

Research Article

Existence of Solutions for Unbounded Elliptic Equations with Critical Natural Growth

Aziz Bouhlal ¹, Abderrahmane El Hachimi ², Jaouad Igbida,³
 El Mostafa Sadek ⁴, and Hamad Talibi Alaoui ¹

¹Labo Math Appli, Faculty of Sciences, B. P. 20, El Jadida, Morocco

²Laboratory of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, Mohammed V University, Faculty of Sciences, Rabat, Morocco

³Labo DGTIC, department of Mathematics, CRMEF El Jadida, Morocco

⁴Laboratory LabSIPE, ENSA, d'EL Jadida, University Chouaib Doukkali, Morocco

Correspondence should be addressed to El Mostafa Sadek; sadek.maths@gmail.com

Received 29 June 2018; Accepted 26 October 2018; Published 26 November 2018

Academic Editor: Peiguang Wang

Copyright © 2018 Aziz Bouhlal et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

We investigate existence and regularity of solutions to unbounded elliptic problem whose simplest model is $\{-\operatorname{div}[(1+|u|^q)\nabla u] + u = \gamma(|\nabla u|^2/(1+|u|)^{1-q}) + f$ in Ω , $u = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$, $\}$, where $0 < q < 1$, $\gamma > 0$ and f belongs to some appropriate Lebesgue space. We give assumptions on f with respect to q and γ to show the existence and regularity results for the solutions of previous equation.

1. Introduction

In this paper, we consider the Dirichlet problem for some nonlinear elliptic problems such as

$$-\operatorname{div}([a(x) + |u|^q] \nabla u) + u = H(x, u, \nabla u) + f, \quad (1)$$

$$x \in \Omega, \quad u \in H_0^1(\Omega),$$

under the following assumptions: Ω is a bounded open subset of \mathbb{R}^N , where $N \geq 3$, $0 < q < 1$, and $f \in L^m$ with $m \geq 2$ and $a : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a measurable function satisfying the following conditions:

$$\alpha \leq a(x) \leq \beta, \quad (2)$$

for almost every $x \in \Omega$, where α and β are positive real constants. $H(x, s, \xi)$ is a Carathéodory-type function satisfying to:

$$|H(x, s, \xi)| \leq \gamma \frac{|\xi|^2}{(1+|s|)^{1-q}} \quad (3)$$

for some $\gamma > 0$.

In [1], Arcoya, Boccardo, and Leonor obtained the existence and regularity results for the following elliptic problem with degenerate coercivity:

$$-\operatorname{div}\left(\frac{\alpha \nabla u}{(1+|u|^2)}\right) + u = \gamma \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{(1+|u|)^3} + f, \quad (4)$$

$$x \in \Omega, \quad u \in H_0^1(\Omega),$$

where $\alpha, \gamma > 0$, $f \in L^m(\Omega)$ with $m \geq 2$, and Ω is a bounded subset of \mathbb{R}^N , $N \geq 3$.

The purpose of the present paper is to study the same kind of lower order terms as in problem (4) in the case of an elliptic operator with unbounded coefficients such as (1).

There are several papers concerned with existence and regularity of the solution for the following problem:

$$-\operatorname{div}(M(x, u) \nabla u) + g(x, u, \nabla u) = f(x) \quad x \in \Omega, \quad (5)$$

$$u(x) = 0 \quad x \in \partial\Omega.$$

We refer the interesting articles: Boccardo, Murat and Puel [2], Bensoussan, Boccardo and Murat [3], and Boccardo, Gallout [4]. In all these works g is a nonlinear lower term having natural growth with respect to ∇u , data f in

suitable Lebesgue spaces, and $M(x, u)$ is a Carathéodory-type bounded function subject to certain structural inequalities.

Another motivation for studying these problem arises from the calculus of variations in the case where $0 \leq f \in L^m(\Omega)$ with $m \geq N/2$ and

$$g(x, u, \nabla u) = \frac{|\nabla u|^2}{u^\theta}, \tag{6}$$

where $\theta \in (0, 1)$, which is considered by Puel in [5].

We point out that in [6] the authors considered $M(x, u)$ as a bounded function and

$$g(x, u, \nabla u) = \frac{Q(x, u) |\nabla u|^2}{u^\theta}, \tag{7}$$

where $\theta \in (0, 1]$. The function $Q(x, s) : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{N^2}$ is symmetric, measurable with respect to x and continuous with respect to s with the following uniform ellipticity condition: for $x \in \Omega$, and $s \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\mu |\xi|^2 \leq Q(x, s) \xi \xi \leq \nu |\xi|^2, \quad 0 < \mu \leq \nu. \tag{8}$$

We shall prove the following main results on existence and regularity of solutions for problem (1).

Theorem 1. *Let $\tilde{\alpha} = \min\{1, \alpha\}$. Assuming that the functions a and H satisfy (2) and (3) then, if f belong to $L^m(\Omega)$, with*

$$m > 2 \left(\frac{\gamma}{\tilde{\alpha}} + 1 \right) + q, \tag{9}$$

there exists a distributional solution $u \in W_0^{1,1}(\Omega)$ of problem (1) such that

$$\begin{aligned} H(x, u, \nabla u) &\in L^1(\Omega), \quad [a(x) + |u|^q] |\nabla u| \in L^1(\Omega), \\ \int_{\Omega} [a(x) + |u|^q] \nabla u \nabla \psi + \int_{\Omega} u \psi & \\ = \int_{\Omega} H(x, u, \nabla u) \psi + \int_{\Omega} f \psi, \quad \forall \psi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega). & \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

Furthermore, any solution of the problem (1) belongs to $H_0^1(\Omega)$.

In the next result, we consider the case where f has a high summability.

Theorem 2. *Let $\tilde{\alpha} = \min\{1, \alpha\}$, and assume that (2) and (3) hold true. If u the solution given by Theorem 1 and f belongs to $L^m(\Omega)$, with*

$$m > \max \left\{ 2 \left(\frac{\gamma}{\tilde{\alpha}} + 1 \right) + q, \frac{N}{2} \left(\frac{\gamma}{\tilde{\alpha}} + 1 \right) \right\}, \tag{11}$$

then u belongs to $H_0^1(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: Section 2 is devoted to give some a priori estimates for the approximated problem associated with problem (1); while in Section 3, we give the detailed proofs of Theorems 1 and 2.

2. The Approximated Problem

In this section, we use the hypotheses (2) and (3) and we suppose that

$$\tilde{\alpha}(m-1) - \gamma > 0, \tag{12}$$

where $\tilde{\alpha} = \min\{1, \alpha\}$ holds true. To prove Theorem 1 and Theorem 2, we will use the following approximating problems associated with problem (1):

$$\begin{aligned} -\operatorname{div} \left([a(x) + |u_n|^q] \nabla u_n \right) + u_n & \\ = H_n(x, u_n, \nabla u_n) + f_n, \quad x \in \Omega, & \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

where

$$f_n(x) = \frac{f(x)}{1 + (1/n)|f(x)|}, \tag{14}$$

and

$$H_n(x, s, \xi) = \frac{H(x, s, \xi)}{1 + (1/n)|\xi|^2}. \tag{15}$$

By the results of [2, 4] there exists a weak solution u_n in $H_0^1(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ of problem (13) in the sense that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} [a(x) + |u_n|^q] \nabla u_n \nabla \varphi + \int_{\Omega} u_n \varphi & \\ = \int_{\Omega} H_n(x, u_n, \nabla u_n) \varphi + \int_{\Omega} f_n \varphi & \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

for every $\varphi \in H_0^1(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$.

The following lemma will be very useful, as it gives us an a priori estimate on the summability of the solutions to problems (13).

Lemma 3. *If u_n is a solution to problem (13), then for every $k \geq 0$,*

$$\int_{\Omega} |G_k(u_n)|^m \leq \int_{\{|u_n| \geq k\}} |f|^m. \tag{17}$$

Moreover, there exist $R > 0$ depending on $\|f\|_{L^m(\Omega)}$, α , q , and γ , such that

$$\|u_n\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)} \leq R. \tag{18}$$

Remark 4. (i) Let $\{u_n\}$ be a sequence of solutions u_n of (13). As a consequence of Lemma 3, there exists $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ such that, up to a subsequence, u_n converges weakly to u in $H_0^1(\Omega)$ and a.e. in Ω .

(ii) By the previous lemma we deduce from (3) that

$$\|H_n(x, u_n, \nabla u_n)\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \leq \gamma \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla u_n|^2}{(1 + |u_n|)^{1-q}} \leq \gamma R^2. \tag{19}$$

Proof of Lemma 3. In order to prove (17), we claim that by assumption (2) and $q < 1$, there exist positive constant c_0 such that

$$\tilde{\alpha}(1 + |t|)^q \leq a(x) + |t|^q \leq c_0(1 + |t|)^q, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{20}$$

Choosing $\varphi = |G_k(u_n)|^{m-1} \text{sgn}(u_n)$ in (16) and using (20), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{\alpha}(m-1) \int_{\Omega} (1 + |u_n|)^q |\nabla u_n|^2 |G_k(u_n)|^{m-2} \\ & \quad + \int_{\Omega} |u_n| |G_k(u_n)|^{m-1} \\ & \leq \gamma \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla u_n|^2}{(1 + |u_n|)^{1-q}} |G_k(u_n)|^{m-1} \\ & \quad + \int_{\Omega} |f_n| |G_k(u_n)|^{m-1}. \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

Thus, joining the terms involving the gradient, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} \left[\tilde{\alpha}(m-1) - \gamma \frac{|G_k(u_n)|}{1 + |u_n|} \right] (1 + |u_n|)^q |\nabla u_n|^2 \\ & \cdot |G_k(u_n)|^{m-2} + \int_{\Omega} |G_k(u_n)|^m \leq \int_{\Omega} |f_n| \\ & \cdot |G_k(u_n)|^{m-1}. \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

Using (12) we deduce that

$$\int_{\Omega} |G_k(u_n)|^m \leq \int_{\Omega} |f| |G_k(u_n)|^{m-1}, \tag{23}$$

and the Hölder inequality on the right hand side yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} |G_k(u_n)|^m \\ & \leq \left(\int_{\{|u_n| \geq k\}} |f|^m \right)^{1/m} \left(\int_{\Omega} |G_k(u_n)|^m \right)^{1-1/m}, \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

which implies (17).

Let us choose now $\varphi = [(1 + |u_n|)^{m-1} - 1] \text{sgn}(u_n)$ as a test function in (16), and we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & (\tilde{\alpha}(m-1) - \gamma) \int_{\Omega} (1 + |u_n|)^{m-2+q} |\nabla u_n|^2 \\ & \leq \|f\|_{L^m(\Omega)} \left(\int_{\Omega} (1 + |u_n|)^m \right)^{1-1/m}. \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

Since $m \geq 2$, the previous calculations imply

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n|^2 \leq c \left(\int_{\Omega} (1 + |u_n|)^m \right)^{1-1/m}. \tag{26}$$

Using (17) with $k = 0$, (18) follows. \square

Lemma 5. *Let u_n be the sequence of solutions to problems (13) and let the function u given by Remark 4. Then u_n strongly converges to u in $L^m(\Omega)$. Moreover ∇u_n strongly converges to ∇u in $L^1(\Omega)^N$.*

Remark 6. Note that (25) implies that there exists $\delta > 0$ independent of n such that

$$\int_{\Omega} (1 + |u_n|)^{m-2+q} |\nabla u_n|^2 \leq \delta. \tag{27}$$

By using the previous lemma, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} (1 + |u_n|)^{(m-2+q)/2} |\nabla u_n| & \longrightarrow (1 + |u|)^{(m-2+q)/2} |\nabla u| \\ & \text{weakly in } L^2(\Omega)^N. \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

Proof of Lemma 5. We use (17) written for $k = 0$:

$$\int_{\Omega} |u_n|^m \leq \int_{\Omega} |f|^m \leq c. \tag{29}$$

Since u_n almost everywhere converges to u , we have from Fatou's lemma that

$$\int_{\Omega} |u|^m \leq c. \tag{30}$$

Hence u belongs to $L^m(\Omega)$. Using assumption (17), for any $k > 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_E |u_n|^m & \leq \int_{E \cap \{|u_n| \leq k\}} |u_n|^m + \int_{E \cap \{|u_n| \geq k\}} |u_n|^m \\ & \leq k^m \text{meas}(E) + \int_{\{|u_n| \geq k\}} |f|^m. \end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

As before, we first choose k such that the second integral is small, uniformly with respect to n , and then the measure of E small enough such that the first term is small. The almost everywhere convergence of u_n to u and Vitali's theorem imply that u_n strongly converges to u in $L^m(\Omega)$.

For the second convergence, we will follow the same technique as in [1] (see also [7]). Let $h, k > 0$. In the sequel C will denote a constant independent of n, h, k . Let us consider $T_h[u_n - T_k(u)]$ as a test function in problems (16). Then,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} [a(x) + |u_n|^2] \nabla u_n \nabla T_h [u_n - T_k(u)] \\ & \quad + \int_{\Omega} u_n T_h [u_n - T_k(u)] \\ & \leq (\|f\|_{L^1(\Omega)} + \|H_n(x, u_n, \nabla u_n)\|_{L^1(\Omega)}) h. \end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

Moreover, thanks to the $L^m(\Omega)$ convergence of u_n , the second integral in (32) converges (as n diverges) to a positive number. Thus, it yields to

$$\begin{aligned} & \alpha \int_{\Omega} |\nabla T_h [u_n - T_k(u)]|^2 \\ & \leq (\|f\|_{L^1(\Omega)} + \gamma R^2) h \\ & \quad - \int_{\Omega} [a(x) + |u_n|^q] \nabla T_k(u) \nabla T_h [u_n - T_k(u)]. \end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

Let $\mathcal{K} = h+k$, observing that $\nabla T_h[u_n - T_k(u)] = 0$ if $|u_n| > \mathcal{K}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} [a(x) + |u_n|^q] \nabla T_k(u) \nabla T_h [u_n - T_k(u)] \\ & = \int_{\Omega} [a(x) + |T_{\mathcal{K}}(u_n)|^q] \nabla T_k(u) \nabla T_h [u_n - T_k(u)]. \end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

Since $T_h[u_n - T_k(u)]$ converges to $T_h[u - T_k(u)]$ weakly in $(L^2(\Omega))^N$ and $[a(x) + |T_{\mathcal{X}}(u_n)|^q] \nabla T_k(u)$ strongly converges to $[a(x) + |T_{\mathcal{X}}(u)|^q] \nabla T_k(u)$ in $(L^2(\Omega))^N$, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\Omega} [a(x) + |u_n|^q] \nabla T_k(u) \nabla T_h[u_n - T_k(u)] = 0, \tag{35}$$

thus, yielding

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla T_h[u_n - T_k(u)]|^2 \leq Ch + \varepsilon(n), \tag{36}$$

where $\varepsilon(n)$ denote any quantity that vanishes as n diverges. Hence, by Hölder's inequality, we deduce that

$$\int_{\{|u_n - u| \leq h, |u| \leq k\}} |\nabla(u_n - u)| = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla T_h[u_n - T_k(u)]| \leq |\Omega|^{1/2} \sqrt{Ch + \varepsilon(n)}. \tag{37}$$

Fix, now, $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist h_0 such that, for $h < h_0$, we have

$$|\Omega|^{1/2} \sqrt{Ch} < \varepsilon. \tag{38}$$

Thanks to the weak convergence of u_n in $H_0^1(\Omega)$ and the absolute continuity of the integral, there exists k_0 independent from n such that, for $k > k_0$, we have

$$\int_{\{|u| > k\}} |\nabla u_n| + \int_{\{|u| > k\}} |\nabla u| \leq \varepsilon. \tag{39}$$

In addition, by Dunford Pettis Theorem, we deduce that there exists $n(h, \varepsilon)$ such that, for $n > n(h, \varepsilon)$, we have

$$\int_{\{|u_n - u| > h\}} |\nabla(u_n - u)| \leq \varepsilon. \tag{40}$$

We can write

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla(u_n - u)| &= \int_{\{|u_n - u| \leq h, |u| \leq k\}} |\nabla(u_n - u)| \\ &+ \int_{\{|u_n - u| \leq h, |u| > k\}} |\nabla(u_n - u)| \\ &+ \int_{\{|u_n - u| > h\}} |\nabla(u_n - u)|. \end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

Using (37), (39), and (40), for $h < h_0$ and $n > n(h, \varepsilon)$, we have

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla(u_n - u)| \leq 3\varepsilon + \varepsilon(n). \tag{42}$$

This proves the strong convergence of ∇u_n to ∇u in $L^1(\Omega)^N$. \square

The following lemma yields some a priori estimate on $\{u_n\}$.

Lemma 7. *Let u be the function given by Remark 4. Then $|u|^q |\nabla u|$ belongs to $L^r(\Omega)$, for every $r < N/(N - 1)$.*

Proof. For every $\lambda > 1$, we take $[1 - 1/(1 + |u_n|)^{\lambda-1}] \text{sign}(u_n)$ as a test function in (16). Dropping positive terms yields

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\alpha}(\lambda - 1) \int_{\Omega} \frac{(1 + |u_n|^q) |\nabla u_n|^2}{(1 + |u_n|)^{\lambda}} \\ \leq \|f\|_{L^1(\Omega)} + \|H_n(x, u_n, \nabla u_n)\|_{L^1(\Omega)}. \end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

Hence, using $q < 1$, it follows that

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla u_n|^2}{(1 + |u_n|)^{\lambda-q}} \leq \frac{\|f\|_{L^1(\Omega)} + \gamma R^2}{\tilde{\alpha}(\lambda - 1)}. \tag{44}$$

On the other hand, for every $\lambda > 1$; we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} |u_n|^{qr} |\nabla u_n|^r \\ \leq \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla u_n|^r}{(1 + |u_n|)^{r(\lambda-q)/2}} (1 + |u_n|)^{r(\lambda+q)/2}, \\ \leq \left(\frac{\|f\|_{L^1(\Omega)} + \gamma R^2}{\tilde{\alpha}(\lambda - 1)} \right)^{r/2} \\ \cdot \left(\int_{\Omega} (1 + |u_n|)^{r(\lambda+q)/(2-r)} \right)^{(2-r)/2}. \end{aligned} \tag{45}$$

Then, we obtain

$$\left(\int_{\Omega} |u_n|^{(q+1)r^*} \right)^{r/r^*} \leq c \left(\int_{\Omega} |u_n|^{r(\lambda+q)/(2-r)} \right)^{(2-r)/2}. \tag{46}$$

Let us choose r such that $(q + 1)r^* = r(\lambda + q)/(2 - r)$, that is

$$r = \frac{N(2 + q - \lambda)}{N(q + 1) - (\lambda + q)}. \tag{47}$$

Since $\lambda > 1$, we then have an estimate on $|u_n|^q |\nabla u_n|$ in $L^r(\Omega)$, for every $r < N/(N - 1)$. \square

The next result will be used in the proof of Theorem 2.

Lemma 8. *Suppose that (2), (3), and (11) hold true. Let $f \in L^m(\Omega)$ and $\{u_n\}$ be a solution of (13) with $f_n = f$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the norms of $\{u_n\}$ in $L^\infty(\Omega)$ and in $H_0^1(\Omega)$ are bounded by a constant which depends on $q, m, N, \alpha, \gamma, \text{meas}(\Omega)$ and on the norm of f in $L^m(\Omega)$.*

Proof. Since $m > (N/2)(\gamma/\tilde{\alpha} + 1)$, we have $(1/2)(\gamma/\tilde{\alpha} + 1) < m/N$. Let us choose $\sigma > 0$ such that

$$\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\gamma}{\tilde{\alpha}} + q + 1 \right) < \sigma < \frac{m}{N} + \frac{q}{2}. \tag{48}$$

The use of

$$\left[(1 + |u_n|)^{2\sigma - q - 1} - (1 + k)^{2\sigma - q - 1} \right]^+ \text{sign}(u_n), \tag{49}$$

as test function in (16), (3), and (20), implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (2\sigma - q - 1) \tilde{\alpha} \int_{A_k} |\nabla u_n|^2 (1 + |u_n|)^{2\sigma-2} \\
 & + \int_{A_k} |u_n| [(1 + |u_n|)^{2\sigma-q-1} - (1+k)^{2\sigma-q-1}] \\
 & \leq \gamma \int_{A_k} \frac{|\nabla u_n|^2}{(1 + |u_n|)^{1-q}} (1 + |u_n|)^{2\sigma-q-1} \\
 & + \int_{A_k} |f_n| (1 + |u_n|)^{2\sigma-q-1},
 \end{aligned} \tag{50}$$

where

$$A_k = \{x \in \Omega : |u_n| > k\}. \tag{51}$$

By Young and Hölder’s inequalities, we find

$$\begin{aligned}
 & [(2\sigma - q - 1) \tilde{\alpha} - \gamma] \int_{A_k} |\nabla u_n|^2 (1 + |u_n|)^{2\sigma-2} \\
 & \leq C_1 \int_{A_k} (1 + |u_n|)^{2\sigma-q} + C_2 \int_{A_k} |f|^{2\sigma-q} \\
 & \leq C_m (\text{meas} A_k)^{1-(2\sigma-q)/m}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{52}$$

Then, using Sobolev’s inequality gives

$$\begin{aligned}
 & [(2\sigma - q - 1) \tilde{\alpha} - \gamma] \\
 & \cdot \frac{\mathcal{S}^2}{\sigma^2} \left(\int_{A_k} [(1 + |u_n|)^\sigma - (1+k)^\sigma]^{2^*} \right)^{2/2^*} \\
 & \leq C_m (\text{meas} A_k)^{1-(2\sigma-q)/m},
 \end{aligned} \tag{53}$$

where \mathcal{S} denotes the best constant in Sobolev inequality. Now, we set

$$(1 + |u_n|)^\sigma = v_n \tag{54}$$

and

$$(1 + k)^\sigma = h. \tag{55}$$

and the fact that $A_k = \{x \in \Omega : v_n > h\}$, the last inequality gives

$$\begin{aligned}
 & [(2\sigma - q - 1) \tilde{\alpha} - \gamma] \frac{\mathcal{S}^2}{\sigma^2} \left(\int_{A_k} (v_n - h)^{2^*} \right)^{2/2^*} \\
 & \leq C_m (\text{meas} A_k)^{1-(2\sigma-q)/m}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{56}$$

Note that $\sigma < m/N + q/2$ implies that $[1 - (2\sigma - q)/m](2^*/2) > 1$. Then Stampacchia’s technique implies the following relation for some positive constant C_3 ,

$$\|v_n\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} = \|(1 + |u_n|)^\sigma\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq C_3, \tag{57}$$

that is, $\|u_n\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}$ is bounded. □

3. Proof of the Main Results

We are now ready to prove the main result of this paper. We first observe that condition (9) implies (12). Hence the results of the previous section hold true. In order to prove the result, we have to pass to the limit in (16). To this aim, let g be a function in $C^1(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$g(s) = \begin{cases} \frac{1+s}{\tilde{\alpha}\rho - \gamma} & \text{if } s \geq 0 \\ \frac{1}{(1-s)(\tilde{\alpha}\rho - \gamma)} & \text{if } s < 0, \end{cases} \tag{58}$$

where

$$\rho = \frac{m - q - 2}{2}. \tag{59}$$

Observe that, by (9), g is positive, increasing, and it verifies

$$\tilde{\alpha}\rho g'(s) - \gamma \frac{g(s)}{1+|s|} > 0, \quad \forall s \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{60}$$

We will use, for $k > 0$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$R_k(s) = 1 - T_1(G_k(s)), \tag{61}$$

to define a test function. Remark that $R_k \geq 0$, $-k-1 \leq R_k(s) \leq k+1$ and

$$R'_k(s) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } -k-1 \leq s \leq -k \\ -1 & \text{if } k \leq s \leq k+1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{62}$$

First of all, note that the a.e. convergence of ∇u_n (see Lemma 5), Remark 6, and (20) imply both that

$$[a(x) + |u_n|^q] g^\rho(u_n) \nabla u_n \longrightarrow \tag{63}$$

$$[a(x) + |u|^q] g^\rho(u) \nabla u \quad \text{weakly in } L^2(\Omega)^N$$

and

$$[a(x) + |u_n|^q] \frac{1}{g^\rho(u_n)} \nabla u_n \longrightarrow \tag{64}$$

$$[a(x) + |u|^q] \frac{1}{g^\rho(u)} \nabla u \quad \text{weakly in } L^2(\Omega)^N,$$

where ρ is defined in (59).

The proof of the result will be achieved in two steps.

Step 1 (The first inequality). We fix $\psi \in H_0^1(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$, with $\psi \geq 0$, and take

$$\phi = \frac{g^\rho(u_n)}{g^\rho(u)} R_k(u) \psi \tag{65}$$

As test function in (16), we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} [a(x) + |u_n|^q] \nabla u_n \nabla \psi \frac{g^\rho(u_n)}{g^\rho(u)} R_k(u) \\ & - \rho \int_{\Omega} [a(x) + |u_n|^q] \nabla u_n \nabla u \frac{g^\rho(u_n)}{g^{\rho+1}(u)} g'(u) R_k(u) \\ & \cdot \psi + \int_{\Omega} [a(x) + |u_n|^q] \nabla u_n \nabla u \frac{g^\rho(u_n)}{g^\rho(u)} R'_k(u) \psi \\ & + \rho \int_{\Omega} [a(x) + |u_n|^q] \nabla u_n \nabla u \frac{g^{\rho-1}(u_n)}{g^\rho(u)} g'(u_n) \\ & \cdot R_k(u) \psi - \int_{\Omega} H_n(x, u_n, \nabla u_n) \frac{g^\rho(u_n)}{g^\rho(u)} R_k(u) \psi \\ & + \int_{\Omega} u_n \frac{g^\rho(u_n)}{g^\rho(u)} R_k(u) \psi = \int_{\Omega} f_n \frac{g^\rho(u_n)}{g^\rho(u)} R_k(u) \psi. \end{aligned} \tag{66}$$

Remark now that, by the assumptions on a, H , relation (60) and the fact that $\psi \geq 0$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \rho [a(x) + |u_n|^q] \nabla u_n \nabla u_n \frac{g^{\rho-1}(u_n)}{g^\rho(u)} g'(u_n) R_k(u) \psi \\ & - H_n(x, u_n, \nabla u_n) \frac{g^\rho(u_n)}{g^\rho(u)} R_k(u) \psi \geq (1 + |u_n|)^q \\ & \cdot |\nabla u_n|^2 \frac{g^{\rho-1}(u_n)}{g^\rho(u)} R_k(u) \\ & \cdot \psi \left[\tilde{\alpha} \rho g'(u_n) - \gamma \frac{g(u_n)}{1 + |u_n|} \right] \geq 0. \end{aligned} \tag{67}$$

Therefore, using the almost everywhere convergence of both ∇u_n and u_n , and applying Fatou's lemma, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho \int_{\Omega} [a(x) + |u_n|^q] \nabla u_n \nabla u_n \frac{g^{\rho-1}(u_n)}{g^\rho(u)} g'(u_n) \\ & \cdot R_k(u) \psi - \int_{\Omega} H_n(x, u_n, \nabla u_n) \frac{g^\rho(u_n)}{g^\rho(u)} R_k(u) \psi \\ & \geq \rho \int_{\Omega} [a(x) + |u|^q] \nabla u \nabla u \frac{g'(u)}{g(u)} R_k(u) \psi \\ & - \int_{\Omega} H(x, u, \nabla u) R_k(u) \psi. \end{aligned} \tag{68}$$

Furthermore, by using Lebesgue's theorem and (63), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} [a(x) + |u_n|^q] \nabla u_n \nabla \psi \frac{g^\rho(u_n)}{g^\rho(u)} R_k(u) \\ & = \int_{\Omega} [a(x) + |u|^q] \nabla u \nabla \psi R_k(u), \end{aligned} \tag{69}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho \int_{\Omega} [a(x) + |u_n|^q] \nabla u_n \nabla u \frac{g^\rho(u_n)}{g^{\rho+1}(u)} g'(u) R_k(u) \\ & \cdot \psi = \rho \int_{\Omega} [a(x) + |u|^q] \nabla u \nabla u \frac{g'(u)}{g(u)} R_k(u) \psi. \end{aligned} \tag{70}$$

Similarly, using the convergence $(u_n - f_n) \rightarrow (u - f)$ in $L^m(\Omega)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} (u_n - f_n) \frac{g^\rho(u_n)}{g^\rho(u)} R_k(u) \psi \\ & = \int_{\Omega} (u - f) R_k(u) \psi. \end{aligned} \tag{71}$$

Now, from (62), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} [a(x) + |u_n|^q] \nabla u_n \nabla u \frac{g^\rho(u_n)}{g^\rho(u)} R'_k(u) \psi \\ & = \int_{\Omega} [a(x) + |u|^q] \nabla u \nabla u R'_k(u) \\ & = \int_{\{k \leq |u| \leq k+1\}} [a(x) + |u|^q] \nabla u \nabla u. \end{aligned} \tag{72}$$

Passing to the limit in (66) when n tends to infinity and gathering together (68)-(72), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} [a(x) + |u|^q] \nabla u \nabla \psi R_k(u) + \int_{\Omega} u R_k(u) \psi \\ & + \int_{\{k \leq |u| \leq k+1\}} [a(x) + |u|^q] \nabla u \nabla u \\ & - \int_{\Omega} H(x, u, \nabla u) R_k(u) \psi \leq \int_{\Omega} f R_k(u) \psi. \end{aligned} \tag{73}$$

Choosing $T_1(G_k(u_n))$ in (16), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\{k \leq |u_n| \leq k+1\}} [a(x) + |u_n|^q] \nabla u_n \nabla u_n \\ & \leq \int_{\{k \leq |u_n|\}} |f| + \int_{\{k \leq |u_n|\}} |H(x, u_n, \nabla u_n)| \\ & \leq \int_{\{k \leq |u_n|\}} |f| + \frac{\gamma R^2}{(1+k)^{1-q}}. \end{aligned} \tag{74}$$

By Fatou's lemma, we have

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\{k \leq |u| \leq k+1\}} [a(x) + |u|^q] \nabla u \nabla u = 0. \tag{75}$$

In order to pass to the limit as k tends to infinity in the inequality (73), we recall that $H(x, u, \nabla u) \in L^1(\Omega)$ and $[a(x) + |u|^q] \nabla u \in L^1(\Omega)$. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} [a(x) + |u|^q] \nabla u \nabla \psi + \int_{\Omega} u \psi \\ & \leq \int_{\Omega} H(x, u, \nabla u) \psi + \int_{\Omega} f \psi, \end{aligned} \tag{76}$$

for every $\psi \in H_0^1(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$, with $\psi \geq 0$; that is, u is a subsolution of problem (1).

Step 2 (The second inequality). Let ψ be in $H_0^1(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$, with $\psi \leq 0$, and g be given by (58), and choose

$$\phi = \frac{g^\rho(u)}{g^\rho(u_n)} R_k(u) \psi \tag{77}$$

asa test function in (16). We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} [a(x) + |u_n|^q] \nabla u_n \nabla \psi \frac{g^\rho(u)}{g^\rho(u_n)} R_k(u) \\ & + \rho \int_{\Omega} [a(x) + |u_n|^q] \nabla u_n \nabla u \frac{g^{\rho-1}(u)}{g^\rho(u_n)} g'(u) R_k(u) \\ & \cdot \psi + \int_{\Omega} [a(x) + |u_n|^q] \nabla u_n \nabla u \frac{g^\rho(u)}{g^\rho(u_n)} R'_k(u) \psi \\ & - \rho \int_{\Omega} [a(x) + |u_n|^q] \nabla u_n \nabla u_n \frac{g^\rho(u)}{g^{\rho+1}(u_n)} g'(u_n) \\ & \cdot R_k(u) \psi - \int_{\Omega} H_n(x, u_n, \nabla u_n) \frac{g^\rho(u)}{g^\rho(u_n)} R_k(u) \psi \\ & + \int_{\Omega} u_n \frac{g^\rho(u)}{g^\rho(u_n)} R_k(u) \psi = \int_{\Omega} f_n \frac{g^\rho(u)}{g^\rho(u_n)} R_k(u) \psi. \end{aligned} \tag{78}$$

We observe that, by (60) and the fact that $\psi \leq 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & -\rho [a(x) + |u_n|^q] \nabla u_n \nabla u_n \frac{g^\rho(u)}{g^{\rho+1}(u_n)} g'(u_n) R_k(u) \psi \\ & - H_n(x, u_n, \nabla u_n) \frac{g^\rho(u)}{g^\rho(u_n)} R_k(u) \psi \geq -(1 + |u_n|)^q \\ & \cdot |\nabla u_n|^2 \frac{g^\rho(u)}{g^{\rho+1}(u_n)} R_k(u) \\ & \cdot \psi \left[\tilde{\alpha} \rho g'(u_n) - \gamma \frac{g(u_n)}{1 + |u_n|} \right] \geq 0. \end{aligned} \tag{79}$$

Applying the same argument of Step 1 and using (64) instead of (63), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} [a(x) + |u|^q] \nabla u \nabla \psi + \int_{\Omega} u \psi \\ & \leq \int_{\Omega} H(x, u, \nabla u) \psi + \int_{\Omega} f \psi, \end{aligned} \tag{80}$$

for every $\psi \in H_0^1(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$, with $\psi \leq 0$.

Consequently, summarizing Steps 1 and 2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} [a(x) + |u|^q] \nabla u \nabla \psi + \int_{\Omega} u \psi \\ & \leq \int_{\Omega} H(x, u, \nabla u) \psi + \int_{\Omega} f \psi, \end{aligned} \tag{81}$$

for every $\psi \in H_0^1(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$.

Finally, interchanging ψ and $-\psi$ we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} [a(x) + |u|^q] \nabla u \nabla \psi + \int_{\Omega} u \psi \\ & = \int_{\Omega} H(x, u, \nabla u) \psi + \int_{\Omega} f \psi, \end{aligned} \tag{82}$$

for every $\psi \in H_0^1(\Omega) \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$.

Data Availability

The authors do not have data available.

Disclosure

This paper has been presented at the 5th International Congress of the Moroccan Society of Applied Mathematics (SM2A-2017) and at the second edition of the ‘‘Journe doctorale de l’ENSAJ’’ in the ‘‘Ecole Nationale des Sciences Appliques d’El Jadida’’- Morocco. We are grateful to all people who helped in any way in this work.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to all people who helped in this work.

References

- [1] D. Arcoya, L. Boccardo, and T. Leonori, ‘‘ $W_0^{1,1}$ -solutions for elliptic problems having gradient quadratic lower order terms,’’ *Nonlinear Differential Equations and Applications NoDEA*, vol. 20, no. 6, pp. 1741–1757, 2013.
- [2] L. Boccardo, F. Murat, and J.-P. Puel, ‘‘Existence de solutions non bornées pour certaines équations quasi-linéaires,’’ *Portugaliae Mathematica*, vol. 41, pp. 507–534, 1982.
- [3] A. Bensoussan, L. Boccardo, and F. Murat, ‘‘On a nonlinear partial differential equation having natural growth terms and unbounded solution,’’ *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré*, vol. 5, no. 4, pp. 347–364, 1988.
- [4] L. Boccardo and T. Gallouët, ‘‘Strongly nonlinear elliptic equations having natural growth terms and L^1 data,’’ *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications*, vol. 19, no. 6, pp. 573–579, 1992.
- [5] J.-P. Puel, ‘‘Existence, comportement à l’infini et stabilité dans certains problèmes quasilineaires elliptiques et paraboliques d’ordre 2,’’ *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 89–119, 1976.
- [6] L. Boccardo, ‘‘Dirichlet problems with singular and gradient quadratic lower order terms,’’ *ESAIM: Control, Optimisation and Calculus of Variations*, vol. 14, no. 3, pp. 411–426, 2008.
- [7] L. Boccardo, ‘‘A contribution to the theory of quasilinear elliptic equations and application to the minimization of integral functionals,’’ *Milan Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 79, no. 1, pp. 193–206, 2011.

