Existence of entire functions of one variable with prescribed indicator

By C. O. KISELMAN

Let u be an entire function of one complex variable satisfying

$$\log|u(\zeta)| \le A|\zeta|^{\varrho} + B \quad (\zeta \in \mathbb{C}) \tag{1}$$

for some constants A, B. The number ϱ is positive and fixed throughout the paper. The *indicator* of u is the function

$$p(\zeta) = \overline{\lim_{t \to +\infty}} \frac{\log |u(t\zeta)|}{t^{\varrho}} \quad (\zeta \in \mathbb{C}). \tag{2}$$

It is clear that p is positively homogeneous of order ϱ and that $p(\zeta) \leq A |\zeta|^{\varrho}$ if (1) holds. It also follows from standard theorems for subharmonic functions that the regularized indicator p^* , defined by

$$p^*(\zeta) = \overline{\lim_{\theta \to \zeta}} p(\theta),$$

is subharmonic. However, it is known that we always have $p^* = p$.

The purpose of this note is to provide a proof of the following theorem of V. Bernstein [1, 2] (see also Levin [5] and, for $\rho = 1$, Pólya [8]).

Theorem 1. A function defined in the complex plane C is the regularized indicator of some entire function satisfying (1) for some constants A and B if (and only if) it is subharmonic and positively homogeneous of order ρ .

As noted above, the theorem can be improved by deleting the word "regularized". We shall not prove this here.

Formulas (1) and (2) have immediate generalizations to functions of several variables; then p^* becomes a plurisubharmonic function. In [6, 7] Martineau has proved that a function in \mathbb{C}^n is the regularized indicator of some entire function satisfying (1) for some constants A and B if and only if it is plurisubharmonic and positively homogeneous of order ϱ . His proof has the form of an induction on the dimension and relies on a more precise version of the same result in one variable given in Levin [5]. It might be a justification for printing the present proof of Theorem 1 that it gives a more unified proof of the characterization of regularized indicators when combined with the induction step in [6, 7]. To be precise, the induction in such a proof could start with a function satisfying the estimate (6) below which could then be extended successively in analogy with Lemma 4 of [6]. The

C. O. KISELMAN, Entire functions of one variable

paper also serves to illustrate the fact that the estimates for the $\bar{\partial}$ operator given by Hörmander [3] are non-trivial even in one variable.

Let F be a given subharmonic function which is positively homogeneous of order ϱ . To prove Theorem 1 we shall construct an entire function u with indicator p_u satisfying

$$p_u(1) = F(1), \qquad p_u(\zeta) \leqslant F(\zeta) \quad (\zeta \in \mathbb{C}).$$
 (3)

Let us first observe that this implies the desired result, viz. that $p_v^* = F$ for some entire function v. (Using integral transformations one can prove that $p^* = p$ so that the regularization is unnecessary.) This is proved by a category argument which has been carried through by Martineau [6, 7] (cf. also a remark in [4]). In fact, the space of all entire functions satisfying (1) for some constants A, B and with indicator $\leq F$ is a Fréchet space with the topology defined by the norms

$$u\mapsto \sup_{\zeta\in\mathbb{C}}|u(\zeta)|e^{-G(\zeta)},$$

where G is an arbitrary continuous function which is positively homogeneous of order ϱ and >F at every point on the unit circle. It is easy to see that F is continuous (the function $\zeta \mapsto F((a\zeta)^{1/\varrho})$ is locally convex) so it suffices to take G of the form $G(\zeta) = F(\zeta) + |\zeta|^\varrho/|j(j=1, 2, ...)$. Let E_F be this Fréchet space. Suppose that we have found $u \in E_F$ with $p_u(\theta) = F(\theta)$ for any preassigned $\theta \in \mathbb{C}$ (it is of course enough to do this for $\theta = 1$). Then E_G is meager in E_F by the Banach theorem provided $G \leqslant F$, $G \neq F$. Here G, as well as G_j and H below, are assumed to be continuous and positively homogeneous of order ϱ . Hence $\bigcup_{j=1}^\infty E_{G_j}$ is meager in E_F if $G_j \leqslant F$, $G_j \neq F(j=1, 2, ...)$. But it is easy to find a sequence of functions $G_j \leqslant F$, $G_j \neq F$, such that $H \leqslant G_j$ for some j if $H \leqslant F$, $H \neq F$. Therefore all functions in E_F not in $\bigcup E_{G_j}$ must have regularized indicator p^* equal to F.

To find u satisfying (3) we shall use the following adoption to supremum norms of Theorem 4.4.2 in Hörmander [3].

Theorem 2. Let G be a plurisubharmonic function in \mathbb{C}^n . For every form $f \in C^{\infty}_{(0,1)}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ satisfying

 $\bar{\partial} f = 0$ and $|f(\zeta)| \leq e^{G(\zeta)}$,

there exists a function $u \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ with

$$\overline{\partial} u = f$$
 and $|u(\zeta)| \leq e^{H(\zeta)}$,

where

$$H(\zeta) = \sup_{|\theta| \leq 1} G(\zeta + \theta) + a \log (1 + |\zeta|^2) + b.$$

Here a may be taken as an arbitrary number > 1 + n/2, and b is a constant which depends only on a and n.

As to the notation in this theorem we only mention that $C_{(0,1)}^{\infty}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ denotes the space of forms of type (0,1):

$$f = \sum f_i d\bar{z}_i$$

with C^{∞} coefficients f_i ; $\bar{\partial} f$ is defined by

$$\overline{\partial} f = \sum \frac{\partial f_j}{\partial \overline{z}_k} d\overline{z}_k \wedge d\overline{z}_j,$$

whereas $\overline{\partial} u$ for $u \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ is given by

$$\bar{\partial} u = \sum \frac{\partial u}{\partial \bar{z}_j} d\bar{z}_j,$$

a form of type (0, 1). Note that $\partial f = 0$ is no condition when n = 1. For other notions we refer to Hörmander [3].

We shall take an entire function u satisfying (3) of the form

$$u=g-hv$$
,

where h is the entire function

$$h(\zeta) = \prod_{1}^{\infty} \left(1 - 2^{-j} \zeta\right)$$

with zeros at 2^j (j=1, 2, ...), and g, v are C^{∞} functions to be described presently. Let $\varphi \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{C})$ be a function which is zero when $|z| \ge 1$ and equal to one when $|z| \le \frac{1}{2}$, $0 \le \varphi \le 1$. We shall define g by

$$g(\zeta) = \sum_{1}^{\infty} \varphi(\zeta - 2^{j}) e^{F(2^{j})}.$$

It is then clear that $g \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{C})$ and that

$$u(2^{j}) = g(2^{j}) = e^{F(2^{j})}$$

Hence, if p_u is the indicator of u, $p_u(1) \ge F(1)$. It remains to define $v \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{C})$ so that u becomes analytic and $p_u \le F$. That u is analytic means that

 $0 = \overline{\partial} u = \overline{\partial} g - h \overline{\partial} v,$

i.e.

 $\overline{\partial}v=f$.

where

$$f = \frac{1}{h} \, \overline{\partial} g \in C^{\infty}_{(0, 1)}(\mathbb{C}).$$

It can easily be proved by estimating the factors $(1-2^{-j}\zeta)$ constituting h that for some constant C_1 ,

$$|h(\zeta)| \geqslant \frac{1}{C_1} > 0,$$

when

$$\frac{1}{2} \le |\zeta - 2^j| \le 1 \quad (j = 1, 2, \ldots).$$

Hence, if C_2 is chosen so large that

$$|\bar{\partial}\varphi| \leq C_2$$
,

we obtain

$$\left| f(\zeta) \right| = \left| \frac{1}{h(\zeta)} \overline{\partial} g(\zeta) \right| \leqslant C_1 C_2 e^{F(2^j)}, \tag{4}$$

when $|\zeta - 2^j| \leq 1$. Define

$$G(\zeta) = \sup_{|\theta| \leq 1} F(\zeta + \theta).$$

C. O. KISELMAN, Entire functions of one variable

It is easy to see that G is also continuous and subharmonic. We obtain from (4) that

$$|f(\zeta)| \leq C_1 C_2 e^{G(\zeta)}$$

for every $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}$, for either $f(\zeta) = 0$ or else we can find a j such that $|\zeta - 2^j| \le 1$ and use (4) for this j. We can therefore apply Theorem 2 to find a $v \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{C})$ with

$$\overline{\partial}v = f$$
 and $|v(\zeta)| \le C_3 e^{H(\zeta)}$ $(\zeta \in \mathbb{C}),$

where C_3 is a new constant and

$$H(\zeta) = \sup_{|\theta| \le 1} G(\zeta + \theta) + a \log \left(1 + \left| \zeta \right|^2 \right) \le \sup_{|\theta| \le 2} F(\zeta + \theta) + a \log \left(1 + \left| \zeta \right|^2 \right). \tag{5}$$

Now u = g - hv is certainly analytic, and

$$|u(\zeta)| \leq g(\zeta) + |h(\zeta)||v(\zeta)| \leq e^{G(\zeta)} + |h(\zeta)|C_3 e^{H(\zeta)}.$$

But it is well known that h is of order zero, hence for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there are constants A and C_4 such that

$$|h(\zeta)| \leq C_A e^{A|\zeta|^{\varepsilon}}.$$

 $(C_4 = 1 \text{ will do.})$ We finally arrive at the inequality

$$|u(\zeta)| \le C_5 e^{H(\zeta) + A|\zeta|^{\varepsilon}}.$$
(6)

It now follows in view of (5) and the continuity of F that the indicator p_u of u satisfies $p_u \leq F$ provided only $\varepsilon < \rho$. The proof is complete.

Department of Mathematics, University of Stockholm, Stockholm, Sweden

REFERENCES

- Bernstein, V., Sur les propriétés caractéristiques des indicatrices de croissance. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 202, 108-110 (1936).
- Sulle proprietà caratteristiche delle indicatrici di crescenza delle trascendenti intere d'ordine finito. Mem. R. Acc. d'Italia 7, 131-189 (1936).
- HÖRMANDER, L., An Introduction to Complex Analysis in Several Variables. Van Nostrand, Inc., Princeton, N.J., 1966.
- KISELMAN, C. O., On entire functions of exponential type and indicators of analytic functionals. Acta Math. 117 (1967), 1-35 (1966).
- 5. Левин, Б. Я., Распределение корней целых функций. Moscow, 1956. (English and German translations.)
- MARTINEAU, A., Indicatrices de croissance des fonctions entières de N-variables. Inventiones math. 2, 81-86 (1966).
- Indicatrices de croissance des fonctions entières de N-variables (Corrections et compléments). Inventiones math. 3, 16-19 (1967).
- PÓLYA, G., Untersuchungen über Lücken und Singularitäten von Potenzreihen. Math. Z. 29, 549-640 (1929).

Tryckt den 30 augusti 1968