



Expressions and Perturbations for the Moore–Penrose Inverse of Bounded Linear Operators in Hilbert Spaces

Fapeng Du^{a,b}

^aDepartment of Mathematics, Southeast University, Nanjing, 211100, Jiangsu Province, P. R. China

^bSchool of mathematical & Physical Sciences, Xuzhou Institute of Technology, Xuzhou, 221008, Jiangsu Province, P. R. China

Abstract. Let A, X, Y be bounded linear operators. In this paper, we present the explicit expression for the Moore–Penrose inverse of $A - XY$. In virtue of the expression of $(A + X)^\dagger$, we get the upper bounds of $\|(A + X)^\dagger\|$ and $\|(A + X)^\dagger - A^\dagger\|$.

1. Introduction

Let H_1, H_2, K, K_1, K_2 be Hilbert spaces. $B(H_1, H_2)$ denote the set of the bounded linear operators from H_1 to H_2 . $B(K, K)$ is abbreviated to $B(K)$. Let $A \in B(H_1, H_2)$. We denote the rang and the kernel of A by $R(A)$ and $\ker(A)$, respectively.

The operator $B \in B(H_2, H_1)$ which satisfied $ABA = A$ is called the inner inverse of A , denoted by A^- . If B is an inner inverse and satisfied $BAB = B$, then B is called a generalized inverse of A , denoted by A^\dagger . The Moore–Penrose inverse of A , denoted by A^\dagger , is the unique solution to the following equations:

$$AA^\dagger A = A, \quad A^\dagger AA^\dagger = A^\dagger, \quad (AA^\dagger)^* = AA^\dagger, \quad (A^\dagger A)^* = A^\dagger A,$$

in which A^* denote the adjoint operator of A . It is well known that A has an Moore–Penrose inverse iff $R(A)$ is closed. From [17, Proposition 3.5.3], we know $A^\dagger = A^*(AA^*)^\dagger = (A^*A)^\dagger A^*$ if A^\dagger exists.

The perturbation for the Moore–Penrose inverse of bounded linear operators has been studying by many authors. G.Chen and Y.Xue introduce the notation so-called the stable perturbation in [2, 4]. This notation is an extension of the rank-preserving perturbation of matrices. Using this notation, they give the estimation of upper bounds about the perturbation of Moore–Penrose and Drazin inverse in the work of Chen and Xue et al (cf.[2–4, 17–20]). A classical results for the perturbation analysis of the Moore–Penrose inverse is

$$\|\bar{T}^\dagger\| \leq \frac{\|T^\dagger\|}{1 - \|T^\dagger\|\|\delta T\|}, \quad \frac{\|\bar{T}^\dagger - T^\dagger\|}{\|T^\dagger\|} \leq \frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2} \frac{\|T^\dagger\|}{1 - \|T^\dagger\|\|\delta T\|}$$

when $\|T^\dagger\|\|\delta T\| < 1$ and \bar{T} is a stable perturbation of T , i.e., $R(\bar{T}) \cap R(T)^\perp = \{0\}$ ($T \in B(H, K)$, $\bar{T} = T + \delta T \in B(H, K)$).

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 15A09; Secondary 65F20

Keywords. Moore–Penrose inverse, generalized inverse, Hilbert spaces

Received: 21 May 2014; Accepted: 13 September 2014

Communicated by Dragana Cvetković–Ilić

Research supported by the fund for postdoctoral of China (2015M581688), supported by the Foundation of Xuzhou Institute of Technology(No.XKY2014207)

Email address: jsdfp@163.com (Fapeng Du)

Later this notation is generalized to the set of Banach algebras by Y.Xue in [18] and to the set of Hilbert C^* -module by Xu et al. in [16]. Motivated by the stable perturbation, we want to investigate the general perturbation analysis for the Moore–Penrose inverse of bounded linear operators. In order to do this, we must study the expression for the Moore–Penrose inverse of $A - XY$.

The Moore–Penrose inverse of $A - XY$ has many applications in statistics, networks, optimizations etc. (see [10, 11, 14]). For a long time, the expression of $(A - XY)^\dagger$ has been studied by many authors and been obtained lots of results under certain conditions(see [1, 5–7, 9, 13, 15]).

In this paper, we first investigate the Moore–Penrose inverse of $A - XY$ and give the explicit expression of the Moore–Penrose inverse $(A - XY)^\dagger$ under the weaker conditions. Using the expression of $(A - XY)^\dagger$, we estimate the upper bounds for the perturbation of the Moore–Penrose inverse $(A + X)^\dagger$. Our results are new and generalize the stable perturbation to the case $I + A^\dagger X$ is not invertible.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we give some lemmas which will be used in the context. The first two lemmas which come from [3, 9, 17] play an important role in this paper.

Lemma 2.1. [3, 9, 17] *Let $S \in B(K)$ be an idempotent, then $I - S - S^*$ is invertible and $O(S) = S(S + S^* - I)^{-1}$ is a projection (i.e. $(O(S))^2 = O(S) = (O(S))^*$) and $O(S) = SS^\dagger, O(I - S) = I - S^\dagger S$.*

Lemma 2.2. [3, 9, 17] *Let $A \in B(H_1, H_2)$ with $R(A)$ closed. Then*

$$A^\dagger = [I - O(I - A^\dagger A)]A^\dagger O(AA^\dagger) = (I - P - P^*)^{-1}A^\dagger(I - Q - Q^*)^{-1}.$$

Here, $P = A^\dagger A, Q = AA^\dagger$.

From Lemma 2.2, we get A^\dagger exists iff A^+ exists and have the following equations:

$$AA^\dagger = O(AA^\dagger) = AA^\dagger(AA^\dagger + (AA^\dagger)^* - I)^{-1},$$

$$A^\dagger A = I - O(I - A^\dagger A) = (A^\dagger A + (A^\dagger A)^* - I)^{-1}A^\dagger A.$$

Remark 2.3. *Assume that A^- is an inner inverse of A , then A^-AA^- is a generalized inverse of A . Thus, we have*

$$A^\dagger = [I - O(I - A^-A)]A^-O(AA^-)$$

when there is an inner inverse A^- of A . (Please see [3, 9, 17] for details).

Lemma 2.4. [12, 17] *Let $A \in B(H_1, H_2), B \in B(H_2, H_1)$. Then $(AB)^\dagger = B^\dagger A^\dagger$ iff $R(A^*AB) \subseteq R(B)$ and $R(BB^*A^*) \subseteq R(A^*)$.*

3. The Expression for the Moore–Penrose Inverse

Let $A \in B(H_1, H_2)$ with $R(A)$ closed and $X \in B(K, H_2), Y \in B(H_1, K)$. Let $E_A = I - AA^\dagger, F_A = I - A^\dagger A, U = E_A X, V = Y F_A$ with $R(U), R(V)$ closed throughout this paper.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $A \in B(H_1, H_2)$ with $R(A)$ closed and $X \in B(K, H_2), Y \in B(H_1, K), Z = I - Y A^\dagger X, S = E_V Z F_U$. If $R(S)$ is closed, then*

$$\Lambda = A^\dagger - V^\dagger Y A^\dagger + (V^\dagger Z + A^\dagger X)[S^\dagger Y A^\dagger - (I - S^\dagger Z)U^\dagger] \tag{1}$$

is an inner inverse of $A - XY$ and

$$(A - XY)^\dagger = \{I - (A^\dagger X + V^\dagger Z)F_U F_S Y - ((A^\dagger X + V^\dagger Z)F_U F_S Y)^*\}^{-1}$$

$$\times \{A^\dagger - V^\dagger Y A^\dagger + (V^\dagger Z + A^\dagger X)[S^\dagger Y A^\dagger - (I - S^\dagger Z)U^\dagger]\}$$

$$\times \{I - X E_S E_V (Y A^\dagger + Z U^\dagger) - (X E_S E_V (Y A^\dagger + Z U^\dagger))^*\}^{-1}$$

$$= \{I - ((A^\dagger X + V^\dagger Z)F_U F_S Y)((A^\dagger X + V^\dagger Z)F_U F_S Y)^\dagger\}$$

$$\times \{A^\dagger - V^\dagger Y A^\dagger + (V^\dagger Z + A^\dagger X)[S^\dagger Y A^\dagger - (I - S^\dagger Z)U^\dagger]\}$$

$$\times \{I - (X E_S E_V (Y A^\dagger + Z U^\dagger))^\dagger (X E_S E_V (Y A^\dagger + Z U^\dagger))\}.$$

Proof. Let $\Lambda = A^\dagger - V^\dagger YA^\dagger + (V^\dagger Z + A^\dagger X)[S^\dagger YA^\dagger - (I - S^\dagger Z)U^\dagger]$. Noting that

$$U^\dagger = U^\dagger E_A, \quad V^\dagger = F_A V^\dagger, \quad S^\dagger = F_U S^\dagger = S^\dagger E_V = F_U S^\dagger E_V,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} YV^\dagger &= VV^\dagger, & AV^\dagger &= 0, & US^\dagger &= 0, & E_V ZS^\dagger &= SS^\dagger, \\ U^\dagger X &= U^\dagger U, & U^\dagger A &= 0, & S^\dagger V &= 0, & S^\dagger ZF_U &= S^\dagger S. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} (A - XY)\Lambda &= AA^\dagger + UU^\dagger - XE_S E_V (YA^\dagger + ZU^\dagger), \\ \Lambda(A - XY) &= A^\dagger A + V^\dagger V - (A^\dagger X + V^\dagger Z)F_U F_S Y \end{aligned}$$

and $(A - XY)\Lambda(A - XY) = (A - XY)$. This indicate Λ is an inner inverse of $A - XY$.

Since

$$\begin{aligned} (I - 2A^\dagger A - 2V^\dagger V)^{-1} &= (I - 2A^\dagger A - 2V^\dagger V), \\ (I - 2AA^\dagger - 2UU^\dagger)^{-1} &= (I - 2AA^\dagger - 2UU^\dagger), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(I - 2A^\dagger A - 2V^\dagger V)\Lambda(I - 2AA^\dagger - 2UU^\dagger) = \Lambda,$$

we have, by Lemma 2.2,

$$\begin{aligned} (A - XY)^\dagger &= \{I - (A^\dagger X + V^\dagger Z)F_U F_S Y - ((A^\dagger X + V^\dagger Z)F_U F_S Y)^*\}^{-1} \\ &\quad \times \{A^\dagger - V^\dagger YA^\dagger + (V^\dagger Z + A^\dagger X)[S^\dagger YA^\dagger - (I - S^\dagger Z)U^\dagger]\} \\ &\quad \times \{I - XE_S E_V (YA^\dagger + ZU^\dagger) - (XE_S E_V (YA^\dagger + ZU^\dagger))^*\}^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} Y(A^\dagger X + V^\dagger Z)F_U F_S &= (YA^\dagger X + VV^\dagger Z)F_U F_S \\ &= (I - Z + VV^\dagger Z)F_U F_S \\ &= (I - E_V Z)F_U F_S \\ &= F_U F_S \\ E_S E_V (YA^\dagger + ZU^\dagger)X &= E_S E_V (YA^\dagger X + ZU^\dagger X) \\ &= E_S E_V (I - ZF_U) \\ &= E_S E_V, \end{aligned}$$

we have $(A^\dagger X + V^\dagger Z)F_U F_S Y$ and $XE_S E_V (YA^\dagger + ZU^\dagger)$ are idempotent, Thus, $R((A^\dagger X + V^\dagger Z)F_U F_S Y)$ and $R(XE_S E_V (YA^\dagger + ZU^\dagger))$ are closed. So, $[(A^\dagger X + V^\dagger Z)F_U F_S Y]^\dagger$ and $[XE_S E_V (YA^\dagger + ZU^\dagger)]^\dagger$ exist.

Noting that $I - (A^\dagger X + V^\dagger Z)F_U F_S Y$ and $I - XE_S E_V (YA^\dagger + ZU^\dagger)$ are idempotent too and

$$\begin{aligned} &\{I - (A^\dagger X + V^\dagger Z)F_U F_S Y\} \Lambda \{I - XE_S E_V (YA^\dagger + ZU^\dagger)\} \\ &= \{I - A^\dagger A - V^\dagger V + \Lambda(A - XY)\} \Lambda \{I - AA^\dagger - UU^\dagger + (A - XY)\Lambda\} \\ &= \Lambda(A - XY)\Lambda \\ &= \Lambda(I - XE_S E_V (YA^\dagger + ZU^\dagger)). \end{aligned}$$

We get $\bar{\Lambda} = \Lambda(I - XE_S E_V (YA^\dagger + ZU^\dagger))$ is a generalized inverse of $A - XY$ and

$$(A - XY)\Lambda = (A - XY)\bar{\Lambda}, \quad \bar{\Lambda}(A - XY) = \Lambda(A - XY).$$

Since $(AA^\dagger + UU^\dagger)X = AA^\dagger X + UU^\dagger X = X - U + U = X$, we have

$$(AA^\dagger + UU^\dagger)XE_S E_V(YA^\dagger + ZU^\dagger) = XE_S E_V(YA^\dagger + ZU^\dagger) = XE_S E_V(YA^\dagger + ZU^\dagger)(AA^\dagger + UU^\dagger).$$

This indicate $XE_S E_V(YA^\dagger + ZU^\dagger)$ commute with $I - 2AA^\dagger - 2UU^\dagger$.

Noting that the result of the Lemma 2.2 is independent of the choice of A^\dagger . Hence, by Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (A - XY)^\dagger &= [I - O(\bar{\Lambda}(A - XY))] \bar{\Lambda} O((A - XY) \bar{\Lambda}) \\ &= \{I - (A^\dagger X + V^\dagger Z)F_U F_S Y - ((A^\dagger X + V^\dagger Z)F_U F_S Y)^*\}^{-1} \\ &\quad \times \Lambda(I - XE_S E_V(YA^\dagger + ZU^\dagger)) \\ &\quad \times \{I - XE_S E_V(YA^\dagger + ZU^\dagger) - (XE_S E_V(YA^\dagger + ZU^\dagger))^*\}^{-1} \\ &= \{I - (A^\dagger X + V^\dagger Z)F_U F_S Y - ((A^\dagger X + V^\dagger Z)F_U F_S Y)^*\}^{-1} \\ &\quad \times \{I - (A^\dagger X + V^\dagger Z)F_U F_S Y\} \Lambda \{I - XE_S E_V(YA^\dagger + ZU^\dagger)\} \\ &\quad \times \{I - XE_S E_V(YA^\dagger + ZU^\dagger) - (XE_S E_V(YA^\dagger + ZU^\dagger))^*\}^{-1} \\ &= \{I - O[(A^\dagger X + V^\dagger Z)F_U F_S Y]\} \Lambda O[I - (XE_S E_V(YA^\dagger + ZU^\dagger))] \\ &= \{I - ((A^\dagger X + V^\dagger Z)F_U F_S Y)((A^\dagger X + V^\dagger Z)F_U F_S Y)^\dagger\} \\ &\quad \times \{A^\dagger - V^\dagger Y A^\dagger + (V^\dagger Z + A^\dagger X)[S^\dagger Y A^\dagger - (I - S^\dagger Z)U^\dagger]\} \\ &\quad \times \{I - (XE_S E_V(YA^\dagger + ZU^\dagger))^\dagger (XE_S E_V(YA^\dagger + ZU^\dagger))\}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 3.2. Let $A \in B(H_1, H_2)$ with $R(A)$ closed and $X \in B(K, H_2), Y \in B(H_1, K), Z = I - YA^\dagger X$. If $R(X) \subseteq R(A), \ker(A) \subseteq \ker(Y)$ and $R(Z)$ is closed, then $A^\dagger + A^\dagger X Z^\dagger Y A^\dagger$ is an inner inverse of $A - XY$ and

$$\begin{aligned} (A - XY)^\dagger &= \{I - (A^\dagger X F_Z Y) - (A^\dagger X F_Z Y)^*\}^{-1} \{A^\dagger + A^\dagger X Z^\dagger Y A^\dagger\} \\ &\quad \times \{I - (X E_Z Y A^\dagger) - (X E_Z Y A^\dagger)^*\}^{-1} \\ &= \{I - (A^\dagger X F_Z Y)(A^\dagger X F_Z Y)^\dagger\} \{A^\dagger + A^\dagger X Z^\dagger Y A^\dagger\} \\ &\quad \times \{I - (X E_Z Y A^\dagger)^\dagger (X E_Z Y A^\dagger)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Since $R(X) \subseteq R(A), \ker(A) \subseteq \ker(Y)$, we have $U = 0 = V$. Hence, the results follow by Theorem 3.1. □

Corollary 3.3. Let $A, X \in B(H_1, H_2)$ with $R(A)$ closed and $Z = I + A^\dagger X, S = A^\dagger A Z F_U$. If $R(S)$ is closed, then

$$\Lambda = A^\dagger + (F_A - A^\dagger X)[S^\dagger A^\dagger + (I - S^\dagger Z)U^\dagger] \tag{2}$$

is an inner inverse of $A + X$ and

$$\begin{aligned} (A + X)^\dagger &= \{I - (F_U F_S) - (F_U F_S)^*\}^{-1} \{A^\dagger + (F_A - A^\dagger X)[S^\dagger A^\dagger + (I - S^\dagger Z)U^\dagger]\} \\ &\quad \times \{I + (X E_S (A^\dagger - A^\dagger A Z U^\dagger)) + (X E_S (A^\dagger - A^\dagger A Z U^\dagger))^*\}^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Epecially, if $U = 0$, then $S = A^\dagger A Z$ and $\Lambda = (I + S^\dagger - S S^\dagger)A^\dagger$ is an inner inverse of $A + X$ and

$$(A + X)^\dagger = (2S^\dagger S - I)(I + S^\dagger - S S^\dagger)A^\dagger \{I + X E_S A^\dagger + (X E_S A^\dagger)^*\}^{-1}.$$

Proof. Replacing Y by $-I$ in Eq.(1), we get Eq.(2). If $U = 0$, then $S = A^\dagger A Z$. By Eq.(2),

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda &= A^\dagger + (F_A - A^\dagger X)S^\dagger A^\dagger \\ &= A^\dagger + (I - S)S^\dagger A^\dagger \\ &= (I + S^\dagger - S S^\dagger)A^\dagger. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the results are obtained by Theorem 3.1. □

Corollary 3.4. Let $A, Y \in B(H_1, H_2)$ with $R(A)$ closed and $Z = I + YA^\dagger, T = E_V ZAA^\dagger$. If $R(T)$ is closed, then

$$\Lambda = V^\dagger + (A^\dagger - V^\dagger Z)[T^\dagger + (I - T^\dagger Z)AA^\dagger] \tag{3}$$

is an inner inverse of $A + Y$ and

$$(A + Y)^\dagger = \{I - (V^\dagger Z - A^\dagger)AA^\dagger F_T Y - ((V^\dagger Z - A^\dagger)AA^\dagger F_T Y)^*\}^{-1} \\ \times \{V^\dagger + (A^\dagger - V^\dagger Z)[T^\dagger + (I - T^\dagger Z)AA^\dagger]\} \{I - E_T E_V - (E_T E_V)^*\}^{-1}.$$

Especially, if $V = 0$, then $T = ZAA^\dagger$ and $A^\dagger(I + T^\dagger - T^\dagger T)$ is an inner inverse of $A + Y$ and

$$(A + Y)^\dagger = \{I + A^\dagger F_T Y + (A^\dagger F_T Y)^*\}^{-1} A^\dagger (I + T^\dagger - T^\dagger T) (2TT^\dagger - I).$$

Proof. Replacing X by $-I$ in Eq.(1), we have

$$\Lambda = A^\dagger - V^\dagger YA^\dagger + (V^\dagger Z - A^\dagger)[T^\dagger YA^\dagger + (I - T^\dagger Z)E_A] \\ = A^\dagger - V^\dagger(Z - I) + (V^\dagger Z - A^\dagger)[T^\dagger YA^\dagger - T^\dagger ZE_A + E_A] \\ = V^\dagger + (A^\dagger - V^\dagger Z)[I - T^\dagger YA^\dagger + T^\dagger ZE_A - E_A] \\ = V^\dagger + (A^\dagger - V^\dagger Z)[T^\dagger + (I - T^\dagger Z)AA^\dagger].$$

Noting that $YA^\dagger - ZE_A = ZAA^\dagger - I$, by Theorem 3.1, the results are obtained. \square

Corollary 3.5. Let $X \in B(H_2, H_1), Y \in B(H_1, H_2)$. Then $R(I - XY)$ is closed iff $R(I - YX)$ is closed and $I + X(I - YX)^\dagger Y$ is an inner inverse of $I - XY$. Put $Z = I - YX$, then

$$(I - XY)^\dagger = \{I - XF_Z Y - (XF_Z Y)^*\}^{-1} (I + XZ^\dagger Y) \{I - XE_Z Y - (XE_Z Y)^*\}^{-1}.$$

Proof. The assertion follows by Corollary 3.2. \square

In [6, 13], the expression for the Moore–Penrose inverse of $A - XGY$ was obtained under some complex conditions, respectively. Now, we get this expression under simpler conditions.

Proposition 3.6. Let $A \in B(H_1, H_2), X \in B(K_2, H_2), Y \in B(H_1, K_1), G \in B(K_1, K_2)$ with $R(A), R(G)$ closed. If $R(X) \subseteq R(A), \ker(A) \subseteq \ker(Y)$ and $\ker(X)^\perp \subseteq R(G), R(Y) \subseteq \ker(G)^\perp$ and $R(G^\dagger - YA^\dagger X)$ closed, then

$$\Lambda = A^\dagger + A^\dagger X(G^\dagger - YA^\dagger X)^\dagger YA^\dagger$$

is an inner inverse of $A - XGY$ and

$$(A - XGY)^\dagger = \{I - (A^\dagger X F_W G Y) - (A^\dagger X F_W G Y)^*\}^{-1} \{A^\dagger + A^\dagger X W^\dagger YA^\dagger\} \\ \times \{I - (X G E_W YA^\dagger) - (X G E_W YA^\dagger)^*\}^{-1} \\ = \{I - (A^\dagger X F_W G Y)(A^\dagger X F_W G Y)^\dagger\} \{A^\dagger + A^\dagger X W^\dagger YA^\dagger\} \\ \times \{I - (X G E_W YA^\dagger)^\dagger (X G E_W YA^\dagger)\}.$$

Here, $W = G^\dagger - YA^\dagger X$.

Proof. Let $W = G^\dagger - YA^\dagger X$ and $\Lambda = A^\dagger + A^\dagger X(G^\dagger - YA^\dagger X)^\dagger YA^\dagger$.

Since $R(X) \subseteq R(A), \ker(A) \subseteq \ker(Y)$ and $\ker(X)^\perp \subseteq R(G), R(Y) \subseteq \ker(G)^\perp$, we have

$$AA^\dagger X = X, \quad YA^\dagger A = Y, \quad XGG^\dagger = X, \quad G^\dagger GY = Y.$$

Thus,

$$(A - XGY)\Lambda = AA^\dagger - XGE_W YA^\dagger, \quad \Lambda(A - XGY) = A^\dagger A - A^\dagger X F_W G Y,$$

and $(A - XGY)\Lambda(A - XGY) = (A - XGY)$. This shows Λ is an inner inverse of $A - XGY$. By Lemma 2.2,

$$(A - XGY)^\dagger = \{I - (A^\dagger X F_W G Y) - (A^\dagger X F_W G Y)^*\}^{-1} \{A^\dagger + A^\dagger X W^\dagger Y A^\dagger\} \\ \times \{I - (X G E_W Y A^\dagger) - (X G E_W Y A^\dagger)^*\}^{-1}.$$

Noting that $W = G^\dagger - Y A^\dagger X = G^\dagger - G^\dagger G Y A^\dagger X G G^\dagger$, we have $R(W) \subseteq R(G^\dagger)$ and $\ker(G^\dagger) \subseteq \ker(W)$. Thus,

$$G^\dagger G W = W, \quad W G G^\dagger = W.$$

Again, $\ker(G) \subseteq \ker(W^\dagger)$ and $R(W^\dagger) \subseteq R(G)$ by $R(W) = \ker(W^\dagger)^\perp, R(G^\dagger) = \ker(G)^\perp, \ker(G^\dagger) = R(G)^\perp, \ker(W) = R(G^\dagger)^\perp$. We have

$$G G^\dagger W^\dagger = W^\dagger, \quad W^\dagger G^\dagger G = W^\dagger.$$

Thus,

$$(A^\dagger X F_W G Y)(A^\dagger X F_W G Y) = A^\dagger X F_W G (Y A^\dagger X) F_W G Y \\ = A^\dagger X F_W G (G^\dagger - W) F_W G Y \\ = A^\dagger X F_W G Y.$$

Similarly, $X G E_W Y A^\dagger$ is an idempotent too. Thus, $R(A^\dagger X F_W G Y)$ and $R(X G E_W Y A^\dagger)$ are closed.

Since $(I - 2A^\dagger A)\Lambda(I - 2AA^\dagger) = \Lambda$ and

$$(I - A^\dagger X F_W G Y)\Lambda(I - X G E_W Y A^\dagger) = (I - A^\dagger X F_W G Y)(I - 2A^\dagger A)\Lambda(I - 2AA^\dagger)(I - X G E_W Y A^\dagger) \\ = (I - 2A^\dagger A + A^\dagger X F_W G Y)\Lambda(I - 2AA^\dagger + X G E_W Y A^\dagger) \\ = (I - A^\dagger A - \Lambda(A - XGY))\Lambda(I - AA^\dagger - (A - XGY)) \\ = \Lambda(A - XGY)\Lambda \\ = \Lambda(I - X G E_W Y A^\dagger),$$

we have $\bar{\Lambda} = \Lambda(I - X G E_W Y A^\dagger)$ is a generalized inverse of $A - XGY$ and

$$(A - XGY)\bar{\Lambda} = (A - XGY)\Lambda, \quad \bar{\Lambda}(A - XGY) = \Lambda(A - XGY).$$

Noting that $I - X G E_W Y A^\dagger$ commute with $I - 2AA^\dagger$ and consequently, by Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2,

$$(A - XGY)^\dagger = \{I - (A^\dagger X F_W G Y)(A^\dagger X F_W G Y)^\dagger\} \{A^\dagger + A^\dagger X W^\dagger Y A^\dagger\} \{I - (X G E_W Y A^\dagger)^\dagger(X G E_W Y A^\dagger)\}.$$

□

4. The Perturbation Analysis of the Moore–Penrose Inverse

In this section, we study the perturbation of the Moore–Penrose inverse and give the upper bound of $\|(A + X)^\dagger\|$ and $\|(A + X)^\dagger - A^\dagger\|$ on general case.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $A, X \in B(H_1, H_2)$ with $R(A)$ closed and $Z = I + A^\dagger X, U = E_A X, F_U = I - U^\dagger U, S = A^\dagger A Z F_U$. If $R(S)$ is closed, then*

$$\|(A + X)^\dagger\| \leq (1 + \|S^\dagger\|)(\|A^\dagger\| + \|Z\| \|U^\dagger\|) + \|U^\dagger\|.$$

$$\|(A + X)^\dagger - A^\dagger\| \leq \left\{ \|(I + S^\dagger - S S^\dagger)(A^\dagger - A^\dagger A Z U^\dagger) + U^\dagger\|^2 + \|A^\dagger\|^2 \right\} \|X\|.$$

Proof. Since $R(S)$ is closed, we have $(A + X)^\dagger$ exists by Corollary 3.3. Noting that $A^\dagger AZS^\dagger = SS^\dagger, S^\dagger A^\dagger A = S^\dagger$, by Corollary 3.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda &= A^\dagger + (F_A - A^\dagger X)[S^\dagger(A^\dagger - ZU^\dagger) + U^\dagger] \\ &= A^\dagger + (I - A^\dagger AZ)[S^\dagger(A^\dagger - ZU^\dagger) + U^\dagger] \\ &= A^\dagger + S^\dagger(A^\dagger - ZU^\dagger) + U^\dagger - A^\dagger AZ[S^\dagger(A^\dagger - ZU^\dagger) + U^\dagger] \\ &= A^\dagger + S^\dagger(A^\dagger - ZU^\dagger) + U^\dagger - A^\dagger AZS^\dagger(A^\dagger - ZU^\dagger) - A^\dagger AZU^\dagger \\ &= A^\dagger + S^\dagger A^\dagger - S^\dagger ZU^\dagger + U^\dagger - SS^\dagger(A^\dagger - ZU^\dagger) - A^\dagger AZU^\dagger \\ &= A^\dagger + S^\dagger A^\dagger - S^\dagger ZU^\dagger + U^\dagger - SS^\dagger A^\dagger + SS^\dagger ZU^\dagger - A^\dagger AZU^\dagger \\ &= (I + S^\dagger - SS^\dagger)A^\dagger + (I - S^\dagger Z + SS^\dagger Z - A^\dagger AZ)U^\dagger \\ &= (I + S^\dagger - SS^\dagger)A^\dagger + [I - (I + S^\dagger - SS^\dagger)A^\dagger AZ]U^\dagger \\ &= (I + S^\dagger - SS^\dagger)A^\dagger - (I + S^\dagger - SS^\dagger)A^\dagger AZU^\dagger + U^\dagger \\ &= (I + S^\dagger - SS^\dagger)(A^\dagger - A^\dagger AZU^\dagger) + U^\dagger. \end{aligned}$$

Since Λ is an inner inverse of $A + X$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|(A + X)^\dagger\| &\leq \|(A + X)^-\| \\ &= \|(I + S^\dagger - SS^\dagger)(A^\dagger - A^\dagger AZU^\dagger) + U^\dagger\| \\ &\leq (1 + \|S^\dagger\|)(\|A^\dagger\| + \|Z\|\|U^\dagger\|) + \|U^\dagger\| \end{aligned}$$

From the identity(cf. [4, Eq.(21)]),

$$(A + X)^\dagger - A^\dagger = -(A + X)^\dagger XA^\dagger + (A + X)^\dagger((A + X)^\dagger)^* X^*(I - AA^\dagger) + [I - (A + X)^\dagger(A + X)]X^*(A^\dagger)^* A^\dagger,$$

by applying the orthogonality of the operators on the right side, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|(A + X)^\dagger - A^\dagger\|^2 &= \|- (A + X)^\dagger XA^\dagger + (A + X)^\dagger((A + X)^\dagger)^* X^*(I - AA^\dagger)\|^2 + \|[I - (A + X)^\dagger(A + X)]X^*(A^\dagger)^* A^\dagger\|^2 \\ &\leq \|- (A + X)^\dagger XA^\dagger\|^2 + \|(A + X)^\dagger((A + X)^\dagger)^* X^*(I - AA^\dagger)\|^2 + \|X\|^2\|A^\dagger\|^4 \\ &\leq \|(A + X)^\dagger\|^2\|X\|^2\|A^\dagger\|^2 + \|(A + X)^\dagger\|^4\|X\|^2 + \|X\|^2\|A^\dagger\|^4 \\ &\leq \left\{ \|(A + X)^\dagger\|^2\|A^\dagger\|^2 + \|(A + X)^\dagger\|^4 + \|A^\dagger\|^4 \right\} \|X\|^2 \\ &\leq \left\{ \|(A + X)^\dagger\|^2 + \|A^\dagger\|^2 \right\}^2 \|X\|^2 \\ &\leq \left\{ \|(I + S^\dagger - SS^\dagger)(A^\dagger - A^\dagger AZU^\dagger) + U^\dagger\|^2 + \|A^\dagger\|^2 \right\}^2 \|X\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 4.2. Let $A, X \in B(H_1, H_2)$ with $R(A)$ closed and $Z = I + A^\dagger X, S = A^\dagger AZ$. If $R(X) \subseteq R(A)$ and $R(S)$ are closed, then

$$\begin{aligned} \|(A + X)^\dagger\| &\leq (1 + \|S^\dagger\|)\|A^\dagger\|. \\ \frac{\|(A + X)^\dagger - A^\dagger\|}{\|A^\dagger\|} &\leq (\sqrt{2} + \|S^\dagger\|)\|X\|\|A^\dagger\|. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Since $R(X) \subseteq R(A)$, we have $U = 0$. By Theorem4.1, we have

$$\|(A + X)^\dagger\| \leq (1 + \|S^\dagger\|)\|A^\dagger\|$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|(A + X)^\dagger - A^\dagger\| &\leq \{ \|(I + S^\dagger - SS^\dagger)A^\dagger\|^2 + \|A^\dagger\|^2 \} \|X\| \\ &\leq \{ 1 + (1 + \|S^\dagger\|)^2 \} \|X\| \|A^\dagger\|^2 \\ &\leq (\sqrt{2} + \|S^\dagger\|) \|X\| \|A^\dagger\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\frac{\|(A + X)^\dagger - A^\dagger\|}{\|A^\dagger\|} \leq (\sqrt{2} + \|S^\dagger\|) \|X\| \|A^\dagger\|.$$

□

Remark 4.3. The condition $R(X) \subseteq R(A)$ in Corollary 4.2 shows it is a perturbation of range-preserving. In addition, if $Z = I + A^\dagger X$ is invertible, then $(A + X)^\dagger = Z^{-1}A^\dagger$. This is a special case of stable perturbation (Please see [17] for details).

Corollary 4.4. Let $A, X \in B(H_1, H_2)$ with $R(A)$ closed and $Z = I + XA^\dagger, T = ZAA^\dagger$. If $\ker(A) \subseteq \ker(X)$ and $R(T)$ are closed, then

$$\begin{aligned} \|(A + X)^\dagger\| &\leq (1 + \|T^\dagger\|) \|A^\dagger\|. \\ \frac{\|(A + X)^\dagger - A^\dagger\|}{\|A^\dagger\|} &\leq (\sqrt{2} + \|T^\dagger\|) \|X\| \|A^\dagger\|. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Note that $\|T^\dagger\| = \|(T^*)^\dagger\|$ and $A^\dagger(I + T^\dagger - T^\dagger T)$ is an inner inverse of $A + X$ by Corollary 3.4. Similar to the proof in Corollary 4.2, the results can be obtained easily. □

Remark 4.5. The condition $\ker(A) \subseteq \ker(X)$ in Corollary 4.4 shows it is a perturbation of kernel-preserving. In addition, if $Z = I + XA^\dagger$ is invertible, then $(A + X)^\dagger = A^\dagger Z^{-1}$. This is also a special cases of stable perturbation (Please see [17] for details).

Corollary 4.6. Let $A, X \in B(H_1, H_2)$ with $R(A)$ closed. If $R(X) \subseteq R(A), \ker(A) \subseteq \ker(X)$ and $R(I + A^\dagger X)$ is closed, then

$$\|(A + X)^\dagger\| \leq \|(I + A^\dagger X)^\dagger\| \|A^\dagger\|$$

and

$$\frac{\|(A + X)^\dagger - A^\dagger\|}{\|A^\dagger\|} \leq \frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2} \|(I + A^\dagger X)^\dagger\| \|A^\dagger\| \|X\|.$$

Proof. Since $R(I + A^\dagger X)$ is closed, we have $R(I + XA^\dagger)$ is closed by Corollary 3.5. Since $R(X) \subseteq R(A), \ker(A) \subseteq \ker(X)$, we have $AA^\dagger X = X, XA^\dagger A = X$. Thus,

$$(I + A^\dagger X)A^\dagger A = A^\dagger A(I + A^\dagger X).$$

Combining with $(A^\dagger A)^* = A^\dagger A$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} R(A^\dagger A(I + A^\dagger X)) &\subseteq R(I + A^\dagger X), \\ R((I + A^\dagger X)(I + A^\dagger X)^*(A^\dagger A)) &\subseteq R(A^\dagger A). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by Lemma 2.4

$$(A^\dagger A + A^\dagger X)^\dagger = (I + A^\dagger X)^\dagger A^\dagger A.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} (I + A^\dagger X)^\dagger A^\dagger (A + X)(I + A^\dagger X)^\dagger A^\dagger & \\ = (I + A^\dagger X)^\dagger A^\dagger A(A^\dagger A + A^\dagger X)(I + A^\dagger X)^\dagger A^\dagger A A^\dagger & \\ = (I + A^\dagger X)^\dagger A^\dagger. & \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to obtain $A + X = (A + X)(I + A^\dagger X)^\dagger A^\dagger (A + X)$. The above indicate $(I + A^\dagger X)^\dagger A^\dagger$ is a generalized inverse of $A + X$. Similarly, we get $A^\dagger (I + XA^\dagger)^\dagger$ is a generalized inverse of $A + X$ too. Hence,

$$\|(A + X)^\dagger\| \leq \|(A + X)^\dagger\| \leq \|(I + A^\dagger X)^\dagger\| \|A^\dagger\|.$$

Noting that $R(X) \subseteq R(A)$ and $\ker(A) \subseteq \ker(X)$ mean $R(A + X) \cap R(A)^\perp = \{0\}$ and $(\ker(A + X))^\perp \cap \ker A = \{0\}$, respectively. Thus, from [20], we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\|(A + X)^\dagger - A^\dagger\|}{\|A^\dagger\|} &\leq \frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2} \|(A + X)^\dagger\| \|X\| \\ &\leq \frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2} \|(I + A^\dagger X)^\dagger\| \|A^\dagger\| \|X\|. \end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 4.7. Let $A, X \in B(H_1, H_2)$ with $R(A)$ closed. Let $Z = I + A^\dagger X, G = AZ$. If $R(G)$ are closed and $R(A + X) \cap R(G)^\perp = \{0\}$, then

$$\|(A + X)^\dagger\| \leq \|G^\dagger\|$$

and

$$\|(A + X)^\dagger - A^\dagger\| \leq \frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2} \|G^\dagger\|^2 \|X\| + (\sqrt{2} + \|(A^\dagger AZ)^\dagger\|) \|X\| \|A^\dagger\|^2.$$

Proof. Put $G = AZ = A(I + A^\dagger X), U = E_A X$. Then we have $A + X = G + U$. For $\forall x \in \ker G$, we have $G^\dagger(G + U)x = 0$ for $G^\dagger U = 0$. This means $(G + U)x \in R(A + X) \cap R(G)^\perp = \{0\}$. Hence, we have $\ker G = \ker(G + U)$. It is easy to verify G^\dagger is a generalized inverse of $G + U$, i.e.,

$$(A + X)^\dagger = (G + U)^\dagger = G^\dagger.$$

Thus, $\|(A + X)^\dagger\| \leq \|(A + X)^\dagger\| = \|G^\dagger\|$.

Since $R(A + X) \cap R(G)^\perp = \{0\}$ and $\ker(G + U)^\perp \cap \ker G = \{0\}$, from [20], we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|(G + U)^\dagger - G^\dagger\| &\leq \frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2} \|(G + U)^\dagger\| \|G^\dagger\| \|U\| \\ &\leq \frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2} \|G^\dagger\|^2 \|X\|. \end{aligned}$$

Since $G = AZ = A + AA^\dagger X$ and $R(AA^\dagger X) \subseteq R(A)$, by Corollary 4.2, we have

$$\|G^\dagger - A^\dagger\| \leq (\sqrt{2} + \|(A^\dagger AZ)^\dagger\|) \|X\| \|A^\dagger\|^2.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \|(A + X)^\dagger - A^\dagger\| &= \|(A + X)^\dagger - G^\dagger + G^\dagger - A^\dagger\| \\ &\leq \|(A + X)^\dagger - G^\dagger\| + \|G^\dagger - A^\dagger\| \\ &\leq \frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2} \|G^\dagger\|^2 \|X\| + (\sqrt{2} + \|(A^\dagger AZ)^\dagger\|) \|X\| \|A^\dagger\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

□

References

- [1] Y. Chen, X. Hu and Q. Xu, The Moore–Penrose inverse of $A - XY^*$, *J. Shanghai Normal Univer.*, 38, (2009), 15–19.
- [2] G. Chen and Y. Xue, Perturbation analysis for the operator equation $Tx = b$ in Banach spaces, *J. Math. Appl.*, 212, (1997), 107–125.
- [3] G. Chen and Y. Xue, The expression of generalized inverse of the perturbed operators under type I perturbation in Hilbert spaces, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 285, (1998), 1–6.
- [4] G. Chen, M. Wei and Y. Xue, Perturbation analysis of the least square solution in Hilbert spaces, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 244, (1996), 68–80.
- [5] C. Deng, On the invertibility of the operator $A - XB$, *Num. Linear Algebra Appl.*, 16, (2009), 817–831.
- [6] C. Deng, A generalization of the Sherman–Morrison–Woodbury formula, *Appl. Math. Lett.*, 24, (2011), 1561–1564.
- [7] C. Deng and Y. Wei, Some new results of the Sherman–Morrison–Woodbury formula, *Proceeding of the sixth international conference of matrices and operators*, 2, (2011), 220–223.
- [8] F. Du and Y. Xue, The reverse order law for the Moore–Penrose of closed operators, *Chinese Quart. J. Math.*, 28 (1), (2013), 139–146.
- [9] F. Du and Y. Xue, The expression of the Moore–Penrose inverse of $A - XY^*$, *J. East China Normal Univer. (Nat. Sci.)* 5, (2010), 33–37.
- [10] H. V. Henderson and S. R. Searle, On deriving the inverse of a sum of matrices, *SIAM Review*, 23(1), (1981), 53–60.
- [11] W. W. Hager, Updating the inverse of a matrix, *SIAM Review*, 31, (1989), 221–239.
- [12] S. Izumino, The product of operators with closed range and an extension of the reverse order law, *Tohoku Math. J.*, 34, (1982), 43–52.
- [13] S. Jose and K. C. Sivakumar, Moore–Penrose inverse of perturbed operators on Hilbert spaces, *Combinatorial Matrix Theory and Generalized inverse of Matrices*, (2013), 119–131.
- [14] A. Riedel, A Sherman–Morison–Woodbury identity for rank augmenting matrices with application to centering, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 12 (1), (1991), 80–95.
- [15] T. Steerneman and F. P. Kleij, Properties of the matrix $A - XY^*$, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 410, (2005), 70–86.
- [16] Q. Xu, W. Wei and Y. Gu, Sharp norm–estimation for the Moore–Penrose inverse of stable perturbations of Hilbert C^* –module operators, *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, 47 (6), (2010), 4735–4758.
- [17] Y. Xue, *Stable Perturbations of Operators and Related Topics*, World Scientific, 2012.
- [18] Y. Xue, Stable Perturbation in Banach spaces, *J. Aust. Math. Soc.*, 83, (2007), 1–14.
- [19] Y. Xue and G. Chen, Perturbation analysis for the Drazin inverse under stable perturbation in Banach spaces, *Missouri J. Math. Sci.*, 19 (2), (2007), 106–120.
- [20] Y. Xue and G. Chen, Some equivalent conditions of stable perturbation of operators in Hilbert spaces, *Applied Math. Comput.*, 147, (2004), 765–772.