (JAKs), which mediates signal transduction of cell surface receptors for cytokines involved in the pathogenesis of inflammatory diseases such as RA. An oral inhibitor of this enzyme, tofacitinib (formerly CP-690,550) has demonstrated efficacy in several trials conducted in patients with RA: those who had failed at least one earlier DMARD [66], those with disease activity despite MTX therapy [67, 68] and those previously exposed to TNF inhibitors [69]. Tofacitinib has been studied both as monotherapy [66, 70] and in combination with MTX [67-69]. Fostamatinib, an oral inhibitor of spleen tyrosine kinase (Syk), which is an intracellular non-receptor tyrosine kinase, has demonstrated efficacy superior to that of placebo when given in combination with MTX to patients inadequately responsive to MTX [71]. However, fostamatinib was not superior to placebo when given in addition to stable DMARD therapy to patients inadequately responsive to a TNF inhibitor [66]. A potentially important characteristic of these tyrosine kinase inhibitors is that each can be taken orally rather than by injection or as an infusion, which may

www.rheumat	ology.oxfordjournals.o	rg
-------------	------------------------	----

33-63]
: of RA [33-63]
eatment
r the t
ved for
approv
modifiers
response
ary of biologic response modifiers approved for the tr
Ö \
Summary
TABLE 1

•				
Agent	Mechanism of action	Administration and dosage	Indications	Studies for KA Indication
Etanercept	Cloned fusion protein of human TNF- α receptor 2 with Fc region of human IgG ₁ ; inhibits TNF- α binding to its receptors	s.c. injection; 50 mg weekly	RA (with MTX or alone), JIA, PSA	ETN monotherapy [34] ETN + MTX in MTX-refractory RA [35] ETN vs MTX in patients with ERA [36] TEMPO (ETN + MTX vs ETN or MTX alone) [37] COMET (ETN + MTX vs MTX alone) [38]
Infliximab	Chimeric mAb to TNF- α ; inhibits TNF- α binding to its receptors	i.v. infusion; for patients with RA, dosing is 3mg/kg at weeks 0, 2 and 6, then every 8 weeks; some patients may benefit from doses up to 10 mg/kg or given as frequently as every 4 weeks	RA (with MTX only), Crohn's disease, UC, AS, PSA, PSO	ATTRACT (IFX+MTX in MTX-refractory RA) [39] ASPIRE (IFX+MTX in MTX-raïve patients) [40] START (IFX+MTX in MTX-refractory patients with co-morbidities) [41]
Adalimumab	Humanized mAb to TNF-x; inhibits TNF-x binding to its receptors	s.c. injection; 40 mg every other week; when used alone, some patients may benefit from dosing at 40 mg weekly	RA (with MTX or alone), JIA, PsA, AS, Crohn's disease, PsO	ARMADA (ADA + MTX in patients with DMARD-refractory RA) [42] DE011 (ADA alone in patients with DMARD-refractory RA) [43] DMARD-refractory RA) [43] DE019 (ADA + MTX in patients with MTX-refractory RA) [44] STAR (ADA + DMARD in inadequate responders to standard treatment) [45] PREMIER (ADA alone or + MTX in MTX-naive patients with ERA <3 years' disease duration) [46]
Golimumab	Human mAb to TNF- α ; inhibits TNF- α binding to its receptors	s.c. injection; 50 mg monthly	RA (with MTX); PsA, AS	Phase 2 (GLM+ MTX in MTX-refractory RA) [47] GO-AFTER (GLM ± DMARD in patients treated with one or more TNF inhibitor) [48] GO-FORWARD (GLM alone or + MTX in patients with MTX-refractory RA) [49] GO-BEFORE 0GLM + MTX in MTX-naïve patients with early-onset RA) [50]
Certolizumab pegol	Pegylated Fab' fragment of a humanized mAb to TNF- α_{z}^{t} inhibits TNF- α binding to its receptors	s.c. injection; 400 mg initially, then 200 mg every other week; 400 mg every 4 weeks may be considered for maintenance dosing	RA, Crohn's disease	RAPID 1 (CZP +MTX in patients with MTX-refractory RA) [51] RAPID 2 (CZP +MTX in patients with MTX-refractory RA) [52] FAST4WARD (CZP alone in patients previously treated with ≥1 DMARD) [53]
Abatacept	Cloned fusion protein of extracellular domain of human CTLA-4 with the hinge, CH2 and CH3 domains of human IgG ₁ ; inhibits T-cell co-stimulation by antigen-presenting cells	 i.v. infusion; in adult patients with RA: <60kg, 500 mg; 60-100kg, 750 mg; >100kg, 1000 mg, following initial infusion at weeks 2 and 4, thereafter every 4 weeks OR after a single i.v. infusion as a loading dose, s.c. injection, 125 mg, should be given within a day, followed by 125 mg weekly for maintenance dosing 	RA, JIA	ATTAIN (ABA alone in patients with an inadequate response to one or more TNF inhibitor) [54, 55] AIM (ABA alone in patients with MTX-refractory RA) [56, 57] ABA s.c. vs i.v. in patients with MTX-refractory RA [58]
				(continued)

TABLE 1 Continued				
Agent	Mechanism of action	Administration and dosage	Indications	Studies for RA indication
Rituximab	Chimeric mouse/human mAb to CD20 antigens on B lymphocytes	Two 1000 mg i.v. infusions 2 weeks apart every 24 weeks; based on clinical evaluation not more frequently than every 16 weeks	RA with MTX in adult patients with RA and inadequate response to ne or more TNF inhibitor, NHL, CLL, granulomatosis with polyangiitis	DANCER (RTX + MTX in patients with RA and in- adequate response to DMARDs including MTX) [59] REFLEX (RTX + MTX in patients with RA and inad- equate response to one ore more TNF inhibitor [60]
Tocilizumab	Recombinant humanized mAb to IL-6R, blocking signalling and activation of B cells	i.v. infusion; in patients with RA, 8 mg/kg every 4 weeks	RA with inadequate response to existing therapies, polyarticu- lar JIA, systemic JIA, symptomatic treatment of Castleman disease	OPTION (TCZ + MTX in patients with active RA) [61] RADIATE (TCZ + MTX in patients with RA refractory to One or more TNF inhibitor) [62] ROSE (TCZ + background DMARDs in patients with inadequate response to DMARDs [63]
ABA: abatacept; A patients with Rheu Treatment of Anti-1 Active Early Rheurr Active Early Rheurr Rheumatoid Arthrif GO-AFTER: Golimi Methotrexate as thi lymphoma; IFX: ini Failures; RAPID: Rh Efficacy; RTX: ritux Trial of Etanercept	ABA: abatacept; ADA: adalimumab; AIM: Abatacept in Inac patients with Rheumatoid Arthritis; ASPIRE: Active Controlle Treatment of Anti-TNF Inadequate Responders; ATTRACT: <i>A</i> Active Early Rheumatoid Arthritis; CLL: chronic lymphocytic Rheumatoid Arthritis; ETN: etanercept; ERA: early RA; FA GO-AFTER: Golimumab in Patients With Active Rheumatoi Methotrexate as the First-line Option in the Treatment of Rhe lymphoma; IFX: inflixinab; OPTION: Troilizumab Pivotal T Failures; RAPID: Rheumatoid Arthritis Prevention of Structur Efficacy; RTX: rituximab; STAR: Safety Trial of Adalimumab Trial of Etanercept and Methotrexate With Radiographic Pa	ABA: abatacept; ADA: adalimumab; AIM: Abatacept in Inadequate Responders to Methotrexate; patients with Rheumatoid Arthritis; ASPIRE: Active Controlled Study of Patients Receiving Inflixim Treatment of Anti-TNF Inadequate Responders; ATTRACT: Anti-TNF Trial in Rheumatoid Arthritis V Active Early Rheumatoid Arthritis; CLL: chronic lymphocytic leukaemia; CZP: certolizumab pegol; D Active Early Rheumatoid Arthritis; CLL: chronic lymphocytic leukaemia; CZP: certolizumab pegol; C Active Early Rheumatoid Arthritis; GLL: chronic lymphocytic leukaemia; CZP: certolizumab pegol; C Active Early Rheumatoid Arthritis; GLL: chronic lymphocytic leukaemia; CZP: certolizumab pegol; C Anti-TER: Golimumab in Patients With Active Rheumatoid Arthritis After Treatment With Tum Wethotrexate as the First-line Option in the Treatment of Rheumatoid Arthritis of Early Onset; GO- symphoma; IFX: infliximab; OPTION: Tocilizumab Pivotal Trial in Methotrexate Inadequate Resp ^e ailures; RAPID: Rheumatoid Arthritis Prevention of Structural Damage; REFLEX: Randomized Eva Efficacy; RTX: rituximab; STAR: Safety Trial of Adalimumab in Rheumatoid Arthritis; START: Safet Trial of Etanercept and Methotrexate With Radiographic Patient Outcomes; UC: ulcerative colitis	ARMADA: Anti-TNF Researc ab for Treatment of Rheuma Vith Concomitant Therapy: C ANCER: Dose-Ranging Asse ertolizumab Pegol—4 Week our Necrosis Factor Alpha I ORWARD: Golimumab for Su onders; PsO: plaque psoria. Iuation of Long-term Efficacy V Trial for Rheumatoid Arthri Y Trial for Rheumatoid Arthri	AB*: abatacept; AD*: adalimumab; AIM: Abatacept in Inadequate Responders to Methotrexate; ARMADA: Anti-TNF Research Study Program of the Monoclonal Antibody D2E7 in patients with Rheumatoid Arthritis; ASPIRE: Active Controlled Study of Patients Receiving Infliximab for Treatment of Rheumatoid Arthritis of Early Onset; ATTAIN: Abatacept Trial in Treatment of Anti-TNF Inadequate Responders; ATTRACT: Anti-TNF Trial in Rheumatoid Arthritis With Concomitant Therapy, COMET: Combination of Methotrexate and Etanercept in Active Early Rheumatoid Arthritis; CLL: chronic lymphocytic leukaemia; CZP: cercloizumab pegol; DANCER: Dose-Ranging Assessment: International Clinical Evaluation of Rituximab in Rheumatoid Arthritis; ETN: etanercept; ERA: early RH, FAXARDF. Efficacy and Safety of Certolizumab Pegol–4 Weekly Dosage in Rheumatoid Arthritis; GLM: golimumab; GO-AFTER: Golimumab in Patients With Active Rheumatoid Arthritis After Treatment With Tumour Necrosis Factor Alpha Inhibitors; GO-BEFORE: Golimumab Before Employing Methotrexate as the First-line Option in the Treatment of Rheumatoid Arthritis of Early Onset; GO-FORWARD: Golimumab for Subjects With Active RA Despite MTX; NHL: non-Hodgkin lymphoma; IFX: infliximab; OPTION: Tocilizumab Pivotal Trial in Methotrexate Inadequate Responders; PSO: plaque psoriasis; RADIATE: Rheumatoid Arthritis Study in Anti-TNF Failures; RAPID: Rheumatoid Arthritis Prevention of Structural Damage; REFLEX: Randomized Evaluation of Long-term Efficacy of Rituximab in RA; ROSE: Rapid Onset and Systemic Efficacy; RTX: rituximab; OPTION: Tocilizumab in Rheumatoid Arthritis; START: Safety Trial for Rheumatoid Arthritis With Remicade Therapy; TCZ: tocilizumab; TEMPO: Trial of Etanercept and Methotrexate With Radiographic Patient Outcomes; UC: ulcerative colits.

impact patient acceptance of these drugs. Additional agents targeting signal transduction kinases including other JAKs, cytokines other than TNF- α , IL-1 and IL-6, and various inflammatory mediators are in development and may augment the therapeutic armamentarium available to manage patients with RA. These agents may bring additional options to patients who fail to respond to currently available biologic response modifiers.

Conclusion

The advances in RA treatment over the past 25 years have been profound. Previously the progression of RA from symptom onset to significant disability was often inevitable and, in some cases, rapid. Now, with the availability of medications that can slow or halt disease progression and prevent irreversible joint damage, joint replacement surgery is not always the ultimate outcome and patients with RA may live comfortable and productive lives on medical therapy. However, the biologic response modifiers are expensive and may be beyond the financial means of some patients who are in need of these effective treatments. The development of biosimilars over the next several years may help to provide more affordable versions of these successful therapies [72].

Currently available drug therapy for RA has made remission a feasible treatment goal. Patients with RA should be diagnosed early in their disease course and be assessed regularly using objective quantitative measures of disease activity. The recent revision of the definition of remission in RA by a joint ACR/European League Against Rheumatism (EULAR) committee exemplifies the improved outcomes that are achievable with the advances in RA treatment made over the past quarter century [73]. The life-limiting and, in some cases, life-shortening consequences of RA are no longer predestined. Thus patients with RA can now expect to experience a quality of life that previously was unavailable to patients during the 20th century.

Rheumatology key messages

- DMARDs modify disease activity, but not structural outcomes, in many RA patients.
- TNF inhibitors, alone or with MTX, may improve outcomes in most RA patients.
- Other biologic agents should be tried in RA patients with inadequate response to TNF inhibitors.

Supplement: This paper forms part of the supplement 'Reducing the toll of autoimmune disease: a focus on rheumatoid arthritis'. This supplement was supported by unrestricted education grants from Centocor and Genentech.

Disclosure statement: J.K. has been a consultant for Amgen, Bristol-Myers Squibb Company, Celgene Corporation, Crescendo BioScience Inc., F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd, Genentech Inc., Horizon Pharma, Janssen Pharmaceuticals Inc., Molecular Partners AG, Novo Nordisk Inc., Pfizer Inc. and UCB SA and has received research support paid to the University of Massachusetts Medical School from Abbott Laboratories, Ardea Inc., F. Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd, Fidia Farmaceutici s.p.a. and sanofi-aventis US LLC. K.S.U. has been a consultant for Human Genome Sciences.

References

- 1 Forestier J. The treatment of rheumatoid arthritis with gold salts. Lancet 1932;219:441-4.
- 2 Hench PS, Kendall EC *et al.* The effect of a hormone of the adrenal cortex (17-hydroxy-11-dehydrocorticosterone; compound E) and of pituitary adrenocorticotropic hormone on rheumatoid arthritis. Proc Staff Meet Mayo Clin 1949;24:181–97.
- 3 Sharp JT, Bluhm GB, Brook A *et al.* Reproducibility of multiple-observer scoring of radiologic abnormalities in the hands and wrists of patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Rheum 1985;28:16-24.
- 4 Wolfe F, Sharp JT. Radiographic outcome of recent-onset rheumatoid arthritis: a 19-year study of radiographic progression. Arthritis Rheum 1998;41:1571–82.
- 5 Weinblatt ME, Coblyn JS, Fox DA et al. Efficacy of low-dose methotrexate in rheumatoid arthritis. N Engl J Med 1985;312:818–22.
- 6 Kremer JM, Lee JK. The safety and efficacy of the use of methotrexate in long-term therapy for rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Rheum 1986;29:822–31.
- 7 Wilske KR, Healey LA. Remodeling the pyramid—a concept whose time has come. J Rheumatol 1989;16:565-7.
- 8 Wilske KR. Inverting the therapeutic pyramid: observations and recommendations on new directions in rheumatoid arthritis therapy based on the author's experience. Semin Arthritis Rheum 1993;23(2 Suppl. 1): 11–8.
- 9 Felson DT, Anderson JJ, Meenan RF. The comparative efficacy and toxicity of second-line drugs in rheumatoid arthritis. Results of two metaanalyses. Arthritis Rheum 1990;33:1449-61.
- 10 Pincus T, Marcum SB, Callahan LF. Longterm drug therapy for rheumatoid arthritis in seven rheumatology private practices: II. Second line drugs and prednisone. J Rheumatol 1992;19:1885–94.
- 11 Kremer JM, Phelps CT. Long-term prospective study of the use of methotrexate in the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis. Update after a mean of 90 months. Arthritis Rheum 1992;35:138-45.
- 12 Weinblatt ME, Maier AL, Fraser PA, Coblyn JS. Longterm prospective study of methotrexate in rheumatoid arthritis: conclusion after 132 months of therapy. J Rheumatol 1998;25:238–42.
- 13 Wluka A, Buchbinder R, Mylvaganam A et al. Longterm methotrexate use in rheumatoid arthritis: 12 year followup of 460 patients treated in community practice. J Rheumatol 2000;27:1864–71.
- 14 Hoekstra M, van de Laar MA, Bernelot Moens HJ, Kruijsen MW, Haagsma CJ. Longterm observational study of methotrexate use in a Dutch cohort of 1022 patients with rheumatoid arthritis. J Rheumatol 2003;30:2325–9.

- 15 Kremer JM. The changing face of therapy for rheumatoid arthritis. Rheum Dis Clin North Am 1995;21:845-52.
- 16 O'Dell JR, Haire CE, Erikson N et al. Treatment of rheumatoid arthritis with methotrexate alone, sulfasalazine and hydroxychloroquine, or a combination of all three medications. N Engl J Med 1996;334:1287–91.
- 17 Weinblatt ME, Kremer JM, Coblyn JS *et al*. Pharmacokinetics, safety, and efficacy of combination treatment with methotrexate and leflunomide in patients with active rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Rheum 1999;42: 1322–8.
- 18 Korpela M, Laasonen L, Hannonen P et al. Retardation of joint damage in patients with early rheumatoid arthritis by initial aggressive treatment with disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs: five-year experience from the FIN-RACo study. Arthritis Rheum 2004;50:2072–81.
- 19 Mäkinen H, Kautiainen H, Hannonen P et al. Sustained remission and reduced radiographic progression with combination disease modifying antirheumatic drugs in early rheumatoid arthritis. J Rheumatol 2007;34: 316-21.
- 20 Möttönen T, Hannonen P, Leirisalo-Repo M *et al*. Comparison of combination therapy with single-drug therapy in early rheumatoid arthritis: a randomised trial. Lancet 1999;353:1568–73.
- 21 Puolakka K. Impact of initial aggressive drug treatment with a combination of disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs on the development of work disability in early rheumatoid arthritis: a five-year randomized followup trial. Arthritis Rheum 2004;50:55-62.
- 22 van Jaarsveld CH, Jacobs JW, van der Veen MJ et al. Aggressive treatment in early rheumatoid arthritis: a randomised controlled trial. Ann Rheum Dis 2000;59:468-77.
- 23 Boers M. Randomised comparison of combined step-down prednisolone, methotrexate and sulphasalazine with sulphasalazine alone in early rheumatoid arthritis. Lancet 1997;350:309-18.
- 24 Landewé RB, Boers M, Verhoeven AC *et al.* COBRA combination therapy in patients with early rheumatoid arthritis: long-term structural benefits of a brief intervention. Arthritis Rheum 2002;46:347–56.
- 25 Capell HA, Madhok R, Porter DR *et al.* Combination therapy with sulfasalazine and methotrexate is more effective than either drug alone in patients with rheumatoid arthritis with a suboptimal response to sulfasalazine: results from the double-blind placebo-controlled MASCOT study. Ann Rheum Dis 2007;66:235-41.
- 26 Allaart CF, Goekoop-Ruiterman YP, de Vries-Bouwstra JK *et al.* Aiming at low disease activity in rheumatoid arthritis with initial combination therapy or initial monotherapy strategies: the BeSt study. Clin Exp Rheumatol 2006;24(6 Suppl. 43):1–77.
- 27 Goekoop-Ruiterman YP, de Vries BJK, Allaart CF *et al.* Comparison of treatment strategies in early rheumatoid arthritis: a randomized trial. Ann Intern Med 2007;146: 406–15.
- 28 Goekoop-Ruiterman YP, de Vries-Bouwstra JK, Allaart CF *et al.* Clinical and radiographic outcomes of four different treatment strategies in patients with early rheumatoid arthritis (the BeSt study): a randomized, controlled trial. Arthritis Rheum 2005;52:3381–90.

- 29 The National Collaborating Centre for Chronic Conditions. Rheumatoid arthritis: national clinical guidelines for management and treatment in adults. London: Royal College of Physicians, 2009. http://www.nice.org.uk/nicemedia/ live/12131/43326/43326.pdf (20 March 2012, date last accessed).
- 30 Singh JA, Furst DE, Bharat A *et al.* 2012 Update of the 2008 American College of Rheumatology recommendations for the use of disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs and biologic agents in the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Care Res 2012;64:625–39.
- 31 Criscione LG, St Clair EW. Tumor necrosis factor-alpha antagonists for the treatment of rheumatic diseases. Curr Opin Rheumatol 2002;14:204–11.
- 32 Furst DE, Keystone EC, Braun J et al. Updated consensus statement on biological agents for the treatment of rheumatic diseases, 2010. Ann Rheum Dis 2011; 70(Suppl. 1):i2–36.
- 33 Ruderman EM. Overview of safety of non-biologics and biologic DMARDs. Rheumatology 2012;51(Suppl. 6): vi35-41.
- 34 Moreland LW, Schiff MH, Baumgartner SW et al. Etanercept therapy in rheumatoid arthritis. A randomized, controlled trial. Ann Intern Med 1999;130:478-86.
- 35 Weinblatt ME, Kremer JM, Bankhurst AD et al. A trial of etanercept, a recombinant tumor necrosis factor receptor: Fc fusion protein, in patients with rheumatoid arthritis receiving methotrexate. N Engl J Med 1999;340:253–9.
- 36 Bathon JM, Martin RW, Fleischmann RM et al. A comparison of etanercept and methotrexate in patients with early rheumatoid arthritis. N Engl J Med 2000;343: 1586–93.
- 37 Klareskog L, van der Heijde D, de Jager JP et al. Therapeutic effect of the combination of etanercept and methotrexate compared with each treatment alone in patients with rheumatoid arthritis: double-blind randomised controlled trial. Lancet 2004;363:675–81.
- 38 Emery P, Breedveld FC, Hall S et al. Comparison of methotrexate monotherapy with a combination of methotrexate and etanercept in active, early, moderate to severe rheumatoid arthritis (COMET): a randomised, double-blind, parallel treatment trial. Lancet 2008;372: 375–82.
- 39 Lipsky PE, van der Heijde DM, St Clair EW et al. Infliximab and methotrexate in the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis. Anti-Tumor Necrosis Factor Trial in Rheumatoid Arthritis with Concomitant Therapy Study Group. N Engl J Med 2000;343:1594–602.
- 40 St Clair EW, van der Heijde DM, Smolen JS *et al.* Combination of infliximab and methotrexate therapy for early rheumatoid arthritis: a randomized, controlled trial. Arthritis Rheum 2004;50:3432-43.
- 41 Westhovens R, Houssiau F, Joly J *et al*. A phase I study assessing the safety, clinical response, and pharmaco-kinetics of an experimental infliximab formulation for subcutaneous or intramuscular administration in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. J Rheumatol 2006;33: 847–53.
- 42 Weinblatt ME, Keystone EC, Furst DE et al. Adalimumab, a fully human anti-tumor necrosis factor alpha monoclonal antibody, for the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis in

patients taking concomitant methotrexate: the ARMADA trial. Arthritis Rheum 2003;48:35-45.

- 43 van de Putte LB, Atkins C, Malaise M *et al.* Efficacy and safety of adalimumab as monotherapy in patients with rheumatoid arthritis for whom previous disease modifying antirheumatic drug treatment has failed. Ann Rheum Dis 2004;63:508–16.
- 44 Keystone EC, Kavanaugh AF, Sharp JT *et al.* Radiographic, clinical, and functional outcomes of treatment with adalimumab (a human anti-tumor necrosis factor monoclonal antibody) in patients with active rheumatoid arthritis receiving concomitant methotrexate therapy: a randomized, placebo-controlled, 52-week trial. Arthritis Rheum 2004;50:1400-11.
- 45 Furst DE, Schiff MH, Fleischmann RM *et al.* Adalimumab, a fully human anti tumor necrosis factor-alpha monoclonal antibody, and concomitant standard antirheumatic therapy for the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis: results of STAR (Safety Trial of Adalimumab in Rheumatoid Arthritis). J Rheumatol 2003;30:2563–71.
- 46 Breedveld FC, Weisman MH, Kavanaugh AF *et al.* The PREMIER study: a multicenter, randomized, double-blind clinical trial of combination therapy with adalimumab plus methotrexate versus methotrexate alone or adalimumab alone in patients with early, aggressive rheumatoid arthritis who had not had previous methotrexate treatment. Arthritis Rheum 2006;54:26-37.
- 47 Kay J, Matteson EL, Dasgupta B *et al*. Golimumab in patients with active rheumatoid arthritis despite treatment with methotrexate: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, dose-ranging study. Arthritis Rheum 2008;58:964–75.
- 48 Smolen JS, Kay J, Doyle MK *et al.* Golimumab in patients with active rheumatoid arthritis after treatment with tumour necrosis factor alpha inhibitors (GO-AFTER study): a multicentre, randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase III trial. Lancet 2009;374: 210-21.
- 49 Keystone EC, Genovese MC, Klareskog L *et al*. Golimumab, a human antibody to tumour necrosis factor {alpha} given by monthly subcutaneous injections, in active rheumatoid arthritis despite methotrexate therapy: the GO-FORWARD Study. Ann Rheum Dis 2009;68: 789-96.
- 50 Emery P, Fleischmann RM, Moreland LW *et al.* Golimumab, a human anti-tumor necrosis factor alpha monoclonal antibody, injected subcutaneously every four weeks in methotrexate-naive patients with active rheumatoid arthritis: twenty-four-week results of a phase III, multicenter, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study of golimumab before methotrexate as first-line therapy for early-onset rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Rheum 2009;60:2272-83.
- 51 Keystone E, van der Heijde D, Mason D Jr et al. Certolizumab pegol plus methotrexate is significantly more effective than placebo plus methotrexate in active rheumatoid arthritis: findings of a fifty-two-week, phase III, multicenter, randomized, double-blind, placebocontrolled, parallel-group study. Arthritis Rheum 2008;58: 3319-29.
- 52 Smolen J, Landewé RB, Mease P et al. Efficacy and safety of certolizumab pegol plus methotrexate in active

rheumatoid arthritis: the RAPID 2 study. A randomised controlled trial. Ann Rheum Dis 2009;68:797–804.

- 53 Fleischmann R, Vencovsky J, van Vollenhoven RF et al. Efficacy and safety of certolizumab pegol monotherapy every 4 weeks in patients with rheumatoid arthritis failing previous disease-modifying antirheumatic therapy: the FAST4WARD study. Ann Rheum Dis 2009;68:805–11.
- 54 Genovese MC, Becker JC, Schiff M *et al.* Abatacept for rheumatoid arthritis refractory to tumor necrosis factor alpha inhibition. N Engl J Med 2005;353:1114-23.
- 55 Genovese MC, Schiff M, Luggen M *et al.* Efficacy and safety of the selective co-stimulation modulator abatacept following 2 years of treatment in patients with rheumatoid arthritis and an inadequate response to anti-tumour necrosis factor therapy. Ann Rheum Dis 2008;67:547-54.
- 56 Genant HK, Peterfy CG, Westhovens R *et al.* Abatacept inhibits progression of structural damage in rheumatoid arthritis: results from the long-term extension of the AIM trial. Ann Rheum Dis 2008;67:1084–9.
- 57 Kremer JM, Russell AS, Emery P *et al.* Long-term safety, efficacy and inhibition of radiographic progression with abatacept treatment in patients with rheumatoid arthritis and an inadequate response to methotrexate: 3-year results from the AIM trial. Ann Rheum Dis 2011;70:1826-30.
- 58 Genovese MC, Covarrubias A, Leon G *et al.* Subcutaneous abatacept versus intravenous abatacept: a phase IIIb noninferiority study in patients with an inadequate response to methotrexate. Arthritis Rheum 2011; 63:2854-64.
- 59 Emery P, Fleischmann R, Filipowicz-Sosnowska A *et al.* The efficacy and safety of rituximab in patients with active rheumatoid arthritis despite methotrexate treatment: results of a phase IIB randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, dose-ranging trial. Arthritis Rheum 2006;54:1390-400.
- 60 Cohen SB, Emery P, Greenwald MW *et al.* Rituximab for rheumatoid arthritis refractory to anti-tumor necrosis factor therapy: results of a multicenter, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase III trial evaluating primary efficacy and safety at twenty-four weeks. Arthritis Rheum 2006;54:2793–806.
- 61 Smolen JS, Beaulieu A, Rubbert-Roth A *et al.* Effect of interleukin-6 receptor inhibition with tocilizumab in patients with rheumatoid arthritis (OPTION study): a double-blind, placebo-controlled, randomised trial. Lancet 2008;371:987–97.
- 62 Emery P, Keystone E, Tony HP *et al.* IL-6 receptor inhibition with tocilizumab improves treatment outcomes in patients with rheumatoid arthritis refractory to anti-tumour necrosis factor biologicals: results from a 24-week multicentre randomised placebo-controlled trial. Ann Rheum Dis 2008;67:1516-23.
- 63 Yazici Y, Curtis JR, Ince A *et al.* Efficacy of tocilizumab in patients with moderate to severe active rheumatoid arthritis and a previous inadequate response to disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs: the ROSE study. Ann Rheum Dis 2012;71:198–205.
- 64 Hyrich KL, Lunt M, Watson KD, Symmons DP, Silman AJ. Outcomes after switching from one anti-tumor necrosis factor alpha agent to a second anti-tumor necrosis factor alpha agent in patients with rheumatoid arthritis: results

from a large UK national cohort study. Arthritis Rheum 2007;56:13-20.

- 65 Finckh A, Ciurea A, Brulhart L *et al*. B cell depletion may be more effective than switching to an alternative anti-tumor necrosis factor agent in rheumatoid arthritis patients with inadequate response to anti-tumor necrosis factor agents. Arthritis Rheum 2007;56:1417–23.
- 66 Fleischmann R, Cutolo M, Genovese MC *et al*. Phase Ilb dose-ranging study of the oral JAK inhibitor tofacitinib (CP-690,550) or adalimumab monotherapy versus placebo in patients with active rheumatoid arthritis with an inadequate response to DMARDs. Arthritis Rheum 2012; 64:617–29.
- 67 Kremer JM, Cohen S, Wilkinson BE *et al.* A Phase IIb dose-ranging study of the oral JAK inhibitor tofacitinib (CP-690,550) versus placebo in combination with background methotrexate in patients with active rheumatoid arthritis and inadequate response to methotrexate alone. Arthritis Rheum 2012;64:970-81.
- 68 van Vollenhoven RF, Fleischmann R, Cohen S et al. Tofacitinib or adalimumab versus placebo in rheumatoid arthritis. N Engl J Med 2012;367:508–19.

- 69 Burmester GR, Blanco R, Charles-Schoeman C *et al.* Tofacitinib (CP-690,550), an oral Janus kinase inhibitor, in combination with methotrexate, in patients with active rheumatoid arthritis with an inadequate response to tumor necrosis factor-inhibitors: a 6-month phase 3 study [abstract]. Arthritis Rheum 2011;63(10 Suppl.): S279.
- 70 Fleischmann R, Kremer J, Cush J et al. Placebo-controlled trial of tofacitinib monotherapy in rheumatoid arthritis. N Engl J Med 2012;367:495–507.
- 71 Weinblatt ME, Kavanaugh A, Genovese MC *et al*. An oral spleen tyrosine kinase (Syk) inhibitor for rheumatoid arthritis. N Engl J Med 2010;363:1303–12.
- 72 Scheinberg MA, Kay J. The advent of biosimilar therapies in rheumatology—'O Brave New World'. Nature Rev Rheumatol 2012;8:430-436.
- 73 Felson DT, Smolen JS, Wells G *et al.* American College of Rheumatology/European League Against Rheumatism provisional definition of remission in rheumatoid arthritis for clinical trials. Arthritis Rheum 2011; 63:573–86.