## EXPONENTIAL SUMS AND NEWTON POLYHEDRA

## ALAN ADOLPHSON AND STEVEN SPERBER

Let p be a prime number and let k denote the field of  $q = p^a$  elements. Fix a nontrivial additive character  $\Psi: k \to \mathbf{Q}(\varsigma_p)^{\times}$ . Given a variety V of dimension n and a regular function f on V, with both V and f defined over k, one can define an exponential sum

(1) 
$$S(V,f) = \sum_{x \in V(k)} \Psi(f(x)),$$

where V(k) denotes the k-rational points of V. It is a classical problem to find conditions on V and f that will imply a good estimate for |S(V, f)|. By "good estimate" we mean an inequality of the form

$$|S(V,f)| \le C\sqrt{q}^n,$$

where C is a constant depending on V and f but not on q.

Deligne's fundamental theorem [3] reduces the problem of estimating the archimedean size of exponential sums to the problem of computing certain associated *l*-adic cohomology groups. Let  $\mathbf{A}^n$  denote affine *n*-space over k and let  $(\mathbf{G}_m)^n$  denote the product of *n* copies of the multiplicative group  $\mathbf{G}_m$  over *k*. The purpose of this note is to report on some general criteria, when  $V = (\mathbf{G}_m)^n$  or  $\mathbf{A}^n$ , that allow us to calculate this cohomology and hence obtain sharp archimedean estimates for the corresponding exponential sums. These same criteria allow us to obtain apparently sharp *p*-adic estimates for the exponential sums as well, although space limitations prevent us from describing them here. Connections between the *p*-adic theory and Newton polyhedra already appear in [7 and 8].

A novel feature of our work is the use of Dwork cohomology [4, 5] to compute *l*-adic cohomology. The results of this note have not so far been obtainable by purely *l*-adic methods. Complete proofs and references will appear elsewhere. We are indebted to B. Dwork and N. Katz for many helpful discussions.

1. Statement of results. Let  $k_r$  denote the extension of k of degree r and let  $\operatorname{Tr}_r: k_r \to k$  be the trace map. Let  $\overline{k}$  denote the algebraic closure of k. Set

(3) 
$$S_r(V,f) = \sum_{x \in V(k_r)} \Psi(\operatorname{Tr}_r f(x)),$$

©1987 American Mathematical Society 0273-0979/87 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page

Received by the editors November 1, 1986.

<sup>1980</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification (1985 Revision). Primary 11L40; Secondary 14F20, 14F30.

First author partially supported by NSF Grant No. DMS-8401723; second author partially supported by NSF Grant No. DMS-8301453.

where  $V(k_r)$  denotes the  $k_r$ -rational points of V. Define the associated L-function L(V, f; t) by

(4) 
$$L(V,f;t) = \exp\left(\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} S_r(V,f)t^r/r\right) \in \mathbf{Q}(\varsigma_p)[[t]].$$

It is well known that for every prime number  $l \neq p$  there is a lisse, rankone, *l*-adic étale sheaf  $\mathcal{L}_{\Psi}(f)$  on V whose associated *L*-function is identical to L(V, f; t). By Grothendieck's Lefschetz trace formula and Deligne's fundamental theorem, if

(5) 
$$H_c^i(V \otimes_k \overline{k}, \mathcal{L}_{\Psi}(f)) = 0 \quad \text{for } i \neq n,$$

then one obtains the estimate

(6) 
$$|S_r(V,f)| \le (\dim H^n_c(V \otimes_k \overline{k}, \mathcal{L}_{\Psi}(f)))\sqrt{q}^{rn}$$

(where  $H_c^i(V \otimes_k \overline{k}, \mathcal{L}_{\Psi}(f))$  denotes *l*-adic cohomology with proper supports). When  $V = (\mathbf{G}_m)^n$  or  $\mathbf{A}^n$ , we shall give conditions on f that allow us to deduce (5) and give a simple formula for dim  $H_c^n(V \otimes_k \overline{k}, \mathcal{L}_{\Psi}(f))$ .

Consider first the case  $V = (\mathbf{G}_m)^n$ . The regular functions on V defined over k are the Laurent polynomials with coefficients in k, i.e., elements of  $k[x_1, x_1^{-1}, \ldots, x_n, x_n^{-1}]$ . For  $j = (j_1, \ldots, j_n) \in \mathbf{Z}^n$ , let  $x^j = x_1^{j_1} \cdots x_n^{j_n}$ . A Laurent polynomial f over k can be written

(7) 
$$f = \sum_{j \in J} a_j x^j,$$

where J is a finite subset of  $\mathbb{Z}^n$  and  $a_j \in k^{\times}$ . We define the Newton polyhedron  $\Delta(f)$  of f to be the convex closure in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  of the set  $J \cup \{(0, \ldots, 0)\}$ . For each face  $\sigma$  of  $\Delta(f)$ , define a Laurent polynomial  $f_{\sigma}$  by

(8) 
$$f_{\sigma} = \sum_{j \in \sigma \cap J} a_j x^j.$$

Call f nondegenerate with respect to  $\Delta(f)$  (Kouchnirenko [6]) if for every face  $\sigma$  of  $\Delta(f)$  that does not contain the origin,  $\partial f_{\sigma}/\partial x_1, \ldots, \partial f_{\sigma}/\partial x_n$  have no common zero in  $(\overline{k}^{\times})^n$ . The set of all nondegenerate polynomials having a given Newton polyhedron is Zariski open in the set of all polynomials having that Newton polyhedron, except possibly if the characteristic of k lies in a certain finite set which depends on the Newton polyhedron. We define the dimension of  $\Delta(f)$  to be the dimension of the smallest subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  containing  $\Delta(f)$ . Let V(f) denote the volume of  $\Delta(f)$  with respect to Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

THEOREM 1. Let  $\Delta$  be an n-dimensional convex polyhedron in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with vertices in  $\mathbb{Z}^n$  that contains the origin. There is a finite set of rational primes  $S_{\Delta}$  such that the following holds: If char(k)  $\notin S_{\Delta}$ ,  $f \in k[x_1, x_1^{-1}, \ldots, x_n, x_n^{-1}]$  with  $\Delta(f) = \Delta$ , and  $\underline{f}$  is nondegenerate with respect to  $\Delta(f)$ , then

- (i)  $H_c^i((\mathbf{G}_m)^n \otimes_k \overline{k}, \mathcal{L}_{\Psi}(f)) = 0$  if  $i \neq n$ ;
- (ii) dim  $H_c^n((\mathbf{G}_m)^n \otimes_k \overline{k}, \mathcal{L}_{\Psi}(f)) = n! V(f).$

If in addition the origin is an interior point of  $\Delta$ , then (iii)  $H^n_c((\mathbf{G}_m)^n \otimes_k \overline{k}, \mathcal{L}_{\Psi}(f))$  is pure of weight n.

COROLLARY. Under the hypotheses of Theorem 1,

 $|S((\mathbf{G}_m)^n, f)| \le n! V(f) \sqrt{q}^n.$ 

PROOF. Using the ideal theory of [6], we are able to develop a cohomology theory along the lines of [4] and [5] to show that  $L((\mathbf{G}_m)^n, f; t)^{(-1)^{n-1}}$  is a polynomial of degree n!V(f) and obtain *p*-adic estimates for its roots. The proof then proceeds by induction on *n*. After an invertible change of coordinates, one may regard *f* as a one-parameter family of Laurent polynomials in n-1 variables, each satisfying the induction hypothesis and containing the origin in the interior of its Newton polyhedron. Applying basic theorems of *l*-adic cohomology shows that  $H_c^i = 0$  except possibly in dimensions *n* and n+1. Corollaire 1.4.4 of [3] and the fact that  $L((\mathbf{G}_m)^n, f; t)^{(-1)^{n-1}}$  is a polynomial show that  $H_c^{n+1} = 0$ . The *p*-adic estimate for the roots, Deligne's fundamental theorem [3], and the product formula for valuations then imply purity.

We conjecture that Theorem 1 remains true without restriction on the characteristic of k. This can be verified if n = 2 and in many other cases (see the examples at the end of this note).

We now turn to the case  $V = \mathbf{A}^n$ ,  $f \in k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ . Since an ordinary polynomial may also be regarded as a Laurent polynomial, all our previous definitions concerning the Newton polyhedron make sense in this context. We call  $f \in k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$  commode if for each  $i = 1, \ldots, n$ , f contains a term  $\gamma_i x_i^{d_i}$  with  $\gamma_i \in k^{\times}$ ,  $d_i > 0$ . For each subset  $A \subseteq \{1, \ldots, n\}$ , let  $X_A$  be the subspace of  $\mathbf{R}^n$  where  $x_i = 0$  for all  $i \notin A$ . Let  $V_A(f)$  be the volume of  $\Delta(f) \cap X_A$ , computed with respect to Lebesgue measure on  $X_A$  normalized so that a fundamental domain for  $\mathbf{Z}^n \cap X_A$  has volume 1. Let |A| denote the cardinality of A. Define the Newton number  $\nu(f)$  by the formula

(9) 
$$\nu(f) = \sum_{A \subseteq \{1,...,n\}} (-1)^{n-|A|} |A|! V_A(f).$$

Let  $\mathbf{R}_+$  denote the nonnegative real numbers.

THEOREM 2. Let  $\Delta$  be a convex polyhedron in  $(\mathbf{R}_+)^n$  with vertices in  $\mathbb{Z}^n$ that has a vertex at the origin and on each of the coordinate axes. There is a finite set of rational primes  $S_{\Delta}$  such that the following holds: If  $\operatorname{char}(k) \notin S_{\Delta}$ ,  $f \in k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$  with  $\Delta(f) = \Delta$ , and f is nondegenerate with respect to  $\Delta(f)$ , then  $L(\mathbf{A}^n, f; t)^{(-1)^{n-1}}$  is a polynomial of degree  $\nu(f)$ , all of whose reciprocal roots are algebraic integers pure of weight n.

COROLLARY. Under the hypotheses of Theorem 2,  $|S(\mathbf{A}^n, f)| \leq \nu(f)\sqrt{q}^n$ .

**PROOF.** The fact that  $L(\mathbf{A}^n, f; t)^{(-1)^{n-1}}$  is a polynomial is a consequence of the *p*-adic theory. Theorem 2 then follows from Theorem 1 by the standard relations between exponential sums over  $\mathbf{A}^n$  and  $(\mathbf{G}_m)^n$ .

We conjecture that Theorem 2 remains true without restriction on the characteristic of k. This can be verified if n = 2 and in many other cases

(see Theorem 3 below). Of course, we believe that there is a cohomological explanation for this result:

CONJECTURE. If  $f \in k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$  is commode and nondegenerate with respect to  $\Delta(f)$ , then

(i)  $H^i_c(\mathbf{A}^n \otimes_k \overline{k}, \mathcal{L}_{\Psi}(f)) = 0$  if  $i \neq n$ ; (ii) dim  $H^n_c(\mathbf{A}^n \otimes_k \overline{k}, \mathcal{L}_{\Psi}(f)) = \nu(f)$ ;

(iii)  $H^n_c(\mathbf{A}^n \otimes_k \overline{k}, \mathcal{L}_{\Psi}(f))$  is pure of weight n.

We can prove this conjecture provided  $\Delta(f)$  has a somewhat special form.

THEOREM 3. Suppose  $f \in k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$  is commode and nondegenerate with respect to  $\Delta(f)$ . Assume in addition that for each codimension-one face  $\sigma$  of  $\Delta(f)$  that does not contain the origin, all coordinates of the exterior normal vector to  $\sigma$  with respect to the standard basis are positive (where the **exterior** normal vector is the one pointing out of  $\Delta(f)$ ). Then all conclusions of the Conjecture hold. In particular, we have

$$|S(\mathbf{A}^n, f)| \le \nu(f)\sqrt{q}^n.$$

PROOF. The proof is identical to the proof of Theorem 1, the point being that one can simply specialize one of the variables to regard f as a one-parameter family of polynomials, each satisfying the induction hypothesis.

EXAMPLES. The Laurent polynomial

(10) 
$$f = \gamma_1 x_1^{d_1} + \dots + \gamma_n x_n^{d_n} + \frac{\gamma_{n+1}}{x_1^{e_1} \dots x_n^{e_n}},$$

where the  $\gamma_i$  lie in  $k^{\times}$  and the  $d_i$  and  $e_j$  are positive integers prime to p, satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 1 (one can show in addition that no restriction on char(k) is necessary) and  $n! V(f) = (\prod_{i=1}^n d_i)(1 + \sum_{i=1}^n e_i/d_i)$ . Thus

(11) 
$$\left| S\left( (\mathbf{G}_m)^n, \gamma_1 x_1^{d_1} + \dots + \gamma_n x_n^{d_n} + \frac{\gamma_{n+1}}{x_1^{e_1} \cdots x_n^{e_n}} \right) \right| \\ \leq \left( \prod_{i=1}^n d_i \right) \left( 1 + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{e_i}{d_i} \right) \sqrt{q}^n.$$

See Carpentier [1] for a *p*-adic study of this exponential sum.

Consider the polynomial

(12) 
$$f(x_1,...,x_n) = \gamma_1 x_1^{d_1} + \cdots + \gamma_n x_n^{d_n} + g(x_1,...,x_n),$$

where g is chosen subject to the restrictions that  $\Delta(f)$  be the simplex with vertices at the origin and at  $(d_1, 0, \ldots, 0), \ldots, (0, \ldots, 0, d_n)$  and that f be nondegenerate with respect to  $\Delta(f)$ . Then f satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 3 and  $\nu(f) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} (d_i - 1)$ , hence

(13) 
$$|S(\mathbf{A}^n, f)| \leq \left(\prod_{i=1}^n (d_i - 1)\right) \sqrt{q}^n.$$

It can be shown that this result includes Deligne's theorem [2, Théorème 8.4] as the special case where  $d_1 = \cdots = d_n$ .

## REFERENCES

1. M. Carpentier, p-Adic cohomology of generalized hyperkloosterman sums, Doctoral thesis, University of Minnesota (August, 1985).

2. P. Deligne, La conjecture de Weil. I, Publ Math. I.H.E.S. 43 (1974), 273-307.

3. \_\_\_\_, La conjecture de Weil. II, Publ. Math. I.H.E.S. 52 (1980), 137-252.

4. B. Dwork, On the zeta function of a hypersurface, Publ. Math. I.H.E.S. 12 (1962), 5-68.

5. \_\_\_\_, On the zeta function of a hypersurface. II, Ann. of Math. 80 (1964), 227-299. 6. A. G. Kouchnirenko, Polyèdres de Newton et nombres de Milnor, Invent. Math. 32 (1976), 1-31.

7. S. Sperber and A. Adolphson, Newton polyhedra and the degree of the L-function associated to an exponential sum, Invent. Math. (to appear).

8. \_\_\_\_, p-Adic estimates for exponential sums and the theorem of Chevalley-Warning, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (to appear).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, OKLAHOMA STATE UNIVERSITY, STILLWATER, OKLAHOMA 74078

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA, MINNEAPOLIS, MINNESOTA 55455