

Giovanni Emmanuele

Existence of solutions of a functional-integral equation in infinite dimensional Banach spaces

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 44 (1994), No. 4, 603–609

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/128494>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1994

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

EXISTENCE OF SOLUTIONS OF A FUNCTIONAL-INTEGRAL EQUATION IN INFINITE DIMENSIONAL BANACH SPACES¹

G. EMMANUELE, Catania

(Received September 9, 1992)

Let Ω be a bounded closed subset of \mathbb{R}^n . If f, k, g are functions defined, respectively, in $\Omega \times E$, $\Omega \times \Omega$, $\Omega \times E$ (E a Banach space) with values into, respectively, E , $L(F, E)$, F (F a Banach space, $L(F, E)$ the space of all linear continuous operators from F into E), we consider the functional-integral equation

$$(1) \quad x(t) = f\left(t, r \int_{\Omega} k(t, s)g(s, x(s)) ds\right), \quad t \text{ a.e. in } \Omega$$

and look for solutions of (1) lying in $L^1(\Omega, E)$, the usual Bochner function space on (Ω, \mathcal{L}, m) , the usual Lebesgue measure space. The equation (1) is quite general, because for $f(t, x) = x$ we get the Hammerstein integral equation, whereas if $g(t, x) = x$ we get an equation recently considered in [2] and in [4]. (In particular, we improve the result in [4] because, in the case of $E = F = \mathbb{R}$ and $\Omega = [0, 1] \subset \mathbb{R}$, we are able to dispense with one of the hypotheses used in that paper.) For several applications of the Hammerstein integral equation to partial differential equations we refer to [3] and [8].

The technique we use in the main theorem is the usual one: we construct an operator A mapping continuously a suitable bounded, closed and convex subset Q of $L^1(\Omega, E)$ into itself, and prove that $A(Q)$ is relatively compact. Hence the Schauder fixed point theorem can be applied. The choice of the set Q is such that it allows us to avoid the use of certain monotonicity assumptions contained in [2] (see also results and examples in [3] and in [8]) that are not always extendible to the case of functions with values in infinite dimensional Banach spaces; the hypotheses we consider are quite general and "natural" in the sense that they are necessary and sufficient for certain operators to take $L^1(\Omega, E)$ continuously into itself (see [7]).

¹ Work performed under the auspices of G.N.A.F.A. of C.N.R. and partially supported by M.U.R.S.T. of Italy (60%; 1990).

One of the main tools we use is the following generalization of the Ascoli-Arzelà theorem to the case of vector-valued continuous functions.

Theorem 1 (see [1], for instance). *Let T be a compact metric space and let $(f_n) \subset C^0(T, E)$ be a sequence of equicontinuous and equibounded functions. If for each $t \in T$, $\{f_n(t)\}$ is relatively compact in E , then (f_n) is relatively compact in $C^0(T, E)$. Moreover, the set $\{f_n(t) : t \in T, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is relatively compact in E , too.*

We even need the following extension of a theorem of Scorza-Dragoni to be found in [9].

Theorem 2 ([9]). *Let T be a compact metric space with a Radon measure defined on it, E a separable metric space, F a Banach space. If $f : T \times E \rightarrow F$ is a function verifying the Carathéodory hypotheses, i.e. f is measurable with respect to $t \in T$ for all $x \in E$ and continuous with respect to $x \in E$ for almost all $t \in T$, given $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a measurable closed subset T_ε of T with $m(T \setminus T_\varepsilon) < \varepsilon$ and $f|_{T_\varepsilon \times E}$ continuous.*

We shall make use of the following result concerning compact subsets of separable Banach spaces (see [5]).

Theorem 3 ([5]). *Let M be a bounded subset of a separable Banach space E . M is relatively compact if and only if for any w^* -null sequence $(x_n^*) \subset E^*$ one has $\limsup_n \sup_{x \in M} |x_n^*(x)| = 0$.*

We are now ready to give the proof of our result for which we need an easy lemma:

Lemma 4. *Let us assume*

(k₁) $h_1, h_2 \in L^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$, $h_1(t) \geq 0, h_2(t) \geq 0$ a.e. on Ω ;

(k₂) $\psi : \Omega \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ verifies the Carathéodory hypotheses and the linear operator

$$(\Psi z)(t) = \int_{\Omega} \psi(t, s) z(s) \, ds$$

maps $L^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$ into $L^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$ (in this case Ψ is continuous ([10]) and $\|\Psi\|$ denotes its norm);

(k₃) $b_1, b_2, r \geq 0$ are such that $rb_1 b_2 \|\Psi\| < 1$.

Then there is a nonnegative $\varphi_0 \in L^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$ such that

$$\varphi_0(t) = h_1(t) + rb_1 \int_{\Omega} \psi(t, s) (h_2(s) + b_2 \varphi_0(s)) \, ds, \quad t \text{ a.e. in } \Omega.$$

PROOF. Let us put $p = \frac{\|h_1\| + \|a\|}{1 - rb_1 b_2 \|\Psi\|}$ where $a(t) = rb_1 \int_{\Omega} \psi(t, s) h_2(s) ds \in L^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$. It is easy to see that $Mx \in B_p = \{x: x \in L^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}), \|x\| \leq p\}$ whenever $x \in B_p$, where

$$Mx(t) = h_1(t) + rb_1 \int_{\Omega} \psi(t, s)(h_2(s) + b_2 x(s)) ds, \quad t \in \Omega.$$

It is also clear that $Mx(t) \geq 0$ a.e. on Ω when $x(t) \geq 0$ a.e. on Ω and so $M(B_p^+) \subset B_p^+$ with $B_p^+ = B_p \cap \{x: x \in L^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}), x(t) \geq 0 \text{ a.e. on } \Omega\}$; furthermore, B_p^+ is a complete metric space. It is also easy to prove that M is a contraction when restricted to B_p^+ . Then the Banach-Caccioppoli fixed point theorem applies to give the result. We are done. \square

Theorem 5. *Let E be a separable Banach space, F an arbitrary Banach space and Ω a bounded, closed subset of \mathbb{R}^n . Let us assume*

(h₁) $f: \Omega \times E \rightarrow E$ verifies the Carathéodory hypotheses and, moreover, there exist $h_1 \in L^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$ and $b_1 \geq 0$ such that

$$\|f(t, x)\|_E \leq h_1(t) + b_1 \|x\|_E \quad \text{for a.a. } t \in \Omega \text{ and all } x \in E;$$

(h₂) $k: \Omega \times \Omega \rightarrow C(F, E)$ (the Banach space of linear compact operators from F into E with the usual operator norm) verifies the Carathéodory hypotheses and the linear operator K defined by

$$(Kz)(t) = \int_{\Omega} \|k(t, s)\|_{C(F, E)} z(s) ds, \quad t \text{ a.e. in } \Omega$$

maps $L^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$ into itself (this last fact implies that K is continuous, see [10]; let $\|K\|$ denote its norm);

(h₃) $g: \Omega \times E \rightarrow F$ verifies the Carathéodory hypotheses and, moreover, there exist $h_2 \in L^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$ and $b_2 \geq 0$ such that

$$\|g(t, x)\|_F \leq h_2(t) + b_2 \|x\|_E \quad \text{for a.a. } t \in \Omega \text{ and all } x \in E;$$

(h₄) $rb_1 b_2 \|K\| < 1$.

Then the equation (1) has a solution x in $L^1(\Omega, E)$.

PROOF. Putting $\psi(t, s) = \|k(t, s)\|_{C(E, F)}$ in Lemma 4, we get that there is a nonnegative $\varphi_0 \in L^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$ such that

$$\varphi_0(t) = h_1(t) + rb_1 \int_{\Omega} \|k(t, s)\|_{C(E, F)} (h_2(s) + b_2 \varphi_0(s)) ds, \quad t \text{ a.e. in } \Omega.$$

First of all, assume $\varphi_0 = \theta_{L^1(\Omega, R)}$. In such a case we easily get that $\theta_{L^1(\Omega, E)}$ is a solution of (1). Indeed, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| f\left(t, r \int_{\Omega} k(t, s)g(s, \theta_{L^1(\Omega, E)}(s)) \, ds\right) \right\| \\ & \leq h_1(t) + b_1 \left\| r \int_{\Omega} k(t, s)g(s, \theta_{L^1(\Omega, E)}(s)) \, ds \right\| \\ & \leq h_1(t) + b_1 r \int \|k(t, s)\|_{C(E, F)} \|g(s, \theta_{L^1(\Omega, E)}(s))\| \, ds \\ & \leq h_1(t) + b_1 r \int \|k(t, s)\|_{C(E, F)} (h_2(s) + b_2 \|\theta_{L^1(\Omega, E)}(s)\|) \, ds \\ & \leq h_1(t) + b_1 r \int \|k(t, s)\|_{C(E, F)} (h_2(s) + b_2 \theta_{L^1(\Omega, R)}(s)) \, ds \\ & = \theta_{L^1(\Omega, R)}(t), \qquad t \text{ a.e. in } \Omega, \end{aligned}$$

which means that

$$f\left(t, r \int_{\Omega} k(t, s)g(s, \theta_{L^1(\Omega, E)}(s)) \, ds\right) = \theta_{L^1(\Omega, E)}(t), \quad t \text{ a.e. in } \Omega.$$

So let us assume $\varphi_0 \neq \theta_{L^1(\Omega, R)}$ and consider the following subset of $L^1(\Omega, E)$:

$$Q = \{x : x \in L^1(\Omega, E), \|x(t)\|_E \leq \varphi_0(t) \quad \text{a.e. on } \Omega\}.$$

Q is clearly bounded, closed and convex in $L^1(\Omega, E)$; furthermore, Q is uniformly integrable, i.e. $\lim_{m(S) \rightarrow 0} \int_S \|x(s)\| \, ds = 0$ uniformly on Q . We consider the operator

$$(Ax)(t) = f\left(t, r \int_{\Omega} k(t, s)g(s, x(s)) \, ds\right).$$

We shall prove that

- (i) $A(L^1(\Omega, E)) \subset L^1(\Omega, E)$,
- (ii) $A(Q) \subset Q$,
- (iii) $A|_Q$ is continuous,
- (iv) $A(Q)$ is relatively compact.

Hence an easy application of the Schauder fixed point theorem will give the existence of a solution of (1). That (i) is true is an easy consequence of our assumptions

$(h_1), (h_2), (h_3)$. Let us show (ii). If $x \in Q$ we have, for a.a. $t \in \Omega$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|(Ax)(t)\|_E &= \left\| f\left(t, r \int_{\Omega} k(t, s)g(s, x(s)) \, ds\right) \right\|_E \\ &\leq h_1(t) + b_1 r \left\| \int_{\Omega} k(t, s)g(s, x(s)) \, ds \right\|_E \\ &\leq h_1(t) + b_1 r \int_{\Omega} \|k(t, s)\|_{C(F, E)} \|g(s, x(s))\|_F \, ds \\ &\leq h_1(t) + b_1 r \int_{\Omega} \|k(t, s)\|_{C(F, E)} (h_2(s) + b_2 \|x(s)\|_E) \, ds \\ &\leq h_1(t) + b_1 r \int_{\Omega} \|k(t, s)\|_{C(F, E)} (h_2(s) + b_2 \varphi_0(s)) \, ds = \varphi_0(t) \end{aligned}$$

by virtue of Lemma 4. Let us prove (iii). Let $(x_n), (x_0) \subset Q$ with $x_n \rightarrow x_0$. This means that $x_n(s) \rightarrow x_0(s)$ almost everywhere on Ω (by passing to a subsequence if necessary). Fix $\bar{t} \in \Omega$; we have $k(\bar{t}, s)g(s, x_n(s)) \rightarrow k(\bar{t}, s)g(s, x_0(s))$ for a.a. $s \in \Omega$ because of (h_3) and (h_2) . Thanks to (h_3) we also have that, for a.a. $s \in \Omega$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\|k(\bar{t}, s)[g(s, x_n(s)) - g(s, x_0(s))]\|_E \\ &\leq \|k(\bar{t}, s)\|_{C(E, F)} [2h_2(s) + b_2(\|x_n(s)\| + \|x_0(s)\|)] \\ &\leq \|k(\bar{t}, s)\|_{C(E, F)}^2 (h_2(s) + b_2 \varphi_0(s)); \end{aligned}$$

this easily yields

$$\int_{\Omega} k(\bar{t}, s)g(s, x_n(s)) \, ds \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} k(\bar{t}, s)g(s, x_0(s)) \, ds.$$

Hence

$$f\left(\bar{t}, r \int_{\Omega} k(\bar{t}, s)g(s, x_n(s)) \, ds\right) \rightarrow f\left(\bar{t}, r \int_{\Omega} k(\bar{t}, s)g(s, x_0(s)) \, ds\right),$$

i.e. $Ax_n(\bar{t}) \rightarrow Ax_0(\bar{t})$, thanks to (h_1) . But $\|Ax_n(t) - Ax_0(t)\|_E \leq 2\varphi_0(t)$ and so $\|Ax_n - Ax_0\|_{L^1(\Omega, E)} \rightarrow 0$. It remains to show the most difficult (iv). It is clear that we can assume Q countable; so we do it. First of all, we observe that thanks to Theorem 2, given $\sigma > 0$ there is $\Omega_{\sigma} \subset \Omega$, closed, with $m(\Omega \setminus \Omega_{\sigma}) < \sigma$, such that $f|_{\Omega_{\sigma} \times E}, k|_{\Omega_{\sigma} \times \Omega}$ are continuous. First we shall prove that

$$(j) \quad B = \{Hx|_{\Omega_{\sigma}} : x \in Q\} \subset C^0(\Omega_{\sigma}), \text{ where } (Hx)(t) = \int_{\Omega} k(t, s)g(s, x(s)) \, ds,$$

$$t \in \Omega,$$

(jj) B is relatively compact in $C^0(\Omega_{\sigma})$.

Let $t', t'' \in \Omega$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \|(Hx)(t') - (Hx)(t'')\|_E &\leq \int_{\Omega} \|k(t', s) - k(t'', s)\|_{C(F,E)} \|g(s, x(s))\| ds \\ &\leq \int_{\Omega} \|k(t', s) - k(t'', s)\|_{C(F,E)} (h_2(s) + b_2\varphi_0(s)) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Since $k|_{\Omega_{\sigma} \times \Omega}$ is uniformly continuous, we get that B is an equicontinuous subset of $C^0(\Omega_{\sigma})$; it is also clear that B is an equibounded subset of $C^0(\Omega_{\sigma})$. It remains to show that for all $t \in \Omega_{\sigma}$, $B(t) = \{Ax|_{\Omega_{\sigma}}(t) : x \in Q\}$ is relatively compact, so that we can apply Theorem 1 to B . Now we use Theorem 3. Let (x_n^*) be a w^* -null sequence in E^* ; for $\bar{t} \in \Omega_{\sigma}$ we have

$$(2) \quad x_n^* \int_{\Omega} k(\bar{t}, s)g(s, x(s)) ds = \int_{\Omega} x_n^* k(\bar{t}, s)g(s, x(s)) ds, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

For almost all $s \in \Omega$, the set $\{g(s, x(s)) : x \in Q\}$ is bounded by virtue of (h_3) and because of the very definition of Q ; hence $\{k(\bar{t}, s)g(s, x(s)) : x \in Q\}$ is compact in E for a.a. $s \in \Omega$ and so $x_n^* k(\bar{t}, s)g(s, x(s)) \rightarrow 0$ uniformly on $x \in Q$; furthermore, $|x_n^* k(\bar{t}, s)g(s, x(s))| \leq \sup_n \|x_n^*\| \|k(\bar{t}, s)\|_{C(F,E)} (h_2(s) + b_2\varphi_0(s))$, which implies

$$\sup_{x \in Q} \int_{\Omega} x_n^* k(\bar{t}, s)g(s, x(s)) ds \rightarrow 0.$$

Thanks to (2) we are done: $B(t)$ is relatively compact for all $t \in \Omega$. Hence B is relatively compact in $C^0(\Omega_{\sigma})$. Once we have (j) and (jj) for any $\sigma > 0$, we can conclude our proof as follows. Given a sequence $(x_h) \subset Q$, it is easy to get a sequence (Ω_n) of closed subsets of Ω with $m(\Omega \setminus \Omega_n) \rightarrow 0$ and a subsequence (y_h) of (x_h) such that (Hy_h) is a Cauchy sequence in any $C^0(\Omega_n)$. Again thanks to Theorem 1 we have that

$$C_n = \{Hy_h(t) : t \in \Omega_n, h \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

is a relatively compact subset of E and so $f|_{\Omega_n \times \bar{C}_n}$ is uniformly continuous. It is then very easy to see (use again Theorem 1) that

$$\{f(\cdot, Hy_h(\cdot)) : \Omega_n \rightarrow E, h \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

is a Cauchy sequence in $C^0(\Omega_n)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, by passing to a suitable subsequence if necessary. Hence, if $\varepsilon > 0$, let $\sigma > 0$ be such that

$$\sup_{x \in Q} \int_S \|Ax(s)\| ds < \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \quad \text{for all } S \subset \Omega, m(S) < \sigma.$$

Choose $\bar{n} \in \mathbb{N}$ with $m(\Omega \setminus \Omega_{\bar{n}}) < \sigma$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \|Ay_{h'}(t) - Ay_{h''}(t)\|_E dt &= \int_{\Omega_{\bar{n}}} \|Ay_{h'}(t) - Ay_{h''}(t)\|_E dt \\ &\quad + \int_{\Omega \setminus \Omega_{\bar{n}}} \|Ay_{h'}(t) - Ay_{h''}(t)\|_E dt \\ &\leq \int_{\Omega_{\bar{n}}} \|f(t, Hy_{h'}(t)) - f(t, Hy_{h''}(t))\|_E dt + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \\ &\leq m(\Omega_{\bar{n}}) \|f(\cdot, Hy_{h'}(\cdot)) - f(\cdot, Hy_{h''}(\cdot))\|_{C^0(\Omega_{\bar{n}})} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \end{aligned}$$

$h', h'' \in \mathbb{N}$.

Since $(f(\cdot, Hy_h(\cdot)))_{h \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $C^0(\Omega_{\bar{n}})$ we are done. \square

Remark. If one of the two spaces E and F is finite dimensional, then any continuous and linear operator from F into E is compact, but this even happens for suitable infinite dimensional Banach spaces; we refer to [6] for a list of such pairs of Banach spaces.

References

- [1] *A. Ambrosetti*: Un teorema di esistenza per le equazioni differenziali negli spazi di Banach. *Rend. Sem. Mat. Padova* 39 (1967), 349–360.
- [2] *J. Banas, Z. Knap*: Integrable solutions of a functional-integral equation. *Revista Matematica de la Univ. Complutense de Madrid* 2(1) (1989), 31–38.
- [3] *K. Deimling*: *Nonlinear Functional Analysis*. Springer Verlag, 1985.
- [4] *G. Emmanuele*: About the existence of integrable solutions of a functional integral equation. *Revista Mat. Univ. Complutense Madrid* 4 (1991), 65–69.
- [5] *I. Gel'fand*: Abstrakte Funktionen und lineare Operatoren. *Mat. Sbornik* 4 (1938), 235–284.
- [6] *J. Johnson*: Remarks on Banach spaces of compact operators. *J. Funct. Analysis* 32 (1979), 304–311.
- [7] *M. A. Krasnosel'skii*: *Topological Methods in the Theory of Nonlinear Integral Equations*. Pergamon Press, 1964.
- [8] *R. H. Martin*: *Nonlinear operators and differential equations in Banach spaces*. Wiley e Sons, 1976.
- [9] *B. Ricceri, A. Villani*: Separability and Scorza Dragoni's Property. *Le Matematiche* 37 (1982), 156–161.
- [10] *P. P. Zabreiko, A. I. Koshelev, M. A. Krasnoselskii, S. G. Miklin, L. S. Rakovshchik, V. J. Stecenko*: *Integral Equations*. Noordhoff, Leyden, 1975.

Author's address: Department of Mathematics, University of Catania, Catania 95125, Italy.