



Extension of Type 2 Diabetes Genome-Wide Association Scan Results in the Diabetes Prevention Program

Citation

Moore, Allan F., Kathleen A. Jablonski, Jarred B. McAteer, Richa Saxena, Toni I. Pollin, Paul W. Franks, Robert L. Hanson, et al. 2008. Extension of type 2 diabetes genome-wide association scan Results in the Diabetes Prevention Program. *Diabetes* 57(9): 2503-2510.

Published Version

[doi://10.2337/db08-0284](https://doi.org/10.2337/db08-0284)

Permanent link

<http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:10021560>

Terms of Use

This article was downloaded from Harvard University's DASH repository, and is made available under the terms and conditions applicable to Other Posted Material, as set forth at <http://nrs.harvard.edu/urn-3:HUL.InstRepos:dash.current.terms-of-use#LAA>

Share Your Story

The Harvard community has made this article openly available.
Please share how this access benefits you. [Submit a story](#).

[Accessibility](#)

Extension of Type 2 Diabetes Genome-Wide Association Scan Results in the Diabetes Prevention Program

Allan F. Moore,^{1,2,3,4,†} Kathleen A. Jablonski,⁵ Jarred B. McAteer,^{1,4} Richa Saxena,^{1,4} Toni I. Pollin,⁶ Paul W. Franks,⁷ Robert L. Hanson,⁸ Alan R. Shuldiner,⁶ William C. Knowler,⁸ David Altshuler,^{1,2,3,4,9} and Jose C. Florez^{1,2,3,4} for the Diabetes Prevention Program Research Group

OBJECTIVE—Genome-wide association scans (GWASs) have identified novel diabetes-associated genes. We evaluated how these variants impact diabetes incidence, quantitative glycemic traits, and response to preventive interventions in 3,548 subjects at high risk of type 2 diabetes enrolled in the Diabetes Prevention Program (DPP), which examined the effects of lifestyle intervention, metformin, and troglitazone versus placebo.

RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS—We genotyped selected single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in or near diabetes-associated loci, including *EXT2*, *CDKAL1*, *CDKN2A/B*, *IGF2BP2*, *HHEX*, *LOC387761*, and *SLC30A8* in DPP participants and performed Cox regression analyses using genotype, intervention, and their interactions as predictors of diabetes incidence. We evaluated their effect on insulin resistance and secretion at 1 year.

RESULTS—None of the selected SNPs were associated with increased diabetes incidence in this population. After adjustments for ethnicity, baseline insulin secretion was lower in subjects with the risk genotype at *HHEX* rs1111875 ($P = 0.01$); there were no significant differences in baseline insulin sensitivity. Both at baseline and at 1 year, subjects with the risk genotype at *LOC387761* had paradoxically increased insulin secretion; adjustment for self-reported ethnicity abolished these differences. In ethnicity-adjusted analyses, we noted a nominal differential improvement in β -cell function for carriers of the protective genotype at *CDKN2A/B* after 1 year of troglitazone treatment ($P = 0.01$) and possibly lifestyle modification ($P = 0.05$).

CONCLUSIONS—We were unable to replicate the GWAS findings regarding diabetes risk in the DPP. We did observe genotype associations with differences in baseline insulin secretion at the *HHEX* locus and a possible pharmacogenetic interaction at *CDKN2A/B*. *Diabetes* 57:2503–2510, 2008

The increasing incidence of diabetes continues to have a tremendous impact on diabetes-related morbidity and mortality around the world. Although much emphasis has been placed on the contribution of a Western lifestyle characterized by increasing caloric intake and physical inactivity to the diabetes epidemic, the role genetics plays in the development of diabetes is generally poorly understood. Additional insight into the contribution of genetic variants to diabetes incidence, gene-lifestyle interactions, and pharmacological response to antidiabetes medications is required to slow this tragic epidemic.

The recent implementation of genome-wide association scans (GWASs) as an investigative tool has resulted in a qualitative leap in identifying diabetes-related genes (1,2). These surveys, which are agnostic to candidate genes, can cover ~80% of common human genome variants with current technology, thus providing unprecedented insight into the genetic architecture of type 2 diabetes. In 2007, the first published type 2 diabetes GWAS confirmed the important impact of *TCF7L2* on diabetes incidence (odds ratio [OR] 1.65, $P < 1.0 \times 10^{-7}$) and identified several new type 2 diabetes loci, *SLC30A8* (1.26, $P = 5.0 \times 10^{-7}$), *HHEX* (1.21, $P = 9.1 \times 10^{-6}$), *LOC38771* (1.14, $P = 2.9 \times 10^{-4}$), and *EXT* (1.26, $P = 1.2 \times 10^{-4}$) (3). *SLC30A8* encodes a zinc transporter protein that carries zinc from the cytoplasm into insulin secretory vesicles within the pancreatic β -cell, an important step in insulin synthesis and secretion (4). *HHEX* is essential for the development of the pancreas and liver and is a target of the Wnt signaling pathway (5).

After the initial GWAS publication, four other high-density scans were published simultaneously by different groups, confirming many of the initial findings. In addition to replicating the prior associations of *TCF7L2*, *HHEX*, and *SLC30A8*, investigators from Iceland identified CDK5 regulatory subunit associated protein 1-like 1 (*CDKAL1*) as another potential diabetes-related gene (OR 1.2, $P = 1.8 \times 10^{-4}$) (6). This gene is hypothesized to lead to β -cell degeneration by modulating CDK5/CDK5R1 activity. The Diabetes Genetics Initiative, the Wellcome Trust Case Control Consortium, and the Finland–U.S. Investigation of Type 2 Diabetes Genetics concomitantly published GWASs that were combined in a preliminary meta-analysis of >30,000 samples (7–9). Again, the above findings were confirmed, and novel diabetes loci in or near *IGF2BP2*

From the ¹Center for Human Genetic Research, Department of Medicine, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts; the ²Diabetes Center, Department of Medicine, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts; the ³Department of Medicine, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts; the ⁴Program in Medical and Population Genetics, Broad Institute of Harvard and Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts; ⁵The Biostatistics Center, George Washington University, Rockville, Maryland; the ⁶Department of Medicine, Division of Endocrinology, Diabetes, and Nutrition, University of Maryland School of Medicine, Baltimore, Maryland; the ⁷Genetic Epidemiology and Clinical Research Group, Department of Public Health and Clinical Medicine, Division of Medicine, Umeå University Hospital, Umeå, Sweden; the ⁸Diabetes Epidemiology and Clinical Research Section, National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases, Phoenix, Arizona; and the ⁹Department of Genetics, Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts. Corresponding author: Jose C. Florez, dppmail@biostat.bsc.gwu.edu.

Received 28 February 2008 and accepted 1 June 2008.

Published ahead of print at <http://diabetes.diabetesjournals.org> on 10 June 2008. DOI: 10.2337/db08-0284. Clinical trial reg. no. NCT00004992, clinicaltrials.gov.

A complete list of Diabetes Prevention Program Research Group investigators is provided in the online appendix available at <http://dx.doi.org/10.2337/db08-0284>.

†Dr. Allan F. Moore passed away on 24 July 2008. This article, to which he contributed his privileged intellect and unwavering enthusiasm, is dedicated to his memory. His colleagues and peers will miss him.

© 2008 by the American Diabetes Association. Readers may use this article as long as the work is properly cited, the use is educational and not for profit, and the work is not altered. See <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/> for details.

The costs of publication of this article were defrayed in part by the payment of page charges. This article must therefore be hereby marked "advertisement" in accordance with 18 U.S.C. Section 1734 solely to indicate this fact.

(1.14, $P = 8.9 \times 10^{-16}$) and *CDKN2A/B* (1.2, $P = 7.8 \times 10^{-15}$) were identified. The *EXT2* and *LOC387761* gene regions have not been replicated in these or additional studies (10,11). Taken together, these studies support the potential power of GWASs in unraveling the genetic basis of type 2 diabetes.

Several studies have attempted to characterize the physiological mechanisms affected by these genetic variants. Pascoe et al. (12) performed 75-g oral glucose tolerance tests (OGTTs) and hyperinsulinemic-euglycemic clamps on 1,276 healthy European subjects and demonstrated that common variants in *CDKAL1* and *HHEX* are associated with decreased pancreatic β -cell function. Grarup et al. (13) reported that variants of *HHEX*, *CDKN2A/B*, and *IGF2BP2* are associated with type 2 diabetes, and single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) within the *HHEX* and *CDKN2A/B* loci impaired glucose-induced insulin release in healthy subjects, emphasizing the central role of pancreatic β -cell dysfunction in disease pathogenesis. Staiger et al. (14) found that the major alleles of the *SLC30A8* and the *HHEX* SNPs associate with reduced insulin secretion stimulated by orally administered glucose but not with insulin resistance; the other reported type 2 diabetes SNPs within the *EXT2* and *LOC387761* loci did not associate with insulin resistance or β -cell dysfunction. Finally, a quantitative trait analysis of GWAS-identified type 2 diabetes susceptibility loci was recently completed by Palmer et al. (15) in their analysis of the Insulin Resistance Atherosclerosis Family Study (IRAS-FS). This study of 1,268 Hispanic and 581 African American subjects revealed that the increase in diabetes risk associated with variants in GWAS-identified gene regions, including *CDKAL1*, *IGF2BP2*, *SLC30A8*, and *LOC387761*, is mediated in part via defects primarily in insulin secretion. In Hispanic Americans, the acute insulinogenic response to glucose challenge decreased in high-risk genotype subjects at *CDKAL1* ($P = 0.005$), and the disposition index was reduced in subjects with the high-risk genotype at *IGF2BP2* ($P = 0.01$). Paradoxically, in Hispanic Americans, the previously identified risk allele of *LOC387761* was significantly associated with an increased acute insulin response ($P = 0.005$) and disposition index ($P = 0.036$). *IGF2BP2* rs4402960 was the only GWAS-identified SNP that associated with type 2 diabetes as a categorical trait ($P = 0.02$). Even fewer studies have attempted to analyze the influence of these genetic variants on response to pharmacological or behavioral interventions (16,17).

The current study attempts to replicate and extend recent GWAS findings in the Diabetes Prevention Program (DPP) cohort. As a multiethnic, interventional study of >3,000 people at high risk for diabetes who have been carefully characterized, the DPP provides the opportunity to study insulin dynamics according to genotype and potential drug-genotype interactions. Studying pre-diabetic subjects as opposed to patients with overt diabetes provides insight into the role of genetic variation in the early stages of disease progression. As a longitudinal interventional study, the DPP provides the opportunity to carefully study the impact of genetic variation on insulin secretion and resistance over time. Finally, having multiple treatment arms allows for the identification of potential interactions of genotype with the results of the interventions. Studying gene-treatment interactions helps elucidate mechanisms of disease, identify specific treatments that may ameliorate the genetic predisposition to

disease, and focus on subgroups that respond particularly well (or poorly) to specific therapies.

RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS

DPP. Details of the DPP study design have been described elsewhere (18). The DPP is a multicenter trial that examined whether lifestyle modification or metformin therapy prevents the development of diabetes in individuals with risk factors for type 2 diabetes, including elevated fasting glucose, impaired glucose tolerance, overweight or obesity, and sedentary lifestyle. The DPP randomly assigned 3,819 subjects at high risk of diabetes to lifestyle modification ($\geq 7\%$ weight loss and ≥ 150 min of physical exercise weekly), metformin (850 mg twice daily), troglitazone (400 mg daily), or placebo. The troglitazone arm with 585 participants was stopped early because of medication-related hepatotoxicity. The primary end point was the development of diabetes confirmed by two consecutive measures of glucose. The trial was conducted at 27 centers, all of which obtained institutional review board approval. The distribution of self-reported ethnicities among the 3,548 participants in this genetic study was 56.4% Caucasian, 20.2% African American, 16.8% Hispanic, 4.3% Asian, and 2.4% American Indian. The mean age was 51 years, and the mean BMI was 34.0 kg/m². There was a range of BMI values for each ethnic group, with Asian/Pacific Islanders having the lowest (29.5 kg/m²) followed by Hispanics (33.1 kg/m²), Caucasians (34.1 kg/m²), African Americans 35.3 (kg/m²), and American Indians (35.4 kg/m²). After an average of 3 years of follow-up, the lifestyle modification group had a 58% reduction in diabetes incidence, whereas the metformin group had a 31% reduction compared with placebo (19).

SNP selection and genotyping. We selected SNPs that had been highly associated with type 2 diabetes at high levels of statistical significance in previous high-density (300,000–500,000) GWAS analyses (3,7–9). DNA was extracted from peripheral blood leukocytes with standard methods. Genotyping was carried out by allele-specific primer extension of multiplex amplified products and detection using matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry on a Sequenom iPLEX platform (20,21). The mean genotyping success rate was 99.5%. The minimum call rate was 98.8%. All SNPs were in Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) within each self-reported ethnic group. We genotyped one SNP per gene for all genes with the exception of *HHEX* and *EXT2* for which multiple SNPs were tested. In *HHEX*, the SNPs rs79238327 and rs1111875 are in linkage disequilibrium in the HapMap CEU population (r^2 0.69, D' 0.959) but not the YRI population (rs79238327 is monomorphic for the G allele in YRI). The three *EXT2* SNPs are in linkage disequilibrium for both the YRI and CEU populations in the HapMap Project: all three SNPs are in perfect linkage disequilibrium (r^2 1.0, D' 1.0) in the CEU population, whereas linkage disequilibrium is less strong in the YRI population (SNPs rs11037909 and rs1113132 [r^2 0.323, D' 0.866]; rs11037909 and rs3740878 [r^2 0.43, D' 1.0]; and rs1113132 and rs3740878 [r^2 0.881, D' 1.0]).

Quantitative glycemic measures. Baseline and annual OGTTs were performed on all subjects who had not developed diabetes before the 1-year examination and used to calculate the insulin sensitivity index (ISI) and insulinogenic index. The ISI, the reciprocal of insulin resistance by homeostasis model assessment was calculated as $22.5/(\text{fasting insulin} \times (\text{fasting glucose}/18.01))$ (22). The insulinogenic index was defined as $(\text{insulin at 30 min} - \text{insulin at 0 min})/[(\text{glucose at 30 min} - \text{glucose at 0 min})]$ (23). Quantitative traits were measured at baseline and 1 year. We elected to analyze quantitative traits at 1 year for two reasons: first, the greatest effect on weight loss was observed in the lifestyle modification group at year 1, and second, a substantial number of subjects did not complete the OGTT on the 3rd year (because they had either developed diabetes already or because the trial ended before they reached that time point) (19).

Statistical analysis. The primary end point of this study was the time to development of diabetes. We examined genotype (under an additive model), intervention, and genotype-by-intervention interactions as independent predictors of time to onset of diabetes using Cox regression models. We also developed an aggregate risk genotype score for each subject. For each of the 10 SNPs studied, if the genotype was homozygous for the high-risk allele, we assigned one point; if heterozygous, one-half point; and if homozygous for the low-risk allele, zero points. We divided the score into quartiles and ran a Cox regression testing for the relationship between the score category and the incidence of diabetes. Baseline glycemic variables, the insulinogenic index, and the ISI were log transformed for non-normality, and the geometric means were compared across genotypic groups (high-risk homozygotes, heterozygotes, and low-risk homozygotes) by ANOVA (F test). We compared the glycemic variables at 1 year using ANCOVA models with the independent variables of genotype and treatment group adjusted for the baseline glycemic variable with interaction terms of genotype and treatment. All analyses were repeated after adjusting for sex, age, self-reported ethnicity, and BMI. P values

TABLE 1
Risk allele frequencies

Marker SNP	Gene region	Alleles*	Risk allele frequency					Reference groups		
			Caucasian	African American	Hispanic	Asian/Pacific Islander	American Indian	HapMap CEU	HapMap YRI	GWAS white cohorts
<i>n</i>			2,001	716	595	151	85			
rs1111875	<i>HHEX</i>	C/T	60.3	76.7	65.3	37.8	47.6	55.8	85.8	59.8†
rs7923837	<i>HHEX</i>	G/A	63.4	90.3	57.7	36.9	50.6	62.5	100	62.3†
rs11037909	<i>EXT2</i>	T/C	72.9	84.5	54.6	55.1	22.2	70	84.2	72.9†
rs3740878	<i>EXT2</i>	T/C	72.8	89.3	55.1	55.1	22.6	69.8	92.4	72.8†
rs1113132	<i>EXT2</i>	C/G	73.1	90.0	44.7	44.9	77.4	70	92.5	73.3†
rs13266634	<i>SLC30A8</i>	C/T	71.0	89.3	76.8	64.3	79.3	75.0	94.2	69.9†
rs7480010	<i>LOC387761</i>	G/A	28.5	83.1	25.1	24.8	22.6	24.6	100	30.1†
rs10811661	<i>CDKN2A/B</i>	T/C	84.8	93.9	87.0	67.2	91.5	79.2	100	83‡
rs1470579	<i>IGF2BP2</i>	C/A	32.6	73.1	30.7	31.9	24.1	29.2	86.7	30‡
rs7754840	<i>CDKAL1</i>	C/G	32.7	56.8	32.5	39.8	30.5	30.8	66.7	31‡

Data are percent. *Putative risk allele listed first. †French, ‡Scandinavian, 7. CEU, European ancestry. YRI, African ancestry.

were adjusted for multiple comparisons across genotypes within each SNP using the Holm procedure (24).

We calculated power for predicting diabetes incidence using the methods of Hsieh and Lavori (25). We assumed HWE within each ethnic group and an additive genetic model. Assuming there are no gene-treatment interactions, these calculations show that the overall DPP cohort has 83% power to detect the previously reported effect size of ~ 1.2 for a SNP of 10% frequency, whereas the placebo, lifestyle modification, and metformin arms have 53, 34, and 44% power, respectively. The DPP has inadequate power for detecting an effect size of <1.1 (Supplementary Table 1, available in an online appendix at <http://dx.doi.org/10.2337/db08-0284>).

Three hypotheses are tested in the current project. We hypothesized the following: 1) variants in the genes identified by the recently completed GWAS will be associated with the development of type 2 diabetes incidence prospectively; 2) quantitative traits measuring insulin secretion (insulinogenic index) and insulin sensitivity (ISI) will vary by genotype at the same diabetes-associated loci; and 3) genetic variation in these regions will affect response to metformin, troglitazone, or the lifestyle intervention as reflected in either diabetes incidence or related quantitative traits. To a large extent, the first two hypotheses represent confirmation of previous robust findings; therefore, an overall P value of 0.05 was considered statistically significant. On the other hand, the interaction with behavioral and pharmacological interventions represents a novel exploration, and therefore, the nominal P values should be interpreted after taking into account the number of independent variants and the three DPP interventions examined.

RESULTS

Diabetes incidence. Risk allele frequencies by ethnicity are listed in Table 1. The risk allele frequencies were higher in African American participants compared with those of European ancestry, with the greatest disparity in allele frequency between ethnicities at *LOC387761* and *IGF2BP2*. Risk allele frequencies by ethnicity were compared with the Hap Map European cohort (CEU), HapMap Yoruba cohort (YRI), and the cohorts studied in the original GWAS (3,7). Generally, the risk alleles appeared to be enriched among the DPP white participants compared with the reference populations (HapMap CEU or the GWAS original cohorts) and among the DPP African American participants compared with their white counterparts. We did not observe a significant association of any of the reported variants with diabetes incidence in either the overall cohort or the placebo group (Supplementary Table 2). Adjustments for self-reported ethnicity or BMI did not alter the results (Table 2 and Supplementary Table 3). For *CDKN2A/B* rs10811661, we noted a nominally significant interaction between genotype and intervention; thus, we stratified diabetes incidence analyses by treatment arm. For this SNP, a nonsignificant effect on diabetes incidence in the placebo arm (hazard ratio 1.21, $P = 0.13$)

was attenuated in the metformin and lifestyle intervention arms (Table 2). Analysis of the aggregate risk genotype score identified a nominally significant increase in the incidence of diabetes for those with a risk allele score of 7.5–9 compared with those with a score of <3.5 ($P = 0.04$).

Baseline quantitative traits. In the crude analyses, we noted apparent associations of genotype at *EXT2* rs3740878 and *LOC387761* rs7480010 with baseline β -cell function, as measured by the insulinogenic index (Supplementary Table 4). The *EXT2* rs3740878 risk T allele was nominally associated with reduced insulin secretion in carriers of the high-risk genotype compared with those with the low-risk genotype ($P = 0.01$); this difference may have been driven in part by a compensatory response to the borderline higher insulin resistance of CC homozygotes. The insulin response at *LOC387761* rs7480010 was paradoxical: The high-risk G allele was associated with higher insulin secretion ($P < 0.001$), whereas insulin resistance remained relatively constant in all genotypic groups. When adjusted for self-reported ethnicity, however, the above associations at both *LOC387761* and *EXT2* were abolished. Following such adjustments, the *HHEX* rs1111875 high-risk genotype was associated with decreased insulin secretion ($P = 0.01$) (Table 3); however, this association was lost after adjustment for BMI ($P = 0.13$). The other SNPs tested did not demonstrate any other significant differences in baseline β -cell function in either crude or adjusted analyses (Table 3 and Supplementary Table 4).

Both *HHEX* SNPs, rs7923837 and rs1111875, were nominally associated with decreased insulin sensitivity in carriers of the risk genotype in crude analyses ($P = 0.05$ and 0.03, respectively). Again, these associations disappeared when adjusted for self-reported ethnicity. None of the other SNPs tested predicted differences in insulin sensitivity by genotype in either crude or adjusted analyses (Table 3 and Supplementary Table 4).

Follow-up quantitative traits. Before adjustment for ethnicity, an unexpected higher level of insulin secretion in carriers of the risk genotype at *LOC387761* rs7480010 was again noted in all treatment arms at 1 year, with nominal statistical significance in the combined group ($P < 0.001$) and placebo arm ($P = 0.01$). Similarly, a paradoxical trend toward higher insulin secretion in participants with the *IGF2BP2* rs1470579 high-risk genotype when compared with the low-risk genotype at baseline

TABLE 2
Diabetes incidence adjusted for ethnicity

Marker SNP	Gene region	Alleles*	Interaction†	Overall		Placebo		Lifestyle		Metformin	
				HR (95% CI)‡	P value	HR (95% CI)‡	P value	HR (95% CI)‡	P value	HR (95% CI)‡	P value
rs111875	HHEX	C/T	No	1.03 (0.92–1.16)	0.59	0.93 (0.78–1.11)	0.44	1.07 (0.84–1.37)	0.58	1.20 (0.97–1.48)	0.10
rs792337	HHEX	G/A	No	1.02 (0.90–1.16)	0.71	1.03 (0.85–1.24)	0.78	0.92 (0.71–1.20)	0.55	1.13 (0.91–1.41)	0.28
rs11037909	EXT2	T/C	No	1.02 (0.90–1.16)	0.76	0.95 (0.78–1.16)	0.59	1.02 (0.78–1.34)	0.89	1.14 (0.91–1.43)	0.27
rs1113132	EXT2	C/G	No	1.02 (0.89–1.16)	0.81	0.95 (0.78–1.15)	0.61	0.98 (0.75–1.28)	0.88	1.14 (0.92–1.43)	0.23
rs3740878	EXT2	T/C	No	1.02 (0.91–1.15)	0.71	0.95 (0.78–1.16)	0.63	1.03 (0.78–1.35)	0.85	1.13 (0.90–1.42)	0.30
rs13266634	SLC30A8	C/T	No	0.96 (0.84–1.10)	0.60	1.00 (0.81–1.23)	0.99	1.13 (0.87–1.47)	0.37	0.82 (0.64–1.05)	0.12
rs7480010	LOC387761	G/A	No	1.02 (0.90–1.17)	0.72	1.00 (0.82–1.22)	1.00	0.99 (0.76–1.30)	0.95	1.07 (0.85–1.34)	0.56
rs10811661	CDKN2A/B	T/C	Yes	1.05 (0.94–1.19)	0.39	1.22 (0.96–1.54)	0.11	0.94 (0.67–1.32)	0.74	0.81 (0.59–1.12)	0.20
rs1470579	IGF2BP2	C/A	No	1.02 (0.91–1.15)	0.71	0.91 (0.75–1.09)	0.30	1.13 (0.88–1.44)	0.33	1.21 (0.99–1.49)	0.07
rs7754840	CDKAL1	C/G	No	1.02 (0.91–1.15)	0.71	1.07 (0.90–1.27)	0.46	0.89 (0.69–1.15)	0.37	1.04 (0.85–1.28)	0.69

*Risk allele listed first. †Genotype by treatment interaction (Yes if $P < 0.05$). ‡Hazard ratio (HR) and 95% CI by genotype and treatment group.

was maintained at 1 year in the overall cohort ($P = 0.03$), the metformin arm ($P = 0.02$) and possibly the troglitazone arm ($P = 0.06$) (Supplementary Table 5). Adjustments for self-reported ethnicity abolished all significant differences in insulin secretion by genotype at LOC387761 rs7480010. Also, the only nominally significant differences at *IGF2BP2* rs1470579 occurred in the lifestyle arm: higher adjusted ISI values were seen in high-risk genotype subjects compared with low-risk genotype subjects ($P = 0.02$), with a corresponding compensatory change in insulin secretion ($P = 0.03$) (Table 4). The analysis was repeated by adjusting quantitative glycemic traits for BMI, and no significant associations were identified.

A nominally significant interaction between genotype and treatment arm on insulin secretion was seen at *CDKN2A/B* rs10811661 in crude ($P = 0.03$), ethnicity-adjusted ($P = 0.04$), and BMI-adjusted ($P = 0.04$) analyses. In ethnicity-adjusted analyses, we noted a nominal differential improvement in β -cell function for carriers of the protective genotype at *CDKN2A/B* after 1 year of troglitazone treatment ($P = 0.01$) and lifestyle modification ($P = 0.05$). These results persisted when adjusted for BMI alone in both the troglitazone ($P = 0.03$) and lifestyle modification ($P = 0.02$) arms.

We detected one nominally significant interaction between genotype at *HHEX* rs792337 and treatment arm on insulin sensitivity at 1 year in the crude analysis ($P = 0.006$). Treatment with metformin and troglitazone improved the insulin sensitivity of carriers of the high-risk genotype at *HHEX* rs792337 to a greater extent than in those who carried the low-risk genotype, an effect that was not seen in the lifestyle arm (Supplementary Table 5). There were no significant interactions between genotype and treatment arm on insulin sensitivity after adjustments for ethnicity.

DISCUSSION

We were unable to validate the individual association of previously reported genetic variants identified by GWAS with diabetes incidence in the DPP; however, when taken as an aggregate, we identified a nominally significant increase in diabetes incidence for those with a risk allele score of 7.5–9 compared with those with a score of <3.5 ($P = 0.04$). Given the higher prior probability of these diabetes-associated variants, this nominal P value is of interest even in the context of multiple hypotheses testing and illustrates a potential strategy for combining a full complement of diabetes-associated variants in risk prediction as additional loci are identified.

The DPP is a unique cohort that differs from the case-control design used in the prior GWAS studies detailed above. Participants in the DPP were at relatively high-risk at baseline (as evidenced by the 11% per year development of diabetes in the placebo group) and were presumably at a relatively late stage in the pathogenesis of disease. The DPP population is very homogeneous in their risk of type 2 diabetes: thus, as there is a smaller phenotypic difference between DPP participants who develop diabetes and those who do not (compared with the case-control designs of the published GWAS), the role of genetic variation is more difficult to ascertain. This limitation is supported by the apparently higher frequency of the risk alleles in the DPP white cohort compared with the reference groups from the HapMap CEU population and original GWAS cohorts.

TABLE 3
Baseline quantitative traits adjusted for ethnicity

SNP	Gene region	Alleles*	Trait	High-risk genotype	Heterozygote	Low-risk genotype	P value
rs79238327	<i>HHEX</i>	G/A	ISI	0.155 (0.149–0.162)	0.163 (0.156–0.169)	0.160 (0.151–0.169)	0.11
			InsIndex	1.22 (1.16–1.28)	1.25 (1.19–1.31)	1.28 (1.19–1.36)	0.39
rs1111875	<i>HHEX</i>	C/T	ISI	0.156 (0.149–0.162)	0.163 (0.157–0.170)	0.158 (0.150–0.167)	0.08
			InsIndex	1.20 (1.14–1.26)	1.24 (1.19–1.30)	1.33 (1.24–1.41)	0.01
rs1113132	<i>EXT2</i>	C/G	ISI	0.165 (0.156–0.175)	0.158 (0.152–0.164)	0.159 (0.152–0.165)	0.27
			InsIndex	1.18 (1.10–1.26)	1.24 (1.18–1.30)	1.28 (1.22–1.34)	0.07
rs11037909	<i>EXT2</i>	T/C	ISI	0.160 (0.153–0.167)	0.162 (0.155–0.169)	0.153 (0.144–0.163)	0.26
			InsIndex	1.23 (1.17–1.30)	1.23 (1.17–1.30)	1.29 (1.20–1.39)	0.47
rs3740878	<i>EXT2</i>	T/C	ISI	0.159 (0.153–0.166)	0.163 (0.156–0.170)	0.153 (0.144–0.163)	0.19
			InsIndex	1.22 (1.16–1.28)	1.23 (1.17–1.29)	1.32 (1.23–1.42)	0.14
rs13266634	<i>SLC30A8</i>	C/T	ISI	0.162 (0.157–0.168)	0.156 (0.149–0.162)	0.154 (0.143–0.167)	0.09
			InsIndex	1.22 (1.16–1.27)	1.27 (1.21–1.33)	1.32 (1.20–1.44)	0.09
rs7480010	LOC387761	G/A	ISI	0.164 (0.155–0.174)	0.158 (0.151–0.164)	0.159 (0.152–0.165)	0.47
			InsIndex	1.26 (1.20–1.32)	1.23 (1.16–1.29)	1.24 (1.15–1.33)	0.55
rs10811661	<i>CDKN2A/B</i>	T/C	ISI	0.161 (0.155–0.167)	0.157 (0.149–0.164)	0.143 (0.126–0.161)	0.09
			InsIndex	1.24 (1.19–1.29)	1.25 (1.18–1.32)	1.28 (1.10–1.47)	0.91
rs1470579	<i>IGF2BP2</i>	C/A	ISI	0.159 (0.151–0.168)	0.163 (0.156–0.169)	0.157 (0.151–0.163)	0.26
			InsIndex	1.23 (1.15–1.31)	1.22 (1.16–1.28)	1.27 (1.21–1.33)	0.33
rs7754840	<i>CDKAL1</i>	C/G	ISI	0.165 (0.156–0.175)	0.158 (0.152–0.164)	0.159 (0.152–0.165)	0.27
			InsIndex	1.18 (1.10–1.26)	1.24 (1.18–1.30)	1.28 (1.22–1.34)	0.07

Data are least squares means (95% CI). *Risk allele listed first. ISI is expressed as $[(\mu\text{U/ml}) \times (\text{mmol/l})]^{-1}$; Ins Index, insulinogenic index expressed as $[(\mu\text{U/ml})/(\text{mg/dl})]$.

The DPP is also limited in its ability to replicate the GWAS findings given its interventional design, in which a majority of participants received either a medication or lifestyle modification designed to prevent diabetes, again reducing the number of incident cases and thus our ability to observe the effect of genetic variation at multiple diabetes-related genes. In addition, the DPP cohort is multiethnic, introducing divergent allele frequencies and additional population differences that may increase population heterogeneity. The impact of these newly identified variants is quite modest, and our power calculations show that the cohort examined here only has marginal power to detect such effect sizes. Furthermore, positive gene-treatment interactions may have reduced our power even further. Nevertheless, in previous work, we have been able to convincingly replicate the association of relatively powerful genetic factors such as *TCF7L2* with diabetes, illustrating that the DPP is an appropriate cohort to study genetic variants of high enough frequency and/or with strong effects (16). The SNPs examined here were marker SNPs chosen from prior GWASs and are not known to represent causal mutations. Further fine mapping of these gene regions will be required in larger, better-powered studies to identify potential causal variants, because the current study is underpowered for such an analysis. Finally, the analysis of two of the genetic loci investigated, *EXT2* and LOC387761, was largely exploratory because these loci have not been reproducibly associated with type 2 diabetes and associated traits in more recent studies.

Interestingly, in unadjusted analyses of variants at both LOC387761 and *IGF2BP2*, carriers of the presumed high-risk genotypes had paradoxically higher insulin secretion levels at baseline and 1 year. The LOC387761 finding is consistent with results recently reported by Palmer et al. (15) in the IRAS-FS, in which Hispanic Americans with the risk variant at LOC387761 had apparently higher acute insulin response ($P = 0.005$) and disposition index ($P = 0.04$) than low-risk genotype carriers (these results were not replicated in the African American cohort). When we

adjusted for ethnicity, however, the associations of genotype at LOC387761 with insulin secretion were abolished, as were most of the *IGF2BP2* associations (see below). The disparate results of our crude and adjusted analysis underscores the critical role ethnicity may play in confounding genetic association studies, particularly in admixed populations. Genetic loci with allele frequencies that diverge significantly across populations are particularly susceptible to confounding by ethnicity when tested for association with phenotypes whose prevalence also differs across populations. In such a scenario, a particular variant may simply be a marker for ancestry rather than truly associated with the trait under study. In the DPP, although diabetes incidence did not differ significantly across the five ethnic groups (19), baseline quantitative glycemic traits did (26). LOC387761 rs7480010 and *IGF2BP2* rs1470579 SNPs have dramatically different allele frequencies in white and black populations (Table 1), which may allow genotype-phenotype associations to be confounded by genotype-ethnicity associations. Further studies, powered for stratified analyses of minority populations and adjusted for possible population substructure with the use of ancestry informative markers, will be required for investigators to fully understand the role genetic variants at these two loci play in individual ethnic groups. With regard to LOC387761, given the failure of other groups to replicate the association of this locus with type 2 diabetes (3,6,8,9) and the disappearance of statistical significance in our results once ethnicity is taken into consideration, the role of this locus in disease pathogenesis remains unclear.

We identified significant differences in insulin secretion by genotype at *HHEX* at baseline and 1-year follow-up; however, adjusting the results for BMI abolished the effect, emphasizing the role BMI plays in modulating the impact of this genetic variant. Other investigators have also identified differences in insulin secretion by genotype at *HHEX*, including 1) Pascoe et al. (12), who found a significant decrease in 30-min insulin response in subjects

TABLE 4
Follow-up quantitative traits adjusted for ethnicity

		Placebo		Lifestyle		Metformin		Troglitazone	
		Least squares mean (95% CI)	<i>P</i> value	Least squares mean (95% CI)	<i>P</i> value	Least squares mean (95% CI)	<i>P</i> value	Least squares mean (95% CI)	<i>P</i> value
rs79238327, <i>HHEX</i> ISI	GG	0.154 (0.143–0.165)	0.68	0.202 (0.188–0.218)	0.18	0.194 (0.181–0.208)	0.10	0.212 (0.169–0.266)	0.02
	AG	0.157 (0.147–0.167)		0.210 (0.196–0.225)		0.190 (0.177–0.204)		0.182 (0.144–0.230)	
	AA	0.150 (0.137–0.165)		0.226 (0.203–0.251)		0.173 (0.158–0.191)		0.171 (0.130–0.223)	
	Ins Index	1.19 (1.07–1.31)		1.15 (1.04–1.27)		1.13 (1.03–1.24)		1.09 (0.94–1.24)	
	AG	1.26 (1.15–1.38)		1.19 (1.08–1.30)		1.11 (1.01–1.22)		1.12 (0.97–1.28)	
rs1111875, <i>HHEX</i> ISI	AA	1.26 (1.10–1.43)		1.06 (0.90–1.22)		1.13 (0.99–1.28)		1.03 (0.81–1.26)	
	CC	0.155 (0.145–0.166)	0.79	0.206 (0.191–0.221)	0.69	0.195 (0.182–0.209)	0.19	0.206 (0.164–0.259)	0.07
	CT	0.153 (0.144–0.163)		0.212 (0.198–0.227)		0.187 (0.175–0.200)		0.196 (0.156–0.248)	
	TT	0.158 (0.144–0.172)		0.214 (0.193–0.236)		0.179 (0.164–0.196)		0.167 (0.129–0.217)	
	Ins Index	1.18 (1.06–1.30)		1.14 (1.02–1.25)		1.15 (1.04–1.26)		1.08 (0.93–1.24)	
CT	1.28 (1.17–1.39)	1.19 (1.09–1.30)		1.15 (1.05–1.26)		1.08 (0.94–1.23)			
rs11037909, <i>EXT2</i> ISI	TT	1.23 (1.08–1.39)		1.08 (0.93–1.23)		1.03 (0.90–1.17)		1.11 (0.91–1.33)	
	TT	0.150 (0.140–0.161)	0.46	0.213 (0.198–0.230)	0.58	0.190 (0.178–0.204)	0.05	0.185 (0.146–0.234)	0.33
	CT	0.157 (0.147–0.168)		0.205 (0.191–0.220)		0.195 (0.182–0.209)		0.188 (0.147–0.239)	
	CC	0.157 (0.142–0.172)		0.213 (0.191–0.238)		0.169 (0.152–0.188)		0.220 (0.167–0.289)	
	Ins Index	1.25 (1.13–1.37)		1.09 (0.98–1.20)		1.13 (1.03–1.24)		1.07 (0.93–1.21)	
CT	1.21 (1.09–1.33)	1.20 (1.09–1.31)		1.11 (1.00–1.21)		1.05 (0.90–1.20)			
rs1113132, <i>EXT2</i> ISI	CC	1.26 (1.10–1.43)		1.18 (1.01–1.35)		1.14 (0.98–1.31)		1.29 (1.00–1.60)	
	CC	0.159 (0.145–0.175)	0.18	0.219 (0.198–0.242)	0.57	0.194 (0.176–0.214)	0.51	0.209 (0.163–0.267)	0.31
	CG	0.149 (0.140–0.159)		0.207 (0.194–0.222)		0.190 (0.178–0.203)		0.184 (0.146–0.232)	
	GG	0.158 (0.148–0.169)		0.211 (0.196–0.227)		0.184 (0.172–0.197)		0.196 (0.154–0.248)	
	Ins Index	1.29 (1.13–1.46)		1.05 (0.90–1.21)		1.09 (0.95–1.24)		1.15 (0.94–1.38)	
CG	1.22 (1.11–1.33)	1.13 (1.03–1.23)		1.11 (1.01–1.21)		1.07 (0.93–1.21)			
rs3740878, <i>EXT2</i> ISI	GG	1.23 (1.11–1.35)		1.22 (1.11–1.34)		1.15 (1.04–1.25)		1.08 (0.92–1.23)	
	TT	0.151 (0.141–0.162)	0.44	0.214 (0.199–0.230)	0.54	0.190 (0.177–0.203)	0.15	0.184 (0.145–0.233)	0.32
	CT	0.158 (0.148–0.169)		0.205 (0.190–0.220)		0.194 (0.181–0.208)		0.189 (0.148–0.240)	
	CC	0.154 (0.140–0.170)		0.211 (0.189–0.237)		0.172 (0.154–0.192)		0.220 (0.167–0.289)	
	Ins Index	1.27 (1.15–1.40)		1.09 (0.98–1.20)		1.15 (1.05–1.26)		1.09 (0.96–1.23)	
CT	1.22 (1.10–1.34)	1.20 (1.08–1.31)		1.08 (0.97–1.18)		1.02 (0.87–1.17)			
rs13266634, <i>SLC30A8</i> ISI	CC	1.20 (1.04–1.37)		1.20 (1.03–1.38)		1.17 (1.00–1.34)		1.29 (1.00–1.60)	
	CC	0.155 (0.146–0.164)	0.54	0.206 (0.193–0.220)	0.45	0.188 (0.176–0.200)	0.91	0.208 (0.165–0.262)	0.19
	CT	0.152 (0.142–0.163)		0.214 (0.199–0.230)		0.189 (0.176–0.203)		0.186 (0.147–0.234)	
	TT	0.165 (0.143–0.190)		0.222 (0.194–0.255)		0.184 (0.160–0.212)		0.185 (0.136–0.250)	
	Ins Index	1.26 (1.16–1.36)		1.11 (1.01–1.21)		1.10 (1.01–1.20)		1.09 (0.96–1.22)	
CT	1.21 (1.10–1.34)	1.21 (1.10–1.33)		1.13 (1.02–1.24)		1.07 (0.91–1.23)			
rs7480010, <i>LOC387761</i> ISI	TT	1.09 (0.85–1.34)		1.11 (0.91–1.32)		1.30 (1.09–1.53)		1.14 (0.85–1.46)	
	GG	0.157 (0.142–0.173)	0.59	0.204 (0.183–0.227)	0.83	0.176 (0.161–0.194)	0.21	0.188 (0.144–0.246)	0.89
	GA	0.157 (0.147–0.168)		0.211 (0.195–0.227)		0.193 (0.179–0.207)		0.197 (0.155–0.250)	
	AA	0.152 (0.142–0.162)		0.212 (0.198–0.227)		0.190 (0.177–0.204)		0.197 (0.156–0.248)	
	Ins Index	1.34 (1.17–1.52)		1.17 (1.00–1.34)		1.15 (1.01–1.30)		1.11 (0.89–1.34)	
GA	1.24 (1.12–1.37)	1.15 (1.03–1.27)		1.12 (1.01–1.23)		1.05 (0.90–1.21)			
rs10811661, <i>CDKN2A/B</i> ISI	AA	1.18 (1.07–1.30)		1.15 (1.05–1.26)		1.11 (1.01–1.22)		1.10 (0.95–1.26)	
	TT	0.154 (0.146–0.163)	0.68	0.207 (0.195–0.220)	0.13	0.190 (0.179–0.202)	0.15	0.197 (0.156–0.247)	0.96
	CT	0.152 (0.140–0.165)		0.215 (0.198–0.234)		0.189 (0.174–0.205)		0.193 (0.152–0.245)	
	CC	0.167 (0.135–0.205)		0.257 (0.206–0.320)		0.153 (0.123–0.190)		0.199 (0.134–0.294)	
	Ins Index	1.23 (1.13–1.33)		1.18 (1.09–1.28)		1.46 (1.12–1.83)		1.01 (0.88–1.13)	
CT	1.29 (1.14–1.43)	1.04 (0.92–1.17)		1.09 (0.97–1.21)		1.12 (0.94–1.31)			
	CC	1.06 (0.72–1.44)		1.30 (0.96–1.67)		1.12 (1.02–1.21)		1.71 (1.24–2.22)	

Continued on following page

TABLE 4
Continued

		Placebo		Lifestyle		Metformin		Troglitazone	
		Least squares mean (95% CI)	<i>P</i> value	Least squares mean (95% CI)	<i>P</i> value	Least squares mean (95% CI)	<i>P</i> value	Least squares mean (95% CI)	<i>P</i> value
rs1470579, <i>IGF2BP2</i>									
ISI	CC	0.161 (0.147–0.177)	0.52	0.225 (0.204–0.247)	0.02	0.198 (0.181–0.216)	0.32	0.191 (0.147–0.248)	0.31
	AC	0.152 (0.142–0.163)		0.217 (0.202–0.234)		0.187 (0.175–0.200)		0.185 (0.146–0.234)	
	AA	0.154 (0.144–0.164)		0.197 (0.184–0.212)		0.184 (0.172–0.198)		0.205 (0.162–0.258)	
Ins Index	CC	1.17 (1.01–1.34)	0.55	1.07 (0.93–1.21)	0.03	1.12 (1.02–1.23)	0.84	1.20 (1.00–1.42)	0.17
	AC	1.26 (1.15–1.39)		1.10 (0.99–1.21)		1.11 (1.01–1.21)		0.99 (0.84–1.14)	
	AA	1.23 (1.12–1.35)		1.25 (1.14–1.37)		1.15 (1.02–1.29)		1.09 (0.93–1.25)	
rs7754840, <i>CDKAL1</i>									
ISI	CC	0.159 (0.145–0.175)	0.18	0.219 (0.198–0.242)	0.57	0.194 (0.176–0.214)	0.51	0.209 (0.163–0.267)	0.31
	CG	0.149 (0.140–0.159)		0.207 (0.194–0.222)		0.190 (0.178–0.203)		0.184 (0.146–0.232)	
	GG	0.158 (0.148–0.169)		0.211 (0.196–0.227)		0.184 (0.172–0.197)		0.196 (0.154–0.248)	
Ins Index	CC	1.29 (1.13–1.46)	0.70	1.05 (0.90–1.21)	0.11	1.09 (0.95–1.24)	0.67	1.15 (0.94–1.38)	0.77
	CG	1.22 (1.11–1.33)		1.13 (1.03–1.23)		1.11 (1.01–1.21)		1.07 (0.93–1.21)	
	GG	1.23 (1.11–1.35)		1.22 (1.11–1.34)		1.15 (1.04–1.25)		1.08 (0.92–1.23)	

Data are least squares means (95% CI) and are also adjusted for the baseline values. Risk allele listed first. ISI is expressed as $[(\mu\text{U/ml}) \times (\text{mmol/l})]^{-1}$; Ins Index, insulinogenic index expressed as $[(\mu\text{U/ml})/(\text{mg/dl})]$.

with the *HHEX* risk variant; 2) Grarup et al. (13), who found that the risk variant of *HHEX* was associated with a decreased acute insulin response after OGTT or tolbutamide challenge; and 3) Staiger et al. (14), who showed that the risk variant of *HHEX* was associated with decreased insulin secretion after OGTT or intravenous glucose challenge.

We did not replicate the associations of several other diabetes-related variants with insulin secretion documented by others (6,12–15). We may have been underpowered to replicate these previous findings because of a smaller effect of these genes on insulin secretion and sensitivity when compared with those identified by the original GWAS investigations. An alternative explanation is that in these participants at high risk for diabetes, pathological changes had already taken place that obscured the effect of single genetic variants on these physiological parameters.

We identified a single genomic region with a possible genotype-intervention interaction. The previously reported impairment in β -cell function in carriers of the high-risk genotype at *CDKN2A/B* rs10811661 when compared with the alternative genotypes was augmented by treatments that improved insulin sensitivity: subjects with the low-risk genotype at *CDKN2A/B* improved β -cell function to a greater extent than those with the high-risk genotype after treatment with troglitazone and possibly lifestyle modification for 1 year, suggesting that they may have benefited more from these interventions. This interaction was identified in both crude and ethnically adjusted analysis. More scientific investigation on the biological consequences of genotypic variation at *CDKN2A/B* will be required to determine why subjects with the high-risk genotype, who had decreased insulin secretion, benefited less from metformin or lifestyle modification than low-risk genotype subjects. Although this is one of the first reports of a potential pharmacogenetic interaction with one of the newly identified type 2 diabetes gene regions, this finding is limited by the modest nominal *P* values obtained here, the multiple tests performed, and the unclear mechanism

of action. Independent confirmation of these complex gene-environment interactions is needed.

In summary, although we were unable to replicate the findings of the original GWAS scans in our smaller, prediabetic population, our quantitative trait analysis confirms differences in insulin secretion by genotype at *HHEX* and *CDKN2A/B*. This study also emphasizes the important role ancestry may play at the diabetes-associated SNPs in LOC387761 and *IGF2BP2*, which have dramatically different allele frequencies in populations of European and African ancestry. Finally, we have identified a potential genotype-intervention interaction at *CDKN2A/B*; however, this hypothesis-generating finding needs to be confirmed by additional studies. Further studies are required to better understand the differences in insulin dynamics that result from variants at these and the other diabetes-associated genes identified to date.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

K.A.J. has received National Institutes of Health (NIH) Grant R01-DK-072041. T.I.P. has received NIH Grant R01-DK-072041. A.R.S. has received NIH Grant R01-DK-072041. D.A. has received NIH Grant R01-DK-072041 and a Doris Duke Charitable Foundation Distinguished Scientist Clinical Award. J.C.F. has received NIH Grant R01-DK-072041 and NIH Research Career Award K23-DK-65978-04. The National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases (NIDDK) of the NIH provided funding to the clinical centers and the coordinating center for the design and conduct of the study, collection, management, analysis, and interpretation of the data. The Southwestern American Indian Centers were supported directly by the NIDDK and the Indian Health Service. The General Clinical Research Center Program, National Center for Research Resources supported data collection at many of the clinical centers. Funding for data collection and participant support was also provided by the Office of Research on Minority Health, the National Institute of Child Health and Human Development, the National Institute on Aging,

the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, the Office of Research on Women's Health, and the American Diabetes Association. Bristol-Myers Squibb and Parke-Davis provided medication. This research was also supported in part by the intramural research program of the NIDDK. LifeScan, Health O Meter, Hoechst Marion Roussel, Merck-Medco Managed Care, Merck, Nike Sports Marketing, Slim Fast Foods, and Quaker Oats donated materials, equipment, or medicines for concomitant conditions. McKesson BioServices, Matthews Media Group, and the Henry M. Jackson Foundation provided support services under subcontract with the coordinating center. The opinions expressed are those of the investigators and do not necessarily reflect the views of the Indian Health Service or other funding agencies. A complete list of centers, investigators, and staff can be found in the online appendix.

We gratefully acknowledge the commitment and dedication of all participants in the DPP, without whom this work would not have been possible.

REFERENCES

- Moore AF, Florez JC: Genetic susceptibility to type 2 diabetes and implications for antidiabetic therapy. *Annu Rev Med* 59:95–111, 2008
- Frayling TM: Genome-wide association studies provide new insights into type 2 diabetes aetiology. *Nat Rev Genet* 8:657–662, 2007
- Sladek R, Rocheleau G, Rung J, Dina C, Shen L, Serre D, Boutin P, Vincent D, Belisle A, Hadjadj S, Balkau B, Heude B, Charpentier G, Hudson TJ, Montpetit A, Pshezhetsky AV, Prentki M, Posner BI, Balding DJ, Meyre D, Polychronakos C, Froguel P: A genome-wide association study identifies novel risk loci for type 2 diabetes. *Nature* 445:828–830, 2007
- Chimienti F, Devergnas S, Favier A, Seve M: Identification and cloning of a β -cell-specific zinc transporter, *ZnT-8*, localized into insulin secretory granules. *Diabetes* 53:2330–2337, 2004
- McLin VA, Rankin SA, Zorn AM: Repression of Wnt/ β -catenin signaling in the anterior endoderm is essential for liver and pancreas development. *Development* 134:2207–2217, 2007
- Steinthorsdottir V, Thorleifsson G, Reynisdottir I, Benediktsson R, Jonsdottir T, Walters GB, Styrkarsdottir U, Gretarsdottir S, Emilsson V, Ghosh S, Baker A, Snorrardottir S, Bjarnason H, Ng MC, Hansen T, Bagger Y, Wilensky RL, Reilly MP, Adeyemo A, Chen Y, Zhou J, Gudnason V, Chen G, Huang H, Lashley K, Doumatey A, So WY, Ma RC, Andersen G, Borch-Johnsen K, Jorgensen T, van Vliet-Ostapchouk JV, Hofker MH, Wijmenga C, Christiansen C, Rader DJ, Rotimi C, Gurney M, Chan JC, Pedersen O, Sigurdsson G, Gulcher JR, Thorsteinsdottir U, Kong A, Stefansson K: A variant in *CDKAL1* influences insulin response and risk of type 2 diabetes. *Nat Genet* 39:770–775, 2007
- Diabetes Genetics Initiative of Broad Institute of Harvard and MIT, Lund University, and Novartis Institutes for BioMedical Research: Genome-wide association analysis identifies loci for type 2 diabetes and triglyceride levels. *Science* 316:1331–1336, 2007
- Zeggini E, Weedon MN, Lindgren CM, Frayling TM, Elliott KS, Lango H, Timpson NJ, Perry JRB, Rayner NW, Freathy RM, Barrett JC, Shields B, Morris AP, Ellard S, Groves CJ, Harries LW, Marchini JL, Owen KR, Knight B, Cardon LR, Walker M, Hitman GA, Morris AD, Doney ASF, The Wellcome Trust Case Control Consortium, McCarthy MI, Hattersley AT: Replication of genome-wide association signals in U.K. samples reveals risk loci for type 2 diabetes. *Science* 316:1336–1341, 2007
- Scott LJ, Mohlke KL, Bonnycastle LL, Willer CJ, Li Y, Duren WL, Erdos MR, Stringham HM, Chines PS, Jackson AU, Prokunina-Olsson L, Ding C-J, Swift AJ, Narisu N, Hu T, Pruim R, Xiao R, Li X-Y, Conneely KN, Riebow NL, Sprau AG, Tong M, White PP, Hetrick KN, Barnhart MW, Bark CW, Goldstein JL, Watkins L, Xiang F, Saramies J, Buchanan TA, Watanabe RM, Valle TT, Kinnunen L, Abecasis GR, Pugh EW, Doheny KF, Bergman RN, Tuomilehto J, Collins FS, Boehnke M: A genome-wide association study of type 2 diabetes in Finns detects multiple susceptibility variants. *Science* 316:1341–1345, 2007
- Omori S, Tanaka Y, Takahashi A, Hirose H, Kashiwagi A, Kaku K, Kawamori R, Nakamura Y, Maeda S: Association of *CDKAL1*, *IGF2BP2*, *CDKN2A/B*, *HHEX*, *SLC30A8*, and *KCNJ11* with susceptibility to type 2 diabetes in a Japanese population. *Diabetes* 57:791–795, 2008
- Lewis JP, Palmer ND, Hicks PJ, Sale MM, Langefeld CD, Freedman BI, Divers J, Bowden DW: Association analysis in African Americans of European-derived type 2 diabetes single nucleotide polymorphisms from whole-genome association studies. *Diabetes* 57:2220–2225, 2008
- Pascoe L, Tura A, Patel SK, Ibrahim IM, Ferrannini E, the RISC Consortium, the U.K. Type 2 Diabetes Genetics Consortium, Zeggini E, Weedon MN, Mari A, Hattersley AT, McCarthy MI, Frayling TM, Walker M: Common variants of the novel type 2 diabetes genes, *CDKAL1* and *HHEX/IDE*, are associated with decreased pancreatic β -cell function. *Diabetes* 56:3101–3104, 2007
- Grarup N, Rose CS, Andersson EA, Andersen G, Nielsen AL, Albrechtsen A, Clausen JO, Rasmussen SS, Jorgensen T, Sandbaek A, Lauritzen T, Schmitz O, Hansen T, Pedersen O: Studies of association of variants near the *HHEX*, *CDKN2A/B* and *IGF2BP2* genes with type 2 diabetes and impaired insulin release in 10,705 Danish subjects validation and extension of genome-wide association studies. *Diabetes* 56:3105–3111, 2007
- Staiger H, Machicao F, Stefan N, Tschrirter O, Thamer C, Kantartzis K, Schafer SA, Kirchhoff K, Fritsche A, Haring HU: Polymorphisms within novel risk loci for type 2 diabetes determine beta-cell function. *PLoS ONE* 2:e832, 2007
- Palmer ND, Goodarzi MO, Langefeld CD, Ziegler J, Norris JM, Haffner SM, Bryer-Ash M, Bergman RN, Wagenknecht LE, Taylor KD, Rotter JI, Bowden DW: Quantitative trait analysis of T2D susceptibility loci identified from whole genome association studies in the IRAS family study. *Diabetes* 57:1093–1100, 2008
- Florez JC, Jablonski KA, Bayley N, Pollin TI, de Bakker PIW, Shuldiner AR, Knowler WC, Nathan DM, Altshuler D, the Diabetes Prevention Program Research Group: TCF7L2 polymorphisms and progression to diabetes in the Diabetes Prevention Program. *N Engl J Med* 355:241–250, 2006
- Wang J, Kuusisto J, Vanttinen M, Kuulasmaa T, Lindstrom J, Tuomilehto J, Uusitupa M, Laakso M: Variants of transcription factor 7-like 2 (*TCF7L2*) gene predict conversion to type 2 diabetes in the Finnish Diabetes Prevention Study and are associated with impaired glucose regulation and impaired insulin secretion. *Diabetologia* 50:1192–1200, 2007
- The Diabetes Prevention Program Research Group: The Diabetes Prevention Program: design and methods for a clinical trial in the prevention of type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 22:623–634, 1999
- The Diabetes Prevention Program Research Group: Reduction in the incidence of type 2 diabetes with lifestyle intervention or metformin. *N Engl J Med* 346:393–403, 2002
- Gabriel SB, Schaffner SF, Nguyen H, Moore JM, Roy J, Blumenstiel B, Higgins J, DeFelice M, Lochner A, Faggart M, Liu-Cordero SN, Rotimi C, Adeyemo A, Cooper R, Ward R, Lander ES, Daly MJ, Altshuler D: The structure of haplotype blocks in the human genome. *Science* 296:2225–2229, 2002
- Tang K, Fu DJ, Julien D, Braun A, Cantor CR, Koster H: Chip-based genotyping by mass spectrometry. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 96:10016–10020, 1999
- Matthews DR, Hosker JP, Rudenski AS, Naylor BA, Treacher DF, Turner RC: Homeostasis model assessment: insulin resistance and beta-cell function from fasting plasma glucose and insulin concentrations in man. *Diabetologia* 28:412–419, 1985
- Byrne CD, Wareham NJ, Brown DC, Clark PM, Cox LJ, Day NE, Palmer CR, Wang TW, Williams DR, Hales CN: Hypertriglyceridemia in subjects with normal and abnormal glucose tolerance: relative contributions of insulin secretion, insulin resistance and suppression of plasma non-esterified fatty acids. *Diabetologia* 37:889–896, 1994
- Holm S: A simple sequentially rejective multiple test procedure. *Scand J Stat* 6:65–70, 1979
- Hsieh FY, Lavori PW: Sample-size calculations for the Cox proportional hazards model with nonbinary covariates. *Control Clin Trials* 21:552–560, 2000
- The Diabetes Prevention Program Research Group: Hypertension, insulin, and proinsulin in participants with impaired glucose tolerance. *Hypertension* 40:679–686, 2002