## EXISTENCE AND MULTIPLICITY RESULTS FOR SEMICOERCIVE UNILATERAL PROBLEMS

## D. Goeleven, V.H. Nguyen and M. Willem

In this paper, we investigate a general class of variational inequalities. Existence and multiplicity results are obtained by using minimax principles for lower semicontinuous functions due to A. Szulkin.

## 1. Introduction

The aim of this paper is the study of problems in mechanics characterised by a general mechanics law which may be written in the form

$$
0 \in \operatorname{grad} W(u)+\partial \Phi(u), u \in U
$$

that is, the law is the sum of a potential law and a superpotential law. $W$ is the potential and $\Phi$ is a proper convex function and is the superpotential. We denote by $\partial \Phi$ the convex subdifferential of $\Phi . U$ is the space of all fields of possible displacements. In this paper it will be assumed that $W$ can be written in the following form

$$
W(u)=\langle u, T u\rangle / 2+C u
$$

where $T$ is linear symmetric and $C$ is $C^{1}(U, \mathbb{R})$.
As an example, we shall consider the following problem: let $T>0$ and let $H^{1}(\Pi, \mathbb{R})$ be the Sobolev space obtained by completing the set of $C^{\infty}$ real-valued $T$-periodic functions on $\Pi:=\mathbb{R} / T \mathbb{Z}$ with the norm

$$
\|u\|=\int_{0}^{T}|u|^{2}+|\dot{u}|^{2} d t
$$

Let $K$ be the closed convex cone defined by

$$
K:=\left\{u \in B^{1}(\Pi, \mathbb{R}): u(x) \geqslant 0 \text { on }[0, T]\right\}
$$

We consider the following periodic unilateral problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
u \in K: \int_{0}^{T} \dot{u} \cdot(\dot{v}-\dot{u}) d t+\int_{0}^{T} \nabla_{u} V(t, u) \cdot(v-u) d t \geqslant 0, \quad \forall v \in K \tag{0}
\end{equation*}
$$

when $V$ is $\alpha$-positively homogeneous with respect to $u$ and such that
(a) $\forall u \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}: \int_{0}^{T} V(t, u) d t>0$,
(b) $\exists v \in \mathbb{R}^{+}: V(., v)<0$ on a non zero measure subset.
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In this case,

$$
W(u):=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T}|\dot{u}|^{2} d t+\int_{0}^{T} V(t, u) d t
$$

where the former term correspond to the kinetic energy and the latter to the potential energy. $\Phi(u):=I_{K}$ characterises the constraints on the displacement field.

We now detail the framework of our paper.
Let $\left\langle X, X^{*}\right\rangle$ be a dual system of real Hilbert spaces, let $T: X \rightarrow X^{*}$ be a symmetric bounded linear operator and let $C \in C^{1}(X, \mathbb{R}) . C$ is assumed to be $\beta$-positively homogeneous that is, $\left\langle C^{\prime}(u), u\right\rangle=\beta C(u)$ ) and strongly continuous (that is $C$ maps weakly converging sequences into converging sequences). Let $\Phi: X \rightarrow(-\infty,+\infty)$ be a proper convex functional. $\Phi$ is assumed to be $\alpha$-positively homogeneous and weakly lower semicontinuous.

We are looking for non trivial solutions, that is, $\boldsymbol{x}^{*} \notin \operatorname{Ker} T$, of the following variational inequality:

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{*} \in X:\left\langle v-x^{*}, T x^{*}+C^{\prime}\left(x^{*}\right)\right\rangle+\Phi(v)-\Phi\left(x^{*}\right) \geqslant 0, \quad \forall v \in X \tag{P}
\end{equation*}
$$

In case of bilateral problems (that is, $\Phi=0$ ), the first result concerning this problem is due to Lassoued [2]. Recently, using a version of the Ljusternik-Schnirelman theory on $C^{1}$-manifolds due to Szulkin [5] Ben Naoum, Troestler and Willem obtained a general abstract existence and multiplicity theory for bilateral problems [1], where homogeneous second order differential equations were considered. For a basic work on critical point theory and its applications to bilateral problems we shall refer to [3]. In this paper, we use a version of minimax primciples for lower semicontinuous functions due to Szulkin, to get new results for the variational inequality $[\mathrm{P}]$ and related unilateral problems.

## 2. Existence result

Theorem 2.1. If the following conditions hold true: $\alpha<\beta<2$ and
(1) $T$ is semicoercive, that is, there exists $c>0$ such that

$$
\langle x, T x\rangle \geqslant c .\|P x\|^{2} \quad \text { for each } \quad x \in X
$$

with $P=I-Q$, where $I$ denotes the identity mapping and $Q$ denotes the orthogonal projection of $X$ onto $\operatorname{Ker}(T)$.
(2) $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ker} T<+\infty$,
(3) $\exists z \in X:\left\langle C^{\prime}(z), z\right\rangle+\Phi(z)<0$,
$C(u)>0, \forall u \in \operatorname{Ker} T, u \neq 0$,
then problem [ $P$ ] has a nontrivial solution.
Proof: Let $J: X \rightarrow(-\infty,+\infty]$ be the functional defined by

$$
J(u):=\frac{1}{2}\langle u, T u\rangle+C(u)+\Phi(u) .
$$

Let $X=\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} X_{n}$, where for each $n, X_{n}:=\{x \in X:\|x\| \leqslant n\}$ is a weakly compact convex set in $X$. Since $J$ is weakly lower semicontinuous, it reaches its minimum on each $X_{n}$, let us say at $u_{n}$.

We have $J\left(u_{n}\right) \leqslant J(v)$, for each $v \in X_{n}$.
Let $v \in X_{n}, t v+(1-t) u_{n} \in X_{n}$ for each $t \in[0,1]$ and since $\Phi$ is convex, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi(v)-\Phi\left(u_{n}\right) & +\frac{1}{2}\left[\left(T\left(u_{n}+t\left(v-u_{n}\right)\right), u_{n}+t\left(v-u_{n}\right)\right\rangle-\left\langle T u_{n}, u_{n}\right)\right] / t \\
& +\left[C\left(u_{n}+t\left(v-u_{n}\right)\right)-C\left(u_{n}\right)\right] / t \geqslant 0, \text { for all } v \in X_{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

Computing the limit as $t \rightarrow 0^{+}$we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle v-u_{n}, T u_{n}+C^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)\right\rangle+\Phi(v)-\Phi\left(u_{n}\right) \geqslant 0, \text { for all } v \in X_{n} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We show first that the sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is bounded.
(a) If $0<\beta<2$. Suppose on the contrary that $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is unbounded. Passing possibly to a subsequence, we can suppose that $w-\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n}=x^{*}$, where $x_{n}:=u_{n} /\left\|u_{n}\right\|$.

Put $v=0$ in (2.1); we obtain

$$
\left\langle u_{n}, T u_{n}\right\rangle+\beta . C\left(u_{n}\right)+\Phi\left(u_{n}\right) \leqslant 0
$$

which implies:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle x_{n}, T x_{n}\right\rangle+\beta . C\left(x_{n}\right) \cdot\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{\beta-2}+\Phi\left(x_{n}\right)\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{\alpha-2} \leqslant 0 \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking the limit as $n \rightarrow+\infty$ in (2.2), we get

$$
\left\langle x^{*}, T x^{*}\right\rangle \leqslant \liminf \left\langle x_{n}, T x_{n}\right\rangle \leqslant 0
$$

and since $\left\langle x^{*}, T x^{*}\right\rangle \geqslant 0$ we obtain

$$
\left\langle x^{*}, T x^{*}\right\rangle=0
$$

and thus $T x^{*}=0$ and $c$. liminf $\left\|P x_{n}\right\|^{2} \leqslant \liminf \left\langle x_{n}, T x_{n}\right\rangle \leqslant 0$. Going if necessary to a subsequence we can assume that $P x_{n} \rightarrow 0, x_{n} \rightarrow x^{*} \in \operatorname{Ker} T$, since $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ker} T<\infty$. Moreover $\left\|x^{*}\right\|=1$.

By positivity, $\left\langle u_{n}, T u_{n}\right\rangle \geqslant 0$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and thus we have from (2.1)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle x, T u_{n}\right\rangle+\left\langle x, C^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)\right\rangle+\Phi(x) \geqslant \beta C\left(u_{n}\right)+\Phi\left(u_{n}\right), \text { for each } x \in X_{n} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Choosing $x=0$, we obtain

$$
\Phi\left(u_{n}\right)+\beta C\left(u_{n}\right) \leqslant 0 .
$$

Dividing by $\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{\beta}$,

$$
\beta C\left(x_{n}\right)+\Phi\left(x_{n}\right)\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{\alpha-\beta} \leqslant 0
$$

and taking the limit, we obtain

$$
C\left(x^{*}\right) \leqslant 0
$$

and since $\boldsymbol{x}^{*} \in \operatorname{Ker} T$, this is a contradiction to assumption (4).
Thus the sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is bounded. Without loss of generality, we can suppose that

$$
u^{*}=w-\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} u_{n}
$$

For $y \in X$, there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $y \in X_{n}$ for all $n \geqslant m$. Hence $J\left(u_{n}\right) \leqslant$ $J(y)$, for all $n \geqslant m$ and since $J$ is weakly lower semicontinuous, we get

$$
J\left(u^{*}\right) \leqslant J(y)
$$

and therefore $J\left(u^{*}\right)=\min _{X} J(y)$.
We have thus

$$
\left\langle T u^{*}+C^{\prime}\left(u^{*}\right), v-u^{*}\right\rangle+\Phi(v)-\Phi\left(u^{*}\right) \geqslant 0, \quad \forall y \in X
$$

With $v=0$, we obtain $\beta C\left(u^{*}\right)+\Phi\left(u^{*}\right) \leqslant 0$, and thus by assumption (4)

$$
u^{*} \notin \operatorname{Ker} T \backslash\{0\} .
$$

Now,

$$
J\left(u^{*}\right) \leqslant J(v), \quad \text { for all } \quad v \in X
$$

and thus

$$
J\left(u^{*}\right) \leqslant C(v), \quad \text { for all } \quad v \in \operatorname{Ker} T
$$

By assumption (3), we get

$$
J\left(u^{*}\right) \leqslant C(z)+\Phi(z)<0
$$

and thus $u^{*} \neq 0$.

Corollary 2.1. Let $K$ be a nonempty closed convex cone of $X$. If the following conditions hold true: $\alpha<\beta<2$ and
(1) $T$ is semicoercive.
(2) $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ker} T<+\infty$,
(3) $\exists z \in K:\left\langle C^{\prime}(z), z\right\rangle<0$,
(4) $C(u)>0, \forall u \in K \cap \operatorname{Ker} T, u \neq 0$,
then there exists $u \in K \backslash \operatorname{Ker} T$ such that

$$
\left\langle v-x^{*}, T x^{*}+C^{\prime}\left(x^{*}\right)\right\rangle \geqslant 0, \quad \forall v \in K .
$$

## 3. Multiplicity result

We shall assume that $C \in C^{1}(X, \mathbb{R})$ is even, $\beta$-positively homogeneous and strongly continuous, and $\Phi: X \rightarrow(-\infty,+\infty)$ is even, $\alpha$-positively homogeneous and strongly continuous.

In order to obtain a multiplicity result to prove that $[\mathrm{P}]$ has many pairs of solutions $\left(-x^{*}, x^{*}\right)$, we verify the assumptions of Theorem 4.4 in [4] due to Szulkin.

Let us recall some definitions.
Let $X$ be a real Banach space and $J$ a function on $X$ satisfying: $J=f+g$, where $f \in C^{1}(X, \mathbb{R})$ and $g: X \rightarrow(-\infty,+\infty]$ is convex, proper and lower semicontinuous. We say that $J$ satisfies the Palais-Smale condition in the sense of Szulkin (PS), if $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is a sequence such that $J\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow c \in \mathbb{R}, z_{n} \in f^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)+\partial g\left(u_{n}\right)$ where $z_{n} \rightarrow 0$, then $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ possesses a convergent subsequence.

Theorem 3.1. (Szulkin [4].) Suppose that $J$ is defined as above and satisfies $(P S), J(0)=0$ and $f, g$ are even. Assume also that
(1) there exists a subspace $X_{1}$ of $X$, of finite codimension, and numbers $\gamma$, $\rho>0$ such that $\left.J\right|_{\theta B_{p} \cap X_{1}} \geqslant \gamma$,
(2) there is a finite dimensional subspace $X_{2}$ of $X, \operatorname{dim} X_{2}>\operatorname{codim} X_{1}$ such that $J(u) \rightarrow-\infty$ as $\|u\| \rightarrow \infty, u \in X_{2}$.

Then $J$ has at least $\operatorname{dim} X_{2}-\operatorname{codim} X_{1}$ distinct pairs of nonzero critical points $\left(-x^{*}, x^{*}\right)$, that is $0 \in f^{\prime}\left(x^{*}\right)+\partial g\left(x^{*}\right)$.

Corollary 3.1. (Szulkin [4].) Suppose that the hypotheses of Theorem 3.1 are satisfied with (2) replaced by
(2') for any positive integer $k$ there is a $k$-dimensional subspace $X_{2}$ of $X$ such that $J(u) \rightarrow-\infty$ as $\|u\| \rightarrow+\infty$.

Then $J$ has infinitely many distinct pairs of nonzero critical points.
From this theorem, we obtain the following

Theorem 3.2. If $\alpha>1, \beta>\max \left\{2,2^{\alpha}-1\right\}$ and
(1) $T$ is semicoercive
(2) $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ker} T<+\infty$,
(3) there exists a subspace $X_{n}$ of $X$, such that $n:=\operatorname{dim} X_{n}>\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ker} T$ and $C(y)<0$, for all $y \in X_{n}, y \neq 0$,
(4) $\Phi(u)>0, \forall u \in(\operatorname{Ker} T) \backslash\{0\} ; \quad \Phi(u) \geqslant 0, \forall u \in X$.

Then there exist at least $n$ - $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ker} T$ distinct pairs of nontrivial solutions for problem [P].

Proof: Let $f(x):=\langle x, T x\rangle / 2+C(x), g(x):=\Phi(x)$. Let $X_{1}:=(\operatorname{Ker} T)^{\perp}$, $X_{2}:=X_{n}$
(1) For every $x \in X_{1}$, we have

$$
\langle x, T x\rangle / 2+\Phi(x)+C(x) \geqslant c / 2 \cdot\|x\|^{2}-|C(x)|
$$

and since $\Phi$ and $C$ are continuous and positively homogeneous, there exist $k, k^{\prime}>0$ such that

$$
\langle x, T x\rangle / 2+\Phi(x)+\beta C(x) \geqslant c / 2 \cdot\|x\|^{2}-k^{\prime}\|x\|^{\beta}
$$

It is always possible to choose $\rho$ such that $\tau:=c \rho^{2} / 2-k^{\prime} \rho^{\boldsymbol{\theta}}>0$ and thus

$$
J(x) \geqslant \tau, \quad \forall x \in \partial B_{\rho} \cap X_{1}
$$

(2) By assumption (3), there exists $\delta>0$ such that

$$
C(x) \leqslant-\delta\|x\|^{\beta}, \quad \text { for all } \quad x \in X_{2}
$$

We have

$$
J(x) \leqslant\|T\|_{*}\|x\|^{2}-\delta\|x\|^{\beta}+k\|x\|^{\alpha}
$$

and thus

$$
\lim _{\substack{\|x\| \rightarrow+\infty \\ x \in X_{2}}} J(x)=-\infty
$$

It remains to prove that $J$ satisfies the (PS) condition. Let $u_{n} \in X$ be a sequence such that $J\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow c \in \mathbb{R}, z_{n} \in f^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)+\partial g\left(u_{n}\right)$ where $z_{n} \rightarrow 0$; that is also (see [4] for more details)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(v)-\Phi\left(u_{n}\right)+\left\langle T u_{n}+C^{\prime} u_{n}, v-u_{n}\right\rangle \geqslant-\delta_{n} .\left\|v-u_{n}\right\| \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\delta_{n} \rightarrow 0$.

We claim that $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is bounded. Suppose that $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is unbounded. With $v=2 u_{n}$ in (3.1) we get

$$
\left\langle u_{n}, T u_{n}\right\rangle+\beta C\left(u_{n}\right)+\left(2^{\alpha}-1\right) \Phi\left(u_{n}\right) \geqslant-\delta_{n} \cdot\left\|u_{n}\right\|,
$$

so that, for $n$ large enough,

$$
\beta J\left(u_{n}\right)-\left\{\left(u_{n}, T u_{n}\right\rangle+\beta C\left(u_{n}\right)+\left(2^{\alpha}-1\right) \Phi\left(u_{n}\right)\right\} \leqslant \beta(c+1)+\left\|u_{n}\right\| .
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\beta+1-2^{\alpha}\right) \Phi\left(u_{n}\right)+(\beta / 2-1)\left\langle u_{n}, T u_{n}\right\rangle \leqslant \beta(c+1)+\left\|u_{n}\right\| . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

By assumption (6) we have

$$
(\beta / 2-1)\left\langle u_{n}, T u_{n}\right\rangle \leqslant \beta(c+1)+\left\|u_{n}\right\|
$$

Put $v_{n}:=u_{n} /\left\|u_{n}\right\|$. We can suppose, by considering if necessary a subsequence, that $w-\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} v_{n}=v^{*}$.

We have

$$
(\beta / 2-1)\left\langle v_{n}, T v_{n}\right\rangle \leqslant \beta(c+1) /\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}+1 /\left\|u_{n}\right\| .
$$

Taking the limit, we get

$$
0 \leqslant\left\langle v^{*}, T v^{*}\right\rangle \leqslant \liminf \left\langle v_{n}, T v_{n}\right\rangle \leqslant 0,
$$

and as in Theorem 2.1, going if necessary to a subsequence, we can assume that $\left\|v^{*}\right\|=$ 1.

Since $T$ is positive, from (3.2) we get also

$$
\left(\beta+1-2^{\alpha}\right) \Phi\left(u_{n}\right) \leqslant \beta(c+1)+\left\|u_{n}\right\|
$$

and thus

$$
\left(\beta+1-2^{\alpha}\right) \Phi\left(v_{n}\right) \leqslant \beta(c+1) /\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{\alpha}+1 /\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{\alpha-1}
$$

By taking the limit, we get $\Phi\left(v^{*}\right) \leqslant 0$, which is a contradiction to assumption (4).
Thus $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is bounded and by considering possibly a subsequence, we may suppose that $u_{n}$ is weakly convergent. Let $u^{*}=\boldsymbol{w}-\lim u_{n}$. Put $v=u^{*}$ in (3.1). We get

$$
\left\langle T u_{n}, u^{*}-u_{n}\right\rangle+\left\langle C^{\prime} u_{n}, u^{*}-u_{n}\right\rangle+\Phi\left(u^{*}\right)-\Phi\left(u_{n}\right) \geqslant-\delta_{n} .\left\|u^{*}-u_{n}\right\|
$$

Taking the limit, we get

$$
\underline{\underline{\lim _{m}}}\left\langle T u_{n}, u_{n}-u^{*}\right\rangle \leqslant 0
$$

The orthogonal decomposition $\bar{X} \oplus \operatorname{Ker}(T)$ allows us to write $u_{n}=: \bar{u}_{n}+\widehat{u}_{n}$. Thus we have

$$
\varliminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} c \cdot\left\|\bar{u}_{n}-\bar{u}^{*}\right\|^{2} \leqslant \varliminf_{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle T\left(\bar{u}_{n}-\bar{u}^{*}\right), \bar{u}_{n}-\bar{u}^{*}\right\rangle \leqslant 0,
$$

and $\bar{u}_{n}$ is strongly convergent to $\bar{u}^{*}$. Since $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ker} T<+\infty$, going if necessary to a subsequence, $\widehat{u}_{n}$ is strongly convergent to $Q^{*}$ and the conclusion follows.

## 4. Examples

Example 4.1. Let $T>0$ and let $X:=H^{1}(\Pi, \mathbb{R})$. Let $K$ be the closed convex cone defined by $K:=\left\{u \in H^{1}(\Pi, \mathbb{R}): u(x) \geqslant 0\right.$ in $\left.[0, T]\right\}$. We consider the periodic unilateral problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
u \in K: \int_{0}^{T} \dot{u} \cdot(\dot{v}-\dot{u}) d t+\int_{0}^{T} \nabla_{u} V(t, u) \cdot(v-u) d t \geqslant 0, \forall v \in K \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We assume that:
(a) $\forall u \in \mathbb{R}, V(\cdot, u)$ is measurable and there exist $a, b \in L^{1}\left([0, T], \mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$such that $\forall t \in[0, T], \forall u \in \mathbb{R},|u|=1,|V(t, u)| \leqslant a(t)$ and $\left|\nabla_{u} V(t, u)\right| \leqslant$ $b(t)$.
(b) for almost all $t \in \mathbb{R}, V(t,.) \in C^{1}$,
(c) $\forall u \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}: \int_{0}^{T} V(t, u) d t>0$,
(d) $\exists \nu \in \mathbb{R}^{+}: V(., v)<0$, on a non zero measure subset,
(e) $V$ is $\beta$-positively homogeneous $(\beta<2)$ with respect to $u$.

Let $T: X \rightarrow X^{*}$ and $C: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by

$$
\langle T u, v\rangle:=\int_{0}^{T} \dot{u} .(\dot{v}-\dot{u}) d t, C(u):=\int_{0}^{T} V(t, u) d t .
$$

We can prove that if $V$ satisfies (a)-(e), then all assumptions of Corollary 2.1 are satisfied [1], so that (1) has at least one non-constant solution.

Example 4.2. We consider the problem

$$
\begin{align*}
& u \in X: \int_{0}^{T} \dot{u} \cdot(\dot{v}-\dot{u}) d t+\int_{0}^{T} \nabla_{u} V(t, u) \cdot(v-u) d t+\int_{0}^{T} g(t)\left(|v|^{3}-|u|^{3}\right) d t  \tag{2}\\
& \quad \geqslant 0, \forall u \in X
\end{align*}
$$

Let $T: X \rightarrow X^{*}$ and $C: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined as in Example 4.1 and put $\Phi(u):=$ $\int_{0}^{T} g(t)|u|^{3} d t$. We assume that $g$ is a positive $(g \neq 0)$ bounded function.

We can prove that if $V$ satisfies (a)-(d) and (e) with $\beta>7$ and even, then all assumptions of Theorem 3.2 [1] are satisfied. Therefore (1) has infinitly many distinct pairs of non-constant solutions.
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