

G OPEN ACCESS

Citation: Arroyo-Quiroz C, O'Flaherty M, Guzman-Castillo M, Capewell S, Chuquiure-Valenzuela E, Jerjes-Sanchez C, et al. (2020) Explaining the increment in coronary heart disease mortality in Mexico between 2000 and 2012. PLoS ONE 15(12): e0242930. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.</u> pone.0242930

Editor: Luisa N. Borrell, Graduate School of Public Health and Health Policy, City University of New York, UNITED STATES

Received: April 30, 2020

Accepted: November 11, 2020

Published: December 3, 2020

Peer Review History: PLOS recognizes the benefits of transparency in the peer review process; therefore, we enable the publication of all of the content of peer review and author responses alongside final, published articles. The editorial history of this article is available here: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0242930

Copyright: © 2020 Arroyo-Quiroz et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the <u>Creative Commons Attribution License</u>, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: The datasets supporting the conclusions of this article are

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Explaining the increment in coronary heart disease mortality in Mexico between 2000 and 2012

Carmen Arroyo-Quiroz^{1,2}, Martin O'Flaherty³, Maria Guzman-Castillo⁴, Simon Capewell³, Eduardo Chuquiure-Valenzuela⁵, Carlos Jerjes-Sanchez⁶, Tonatiuh Barrientos-Gutierrez¹*

 Center for Research on Population Health, National Institute of Public Health, Cuernavaca, Mexico,
Universidad Autonoma Metropolitana- Unidad Lerma, Lerma de Villada, Mexico, 3 Institute of Psychology, Health and Society, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, United Kingdom, 4 Population Research Unit, Faculty of Social Sciences, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland, 5 National Institute of Cardiology, Mexico City, Mexico, 6 Escuela de Medicina y Ciencias de la Salud, Instituto Tecnológico de Monterrey, Instituto de Cardiología y Medicina Vascular, TecSalud, Monterrey, Mexico

* tbarrientos@insp.mx

Abstract

Background

Mexico is still in the growing phase of the epidemic of coronary heart disease (CHD), with mortality increasing by 48% since 1980. However, no studies have analyzed the drivers of these trends. We aimed to model CHD deaths between 2000 and 2012 in Mexico and to quantify the proportion of the mortality change attributable to advances in medical treatments and to changes in population-wide cardiovascular risk factors.

Methods

We performed a retrospective analysis using the previously validated IMPACT model to explain observed changes in CHD mortality in Mexican adults. The model integrates nationwide data at two-time points (2000 and 2012) to quantify the effects on CHD mortality attributable to changes in risk factors and therapeutic trends.

Results

From 2000 to 2012, CHD mortality rates increased by 33.8% in men and by 22.8% in women. The IMPACT model explained 71% of the CHD mortality increase. Most of the mortality increases could be attributed to increases in population risk factors, such as diabetes (43%), physical inactivity (28%) and total cholesterol (24%). Improvements in medical and surgical treatments together prevented or postponed 40.3% of deaths; 10% was attributable to improvements in secondary prevention treatments following MI, while 5.3% to community heart failure treatments.

available at: (1) Population size: Mexican National Population Council (CONAPO). https://www.inegi. org.mx/app/tabulados/interactivos/?px=Poblacion_ 01&bd=Poblacion#variables (2) Mortality: Mexican Ministry of Health Information System http://www. dgis.salud.gob.mx/contenidos/basesdedatos/bdc_ defunciones_gobmx.html (3) Number of patients: Mexican Ministry of Health Information System [29] http://www.dgis.salud.gob.mx/contenidos/ basesdedatos/bdc_egresoshosp_gobmx.html (4) Treatments: Mexican Ministry of Health and National Registry of Acute Coronary Syndromes (RENASICA). Data is only available upon request from the RENASICA Executive Committee: http:// www.renasica.mx/contacto.html (5) Risk factors: National Nutrition and Health Surveys (ENSANut) https://ensanut.insp.mx/ In the case National Registry of Acute Coronary Syndromes, the data are available upon reasonable request from the **RENASICA Executive Committee. The authors** confirm that they had no special access privileges in accessing these data sets. Contact information: Dr. Carlos Martínez (RENASICA Executive Committee), email: martinez@renasica.mx, info@renasica.mx and publicaciones@renasica.mx The rest of the datasets are publicly available and anonymous.

Funding: Carmen Arroyo-Quiroz received support from CONACyT's Scholarship Program for Doctoral Studies. Tonatiuh Barrientos-Gutierrez received support from Harvard University through the Lown Scholar's program (<u>https://www.hsph.harvard.edu/</u> <u>lownscholars/scholars/</u>). The funders had no role in the study design or the analysis and interpretation of the data. All authors and their institutions reserve intellectual freedom from the funders.

Competing interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

Conclusions

CHD mortality in Mexico is increasing due to adverse trends in major risk factors and suboptimal use of CHD treatments. Population-level interventions to reduce CHD risk factors are urgently needed, along with increased access and equitable distribution of therapies.

Introduction

Coronary heart disease (CHD) remains as one of the most important worldwide mortality causes. Currently, most developed countries are experiencing a decrease in CHD mortality, and some have reduced their rates by 50%, compared to the 1970s [1]. The reasons for these decreases are variable, yet, they have been attributed to declines in major risk factors and advances in medical and surgical treatment [2, 3]. In low and middle-income countries, the behavior of the CHD epidemic differs, while CHD mortality in some countries is decreasing, many other countries are still experiencing increases in CHD mortality [4]. Mexico, an upper middle-income country with 129 million inhabitants, is one of the countries that are still in the rising phase of the CHD epidemic [5].

In the past century, Mexico was characterized as a country of low CHD mortality. In 1980, the age-standardized mortality rate per 100,000 inhabitants were 55.6 and 33.8 for men and women, respectively [6]. However, between 1980 and 2010, substantial changes in the country led to a 48% increase in CHD mortality [7]. An explosive increase in obesity and diabetes has been proposed as the main factor for CHD mortality increase [8]. Simultaneously, the country experienced a reduction in smoking rates, from 25.9% in 1980 to 10% in 2012 [9], which should have led to a reduction in coronary morbidity and mortality. Also, since 2004, access to CHD clinical and surgical treatments increased under *Seguro Popular*, a health insurance program to provide access to packages of health services for people without access to social security services [10, 11]. Treatments such as thrombolysis, coronary-artery bypass grafting (CABG), coronary angioplasty, ACE inhibitors and statins are now more commonly used and available for more people [12, 13]. While the rises in CHD mortality in Mexico are clear, we still lack an analysis of the fundamental causes of these changes [14].

In the last decades, various models have been developed to measure the contribution of risk factors and treatment changes in CHD mortality [2, 3, 15, 16]. These models use up-to-date scientific evidence to estimate the contribution of population changes in risk factors and consider the accessibility and effectiveness medical and surgical treatments, to changes in CHD mortality [17]. The IMPACT model has been implemented in United Kingdom, the United States, New Zealand, Syria, Tunisia and China among others [3, 16, 18–21]. IMPACT studies in high-income countries concluded that CHD mortality reductions were largely attributable to improvements in risk factors, such as obesity and hypertension, which explained from 44% of the reduction in the United States [3] to 76% in Finland [22]. IMPACT has also been implemented in low and middle-income countries that were experiencing increases in CHD mortality rates, such as Tunisia [18] and Syria [23], where risk factors explained more than 60% of the change.

To our knowledge, no study has estimated the contribution of risk factors and medical and surgical treatments on CHD mortality in Mexico. This information is key to identify potential targets for public and medical policy aiming to reduce the burden of CHD. We aimed quantify what proportion of the CHD mortality change between 2000 and 2012 that is attributable to advances in medical and surgical treatment and changes in population-wide cardiovascular risk factors using the IMPACT model.

Material and methods

The IMPACT policy model was used in this study to quantify the effects on CHD mortality attributable to variations in each population risk factors and treatment modalities between 2000 and 2012 [2, 17, 23–26]. The model methodology has been described in detail elsewhere [3, 16, 17, 23]. Briefly, the IMPACT model is used to estimate the number of coronary heart disease (CHD) death change attributable to changes in specific cardiac interventions, treatments, or risk factors. In this study, adult data including: (1) number of CHD patients, (2) use of specific medical and surgical treatments, (3) effectiveness of specific treatments for CHD, (4) population trends of major cardiovascular risk factors (smoking, total cholesterol, hypertension, obesity, and diabetes), were incorporated into the model [2, 3, 16, 25].

Data sources

National information on mortality, morbidity, hospital discharges, medical and surgical treatments, and cardiovascular risk factors was obtained for the years 2000 and 2012. All data are national and grouped by age and sex. Data used are described in detail in <u>S1 Appendix</u>, briefly, we used four data sources:

- Population size: Mexican National Population Council (CONAPO) [27]
- Mortality: Mexican Ministry of Health Information System [7, 28]
- Number of patients: Mexican Ministry of Health Information System [29]
- Treatments: Mexican Ministry of Health and National Registry of Acute Coronary Syndromes (RENASICA) [13, 30, 31]
- Risk factors: National Nutrition and Health Surveys (ENSANut) [32, 33]

In the case National Registry of Acute Coronary Syndromes, the data are available only upon request from the RENASICA Executive Committee, the rest of the datasets are publicly available and anonymous. We limited our CHD mortality analysis to the 2000 to 2012 period, since 2012–2013 was the last wave of RENASICA data.

Deaths prevented or postponed (DPP)

The primary output was Deaths Prevented of Postponed (DPP) for CHD. DPP represents the difference between the 2012 expected CHD deaths, calculated assuming no change in the distribution of risk factors and medical and surgical treatments available in 2000, to the CHD mortality observed in 2012. Mortality rates from CHD were calculated using the underlying cause of death: International Classification of Diseases (ICD) -10 codes I20-I25 [3]. We used demographic data obtained from the Mexican National Population Council (CONAPO) and mortality data for adults aged 25 years and older from the Health Information System from the Mexican Health Ministry to calculate the CHD age- sex-group-specific mortality rates in 2000 and 2012. The expected number of CHD deaths in 2012 was calculated by multiplying age-sex group-specific mortality rates in 2000 by the corresponding population size of each 10-year age-sex stratum in 2012 [2, 3, 16, 25, 26]. A positive DPP implies a decrease in observed mortality, relative to the expected mortality, while a negative DPP implies an increase in observed relative to expected mortality.

 $DPP = Expected mortality_{2012} - Observed mortality_{2012}$

The obtained DPP is the number of deaths to be explained by the model, this was achieved thorough the contribution of DPP_{treatment}, which represents de DPP that is attributable to

changes in medical and surgical treatments, and DPP_{risk}, that represents the DPP attributable to changes in risk factors.

 $DPP = Expected mortality_{2012} - Observed mortality_{2012} = DPP_{treatment} + DPP_{risk} + e$

Where *e* represents an error term that captures the change that is not explained by our model. In the next sections we will explain how every DPP was calculated.

Mortality changes attributable to treatment uptake

The first step in the estimation of DPP is to calculate $DPP_{treatment}$ which is a combination of the individual $DPP_{treatment}$ as a result of each intervention/ therapy in each group of patients in 2012, stratified by age and sex. To achieve this, we specified relevant treatments for each of the nine mutually exclusive patient groups [3, 17, 34, 35]:

- Patients treated in hospital for myocardial infarction (ST-elevation myocardial infarction and non-ST elevation acute coronary syndrome)
- · Patients admitted to the hospital with unstable angina
- · Community-dwelling patients who have survived a myocardial infarction (MI) for over a year
- Patients who have undergone a revascularization procedure up to and including the years 1980 and 2012: Coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG), or a percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI)
- · Community-dwelling patients with stable coronary artery disease
- Patients admitted to hospital with heart failure (due to CHD)
- Community-dwelling patients with heart failure (due to CHD)
- Hypercholesterolemic subjects without CHD eligible for cholesterol-lowering therapy such as statins
- Hypertensive individuals without CHD eligible for anti-hypertensive therapy

To obtain the DPP_{treatment} for an specific group of patients and therapy we used the number of people in each diagnostic group of patients in 2000 and then it was multiplied by the proportion of patients who received a particular treatment, by their case fatality rate over a 1-year period, and by the relative reduction in the 1-year case fatality rate reported for that treatment in the largest and most recent meta-analysis [3, <u>17</u>, <u>36</u>, <u>37</u>] (S2 Appendix).

For example, in 2012, 10,752 men aged 45 to 54 were hospitalized with myocardial infarction (MI). The expected age-specific 1-year case-fatality rate was 5.4%. 79% were prescribed acetylsalicylic acid, with an expected mortality reduction of 15%. The number of DPP was then calculated as:

$$DPP_{MI-ASA} = 10.752 \times 79\% \times 15\% \times 5.4\% = 69$$
 deaths prevented or postponed

This process was replicated for every sex-age group, patient group, and therapy. Some special considerations were made to these initial calculations. We assumed that the proportion of treated patients actually taking therapeutically effective levels of medication (adherence), was 100% among hospitalized patients, 70% among symptomatic patients in the community, and 50% among asymptomatic patients in the community [3, 25].

In the case of individual patients that were receiving multiple treatments, we applied the Mant and Hicks cumulative-relative benefit approach to estimate the potential effect on the

relative decrease in the case fatality rate for those patients [3, 36-39]

Relative Benefit = $1 - [(1 - relative reduction in case fatality rate for treatment A) \times (1 - relative reduction in case fatality rate B) \times$

Potential overlaps between different groups of patients were detected and adjustments were made to prevent double-counting (e.g., 50% of patients having CABG surgery had previous myocardial infarction) (<u>S3 Appendix</u>) [3, <u>17</u>, <u>25</u>]. Briefly, we subtracted the DPPs calculated in the treatment component from the DPPs calculated in the risk factors component. Additional assumptions are listed in <u>S3 Appendix</u>.

After carrying out all these calculations, we combined the DPP_{treatment} for every patient group and treatment until we obtained a single DPP_{treatment} by age-sex group that considered all groups of patients and possible therapies.

Mortality changes attributable to risk factor changes

The second component of the IMPACT model includes estimating the number of DPP_{risk} for CHD due to changes in the cardiovascular risk factors for every age-sex group. We included six major cardiovascular risk factors in the model: smoking, physical inactivity, body mass index (BMI), systolic blood pressure, total serum cholesterol, and diagnosed diabetes [2, 3, 25]. DPPs associated to an absolute change in each risk factor between 2000 and 2012 were calculated using: a) a regression-based approach for factors measured on a continuous scale (such as total blood cholesterol, systolic blood pressure and BMI) or b) a population-attributable risk fraction (PARF) approach to estimate the effect of variations in categorical variables.

In the case of the regression-based approach, we used sex and age-specific independent regression coefficients of mortality benefit for a unit change in the mean of each risk factor [2, 3, 25, 40]. In S4 Appendix we listed the sources for the regression (beta) coefficients utilized in these analyses. We estimated the number of DPP_{risk} as a result of the change in the mean value of each of these risk factors considering the product of: the number of deaths from CHD in 2000 (the baseline year), the subsequent change in that risk factor, and the regression coefficient measuring the variation in mortality from CHD per unit of absolute change in the risk factor [3, 16, 17].

$$DPP_{risk} = (1 - (EXP(coefficient*change))*deaths in 2000)$$

For example, there were 4,069 CHD deaths in 12,629,000 men aged 65–74 Years in 2000. In this groups, mean systolic blood pressure reduced by 0.82 mmHg (from 130.4 in 2000 to 129.6 mmHg in 2012) [3, 20, 36, 37]. Previous meta-analyses reported an expected age- and sex-specific decline in mortality of 50% for every 20 mmHg. decrease, generating a logarithmic coefficient of –0.035 [3, 20, 36, 37]. The number of DPP was then estimated as:

$$DPP_{hypertension} = (1 - (EXP(coefficient*change))*deaths in 2000)$$

$$DPP_{hypertension} = (1 - EXP(-0.035 * 0.82)) * 4069 = 115$$

We repeated this process for every age-sex group and continuous risk factor considered.

We applied the population-attributable risk fraction (PARF) approach to estimate the effect of variations in categorical variables (prevalence of smoking, diabetes, and physical inactivity) [2, 3, 20, 36]. Sources for the relative risks (RR) utilized in these analyses are listed in the

<u>S4 Appendix</u>. To estimate the PARF, we applied the following formula [2, 3, 25]:

$$PARF = \frac{P \times (RR - 1)}{1 + P \times (RR - 1)}$$

Where P is the risk factor prevalence, and RR is a relative risk. We then estimated DPP as the CHD deaths in 2000 multiplied by the difference in the PARF during the period (2000–2012).

$$DPP_{risk} = CHDdeaths_{2000} * (PARF_{2012} - PARF_{2000})$$

For example, suppose that the prevalence of diabetes among men aged 65–74 years was 14.5% in 2000 and 20.7% in 2012. Assuming a RR = 1.93, the PARF in 2000 was 0.119 and 0.161 in 2010. The number of CHD deaths is 2000 was 123,055. The DPP attributable to the change in diabetes prevalence was therefore:

$$DPP_{diabetes} = (123, 055) * (0.161 - 0.119) = 5,168$$

This calculation was then repeated for each sex-age group and for every categorical risk factor. Finally, we obtained a total DPP_{risk} for each sex-age group by adding the DPP obtained for each risk factor across all risk factors. As independent coefficients of regression and relative risks were derived from multivariate analyses for each risk factor, we assumed that there was no further overlap across all risk factors considered [2, 3, 25].

Uncertainty analysis

Using Monte Carlo simulation, we computed 95% uncertainty intervals around the model output [2, 3, 25]. To obtain these calculations, we replaced all fixed input parameters used in the model by suitable probability distributions and then we repeatedly recalculate the model output with values sampled from the given input distributions (<u>S5 Appendix</u>) [2, 3, 25]. We used the Excel add-in Ersatz software (<u>www.epigear.com</u>) to do 1,000 runs to determine the 95% uncertainty intervals of the DPP (2.5th and 97.5th centile values corresponding to the lower and upper limits) [2, 3, 25].

Results

In Mexico between 2000 and 2012, CHD crude mortality rates increased by 33.8% in men and by 22.8% in women (from 105 to 140 and from 81 to 100 per 100,000 men and women, respectively). In 2012, we observed an excess of 9,370 CHD deaths, compared to those expected from baseline mortality rates in 2000 (Table 1).

An excess of approximately 10,580 CHD deaths was attributable to changes in major cardiovascular risk factors (UI -12,273; -9,213 <u>Table 2</u>). Improvements in medical and surgical treatments together prevented or postponed approximately 3,900 deaths by 2012 (UI 1829; 5950; <u>Table 3</u>). After subtracting the prevented or postponed deaths from the excess of deaths related to risk factors, an increase of 6624 deaths were obtained, which represent 71% of the total CHD mortality rise in the study period. The biggest contributor to CHD mortality was the increase in diabetes prevalence (from 7.7% to 10.7%), which led to an estimated 3,565 additional CHD deaths (UI 2864; 4271) (Fig 1). The second-largest contribution came from physical inactivity (from a prevalence of 9% to 19%), which led to an estimated 3,395 additional deaths (UI 2,775; 4,499). Increases in total cholesterol, mean BMI, and systolic blood pressure resulted in an estimated additional 2219, 1699 and 1134 deaths, respectively. The only risk factor that improved was the prevalence of smoking, which decreased by 0.03 percent points and

Sex and age group			200	0		2012	2	Adjusted to 2000	Diference observed-	
		Population	CHD deaths	Crude rate per 100,000	Population	CHD deaths	Crude rate per 100,000	rate	expected	
Male	25- 34	8'063,423	351	4.4	8,813,802	668	7.6	383.7	284	
	25- 44	5'812,452	1006	17.3	7,651,545	1623	21.2	1324.3	299	
	45- 54	3'861,354	2246	58.2	5,682,366	3660	64.4	3305.2	355	
	55- 64	2'490,327	4069	163.4	3,699,270	6320	170.8	6044.3	276	
	65- 74	1'556,271	5857	376.3	2,105,313	8930	424.2	7923.3	1007	
	75- 84	691,352	5879	850.4	1,021,032	10578	1,036.0	8682.5	1896	
	85+	208,240	4480	2151.4	322,970	9500	2,941.4	6948.3	2552	
Female	25- 34	8'496,491	137	1.6	9,657,083	186	1.9	155.7	30	
	25- 44	6'178,879	379	6.1	8,463,679	560	6.6	519.1	41	
	45- 54	4'103,677	926	22.6	6,287,850	1401	22.3	1418.9	-18	
	55- 64	2'669,170	2279	85.4	4,095,052	2943	71.9	3496.5	-553	
	65- 74	1'687,604	4257	252.3	2,390,205	5662	236.9	6029.3	-367	
	75- 84	800,691	5583	697.3	1,223,432	9443	771.8	8530.7	912	
	85+	279,845	6290	2247.7	441,089	12572	2,850.2	9914.2	2658	
				GAPT	O FXPI AIN				9370	

Table 1.	Population	sizes and	death	rates due	e to CHI) in	Mexico,	2000	and	2012	2.
----------	------------	-----------	-------	-----------	----------	------	---------	------	-----	------	----

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0242930.t001

prevented 651 deaths (UI 102; 1486). Some risk differences between men and women are important; women had larger increases in diabetes, smoking and cholesterol, while men had larger increases in systolic blood pressure and physical inactivity. Although women experienced a larger increase in smoking prevalence, the prevalence for men remained higher.

Medical and surgical treatments together prevented or postponed approximately 3,950 deaths by 2012 (UI 1829; 5950). The largest mortality reductions came from secondary prevention treatments following MI, which prevented or postponed 1,045 deaths (11.2%), mostly due to statin use increases (Table 3). Approximately 820 deaths (5.6%) were prevented by improvements in the treatment of heart failure in the community (particularly acetylsalicylic acid and spironolactone), and 605 (6.4%) were attributable to primary prevention (statins and anti-hypertensives). Treatment of angina pectoris in the community prevented 532 deaths (5.7%), largely attributable to revascularization, which prevented 3.8% deaths as compared with deaths in the year 2000. Improvements in acute phase management (MI and unstable angina) were modest and prevented approximately 306 deaths (3.3%)

The relative contribution of new therapies and improvements in the risk factor to the overall decrease in CHD deaths in 2012 was consistent through sensitivity analyses (Fig 1). The largest part of the mortality increase was explained by large rises in diabetes, physical inactivity, and total cholesterol. Likewise, mortality reductions were linked to lower smoking prevalence and an increase in therapies for secondary prevention following MI and heart failure treatment in the community.

Risk factor	Risk factor level		Risk facto	or change	Deaths prevented or postponed								
	2000	2012	Absolute	Relative	Best estimate	Minimun estimate	Maximum estimate	Best estimate	Minimun estimate	Maximum estimate			
						No. Deaths			% of total chang	ge			
SYSTOLIC I (mmHg)	BLOOD	PRESS	URE										
All	124.1	123.2	0.94	0.01	- 1,137.3	- 1,686.4	- 704.8	12.1%	7.5%	18.3%			
Men	125.3	124.6	0.71	0.01	- 1,251.8	- 1,736.8	- 857.5	13.4%	9.2%	18.4%			
Women	123.0	121.9	1.14	0.01	114.5	77.0	156.2	-1.2%	-1.7%	-0.8%			
CHOLESTE L)	ROL (m	imol/											
All	4.9	5.1	(0.19)	(0.04)	- 2,203.0	- 3,942.7	- 907.6	24%	10%	43%			
Men	4.9	4.9	-	-	-	-	-	0%	0%	0%			
Women	5.0	5.3	(0.35)	(0.07)	- 2,203.0	- 3,837.0	- 626.8	24%	7%	40%			
BMI (Kg/ m	2)												
All	26.4	28.6	(2.24)	(0.08)	- 1,692.6	- 2,086.5	- 1,261.5	18%	13%	23%			
Men	25.8	28.0	(2.23)	(0.09)	- 944.0	- 1,228.6	- 666.9	10%	7%	13%			
Women	27.0	29.2	(2.24)	(0.08)	- 748.5	- 1,085.8	- 415.8	8%	4%	12%			
SMOKING ((%)												
All	0.22	0.19	0.03	0.10	649.6	- 102.6	1,482.6	-7%	-16%	1%			
Men	0.35	0.30	0.04	0.13	532.6	9.0	988.0	-6%	-10%	0%			
Women	0.11	0.10	0.01	0.07	116.9	- 427.8	643.3	-1%	-7%	5%			
PHYSICAL INACTIVIT	Y (%)												
All	0.11	0.18	(0.08)	(0.75)	- 2,609.1	- 3,129.3	- 2,045.2	28%	22%	33%			
Men	0.10	0.19	(0.09)	(0.95)	- 1,500.5	- 1,852.7	- 1,140.9	16%	12%	20%			
Women	0.11	0.18	(0.06)	(0.58)	- 1,108.7	- 1,473.2	- 637.1	12%	7%	16%			
DIABETES	(%)												
All	0.06	0.09	(0.04)	(0.58)	- 4,041.6	- 4,842.9	- 3,247.6	43%	35%	51%			
Men	0.05	0.09	(0.03)	(0.48)	- 1,681.1	- 1,270.1	- 2,301.8	18%	14%	25%			
Women	0.06	0.10	(0.04)	(0.67)	- 2,360.5	- 1,761.5	- 2,976.0	25%	19%	32%			
TOTAL RIS	SK				-11,034.1	- 12,796.9	- 9,605.7	118%	102%	138%			

Table 2. I	Deaths from coronary	y heart disease that	were prevented	or postponed as	a result of changes in	n population risk factors	in Mexico from 2000 to 2012.
------------	----------------------	----------------------	----------------	-----------------	------------------------	---------------------------	------------------------------

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0242930.t002

Discussion

We aimed to estimate the contribution of changes in risk factors and treatments to CHD mortality increases in Mexico. We found that CHD crude mortality rates increased substantially between 2000 and 2012 (33.8% in men and 22.8% in women). This mortality increase was attributable to adverse trends in major risk factors, mainly diabetes, cholesterol, and physical inactivity. Mortality rises were mitigated by medical interventions, which prevented or postponed approximately 3900 deaths, potentially decreasing overall CHD mortality by about 40%.

Most of the previous IMPACT models were implemented in high income countries that are experiencing a decrease in CHD mortality, mostly attributable to risk factor reductions [3, 17, 20, 36, 40]. Those are the cases of United Kingdom, Denmark, Japan, Netherlands or the United States, among others [3, 20, 25, 35, 40]. In Latin America, only Argentina has implemented the IMPACT model and, while they have experienced some increases in diabetes and obesity, the improvements in medical treatments and positive changes in cholesterol and blood pressure resulted in a net 29.8% reduction in deaths from 1995 to 2010 [15]. Mexico is

Treatment	No. of elegible	Patients	Absolute risk	Deaths prevented or postponed							
	patients	receiving treatment	reduction	Best estimate	Minimun estimate	Maximum estimate	Best estimate	Minimun estimate	Maximum estimate		
					No. Deaths			% of total char	nge		
Myocardial infarction											
Aspirin	17,516	0.92	0.03	47	-13	102	-0.5%	-1.1%	0.1%		
ACE inhibitor	17,516	0.62	0.01	38	27	53	-0.4%	-0.6%	-0.3%		
Beta blockers	17,516	0.66	0.01	31	21	41	-0.3%	-0.4%	-0.2%		
CABG	17,516	0.02	0.06	-2	-7	2	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%		
PTCA (STEMI)	7,006	0.25	0.04	30	8	47	-0.3%	-0.5%	-0.1%		
Hospital CPR	518	0.63	0.05	10	-613	504	-0.1%	-0.3%	0.0%		
Thrombolysis	17,516	0.43	0.04	81	-10	190	-0.9%	-2.1%	0.1%		
PTCA (NSTEMI)	10,510	0.25	0.05	48	-1	79	-0.5%	-0.8%	0.0%		
Clopidrogel	17,516	0.90	0.00	40	-40	25	-0.4%	0.4%	-0.3%		
Total				324	212	421	-3.5%	-4.5%	-2.3%		
Unstable angina											
Aspirin	13,553	0.92	0.01	13	-29	54	-0.1%	-0.6%	0.3%		
Aspirin & Heparin	13,553	0.59	0.02	74	-8	173	-0.8%	-1.8%	0.1%		
ACE inhibitor	13,553	0.55	0.00	10	-24	44	-0.1%	-0.5%	0.2%		
Beta blockers	13,553	0.66	0.00	14	-32	60	-0.2%	-0.6%	0.3%		
CABG	13,553	0.07	0.03	5	61	-58	0.0%	0.6%	-0.6%		
PTCA (STEMI)	13,553	0.38	0.02	33	-4	85	-0.4%	-0.9%	0.0%		
Total				148	-7	319	-1.6%	-3.4%	0.1%		
Secondary prevention	following myoca	rdial infarction									
Statins	5,073,162	0.23	0.01	424	281	674	-4.5%	-7.1%	-2.9%		
Aspirin	5,073,162	0.75	0.00	10	14	6	-0.1%	-0.1%	-0.2%		
Warfarin	5,073,162	0.00	0.01	-77	-216	34	0.8%	-0.4%	2.3%		
ACE inhibitor	5,073,162	0.25	0.01	218	-939	1102	-2.3%	-11.7%	10.1%		
Beta blockers	5,073,162	0.27	0.01	148	1000	-830	-1.6%	9.0%	-10.7%		
Rehabilitation	5,073,162	0.03	0.01	214	-1096	1706	-2.3%	-18.4%	11.6%		
Total				936	-2851	4474	-10.0%	-47.8%	29.6%		
Secondary prevention	following CABG	o PTCA									
Statins	118,572	0.62	0.01	80	135	31	-0.9%	-0.3%	-1.5%		
Aspirin	118,572	0.83	0.01	101	261	-13	-1.1%	0.1%	-2.8%		
Warfarin	118,572	0.00	0.01	-2	-4	0	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		
ACE inhibitor	118,572	0.34	0.01	63	17	97	-0.7%	-1.0%	-0.2%		
Beta blockers	118,572	0.33	0.01	42	9	73	-0.5%	-0.8%	-0.1%		
Rehabilitation	118,572	0.04	0.01	13	-39	73	-0.1%	-0.8%	0.4%		
Total				298	-129	652	-3.2%	-7.0%	1.4%		
Chronic angina											
Statins	742,011	0.30	0.01	58	-25	141	-0.6%	-1.5%	0.3%		
Aspirin	742,011	0.46	0.00	108	9	227	-1.2%	-2.4%	-0.1%		
CABG	742,011	0.05	0.01	348	129	751	-3.7%	-8.0%	-1.4%		
Total				514	248	845	-5.5%	-9.1%	-2.7%		
Heart failure with hos	pital admission										
Aspirin	22,539	0.44	0.05	120	52	200	-1.3%	-2.1%	-0.5%		
ACE inhibitor	22,539	0.24	0.06	82	42	116	-0.9%	-1.3%	-0.5%		
Beta blockers	22,539	0.22	0.11	118	41	185	-1.3%	-2.0%	-0.4%		

Table 3. Estimated deaths prevented or postponed by medical or surgical treatments in Mexico 2012.

(Continued)

Treatment	No. of elegible	Patients	Absolute risk reduction	Deaths prevented or postponed							
	patients	receiving treatment		Best estimate	Minimun estimate	Maximum estimate	Best estimate	Minimun estimate	Maximum estimate		
					No. Deaths	3		% of total cha	nge		
Spironolactone	22,539	0.24	0.09	130	74	177	-1.4%	-1.9%	-0.8%		
Total				450	341	588	-4.8%	-6.3%	-3.6%		
Heart failure in the co	ommunity										
Aspirin	985,831	0.60	0.01	108	72	147	-1.2%	-1.6%	-0.8%		
ACE inhibitor	985,831	0.21	0.02	163	-34	360	-1.7%	-3.8%	0.4%		
Beta blockers	985,831	0.18	0.03	140	34	290	-1.5%	-3.1%	-0.4%		
Spironolactone	985,831	0.08	0.03	90	50	158	-1.0%	-1.7%	-0.5%		
Total				501	320	714	-5.3%	-7.8%	-3.4%		
Statins for primary prevention	9,853,600	0.23	0.00	114	68	192	-1.2%	-2.0%	-0.7%		
Anti-hypertensive medication	11,413,962	0.20	0.00	490	-148	963	-5.2%	-10.3%	1.6%		
Total treatments				3776	1744	5675	-40.3%	-61.4%	-18.1%		

Table 3. (Continued)

CABG indicates coronary artery bypass graft; PTCA Percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty; HF heart failure, AH antihypertensive and PCI percutaneous coronary intervention.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0242930.t003

one of the few countries in Latin America that still experiences an upward trend for CHD mortality [5, 14]. In the case of countries or regions experiencing upwards trends, IMPACT models were previously implemented in Beijing, Tunisia and Syria; in those cases, risk factors were the main contributors to the increases in CHD mortality [16, 18, 23]. Cholesterol was the primary driver of CHD mortality in Beijing and Tunisia, while blood pressure was the main driver in Syria [16, 18, 23].

In our study, CHD excess mortality was mainly explained through increases in risk factors, mostly changes in diabetes, cholesterol and physical inactivity. These changes occurred along with rapid urbanization and changes in dietary patterns, leading to more physical inactivity and a transition from traditional to Westernised ultra-processed diet [41–45]. These changes in behavioral lifestyles have been associated with an increase in diabetes, obesity and hypercholesterolemia [16, 23, 45, 46]. In our analysis, diabetes was the main contributor to the increase



Fig 1. Proportion of all coronary heart disease deaths explained by the model, which were attributed to the contribution of treatments and risk factors in Mexico, 2000 to 2012. The bars are the best model estimate and the vertical lines the extreme minimum and maximum estimates in sensitivity analysis. CABG indicates coronary artery bypass graft; PTCA Percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty; HF heart failure, AH antihypertensive and PCI percutaneous coronary intervention.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0242930.g001

in CHD mortality, given that its self-reported prevalence increased from 5.7% in 2000 to 9.2% in 2012 [47, 48]. The fact that these risk factors share the same fundamental causes, points at the opportunities to implement population-based interventions to provide healthier contexts for diet and physical activity [22]. Over the past decade, Mexico has developed a clear agenda to reduce obesity and metabolic diseases, based on population interventions, such as taxes to unhealthy foods and food warning labels [41, 42]. However, further population-based policies efforts will be needed to reduce obesity, diabetes and CHD deaths in Mexico.

Smoking prevalence fell by 3%, preventing or postponing approximately 670 deaths. However, in the Latin American region, Mexico was one of the first countries to join the Framework Convention on Tobacco Control (FCTC) and has implemented policy changes to reduce tobacco consumption [49]. Main actions included: cigarette taxes increased from 40% in 2002 to 55% of the total price by 2011, national and local smoke-free air laws were implemented, restrictions on tobacco product marketing were strengthened, and prominent pictorial health warnings were required on cigarette packs [49]. However, bigger falls have won large falls in CHD mortality in countries such as the USA, England, and Portugal [2, 3, 19]. Mexico therefore needs to further increase compliance with key tobacco regulations and strengthen the tobacco-control regulatory framework to further reduce the smoking prevalence and tobaccorelated CHD deaths [49].

Around 2000 and 2012, medical and surgical procedures prevented or postponed nearly 3,900 deaths. The most important contributions came from post-MI secondary treatment, angina treatment and heart failure in the community. Cardiac rehabilitation units in Mexico, increased from 10 in 2009 to 24 in 2015, [50]. However, coverage is still very low, only 4.4% of eligible patients are referred to rehabilitation programs [50]. This is reassuringly consistent with IMPACT model analyses in high-income countries with decreasing CHD mortality [2, 3, 18, 21]. In Syria, the main contribution was from chronic angina treatment [23], from hypertension treatment and myocardial infarction in Beijing [16], and from secondary prevention after MI and hypertension management in Tunisia [18]. This highlights the Rose Principle that the numerically biggest benefits will come from applying effective interventions to the largest patient groups.

Although heart failure therapies in the community had the second-largest contribution to deaths prevented or postponed, previous studies in Mexico suggest that the doses of angiotensin convert enzyme (ACE), spironolactone, and beta-blockers are not optimal [51, 52]. Revascularization from CABG and PTCA together prevented barely 300 deaths, 4% of total CHD deaths, a similar proportion to that observed in Turkey, USA and England, and Wales [2, 3, 16, 24]. Previous studies from the OECD estimated that Mexico has the lowest number of PTCA in the organization [53, 54].

All CHD models have limitations and are dependent on the quality and extent of data available. We made the best efforts to include the most representative and unbiased data available in Mexico. We performed a review to critically summarize the evidence from surveys, registries, and studies that quantified the distribution and frequency of all risk factors included in this model and of most treatment uptakes. Mexican cholesterol data from the National Health and Nutrition Surveys were lacking, we therefore extrapolated information from the Global Burden of Disease (GBD) study [55]. Furthermore, it was not possible to obtain precise data on treatment uptake in Mexico for heart failure and chronic angina treatments. We therefore strengthened our assumptions by obtaining estimates from a consensus group of experts who critically evaluated all the available evidence. The IMPACT model explained 71% of CHD mortality increases; yet, 29% remained unexplained and might reflect data limitations or other unmeasured factors. Finally, we also assumed that the efficiency of therapies in randomized controlled trials could be generalized to population effectiveness in normal clinical practice [3, 22, 36], which could lead to an overestimation of the net benefit of medical interventions.

Conclusions

Coronary heart disease mortality in Mexico is increasing due to adverse trends in major risk factors and suboptimal use of CHD treatments. Preventive efforts made so far have failed to achieve a substantial impact. Future public policies will therefore need to focus on incentivizing physical activity, strengthening tobacco control policies, promoting healthy foods and discouraging the consumption of processed foods and sugary drinks. Medical and surgical advances have helped to reduce the mortality burden in Mexico; however, their access remains limited and restricted to higher socioeconomic groups. As the country moves to increase coverage for the population [56], an equitable distribution of resources will also be crucial.

Supporting information

S1 Appendix. Main data sources for the parameters used in the Mexican IMPACT model for 2000 to 2012. (DOCX)

S2 Appendix. Clinical efficacy of interventions: Relative risk reductions obtained from meta-analyses, and randomized controlled trials. (DOCX)

S3 Appendix. Main assumptions and overlap adjustments used in the Mexican IMPACT model.

(DOCX)

S4 Appendix Specific beta coefficients or RR's for major risk factors: Data sources, values and comments.

(DOCX)

S5 Appendix. Uncertainty analysis: Parameter distributions, functions and sources. (DOCX)

Author Contributions

- **Conceptualization:** Carmen Arroyo-Quiroz, Martin O'Flaherty, Maria Guzman-Castillo, Simon Capewell, Tonatiuh Barrientos-Gutierrez.
- **Data curation:** Carmen Arroyo-Quiroz, Eduardo Chuquiure-Valenzuela, Carlos Jerjes-Sanchez.

Formal analysis: Carmen Arroyo-Quiroz.

Investigation: Tonatiuh Barrientos-Gutierrez.

Methodology: Carmen Arroyo-Quiroz, Martin O'Flaherty, Simon Capewell.

Supervision: Martin O'Flaherty, Tonatiuh Barrientos-Gutierrez.

Validation: Maria Guzman-Castillo.

Writing - original draft: Carmen Arroyo-Quiroz.

Writing – review & editing: Carmen Arroyo-Quiroz, Martin O'Flaherty, Maria Guzman-Castillo, Simon Capewell, Eduardo Chuquiure-Valenzuela, Carlos Jerjes-Sanchez, Tonatiuh Barrientos-Gutierrez.

References

- Levi F, Chatenoud L, Bertuccio P, Lucchini F, Negri E, La Vecchia C. Mortality from cardiovascular and cerebrovascular diseases in Europe and other areas of the world: an update. Eur J Cardiovasc Prev Rehabil. 2009; 16:333–50. https://doi.org/10.1097/HJR.0b013e328325d67d PMID: 19369880
- Unal B, Critchley JA, Capewell S. Explaining the decline in coronary heart disease mortality in England and Wales between 1981 and 2000. Circulation. 2004; 109:1101–7. <u>https://doi.org/10.1161/01.CIR.</u> 0000118498.35499.B2 PMID: 14993137
- Ford ES, Ajani UA, Croft JB, Critchley JA, Labarthe DR, Kottke TE, et al. Explaining the decrease in U. S. deaths from coronary disease, 1980–2000. N Engl J Med. 2007; 356:2388–98. <u>https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMsa053935</u> PMID: <u>17554120</u>
- Mirzaei M, Truswell AS, Taylor R, Leeder SR. Coronary heart disease epidemics: not all the same. Heart. 2009; 95:740–6. <u>https://doi.org/10.1136/hrt.2008.154856</u> PMID: <u>19095711</u>
- Pagan E, Chatenoud L, Rodriguez T, Bosetti C, Levi F, Malvezzi M, et al. Comparison of Trends in Mortality from Coronary Heart and Cerebrovascular Diseases in North and South America: 1980 to 2013. Am J Cardiol. 2017; 119:862–71. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amjcard.2016.11.040</u> PMID: <u>28139222</u>
- Rodriguez T, Malvezzi M, Chatenoud L, Bosetti C, Levi F, Negri E, et al. Trends in mortality from coronary heart and cerebrovascular diseases in the Americas: 1970–2000. Heart. 2006; 92:453–60. <u>https:// doi.org/10.1136/hrt.2004.059295</u> PMID: <u>16537758</u>
- 7. Secretaria de Salud M. BASES DE DATOS SOBRE DEFUNCIONES. 2019.
- Barquera S, Campos I, Rivera JA. Mexico attempts to tackle obesity: the process, results, push backs and future challenges. Obes Rev. 2013; 14 Suppl 2:69–78. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/obr.12096</u> PMID: 24103026
- Ng M, Freeman MK, Fleming TD, Robinson M, Dwyer-Lindgren L, Thomson B, et al. Smoking prevalence and cigarette consumption in 187 countries, 1980–2012. JAMA. 2014; 311:183–92. <u>https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2013.284692</u> PMID: 24399557
- Chemor Ruiz A, Ratsch AEO, Alamilla Martinez GA. Mexico's Seguro Popular: Achievements and Challenges. Health Syst Reform. 2018; 4:194–202. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/23288604.2018.1488505</u> PMID: <u>30207901</u>
- Social. CNdEdIPdD. Indicadores de acceso y uso efectivo de los servicios de salud de afiliados al Seguro Popular. México, DF: CONEVAL2014.
- Martinez-Sanchez C, Borrayo G, Carrillo J, Juarez U, Quintanilla J, Jerjes-Sanchez C, et al. Clinical management and hospital outcomes of acute coronary syndrome patients in Mexico: The Third National Registry of Acute Coronary Syndromes (RENASICA III). Arch Cardiol Mex. 2016; 86:221–32. <u>https://</u> doi.org/10.1016/j.acmx.2016.04.007 PMID: 27256475
- Jerjes-Sanchez C, Martinez-Sanchez C, Borrayo-Sanchez G, Carrillo-Calvillo J, Juarez-Herrera U, Quintanilla-Gutierrez J. Third national registry of acute coronary syndromes (RENASICA III). Arch Cardiol Mex. 2015; 85:207–14. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.acmx.2015.04.001</u> PMID: <u>26337914</u>
- Arroyo-Quiroz C, Barrientos-Gutierrez T, O'Flaherty M, Guzman-Castillo M, Palacio-Mejia L, Osorio-Saldarriaga E, et al. Coronary heart disease mortality is decreasing in Argentina, and Colombia, but keeps increasing in Mexico: a time trend study. BMC Public Health. 2020; 20:162. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-020-8297-5 PMID: 32013918</u>
- Vicens J, Perman G, Aliperti V, Masson W, Schargrodsky H, Pramparo P, et al. Analysis of the decrease in deaths due to coronary disease between 1995 and 2010. IMPACT CHD Argentina Study. Rev Fac Cien Med Univ Nac Cordoba. 2019; 76:92–100. <u>https://doi.org/10.31053/1853.0605.v76.n2.</u> 22915 PMID: <u>31216163</u>
- Critchley J, Liu J, Zhao D, Wei W, Capewell S. Explaining the increase in coronary heart disease mortality in Beijing between 1984 and 1999. Circulation. 2004; 110:1236–44. <u>https://doi.org/10.1161/01.CIR.</u> 0000140668.91896.AE PMID: <u>15337690</u>
- Capewell S, Morrison CE, McMurray JJ. Contribution of modern cardiovascular treatment and risk factor changes to the decline in coronary heart disease mortality in Scotland between 1975 and 1994. Heart. 1999; 81:380–6. https://doi.org/10.1136/hrt.81.4.380 PMID: 10092564
- Saidi O, Ben Mansour N, O'Flaherty M, Capewell S, Critchley JA, Ben Romdhane H. Analyzing recent coronary heart disease mortality trends in Tunisia between 1997 and 2009. PLoS One. 2013; 8:e63202. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0063202 PMID: 23658808
- Pereira M, Azevedo A, Lunet N, Carreira H, O'Flaherty M, Capewell S, et al. Explaining the decline in coronary heart disease mortality in Portugal between 1995 and 2008. Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes. 2013; 6:634–42. <u>https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCOUTCOMES.113.000264</u> PMID: <u>24192571</u>

- Koopman C, Vaartjes I, van Dis I, Verschuren WM, Engelfriet P, Heintjes EM, et al. Explaining the Decline in Coronary Heart Disease Mortality in the Netherlands between 1997 and 2007. PLoS One. 2016; 11:e0166139. <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0166139</u> PMID: <u>27906998</u>
- 21. Flores-Mateo G GM, O'Flaherty M, Ramos R, Elosua R, Violan-Fors C, Quesada M, et al. Analyzing the Coronary Heart Disease Mortality Decline in a Mediterranean Population: Spain 1988–2005. Rev Esp Cardiol 2011;Vol. 64 Num.11
- Vartiainen E, Puska P, Pekkanen J, Tuomilehto J, Jousilahti P. Changes in risk factors explain changes in mortality from ischaemic heart disease in Finland. BMJ. 1994; 309:23–7. <u>https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj. 309.6946.23</u> PMID: <u>8044063</u>
- Rastam S, Al Ali R, Maziak W, Mzayek F, Fouad FM, O'Flaherty M, et al. Explaining the increase in coronary heart disease mortality in Syria between 1996 and 2006. BMC Public Health. 2012; 12:754. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2458-12-754 PMID: 22958443
- Unal B, Sözmen K., Arık H., Gerçeklioğlu G., Altun D. U., Şimşek H. et al. Explaining the decline in coronary heart disease mortality in Turkey between 1995 and 2008. BMC public health. 2013; 13. <u>https:// doi.org/10.1186/1471-2458-13-1135</u> PMID: 24308515
- Unal B, Critchley JA, Capewell S. Modelling the decline in coronary heart disease deaths in England and Wales, 1981–2000: comparing contributions from primary prevention and secondary prevention. BMJ. 2005; 331:614. <u>https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.38561.633345.8F</u> PMID: <u>16107431</u>
- Bennett K, Kabir Z, Unal B, Shelley E, Critchley J, Perry I, et al. Explaining the recent decrease in coronary heart disease mortality rates in Ireland, 1985–2000. J Epidemiol Community Health. 2006; 60:322–7. <u>https://doi.org/10.1136/jech.2005.038638</u> PMID: <u>16537349</u>
- Población CNd. Tabulados. Población total por entidad federativa y grupo quinquenal de edad según sexo. In: INEGI C, editor. Mexico2018.
- 28. Salud SdIdISd. Base de datos de defunciones. In: Salud Sd, editor. Mexico.
- 29. Salud SdldlSd. Base de datos de Egresos Hospitalarios. In: Salud Sd, editor. Mexico.
- RENASICA GC. Registro Nacional de Síndromes Coronarios Agudos III. In: Cardiología SMd, editor. Mexico.
- RENASICA GC. Registro Nacional de Síndromes Coronarios Agudos I. In: Cardiología SMd, editor. | Mexico
- 32. Pública INdS. Encuesta Nacional de Salud y Nutrición 2012. In: Pública INdS, editor. Mexico2014.
- 33. Pública INdS. Encuesta Nacional de Salud y Nutrición 2000. In: Pública INdS, editor. Mexico2002.
- Hotchkiss JW, Davies CA, Dundas R, Hawkins N, Jhund PS, Scholes S, et al. Explaining trends in Scottish coronary heart disease mortality between 2000 and 2010 using IMPACTSEC model: retrospective analysis using routine data. BMJ. 2014; 348:g1088. <u>https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.g1088</u> PMID: 24503058
- Joensen AM, Joergensen T, Lundbye-Christensen S, Johansen MB, Guzman-Castillo M, Bandosz P, et al. Explaining trends in coronary heart disease mortality in different socioeconomic groups in Denmark 1991–2007 using the IMPACTSEC model. PLoS One. 2018; 13:e0194793. <u>https://doi.org/10. 1371/journal.pone.0194793</u> PMID: 29672537
- Palmieri L, Bennett K, Giampaoli S, Capewell S. Explaining the decrease in coronary heart disease mortality in Italy between 1980 and 2000. Am J Public Health. 2010; 100:684–92. <u>https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.2008.147173 PMID: 19608958</u>
- Bjorck L, Rosengren A, Bennett K, Lappas G, Capewell S. Modelling the decreasing coronary heart disease mortality in Sweden between 1986 and 2002. Eur Heart J. 2009; 30:1046–56. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehn554</u> PMID: <u>19141562</u>
- Mant J, Hicks N. Detecting differences in quality of care: the sensitivity of measures of process and outcome in treating acute myocardial infarction. BMJ. 1995; 311:793–6. <u>https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.311.</u> 7008.793 PMID: 7580444
- Bajekal M, Scholes S, Love H, Hawkins N, O'Flaherty M, Raine R, et al. Analysing recent socioeconomic trends in coronary heart disease mortality in England, 2000–2007: a population modelling study. PLoS Med. 2012; 9:e1001237. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1001237 PMID: 22719232
- 40. Ogata S, Nishimura K, Guzman-Castillo M, Sumita Y, Nakai M, Nakao YM, et al. Explaining the decline in coronary heart disease mortality rates in Japan: Contributions of changes in risk factors and evidence-based treatments between 1980 and 2012. Int J Cardiol. 2019; 291:183–8. <u>https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.ijcard.2019.02.022</u> PMID: 30826193
- Barrientos-Gutierrez T, Colchero MA, Sanchez-Romero LM, Batis C, Rivera-Dommarco J. [Position paper on taxes to non-basic energy-dense foods and sugar-sweetened beverages]. Salud Publica Mex. 2018; 60:586–91. <u>https://doi.org/10.21149/9534</u> PMID: <u>30550120</u>

- Basto-Abreu A, Torres-Alvarez R, Reyes-Sanchez F, Gonzalez-Morales R, Canto-Osorio F, Colchero MA, et al. Predicting obesity reduction after implementing warning labels in Mexico: A modeling study. PLoS Med. 2020; 17:e1003221. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1003221 PMID: <u>32722682</u>
- Marron-Ponce JA, Tolentino-Mayo L, Hernandez FM, Batis C. Trends in Ultra-Processed Food Purchases from 1984 to 2016 in Mexican Households. Nutrients. 2018; 11. <u>https://doi.org/10.3390/ nu11010045</u> PMID: 30587779
- Medina C, Janssen I, Campos I, Barquera S. Physical inactivity prevalence and trends among Mexican adults: results from the National Health and Nutrition Survey (ENSANUT) 2006 and 2012. BMC Public Health. 2013; 13:1063. <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2458-13-1063</u> PMID: <u>24215173</u>
- Curtis GL, Chughtai M, Khlopas A, Newman JM, Khan R, Shaffiy S, et al. Impact of Physical Activity in Cardiovascular and Musculoskeletal Health: Can Motion Be Medicine? J Clin Med Res. 2017; 9:375– 81. https://doi.org/10.14740/jocmr3001w PMID: 28392856
- 46. Medina C, Coxson P, Penko J, Janssen I, Bautista-Arredondo S, Barquera S, et al. Cardiovascular and diabetes burden attributable to physical inactivity in Mexico. Cardiovasc Diabetol. 2020; 19:99. <u>https:// doi.org/10.1186/s12933-020-01050-3</u> PMID: <u>32600339</u>
- Rojas-Martinez R, Basto-Abreu A, Aguilar-Salinas CA, Zarate-Rojas E, Villalpando S, Barrientos-Gutierrez T. [Prevalence of previously diagnosed diabetes mellitus in Mexico.]. Salud Publica Mex. 2018; 60:224–32. https://doi.org/10.21149/8566 PMID: 29746739
- Villalpando S, de la Cruz V, Rojas R, Shamah-Levy T, Avila MA, Gaona B, et al. Prevalence and distribution of type 2 diabetes mellitus in Mexican adult population: a probabilistic survey. Salud Publica Mex. 2010; 52 Suppl 1:S19–26. <u>https://doi.org/10.1590/s0036-36342010000700005</u> PMID: 20585724
- 49. Hernández-Ávila M, Rodríguez-Ajenjo CJ, García-Handal KM, Ibáñez-Hernández NA, Martínez-Ruiz MJ. Perspectivas para el control del tabaquismo en México: reflexiones sobre las políticas actuales y acciones futuras %J Salud Pública de México. 2007; 49:s302–s11.
- Ilarraza-Lomelí H, García-Saldivia M, Rojano-Castillo J, Justiniano S, Cerón N, Aranda-Ayala Z-L, et al. National Registry of Cardiac Rehabilitation Programs in Mexico II (RENAPREC II) %J Archivos de cardiología de México. 2017; 87:270–7.
- Alcocer-Gamba MA, Martinez-Sanchez C, Verdejo-Paris J, Ferrari R, Fox K, Greenlaw N, et al. Heart rate and use of beta-blockers in Mexican stable outpatients with coronary artery disease. Arch Cardiol Mex. 2015; 85:270–7. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.acmx.2015.01.004</u> PMID: 25921309
- Orea-Tejeda A C-ML, Ferez-Santander S, Ortega-Sánchez A. Programa Nacional de Registro de Insuficiencia Cardiaca. Resultados de un estudio multicéntrico mexicano. Med Int Mex 2004; 20: 243–52.
- 53. OECD. Health a a Glance 2015. OECD indicators. OECD Publishing Paris2015.
- 54. Secretaria de Salud. La atención del infarto agudo al miocardio en México. Estudio de oferta y demanda y análisis económico ex ante de un Programa Nacional de Reducción de la Mortalidad por Infarto Agudo al Miocardio. Ciudad de Mexico.2017.
- 55. Farzadfar F, Finucane MM, Danaei G, Pelizzari PM, Cowan MJ, Paciorek CJ, et al. National, regional, and global trends in serum total cholesterol since 1980: systematic analysis of health examination surveys and epidemiological studies with 321 country-years and 3.0 million participants. Lancet. 2011; 377:578–86. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(10)62038-7</u> PMID: <u>21295847</u>
- 56. Salud Sd. El INSABI responderá a las necesidades de salud de las y los mexicanos. In: Mexico. Gd, editor. Ciudad de Mexico, Mexico, 2019. Available at: <u>https://www.gob.mx/salud/prensa/247-el-insabi-respondera-a-las-necesidades-de-salud-de-las-y-los-mexicanos?idiom=es</u>