

## Explicit solutions to the semi-discrete modified KdV equation and motion of discrete plane curves

Inoguchi, Jun-ichi  
Department of Mathematical Sciences, Yamagata University

Kajiwara, Kenji  
Faculty of Mathematics, Kyushu University

Matsuura, Nozomu  
Department of Applied Mathematics, Fukuoka University

Ohta, Yasuhiro  
Department of Mathematics, Kobe University

<http://hdl.handle.net/2324/19911>

---

出版情報 : MI Preprint Series. 2011-14, 2011-08-05. Faculty of Mathematics, Kyushu University  
バージョン :  
権利関係 :



**MI Preprint Series**  
Kyushu University  
The Global COE Program  
Math-for-Industry Education & Research Hub

**Explicit solutions to the  
semi-discrete modified KdV  
equation and motion of discrete  
plane curves**

**Jun-ichi Inoguchi,  
Kenji Kajiwara,  
Nozomu Matsuura and  
Yasuhiro Ohta**

**MI 2011-14**

( Received August 5, 2011 )

Faculty of Mathematics  
Kyushu University  
Fukuoka, JAPAN

# Explicit Solutions to the Semi-discrete Modified KdV Equation and Motion of Discrete Plane Curves

Jun-ichi Inoguchi<sup>1</sup>, Kenji Kajiwara<sup>2</sup>, Nozomu Matsuura<sup>3</sup> and Yasuhiro Ohta<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>: Department of Mathematical Sciences, Yamagata University,  
1-4-12 Kojirakawa-machi, Yamagata 990-8560, Japan.  
inoguchi@sci.kj.yamagata-u.ac.jp

<sup>2</sup>: Institute of Mathematics for Industry, Kyushu University,  
744 Motoooka, Fukuoka 819-8581, Japan.  
kaji@imi.kyushu-u.ac.jp

<sup>3</sup>: Department of Applied Mathematics, Fukuoka University,  
Nanakuma, Fukuoka 814-0180, Japan.  
nozomu@fukuoka-u.ac.jp

<sup>4</sup>: Department of Mathematics, Kobe University, Rokko, Kobe 657-8501, Japan.  
ohta@math.sci.kobe-u.ac.jp

August 5, 2011

## Abstract

We construct explicit solutions to continuous motion of discrete plane curves described by a semi-discrete potential modified KdV equation. Explicit formulas in terms the  $\tau$  function are presented. Bäcklund transformations of the discrete curves are also discussed. We finally consider the continuous limit of discrete motion of discrete plane curves described by the discrete potential modified KdV equation to motion of smooth plane curves characterized by the potential modified KdV equation.

**2010 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 53A04, 37K25, 37K10, 35Q53.

## Keywords and Phrases:

discrete curves; discrete motion; discrete potential mKdV equation; discrete integrable systems;  $\tau$  function; Bäcklund transformation.

## 1 Introduction

As is well known, many integrable partial differential equations (integrable systems) have close relationship to differential geometry. In fact, surfaces of specific curvature property in 3-dimensional space forms have sine-Gordon type equation as the integrability condition of surfaces. More generally, harmonic maps of conformal 2-manifolds into semi-Riemannian symmetric spaces are constructed by solutions to 2-dimensional Toda lattice equation (2DTL).

Transformation of solutions to integrable systems have origins in classical differential geometry. The Bäcklund transformation of the sine-Gordon equation are originally formulated as transformations of pseudo-spherical surfaces in Euclidean 3-space.

On the other hand, substantial progress has been made in the study of discretization of integrable systems preserving “integrable structure”. Motivated by extensive study on discrete integrable systems, discretizations of curves and surfaces have been recently studied actively.

This paper concerns with geometry of discrete curves in terms of semi-discrete integrable systems. In [3–5], Doliwa and Santini introduced continuous motion of discrete curves in 3-sphere described by the Ablowitz-Ladik hierarchy [2]. The semi-discrete potential mKdV equation was deduced as the simplest case. Hoffmann and Kutz [13] introduced the notion of discrete curvature for plane discrete curves. Using the discrete curvature, they deduced the semi-discrete mKdV equation from continuous motion of plane discrete curves.

In our previous works [14, 17], we have studied discrete motions of plane discrete curves in purely Euclidean geometric manner. The compatibility condition of a discrete motion is the discrete potential mKdV equation proposed by Hirota [8]. In discrete differential geometric setting, the primal geometric object is the potential function rather than curvature (see [17]). Note that potential function coincides with the turning angle function in smooth curve theory. We have constructed explicit solutions of discrete motions of plane discrete curves in [14].

As a continuation of the previous works, in this paper we study continuous motions of plane discrete curves in terms of potential function. The purpose of the present paper is to construct explicit solutions to continuous motions of plane discrete curves by using the so-called  $\tau$  function. Moreover we shall give Bäcklund transformations of continuous motions of plane discrete curves. The discrete curvature functions and the semi-discrete mKdV equation discussed in [13] are recovered from our results.

We have been working on three categories of curves motions: (1) continuous motions of plane smooth curves, (2) continuous motions of plane discrete curves and (3) discrete motions of plane discrete curves. In this paper we investigate the relationship of these three motions, and show that these motions are connected by appropriate continuous limiting procedure.

This paper is organized as follows. After recalling the requisite facts on the geometry of plane discrete curves and their continuous motion in Section 2, we prepare a representation formula for continuous motion of plane discrete curves in terms of  $\tau$  function. This representation enable us to give explicit parametrization of motions determined by multi-solitons as well as multi-breathers in the next Section 4.

As we have mentioned before, Bäcklund transformation is a fundamental and effective tool for construction of solutions. In Section 5, we extend Bäcklund transformations of plane discrete curves studied in our previous work [14] to those of continuous motions. In particular, we give a new formula for Bäcklund transformations on the semi-discrete potential mKdV equation.

In the final section, we shall discuss continuous limits of motions of plane discrete curves. More precisely, first we shall investigate continuous limits of discrete motions of plane discrete curves to continuous motion of those. Next we study continuous limits of continuous motions of plane discrete curves to continuous motions of plane smooth curves. It should be emphasized that these limiting procedure preserve solutions of equations. More precisely, we shall show that these limiting procedure preserve soliton type solutions. This is confirmed by careful analysis of  $\tau$  functions. Appendix will be devoted to detailed computations of bilinear equations for our use.

In a separate publication [6], we study discrete hodograph transformations and apply those to obtain discretizations of some integrable systems associated with continuous motions of plane smooth curves.

## 2 Continuous Motion of Plane Discrete Curves

We start with the following definition.

**Definition 2.1** A map  $\gamma : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ ;  $l \mapsto \gamma_l$  is said to be a *discrete curve* of constant segment length  $\epsilon$  if

$$\left| \frac{\gamma_{l+1} - \gamma_l}{\epsilon} \right| = 1. \quad (2.1)$$

We introduce the *angle function*  $\psi_l$  of a discrete curve  $\gamma$  by

$$\frac{\gamma_{l+1} - \gamma_l}{\epsilon} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \psi_l \\ \sin \psi_l \end{bmatrix}. \quad (2.2)$$

A discrete curve  $\gamma$  satisfies

$$\frac{\gamma_{l+1} - \gamma_l}{\epsilon} = R(K_l) \frac{\gamma_l - \gamma_{l-1}}{\epsilon}, \quad (2.3)$$

for  $K_l = \psi_l - \psi_{l-1}$ , where  $R(K_l)$  denotes the rotation matrix given by

$$R(K_l) = \begin{bmatrix} \cos K_l & -\sin K_l \\ \sin K_l & \cos K_l \end{bmatrix}. \quad (2.4)$$

We consider the following motion of discrete curves:

$$\frac{d\gamma_l}{ds} = \frac{1}{\cos \frac{K_l}{2}} R\left(-\frac{K_l}{2}\right) \frac{\gamma_{l+1} - \gamma_l}{\epsilon}. \quad (2.5)$$

Then from the compatibility condition of (2.3) and (2.5), there exists a potential function  $\theta_l$  such that

$$\psi_l = \frac{\theta_{l+1} + \theta_l}{2}, \quad K_l = \frac{\theta_{l+1} - \theta_{l-1}}{2}, \quad (2.6)$$

and it follows that from the isoperimetric condition (2.1) that  $\theta_l$  satisfies

$$\frac{d\theta_l}{ds} = \frac{2}{\epsilon} \tan\left(\frac{\theta_{l+1} - \theta_{l-1}}{4}\right). \quad (2.7)$$

Equation (2.7) is called the *semi-discrete potential modified KdV (mKdV) equation*.

Hoffmann and Kutz [12, 13] introduced (2.5) as the edge tangential flow of discrete plane curves, which was deduced by discretizing the curvature function of motion of plane smooth curves. We note that Doliwa and Santini formulated in [3–5] the integrable motion of discrete curves in 3-sphere described by the Ablowitz-Ladik hierarchy, where the semi-discrete potential mKdV equation (2.7) arises as the simplest case. Their formulation includes the motion of plane curves as a limiting case.

### 3 Representation Formula in terms of $\tau$ Function

In this section, we present a representation formula for curve motions in terms of  $\tau$  function. We also give explicit  $\tau$  functions which correspond to soliton and breather solutions.

Let  $\tau_l = \tau_l(s; y)$  be a complex function dependent on the discrete variable  $l$  and two continuous variables  $s$  and  $y$ , satisfying the following system of bilinear equations:

$$D_s \tau_l \cdot \tau_l^* = \frac{1}{2\epsilon} (\tau_{l-1}^* \tau_{l+1} - \tau_{l+1}^* \tau_{l-1}), \quad (3.1)$$

$$\tau_l \tau_l^* = \frac{1}{2} (\tau_{l-1}^* \tau_{l+1} + \tau_{l+1}^* \tau_{l-1}), \quad (3.2)$$

$$\frac{1}{2} D_s D_y \tau_l \cdot \tau_l = -\tau_{l+1}^* \tau_{l-1}^*, \quad (3.3)$$

$$D_y \tau_{l+1} \cdot \tau_l = -\epsilon \tau_{l+1}^* \tau_l^*. \quad (3.4)$$

Here,  $*$  denotes the complex conjugate,  $D_s, D_y$  are the Hirota's *bilinear differential operators* ( $D$ -operators) defined by

$$D_s^i D_y^j f \cdot g = (\partial_s - \partial_{s'})^i (\partial_y - \partial_{y'})^j f(s, y) g(s', y') \Big|_{s=s', y=y'}. \quad (3.5)$$

We refer to [9] for calculus of  $D$ -operators. The functions satisfying the bilinear equations are called the  $\tau$  functions.

**Theorem 3.1** *Let  $\tau_l$  be a solution to eqs.(3.1)–(3.4). Define a real function  $\theta_l(s; y)$  and an  $\mathbb{R}^2$ -valued function  $\gamma_l(s; y)$  by*

$$\theta_l(s; y) := \frac{2}{\sqrt{-1}} \log \frac{\tau_l}{\tau_l^*}, \quad (3.6)$$

$$\gamma_l(s; y) := \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{2} (\log \tau_l \tau_l^*)_y \\ \frac{1}{2\sqrt{-1}} \left( \log \frac{\tau_l}{\tau_l^*} \right)_y \end{bmatrix}. \quad (3.7)$$

*Then for any  $s, y \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ , the functions  $\theta_l = \theta_l(s; y)$  and  $\gamma_l = \gamma_l(s; y)$  satisfy (2.1), (2.3) (2.5) and (2.7).*

**Proof.** Express  $\gamma_l = {}^t(X_l, Y_l)$ . From (3.4) and its complex conjugate we have

$$\left( \log \frac{\tau_{l+1}}{\tau_l} \right)_y = -\epsilon \frac{\tau_{l+1}^* \tau_l^*}{\tau_{l+1} \tau_l}, \quad \left( \log \frac{\tau_{l+1}^*}{\tau_l^*} \right)_y = -\epsilon \frac{\tau_{l+1} \tau_l}{\tau_{l+1}^* \tau_l^*}. \quad (3.8)$$

Adding these two equations we obtain

$$(\log \tau_{l+1} \tau_{l+1}^*)_y - (\log \tau_l \tau_l^*)_y = -\epsilon \left( \frac{\tau_{l+1}^* \tau_l^*}{\tau_{l+1} \tau_l} + \frac{\tau_{l+1} \tau_l}{\tau_{l+1}^* \tau_l^*} \right), \quad (3.9)$$

which yields

$$\frac{X_{l+1} - X_l}{\epsilon} = \cos \psi_l, \quad \psi_l = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-1}} \log \left( \frac{\tau_{l+1} \tau_l}{\tau_{l+1}^* \tau_l^*} \right) = \frac{\theta_{l+1} + \theta_l}{2}. \quad (3.10)$$

Subtracting the second equation from the first equation in eq.(3.8) we have

$$\frac{Y_{l+1} - Y_l}{\epsilon} = \sin \psi_l.$$

Therefore we obtain

$$\frac{\gamma_{l+1} - \gamma_l}{\epsilon} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \psi_l \\ \sin \psi_l \end{bmatrix}. \quad (3.11)$$

which gives eq.(2.1). Next, from eq.(3.11) we see that

$$\frac{\gamma_{l+1} - \gamma_l}{\epsilon} = R(\psi_l - \psi_{l-1}) \frac{\gamma_l - \gamma_{l-1}}{\epsilon}, \quad \psi_l - \psi_{l-1} = \frac{\theta_{l+1} - \theta_{l-1}}{2} = K_l, \quad (3.12)$$

which is nothing but eq.(2.3). In order to show (2.5), we identify  $\mathbb{R}^2$  as  $\mathbb{C}$ . Then by using (2.2) and (2.6), we see that (2.5) is rewritten as

$$\cos \frac{K_l}{2} \dot{\gamma}_l = e^{-\sqrt{-1} \frac{K_l}{2}} \frac{\gamma_{l+1} - \gamma_l}{\epsilon} = e^{\sqrt{-1} \frac{\theta_{l+1} + 2\theta_l + \theta_{l-1}}{4}}. \quad (3.13)$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \cos \frac{K_l}{2} &= \frac{1}{2} \left[ e^{\sqrt{-1} \frac{\theta_{l+1} - \theta_{l-1}}{4}} + e^{-\sqrt{-1} \frac{\theta_{l+1} - \theta_{l-1}}{4}} \right] = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \left( \frac{\tau_{l+1} \tau_{l-1}^*}{\tau_{l+1}^* \tau_{l-1}} \right)^{1/2} + \left( \frac{\tau_{l+1}^* \tau_{l-1}}{\tau_{l+1} \tau_{l-1}^*} \right)^{1/2} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{\tau_{l+1} \tau_{l-1}^* + \tau_{l-1} \tau_{l+1}^*}{|\tau_{l+1} \tau_{l-1}|} = \frac{\tau_l \tau_l^*}{|\tau_{l+1} \tau_{l-1}|}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

where we have used (3.2). Noticing that

$$\gamma_l = X_l + \sqrt{-1} Y_l = -(\log \tau_l^*)_y, \quad (3.15)$$

the left hand side of (3.13) can be rewritten by using (3.3) as

$$\begin{aligned} \cos \frac{K_l}{2} \frac{d\gamma_l}{ds} &= \frac{\tau_l \tau_l^*}{|\tau_{l+1} \tau_{l-1}|} \times (-1) (\log \tau_l^*)_{y,s} = -\frac{\tau_l \tau_l^*}{|\tau_{l+1} \tau_{l-1}|} \frac{\frac{1}{2} D_s D_y \tau_l^* \cdot \tau_l^*}{(\tau_l^*)^2} = \frac{\tau_{l+1} \tau_{l-1}}{|\tau_{l+1} \tau_{l-1}|} \frac{\tau_l}{\tau_l^*} \\ &= e^{\sqrt{-1} \frac{\theta_{l+1} + 2\theta_l + \theta_{l-1}}{4}}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies (3.13). Finally, the semi-discrete potential mKdV equation (2.7) can be derived by dividing (3.1) by (3.2).  $\square$

## 4 Explicit Solutions

We now present explicit formulas for the  $\tau$  function which correspond to multi-soliton and multi-breather solutions to the bilinear equations, respectively.

**Theorem 4.1** For  $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ , consider the  $\tau$  function

$$\tau_l(s; y) = \exp[-(s + \epsilon l)y] \det \left( f_{j-1}^{(i)} \right)_{i,j=1,\dots,N}, \quad (4.1)$$

$$f_n^{(i)} = \alpha_i p_i^n (1 - \epsilon p_i)^{-l} e^{\frac{p_i}{1-\epsilon^2 p_i^2} s + \frac{1}{p_i} y} + \beta_i (-p_i)^n (1 + \epsilon p_i)^{-l} e^{-\frac{p_i}{1-\epsilon^2 p_i^2} s - \frac{1}{p_i} y}, \quad (4.2)$$

where  $\alpha_i, \beta_i$  and  $p_i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, N$ ) are parameters.

(1) Choosing the parameters as

$$p_i, \alpha_i \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \beta_i \in \sqrt{-1}\mathbb{R} \quad (i = 1, \dots, N), \quad (4.3)$$

then  $\tau_l$  satisfies the bilinear equations (3.1)–(3.4). This gives the  $N$ -soliton solution to (2.7).

(2) Taking  $N = 2M$ , and choosing the parameters as

$$\begin{aligned} p_i, \alpha_i, \beta_i \in \mathbb{C} \quad (i = 1, \dots, 2M), \quad p_{2r} = p_{2r-1}^* \quad (r = 1, \dots, M), \\ \alpha_{2r} = \alpha_{2r-1}^*, \quad \beta_{2r} = -\beta_{2r-1}^* \quad (r = 1, \dots, M), \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

then  $\tau_l$  satisfies the bilinear equations (3.1)–(3.4). This gives the  $M$ -breather solution to (2.7).

In order to prove Theorem 4.1, we first consider the following “generic”  $\tau$  function and system of bilinear equations. Then Theorem 4.1 is derived by applying the reduction.

**Proposition 4.2** Let  $\sigma_{l,m}^k = \sigma_{l,m}^k(u, v; y)$  is a function depending on three discrete independent variables  $k, l, m \in \mathbb{Z}$  and three continuous independent variables  $u, v, y \in \mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$\sigma_{l,m}^k(u, v; y) = \det \left( f_{k+j-1}^{(i)}(l, m) \right)_{i,j=1,\dots,N}, \quad (4.5)$$

$$f_k^{(i)}(l, m) = \alpha_i p_i^k (1 - ap_i)^{-l} (1 - bp_i)^{-m} e^{\frac{u}{1-ap_i} + \frac{v}{1-bp_i} + \frac{1}{p_i} y} + \beta_i q_i^k (1 - aq_i)^{-l} (1 - bq_i)^{-m} e^{\frac{u}{1-aq_i} + \frac{v}{1-bq_i} + \frac{1}{q_i} y} \quad (4.6)$$

where  $a, b, \alpha_i, \beta_i, p_i$  and  $q_i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, N$ ) are parameters. Then  $\sigma_{l,m}^k$  satisfies the following bilinear equations:

$$(D_u - 1) \sigma_{l,m}^{k-1} \cdot \sigma_{l,m}^k = -\sigma_{l+1,m}^k \sigma_{l-1,m}^{k-1}, \quad (4.7)$$

$$(D_v - 1) \sigma_{l,m}^{k-1} \cdot \sigma_{l,m}^k = -\sigma_{l,m+1}^k \sigma_{l,m-1}^{k-1}, \quad (4.8)$$

$$b\sigma_{l,m+1}^{k+1} \sigma_{l+1,m}^k - a\sigma_{l+1,m}^{k+1} \sigma_{l,m+1}^k + (a - b)\sigma_{l+1,m+1}^{k+1} \sigma_{l,m}^k = 0, \quad (4.9)$$

$$\frac{1}{2} D_u D_y \sigma_{l,m}^k \cdot \sigma_{l,m}^k = a(\sigma_{l,m}^k)^2 - a\sigma_{l+1,m}^{k+1} \sigma_{l-1,m}^{k-1}, \quad (4.10)$$

$$\frac{1}{2} D_v D_y \sigma_{l,m}^k \cdot \sigma_{l,m}^k = b(\sigma_{l,m}^k)^2 - b\sigma_{l,m+1}^{k+1} \sigma_{l,m-1}^{k-1}, \quad (4.11)$$

$$(D_y - a) \sigma_{l+1,m}^k \cdot \sigma_{l,m}^k = -a\sigma_{l+1,m}^{k+1} \sigma_{l,m}^{k-1}. \quad (4.12)$$

**Proof of Theorem 4.1** We show that Theorem 4.1 holds from Proposition 4.2. We impose the reduction conditions on  $\sigma_{l,m}^k$  as

$$\sigma_{l+1,m+1}^k = B\sigma_{l,m}^k, \quad (4.13)$$

$$\sigma_{l,m}^{k+1} = C\sigma_{l,m}^{*k}, \quad C \in \mathbb{R} \quad (4.14)$$



where  $B, C$  are constants. Then putting  $b = -a$ , the bilinear equations (4.7)–(4.12) are reduced to

$$(D_u - 1) \sigma_l^* \cdot \sigma_l = -\sigma_{l+1} \sigma_{l-1}^*, \quad (4.15)$$

$$(D_v - 1) \sigma_l^* \cdot \sigma_l = -\sigma_{l-1} \sigma_{l+1}^*, \quad (4.16)$$

$$\sigma_{l-1}^* \sigma_{l+1} + \sigma_{l+1}^* \sigma_{l-1} - 2\sigma_l^* \sigma_l = 0, \quad (4.17)$$

$$\frac{1}{2} D_u D_y \sigma_l \cdot \sigma_l = a(\sigma_l)^2 - a\sigma_{l+1}^* \sigma_{l-1}^*, \quad (4.18)$$

$$\frac{1}{2} D_v D_y \sigma_l \cdot \sigma_l = -a(\sigma_l)^2 + a\sigma_{l-1}^* \sigma_{l+1}^*, \quad (4.19)$$

$$(D_y - a) \sigma_{l+1} \cdot \sigma_l = -a\sigma_{l+1}^* \sigma_l^*, \quad (4.20)$$

respectively. Here we have used (4.13) and (4.14) to eliminate the  $m$ - and  $k$ -dependence, respectively, and denoted  $\sigma_{l,m}^k = \sigma_l$ . We next consider the specialization of continuous independent variables

$$u = cs, \quad v = -cs, \quad c \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (4.21)$$

Then, subtracting (4.16) from (4.15) we have

$$D_s \sigma_l^* \cdot \sigma_l = c(\sigma_{l-1} \sigma_{l+1}^* - \sigma_{l+1} \sigma_{l-1}^*). \quad (4.22)$$

Similarly, we get from (4.18) and (4.19)

$$D_s D_y \sigma_l \cdot \sigma_l = 4ac \left\{ (\sigma_l)^2 - \sigma_{l-1}^* \sigma_{l+1}^* \right\}. \quad (4.23)$$

Putting

$$a = \epsilon, \quad c = \frac{1}{2\epsilon}, \quad (4.24)$$

and introducing  $\tau_l$  by

$$\tau_l = e^{-(s+\epsilon l)y} \sigma_l, \quad (4.25)$$

the bilinear equations (4.22), (4.17), (4.23), (4.20) are reduced to (3.1), (3.2), (3.3), (3.4), respectively. Let us next realize the reduction conditions (4.13) and (4.14) by imposing suitable restriction on parameters of solution. We put

$$q_i = -p_i \quad (i = 1, \dots, N), \quad b = -a. \quad (4.26)$$

Then it is easy to verify that the entries of the determinant satisfy

$$f_k^{(i)}(l+1, m+1) = \frac{1}{1-a^2 p_i^2} f_k^{(i)}(l, m), \quad (4.27)$$

so that the condition (4.13) is realized as

$$\sigma_{l+1, m+1}^k = \prod_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{1-a^2 p_i^2} \sigma_{l, m}^k. \quad (4.28)$$

As for the condition (4.14), we have to consider the cases (1) and (2) in Theorem 4.1 separately:  
Case (1). We impose the condition (4.3). Then we see that

$$f_{k+1}^{(i)}(l, m) = p_i f_k^{(i)*}(l, m), \quad (4.29)$$

and so

$$\sigma_{l,m}^{k+1} = C \sigma_{l,m}^k, \quad C = \prod_{i=1}^N p_i \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (4.30)$$

Case (2). We impose the condition (4.4). Then we see that

$$f_{k+1}^{(2r)}(l, m) = p_{2r-1}^* f_k^{(2r-1)*}(l, m), \quad f_{k+1}^{(2r-1)}(l, m) = p_{2r}^* f_k^{(2r)*}(l, m), \quad (4.31)$$

and so

$$\sigma_{l,m}^{k+1} = C \sigma_{l,m}^{*k}, \quad C = (-1)^M \prod_{r=1}^M |p_{2r}|^2 \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (4.32)$$

Finally, putting  $m = 0$  without loss of generality and applying the specialization (4.21) and (4.24), (4.6) is rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} f_k^{(i)}(l, 0) &= \alpha_i p_i^k (1 - \epsilon p_i)^{-l} e^{\frac{s}{2\epsilon} \left( \frac{1}{1-\epsilon p_i} - \frac{1}{1+\epsilon p_i} \right) + \frac{1}{p_i} y} + \beta_i (-p_i)^k (1 + \epsilon p_i)^{-l} e^{\frac{s}{2\epsilon} \left( \frac{1}{1+\epsilon p_i} - \frac{1}{1-\epsilon p_i} \right) - \frac{1}{p_i} y} \\ &= \alpha_i p_i^k (1 - \epsilon p_i)^{-l} e^{\frac{p_i}{1-\epsilon^2 p_i^2} s + \frac{1}{p_i} y} + \beta_i (-p_i)^k (1 + \epsilon p_i)^{-l} e^{-\frac{p_i}{1-\epsilon^2 p_i^2} s - \frac{1}{p_i} y}, \end{aligned}$$

which is equivalent to (4.2). Therefore we have derived Theorem 4.1 from Proposition 4.2.  $\square$

The bilinear equations in Proposition 4.2 are reduced to the quadratic identities of determinants (Plücker relations). In particular, (4.9) and (4.12) have already appeared in [14]. Moreover, by the symmetry between the set of variables  $(l, u)$  and  $(m, v)$  in  $\sigma_{l,m}^k$ , it suffices to show only (4.7) and (4.10). These bilinear equations will be proved in the Appendix.

**Remark 4.3** In the  $\tau$  function in Theorem 4.1, the parameter dependence of the time evolution in entries of the Casorati determinant have singularities different from 0 and  $\infty$ . These types of singularities can be seen in the solutions of equation of principal chiral fields, *i.e.*, harmonic maps of conformal 2-manifolds into compact Lie groups [15, 23, 24] and Maxwell-Bloch equation [16].

**Remark 4.4** By introducing  $u_l := \frac{\epsilon}{2} \frac{d\theta_l}{ds}$ , the semi-discrete potential mKdV equation (2.7) can be transformed to the semi-discrete mKdV equation

$$\frac{du_l}{ds'} = (1 + u_l^2)(u_{l+1} - u_{l-1}), \quad (4.33)$$

where we put  $s = 2\epsilon s'$  for convenience. An auxiliary linear problem for (4.33) is given by [3]

$$\Phi_{l+1} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + u_l^2}} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda & \lambda^{-1} u_l \\ -\lambda u_l & \lambda^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \Phi_l, \quad \frac{d}{ds'} \Phi_l = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\lambda^2 - \lambda^{-2}}{2} & u_l + \lambda^{-2} u_{l-1} \\ -u_l - \lambda^2 u_{l-1} & -\frac{\lambda^2 - \lambda^{-2}}{2} \end{bmatrix} \Phi_l. \quad (4.34)$$

Apparently, the dispersion relation suggested from the linear problem is different from the one in Theorem 4.1. However, putting

$$p_i = \frac{1}{\epsilon} \frac{\lambda_i^2 - 1}{\lambda_i^2 + 1} \quad (4.35)$$

in (4.2), then  $f_n^{(i)}$  can be rewritten as

$$f_n^{(i)} \approx \alpha_i \left( \frac{1}{\epsilon} \frac{\lambda_i^2 - 1}{\lambda_i^2 + 1} \right)^n \lambda_i^l e^{\frac{1}{2}(\lambda_i^2 - \lambda_i^{-2})s' + \frac{\lambda_i^2 + 1}{\lambda_i^2 - 1} \epsilon y} + \beta_i \left( -\frac{1}{\epsilon} \frac{\lambda_i^2 - 1}{\lambda_i^2 + 1} \right)^n \lambda_i^{-l} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\lambda_i^2 - \lambda_i^{-2})s' + \frac{\lambda_i^2 + 1}{\lambda_i^2 - 1} \epsilon y},$$

in which the dispersion relation with respect to  $l$  and  $s'$  is consistent with (4.34). We have chosen the parametrization as in (4.2) so that the continuous limits explained in Section 6 become simpler.

## 5 Bäcklund Transformations

In this section we discuss the Bäcklund transformation of the continuous motion of plane discrete curves. The Bäcklund transformation of the plane discrete curves has already been formulated in [14]:

**Proposition 5.1** *Let  $\gamma_l$  be a discrete curve of segment length  $\epsilon$ . Let  $\theta_l$  be the potential function defined by*

$$\frac{\gamma_{l+1} - \gamma_l}{\epsilon} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \psi_l \\ \sin \psi_l \end{bmatrix}, \quad \psi_l = \frac{\theta_{l+1} + \theta_l}{2}. \quad (5.1)$$

For a nonzero constant  $\lambda$ , take a solution  $\tilde{\theta}_n$  to the following equation

$$\tan \left( \frac{\tilde{\theta}_{l+1} - \theta_l}{4} \right) = \frac{\frac{1}{\lambda} + \epsilon}{\frac{1}{\lambda} - \epsilon} \tan \left( \frac{\tilde{\theta}_l - \theta_{l+1}}{4} \right), \quad (5.2)$$

then

$$\tilde{\gamma}_l = \gamma_l + \frac{1}{\lambda} R \left( \frac{\tilde{\theta}_l - \theta_{l+1}}{2} \right) \frac{\gamma_{l+1} - \gamma_l}{\epsilon} \quad (5.3)$$

is a discrete curve with the potential function  $\tilde{\theta}_l$ .

We next extend the Bäcklund transformation to that of motion of discrete curves. In order to do so, we first present the Bäcklund transformation to the semi-discrete potential mKdV equation:

**Lemma 5.2** *Let  $\theta_l$  be a solution to the semi-discrete potential mKdV equation (2.7). A function  $\tilde{\theta}_l$  satisfying the following system of equations*

$$\left( \frac{1}{\lambda} - \epsilon \right) \tan \frac{\tilde{\theta}_{l+1} - \theta_l}{4} = \left( \frac{1}{\lambda} + \epsilon \right) \tan \frac{\tilde{\theta}_l - \theta_{l+1}}{4}, \quad (5.4)$$

$$\left( \frac{1}{\lambda} + \epsilon \right) \frac{\tilde{\theta}'_l}{4 \cos^2 \frac{\tilde{\theta}_l - \theta_{l+1}}{4}} + \left( \frac{1}{\lambda} - \epsilon \right) \frac{\theta'_l}{4 \cos^2 \frac{\tilde{\theta}_{l+1} - \theta_l}{4}} = \tan \frac{\tilde{\theta}_l - \theta_{l+1}}{4} + \tan \frac{\tilde{\theta}_{l+1} - \theta_l}{4}, \quad (5.5)$$

gives another solution to eq.(2.7). We call  $\tilde{\theta}_l$  a Bäcklund transform of  $\theta_l$ .

**Proof.** First compute addition of (5.5)<sub>*l*-1</sub> and the derivative of (5.4)<sub>*l*-1</sub>. Then, by using (5.4), eliminate  $\lambda$  from this equation and (5.5) respectively. Adding those two equations yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \frac{\epsilon}{2} \tilde{\theta}'_l \cos \frac{\tilde{\theta}_{l+1} - \tilde{\theta}_{l-1}}{4} - \sin \frac{\tilde{\theta}_{l+1} - \tilde{\theta}_{l-1}}{4} \right) \sin \frac{\tilde{\theta}_{l+1} + \tilde{\theta}_{l-1} - 2\theta_l}{4} \\ &= \left( \frac{\epsilon}{2} \theta'_l \cos \frac{\theta_{l+1} - \theta_{l-1}}{4} - \sin \frac{\theta_{l+1} - \theta_{l-1}}{4} \right) \sin \frac{\theta_{l+1} + \theta_{l-1} - 2\tilde{\theta}_l}{4}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.6)$$

which implies Lemma 5.2.  $\square$

**Proposition 5.3** *Let  $\gamma_l$  be a motion of discrete curve. Take a Bäcklund transform  $\tilde{\theta}_l$  of  $\theta_l$  defined in Lemma 5.2. Then*

$$\tilde{\gamma}_l = \gamma_l + \frac{1}{\lambda} R \left( \frac{\tilde{\theta}_l - \theta_{l+1}}{2} \right) \frac{\gamma_{l+1} - \gamma_l}{\epsilon} \quad (5.7)$$

is a motion of discrete curve with potential function  $\tilde{\theta}_l$ . We call  $\tilde{\gamma}_l$  a Bäcklund transform of  $\gamma_l$ .

**Proof.** It suffices to show that  $\tilde{\gamma}_l$  satisfies eqs.(2.1), (2.3) and (2.5) with potential function  $\tilde{\theta}_l$ , but eqs.(2.1) and (2.3) follow from Proposition 5.1 immediately. Because the system (5.4)–(5.5) yields

$$\left( 1 - \sqrt{-1} \frac{\epsilon}{2} \tilde{\theta}'_l \right) e^{\sqrt{-1} \frac{\tilde{\theta}_{l+1} - \tilde{\theta}_l}{2}} = \left( 1 - \sqrt{-1} \frac{\epsilon}{2} \theta'_l \right) e^{\sqrt{-1} \frac{\tilde{\theta}_l - \theta_{l+1}}{2}} + \frac{\sqrt{-1} \tilde{\theta}'_l + \theta'_l}{\lambda} \frac{1}{2},$$

we identify  $\mathbb{R}^2$  with  $\mathbb{C}$ , so that the motion  $\tilde{\gamma}_l$  satisfies

$$\tilde{\gamma}'_l = e^{\sqrt{-1} \frac{\tilde{\theta}_{l+1} + \tilde{\theta}_l}{2}} \left( 1 - \sqrt{-1} \frac{\epsilon}{2} \tilde{\theta}'_l \right) \tilde{\gamma}_l = \frac{\tilde{\gamma}_{l+1} - \tilde{\gamma}_l}{\epsilon} \left( 1 - \sqrt{-1} \tan \frac{\tilde{\theta}_{l+1} - \tilde{\theta}_{l-1}}{4} \right),$$

which implies (2.5) with  $2\tilde{K}_l = \tilde{\theta}_{l+1} - \tilde{\theta}_{l-1}$ .  $\square$

**Remark 5.4** In [12, 13], the Bäcklund transformation of the motions of discrete plane curves described in this paper is characterized by the cross ratio of the four points  $\gamma_l, \gamma_{l+1}, \tilde{\gamma}_l$  and  $\tilde{\gamma}_{l+1}$  being constant. In fact, we can verify by direct computation that for the Bäcklund transformation given in Proposition 5.3, the cross ratio of those four points is  $-\lambda^2 \epsilon^2$ .

## 6 Continuous Limits

In [14], the discrete motion of discrete plane curves and the continuous motion of smooth plane curves have been formulated, together with the Bäcklund transformations and the explicit formulas in terms of the  $\tau$  functions. They are described by the discrete potential modified KdV equation and the potential modified KdV equation, respectively. In this section, we present the two continuous limits: one from the discrete motion of discrete plane curves to their continuous motion discussed in the preceding sections, another one from the continuous motion of discrete plane curves to the continuous motion of smooth plane curves.

We first summarize the formulations of three kinds of curve motions and explicit solutions. For convenience, we identify Euclidean plane  $\mathbb{R}^2$  with complex plane  $\mathbb{C}$ .

## (1) Discrete motion of discrete plane curves.

Motion of curves:

$$\left| \frac{\gamma_{n+1}^m - \gamma_n^m}{a_n} \right| = 1, \quad (6.1)$$

$$\frac{\gamma_{n+1}^m - \gamma_n^m}{a_n} = e^{\sqrt{-1}K_n^m} \frac{\gamma_n^m - \gamma_{n-1}^m}{a_{n-1}}, \quad (6.2)$$

$$\frac{\gamma_n^{m+1} - \gamma_n^m}{b_m} = e^{\sqrt{-1}W_n^m} \frac{\gamma_{n+1}^m - \gamma_n^m}{a_n}. \quad (6.3)$$

Here,  $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$  denote the discrete independent variables corresponding to space and time, respectively. Moreover,  $a_n, b_m$  are real arbitrary functions of the indicated variables, which correspond to the segment length of the curves and time interval, respectively.

Potential function:

$$K_n^m = \frac{\theta_{n+1}^m - \theta_{n-1}^m}{2}, \quad W_n^m = \frac{\theta_n^{m+1} - \theta_{n+1}^m}{2}. \quad (6.4)$$

Compatibility condition:

$$\tan\left(\frac{\theta_{n+1}^{m+1} - \theta_n^m}{4}\right) = \frac{b_m + a_n}{b_m - a_n} \tan\left(\frac{\theta_n^{m+1} - \theta_{n+1}^m}{4}\right). \quad (6.5)$$

Explicit formula in terms of  $\tau$  function:

$$\theta_n^m = \frac{2}{\sqrt{-1}} \log \frac{\tau_n^m}{\tau_m^{*n}}, \quad \gamma_n^m = \left[ \begin{array}{c} -\frac{1}{2} (\log \tau_n^m \tau_n^{*m})_y \\ \frac{1}{2\sqrt{-1}} \left( \log \frac{\tau_n^m}{\tau_m^{*n}} \right)_y \end{array} \right]. \quad (6.6)$$

Soliton type solutions:

$$\tau_n^m = \exp \left[ - \left( \sum_{n'}^{n-1} a_{n'} + \sum_{m'}^{m-1} b_{m'} \right) y \right] \det (f_{j-1}^{(i)})_{i,j=1,\dots,N}, \quad (6.7)$$

$$f_k^{(i)} = \alpha_i p_i^k \prod_{n'}^{n-1} (1 - a_{n'} p_i)^{-1} \prod_{m'}^{m-1} (1 - b_{m'} p_i)^{-1} e^{\frac{1}{p_i} y} + \beta_i (-p_i)^k \prod_{n'}^{n-1} (1 + a_{n'} p_i)^{-1} \prod_{m'}^{m-1} (1 + b_{m'} p_i)^{-1} e^{-\frac{1}{p_i} y}. \quad (6.8)$$

## (2) Continuous motion of discrete plane curves.

Motion of curves:

$$\left| \frac{\gamma_{l+1} - \gamma_l}{\epsilon} \right| = 1, \quad (6.9)$$

$$\frac{\gamma_{l+1} - \gamma_l}{\epsilon} = e^{\sqrt{-1}K_l} \frac{\gamma_l - \gamma_{l-1}}{\epsilon}, \quad (6.10)$$

$$\frac{d\gamma_l}{ds} = \frac{e^{-\sqrt{-1}\frac{K_l}{2}}}{\cos \frac{K_l}{2}} \frac{\gamma_{l+1} - \gamma_l}{\epsilon}. \quad (6.11)$$

Potential function:

$$K_l = \frac{\theta_{l+1} - \theta_{l-1}}{2}. \quad (6.12)$$

Compatibility condition:

$$\frac{d\theta_l}{ds} = \frac{2}{\epsilon} \tan\left(\frac{\theta_{l+1} - \theta_{l-1}}{4}\right). \quad (6.13)$$

Explicit formula in terms of  $\tau$  function:

$$\theta_l = \frac{2}{\sqrt{-1}} \log \frac{\tau_l}{\tau_l^*}, \quad \gamma_l = \left[ \begin{array}{c} -\frac{1}{2} (\log \tau_l \tau_l^*)_y \\ \frac{1}{2\sqrt{-1}} \left( \log \frac{\tau_l}{\tau_l^*} \right)_y \end{array} \right]. \quad (6.14)$$

Soliton type solutions:

$$\tau_l = \exp[-(s + \epsilon l)y] \det(f_{j-1}^{(i)})_{i,j=1,\dots,N}, \quad (6.15)$$

$$f_k^{(i)} = \alpha_i p_i^k (1 - \epsilon p_i)^{-l} e^{\frac{p_i}{1-\epsilon^2 p_i^2} s + \frac{1}{p_i} y} + \beta_i (-p_i)^k (1 + \epsilon p_i)^{-l} e^{-\frac{p_i}{1-\epsilon^2 p_i^2} s - \frac{1}{p_i} y}. \quad (6.16)$$

### (3) Continuous motion of smooth plane curves.

Motion of curves:

$$|\gamma'| = 1, \quad (6.17)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \gamma' = \sqrt{-1} \kappa \gamma', \quad (6.18)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \gamma' = -\sqrt{-1} \left( \kappa'' + \frac{\kappa^3}{2} \right) \gamma'. \quad (6.19)$$

Here  $\gamma = \gamma(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \simeq \mathbb{C}$  is arc-length parametrized curve,  $x$  and  $t$  denote arc-length and time, respectively, and  $' = \partial_x$ . Moreover,  $\kappa = \kappa(x, t)$  is the curvature.

Potential function:

$$\kappa = \theta'. \quad (6.20)$$

Compatibility condition:

$$\theta_t + \frac{1}{2} (\theta_x)^3 + \theta_{xxx} = 0. \quad (6.21)$$

Explicit formula in terms of  $\tau$  function:

$$\theta = \frac{2}{\sqrt{-1}} \log \frac{\tau}{\tau^*}, \quad \gamma = \left[ \begin{array}{c} -\frac{1}{2} (\log \tau \tau^*)_y \\ \frac{1}{2\sqrt{-1}} \left( \log \frac{\tau}{\tau^*} \right)_y \end{array} \right]. \quad (6.22)$$

Soliton type solutions:

$$\tau = e^{-xy} \det(f_{j-1}^{(i)})_{i,j=1,\dots,N}, \quad (6.23)$$

$$f_k^{(i)} = \alpha_i p_i^k e^{p_i x - 4p_i^3 t + \frac{1}{p_i} y} + \beta_i (-p_i)^k e^{-p_i x + 4p_i^3 t - \frac{1}{p_i} y}. \quad (6.24)$$

### Theorem 6.1

(1) *Putting*

$$\begin{aligned} a_n = a \text{ (const.)}, \quad b_m = b \text{ (const.)}, \quad \delta = \frac{a+b}{2}, \quad \epsilon = \frac{a-b}{2}, \\ \frac{s}{\delta} = n+m, \quad l = n-m, \end{aligned} \quad (6.25)$$

and taking the limit  $\delta \rightarrow 0$ , the discrete motion of discrete plane curves yields the continuous motion of discrete plane curves.

(2) *Putting*

$$x = \epsilon l + s, \quad t = -\frac{\epsilon^2}{6}s, \quad (6.26)$$

and taking the limit  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ , the continuous motion of discrete plane curves yields the continuous motion of smooth plane curves.

Theorem 6.1 can be verified by tedious but straightforward calculations. In fact, the statement (1) can be checked by substituting the parametrization (6.25) into (6.1)–(6.8), expanding in terms of powers of  $\delta$  and taking the limit  $\delta \rightarrow 0$ . The statement (2) is also checked by a similar manner. We note that the limiting procedures presented in (6.25) and (6.26) have been obtained in [7] and [8] on the level of the equations for  $\theta$ . Theorem 6.1 claims that the procedure applies to the curve motions and solutions. Also, it should be noted that limiting procedure also applies to the Bäcklund transformations.

In order to demonstrate the calculation, we here discuss the limits of the  $\tau$  functions corresponding to the soliton type solutions. Substituting (6.25) into (6.8), we have

$$(1 - ap_i)^{-n}(1 - bp_i)^{-m} = (1 - ap_i)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{s}{\delta}+l)}(1 - bp_i)^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{s}{\delta}-l)} = e^{-\frac{s}{2\delta} \log[1-2\delta p_i+(\delta^2-\epsilon^2)p_i^2]} \left( \frac{1 - \epsilon p_i - \delta p_i}{1 + \epsilon p_i - \delta p_i} \right)^{-\frac{l}{2}}.$$

Noticing that

$$\log[1 - 2\delta p_i + (\delta^2 - \epsilon^2)p_i^2] = \log \omega_i - \frac{2p_i}{\omega_i} \delta + O(\delta^2), \quad \omega_i = 1 - \epsilon^2 p_i^2,$$

we get

$$(1 - ap_i)^{-n}(1 - bp_i)^{-m} \sim e^{-\frac{\log \omega_i}{2\delta} s} \times e^{\frac{p_i}{\omega_i} s} \left( \frac{1 - \epsilon p_i}{1 + \epsilon p_i} \right)^{-\frac{l}{2}}.$$

Similarly, we have

$$(1 + ap_i)^{-n}(1 + bp_i)^{-m} \sim e^{-\frac{\log \omega_i}{2\delta} s} \times e^{-\frac{p_i}{\omega_i} s} \left( \frac{1 + \epsilon p_i}{1 - \epsilon p_i} \right)^{-\frac{l}{2}}.$$

Therefore  $f_k^{(i)}$  yields

$$\begin{aligned} f_k^{(i)} &\sim \alpha_i p_i^k e^{-\frac{\log \omega_i}{2\delta} s} e^{\frac{p_i}{\omega_i} s} \left( \frac{1 - \epsilon p_i}{1 + \epsilon p_i} \right)^{-\frac{l}{2}} e^{\frac{1}{p_i} y} + \beta_i (-p_i)^k e^{-\frac{\log \omega_i}{2\delta} s} e^{-\frac{p_i}{\omega_i} s} \left( \frac{1 + \epsilon p_i}{1 - \epsilon p_i} \right)^{-\frac{l}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{p_i} y} \\ &= e^{-\frac{\log \omega_i}{2\delta} s} (1 - \epsilon^2 p_i^2)^{\frac{l}{2}} \left[ \alpha_i p_i^k (1 - \epsilon p_i)^{-l} e^{\frac{p_i}{\omega_i} s + \frac{1}{p_i} y} + \beta_i (-p_i)^k (1 + \epsilon p_i)^{-l} e^{-\frac{p_i}{\omega_i} s - \frac{1}{p_i} y} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (6.27)$$

as  $\delta \sim 0$ . The prefactors of the entries in (6.27) can be factored out of the determinant, and it is easily seen that the overall factor does not affect the solutions, namely, if we remove overall factor from the  $\tau$  functions, it gives the same  $\theta$  and  $\gamma$ , as seen from (6.6). This implies that the determinant in (6.7) yields that in (6.15) up to this trivial multiplicative factor. Also, the exponential factor in (6.6) becomes that in (6.14) under the parametrization (6.25). Therefore, we have shown that (6.7) is reduced to (6.15) as  $\delta \rightarrow 0$ .

Similarly, substituting (6.26) into (6.16), we have

$$(1 - \epsilon p_i)^{-l} e^{\frac{p_i}{1 - \epsilon^2 p_i^2} s} = \exp \left[ - \left( \frac{x}{\epsilon} + \frac{6t}{\epsilon^3} \right) \log(1 - \epsilon p_i) + \frac{p_i}{1 - \epsilon^2 p_i^2} s \right] = \exp \left[ \frac{3p_i^2}{\epsilon} t + (p_i x - 4p_i^3 t) + O(\epsilon) \right],$$

and

$$(1 + \epsilon p_i)^{-l} e^{-\frac{p_i}{1 - \epsilon^2 p_i^2} s} = \exp \left[ \frac{3p_i^2}{\epsilon} t - (p_i x - 4p_i^3 t) + O(\epsilon) \right],$$

from which we obtain as  $\epsilon \sim 0$

$$f_k^{(i)} \sim e^{\frac{3p_i^2}{\epsilon} t} \left[ \alpha_i p_i^k e^{p_i x - 4p_i^3 t + \frac{1}{p_i} y} + \beta_i (-p_i)^k e^{-p_i x + 4p_i^3 t - \frac{1}{p_i} y} \right]. \quad (6.28)$$

The prefactor in (6.28) does not affect the solutions. Also, the exponential factor in (6.14) becomes that in (6.22) under the parametrization (6.26). Therefore, we have shown that (6.15) is reduced to (6.23) as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ .

## A Derivation of bilinear equations (4.7) and (4.10)

In this appendix we prove Proposition 4.2. As mentioned in Section 3, it suffices to show that the  $\tau$  function given in (4.5) and (4.6) actually satisfies the bilinear equations (4.7) and (4.10).

### A.1 Equation (4.7)

We define the  $\tau$  function  $\sigma_{l,m}^k(u, v; y)$  by

$$\sigma_{l,m}^k(u, v; y) = \det \left( f_{k+j-1}^{(i)}(l, m) \right)_{i,j=1,\dots,N}, \quad (A.1)$$

where the entries of determinant satisfy the linear relations

$$\frac{f_k^{(i)}(l, m) - f_k^{(i)}(l-1, m)}{a} = f_{k+1}^{(i)}(l, m), \quad \frac{f_k^{(i)}(l, m) - f_k^{(i)}(l, m-1)}{b} = f_{k+1}^{(i)}(l, m), \quad (A.2)$$

$$\partial_u f_k^{(i)}(l, m) = f_k^{(i)}(l+1, m), \quad \partial_v f_k^{(i)}(l, m) = f_k^{(i)}(l, m+1), \quad \partial_y f_k^{(i)}(l, m) = f_{k-1}^{(i)}(l, m). \quad (A.3)$$

Note that  $f_k^{(i)}(l, m)$  given in (4.6) satisfy the above relations. In order to prove (4.7), it is convenient to consider  $\rho_{l,m}^k$  defined by

$$\rho_{l,m}^k(u, v; y) = \det \left( f_k^{(i)}(l-j+1, m) \right)_{i,j=1,\dots,N}, \quad (A.4)$$



instead of  $\sigma_{l,m}^k$ . Here,  $\sigma_{l,m}^k$  and  $\rho_{l,m}^k$  are related as

$$\rho_{l,m}^k = (-a)^{N(N-1)/2} \sigma_{l,m}^k, \quad (\text{A.5})$$

which can be easily verified by manipulating the columns of determinant with the first equation in (A.2). We also introduce a notation

$$\rho_{l,m}^k = \left| \mathbf{0}_m^k, \mathbf{1}_m^k, \dots, N - \mathbf{2}_m^k, N - \mathbf{1}_m^k \right|, \quad \mathbf{J}_m^k = \begin{bmatrix} f_k^{(1)}(l-j, m) \\ f_k^{(2)}(l-j, m) \\ \vdots \\ f_k^{(N)}(l-j, m) \end{bmatrix}. \quad (\text{A.6})$$

It is possible to reduce (4.7) to one of the Plücker relations which are quadratic identities of determinants whose columns are appropriately shifted. To this end, we construct such formulas that express the determinants in the Plücker relations in terms of derivative or shift of discrete variable of  $\rho_{l,m}^k(u, v; y)$  by using the linear relations of the entries. For details of the technique, we refer to [9, 18–21].

**Lemma A.1** *The following formulas hold:*

$$\partial_u \rho_{l,m}^k = \left| -\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, \dots, N - \mathbf{2}, N - \mathbf{1} \right|, \quad (\text{A.7})$$

$$\rho_{l,m}^{k-1} = a^{N-1} \left| \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1}, \dots, N - \mathbf{2}, N - \mathbf{1}^{k-1} \right|, \quad (\text{A.8})$$

$$\rho_{l,m}^{k-1} = a^{N-1} \left| \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1}, \dots, N - \mathbf{2}, N - \mathbf{2}^{k-1} \right|, \quad (\text{A.9})$$

$$(\partial_u - 1) \rho_{l,m}^{k-1} = a^{N-1} \left| -\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, \dots, N - \mathbf{2}, N - \mathbf{1}^{k-1} \right|. \quad (\text{A.10})$$

*Note that the superscripts of column vectors are shown only when  $k$  is shifted for notational simplicity.*

**Proof.** Equation (A.7) follows from the differential rule of determinants and the first equation of (A.2). Next, applying the first equation of the difference rule (A.3) to the first column of  $\rho_{l,m}^{k-1}$ , we have

$$\rho_{l,m}^{k-1} = \left| \mathbf{0}^{k-1}, \mathbf{1}^{k-1}, \dots, N - \mathbf{1}^{k-1} \right| = \left| \mathbf{0}^{k-1} - \mathbf{1}^{k-1}, \mathbf{1}^{k-1}, \dots, N - \mathbf{1}^{k-1} \right| = a \left| \mathbf{0}^k, \mathbf{1}^{k-1}, \dots, N - \mathbf{1}^{k-1} \right|.$$

Repeating this procedure for the  $j$ -th column ( $j = 2, 3, \dots, N - 1$ ), we get

$$\rho_{l,m}^{k-1} = a^{N-1} \left| \mathbf{0}^k, \mathbf{1}^k, \dots, N - \mathbf{2}^k, N - \mathbf{1}^{k-1} \right|,$$

which is (A.8). Applying (A.2) to the  $N$ -th column of (A.8), we obtain (A.9).

Finally, differentiating (A.9) by  $u$  yields

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_u \rho_{l,m}^{k-1} &= a^{N-1} \left| -\mathbf{1}^k, \mathbf{1}^k, \dots, N - \mathbf{2}^k, N - \mathbf{1}^{k-1} \right| + a^{N-1} \left| \mathbf{0}^k, \mathbf{1}^k, \dots, N - \mathbf{2}^k, N - \mathbf{2}^{k-1} \right| \\ &= a^{N-1} \left| -\mathbf{1}^k, \mathbf{1}^k, \dots, N - \mathbf{2}^k, N - \mathbf{1}^{k-1} \right| + \rho_{l,m}^{k-1} \end{aligned}$$

which is equivalent to (A.10). Thus we have proved Lemma A.1.  $\square$

Now consider the Plücker relation (see, for example, [21])

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = & | -\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1}, \dots, N-2 | \times | \mathbf{1}, \dots, N-2, N-1, N-1^{k-1} | \\ & + | \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1}, \dots, N-2, N-1 | \times | -\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, \dots, N-2, N-1^{k-1} | \\ & - | \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1}, \dots, N-2, N-1^{k-1} | \times | -\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, \dots, N-2, N-1 |. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.11})$$

(A.11) is rewritten by using Lemma A.1 as

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = & \rho_{l+1,m}^k \times a^{-(N-1)} \rho_{l-1,m}^{k-1} + \rho_{l,m}^k \times a^{-(N-1)} (\partial_u - 1) \rho_{l,m}^{k-1} - a^{-(N-1)} \rho_{l,m}^{k-1} \times \partial_u \rho_{l,m}^k \\ = & a^{-(N-1)} \left[ (D_u - 1) \rho_{l,m}^{k-1} \cdot \rho_{l,m}^k + \rho_{l+1,m}^k \rho_{l-1,m}^{k-1} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.12})$$

which implies (4.7).

## A.2 Equation (4.10)

We derive (4.10) from (4.7) and (4.12). We first introduce  $F_{l,m}^k$  by the subtraction of the right hand side of (4.10) from the left hand side

$$F_{l,m}^k := \frac{1}{2} D_u D_y \sigma_{l,m}^k \cdot \sigma_{l,m}^k - a(\sigma_{l,m}^k)^2 + a\sigma_{l+1,m}^{k+1} \sigma_{l-1,m}^{k-1}, \quad (\text{A.13})$$

and consider

$$\begin{aligned} P := & F_{l,m}^k (\sigma_{l,m}^{k-1})^2 - F_{l,m}^{k-1} (\sigma_{l,m}^k)^2 \\ = & \left[ \frac{1}{2} D_u D_y \sigma_{l,m}^k \cdot \sigma_{l,m}^k - a(\sigma_{l,m}^k)^2 + a\sigma_{l+1,m}^{k+1} \sigma_{l-1,m}^{k-1} \right] (\sigma_{l,m}^{k-1})^2 \\ & - (\sigma_{l,m}^k)^2 \left[ \frac{1}{2} D_u D_y \sigma_{l,m}^{k-1} \cdot \sigma_{l,m}^{k-1} - a(\sigma_{l,m}^{k-1})^2 + a\sigma_{l+1,m}^k \sigma_{l-1,m}^{k-2} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.14})$$

Equation (A.14) can be rewritten as

$$P = D_y \left( D_x \sigma_{l,m}^k \cdot \sigma_{l,m}^{k-1} \right) \cdot \sigma_{l,m}^k \sigma_{l,m}^{k-1} + a\sigma_{l+1,m}^{k+1} \sigma_{l-1,m}^{k-1} \sigma_{l,m}^{k-1} \sigma_{l,m}^{k-1} - a\sigma_{l+1,m}^k \sigma_{l-1,m}^{k-2} \sigma_{l,m}^k \sigma_{l,m}^k, \quad (\text{A.15})$$

where we have used the exchange formula of the  $D$ -operator [9]

$$(D_u D_y f \cdot f) g^2 - f^2 (D_u D_y g \cdot g) = 2D_y (D_u f \cdot g) \cdot fg, \quad (\text{A.16})$$

for arbitrary functions  $f$  and  $g$ . We manipulate the first term of (A.15) as follows. Using (4.7) and noticing  $D_y f \cdot f = 0$ , we have

$$D_y \left( D_x \sigma_{l,m}^k \cdot \sigma_{l,m}^{k-1} \right) \cdot \sigma_{l,m}^k \sigma_{l,m}^{k-1} = D_y \left( -\sigma_{l,m}^{k-1} \sigma_{l,m}^k + \sigma_{l+1,m}^k \sigma_{l-1,m}^{k-1} \right) \cdot \sigma_{l,m}^k \sigma_{l,m}^{k-1} = D_y \sigma_{l+1,m}^k \sigma_{l-1,m}^{k-1} \cdot \sigma_{l,m}^k \sigma_{l,m}^{k-1}.$$

Then applying another exchange formula

$$D_y \alpha \beta \cdot \gamma \delta = (D_y \alpha \cdot \gamma) \beta \delta + (D_y \beta \cdot \delta) \alpha \gamma, \quad (\text{A.17})$$

for arbitrary functions  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} D_y \sigma_{l+1,m}^k \sigma_{l-1,m}^{k-1} \cdot \sigma_{l,m}^k \sigma_{l,m}^{k-1} &= \left( D_y \sigma_{l+1,m}^k \cdot \sigma_{l,m}^k \right) \sigma_{l-1,m}^{k-1} \sigma_{l,m}^{k-1} + \left( D_y \sigma_{l-1,m}^{k-1} \cdot \sigma_{l,m}^{k-1} \right) \sigma_{l+1,m}^k \sigma_{l,m}^k \\ &= \left( \sigma_{l+1,m}^k \sigma_{l,m}^k - a \sigma_{l+1,m}^{k+1} \sigma_{l,m}^{k-1} \right) \sigma_{l-1,m}^{k-1} \sigma_{l,m}^{k-1} + \left( -\sigma_{l,m}^{k-1} \sigma_{l-1,m}^{k-1} + a \sigma_{l,m}^k \sigma_{l-1,m}^{k-2} \right) \sigma_{l+1,m}^k \sigma_{l,m}^k \\ &= -a \sigma_{l+1,m}^{k+1} \sigma_{l,m}^{k-1} \sigma_{l-1,m}^{k-1} \sigma_{l,m}^{k-1} + a \sigma_{l,m}^k \sigma_{l-1,m}^{k-2} \sigma_{l+1,m}^k \sigma_{l,m}^k \end{aligned}$$

where we have used (4.12) in the second equality. Substituting the above result into (A.15), we see that  $P = 0$ . Therefore, it follows from (A.14) that

$$\frac{1}{2} D_u D_y \sigma_{l,m}^k \cdot \sigma_{l,m}^k - a (\sigma_{l,m}^k)^2 + a \sigma_{l+1,m}^{k+1} \sigma_{l-1,m}^{k-1} = A(u, y, l) (\sigma_{l,m}^k)^2, \quad (\text{A.18})$$

where  $A(u, y, l)$  is an arbitrary function. Since  $\sigma_{l,m}^k = 1$  (the case of  $N = 0$ ) satisfies (4.7) and (4.12), it should satisfy (A.18) as well. Therefore we see that  $A$  must be 0, which implies (4.10).

## Acknowledgements

This work is partially supported by JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research No. 19340039, 21540067, 21656026, 22656027 and 23340037.

## References

- [1] M. J. Ablowitz, D. J. Kaup and A. C Newell, Coherent pulse propagation, a dispersive, irreversible phenomenon, *J. Math. Phys.* **15**(1974) 1852–1858.
- [2] M. J. Ablowitz and J. F. Ladik, Nonlinear differential-difference equations, *J. Math. Phys.* **16**(1975) 598–603.
- [3] A. Doliwa and P. M. Santini, Integrable dynamics of a discrete curve and the Ablowitz-Ladik hierarchy, *J. Math. Phys.* **36** (1995)1259–1273
- [4] A. Doliwa and P. M. Santini, The integrable dynamic of a discrete curve, *Symmetries and Integrability of Difference Equations*, D. Levi, L. Vinet and P. Winternitz (eds.), (AMS, Providence 1996) 91–102.
- [5] A. Doliwa and P. M. Santini, Geometry of discrete curves and lattices and integrable difference equations, in: *Discrete Integrable Geometry and Physics*, A. Bobenko and R. Seiler (eds.), (Clarendon Press, Oxford 1999) 139–154.
- [6] B.-F. Feng, J. Inoguchi, K. Kajiwara, K. Maruno and Y. Ohta, Discrete integrable systems and hodograph transformations arising from motions of discrete plane curves, preprint, 2011 (arXiv:1107.1148).
- [7] R. Hirota, Exact N-soliton solution of nonlinear lumped self-dual network equation, *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **35**(1973) 289–294.

- [8] R. Hirota, Discretization of the potential modified KdV equation, *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **67**(1998) 2234–2236.
- [9] R. Hirota, *The direct method in soliton theory*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics **155** (Cambridge University Press, 2004)
- [10] R. Hirota and J. Satsuma, Nonlinear evolution equations generated from the Bäcklund transformation for the Toda lattice, *Progr. Theoret. Phys.* **55**(1976) 2037–2038.
- [11] R. Hirota and J. Satsuma, A variety of nonlinear network equations generated from the Bäcklund transformation for the Toda lattice, *Progr. Theoret. Phys. Suppl.* **59**(1976) 64–100
- [12] T. Hoffmann, *Discrete differential geometry of curves and surfaces*, COE lecture Notes Vol. 18, Kyushu University (2009).
- [13] T. Hoffmann and N. Kutz, Discrete curves in  $\mathbb{C}P^1$  and the Toda lattice, *Stud. Appl. Math.* **113** (2004) 31–55.
- [14] J. Inoguchi, K. Kajiwara, N. Matsuura and Y. Ohta, Motion and Bäcklund transformations of plane discrete curves, to appear in *Kyushu J. Math.* (2011).
- [15] M. Jimbo and T. Miwa, Solitons and infinite dimensional Lie algebras, *Publ. RIMS.* **19**(1983) 943–1001.
- [16] S. Kakei and J. Satsuma, Multi-soliton solutions of a coupled system of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation and the Maxwell-Bloch equations, *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **63**(1994) 885–894.
- [17] N. Matsuura, Discrete KdV and discrete modified KdV equations arising from motions of discrete planar curves, to appear in *Int. Math. Res. Notices*.
- [18] K. Maruno, K. Kajiwara and M. Oikawa, Casorati determinant solution for the discrete-time relativistic Toda lattice equation, *Phys. Lett.* **A241**(1998) 335–343.
- [19] K. Maruno and Y. Ohta, Casorati determinant form of dark soliton solutions of the discrete nonlinear Schrödinger equation, *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **75**(2006) 054002.
- [20] Y. Ohta, R. Hirota, S. Tsujimoto and T. Imai, Casorati and discrete Gram type determinant representations of solutions to the discrete KP hierarchy, *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **62**(1993) 1872–1886.
- [21] Y. Ohta, K. Kajiwara, J. Matsukidaira and J. Satsuma, Casorati determinant solution for the relativistic Toda lattice equation, *J. Math. Phys.* **34**(1993) 5190–5204.
- [22] S. Tsujimoto, On a discrete analogue of the two-dimensional Toda lattice hierarchy, *Publ. RIMS* **38**(2002) 113–133.
- [23] K. Uhlenbeck, Harmonic maps into Lie group (classical solutions of the chiral model), *J. Differential Geom.* **30**(1989) 1–50.

- [24] V. E. Zakharov and A. V. Mikhailov, Relativistically invariant two-dimensional models of field theory which are integrable by means of the inverse scattering problem method, Sov. Phys. JETP **47** (1978) 1017–1027.

# List of MI Preprint Series, Kyushu University

The Global COE Program  
Math-for-Industry Education & Research Hub

MI

- MI2008-1 Takahiro ITO, Shuichi INOKUCHI & Yoshihiro MIZOGUCHI  
Abstract collision systems simulated by cellular automata
- MI2008-2 Eiji ONODERA  
The initial value problem for a third-order dispersive flow into compact almost Hermitian manifolds
- MI2008-3 Hiroaki KIDO  
On isosceles sets in the 4-dimensional Euclidean space
- MI2008-4 Hirofumi NOTSU  
Numerical computations of cavity flow problems by a pressure stabilized characteristic-curve finite element scheme
- MI2008-5 Yoshiyasu OZEKI  
Torsion points of abelian varieties with values in infinite extensions over a  $p$ -adic field
- MI2008-6 Yoshiyuki TOMIYAMA  
Lifting Galois representations over arbitrary number fields
- MI2008-7 Takehiro HIROTSU & Setsuo TANIGUCHI  
The random walk model revisited
- MI2008-8 Silvia GANDY, Masaaki KANNO, Hirokazu ANAI & Kazuhiro YOKOYAMA  
Optimizing a particular real root of a polynomial by a special cylindrical algebraic decomposition
- MI2008-9 Kazufumi KIMOTO, Sho MATSUMOTO & Masato WAKAYAMA  
Alpha-determinant cyclic modules and Jacobi polynomials

- MI2008-10 Sangyeol LEE & Hiroki MASUDA  
Jarque-Bera Normality Test for the Driving Lévy Process of a Discretely Observed Univariate SDE
- MI2008-11 Hiroyuki CHIHARA & Eiji ONODERA  
A third order dispersive flow for closed curves into almost Hermitian manifolds
- MI2008-12 Takehiko KINOSHITA, Kouji HASHIMOTO and Mitsuhiro T. NAKAO  
On the  $L^2$  a priori error estimates to the finite element solution of elliptic problems with singular adjoint operator
- MI2008-13 Jacques FARAUT and Masato WAKAYAMA  
Hermitian symmetric spaces of tube type and multivariate Meixner-Pollaczek polynomials
- MI2008-14 Takashi NAKAMURA  
Riemann zeta-values, Euler polynomials and the best constant of Sobolev inequality
- MI2008-15 Takashi NAKAMURA  
Some topics related to Hurwitz-Lerch zeta functions
- MI2009-1 Yasuhide FUKUMOTO  
Global time evolution of viscous vortex rings
- MI2009-2 Hidetoshi MATSUI & Sadanori KONISHI  
Regularized functional regression modeling for functional response and predictors
- MI2009-3 Hidetoshi MATSUI & Sadanori KONISHI  
Variable selection for functional regression model via the  $L_1$  regularization
- MI2009-4 Shuichi KAWANO & Sadanori KONISHI  
Nonlinear logistic discrimination via regularized Gaussian basis expansions
- MI2009-5 Toshiro HIRANOUCI & Yuichiro TAGUCHI  
Flat modules and Groebner bases over truncated discrete valuation rings

- MI2009-6 Kenji KAJIWARA & Yasuhiro OHTA  
Bilinearization and Casorati determinant solutions to non-autonomous 1+1 dimensional discrete soliton equations
- MI2009-7 Yoshiyuki KAGEI  
Asymptotic behavior of solutions of the compressible Navier-Stokes equation around the plane Couette flow
- MI2009-8 Shohei TATEISHI, Hidetoshi MATSUI & Sadanori KONISHI  
Nonlinear regression modeling via the lasso-type regularization
- MI2009-9 Takeshi TAKAISHI & Masato KIMURA  
Phase field model for mode III crack growth in two dimensional elasticity
- MI2009-10 Shingo SAITO  
Generalisation of Mack's formula for claims reserving with arbitrary exponents for the variance assumption
- MI2009-11 Kenji KAJIWARA, Masanobu KANEKO, Atsushi NOBE & Teruhisa TSUDA  
Ultradiscretization of a solvable two-dimensional chaotic map associated with the Hesse cubic curve
- MI2009-12 Tetsu MASUDA  
Hypergeometric  $q$ -functions of the  $q$ -Painlevé system of type  $E_8^{(1)}$
- MI2009-13 Hidenao IWANE, Hitoshi YANAMI, Hirokazu ANAI & Kazuhiro YOKOYAMA  
A Practical Implementation of a Symbolic-Numeric Cylindrical Algebraic Decomposition for Quantifier Elimination
- MI2009-14 Yasunori MAEKAWA  
On Gaussian decay estimates of solutions to some linear elliptic equations and its applications
- MI2009-15 Yuya ISHIHARA & Yoshiyuki KAGEI  
Large time behavior of the semigroup on  $L^p$  spaces associated with the linearized compressible Navier-Stokes equation in a cylindrical domain



- MI2009-16 Chikashi ARITA, Atsuo KUNIBA, Kazumitsu SAKAI & Tsuyoshi SAWABE  
Spectrum in multi-species asymmetric simple exclusion process on a ring
- MI2009-17 Masato WAKAYAMA & Keitaro YAMAMOTO  
Non-linear algebraic differential equations satisfied by certain family of elliptic functions
- MI2009-18 Me Me NAING & Yasuhide FUKUMOTO  
Local Instability of an Elliptical Flow Subjected to a Coriolis Force
- MI2009-19 Mitsunori KAYANO & Sadanori KONISHI  
Sparse functional principal component analysis via regularized basis expansions and its application
- MI2009-20 Shuichi KAWANO & Sadanori KONISHI  
Semi-supervised logistic discrimination via regularized Gaussian basis expansions
- MI2009-21 Hiroshi YOSHIDA, Yoshihiro MIWA & Masanobu KANEKO  
Elliptic curves and Fibonacci numbers arising from Lindenmayer system with symbolic computations
- MI2009-22 Eiji ONODERA  
A remark on the global existence of a third order dispersive flow into locally Hermitian symmetric spaces
- MI2009-23 Stjepan LUGOMER & Yasuhide FUKUMOTO  
Generation of ribbons, helicoids and complex scherk surface in laser-matter Interactions
- MI2009-24 Yu KAWAKAMI  
Recent progress in value distribution of the hyperbolic Gauss map
- MI2009-25 Takehiko KINOSHITA & Mitsuhiro T. NAKAO  
On very accurate enclosure of the optimal constant in the a priori error estimates for  $H_0^2$ -projection

- MI2009-26 Manabu YOSHIDA  
Ramification of local fields and Fontaine's property (Pm)
- MI2009-27 Yu KAWAKAMI  
Value distribution of the hyperbolic Gauss maps for flat fronts in hyperbolic three-space
- MI2009-28 Masahisa TABATA  
Numerical simulation of fluid movement in an hourglass by an energy-stable finite element scheme
- MI2009-29 Yoshiyuki KAGEI & Yasunori MAEKAWA  
Asymptotic behaviors of solutions to evolution equations in the presence of translation and scaling invariance
- MI2009-30 Yoshiyuki KAGEI & Yasunori MAEKAWA  
On asymptotic behaviors of solutions to parabolic systems modelling chemo-taxis
- MI2009-31 Masato WAKAYAMA & Yoshinori YAMASAKI  
Hecke's zeros and higher depth determinants
- MI2009-32 Olivier PIRONNEAU & Masahisa TABATA  
Stability and convergence of a Galerkin-characteristics finite element scheme of lumped mass type
- MI2009-33 Chikashi ARITA  
Queueing process with excluded-volume effect
- MI2009-34 Kenji KAJIWARA, Nobutaka NAKAZONO & Teruhisa TSUDA  
Projective reduction of the discrete Painlevé system of type  $(A_2 + A_1)^{(1)}$
- MI2009-35 Yosuke MIZUYAMA, Takamasa SHINDE, Masahisa TABATA & Daisuke TAGAMI  
Finite element computation for scattering problems of micro-hologram using DtN map

- MI2009-36 Reiichiro KAWAI & Hiroki MASUDA  
Exact simulation of finite variation tempered stable Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes
- MI2009-37 Hiroki MASUDA  
On statistical aspects in calibrating a geometric skewed stable asset price model
- MI2010-1 Hiroki MASUDA  
Approximate self-weighted LAD estimation of discretely observed ergodic Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes
- MI2010-2 Reiichiro KAWAI & Hiroki MASUDA  
Infinite variation tempered stable Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes with discrete observations
- MI2010-3 Kei HIROSE, Shuichi KAWANO, Daisuke MIIKE & Sadanori KONISHI  
Hyper-parameter selection in Bayesian structural equation models
- MI2010-4 Nobuyuki IKEDA & Setsuo TANIGUCHI  
The Itô-Nisio theorem, quadratic Wiener functionals, and 1-solitons
- MI2010-5 Shohei TATEISHI & Sadanori KONISHI  
Nonlinear regression modeling and detecting change point via the relevance vector machine
- MI2010-6 Shuichi KAWANO, Toshihiro MISUMI & Sadanori KONISHI  
Semi-supervised logistic discrimination via graph-based regularization
- MI2010-7 Teruhisa TSUDA  
UC hierarchy and monodromy preserving deformation
- MI2010-8 Takahiro ITO  
Abstract collision systems on groups
- MI2010-9 Hiroshi YOSHIDA, Kinji KIMURA, Naoki YOSHIDA, Junko TANAKA & Yoshihiro MIWA  
An algebraic approach to underdetermined experiments

- MI2010-10 Kei HIROSE & Sadanori KONISHI  
Variable selection via the grouped weighted lasso for factor analysis models
- MI2010-11 Katsusuke NABESHIMA & Hiroshi YOSHIDA  
Derivation of specific conditions with Comprehensive Groebner Systems
- MI2010-12 Yoshiyuki KAGEI, Yu NAGAFUCHI & Takeshi SUDOU  
Decay estimates on solutions of the linearized compressible Navier-Stokes equation around a Poiseuille type flow
- MI2010-13 Reiichiro KAWAI & Hiroki MASUDA  
On simulation of tempered stable random variates
- MI2010-14 Yoshiyasu OZEKI  
Non-existence of certain Galois representations with a uniform tame inertia weight
- MI2010-15 Me Me NAING & Yasuhide FUKUMOTO  
Local Instability of a Rotating Flow Driven by Precession of Arbitrary Frequency
- MI2010-16 Yu KAWAKAMI & Daisuke NAKAJO  
The value distribution of the Gauss map of improper affine spheres
- MI2010-17 Kazunori YASUTAKE  
On the classification of rank 2 almost Fano bundles on projective space
- MI2010-18 Toshimitsu TAKAESU  
Scaling limits for the system of semi-relativistic particles coupled to a scalar bose field
- MI2010-19 Reiichiro KAWAI & Hiroki MASUDA  
Local asymptotic normality for normal inverse Gaussian Lévy processes with high-frequency sampling
- MI2010-20 Yasuhide FUKUMOTO, Makoto HIROTA & Youichi MIE  
Lagrangian approach to weakly nonlinear stability of an elliptical flow

- MI2010-21 Hiroki MASUDA  
Approximate quadratic estimating function for discretely observed Lévy driven SDEs with application to a noise normality test
- MI2010-22 Toshimitsu TAKAESU  
A Generalized Scaling Limit and its Application to the Semi-Relativistic Particles System Coupled to a Bose Field with Removing Ultraviolet Cutoffs
- MI2010-23 Takahiro ITO, Mitsuhiko FUJIO, Shuichi INOKUCHI & Yoshihiro MIZOGUCHI  
Composition, union and division of cellular automata on groups
- MI2010-24 Toshimitsu TAKAESU  
A Hardy's Uncertainty Principle Lemma in Weak Commutation Relations of Heisenberg-Lie Algebra
- MI2010-25 Toshimitsu TAKAESU  
On the Essential Self-Adjointness of Anti-Commutative Operators
- MI2010-26 Reiichiro KAWAI & Hiroki MASUDA  
On the local asymptotic behavior of the likelihood function for Meixner Lévy processes under high-frequency sampling
- MI2010-27 Chikashi ARITA & Daichi YANAGISAWA  
Exclusive Queueing Process with Discrete Time
- MI2010-28 Jun-ichi INOBUCHI, Kenji KAJIWARA, Nozomu MATSUURA & Yasuhiro OHTA  
Motion and Bäcklund transformations of discrete plane curves
- MI2010-29 Takanori YASUDA, Masaya YASUDA, Takeshi SHIMOYAMA & Jun KOGURE  
On the Number of the Pairing-friendly Curves
- MI2010-30 Chikashi ARITA & Kohei MOTEGI  
Spin-spin correlation functions of the  $q$ -VBS state of an integer spin model
- MI2010-31 Shohei TATEISHI & Sadanori KONISHI  
Nonlinear regression modeling and spike detection via Gaussian basis expansions

- MI2010-32 Nobutaka NAKAZONO  
Hypergeometric  $\tau$  functions of the  $q$ -Painlevé systems of type  $(A_2 + A_1)^{(1)}$
- MI2010-33 Yoshiyuki KAGEI  
Global existence of solutions to the compressible Navier-Stokes equation around parallel flows
- MI2010-34 Nobushige KUROKAWA, Masato WAKAYAMA & Yoshinori YAMASAKI  
Milnor-Selberg zeta functions and zeta regularizations
- MI2010-35 Kissani PERERA & Yoshihiro MIZOGUCHI  
Laplacian energy of directed graphs and minimizing maximum outdegree algorithms
- MI2010-36 Takanori YASUDA  
CAP representations of inner forms of  $Sp(4)$  with respect to Klingen parabolic subgroup
- MI2010-37 Chikashi ARITA & Andreas SCHADSCHNEIDER  
Dynamical analysis of the exclusive queueing process
- MI2011-1 Yasuhide FUKUMOTO & Alexander B. SAMOKHIN  
Singular electromagnetic modes in an anisotropic medium
- MI2011-2 Hiroki KONDO, Shingo SAITO & Setsuo TANIGUCHI  
Asymptotic tail dependence of the normal copula
- MI2011-3 Takehiro HIROTSU, Hiroki KONDO, Shingo SAITO, Takuya SATO, Tatsushi TANAKA & Setsuo TANIGUCHI  
Anderson-Darling test and the Malliavin calculus
- MI2011-4 Hiroshi INOUE, Shohei TATEISHI & Sadanori KONISHI  
Nonlinear regression modeling via Compressed Sensing
- MI2011-5 Hiroshi INOUE  
Implications in Compressed Sensing and the Restricted Isometry Property
- MI2011-6 Daeju KIM & Sadanori KONISHI  
Predictive information criterion for nonlinear regression model based on basis expansion methods
- MI2011-7 Shohei TATEISHI, Chiaki KINJYO & Sadanori KONISHI  
Group variable selection via relevance vector machine

- MI2011-8 Jan BREZINA & Yoshiyuki KAGEI  
Decay properties of solutions to the linearized compressible Navier-Stokes equation around time-periodic parallel flow  
Group variable selection via relevance vector machine
- MI2011-9 Chikashi ARITA, Arvind AYYER, Kirone MALLICK & Sylvain PROLHAC  
Recursive structures in the multispecies TASEP
- MI2011-10 Kazunori YASUTAKE  
On projective space bundle with nef normalized tautological line bundle
- MI2011-11 Hisashi ANDO, Mike HAY, Kenji KAJIWARA & Tetsu MASUDA  
An explicit formula for the discrete power function associated with circle patterns of Schramm type
- MI2011-12 Yoshiyuki KAGEI  
Asymptotic behavior of solutions to the compressible Navier-Stokes equation around a parallel flow
- MI2011-13 Vladimír CHALUPECKÝ & Adrian MUNTEAN  
Semi-discrete finite difference multiscale scheme for a concrete corrosion model: approximation estimates and convergence
- MI2011-14 Jun-ichi INOBUCHI, Kenji KAJIWARA, Nozomu MATSUURA & Yasuhiro OHTA  
Explicit solutions to the semi-discrete modified KdV equation and motion of discrete plane curves