

## EXPONENTIAL ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY FOR SCALAR LINEAR VOLTERRA EQUATIONS

SATORU MURAKAMI

Department of Applied Mathematics, Okayama University of Science  
Ridai-cho 1-1, Okayama 700, Japan

(Submitted by: C. Corduneanu)

**Abstract.** Exponential asymptotic stability of the zero solution of the scalar linear Volterra equation

$$\dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) + \int_0^t B(t-s)x(s) ds$$

is studied. Roughly speaking, it is proved that the exponential asymptotic stability can be characterized by a growth condition on  $B(t)$ . The result answers the problem posed by Corduneanu and Lakshmikantham.

In this article, we shall be concerned with a linear Volterra equation with an integrable kernel  $B$ ,

$$\dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) + \int_0^t B(t-s)x(s) ds \quad t \geq 0, \quad (\text{E})$$

and study the exponential asymptotic stability of the zero solution of (E) in conjunction with the exponential behavior of  $|B(t)|$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . The subject deeply relates to the paper [1] due to Corduneanu and Lakshmikantham. In fact, they have posed the following problem [1, pp. 845–848]: If the zero solution of (E) is uniformly asymptotically stable, then is it of exponential type? To analyze the problem, we shall focus our attention to the case where (E) is a scalar equation, and show (Theorem 1) that if  $B$  satisfies a growth condition, then the above problem can be solved in the affirmative. Furthermore, under the restriction on  $B$ , we shall investigate the converse of our Theorem 1, too. In fact, under the assumption that  $B(t)$  does not change sign on  $[0, \infty)$ , we prove (Theorem 2) that if the zero solution of (E) possesses the stability property of exponential type, then  $B$  satisfies a growth condition. Thus (roughly speaking) the stability of exponential type for the zero solution of (E) can be characterized by a growth condition on  $B$  (Theorem 3). Hence, the stability of exponential type can never be realized for the equation with a kernel  $B$  which does not satisfy a growth condition, and the problem posed above can be solved in the negative.

---

Received April 11, 1990.

AMS Subject Classifications: 34D20, 45M10.

Now, we shall explain the notations employed throughout this article, and give some definitions. Let  $\mathbb{R} = (-\infty, \infty)$  and  $\mathbb{R}^+ = [0, \infty)$ . For any compact interval  $J \subset \mathbb{R}$ , we denote by  $C(J)$  the space of all continuous real-valued functions on  $J$ , and set  $|\phi|_J = \sup\{|\phi(s)| : s \in J\}$ . Also, we denote by  $L^1(\mathbb{R}^+)$  the space of all Lebesgue integrable real-valued functions on  $\mathbb{R}^+$ . For any function  $f : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , the value of the Laplace transform  $\hat{f}$  at  $s$ , a complex number, is defined as

$$\hat{f}(s) = \int_0^\infty f(t)e^{-st}dt.$$

If  $|f(t)|e^{-\alpha t} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^+)$  for a real constant  $\alpha$ , then  $\hat{f}(s)$  exists and is continuous in  $s$  for  $\text{Re } s \geq \alpha$ , and moreover it is analytic on the domain  $\text{Re } s > \alpha$ . Especially, if  $f$  is an absolutely continuous function which satisfies  $|f(t)| \leq ae^{bt}$  on  $\mathbb{R}^+$  for some constants  $a$  and  $b$ , then inversion formula

$$f(t) = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{c-iT}^{c+iT} \hat{f}(s)e^{st}ds := \int_{(c)} \hat{f}(s)e^{st}ds, \quad t > 0,$$

holds for all  $c > b$  ([6]).

Consider a scalar linear Volterra equation

$$\dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) + \int_0^t B(t-s)x(s)ds \quad t \geq 0, \tag{E}$$

where  $A$  is a constant and  $B(t)$  is continuous in  $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$  with  $B \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^+)$ . For any  $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}^+$  and  $\phi \in C([0, \sigma])$ , there is one and only one function  $x(t)$  which satisfies equation (E) on  $[\sigma, \infty)$  and  $x(t) \equiv \phi(t)$  on  $[0, \sigma]$  (cf. [2]). Such a function  $x(t)$  is called a solution of (E) on  $[\sigma, \infty)$  through  $(\sigma, \phi)$ , and is denoted by  $X(t; \sigma, \phi)$ . Clearly,  $x(t) \equiv 0$  is a solution of (E), which is called the zero solution of (E).

**Definition.** The zero solution of (E) is said to be

- (i) uniformly stable (US), if for any  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists a  $\delta(\epsilon) > 0$  such that  $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}^+$  and  $\phi \in C([0, \sigma])$  with  $|\phi|_{[0, \sigma]} < \delta(\epsilon)$  imply  $|x(t; \sigma, \phi)| < \epsilon$  for all  $t \geq \sigma$ ;
- (ii) uniformly asymptotically stable (UAS), if it is US and moreover, if there is a  $\delta_0 > 0$  with the property that for each  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists a  $T(\epsilon) > 0$  such that  $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}^+$  and  $\phi \in C([0, \sigma])$  with  $|\phi|_{[0, \sigma]} < \delta_0$  imply  $|x(t; \sigma, \phi)| < \epsilon$  for all  $t \geq \sigma + T(\epsilon)$ ;
- (iii) exponentially asymptotically stable (Ex AS), if there exist positive constants  $K$  and  $\alpha$  such that

$$|x(t; \sigma, \phi)| \leq Ke^{-\alpha(t-\sigma)}|\phi|_{[0, \sigma]}, \quad t \geq \sigma \geq 0,$$

for any  $\phi \in C([0, \sigma])$ .

By the definition, it is clear that Ex AS implies UAS. Denote by  $X(t)$  the principal solution of (E); that is,  $X(t)$  is the solution of (E) with  $X(0) = 1$ . Then the solution  $x(t; \sigma, \phi)$  is expressed by the variation of parameters formula as

$$x(t; \sigma, \phi) = X(t-\sigma)\phi(\sigma) + \int_\sigma^t X(t-u) \left\{ \int_0^\sigma B(u-\theta)\phi(\theta) d\theta \right\} du. \tag{1}$$

In fact,  $X(t)$  is identical with the one called the resolvent for (E) in [3]. Therefore, the zero solution of (E) is UAS if and only if  $X(t) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^+)$  and that one of the above conditions is equivalent to the condition  $H(s) := s - A - \hat{B}(s) \neq 0$  for  $\text{Re } s \geq 0$  ([3]).

Now, assume that the zero solution of (E) is UAS. Then  $\hat{X}(s)$  exists and is continuous in  $s$  for  $\text{Re } s \geq 0$ . Considering the Laplace transform of both sides of (E), and integrating the first term by parts, one obtains  $H(s)\hat{X}(s) = 1$  for  $\text{Re } s > 0$ ; and hence,

$$H(s)\hat{X}(s) = 1 \quad \text{for } \text{Re } s \geq 0 \tag{2}$$

by the continuity of  $H(s)$  and  $\hat{X}(s)$ . Thus, we have

$$\hat{X}(s) = H^{-1}(s) \quad \text{for } \text{Re } s \geq 0. \tag{3}$$

**Theorem 1.** *Suppose that*

$$\int_0^\infty |B(t)|e^{\gamma t} dt < \infty \tag{4}$$

for a positive constant  $\gamma > 0$ . If the zero solution of (E) is UAS, then it is Ex AS.

**Proof:** To establish the theorem, we shall employ the idea in [4, Theorem 1.5.2]. Since the zero solution of (E) is UAS,  $|X(t)|$  is bounded on  $\mathbb{R}^+$ . Therefore, from (3) and inversion formula it follows that

$$X(t) = \int_{(\alpha)} H^{-1}(s)e^{st} ds, \quad t > 0, \tag{5}$$

for any  $\alpha > 0$ .

**Claim 1.**  $X(t) = \int_{(-\epsilon)} H^{-1}(s)e^{st} ds$  ( $t > 0$ ) for some  $\epsilon > 0$ .

To verify the claim, we first observe by (4) that  $\hat{B}(s)$  is defined for  $\text{Re } s \geq -\gamma$  and  $|\hat{B}(s)| \rightarrow 0$  as  $|s| \rightarrow \infty$  uniformly for  $\text{Re } s \geq -\gamma$ . Hence, one can choose a constant  $T_0 > 0$  so that  $H(s) \neq 0$ ,  $-\gamma \leq \text{Re } s \leq 0$ , if  $|\text{Im } s| \geq T_0$ . Let

$$D = \{s : -\gamma/2 \leq \text{Re } s \leq 0, \quad |\text{Im } s| \leq T_0\}$$

and

$$c_0 = \max\{\text{Re } s : s \in D, H(s) = 0\}.$$

Since  $H(s)$  is analytic on the domain  $\text{Re } s > -\gamma$ , it has at most a finite number of zeros in the set  $D$ ; hence  $c_0 < 0$ . Take a constant  $\epsilon > 0$  so that  $\epsilon < -c_0$ . We consider the integration of the function  $H^{-1}(s)e^{st}$  around the boundary of the box  $\{\lambda + i\tau : -\epsilon \leq \lambda \leq \epsilon, -T \leq \tau \leq T\}$ . Since  $H(s)$  has no zeros in this box, it follows that the integral over the boundary is zero; that is,

$$\left( \int_{\epsilon-iT}^{\epsilon+iT} + \int_{\epsilon+iT}^{-\epsilon+iT} + \int_{-\epsilon+iT}^{-\epsilon-iT} + \int_{-\epsilon-iT}^{\epsilon-iT} \right) H^{-1}(s)e^{st} ds = 0.$$

Therefore, the claim will be verified by (5) if we show that

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\epsilon+iT}^{\epsilon+iT} H^{-1}(s)e^{st} ds = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\epsilon-iT}^{\epsilon-iT} H^{-1}(s)e^{st} ds = 0.$$

Let  $s = \lambda + iT$ ,  $-\epsilon \leq \lambda \leq \epsilon$ . Since

$$|\hat{B}(s)| \leq \int_0^\infty |B(t)|e^{\gamma t} dt := \ell < \infty$$

and

$$H(s) = s \left\{ 1 - \frac{A + \hat{B}(s)}{\lambda + iT} \right\},$$

we have

$$H^{-1}(s) = \frac{1}{s} \sum_{n=0}^\infty \left\{ \frac{A + \hat{B}(s)}{\lambda + iT} \right\}^n$$

for large  $T$ . Hence

$$|H^{-1}(s)e^{st}| \leq \frac{e^{\epsilon t}}{T} \sum_{n=0}^\infty (M/T)^n = e^{\epsilon t}/(T - M),$$

where  $M = |A| + \ell$ . Thus,

$$\left| \int_{-\epsilon+iT}^{\epsilon+iT} H^{-1}(s)e^{st} ds \right| \leq 2\epsilon e^{\epsilon t}/(T - M)$$

for large  $T$ , which proves

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\epsilon+iT}^{\epsilon+iT} H^{-1}(s)e^{st} ds = 0.$$

Similarly, we obtain

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\epsilon-iT}^{\epsilon-iT} H^{-1}(s)e^{st} ds = 0.$$

**Claim 2.**  $|X(t)| \leq K_1 e^{-\epsilon t}$  ( $t > 0$ ) for a constant  $K_1$ . Indeed, if we set

$$g(s) = H^{-1}(s) - (s - c_0)^{-1} = (A + \hat{B}(s) - c_0)H^{-1}(s)(s - c_0)^{-1},$$

then  $\sup_{\tau \in \mathbb{R}} \tau^2 |g(-\epsilon + i\tau)| < \infty$ ; consequently,  $\int_{(-\epsilon)} |g(s)| ds := K_2 < \infty$ . Therefore, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |X(t)| &= \left| \int_{(-\epsilon)} H^{-1}(s)e^{st} ds \right| \leq \left| \int_{(-\epsilon)} g(s)e^{st} ds \right| + \left| \int_{(-\epsilon)} \frac{e^{st}}{s - c_0} ds \right| \\ &\leq (K_2 + 1)e^{-\epsilon t} \end{aligned}$$

by claim 1, where we used the fact that  $\int_{(-\epsilon)} \frac{e^{st}}{s - c_0} ds = e^{c_0 t}$ . This completes the proof of the claim with  $K_1 = K_2 + 1$ .

Finally, we shall show that the zero solution of (E) is Ex AS. To do this, it suffices to certify by Claim 2 and formula (1) that

$$\left| \int_\sigma^{t+\sigma} X(t + \sigma - u) \int_0^\sigma B(u - \theta)\phi(\theta) d\theta du \right| \leq K e^{-\epsilon t} |\phi|_{[0, \sigma]}$$

for any  $\phi \in C[0, \sigma]$ , where  $K$  is a constant. Since  $\int_0^\infty |B(t)|e^{\epsilon t} dt \leq \ell$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_\sigma^{t+\sigma} X(t + \sigma - u) \int_0^\sigma B(u - \theta)\phi(\theta) d\theta du \right| \\ & \leq \int_\sigma^{t+\sigma} K_1^{-\epsilon(t+\sigma-u)} \int_0^\sigma |B(u - \theta)| d\theta du \cdot |\phi|_{[0, \sigma]} \\ & \leq K_1 |\phi|_{[0, \sigma]} \int_0^\sigma \int_\sigma^{t+\sigma} e^{-\epsilon(t+\sigma-u)} |B(u - \theta)| du d\theta \\ & \leq K_1 |\phi|_{[0, \sigma]} \int_0^\sigma \left\{ \int_\sigma^{t+\sigma} |B(u - \theta)| e^{\epsilon(u-\theta)} du \right\} e^{\epsilon(\theta-t-\sigma)} d\theta \\ & \leq K_1 |\phi|_{[0, \sigma]} \int_0^\sigma \left\{ \int_\theta^\infty |B(u - \theta)| e^{\epsilon(u-\theta)} du \right\} e^{\epsilon(\theta-t-\sigma)} d\theta \\ & \leq (K_1 \ell / \epsilon) e^{-\epsilon t} |\phi|_{[0, \sigma]} \end{aligned}$$

by Claim 2. Hence, we may set  $K = K_1 \ell / \epsilon$  to complete the proof.

Next, we shall study the converse of Theorem 1. Though we do not know whether or not the converse of Theorem 1 holds in general, we can obtain the following result under a restriction on  $B$ .

**Theorem 2.** *Suppose that  $B \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^+)$  and that  $B(t)$  does not change sign on  $\mathbb{R}^+$ . If  $|X(t)| \leq K e^{-\alpha t}$ ,  $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$ , for some positive constants  $K$  and  $\alpha$ , then there exists a constant  $\gamma > 0$  such that condition (4) holds.*

**Proof:** Note that  $\hat{X}(s)$  exists and is analytic in  $s$  for  $\text{Re } s > -\alpha$  and satisfies relation (2). In particular,  $\hat{X}(0) \neq 0$ . By the continuity of  $\hat{X}(s)$  at  $s = 0$ , we can find a (small) open neighborhood  $U$  of 0 such that  $\hat{X}(s) \neq 0$  on  $U$ . Thus,  $\hat{X}^{-1}(s)$  is analytic on  $U$ ; hence the function  $F(s) := s - A - \hat{X}^{-1}(s)$  is analytic on  $U$  and satisfies

$$\hat{B}(s) = F(s) \quad \text{for } \text{Re } s \geq 0. \tag{6}$$

Now, we claim that  $tB(t) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^+)$ . If this is not the case, then there is a constant  $T > 1$  such that

$$\int_0^T |B(t)|(t - 1) dt > k,$$

where  $k = |F'(0)|$ . Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left| \frac{1 - e^{-ht}}{h} - t \right| &= \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \left| \frac{ht^2}{2!} - \frac{h^2 t^3}{3!} + \frac{h^3 t^4}{4!} - \dots \right| \\ &\leq |h| \left( \frac{T^2}{2!} + \frac{T^3}{3!} + \frac{T^4}{4!} + \dots \right) \leq |h| e^T \leq 1 \end{aligned}$$

if  $|h| < \min(1, e^{-T}) := \delta_T$ . Thus, if  $0 < h < \delta_T$ , then

$$\frac{1 - e^{-ht}}{h} \geq t - 1 \quad \text{for } t \in [0, T];$$

and consequently, by (6), we obtain

$$-\frac{F(h) - F(0)}{h} = -\frac{\hat{B}(h) - \hat{B}(0)}{h} = \int_0^\infty B(t) \frac{1 - e^{-ht}}{h} dt$$

or

$$\left| \frac{F(h) - F(0)}{h} \right| = \int_0^\infty |B(t)| \frac{1 - e^{-ht}}{h} dt \geq \int_0^T |B(t)|(t - 1) dt$$

for  $0 < h < \delta_T$ , because  $B(t)$  does not change sign on  $\mathbb{R}^+$ . Letting  $h \rightarrow 0^+$ , we get

$$k = |F'(0)| \geq \int_0^T |B(t)|(t - 1) dt > k,$$

a contradiction. Hence, we must have that  $tB(t) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^+)$ .

Next, we shall prove that

$$F'(s) = - \int_0^\infty te^{-st} B(t) dt \quad \text{for } s \geq 0.$$

Indeed, from the inequality  $1 - x \leq e^{-x}$  for  $x \geq 0$ , it follows that

$$\left| \frac{1 - e^{-ht}}{h} e^{-st} B(t) \right| \leq t|B(t)| \quad \text{on } \mathbb{R}^+$$

for any  $h > 0$  and  $s \geq 0$ . Hence, Lebesgue's dominated theorem implies that

$$\begin{aligned} F'(s) &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{F(s+h) - F(s)}{h} = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\hat{B}(s+h) - \hat{B}(s)}{h} \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-ht} - 1}{h} e^{-st} B(t) dt = - \int_0^\infty te^{-st} B(t) dt \end{aligned}$$

for  $s \geq 0$ . Now, applying the argument for  $B(t)$  in the foregoing paragraphs to the function  $tB(t)$ , we obtain that  $t^2B(t) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^+)$  and  $F''(s) = \int_0^\infty t^2e^{-st} B(t) dt$  for  $s \geq 0$ . Repeat this procedure to obtain

$$t^n B(t) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^+) \quad \text{and} \quad F^{(n)}(s) = (-1)^n \int_0^\infty t^n e^{-st} B(t) dt, \quad s \geq 0, \quad (7)$$

for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . Since  $F$  is analytic on  $U$ , Maclaurin's series  $\sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{F^{(n)}(0)}{n!} s^n$  is absolutely convergent on a closed disk of center zero with a radius  $\gamma > 0$ . Hence,

$$\sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{|F^{(n)}(0)|}{n!} \gamma^n < \infty \quad \text{or} \quad \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{\gamma^n}{n!} \int_0^\infty t^n |B(t)| dt < \infty$$

by (7). Thus,

$$\int_0^\infty e^{\gamma t} |B(t)| dt = \int_0^\infty \left( \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{(\gamma t)^n}{n!} \right) |B(t)| dt = \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{\gamma^n}{n!} \int_0^\infty t^n |B(t)| dt < \infty,$$

where we used Fatou's theorem. This completes the proof.

Combining Theorem 1 and Theorem 2, we have the following result.

**Theorem 3.** Suppose that  $B \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^+)$  and that  $B(t)$  does not change the sign on  $\mathbb{R}^+$ . If the zero solution of (E) is UAS, then the following three statements are equivalent:

- (i) The zero solution of (E) is Ex AS;
- (ii)  $|X(t)| \leq Ke^{-\alpha t}$ ,  $t \geq 0$ , for some positive constants  $K$  and  $\alpha$ ;
- (iii)  $\int_0^\infty |B(t)|e^{\gamma t} dt < \infty$  for a constant  $\gamma > 0$ .

It may be hoped that Theorem 3 is extended to the non-scalar case. In fact, it is straightforward to see that the proof of Theorem 1 is extendable to the non-scalar case by a slight modification. However, the author has not succeeded in extending Theorem 2 to the non-scalar case.

Corduneanu and Lakshmikantham [1, pp. 845-848] have posed a problem: "Whenever the zero solution of (E) is UAS, does  $|X(t)|$  decay exponentially as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ ?" The following example answers the problem in the negative (also, see [5], where a counterexample is given for the equation with purely discrete delays).

**Example.** Consider a scalar equation

$$\dot{x}(t) = -x(t) + \int_0^t B(t-s)x(s) ds, \quad (8)$$

where  $B$  is a nonnegative continuous function with  $\int_0^\infty B(t) dt < 1$ . Since  $|s+1| \geq 1$  and  $|\hat{B}(s)| \leq \int_0^\infty B(t) dt < 1$  for  $\operatorname{Re} s \geq 0$ , we have  $H(s) \neq 0$  for  $\operatorname{Re} s \geq 0$ ; hence, the zero solution of (8) is UAS by [3, Theorem 3.5]. Therefore, from Theorem 3 it follows that the zero solution of (8) is Ex AS when  $B(t) \equiv e^{-bt}$  ( $b > 1$ ), while the zero solution of (8) is not Ex AS when  $B(t) = k/(t+1)^b$  ( $0 < k < b-1$ ).

**Acknowledgement.** The author expresses his hearty gratitude to Professor Constantin Corduneanu for his useful comments and advice on the manuscript.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] C. Corduneanu and V. Lakshmikantham, *Equations with unbounded delay: a survey*, Nonlinear Anal., TMA, 4 (1980), 831-877.
- [2] R.D. Driver, *Existence and stability of solutions of a delay differential system*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., 10 (1962), 401-426.
- [3] S.I. Grossman and R.K. Miller, *Nonlinear Volterra integrodifferential equations*, J. Diff. Equations, 13 (1973), 551-566.
- [4] J.K. Hale, "Theory of Functional Differential Equations," Applied Math. Sci., 3, Springer, New York, 1977.
- [5] F.L. Huang, *Exponential stability for autonomous linear functional differential equations with infinite retardations*, Science in China, 32 (1989), 550-563.
- [6] D.V. Widder, "The Laplace Transform," Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N.J., 1946.