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#### Abstract

A pair of separable polynomials $(g, h)$ over a finite field $F$ is said to be a strong Davenport pair if $g(K)=h(K)$ for all finite extension fields $K / F$, where $g(K)=\{g(a) \mid a \in K\}$. We construct examples for which $g$ is a projective or additive polynomial, and we find a factorization of $g(x)-h(y)$. In addition, we prove that $\left|g^{-1}(a) \cap K\right|=$ $\left|h^{-1}(a) \cap K\right|$ for all $a \in K$. Though the existence of such examples was known to Fried, the explicit formulas for $g$ and $h$ are new, as are our methods of proof. This article also contains new results about additive and projective polynomials.


2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 12E10, 12E05

## 1. Introduction

Let $F$ be a finite field and $\bar{F}$ its algebraic closure. Two separable polynomials $g, h \in F[x]$ are said to be a strong Davenport pair if $g(K)=h(K)$ for all finite extensions $K / F$, where $g(K)=\{g(b) \mid b \in K\}$. We will say that $g$ and $h$ are isovalent if for any finite extension field $K / F$ and any $a \in K$,

$$
\left|g^{-1}(a) \cap K\right|=\left|h^{-1}(a) \cap K\right| .
$$

Note that $a \in g(K)$ if and only if $g^{-1}(a) \cap K$ is nonempty, so if $g, h$ are isovalent then they are a strong Davenport pair.

Let $q$ be a power of $\operatorname{char}(F)$. We adopt the notation: $\langle j\rangle=\left(q^{j}-1\right) /(q-$ $1) \in \mathbf{Z}$ if $j \geq 0$. Then $\langle 0\rangle=0,\langle 1\rangle=1$, and $\langle j\rangle=1+q+q^{2}+\cdots+q^{j-1}$ for $j \geq 2$. Note that $\langle n\rangle-\langle j\rangle=q^{j}\langle n-j\rangle$ when $n \geq j$. We now present our main result.

Theorem 1.1 Let $n \geq 2, \delta_{1}, \ldots, \delta_{n-1} \in F$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{1}(x)=x^{\langle n\rangle}+\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \delta_{j} x^{\langle j\rangle}, \quad p_{2}(x)=x^{q^{n-1}}\left(x^{\langle n-1\rangle}+\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \delta_{j}^{q^{-j}} x^{q^{n-j}\langle j-1\rangle}\right) . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $p_{1}\left(x^{m}\right)$ and $p_{2}\left(x^{m}\right)$ are isovalent, for all $m \mid(q-1)$. Further, we have a factorization

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{1}(x)-p_{2}(y)=G(x, y)\left(x G(x, y)^{q-1}-y^{q^{n-1}}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $G(x, y) \in F[x, y]$ is the polynomial of total degree $\langle n-1\rangle$ given in equation (5). (Also, $\operatorname{deg}_{x}(G)=\operatorname{deg}_{y}(G)=\langle n-1\rangle$.)

This theorem is essentially known to Fried; see [8], Section 5. In particular, Fried anticipated the factorization of $p_{1}(x)-p_{2}(y)$ and calculated the degrees of the factors, and his proof shows that the isovalency condition holds. Further insights can be found in Guralnick [10], Section 6; in particular, the isovalency condition is carefully explained both at ramified and unramified points. The polynomial $p_{1}(x)$ is called a projective polynomial and has been studied by Abhyankar. The contribution of this article is that formulas for $p_{2}(y)$ and for the factorization of $p_{1}(x)-p_{2}(y)$ are given explicitly; also the methods of proof are new. The study of pairs $(g, h)$ such that $g(x)-h(y)$ is reducible has a long history; see the articles of Cassels, Davenport, Lewis, Schinzel, Fried, Feit, Cassou-Noguès and Couveignes, and Bilu and Tichy in the bibliography. Our theorem provides examples of such factorizations.

The factors $G(x, y)$ and $H(x, y):=x G(x, y)^{q-1}-y^{q^{n-1}}$ of $p_{1}(x)-p_{2}(y)$ turn out to be absolutely irreducible in many examples. They satisfy a curious dependence: for any $a \in \bar{F}$, at least one of the polynomials $G(x, a)$ or $H(x, a)$ has a root in $K=F(a)$, because $p_{1}(x)-p_{2}(a)$ has a root in $K$. Similarly, $G(a, y)$ or $H(a, y)$ has a root in $K$.

Our proof of Theorem 1.1 is based on arithmetic properties of the roots of additive polynomials and their duals, which are derived in Section 2. For example, we prove that a separable additive or projective polynomial over a finite field $F$ has the same number of rational roots as its dual. (This is false when the field $F$ is infinite.)

Now we make some simple observations that apply generally to isovalent polynomials. We will say that two polynomials $g, h \in F[x]$ have the same factorization type if they have the same number of irreducible factors (counting repeated factors) of degree $d$, for every $d \geq 1$. An equivalent condition is that $g$ and $h$ have the same number of roots in $K$ (counting multiplicities) for every finite extension field $K / F$. Isovalent polynomials do not always have the same factorization type. The simplest example is the pair in $\mathbb{F}_{2}[x], x^{7}+x^{3}+x=x\left(x^{3}+x+1\right)^{2}$ and $x^{7}+x^{6}+x^{4}=x^{4}\left(x^{3}+x^{2}+1\right)$. These are isovalent by Theorem 1.1, but their factorization types are different. Nonetheless, it is "usually" true that isovalent polynomials have the same factorization type, in the sense of the following lemma.

Lemma 1.2 Suppose $g, h$ are isovalent. Then $g-a, h-a$ have the same factorization type over $F(a)$ for all but finitely many $a \in \bar{F}$. Thus, $g$, $h$ have the same degree.

Proof. For $f \in F[x]$ define

$$
S(f)=\{a \in \bar{F} \mid f-a \text { has a multiple root }\}=\left\{f(b) \mid b \in \bar{F}, f^{\prime}(b)=0\right\}
$$

$S=S(g) \cup S(h)$ is finite, since $g^{\prime}$ and $h^{\prime}$ have finitely many roots. Let $a \in$ $\bar{F}-S$. Since $g, h$ are isovalent, $g-a$ and $h-a$ have the same number of roots in $L$ for all finite extensions $L / F(a)$, and since they are also multiplicity-free, they have the same factorization type.

For the polynomials $p_{1}, p_{2}$ in the theorem, one can show that $p_{1}^{\prime}=p_{1} / x$ and $p_{2}^{\prime}=x^{\langle n\rangle-1}$, so $S\left(p_{1}\right)=S\left(p_{2}\right)=\{0\}$. Thus, the fact that $p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$ are isovalent implies that $p_{1}-a$ and $p_{2}-a$ have the same factorization type over $F(a)$ for all nonzero $a \in \bar{F}$. When $a=0, p_{1}-a$ and $p_{2}-a$ have different factorization types, because $\left(p_{1}, p_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\left(p_{2}, p_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ have different degrees. This phenomenon has an interesting interpretation in terms of monodromy groups. Let $z$ be transcendental over $F$. By a result of Fried ([8], Section 5), $p_{1}(x)-z$ and $p_{2}(x)-z$ have the same splitting field over $F(z)$, which we denote by $\Omega$. Let $T_{1}, T_{2}$ denote the natural permutation representations of the monodromy group $G=\operatorname{Gal}(\Omega / F(z))$ acting on the roots of $p_{1}(x)-z$, $p_{2}(x)-z$, respectively. Fried [7] showed that $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ are equivalent as representations, meaning that they have the same group characters. In particular, if $\sigma \in G$ then $T_{1}\left(\sigma^{i}\right)$ and $T_{2}\left(\sigma^{i}\right)$ have the same number of fixed points for all $i \geq 0$. Consequently, if $H$ is a cyclic subgroup of $G$, then $T_{1}(H)$ and $T_{2}(H)$ have the same number of orbits of each size. One could ask whether the same is true for noncyclic subgroups of $G$. Apparently the answer is no. Consider the example $n=3, F=\mathbb{F}_{2}, \delta_{1}=\delta_{2}=1$, so $p_{1}=x^{7}+x^{3}+x$, $p_{2}=x^{7}+x^{6}+x^{4}$. Let $H$ denote the inertia group at a place of $\Omega$ over $z=0$. It turns out that the roots of $p_{1}(x)-z$ (resp. $\left.p_{2}(x)-z\right)$ can be put into bijection with the integers from 1 to 7 in such a way that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T_{1}(H)=\{1,(23)(67),(45)(67),(23)(45)\} \\
& T_{2}(H)=\{1,(45)(67),(46)(57),(47)(56)\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $T_{1}(H)$ contains one fixed point and three orbits of size two, while $T_{2}(H)$ contains three fixed points and one orbit of size four. It would be interesting to compare the orbits of inertia groups for other isovalent pairs of polynomials. See Guralnick [10], Section 6 for further insights.

## 2. Adjoints of Additive Polynomials

This section contains new results about the relation between the roots of an additive or projective polynomial and the roots of its dual. These results are of independent interest, but also they are central to the proof that $p_{1}\left(x^{m}\right)$ and $p_{2}\left(x^{m}\right)$ are isovalent.

As before, let $F$ be a finite field and $q$ a power of $p=\operatorname{char}(F)$. Let $a_{0}, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n} \in F, a_{0} a_{n} \neq 0$. Define $f_{1}, f_{2}, \bar{f}_{1}$, and $\bar{f}_{2}$ by the formulas:

$$
f_{1}(x)=\sum_{i=0}^{n} a_{i} x^{q^{i}}, \quad f_{2}(x)=\sum_{i=0}^{n}\left(a_{n-i} x\right)^{q^{i}}, \quad \bar{f}_{i}\left(x^{q-1}\right)=f_{i}(x) / x
$$

The polynomial $f_{1}(x)$ is called a $\mathbb{F}_{q^{-}}$-additive polynomial because it is $\mathbb{F}_{q^{-}}$ linear as a function on the algebraic closure $\bar{F}$. The polynomial $f_{2}$ is called
the adjoint of $f_{1}$ and was studied by Oystein Ore [11] in the 1930's. The polynomials $\bar{f}_{1}$ and $\bar{f}_{2}$ are called projective polynomials, because their geometric monodromy groups are contained in the projective linear group $\operatorname{PGL}(n, q)$. Note that $f_{1}, f_{2}$ have no multiple roots, since their derivatives are nonzero constants. It follows easily that $\bar{f}_{i}\left(x^{m}\right)$ has no multiple roots, for any $m \mid(q-1)$.

We are indebted to John Dillon, who provided the proof of the following lemma in the case $c=1$. The proof is perhaps more interesting than the lemma itself!

Lemma 2.1 Let $c \in \bar{F}$, and suppose $c^{q-1} \in F^{\times}$. Then the number of roots of $f_{1}$ in $c F$ is equal to the number of roots of $f_{2}$ in $c^{-1} F$.

Proof. Consider $\tau: \bar{F} \rightarrow \bar{F}$, defined by $\tau(x)=x^{q}$. Then $\tau: c F \rightarrow c F$, for if $\lambda \in F$ then $c^{-1} \tau(c \lambda)=c^{q-1} \lambda^{q} \in F$. Likewise $\tau: c^{-1} F \rightarrow c^{-1} F$. Define $T: c F \rightarrow c F$ by $T(x)=\sum a_{i} \tau^{i}(x)$, and define $S: F \rightarrow F$ by $S=c^{-1} \circ T \circ c$. Define $T^{*}: c^{-1} F \rightarrow c^{-1} F$ by $T^{*}(x)=\tau^{-n} \circ \sum\left(a_{n-i}\right)^{q^{i}} \tau^{i}(x)$, and $S^{*}: F \rightarrow F$ by $S^{*}=c \circ T^{*} \circ c^{-1}$. All these maps are $\mathbb{F}_{p}$-linear, since $\tau$ is $\mathbb{F}_{p}$-linear. Consider the nondegenerate bilinear pairing on $F \times F$ given by $\langle x, y\rangle=\operatorname{Tr}(x y)$, where $\operatorname{Tr}$ denotes the absolute trace. For $(x, y) \in F \times F$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle S x, y\rangle & =\operatorname{Tr}\left(c^{-1} T(c x) y\right) \\
& =\operatorname{Tr}\left(\sum a_{i}(c x)^{q^{i}} c^{-1} y\right) \\
& =\operatorname{Tr} \sum\left(a_{i}(c x)^{q^{i}} c^{-1} y\right)^{q^{-i}} \\
& =\operatorname{Tr}\left(\sum c x\left(a_{i} c^{-1} y\right)^{q^{-i}}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{Tr}\left(c x \sum\left(a_{n-i} c^{-1} y\right)^{q^{i-n}}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{Tr}\left(x c T^{*}\left(c^{-1} y\right)\right) \\
& =\left\langle x, S^{*} y\right\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

This shows that $S$ and $S^{*}$ are adjoints of one another, hence have the same rank as endomorphisms of $F$ over $\mathbb{F}_{p}$. Then $\operatorname{Ker}(S)$ and $\operatorname{Ker}\left(S^{*}\right)$ have the same dimension over $\mathbb{F}_{p}$. $\operatorname{But} \operatorname{Ker}(S)=\left\{a \in F \mid f_{1}(c a)=0\right\}$ and $\operatorname{Ker}\left(S^{*}\right)=$ $\left\{a \in F \mid f_{2}\left(c^{-1} a\right)=0\right\}$. Thus, the number of roots of $f_{1}$ in $c F$ equals the number of roots of $f_{2}$ in $c^{-1} F$.

Theorem 2.2 The additive polynomials $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ have the same factorization type. Also, $\bar{f}_{1}\left(x^{m}\right)$ and $\bar{f}_{2}\left(x^{m}\right)$ have the same factorization type whenever $m \mid(q-1)$.

Proof. Let $K$ be any finite extension field of $F$. By replacing $F$ with $K$ in Lemma 2.1, and setting $c=1$, we see that $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ have the same number of roots in $K$, hence they have the same factorization type.

Let $m \ell=q-1$, and set $h_{1}=\bar{f}_{1}\left(x^{m}\right), h_{2}=\bar{f}_{2}\left(x^{m}\right)$; we will prove $h_{1}, h_{2}$ have the same factorization type. It suffices to prove they have the same number of roots in $K$, counting multiplicities. Since $h_{1}$ and $h_{2}$ have no multiple roots, it suffices to prove

$$
\left|h_{1}^{-1}(0) \cap K\right|=\left|h_{2}^{-1}(0) \cap K\right| .
$$

Suppose $r_{0}$ is a root of $h_{i}$, where $i \in\{1,2\}$. Then $r_{0} \neq 0$. There are $\ell$ distinct solutions in $\bar{F}$ to $r^{\ell}=r_{0}$, and for each such $r$, we have $f_{i}(r)=$ $r \bar{f}_{i}\left(r^{m \ell}\right)=r h_{i}\left(r_{0}\right)=0$. Clearly $r_{0} \in K^{\times}$if and only if $r \in K_{\ell}$, where

$$
K_{\ell}=\left\{c \in \bar{F} \mid c^{\ell} \in K^{\times}\right\}
$$

Thus, there is an $\ell$ to 1 correspondence between roots of $f_{i}$ which belong to $K_{\ell}$ and roots of $h_{i}$ which belong to $K$, i.e.,

$$
\left|f_{i}^{-1}(0) \cap K_{\ell}\right|=\ell\left|h_{i}^{-1}(0) \cap K\right|, \quad i=1,2 .
$$

Let $R$ be a complete set of coset representatives for $K_{\ell} / K^{\times}$. Then $K_{\ell}$ is a disjoint union:

$$
K_{\ell}=\cup_{c \in R} c K^{\times}=\cup_{c \in R} c^{-1} K^{\times} .
$$

We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\ell \cdot\left|h_{1}^{-1}(0) \cap K\right| & =\left|f_{1}^{-1}(0) \cap K_{\ell}\right| \\
& =\sum_{c \in R}\left|f_{1}^{-1}(0) \cap c K^{\times}\right| \\
& =\sum_{c \in R}\left|f_{2}^{-1}(0) \cap c^{-1} K^{\times}\right| \quad \text { by Lemma } 2.1 \\
& =\left|f_{2}^{-1}(0) \cap K_{\ell}\right| \\
& =\ell \cdot\left|h_{2}^{-1}(0) \cap K\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

We remark that Theorem 2.2 is false when $f_{1}, f_{2}$ are defined over an infinite field $F$. Bjorn Poonen supplied a counterexample over the rational function field $\mathbb{F}_{3}(a)$ with $a$ transcendental: the function $f_{1}=x^{9}+a x^{3}-(a+$ 1) $x$ vanishes at $x=0,1$, but $f_{2}=x+a^{3} x^{3}-(a+1)^{9} x^{9}$ has only one root $(x=0)$ in $\mathbb{F}_{3}(a)$. Nonetheless, it is true in general that $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ have the same splitting field; see Goss [9], Theorem 1.7.11. We also remark that (for a general field $F$ of finite characteristic) Elkies and Poonen independently found a nondegenerate, bilinear, Galois-invariant pairing between the vector space spanned by the roots of $f_{1}$ and the vector space spanned by the roots of $f_{2}$ (see [9], Definition 4.14.5). There is a different proof of Lemma 2.1 using their pairing instead of the trace form.

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Proof that $p_{1}\left(x^{m}\right), p_{2}\left(x^{m}\right)$ are isovalent. Let $K$ be a finite extension of $F$. For $a \in K$ and $f \in K[x]$, let $Z_{K}(f, a)$ denote the set of roots of $f(x)-a$ in $K$. We need to prove that if $m \mid(q-1)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|Z_{K}\left(p_{1}\left(x^{m}\right), a\right)\right|=\left|Z_{K}\left(p_{2}\left(x^{m}\right), a\right)\right| \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $a \in K$. In general, $\left|Z_{K}(f, 0)\right|=|K|-\sum_{a \in K^{\times}}\left|Z_{K}(f, a)\right|$. For this reason, it will suffice to show that (3) holds when $a \neq 0$. So, let $0 \neq a \in K$, and define $\bar{f}_{1}, \bar{f}_{2} \in K[x]$ by

$$
\bar{f}_{1}(x)=x^{\langle n\rangle}-a+\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \delta_{j} x^{\langle j\rangle}, \quad \bar{f}_{2}(x)=-a x^{\langle n\rangle}+1+\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \delta_{j}^{q^{-j}} x^{\langle n-j\rangle} .
$$

Then $x \bar{f}_{2}\left(x^{q-1}\right)$ is the additive polynomial $-a x^{q^{n}}+x+\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \delta_{j}^{q^{-j}} x^{q^{n-j}}$, and $x \bar{f}_{1}\left(x^{q-1}\right)$ is the dual polynomial. Theorem 2.2 implies that $\bar{f}_{1}\left(x^{m}\right)$ and $\bar{f}_{2}\left(x^{m}\right)$ have the same number of roots in $K$ counting multiplicities. Since all the roots of these polynomials are simple,

$$
\left|Z_{K}\left(\bar{f}_{1}\left(x^{m}\right), 0\right)\right|=\left|Z_{K}\left(\bar{f}_{2}\left(x^{m}\right), 0\right)\right| .
$$

Clearly $\left|Z_{K}\left(\bar{f}_{1}\left(x^{m}\right), 0\right)\right|=\left|Z_{K}\left(p_{1}\left(x^{m}\right), a\right)\right|$, since $\bar{f}_{1}=p_{1}-a$. Thus,

$$
\left|Z_{K}\left(p_{1}\left(x^{m}\right), a\right)\right|=\left|Z_{K}\left(\bar{f}_{2}\left(x^{m}\right), 0\right)\right|
$$

To prove (3), we just need to show

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|Z_{K}\left(\bar{f}_{2}\left(x^{m}\right), 0\right)\right|=\left|Z_{K}\left(p_{2}\left(x^{m}\right), a\right)\right| \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\bar{f}_{2}^{\text {rev }}(x)=x^{\langle n\rangle} \bar{f}_{2}(1 / x)$, the reverse of $\bar{f}_{2}$. Since the roots of $\bar{f}_{2}$ are nonzero, $\bar{f}_{2}\left(x^{m}\right)$ has the same number of rational roots as $\bar{f}_{2}^{\text {rev }}\left(x^{m}\right)$. A simple calculation using the identities $\langle n\rangle=q^{n-1}+\langle n-1\rangle$ and $q^{n-j}\langle j-1\rangle=$ $\langle n-1\rangle-\langle n-j\rangle$ shows that $\bar{f}_{2}^{\text {rev }}(x)=p_{2}(x)-a$, thus (4) holds as required.

Factorization of $p_{1}(x)-p_{2}(y)$ : Define $R_{i}(y) \in F[y]$ for $0 \leq i<n$ and $G(x, y) \in F[x, y]$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{i}(y)=y^{\langle i\rangle}+\sum_{j=1}^{i} \delta_{n-j}^{q^{j-n}} y^{q^{j}\langle i-j\rangle}, \quad G(x, y)=\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} R_{n-1-j}(y)^{q^{j}} x^{\langle j\rangle} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $R_{0}=1$ and $R_{i}(y)=y^{q^{i-1}} R_{i-1}(y)+\delta_{n-i}^{q^{i-n}}$ when $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. We
have

$$
\begin{aligned}
x G(x, y)^{q} & =\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} R_{n-1-j}(y)^{q^{j+1}} x^{\langle j+1\rangle} \\
& =x^{\langle n\rangle}+\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} R_{n-j}(y)^{q^{j}} x^{\langle j\rangle} \\
& =x^{\langle n\rangle}+\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\left(y^{q^{n-j-1}} R_{n-j-1}+\delta_{j}^{q^{-j}}\right)^{q^{j}} x^{\langle j\rangle} \\
& =y^{q^{n-1}} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} R_{n-j-1}(y)^{q^{j}} x^{\langle j\rangle}+p_{1}(x) \\
& =y^{q^{n-1}}\left(G(x, y)-R_{n-1}(y)\right)+p_{1}(x) \\
& =y^{q^{n-1}} G(x, y)-p_{2}(y)+p_{1}(x) .
\end{aligned}
$$
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