

 Open access • Journal Article • DOI:10.1021/ACS.EST.0C01110

Excitation-Emission Matrix Spectroscopy for Analysis of Chemical Composition of Combustion Generated Particulate Matter. — Source link





Gaurav Mahamuni, Jay Rutherford, Justin Davis, Eric Molnar ...+4 more authors

Institutions: University of Washington

Published on: 12 Jun 2020 - Environmental Science & Technology (ACS Publications)

Related papers:

- [Soot Morphology and Nanostructure in Complex Flame Flow Patterns via Secondary Particle Surface Growth.](#)
- [Trapping and aerogelation of nanoparticles in negative gravity hydrocarbon flames](#)
- [Chemistry of atmospheric brown carbon.](#)
- [Comprehensive Molecular Characterization of Atmospheric Brown Carbon by High Resolution Mass Spectrometry with Electropray and Atmospheric Pressure Photoionization.](#)
- [Nanostructure Transition of Young Soot Aggregates to Mature Soot Aggregates in Diluted Diffusion Flames.](#)

Share this paper:    

View more about this paper here: <https://typeset.io/papers/excitation-emission-matrix-spectroscopy-for-analysis-of-33kh93dwss>

1 **Excitation-Emission Matrix Spectroscopy for Analysis of Chemical Composition of**
2 **Combustion Generated Particulate Matter**

3 **Gaurav Mahamuni¹, Jay Rutherford², Justin Davis³, Eric Molnar¹, Jonathan D. Posner^{1,2},*
4 *Edmund Seto⁵, Gregory Korshin⁴, Igor Novosselov^{1,5,6}*

5 ¹ University of Washington, Mechanical Engineering, Seattle, WA 98195

6 ² University of Washington, Chemical Engineering, Seattle, WA 98195

7 ³ University of Washington, Molecular Engineering, Seattle, WA 98195


8 ⁴ University of Washington, Civil and Environmental Engineering, Seattle, WA 98195

9 ⁵ University of Washington, Environmental and Occupational Health Sciences, Seattle, WA
10 98195

11 ⁶ University of Washington, Institute for Nano-Engineered Systems, Seattle, WA 98195

12 *Corresponding Author Email: gauravsm@uw.edu

13 **1. Graphical Abstract**



15 **2. Abstract**

16 Analysis of particulate matter (PM) is critical for the assessment of human exposures to potentially
17 harmful agents, notably combustion-generated PM; specifically polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons

18 (PAHs) found in them and associated with carcinogenic and mutagenic effects. In this study, we
19 quantify the presence and concentrations of PAHs with low molecular weight (LMW,
20 $126 < MW < 202$) and higher molecular weight (HMW, $226 < MW < 302$) in combustion-generated
21 PM using excitation-emission matrix (EEM) fluorescence spectroscopy. PM samples were
22 generated in a laminar diffusion inverted gravity flame reactor (IGFR) operated on ethylene and
23 ethane. Fuel dilution by Ar in 0% to 90% range controls the flame temperature. The colder flames
24 result in lower PM yields; however, the PM PAH content increases significantly. Temperature
25 thresholds for PM transition from low to high organic carbon content were characterized on the
26 basis of the maximum flame temperature ($T_{max,c} \sim 1814-1864\text{K}$) and highest soot luminosity region
27 temperature ($T^*_c \sim 1600-1650\text{K}$). Principal component regression (PCR) analysis of the EEM
28 spectra correlates to GCMS data with R^2 values of 0.98 for LMW and 0.99 for HMW PAHs. The
29 agreement demonstrates that PCR-EEM analysis can be used to determine relative concentrations
30 of organic carbon and PAH fraction in combustion PM.

31 **3. Introduction**

32 Ultrafine PM can originate from mobile and industrial combustion sources, forest fires, and other
33 natural and anthropogenic sources. Size distributions, particle morphology, optical properties, and
34 chemical composition of these aerosols vary significantly.¹⁻⁵ Environmental and occupational
35 exposures to combustion PM have been linked to adverse effects on human health. Air pollution
36 was shown to be responsible for 4.2 million deaths in the year 2016.⁶ The toxic potential of PM
37 exposure depends on particle size and chemical composition.^{7,8} It is important to evaluate the
38 organic composition in PM from combustion sources to study the health effects of PM exposure⁹;
39 however, in large epidemiological studies, it is challenging and costly to perform detailed chemical
40 analyses of the collected samples.^{10,11} Therefore, there is a need for robust, low-cost methods for

41 analysis of PM chemical composition for improved health risk assessment, guidance for the
42 management of diseases, and targeted intervention strategies.

43 Of particular interest is the role of combustion generated PAHs as their exposure has been
44 associated with carcinogenic and mutagenic activity in humans.¹²⁻¹⁴ In the environment PAHs can
45 exist in a vapor phase or be associated with airborne PM depending on the atmospheric conditions,
46 the nature of the aerosol (i.e., origin and properties), and the properties of the PAH.^{15,16}
47 Combustion generated PM consists of graphitic structures and an organic fraction that varies with
48 flame conditions and fuel composition. PM formed by the combustion process follows certain
49 growth, maturation, and aggregation pathways. First, fuel pyrolysis and entropic-driven chemical
50 reactions form PAHs, which are stable in the fuel-rich flame environment.^{13,14} These PAHs grow
51 in size building clusters¹⁹, which leads to the creation of nascent soot particles.^{20,21} The nascent
52 soot grows by hydrogen abstraction-carbon addition (HACA) or by condensation mechanisms.²⁰
53 Secondary growth of soot particles is possible in complex flow field scenarios.²² The PAHs
54 participating in PM formation can be oxidized before the particle exits the flame; however,
55 depending on the combustion conditions, a significant fraction of organic compounds can be
56 retained by the particle.

57 Total organic carbon (TOC) analysis is a widely used, relatively low-cost method for estimation
58 of the organic fraction in PM samples.^{23,24} Variations in the organic fraction of the ultrafine PM
59 leads to uncertainty of the PM exposure impact on health.^{10,25} Though the organic fraction in
60 combustion PM contains a variety of complex hydrocarbon compounds⁵, among them, PAHs have
61 been reported to be a major cause of oxidative damage.²⁶⁻²⁸ The Environmental Protection Agency
62 (EPA) has established a panel of 16 PAH compounds as priority pollutants that represent a range
63 of molecular structures with $128 < MW < 278$ g/mol.²⁹ More recent reports³⁰ show that among these,

64 higher molecular weight (HMW) PAHs have high cancerogenic potential. The majority of these
65 HMW PAHs are classified as probably or possibly carcinogenic (groups 2A and 2B, respectively),
66 and Benzo-a-pyrene is classified as carcinogenic (group 1) by the International Agency for
67 Research on Cancer (IARC).³¹ The lower molecular weight (LMW) PAHs are considered non-
68 genotoxic, except naphthalene;^{31,32} however, these LMW PAHs can be acutely toxic.³³

69 PAH analysis in PM is performed via gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GCMS) and liquid
70 chromatography-mass spectrometry (LCMS)³⁴⁻³⁸, both techniques are labor-intensive and require
71 expensive equipment. New spectroscopy-based technologies are being developed to complement
72 the conventional analysis techniques to determine the PM PAH content.³⁹⁻⁴⁶ PAHs are good
73 candidates for detection by spectroscopic techniques as they have high absorption coefficients and
74 quantum yields.⁴⁷ The UV-visible electronic transitions in sp^2 carbon systems such as PAHs rely
75 on $\pi^*-\pi$ transitions.⁴⁸ Several studies show that there is an inverse power law relationship between
76 the optical band gap (OBG) and the number of benzene rings in PAHs^{49,50}. An increase in MW of
77 PAHs broadens their absorption bands towards longer wavelengths and red-shifts their emission
78 bands due to the decreasing OBG.^{51,52} This variation in spectral properties as a function of MW
79 can be used to distinguish PAH content in combustion aerosols. In general, fluorescence
80 spectroscopy is highly sensitive ($\sim 1\text{ng/mL}$) for PAH detection in ultrafine PM⁵³⁻⁵⁵; however, it is
81 not specific. For analysis of multi-component mixtures, scanning single-wavelength-excitations
82 and stacking fluorescence emissions at each excitation wavelength provides a three-dimensional
83 spectral fingerprint of the sample known as Excitation Emission Matrix (EEM). EEM analysis has
84 been used previously for PM source identification⁵⁶, PAH content in liquid samples, and
85 qualitative analysis of diesel spray.⁵⁷⁻⁶¹ However, a convolution of individual compound
86 signatures in a multi-component mixture, such as environmental samples, generates complex EEM

87 spectra that are difficult to interpret. Multivariate statistical techniques can be used to decompose
88 the EEMs.^{62,63}

89 In this study, we demonstrate the ability of EEM technique coupled with multivariate statistical
90 analysis to estimate concentrations of PAH fractions in combustion PM. The PM samples with
91 varied TOC fractions were generated by the combustion of diluted ethylene and ethane fuels in an
92 inverted gravity flame reactor (IGFR)⁶⁴. These samples were analyzed for soot yields, TOC, and
93 PAH fraction. Concentrations of 24 PAHs with $128 < MW < 302$ g/mol were measured using GCMS.
94 Based on their MW, the PAHs were categorized as LMW PAHs (MW=128-202 g/mol) or HMW
95 PAHs (MW=226-302 g/mol). EEM analysis coupled with principal component regression (PCR)
96 was performed in parallel to develop a model for estimation of LMW and HMW PAH fractions in
97 PM. The PCR-EEM estimates show excellent correlations ($R^2 \sim 0.98-0.99$) with PAH
98 concentrations measured by GCMS for the two ranges of MWs. This technique can be used as a
99 sensitive, low-cost alternative to TOC or GCMS analyses of PM.

100 **4. Experimental Methods**

101 4.1. PM Collection in Inverted Gravity Flame Reactor (IGFR)

102 An IGFR can generate ultrafine PM with a wide range of combustion temperatures due to the
103 stable flame and high residence time compared to an upright flame. The IGFR allows for control
104 of flame residence times (τ_{res}) by altering the balance of the convective and buoyant terms.⁶⁵ The
105 increased residence time and flame stability in the IGFR have been utilized to synthesize materials
106 such as carbon and TiO₂ aerosol gels^{66,67} and for testing of several hypotheses related to PM
107 formation and growth.²² Here, the IGFR is used to produce combustion PM samples with
108 controlled organic carbon fraction by varying the flame temperature with fuel dilution by Ar. The

109 IGFR consists of a 150 mm long quartz tube with an inner diameter of 32 mm. A mixture of fuel
110 and Ar enters the combustor in a “+g” (downward) direction. The air is introduced as a co-flow
111 into the reactor via concentric inlets having diameters 9.5 mm and 32 mm. A flow straightener at
112 the combustor inlet prevents the propagation of instabilities from the air manifold.

113 The combustion conditions, such as temperature, dilution, fuel composition, and flowrates
114 determine the PM formation rates and routes, thus controlling PAH fractions in the sample. The
115 dilution ratio is defined as the ratio of Ar to the fuel-Ar mixture flow rate on volumetric basis.
116 Introduction of Ar reduces the maximum flame temperature (T_{max}). For each fuel, the overall fuel-
117 air equivalence ratio (ϕ) was kept constant. Figure S1 shows the IGFR schematic, and Figure S2
118 shows engineering drawings of the reactor. Table S1 shows the experimental conditions matrix.
119 Note that T_{max} generally does not correspond to the region of the maximum soot production.⁶⁸ The
120 soot formation region temperature (T^*) is a more relevant measure for particle exposure to
121 temperature. Methodology for finding T^* is based on the flame luminosity in the red spectra.⁶⁸
122 Due to the uncertainties in estimating the range and location of T^* (as shown in SI Section 4), we
123 use T_{max} for flame characterization, T^* is also reported for reference, the typical values are lower
124 than T_{max} by 50-300K.

125 The soot emission factor, defined as mg of PM per gram of fuel, was calculated by gravimetric
126 analysis. Zeflour PTFE filters (Pall Zefluor®, Pall Cat. # P5PJ037) were weighed before collection
127 using a microbalance with 0.5 μ g resolution (Mettler-Toledo UMT-2, Greifensee, Switzerland).⁶⁹

128 A weighed filter was placed in an open-face filter holder downstream of the flame. The sampling
129 flow rate was set at 4 slpm, which was greater than the inlet flow, to assure all PM was collected.
130 Make-up air compensated for the difference in inlet and sampling flow rates. The sample collection
131 time was varied according to PM yields to gain an adequate mass for TOC, GCMS, and EEM

132 analyses. Table S1 shows the number of replicates for each condition. TOC analysis was
133 performed by Sunset Laboratories Inc (Tigard, OR-97223, USA) using a thermal/optical method
134 based on NIOSH 5040.⁷⁰

135 4.2. Sample Extraction

136 After the gravimetric analysis, the samples were extracted in cyclohexane (Uvasol® Cyclohexane
137 for Spectroscopy, MilliporeSigma Cat. #1.02822.2500). The filters were cut into four equal parts,
138 and placed in 20 mL glass vials (Cat # 89096-774 VWR, Edison, NJ). The vials were filled with
139 ~3mL of cyclohexane and sonicated for 30 min in a water bath sonicator (42 kHz, 2510R-MT
140 Branson, Ultrasonic Corp., Danbury, CT). After sonication, the samples were soaked for 24 hours
141 and filtered with 0.2 µm PTFE syringe filters (VWR Cat. #28145-491) into 4 mL vials (Cat #
142 66009-876 VWR, Edison, NJ). Blank filters, used for reference, were extracted in the same
143 manner. Aliquots (200 µL) of filtered extracts were transferred into 2 mL GCMS vials having 250
144 µL inserts and PTFE screw tops (Cat# 5182-0715, 5181-8872 and 5182-0717, Agilent, Santa
145 Clara, CA) for GCMS analysis. The remaining extracts were diluted further into 20 mL glass vials
146 to a final concentration of 40 µg(soot)/mL(cyclohexane) for EEM analysis.

147 4.3. Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectroscopy (GCMS) Analysis

148 The extracts were analyzed using an Agilent 7000 GC/MS Triple Quad Mass Spectrometer using
149 two 15 m columns (Agilent Part #: HP-5MS UI) equipped with a backflush. 24 PAHs (EPA 16
150 PAHs²⁹ and eight additional compounds with MW up to 302 g/mol) were included in the analysis,
151 see Figure S5. Calibration curves for PAH species with seven concentration levels in the range 1-
152 1000 ng/mL were obtained. The calibration standards for the 24 PAHs were a mixture of 23
153 compounds (Wellington Laboratories Cat. # PAH-STK-A, Guelph, ON, Canada) and one

154 additional compound, coronene, a standard PAH used in several studies on mechanisms of soot
155 formation²⁰ (AccuStandard Cat. # H-116, New Haven, CT, USA). Each calibrant included 16
156 deuterium-labeled PAH internal standards (Wellington Laboratories Cat. # PAH-LCS-A, Guelph,
157 ON, Canada). PAH internal standards were at a concentration of 100 ng/mL in the calibrants, and
158 an equivalent concentration of the same internal standard was spiked into each sample for use in
159 quantification. SI Section 5 shows the compounds and calibration curve details. The instrument
160 was operated in pseudo multiple reaction monitoring (PMRM) mode,⁷¹ the details are provided in
161 SI Section 5.

162 4.4. Excitation-Emission Matrix (EEM) Analysis

163 The EEM spectra of PM extract samples, each having a concentration of 40
164 $\mu\text{g}(\text{soot})/\text{mL}(\text{cyclohexane})$, were obtained using a spectrofluorometer (Aqualog-880-C, HORIBA
165 Instruments Inc. Edison, NJ). The spectra were recorded in the range of excitation wavelength λ_{ex}
166 = 200-600 nm with a 2 nm resolution. For each excitation wavelength, the instrument records
167 emissions using CCD array in the range of $\lambda_{em} = 246-826$ nm with a 0.58 nm resolution. The
168 spectra were normalized to Raman units (R.U.).⁷² To account for Rayleigh scattering, an EEM of
169 blank cyclohexane was subtracted from each EEM sample. The remaining Rayleigh and Raman
170 scattering peaks were removed computationally.⁷³ Absorption of PM extracts in the range $\lambda_{ex} =$
171 200-600 nm was recorded to correct the EEM measurements for inner filter effect.⁷⁴ The processed
172 EEMs were then passed through a median filter and a Gaussian filter in MATLAB (MathWorks
173 Inc.) to smooth the data.

174 4.5. Principal Component Regression (PCR)

175 PCR was performed as a two-step process: (1) transforming the EEM data onto its principal
176 components (PCs) and (2) fitting regression models on the transformed EEM data and PAH
177 concentrations obtained from GCMS analysis. EEM is recorded as a 2D matrix with a fluorescence
178 intensity value for each pixel which corresponds to one of 1000 row positions (one for each
179 emission wavelength) and 201 column positions (one for each excitation wavelength).

180 In the first step of PCR, each EEM 2D matrix (1000 x 201) was unfolded into a 1D row vector (1
181 x 20100). The EEM row vectors of all samples were stacked to create a data matrix C (n x 201000),
182 where n is the total number of samples. The data matrix C was reduced with principal component
183 analysis (PCA) using the scikit-learn library in Python 3.6.0.⁷⁵ The number of PCs (d), required to
184 represent the data in the data matrix C was varied from 1 to 10. The data matrix C can be
185 represented as the matrix product of s and v and a residual matrix E , shown in (1)

$$C = sv + E \quad (1)$$

186 where s (n x d) is the scores matrix and v (d x 201000) is the loadings matrix having d PC vectors.
187 The scores matrix s is the reduced form of data matrix C ; the operation reduces the number of data
188 points required to represent each EEM from 20100 to d . The value of d is chosen to minimize the
189 number of PCs that account for the maximum variance in the EEM dataset. The variance in the
190 EEM data accounted by the PCs for $d=1$ to 10, see Figure S16.

191 For the second step of PCR, the scores matrix s (n x d) is used to fit linear models for estimating
192 concentrations of PAH fractions. We used the ordinary least squares method in the scikit-learn

193 library in Python 3.6.0 to perform multiple linear regression (MLR) to fit two linear models
194 between: (i) EEM scores matrix and the LMW PAH concentrations from GCMS analysis and (ii)
195 EEM scores matrix and HMW PAH concentrations from GCMS analysis. The mean squared error
196 (MSE) representing the error in correlation for each model was calculated using “leave one out
197 cross-validation” method (LOOCV).⁷⁵ The MSEs for range $d=1-10$ are shown in Figure S17.
198 Based on the variance in the EEM data for $d=1-10$ PCs and the MSE, $d = 5$ was chosen for fitting
199 the linear models as it accounted for 99.99% variance. The MSE did not change significantly for
200 $d > 5$, indicating that all relevant spectral features were captured by the first 5 PCs.

201 Finally, PCA and MLR, referred together as principal component regression (PCR), was
202 performed using all samples ($n=20$) and $d = 5$. The coefficient of determination (R^2) was
203 determined using LOOCV.

204 **5. Results and Discussion**

205 5.1. Effect of Fuel Dilution on the Soot Yields and TOC

206 Effect of fuel dilution by Ar on the maximum flame temperatures, soot yields, and TOC is studied.
207 Figure 1A shows that T_{max} decreases with fuel dilution due to heat transfer from the combustion
208 reaction to Ar. The flame brightness and color are shown in Figure S6 and Figure S7. Figure 1B
209 shows that the emission factor increases with T_{max} . The amount of soot produced for the flame
210 condition with the highest T_{max} is two orders of magnitude greater than that of the lowest
211 temperature. Although the emission factor is measured ex-situ gravimetrically, visual observation
212 confirms that flames with greater T_{max} have significantly higher soot radiation. At the higher Ar
213 dilutions, the flame is mostly blue (Figure S6 and Figure S7) with a visible orange region at the
214 tip of the flame. This agrees with the previous reports of soot formation suppression due to inert

215 additives.⁷⁶ The contributing factors include (i) dilution (lower concentrations) of species
 216 participating in the reaction, (ii) thermal effects – lower collision energies, and (iii) chemical
 217 effects caused by the free radicals scavenging by the diluent. Dilution by inert additives has been
 218 shown to have a greater impact on the sooting tendency than thermal effects alone.^{76–78}




Figure 1: Maximum flame temperature (T_{max}) varies with argon dilution of fuel affecting the soot emission factor and TOC from ethylene and ethane flames. (A): The maximum flame temperature decreases with increasing Ar dilution for both fuels. (B): The emission factor (soot yields) increases with flame temperature. (C): TOC fraction decreases with increasing flame temperature. Figure S8 shows variation of soot emission factor and TOC with Ar dilution for both fuels.

219 Figure 1C shows that TOC decreases with the increase in flame temperature. The higher TOC in
 220 the soot from lower temperature flames is likely due to reduction in hydrogen abstraction,
 221 hindrance of carbonization of young soot particles and reduction in PAH oxidation rates.²⁰
 222 Detailed analysis of the soot formation mechanisms and the effects of the flame conditions on the
 223 PM composition^{79,80} are beyond the scope of this work.

224 5.2. PAH Composition from GCMS Analysis

225 Figure 2 shows the PAH content in PM generated from ethylene and ethane flames as a function
226 of flame temperatures. A total of 24 PAHs were analysed, but only 16 groups are shown, as the
227 PAHs detected at low concentrations were excluded, and structural isomers were grouped together.
228 For both fuels, HMW PAHs are observed at lower T_{max} . The LMW PAHs are mostly found at
229 intermediate and high T_{max} . Curiously, in ethylene's case, lowest T_{max} conditions yield both
230 LMW and some HMW PAHs associated with PM. We do not have an explanation for this
231 observation though this data point was repeated several times. Figure S9 and Figure S10 show the
232 complete GCMS dataset including each PAH compound.

233 For both fuels, we observe two temperature ranges that correspond to the presence of HMW and
234 LMW PAHs in the PM sample. The transition is associated with soot maturation, i.e., a transition
235 of nascent soot with liquid-like properties, high organic fraction and unstructured morphology to
236 particles with graphitic core-shell carbon structures. This transition is typically defined by
237 carbonization temperature (T_{carb})^{81,82}. From a phenomenological perspective, the temperature in
238 the highest soot concentration region is a more relevant metric to evaluate this transition than the
239 maximum flame temperature. In our experiments, T_{max} is always higher than the temperature
240 experienced by the soot during maturation T^* . The values of T^* were determined based on the
241 temperature range in the highest luminosity region in flame images, estimated using thermocouple
242 temperature measurements along the flame front; the details are described in SI Section 4. For
243 flames with $T^* > T_{carb}$, the liquid-like HMW PAHs that form the nascent soot particle, transition
244 to graphitic structures forming black carbon (BC), which is typically associated with high-
245 temperature combustion sources. Brown carbon, characterized by high TOC fraction, is often
246 found in low-temperature combustion. These conditions are typically present in biomass

247 combustion due to lower heating value of the fuel (high moisture content) and complex
 248 (endothermic) surface chemistry reaction. In our experiments, lower temperature samples exhibit
 249 a brown color. In terms of maximum flame temperature, the threshold for transition from high
 250 PAH PM to highly graphitic PM is in the range 1814K-1864K, denoted by $T_{max,c}$, the subscript ‘c’
 251 stands for critical. The transition is shown as a dotted grey line in Figure 2. These flame
 252 temperatures correspond to the estimated local temperature condition for soot exposure of $T^*_c \sim$
 253 1600K-1650K.




Figure 2: PM PAH fraction as a function of T_{max} for ethylene and ethane flames. GCMS concentration of 16 PAHs is divided into LMW and HMW PAH. For higher T_{max} , LMW PAHs are prevalent; for lower T_{max} , HMW PAHs are the dominant group. The vertical dashed grey line indicates the carbonization threshold in terms of maximum flame temperature.

254 5.3. Spectral Information from EEM Analysis

255 Figure 3 shows the EEM spectra of PM generated from ethylene flames at $T_{max}=1746\text{K}$, 1858K
256 and 1968K and ethane flames at $T_{max}=1749\text{K}$, 1863K and 1946K. For both fuels, the location and
257 intensity of fluorescence emission peaks varies with T_{max} . At $T_{max}=1968\text{K}$ for ethylene and
258 $T_{max}=1946\text{K}$ for ethane, the emission peaks are present at shorter excitation wavelengths and have
259 lower intensities. At $T_{max}=1746\text{K}$ for ethylene and $T_{max}=1749\text{K}$ for ethane, the range of excitation
260 wavelengths where fluorescence peaks are observed increases, indicating a broadening of PAH
261 absorption bands, and the emission peaks shift towards longer wavelengths. This suggests that
262 colder flames produce PM with higher MW PAHs than hotter (less diluted) flames, which agrees
263 with the GCMS analysis, as shown in Figure 2. The EEM spectra for the entire range of flame
264 conditions are shown in Figure S12. Figure S14 shows the emission spectra for pure PAHs in the
265 target molecular weight range. By comparison, the emission peaks in the EEMs from higher T_{max}
266 samples are associated with the LMW PAHs and emission peaks in the EEMs for PM samples at
267 lower T_{max} are associated with the HMW PAHs. Similar to the GCMS data, the temperature
268 threshold can be determined by change in the EEM spectra. Note that the EEM signal intensities
269 are significantly greater for lower temperature samples due to the high fluorescence quantum yield
270 of HMW PAHs (sample mass normalized).⁸³ The odd result of having HMW PAHs for the hottest
271 ethylene condition, $T_{max}=1968\text{K}$, as pointed out in the GCMS analysis, is also observed in EEM
272 analysis via the fluorescence peaks associated with HMW PAHs at $\lambda_{ex} = 300 - 400 \text{ nm}$ and $\lambda_{em} =$
273 $400 - 500 \text{ nm}$.




Figure 3: The location and intensity of fluorescence peaks in the EEM spectra vary with T_{max} . PM samples for hotter flames have emission peaks at shorter emission wavelengths and in narrow excitation bands. PM samples at lower T_{max} have emission peaks at longer emission wavelengths and broader excitation bands.

274 5.4. Total Fluorescence Intensity

275 Figure 4 compares the total PAH concentrations in PM samples from GCMS analysis against the
 276 total fluorescence intensity, defined as the sum of intensities at each excitation-emission pair in
 277 the EEM. Since PM samples from $T_{max} > T_{max,c}$ have predominantly LMW PAHs (see Figure 2),
 278 variation in the total fluorescence intensity can be attributed to the variation in predominantly
 279 LMW PAH concentrations. LMW PAH fluorescence peaks are located in the lower-left quadrant

280 of the EEM spectra at shorter excitation and emission wavelengths ($\lambda_{ex} < 300nm$; $\lambda_{em} <$
281 $450nm$), as shown in Figure 3. Similarly, variation in the total fluorescence intensity in PM
282 samples for $T_{max} < T_{max,c}$ can be attributed to the variation in concentrations of HMW PAHs;
283 their fluorescence peaks located at higher wavelengths ($\lambda_{ex} > 300nm$; $\lambda_{em} > 400nm$). There is
284 a clear distinction between emission wavelength and width of excitation bands in the EEMs of PM
285 samples with dominant LMW PAHs vs. HMW PAHs. The integrated fluorescence intensity
286 follows the total PAH concentration levels for both fuels over a wide range of flame temperatures.
287 Compounds other than the 24 PAHs from GCMS panel contribute to the fluorescence, their peak
288 location in the EEM spectra and relative intensity are not known.




Figure 4: Comparison of integrated EEM fluorescence signal to PAH concentration from GCMS analysis of 24 PAHs panel for diluted ethylene and ethane flames. The dotted line represents transition threshold from black carbon (low TOC, low HMW PAHs) to brown carbon (high TOC, high HMW PAHs).

289 5.5. Correlating EEM and GCMS Results

290 Figure 5 shows the estimated LMW and HMW PAH concentrations based on the EEM-PCR
 291 analysis with five principal components. Based on the LOOCV method, the correlations with
 292 GCMS data have R^2 values of 0.98 and 0.99 for the LMW and HMW PAH, respectively. Although
 293 the intensity of the EEM fluorescence peaks for high-temperature PM samples is lower than that
 294 for colder flames (see Figure 4), the unique attributes of the spectra, i.e., peak locations, widths,
 295 and other overall shape parameters, allow for accurate estimation of LMW PAH concentrations.

296 Here we propose that fluorescence intensity in the specific EEM region, indicative of the
297 concentration of LMW and HMW PAHs, can be used as a fluorescent fingerprint for the organic
298 compounds in combustion PM. For samples where both LMW and HMW PAH groups are present,
299 their emission wavelengths and excitation bands overlap, and it is necessary to determine the
300 fraction of each group. In the current work, the PCR technique is used to extract features associated
301 with LMW and HMW PAHs and to determine the concentration of each PAH group. While this
302 method can estimate LMW and HMW PAH concentrations as low as 0.1 ng PAH/ μ g soot, it is not
303 specific in terms of determining the concentrations of individual PAHs due to the overlap in
304 fluorescence peaks and presence of other fluorescent species which were not analyzed in the
305 present study. Multivariate analysis techniques can improve the accuracy and specificity of this
306 method if the data includes a greater variation in concentration of the specific PAH target.




Figure 5: Parity plots of PAH concentrations estimated by EEM-PCR vs. concentration measured by GCMS for LMW (left) and HMW (right) PAHs in PM samples generated from ethylene and ethane flames.

307 In this study, we have demonstrated that the PCR-EEM technique can be used to estimate
 308 combustion PM organic fraction, in particular, the concentrations of LMW and HMW PAH
 309 groups. Composition of combustion PM in terms of TOC and PAH concentrations was controlled
 310 by Ar dilution of ethylene and ethane in the inverted gravity diffusion flame. The maximum flame
 311 temperature decreases with increase in fuel dilution. The colder flames produce lower PM yield;
 312 however, the PM TOC content increased significantly, from 3-5% up to 13-27% for ethylene and
 313 ethane respectively. The increase in organic carbon is associated with the presence of HMW PAHs.
 314 Temperature thresholds for PM transition from low to high TOC PM were determined based on
 315 the maximum flame temperature $T_{max,c} \sim 1814-1864\text{K}$, which corresponds to temperature of the
 316 highest soot luminosity (concentration) region of $T^*_c \sim 1600-1650\text{K}$. The PCR-EEM method based

317 on fluorescent fingerprint attributes, such as location, width, overall shape and intensity can be
318 used to quantify the levels of LMW and HMW PAH groups in ultrafine PM produced by
319 combustion.

320 **6. Acknowledgements**

321 This work was supported by the National Institute of Health grants U01 EB021923 and NIEHS
322 R42ES026532 subcontract to the University of Washington.

323 **7. References**

- 324 (1) Popovicheva, O.; Kistler, M.; Kireeva, E.; Persiantseva, N.; Timofeev, M.; Kopeikin, V.;
325 Kasper-Giebl, A. Physicochemical Characterization of Smoke Aerosol during Large-Scale
326 Wildfires: Extreme Event of August 2010 in Moscow. *Atmos. Environ.* **2014**, *96*, 405–
327 414. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2014.03.026>.
- 328 (2) Chakrabarty, R. K.; Beres, N. D.; Moosmüller, H.; China, S.; Mazzoleni, C.; Dubey, M.
329 K.; Liu, L.; Mishchenko, M. I. Soot Superaggregates from Flaming Wildfires and Their
330 Direct Radiative Forcing. *Sci. Rep.* **2014**, *4*, 5508. <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep05508>.
- 331 (3) Goodarzi, F. Morphology and Chemistry of Fine Particles Emitted from a Canadian Coal-
332 Fired Power Plant. *Fuel* **2006**, *85* (3), 273–280. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2005.07.004>.
- 333 (4) Köylü, Ü. Ö.; Faeth, G. M. Structure of Overfire Soot in Buoyant Turbulent Diffusion
334 Flames at Long Residence Times. *Combust. Flame* **1992**, *89* (2), 140–156.
335 [https://doi.org/10.1016/0010-2180\(92\)90024-J](https://doi.org/10.1016/0010-2180(92)90024-J).
- 336 (5) Lin, P.; Fleming, L. T.; Nizkorodov, S. A.; Laskin, J.; Laskin, A. Comprehensive
337 Molecular Characterization of Atmospheric Brown Carbon by High Resolution Mass

- 338 Spectrometry with Electrospray and Atmospheric Pressure Photoionization. *Anal. Chem.*
339 **2018**, *90* (21), 12493–12502. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.analchem.8b02177>.
- 340 (6) WHO | Mortality and burden of disease from ambient air pollution
341 https://www.who.int/gho/phe/outdoor_air_pollution/burden_text/en/ (accessed Mar 10,
342 2019).
- 343 (7) Steenhof, M.; Gosens, I.; Strak, M.; Godri, K. J.; Hoek, G.; Cassee, F. R.; Mudway, I. S.;
344 Kelly, F. J.; Harrison, R. M.; Lebret, E.; et al. In Vitro Toxicity of Particulate Matter (PM)
345 Collected at Different Sites in the Netherlands Is Associated with PM Composition, Size
346 Fraction and Oxidative Potential - the RAPTES Project. *Part. Fibre Toxicol.* **2011**, *8* (1),
347 26. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1743-8977-8-26>.
- 348 (8) VALAVANIDIS, A.; FIOTAKIS, K.; VLACHOGIANNI, T. Airborne Particulate Matter
349 and Human Health: Toxicological Assessment and Importance of Size and Composition of
350 Particles for Oxidative Damage and Carcinogenic Mechanisms. *J. Environ. Sci. Health*
351 *Part C* **2008**, *26* (4), 339–362. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10590500802494538>.
- 352 (9) Kim Yong Ho; Warren Sarah H.; Krantz Q. Todd; King Charly; Jaskot Richard; Preston
353 William T.; George Barbara J.; Hays Michael D.; Landis Matthew S.; Higuchi Mark; et al.
354 Mutagenicity and Lung Toxicity of Smoldering vs. Flaming Emissions from Various
355 Biomass Fuels: Implications for Health Effects from Wildland Fires. *Environ. Health*
356 *Perspect.* *126* (1), 017011. <https://doi.org/10.1289/EHP2200>.
- 357 (10) Bell Michelle L.; Dominici Francesca; Ebisu Keita; Zeger Scott L.; Samet Jonathan M.
358 Spatial and Temporal Variation in PM_{2.5} Chemical Composition in the United States for
359 Health Effects Studies. *Environ. Health Perspect.* **2007**, *115* (7), 989–995.
360 <https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.9621>.

- 361 (11) Atkinson, R. W.; Mills, I. C.; Walton, H. A.; Anderson, H. R. Fine Particle Components
362 and Health—a Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Epidemiological Time Series
363 Studies of Daily Mortality and Hospital Admissions. *J. Expo. Sci. Environ. Epidemiol.*
364 **2015**, *25* (2), 208–214. <https://doi.org/10.1038/jes.2014.63>.
- 365 (12) Baird, W. M.; Hooven, L. A.; Mahadevan, B. Carcinogenic Polycyclic Aromatic
366 Hydrocarbon-DNA Adducts and Mechanism of Action. *Environ. Mol. Mutagen.* **2005**, *45*
367 (2–3), 106–114. <https://doi.org/10.1002/em.20095>.
- 368 (13) Kim Oanh, N. T.; Nghiem, L. H.; Phyu, Y. L. Emission of Polycyclic Aromatic
369 Hydrocarbons, Toxicity, and Mutagenicity from Domestic Cooking Using Sawdust
370 Briquettes, Wood, and Kerosene. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2002**, *36* (5), 833–839.
371 <https://doi.org/10.1021/es011060n>.
- 372 (14) de Kok, T. M. C. M.; Driee, H. A. L.; Hogervorst, J. G. F.; Briedé, J. J. Toxicological
373 Assessment of Ambient and Traffic-Related Particulate Matter: A Review of Recent
374 Studies. *Mutat. Res. Mutat. Res.* **2006**, *613* (2), 103–122.
375 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mrrev.2006.07.001>.
- 376 (15) Becker, S.; Dailey, L. A.; Soukup, J. M.; Grambow, S. C.; Devlin, R. B.; Huang, Y.-C. T.
377 Seasonal Variations in Air Pollution Particle-Induced Inflammatory Mediator Release and
378 Oxidative Stress. *Environ. Health Perspect.* **2005**, *113* (8), 1032–1038.
379 <https://doi.org/10.1289/ehp.7996>.
- 380 (16) Kim, K.-H.; Jahan, S. A.; Kabir, E.; Brown, R. J. C. A Review of Airborne Polycyclic
381 Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs) and Their Human Health Effects. *Environ. Int.* **2013**, *60*,
382 71–80. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2013.07.019>.

- 383 (17) Richter, H.; Howard, J. B. Formation of Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons and Their
384 Growth to Soot—a Review of Chemical Reaction Pathways. *Prog. Energy Combust. Sci.*
385 **2000**, *26* (4), 565–608. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0360-1285\(00\)00009-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0360-1285(00)00009-5).
- 386 (18) McEnally, C. S.; Pfefferle, L. D.; Atakan, B.; Kohse-Höinghaus, K. Studies of Aromatic
387 Hydrocarbon Formation Mechanisms in Flames: Progress towards Closing the Fuel Gap.
388 *Prog. Energy Combust. Sci.* **2006**, *32* (3), 247–294.
389 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pecs.2005.11.003>.
- 390 (19) Frenklach, M. Reaction Mechanism of Soot Formation in Flames. *Phys. Chem. Chem.*
391 *Phys.* **2002**, *4* (11), 2028–2037. <https://doi.org/10.1039/B110045A>.
- 392 (20) Wang, H. Formation of Nascent Soot and Other Condensed-Phase Materials in Flames.
393 *Proc. Combust. Inst.* **2011**, *33* (1), 41–67. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.proci.2010.09.009>.
- 394 (21) Happold, J.; Grotheer, H.-H.; Aigner, M. Distinction of Gaseous Soot Precursor Molecules
395 and Soot Precursor Particles through Photoionization Mass Spectrometry. *Rapid Commun.*
396 *Mass Spectrom.* **2007**, *21* (7), 1247–1254. <https://doi.org/10.1002/rcm.2955>.
- 397 (22) Davis, J.; Tiwari, K.; Novosselov, I. Soot Morphology and Nanostructure in Complex
398 Flame Flow Patterns via Secondary Particle Surface Growth. *Fuel* **2019**, *245*, 447–457.
399 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2019.02.058>.
- 400 (23) Cao, J. J.; Lee, S. C.; Ho, K. F.; Zou, S. C.; Fung, K.; Li, Y.; Watson, J. G.; Chow, J. C.
401 Spatial and Seasonal Variations of Atmospheric Organic Carbon and Elemental Carbon in
402 Pearl River Delta Region, China. *Atmos. Environ.* **2004**, *38* (27), 4447–4456.
403 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2004.05.016>.

- 404 (24) Chow, J. C.; Watson, J. G.; Chen, L.-W. A.; Rice, J.; Frank, N. H. Quantification of
405 PM_{2.5} Organic Carbon Sampling Artifacts in US Networks. *Atmospheric Chem. Phys.*
406 **2010**, *10* (12), 5223–5239. <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-10-5223-2010>.
- 407 (25) Baldauf, R. W.; Devlin, R. B.; Gehr, P.; Giannelli, R.; Hassett-Sipple, B.; Jung, H.;
408 Martini, G.; McDonald, J.; Sacks, J. D.; Walker, K. Ultrafine Particle Metrics and
409 Research Considerations: Review of the 2015 UFP Workshop. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public.*
410 *Health* **2016**, *13* (11), 1054. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph13111054>.
- 411 (26) Wei, Y.; Han, I.-K.; Hu, M.; Shao, M.; Zhang, J.; Tang, X. Personal Exposure to
412 Particulate PAHs and Anthraquinone and Oxidative DNA Damages in Humans.
413 *Chemosphere* **2010**, *81* (10), 1280–1285.
414 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2010.08.055>.
- 415 (27) Bonetta, Sa.; Gianotti, V.; Bonetta, Si.; Gosetti, F.; Oddone, M.; Gennaro, M. C.; Carraro,
416 E. DNA Damage in A549 Cells Exposed to Different Extracts of PM_{2.5} from Industrial,
417 Urban and Highway Sites. *Chemosphere* **2009**, *77* (7), 1030–1034.
418 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2009.07.076>.
- 419 (28) Wei, Y.; Han, I.-K.; Shao, M.; Hu, M.; Zhang, J. (Jim); Tang, X. PM_{2.5} Constituents and
420 Oxidative DNA Damage in Humans. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2009**, *43* (13), 4757–4762.
421 <https://doi.org/10.1021/es803337c>.
- 422 (29) *Office of the Federal Registration (OFR) Appendix A: Priority Pollutants. Fed Reg.*
423 *1982;47:52309*.
- 424 (30) Samburova, V.; Zielinska, B.; Khlystov, A. Do 16 Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons
425 Represent PAH Air Toxicity? *Toxics* **2017**, *5* (3). <https://doi.org/10.3390/toxics5030017>.

- 426 (31) *Some Non-Heterocyclic Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons and Some Related*
427 *Occupational Exposures*; IARC Working Group on the Evaluation of Carcinogenic Risks
428 to Humans, International Agency for Research on Cancer, Eds.; IARC monographs on the
429 evaluation of carcinogenic risks to humans; IARC Press ; Distributed by World Health
430 Organization: Lyon, France : Geneva, 2010.
- 431 (32) National Toxicology Program: 14th Report on Carcinogens
432 <https://ntp.niehs.nih.gov/go/roc14> (accessed Mar 12, 2019).
- 433 (33) *Canadian Sediment Quality Guidelines for the Protection of Aquatic Life - Polycyclic*
434 *Aromatic Hydrocarbons*; Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment, 1999; p 16.
- 435 (34) Wornat, M. J.; Ledesma, E. B.; Sandrowitz, A. K.; Roth, M. J.; Dawsey, S. M.; Qiao, Y.-
436 L.; Chen, W. Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons Identified in Soot Extracts from
437 Domestic Coal-Burning Stoves of Henan Province, China. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2001**, *35*
438 (10), 1943–1952. <https://doi.org/10.1021/es001664b>.
- 439 (35) An, Y.; Teng, S.; Pei, Y.; Qin, J.; Li, X.; Zhao, H. An Experimental Study of Polycyclic
440 Aromatic Hydrocarbons and Soot Emissions from a GDI Engine Fueled with Commercial
441 Gasoline. *Fuel* **2016**, *164*, 160–171. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fuel.2015.10.007>.
- 442 (36) Hutzler, C.; Luch, A.; Filser, J. G. Analysis of Carcinogenic Polycyclic Aromatic
443 Hydrocarbons in Complex Environmental Mixtures by LC-APPI-MS/MS. *Anal. Chim.*
444 *Acta* **2011**, *702* (2), 218–224. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aca.2011.07.003>.
- 445 (37) Bergauff, M.; Ward, T.; Noonan, C.; Palmer, C. P. Determination and Evaluation of
446 Selected Organic Chemical Tracers for Wood Smoke in Airborne Particulate Matter. *Int.*
447 *J. Environ. Anal. Chem.* **2008**, *88* (7), 473–486.
448 <https://doi.org/10.1080/03067310701809110>.

- 449 (38) Lin, P.; Fleming, L. T.; Nizkorodov, S. A.; Laskin, J.; Laskin, A. Comprehensive
450 Molecular Characterization of Atmospheric Brown Carbon by High Resolution Mass
451 Spectrometry with Electrospray and Atmospheric Pressure Photoionization. *Anal. Chem.*
452 **2018**, *90* (21), 12493–12502. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.analchem.8b02177>.
- 453 (39) Faccinetto, A.; Focsa, C.; Desgroux, P.; Ziskind, M. Progress toward the Quantitative
454 Analysis of PAHs Adsorbed on Soot by Laser Desorption/Laser Ionization/Time-of-Flight
455 Mass Spectrometry. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2015**, *49* (17), 10510–10520.
456 <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.5b02703>.
- 457 (40) Skeen, S. A.; Michelsen, H. A.; Wilson, K. R.; Popolan, D. M.; Violi, A.; Hansen, N.
458 Near-Threshold Photoionization Mass Spectra of Combustion-Generated High-Molecular-
459 Weight Soot Precursors. *J. Aerosol Sci.* **2013**, *58*, 86–102.
460 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaerosci.2012.12.008>.
- 461 (41) Andrade-Eiroa, A.; Shahla, R.; Romanías, M. N.; Dagaut, P. An Alternative to Trial and
462 Error Methodology in Solid Phase Extraction: An Original Automated Solid Phase
463 Extraction Procedure for Analysing PAHs and PAH-Derivatives in Soot. *RSC Adv.* **2014**,
464 *4* (63), 33636–33644. <https://doi.org/10.1039/C4RA03214D>.
- 465 (42) Wartel, M.; Pauwels, J.-F.; Desgroux, P.; Mercier, X. Quantitative Measurement of
466 Naphthalene in Low-Pressure Flames by Jet-Cooled Laser-Induced Fluorescence. *Appl.*
467 *Phys. B* **2010**, *100* (4), 933–943. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00340-010-4135-2>.
- 468 (43) Garra, P.; Maschowski, C.; Liaud, C.; Dieterlen, A.; Trouvé, G.; Calvé, S. L.; Jaffrezo, J.-
469 L.; Leyssens, G.; Schönnenbeck, C.; Kohler, S.; et al. Fluorescence Microscopy Analysis
470 of Particulate Matter from Biomass Burning: Polyaromatic Hydrocarbons as Main

- 471 Contributors. *Aerosol Sci. Technol.* **2015**, *49* (11), 1160–1169.
472 <https://doi.org/10.1080/02786826.2015.1107181>.
- 473 (44) Sousa, G.; Kiselev, D.; Kasparian, J.; George, C.; Ferreira, J.; Favreau, P.; Lazzarotto, B.;
474 Wolf, J.-P. Time-Resolved Monitoring of Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons Adsorbed
475 on Atmospheric Particles. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* **2017**, *24* (24), 19517–19523.
476 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-017-9612-2>.
- 477 (45) Aizawa, T.; Kosaka, H. Effects of Fischer—Tropsch Diesel Fuel on Soot Formation
478 Processes in a Diesel Spray Flame. *Int. J. Engine Res.* **2010**, *11* (1), 79–87.
479 <https://doi.org/10.1243/14680874JER04709>.
- 480 (46) Reilly, P. T. A.; Gieray, R. A.; Whitten, W. B.; Ramsey, J. M. Direct Observation of the
481 Evolution of the Soot Carbonization Process in an Acetylene Diffusion Flame via Real-
482 Time Aerosol Mass Spectrometry. *Combust. Flame* **2000**, *122* (1), 90–104.
483 [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0010-2180\(00\)00105-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0010-2180(00)00105-X).
- 484 (47) Berlman, I. *Handbook of Florescence Spectra of Aromatic Molecules*; Elsevier, 2012.
- 485 (48) Robertson, J.; O'Reilly, E. P. Electronic and Atomic Structure of Amorphous Carbon.
486 *Phys. Rev. B* **1987**, *35* (6), 2946–2957. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.35.2946>.
- 487 (49) Menon, A.; Dreyer, J. A. H.; Martin, J. W.; Akroyd, J.; Robertson, J.; Kraft, M. Optical
488 Band Gap of Cross-Linked, Curved, and Radical Polyaromatic Hydrocarbons. *Phys.*
489 *Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2019**, *21* (29), 16240–16251. <https://doi.org/10.1039/C9CP02363A>.
- 490 (50) Martin, J. W.; Bowal, K.; Menon, A.; Slavchov, R. I.; Akroyd, J.; Mosbach, S.; Kraft, M.
491 Polar Curved Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons in Soot Formation. *Proc. Combust. Inst.*
492 **2019**, *37* (1), 1117–1123. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.proci.2018.05.046>.

- 493 (51) Birks, J. B. *Photophysics of Aromatic Molecules*; WILEY - INTERSCIENCE a division of
494 John Wiley & Sons Ltd.
- 495 (52) Rieger, R.; Müllen, K. Forever Young: Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons as Model
496 Cases for Structural and Optical Studies. *J. Phys. Org. Chem.* **2010**, *23* (4), 315–325.
497 <https://doi.org/10.1002/poc.1644>.
- 498 (53) Moerner, W. E.; Fromm, D. P. Methods of Single-Molecule Fluorescence Spectroscopy
499 and Microscopy. *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* **2003**, *74* (8), 3597–3619.
500 <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1589587>.
- 501 (54) Elcoroaristizabal, S.; de Juan, A.; García, J. A.; Durana, N.; Alonso, L. Comparison of
502 Second-Order Multivariate Methods for Screening and Determination of PAHs by Total
503 Fluorescence Spectroscopy. *Chemom. Intell. Lab. Syst.* **2014**, *132*, 63–74.
504 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemolab.2014.01.005>.
- 505 (55) L. Nahorniak, M.; S. Booksh, K. Excitation-Emission Matrix Fluorescence Spectroscopy
506 in Conjunction with Multiway Analysis for PAH Detection in Complex Matrices. *Analyst*
507 **2006**, *131* (12), 1308–1315. <https://doi.org/10.1039/B609875D>.
- 508 (56) Rutherford, J.; Dawson-Elli, N.; Manicone, A. M.; Korshin, G. V.; Novosselov, I. V.;
509 Seto, E.; Posner, J. D. Excitation Emission Matrix Fluorescence Spectroscopy for
510 Combustion Generated Particulate Matter Source Identification. *ChemRxiv* **2019**.
511 <https://doi.org/10.26434/chemrxiv.9177146>.
- 512 (57) Aizawa, T.; Kosaka, H. Investigation of Early Soot Formation Process in a Diesel Spray
513 Flame via Excitation—Emission Matrix Using a Multi-Wavelength Laser Source. *Int. J.*
514 *Engine Res.* **2008**, *9* (1), 79–97. <https://doi.org/10.1243/14680874JER01407>.

- 515 (58) JiJi, R. D.; Cooper, G. A.; Booksh, K. S. Excitation-Emission Matrix Fluorescence Based
516 Determination of Carbamate Pesticides and Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons. *Anal.*
517 *Chim. Acta* **1999**, 397 (1), 61–72. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0003-2670\(99\)00392-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0003-2670(99)00392-X).
- 518 (59) Muroski, A. R.; Booksh, K. S.; Myrick, M. L. Single-Measurement Excitation/Emission
519 Matrix Spectrofluorometer for Determination of Hydrocarbons in Ocean Water. 1.
520 Instrumentation and Background Correction. *Anal. Chem.* **1996**, 68 (20), 3534–3538.
521 <https://doi.org/10.1021/ac960252b>.
- 522 (60) Booksh, K. S.; Muroski, A. R.; Myrick, M. L. Single-Measurement Excitation/Emission
523 Matrix Spectrofluorometer for Determination of Hydrocarbons in Ocean Water. 2.
524 Calibration and Quantitation of Naphthalene and Styrene. *Anal. Chem.* **1996**, 68 (20),
525 3539–3544. <https://doi.org/10.1021/ac9602534>.
- 526 (61) Beltrán, J. L.; Ferrer, R.; Guiteras, J. Multivariate Calibration of Polycyclic Aromatic
527 Hydrocarbon Mixtures from Excitation–Emission Fluorescence Spectra. *Anal. Chim. Acta*
528 **1998**, 373 (2), 311–319. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0003-2670\(98\)00420-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0003-2670(98)00420-6).
- 529 (62) Chen, H.; Liao, Z.; Gu, X.; Xie, J.; Li, H.; Zhang, J. Anthropogenic Influences of Paved
530 Runoff and Sanitary Sewage on the Dissolved Organic Matter Quality of Wet Weather
531 Overflows: An Excitation–Emission Matrix Parallel Factor Analysis Assessment. *Environ.*
532 *Sci. Technol.* **2017**, 51 (3), 1157–1167. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.6b03727>.
- 533 (63) Osburn, C. L.; Handsel, L. T.; Peierls, B. L.; Paerl, H. W. Predicting Sources of Dissolved
534 Organic Nitrogen to an Estuary from an Agro-Urban Coastal Watershed. *Environ. Sci.*
535 *Technol.* **2016**, 50 (16), 8473–8484. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.6b00053>.

- 536 (64) Stipe, C. B.; Higgins, B. S.; Lucas, D.; Koshland, C. P.; Sawyer, R. F. Inverted Co-Flow
537 Diffusion Flame for Producing Soot. *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* **2005**, *76* (2), 023908.
538 <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1851492>.
- 539 (65) Ghazi, R.; Tjong, H.; Soewono, A.; Rogak, S. N.; Olfert, J. S. Mass, Mobility, Volatility,
540 and Morphology of Soot Particles Generated by a McKenna and Inverted Burner. *Aerosol*
541 *Sci. Technol.* **2013**, *47* (4), 395–405.
- 542 (66) Chakrabarty, R. K.; Novosselov, I. V.; Beres, N. D.; Moosmüller, H.; Sorensen, C. M.;
543 Stipe, C. B. Trapping and Aerogelation of Nanoparticles in Negative Gravity Hydrocarbon
544 Flames. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **2014**, *104* (24), 243103. <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4884057>.
- 545 (67) Liu, P.; Arnold, I.; Wang, Y.; Yu, Y.; Fang, J.; Biswas, P.; K. Chakrabarty, R. Synthesis
546 of Titanium Dioxide Aerosol Gels in a Buoyancy-Opposed Flame Reactor. *Aerosol Sci.*
547 *Technol.* **2015**, *49*, 00–00. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02786826.2015.1112356>.
- 548 (68) Molnar, E. Effects of Temperature and Fuel Dilution on Soot Yields in an Inverted
549 Gravity Flame Reactor. Master of Science in Mechanical Engineering, University of
550 Washington, Seattle, WA, 2019.
- 551 (69) Allen, R.; Box, M.; Liu, L.-J. S.; Larson, T. V. A Cost-Effective Weighing Chamber for
552 Particulate Matter Filters. *J. Air Waste Manag. Assoc.* **2001**, *51* (12), 1650–1653.
553 <https://doi.org/10.1080/10473289.2001.10464392>.
- 554 (70) Birch, M. E. Occupational Monitoring of Particulate Diesel Exhaust by NIOSH Method
555 5040. *Appl. Occup. Environ. Hyg.* **2002**, *17* (6), 400–405.
556 <https://doi.org/10.1080/10473220290035390>.
- 557 (71) Shang, D.; Kim, M.; Haberl, M. Rapid and Sensitive Method for the Determination of
558 Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons in Soils Using Pseudo Multiple Reaction Monitoring

- 559 Gas Chromatography/Tandem Mass Spectrometry. *J. Chromatogr. A* **2014**, *1334*, 118–
560 125. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2014.01.074>.
- 561 (72) Murphy, K. R.; Butler, K. D.; Spencer, R. G. M.; Stedmon, C. A.; Boehme, J. R.; Aiken,
562 G. R. Measurement of Dissolved Organic Matter Fluorescence in Aquatic Environments:
563 An Interlaboratory Comparison. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2010**, *44* (24), 9405–9412.
564 <https://doi.org/10.1021/es102362t>.
- 565 (73) Zepp, R. G.; Sheldon, W. M.; Moran, M. A. Dissolved Organic Fluorophores in
566 Southeastern US Coastal Waters: Correction Method for Eliminating Rayleigh and Raman
567 Scattering Peaks in Excitation–Emission Matrices. *Mar. Chem.* **2004**, *89* (1), 15–36.
568 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marchem.2004.02.006>.
- 569 (74) Larsson, T.; Wedborg, M.; Turner, D. Correction of Inner-Filter Effect in Fluorescence
570 Excitation-Emission Matrix Spectrometry Using Raman Scatter. *Anal. Chim. Acta* **2007**,
571 *583* (2), 357–363. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aca.2006.09.067>.
- 572 (75) Pedregosa, F.; Varoquaux, G.; Gramfort, A.; Michel, V.; Thirion, B.; Grisel, O.; Blondel,
573 M.; Prettenhofer, P.; Weiss, R.; Dubourg, V.; et al. Scikit-Learn: Machine Learning in
574 Python. *J Mach Learn Res* **2011**, *12*, 2825–2830.
- 575 (76) Glassman, I. Sooting Laminar Diffusion Flames: Effect of Dilution, Additives, Pressure,
576 and Microgravity. *Symp. Int. Combust.* **1998**, *27* (1), 1589–1596.
577 [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0082-0784\(98\)80568-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0082-0784(98)80568-7).
- 578 (77) Du, D. X.; Axelbaum, R. L.; Law, C. K. The Influence of Carbon Dioxide and Oxygen as
579 Additives on Soot Formation in Diffusion Flames. *Symp. Int. Combust.* **1991**, *23* (1),
580 1501–1507. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0082-0784\(06\)80419-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0082-0784(06)80419-4).

- 581 (78) Gülder, Ö. L.; Snelling, D. R. Influence of Nitrogen Dilution and Flame Temperature on
582 Soot Formation in Diffusion Flames. *Combust. Flame* **1993**, *92* (1), 115–124.
583 [https://doi.org/10.1016/0010-2180\(93\)90202-E](https://doi.org/10.1016/0010-2180(93)90202-E).
- 584 (79) Kailasanathan, R. K. A.; Yelverton, T. L. B.; Fang, T.; Roberts, W. L. Effect of Diluents
585 on Soot Precursor Formation and Temperature in Ethylene Laminar Diffusion Flames.
586 *Combust. Flame* **2013**, *160* (3), 656–670.
587 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.combustflame.2012.11.004>.
- 588 (80) Zhang, Y.; Wang, L.; Liu, P.; Guan, B.; Ni, H.; Huang, Z.; Lin, H. Experimental and
589 Kinetic Study of the Effects of CO₂ and H₂O Addition on PAH Formation in Laminar
590 Premixed C₂H₄/O₂/Ar Flames. *Combust. Flame* **2018**, *192*, 439–451.
591 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.combustflame.2018.01.050>.
- 592 (81) Kholghy, M.; Saffaripour, M.; Yip, C.; Thomson, M. J. The Evolution of Soot
593 Morphology in a Laminar Coflow Diffusion Flame of a Surrogate for Jet A-1. *Combust.*
594 *Flame* **2013**, *160* (10), 2119–2130. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.combustflame.2013.04.008>.
- 595 (82) Vander Wal, R. L.; Tomasek, A. J. Soot Nanostructure: Dependence upon Synthesis
596 Conditions. *Combust. Flame* **2004**, *136* (1), 129–140.
597 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.combustflame.2003.09.008>.
- 598 (83) Serio, N.; Prignano, L.; Peters, S.; Levine, M. Detection of Medium-Sized Polycyclic
599 Aromatic Hydrocarbons via Fluorescence Energy Transfer. *Polycycl. Aromat. Compd.*
600 **2014**, *34* (5), 561–572. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10406638.2014.918889>.
- 601
- 602