2013

Extracellular chaperones and proteostasis

Amy R. Wyatt
University of Wollongong, awyatt@uow.edu.au

Justin J. Yerbury
University of Wollongong, jyerbury@uow.edu.au

Heath Ecroyd
University of Wollongong, heathe@uow.edu.au

Mark R. Wilson
University of Wollongong, mrw@uow.edu.au

Publication Details

Wyatt, A. R., Yerbury, J. J., Ecroyd, H. & Wilson, M. R. (2013). Extracellular chaperones and proteostasis. Annual Review of Biochemistry, 82 295-322.

Extracellular chaperones and proteostasis

Abstract
There is a family of currently untreatable serious human diseases that arise from the inappropriate misfolding and aggregation of extracellular proteins. At present our understanding of mechanisms that operate to maintain proteostasis in extracellular body fluids is limited but has significantly advanced with the discovery of a small but growing family of constitutively secreted extracellular chaperones (ECs). The available evidence strongly suggests that these chaperones act as both sensors and disposal-mediators of misfolded proteins in extracellular fluids, thereby normally protecting us from disease pathologies. It is critically important to further increase our understanding of the mechanisms that operate to effect extracellular proteostasis, as this will be essential knowledge upon which to base the development of effective therapies for some of the world's most debilitating, costly and intractable diseases.

Keywords
proteostasis, chaperones, extracellular, CMMB

Disciplines
Medicine and Health Sciences | Social and Behavioral Sciences

Publication Details
Wyatt, A. R., Yerbury, J. J., Ecroyd, H. & Wilson, M. R. (2013). Extracellular chaperones and proteostasis. Annual Review of Biochemistry, 82 295-322.

Extracellular Chaperones and Proteostasis

Amy R Wyatt[1,2], Justin J Yerbury[1], Heath Ecroyd[1] and Mark R Wilson[1,†]

[1] School of Biological Sciences, University of Wollongong, Northfields Avenue, Wollongong. NSW. Australia. 2522

[2] Department of Chemistry, University of Cambridge, Lensfield Road, Cambridge, UK.

[†] Corresponding author e-mail: mrw@uow.edu.au

Table of contents

Summary

- Processes acting to sense and control protein misfolding in extracellular fluids have previously been poorly studied.
- Recent work has identified a small but growing family of secreted chaperones that are abundant in extracellular fluids.
- These extracellular chaperones (ECs) stabilize misfolded proteins and are implicated in mediating their systemic clearance via receptor-mediated endocytosis.
- This action operates to normally protect the human body from disease pathologies arising from the inappropriate misfolding and aggregation of extracellular proteins.
- A better understanding of the processes that maintain extracellular proteostasis will open up new therapeutic opportunities for currently untreatable diseases.

Future issues to be resolved for the topic

- What are the specific receptors involved in clearing EC-misfolded protein complexes from extracellular fluids?
- Which protease systems act to help clear extracellular protein deposits and do these synergise with ECs to safely accomplish this task?
- Is it possible to treat disease pathologies arising from inappropriate extracellular protein misfolding by pharmacologically manipulating the in vivo expression levels of ECs (or their chaperone activities)?

Mini-glossary

- Extracellular chaperones: secreted proteins generally having a sHSP-like chaperone action (i.e. ATP-independent ability to stabilize misfolded proteins, preventing their aggregation and precipitation).
- Proteostasis: all those processes that act to maintain the steady state levels, distribution and native fold of the proteome.

Important acronyms

EC extracellular chaperone
α_2M **α_2**-macroglobulin
HSP heat shock protein
sHSP small heat shock protein
Aβ amyloid beta peptide
AD Alzheimer's disease
TTR transthyretin
LRP low density lipoprotein receptor-related protein
Apo apolipoprotein
TLR toll-like receptor

Abstract
There is a family of currently untreatable serious human diseases that arise from the inappropriate misfolding and aggregation of extracellular proteins. At present our understanding of mechanisms that operate to maintain proteostasis in extracellular body fluids is limited but has significantly advanced with the discovery of a small but growing family of constitutively secreted extracellular chaperones (ECs). The available evidence strongly suggests that these chaperones act as both sensors and disposal-mediators of misfolded proteins in extracellular fluids, thereby normally protecting us from disease pathologies. It is critically important to further increase our understanding of the mechanisms that operate to effect extracellular proteostasis, as this will be essential knowledge upon which to base the development of effective therapies for some of the world's most debilitating, costly and intractable diseases.

Keywords
Extracellular chaperones; proteostasis; protein folding; protein deposition diseases; clearance

Running title: Extracellular chaperones and proteostasis

1.0 Introduction

The term *proteostasis* refers to the maintenance of the proteome as a set of individual proteins in a conformation, concentration and location that is required for their correct function (1). Proteostasis is critical for the maintenance of organismal viability and operates in both the intracellular and extracellular environments. By far the better characterized systems relate to the intracellular environment, which has been the focus of decades of research, leading to the identification of many important components and processes (see 2.0 below).

The pathologies of many serious human diseases (the so-called Protein Deposition Diseases) are associated with the aggregation and deposition of misfolded proteins (Table 1). Generally speaking, protein aggregates form when protein concentration exceeds solubility (2). Despite this, many proteins normally function at the upper edge of their solubilities (3). This means that any small changes in protein concentration or solubility (due to mutations or a change in the environment) may tip the delicate balance leading to aggregation and deposition. Chaperones have emerged as ubiquitous and critical players in proteostasis systems, where they perform a variety of roles including inhibiting protein aggregation, maintaining the solubility of and refolding misfolded proteins, and protein trafficking. As is true for proteostasis in general, knowledge of extracellular chaperones (ECs) has lagged well behind that of their intracellular counterparts. Nevertheless, in recent years it has become clear that there is a growing family of abundant proteins in the extracellular fluids of metazoans that share functional characteristics with the intracellular small heat shock proteins (sHSPs). These abundant ECs are able to bind to, and keep soluble, proteins that are misfolded as a result of mutations or stresses and inhibit their aggregation. Furthermore, the ECs are strongly implicated in clearing these aggregating proteins from extracellular spaces and facilitating their degradation, thereby playing a pivotal role in maintaining extracellular proteostasis.

This review will provide a critical overview of the current understanding of the processes that operate in extracellular proteostasis with a particular focus on emerging knowledge of the ECs. A brief outline of intracellular proteostasis systems follows (2.0) because this provides background for the ensuing consideration of corresponding processes in the extracellular context.

2.0 Intracellular proteostasis

In order to produce properly functioning proteins the processes of transcription, RNA processing and transport, translation, protein folding, protein transport and ultimately protein degradation must be tightly regulated (1). Arguably the most important elements of the proteostasis machinery are the chaperones which have been defined by some as proteins that interact with other proteins to stabilize them or to help them acquire their native conformation (4). These broad functional characteristics mean that chaperones play a role in many cellular functions including protein folding, assembly of complexes, protein trafficking, protein degradation, and controlling protein aggregation and disaggregation. There are over a hundred chaperone genes in mammalian genomes, therefore no single chaperone performs all the roles identified above. Several families of chaperones reside inside mammalian cells and have previously been categorized on the

basis of their molecular weights, including the sHSPs, and the HSP40, HSP60, HSP70, HSP90, and HSP100 families. The various chaperones have differing actions and distinct functional roles in protein quality control. For example, HSP70 is known to play a role early in the protein folding process, interacting with ribosomes, growing peptide chains and newly synthesized polypeptides (5). In contrast, HSP60 and HSP90 members act further downstream to provide an enclosed environment with hydrophobic surfaces to assist in the folding of specific protein clients (5, 6). Once folded, a range of physiological stresses can cause a protein to partially unfold or misfold. Chaperones such as HSP100 and the sHSPs can recognize misfolded proteins and, in co-operation with folding chaperones such as HSP70, allow them to refold (7).

When maintenance of correct protein folding is no longer possible, cells contain a number of systems to remove damaged or misfolded proteins. The ubiquitin-proteasome system recognizes, labels and degrades stubbornly misfolded proteins. There are many hundreds of ubiquitin ligases (8) that through a series of highly regulated events covalently attach polyubiquitin chains to misfolded proteins; ubiquitinated proteins are subsequently transferred to the proteasome as substrates for degradation (9). In addition, damaged cytosolic proteins can be degraded by lysosomes via three distinct mechanisms, macroautophagy, microautophagy and chaperone-mediated autophagy (10). Chaperones are involved in controlling the movement of intractably misfolded proteins towards degradation machinery. For example HSP70 can, depending on the co-factors involved, promote folding (5), degradation through the ubiquitin-proteasome system (11), chaperone-mediated autophagy (12) or even actively partition misfolded proteins into inclusions such as the aggresome (13).

Most of the current information on the function of chaperones relates to those found inside cells. However, chaperones are also found in other compartments, both within and outside cells. De novo folding of proteins destined for secretion occurs in the endoplasmic reticulum (ER), where a network of chaperones and other protein quality control mechanisms act to ensure that proteins are correctly folded before they are released from the cell. Synthesis of proteins destined for secretion begins on ER-associated ribosomes. The microenvironment to which polypeptides are exposed in the ER is similar to that of the extracellular space; both environments contain a relatively high concentration of calcium ions and are oxidizing (14). Consequently, there are specialized chaperones and enzymes that assist in the maturation of secreted proteins. The ER contains members of the classical chaperone families HSP70 (BiP), HSP40, HSP90 and a member of the HSP100 family. Notably, there is an absence of HSP60 family members in the ER which means that secreted proteins rely for folding exclusively on binding and release from folding chaperones such as BiP and the HSP90 family member GRP94. In the case of glycoproteins, further maturation of protein folding is achieved with assistance from lectin chaperones such as calnexin and calreticulin. These lectin chaperones work downstream of classic chaperones such as BiP through a cycle of binding and release which controls de-glucosylation and re-glucosylation via specific glucosyltransferase enzymes. The addition of glucose to the folding protein signals another round of binding and release, and only when the protein is fully folded will it exit this cycle and be released to the secretory pathway (15). Oxidoreductases of the protein disulfide isomerase (PDI) family also contribute to protein folding within the ER. PDIs

catalyze the oxidation reaction required to form disulfide bonds by acting as electron acceptors (14); PDIs can also isomerize disulfide bonds, rearranging inappropriate disulfide linkages to attain native structures.

The ER quality control network strives to ensure that only fully folded native proteins are secreted and is able to retain most misfolded proteins within the ER (16). One mechanism of retention is thought to involve chaperones that contain C-terminal ER retention sequences, such as BiP (17), physically directing bound misfolded proteins back to the ER. However, only structures that are recognized by ER chaperones are able to be held back. As a result, some proteins with "native-like" folds (e.g. some mutated forms of transthyretin) are able to evade the quality control system and exit to the extracellular space (18). Once in the extracellular space, the proteome is out of reach of the well-described intracellular proteostasis systems and must be "maintained" by other mechanisms.

3.0 Extracellular proteostasis

Once in the extracellular space, secreted proteins will be bathed in large volumes of extracellular fluids (approximately 5 liters of blood and 10 liters of interstitial and other fluids in an average human). As flagged above, this environment is oxidizing and in the case of blood plasma especially, is subjected to ongoing shear stress during its enforced circulation around the body. These stresses will ensure that with time, dependent on the stability of individual proteins, extracellular proteins will misfold and need replacement. Studies from 30-40 years ago showed that misfolded forms of plasma proteins were more rapidly degraded than their natively folded precursors (19), hinting that a system operated in vivo to recognize and dispose of "damaged" extracellular proteins. Knowing what we do now about intracellular proteostasis, it would in fact be absolutely remarkable if there were not corresponding systems to deal with the potentially pathological consequences of extracellular protein misfolding. The known list of serious human diseases arising from excessive inappropriate extracellular protein misfolding and aggregation (Table 1) draws a line under this imperative. Importantly, however, owing to the major physical differences between the intracellular and extracellular environments, exactly the same systems cannot operate in both locations. For example, the concentration of nucleotide phosphates such as ATP, used by intracellular chaperones to energize protein refolding, is several orders of magnitude lower in extracellular fluids than inside cells (20). Thus, chaperone-mediated protein refolding appears a much more difficult proposition in the extracellular context. Similarly, although very low levels of proteasome (which also requires ATP) and even normally intracellular chaperones have been found in extracellular fluids, their concentrations are orders of magnitude lower than inside cells (21-23) indicating that they are unlikely to have the capacity to play any substantive role in protecting the organism from the challenges posed by misfolding extracellular proteins present at much higher levels.

Then what mechanisms do operate extracellularly to protect metazoans from "aged", misfolded and aggregating proteins? Theoretical options include refolding (unlikely in light of the above), extracellular proteolysis, and physical clearance from extracellular

fluids for subsequent intracellular degradation (Figure 1). There is some evidence that the plasmin/plasminogen system may have the ability to proteolyse pre-formed extracellular protein deposits (24-26), although a lot more work is required here to better understand how major a role this might play *in vivo*. In addition, misfolded or aggregated proteins may themselves be recognized by specific receptors on the surfaces of some cells, however, in many cases this has been demonstrated to have subsequent pro-inflammatory effects (see 5.2). A body of work in recent years has identified a series of ECs that, in most cases, share functional similarities with the sHSPs in that they lack ATPase activity and cannot refold proteins (see 4.0). They are able to stabilize misfolded proteins, however, and keep them soluble, which not only inhibits their aggregation and toxicity but also facilitates their efficient delivery to receptors which may be the key to safely clearing these potentially dangerous species from extracellular spaces (see 5.0 & 5.3).

4.0 ECs

4.1 Clusterin

Clusterin was originally named for its propensity to cause cell clustering *in vitro* (27), however, owing to its multifunctional nature it is also known by many alternative names including apolipoprotein J (ApoJ), SP-40,40, sulfated glycoprotein 2 and complement lysis inhibitor. The clusterin gene encodes a precursor polypeptide that is extensively glycosylated and internally cleaved to form the α and β subunits, which are linked by five disulphide bridges in the mature protein (28). The structure of clusterin is yet to be fully resolved, however, by sequence analysis it is predicted that clusterin contains three amphipathic α-helices and two coiled-coil α-helices (29, 30). It has also been proposed that the binding site on clusterin for a diverse range of hydrophobic ligands is a molten-globule-like pocket formed by intrinsically disordered regions and amphipathic α-helices (31). The gamut of functions (other than chaperone) proposed for clusterin includes, but is not limited to, regulation of complement (32) and apoptosis (33, 34), protease inhibition (35), and lipid transport (30). This diversity of putative functions most likely reflects the ability of clusterin to bind to an extremely broad range of structurally diverse ligands. The concentrations of clusterin in blood plasma and cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) are 35-105 μg/ml and 1.2-3.6 μg/ml, respectively (36, 37). However, clusterin expression is up-regulated in response to many different stresses including tissue injury (38), aging (39), and in diseases including Alzheimer's disease (AD) (40, 41), atherosclerosis (42), diabetes (42) and cancer (43). While the clusterin gene is known to encode a secretory signal, in some instances is appears that clusterin is retained within cells. It has been suggested that this is the result of the translation of a form of clusterin lacking the secretory signal (44). Conversely, it has been shown that full-length clusterin can be retrotranslocated into the cytosol in response to ER stress (45). Another form of clusterin directed to the nucleus is reportedly the result of alternative splicing of the clusterin gene (46, 47). The mechanisms by which different isoforms of clusterin may be generated is still highly controversial and further studies are necessary to clarify this.

Clusterin is a potent sHSP-like chaperone that has been shown to inhibit stress-induced amorphous protein aggregation and the fibrillar aggregation of many amyloidogenic proteins and peptides (48-56). The structural elements responsible for the chaperone activity of clusterin are not yet known, however, its ability to bind to misfolded proteins

is thought to be related to its surface hydrophobicity which is enhanced by acidic pH (49). The chaperone activity of clusterin is ATP-independent and in the case of amorphously aggregating clients, results in the formation of soluble, high molecular mass complexes ≥ 40 000 kDa (57). Immunodepletion of clusterin from human blood plasma renders plasma proteins susceptible to stress-induced precipitation (49). The near ubiquitous expression of clusterin, and its constitutive presence in many biological fluids, suggests that it performs a fundamentally important protective role in vivo. Supporting this, clusterin knockout mice have increased tissue damage after heat-shock (58), myosin-induced auto-immune myocarditis (59) or post-ischemic brain injury (60). Moreover, it has been demonstrated that ageing clusterin knockout mice develop glomerular neuropathy, directly implicating clusterin in the clearance of pathological protein deposits (61). Additionally, clusterin is found colocalized with misfolded protein deposits in many diseases (Table 1).

Two recent independent genome-wide association studies identified polymorphisms in clusterin as a strong genetic risk factor for AD (62, 63). Clusterin has been shown to influence amyloid formation by binding to prefibrillar aggregates rather than binding to the monomeric protein/peptide or mature amyloid fibrils (50-52). Depending on the ratio of clusterin to the fibril forming client, clusterin may either prevent further growth or promote elongation (51), and may either prevent or exacerbate the cytotoxicity of amyloidogenic peptides *in vitro* (51, 56, 64, 65) (see also 5.1). It has been shown that clusterin markedly enhances the clearance of $A\beta_{1-42}$ at the blood-brain barrier (66), presumably via the receptor known as meglain/LRP-2 (67). However, in a mouse model of AD, clusterin knockout has been shown to reduce fibrillar Aβ amyloid deposition and neurotoxicity (68). A similar result was shown for ApoE knockout mice, however, double knockout of clusterin and ApoE resulted in early disease onset and a marked increase in Aβ peptide levels and amyloid formation (69). Thus, while the available data shows that clusterin can influence amyloid fibril formation and facilitate the clearance of Aβ, the role of clusterin in AD remains unresolved.

4.2 Haptoglobin

Haptoglobin is well known for its role as a haemoglobin-binding protein and also as an acute phase reactant. In humans there are three major haptoglobin phenotypes (Hp1-1, Hp1-2 and Hp2-2) depending on the presence of two principal alleles Hp1 and Hp2, which encode the α^1 and α^2 subunits, respectively. The simplest form of haptoglobin is type Hp1-1, which consists of a disulfide-linked $\alpha^1\beta$ dimer (70). An additional cysteine residue in the α^2 chain allows for the formation of large complex disulfide-linked polymers in Hp2-1 and Hp2-2 which can form species up to 900 kDa in mass (71, 72). Homology with complement receptor 1 has been used to predict structural elements including the location of complement control protein domains, a CD163-binding region and the hemoglobin-binding site (73). Additionally, it has been proposed that a large hydrophobic region adjacent to the hemoglobin-binding site is responsible for the chaperone activity of haptoglobin (73, 74).

Haptoglobin is found in most extracellular fluids, with concentrations of 0.3-2.0 mg/ml (75) and 0.5-2 μg/ml (76) in human plasma and CSF, respectively. The hepatic

expression of haptoglobin is strongly upregulated by inflammatory mediators such as IL-6, oncostatin M, and leukemia inhibitory factor (77). Sequestration of hemoglobin by haptoglobin is an important protective mechanism that reduces the amount of free hemoglobin and iron available to catalyze oxidative reactions (78). Other proposed roles for haptoglobin include, but are not limited to, regulation of cathepsin B activity (79), angiogenesis (80) and the immune system (81). In support of the latter, haptoglobin knockout mice have lower counts of mature T and B cells and display reduced adaptive immune responses (82). Haptoglobin phenotype has been implicated in several diseases including atherosclerosis where it is appears that the Hp2-2 phenotype is associated with increased risk and poor prognosis (reviewed in (83)). Unfortunately, studies have not yet examined the relationship between haptoglobin phenotype and the many protein deposition diseases.

Like clusterin, all three haptoglobin phenotypes have been shown to inhibit stress-induced amorphous protein aggregation of a wide range of client proteins *in vitro* (84, 85), and immunodepletion of haptoglobin from human blood plasma has been shown to render plasma proteins susceptible to precipitation (85). Complexation with hemoglobin reduces but does not abolish the chaperone activity of haptoglobin, which supports that the binding sites on haptoglobin for hemoglobin and misfolded client proteins are discrete (74, 86). By size exclusion chromatography it appears that complexes formed between haptoglobin and misfolded proteins are comparable in mass to those involving clusterin ($\geq$ 40 000 kDa; (85)), however, little else is known about their physical characteristics. In contrast to clusterin, decreased pH reduces both the hydrophobicity and chaperone activity of hapoglobin (85). Hp2-1 has been shown to inhibit amyloid formation by a number of amyloidogenic proteins/peptides, however, this is currently limited to a single study and the effect of other haptoglobin phenotypes has not yet been investigated (86). Nevertheless, it appears that at substoichiometric levels Hp2-1 inhibits amyloid formation by forming stable complexes with client protein and preventing their elongation (86).

4.3 α_2-Macroglobulin (α_2M)

α_2M is a multifunctional protein that is best known for its role as a broad spectrum protease inhibitor. X-ray crystallography data and homology modeling against complement component C3 have been used to predict that α_2M is formed by numerous macroglobulin domains, an alpha helical TED (thiol ester-containing) domain, and a CUB (complement protein subcomponents C1r/C1s, urchin embryonic growth factor and bone morphogenetic protein 1) (87). The quaternary structure of α_2M involves four identical 180 kDa chains, which covalently pair by disulfide bonds and then non-covalently associate to form a 720 kDa tetramer (88). The ability of α_2M to act as a protease inhibitor is due to "bait regions" which are not present in the related complement proteins. Upon cleavage of one or more of the bait regions by a protease, native α_2M transitions to a more compact "activated" conformation, which migrates further than native α_2M during native gel electrophoresis (89). During this transition, each disulfide-bonded dimer can covalently trap a protease within a steric "cage", tethered via an intramolecular thiol ester bond (90). Small nucleophiles including methylamine or ammonium ions can also activate α_2M by directly attacking the thiol ester bond (89). The activation of α_2M exposes a cryptic receptor recognition site for low-density lipoprotein receptor-related protein (LRP; also known as the α_2M receptor)(90). In addition to acting

as a protease inhibitor, it has been demonstrated that binding to α_2M can enhance antigen presentation (91) and α_2M is widely reported to act as a carrier of cytokines, growth factors and hormones, particularly in its activated form (92, 93).

α_2M is expressed by many tissues and is highly abundant in extracellular fluids. The concentrations of α_2M in human plasma and CSF are 1.5–2 mg/ml and 1.0–3.6 mg/ml, respectively (90, 94). In humans, plasma levels of α_2M are known to decline with age (95). While α_2M expression is upregulated during the acute phase in rats (96), plasma concentrations of α_2M do not increase during the acute phase in humans (97). Consistent with it interacting with misfolded proteins in vivo, α_2M is found colocalized with misfolded protein deposits in many diseases (Table 1). In particular, α_2M is topical in the field of AD owing to its ability to bind to and facilitate the clearance of Aβ via LRP (98-100). Several independent studies have reported that polymorphism in α_2M is a genetic risk factor for AD (101-105), however, several other studies have failed to show this association (106, 107). There is also vigorous debate about whether mutations in LRP are linked with AD (106, 108, 109).

Similar to clusterin and haptoglobin, α_2M has been shown to have a "holdase"-type chaperone activity which inhibits amorphous and fibrillar protein aggregation in vitro (86, 110). At present the structural elements responsible for the chaperone activity of α_2M are not known. Investigation of the chaperone activity of α_2M against stress-induced amorphous protein aggregation is currently limited to a single study which suggested that this activity was abolished by protease activation, however, α_2M retained the ability to trap proteases after binding to misfolded proteins and α_2M-protease-misfolded protein complexes were recognized by LRP (111). α_2M has been shown to inhibit amyloid formation by a large number of substrates (86) and to protect cells against Aβ toxicity in vitro (112, 113). As for the other ECs, α_2M appears to suppress amyloid formation by interacting with prefibrillar species that occur early in the aggregation process (86). A recent study showed that mildly acidic pH or 0.5 mM sodium dodecyl sulphate (which induce dissociation α_2M tetramers into dimers), increased the binding of α_2M to β_2-microglobulin (114). This report proposed that dimeric α_2M may be more chaperone-active than the tetramer, however, currently it is unknown whether α_2M dimers are generated in humans in vivo.

4.4 Caseins

The caseins are a heterogeneous mixture of four (unrelated) phosphoproteins that include α_{S1}-, α_{S2}-, β- and κ-casein, and are the primary components of milk micelles. All of the caseins lack a well-defined tertiary structure, existing as 'natively unfolded' proteins that self-associate into casein micelles, which serves as the transport vesicle for calcium to mammalian neonates. Both α_S- (made up of α_{S1}- and α_{S2}- subunits) and β-casein act as chaperones to inhibit the stress-induced amorphous aggregation of client proteins (115), as well the fibrillar aggregation of Aβ (116). Their chaperone activity is pH (117) and phosphorylation (118) dependent with activity being highest at the pH range typical of milk (i.e. 6.8 - 7.0). As for clusterin, the caseins act as 'holdase' chaperones by forming high molecular mass complexes with client proteins but do not have refolding activity (117, 119). Evidence of the physiological relevance of this chaperone action comes from findings reporting the presence of calcified amyloid-like deposits (known as *corpora*

amylacea) in bovine, rat and canine mammary tissue (120-123). Moreover, when isolated from the other casein proteins, α_{S2}- and κ-casein readily aggregate into amyloid fibrils under conditions of physiological pH and temperature (124-126). Thus, the ability of α_{S1}- and β-casein to function as chaperones and associate with other proteins (including the other caseins) is essential for the formation and stability of casein micelles and may also play a role in the prevention of mammary *corpora amylacea.*

4.5 Other ECs

In addition to clusterin, α_2M, haptoglobin and caseins, several other secreted proteins have been reported to have chaperone activity (summarized below). In several cases, analysis of the chaperone activity of these proteins is limited to a single study, thus, further characterization of their interactions with misfolded proteins is needed before they can be recognized as genuine ECs.

The ε4 allele of apolipoprotein E (ApoE) is a firmly established genetic risk factor for late-onset AD (127). It has been demonstrated that ApoE binds to Aβ and fragments of amyloidogenic gelsolin and prion protein (128, 129). Binding to ApoE reportedly increases the β-sheet content of these peptides (129), and promotes amyloid formation (130). However, similar to clusterin, it appears that depending on the conditions tested, ApoE can also inhibit amyloid formation by influencing either the nucleation or elongation phases (131, 132). In mice, ApoE genotype differentially regulates the clearance of Aβ from the brain; complexes formed between ApoE ε2 or ApoE ε3 and Aβ are cleared faster than those formed between ApoE ε4 and Aβ (133, 134). Although not proven, this may be the critical activity that promotes AD in carriers of the ApoE4 genotype. ApoE is found colocalized with misfolded proteins in a large number of diseases including with Aβ in AD (135) and Down's Syndrome (135), with prion protein in spongiform encephalopathies (135), with human islet amyloid peptide in diabetes (136), with drusen in macular degeneration (137), and in atherosclerotic plaques (138). In addition to clusterin (ApoJ) and ApoE, a third apolipoprotein, ApoAI, has been reported to influence Aβ aggregation and toxicity in vitro (139).

Albumin is by far the most abundant plasma protein, and is known to be an important carrier of many different molecules including Aβ (140). Several studies have reported that albumin inhibits stress-induced amorphous protein aggregation and amyloid formation *in vitro* (141-144). Compared to most recognized chaperones, on a molar basis albumin is considerably less efficient at preventing protein aggregation (57, 145, 146), however, given its abundance this activity may be physiologically relevant. A recent report suggested that the "chaperone" activity of albumin involves the formation of high molecular mass complexes, however, the data showed that proportionally only a very small amount of protein formed high molecular mass species when stressed in the presence of albumin (143). Further work is needed in order to determine whether albumin can preferential bind to misfolded proteins, or whether the chaperone-like activity of albumin at high concentrations is the result of weak non-specific interactions.

The “secreted protein acidic and rich in cysteine” (SPARC) is a multifunctional protein that promotes extracellular matrix remodelling by inhibiting collagen fibrillogenesis (147), and acts as an intracellular chaperone for procollagen (148). Aging SPARC knockout mice develop cataract and abnormal collagen deposition, supporting that the ability of SPARC to act as a collagen chaperone is important in vivo (149, 150). In human patients with cataract SPARC is upregulated (151), possibly in response to stress (152). SPARC has been demonstrated to, at substoichiometric concentrations, prevent the aggregation of heat denatured alcohol dehydrogenase (153). Little is known about the mechanism of this activity and whether it applies to misfolded proteins more broadly, thus further studies are warranted.

Serum amyloid P (SAP: a member of the pentraxin family) is known to bind to a diverse array of ligands (154-156), however, no clear biological function for this protein has yet been established. It has been reported that SAP has ATP-independent refolding chaperone activity, however, this was achieved using a very high molar excess of SAP and even then the recovery of heat-denatured lactate dehydrogenase activity was only 25% (157). Nevertheless, SAP is universally found colocalized with amyloid deposits in disease (158), which supports that it preferentially binds to amyloidogenic proteins in vivo. In vitro, SAP has been shown to inhibit amyloid fibril formation and increase the solubility of Aβ (159), however, the association of SAP with amyloid also protects the fibrils from proteolytic degradation (160). Knockout of SAP expression has been shown to delay amyloid deposition in a mouse model of reactive amyloidosis, suggesting that it plays a pro-amyloidogenic role (161).

Fibrinogen, a major blood protein that plays an important role in clotting, was reported as inhibiting stress-induced amorphous protein aggregation and amyloid formation (162). A later report from the same group, however, suggested that the chaperone activity is mediated exclusively by the α_EC domain which is only present in a minor isoform of fibrinogen known as fibrinogen-420 (163). When present at equimolar concentrations fibrinogen-420 reduced the heat-induced precipitation of citrate synthase by around 50% (163). In comparison, purified α_EC was a more potent chaperone, however, while free α_EC can be liberated from fibrinogen-420 as a result of proteolysis, its concentration in vivo is likely to be only a small fraction of that of fibrinogen-420 which is normally around 35 μg/ml in human plasma (164).

Two secreted lipocalin-type proteins, α_1-acid glycoprotein and lipocalin-type prostaglandin D synthase (L-PGDS)/β-trace are both reported to have chaperone-like activity (165, 166). For L-PGDS/β-trace this has only been addressed by a single study, which found that (i) L-PGDS/β-trace binds to monomeric and fibrillar Aβ and is found colocalized with Aβ plaques in vivo, (ii) L-PGDS/β-trace inhibits Aβ fibril formation, and (iii) Aβ deposition is enhanced in L-PGDS/β-trace deficient mice and decreased in L-PGDS/β-trace overexpressing mice compared to wild-type control mice (165). For α_1-acid glycoprotein, again the available data is limited to a single study which reported that α_1-acid glycoprotein inhibited the in vitro aggregation of a range of proteins (166). The same researcher also reported in a similar one-off study that α_1-antitrypsin has chaperone-like activity (167). These latter two studies, however, lacked suitable non-chaperone control proteins with which to compare the effects of α_1-acid glycoprotein and α_1-

antitrypsin on protein aggregation. Moreover, preferential binding of α_1-acid glycoprotein and α_1-antitrypsin to misfolded proteins has not been demonstrated.

5.0 Physiological roles of ECs

ECs are proposed to patrol extracellular spaces for misfolded and aggregated proteins. This function has implications for the clearance of aged or damaged proteins and, importantly, the protection of cells and tissues from the toxic or physically disruptive effects of protein aggregates. Cellular contact with misfolded or aggregated proteins can result in direct toxic effects (see 5.1), inflammatory signaling (see 5.2) or indeed endocytosis and degradation (see 5.3). The outcome depends on the cell types and specific receptors involved, and on the actions of the ECs.

5.1 Direct effects on ECs on the toxicity of protein aggregates

Although all aggregate species on the amyloid forming pathway may be toxic, it has become apparent that smaller soluble aggregates, commonly known as oligomers, are the most toxic species. These oligomers, even those generated from proteins not associated with disease, have been shown to be more toxic than both the precursor protein/peptide from which they are made and the fibrils generated from them (168). The mechanism(s) of oligomer toxicity remain unclear, however, common structural epitopes and exposed hydrophobicity have been correlated with aggregate toxicity in vitro (169, 170). Very hydrophobic protein aggregates may interact with cell surface receptors leading to changes in intracellular signal transduction cascades, potentially leading to cell death (171) or, alternatively, insert into and then interfere directly with membrane integrity resulting in toxicity (172). In the context of amyloid formation, ECs interact most strongly with oligomers formed early in the aggregation pathway (50, 51, 86), probably via the exposed hydrophobic residues thought to responsible for cellular toxicity. Indeed, it is likely that this is a common mechanism by which a range of ECs, such as clusterin, α_2M, haptoglobin and ApoE protect cells from misfolded or aggregated proteins (51, 173, 174). Recent insights into the mechanism of these interactions have come from studies exploiting advanced microscopy techniques. Single molecule fluorescence analyses were used to show for the first time that clusterin forms stable, soluble complexes with a broad range of Aβ oligomers (ranging from dimers to 50-mers) and by doing so can inhibit fibrillogenesis and enhance the concentration of soluble Aβ species following disaggregation of pre-formed fibrils (50). Furthermore, atomic force and confocal microscopy was used to show that clusterin and α_2M physically associate with HypF-N protein oligomers to induce them to form larger assemblies; this inhibited binding of the oligomers to cell membranes and consequently their cytotoxicity (173).

However, it is important to note that the effects of ECs on the toxicity of protein oligomers are context-dependent. For example, clusterin and α_2M were shown to enhance the cytotoxicity of Aβ to PC12 cells and LAN5 cells, respectively (56, 175). In contrast, other work has shown that clusterin and α_2M can protect cells from Aβ toxicity in primary rat mixed neuronal cultures (64, 100). Furthermore, when Aβ was aggregated in the presence of clusterin at a ratio of clusterin:Aβ of 1:10, it was less toxic than Aβ alone

to SH-SY5Y cells. However, when this same experiment was performed using a ratio of clusterin:Aβ of 1:500, the species formed were more toxic (51). These apparently opposing outcomes probably arise as a result of stoichiometry-dependent differential effects of ECs on oligomer structure. When present at relatively high ratios of chaperone:client, the ECs may be able to effectively mask most of the hydrophobicity exposed on the oligomers (thereby reducing their toxicity). In contrast, when present at lower ratios of chaperone:client, the ECs may structurally stabilize the oligomers, leading to the generation of more oligomers, but be present at insufficient levels to shield all the hydrophobic regions exposed on the oligomers.

5.2 Anti-inflammatory effects of ECs There are many reports describing the effects of clusterin, haptoglobin and α_2M on the immune system (59, 176-180). Some of these, such as the ability of α_2M to enhance antigen presentation (91) and haptoglobin-facilitated clearance of hemoglobin (180), clearly fall outside of the scope of this review and as such, will not be discussed here. With direct relevance to their function as ECs, a large number of recent studies have now shown that amyloidogenic peptides and aggregates of misfolded proteins are potently immunostimulatory (reviewed in (181)). Moreover, it has been suggested that hydrophobicity is universally recognized as a damage-associated pattern by the innate immune system (182). The rationale for this hypothesis comes in part from the fact that innate immune systems receptors such as toll-like receptors (TLRs) and scavenger receptors are highly promiscuous and bind to a very large number of ligands. These ligands are structurally diverse, however, most share the trait of normally being either hydrophobic or prone to exposing large areas of hydrophobicity when they are damaged or modified (e.g. bacterial lipopolysacharride (183)). Furthermore, it has recently been shown that when exposed to gold nanoparticles the expression of pro-inflammatory cytokines by splenocytes correlates with the surface hydrophobicity of these particles (184).

Protein misfolding is accompanied by chronic inflammatory pathology in many diseases including AD, prion disease, arthritis, macular degeneration and atherosclerosis. Reports describing the in vitro activation of microglia and astrocytes via stimulation of scavenger receptors and TLRs by amyloidogenic peptides are too numerous to address individually here, therefore, just a few examples will be discussed (reviewed in (181)). Fibrillar Aβ reportedly interacts with an ensemble of innate immune receptors including SR-AI, CD36, CD14, TLR-2, TLR-4 and formyl peptide receptor 2 (185-187), the net effect being upregulation of pro-inflammatory genes such as iNOS, COX2 and TNFα, and the initiation of respiratory burst (187, 188). A role for amyloids in platelet activation has also been suggested and CD36 and von Willebrand factor receptor glycoprotein Ibα were implicated in this process (189). Direct comparison of Aβ oligomers and Aβ fibrils suggests that small oligomers of Aβ are more potent stimulators of microglia and astrocytes (187, 190, 191), and supports the hypothesis that the hydrophobicity of the agonist is important. The ability of misfolded proteins to stimulate pro-inflammatory responses does not appear to be limited to amyloid, for example amorphous aggregates formed by the denaturation of large globular proteins have been shown to stimulate nitric oxide and superoxide production in macrophages (192). This activity was attributed to interaction of the aggregates with $\beta_1\beta_2$ integrins, MAC-1 and receptor for advanced glycation end products (RAGE) (192, 193).

Taken together the findings of the aforementioned studies strongly support that misfolded proteins are inherently immunostimulatory and this may be an important mechanism by which they contribute to the pathology of disease. Considering that inflammation is a state in which numerous stresses including heat and the concentration of free radicals are increased, it is possible that misfolded proteins and inflammation together generate a self-perpetuating cycle. Although the anti-inflammatory actions of the ECs may involve several mechanisms, close examination of their biological activities supports that at least some of their immunomodulatory effects are linked to their inherent property to bind and mask areas of exposed hydrophobicity on molecules. For example, the binding of α_2M to cytokines, which is currently considered a major mechanism by which it exerts immunomodulatory effects, is driven by hydrophobic interactions in many cases (92, 93, 194). Similarly, hydrophobic interactions are central to the interactions of clusterin with the complement system (32). Thus, it is tempting to speculate that an additional, yet to be characterized immunomodulatory activity of ECs, may be the direct result of their ability to mask regions of exposed hydrophobicity on misfolded proteins and other ligands, thereby reducing their ability to participate in pro-inflammatory signaling.

5.3 EC-mediated clearance of protein aggregates

In addition to directly shielding cells from hydrophobic protein aggregates, ECs may also protect cells by playing an important role in physically clearing misfolded proteins from extracellular fluids. Although receptors that can recognize and directly bind to misfolded or aggregated proteins have been identified, continued aggregation will result in the formation of insoluble deposits which have restricted access to cell surface receptors and that may persist in the body for extended periods. Additionally, there is evidence that the recognition of misfolded proteins by receptors may in fact contribute to their pathological effects (see above). The formation of complexes between ECs and misfolded proteins inhibits further aggregation of the latter, maintains them in solution and enhances the efficiency with which they are delivered to cell surface receptors for clearance. For example, SH-SY5Y cells expressing the α_2M receptor (LRP) are more resistant to Aβ toxicity in the presence of α_2M than cells that do not (175). In this context, the protection afforded by LRP expression could be inhibited with receptor-associated protein (a ligand that inhibits binding of species to LRP), further supporting the notion that internalization of α_2M-Aβ complexes is cytoprotective. Along similar lines, in the presence of α_2M, Aβ was cytotoxic to LRP-negative LAN5 cells but not when the LAN5 cells were transfected with LRP (175). When Aβ is added into AD patient CSF, it is more toxic to SH-SY5Y cells than Aβ added into control CSF; adding ECs (clusterin, α_2M and haptoglobin) suppresses this toxicity and this effect coincides with a more efficient cellular uptake of Aβ (112). Furthermore, it has been demonstrated that clusterin-Aβ complexes bind to the receptor megalin on the surface of mouse teratocarcinoma F9 cells, and are subsequently internalized, via receptor mediated endocytosis, transported to lysosomes and degraded (195). Likewise, complexes formed between protease-activated α_2M and Aβ bind LRP and are internalized in U87 cells and subsequently degraded (99). Importantly, in vivo studies also strongly support that clusterin and α_2M facilitate the clearance of Aβ via interactions with lipoprotein receptors (66, 196). In a rat model, complexes formed between clusterin and misfolded client proteins are quickly and specifically taken up by liver hepatocytes and degraded within lysosomes (197). This uptake can be delayed by in

vivo injection of fucoidin, an inhibitor of scavenger receptors, implicating these in receptor-mediated endocytosis of the chaperone-client complexes. This may reflect a process in which protein aggregates are maintained in solution in complex with ECs until cell surface pattern recognition receptors bind to hydrophobic or misfolded protein epitopes exposed on the complexes and mediate their cellular uptake. Scavenger receptors also reportedly facilitate the uptake of methylamine-activated α_2M by liver endothelial and kupffer cells (198), however, previous studies have not addressed whether this is also a pathway by which α_2M facilitates the clearance of misfolded client proteins. It is well known that human macrophages use the CD163 receptor to bind and internalize haptoglobin-hemoglobin complexes for subsequent degradation (199); however, the identity of receptor(s) that may function in clearing haptoglobin-misfolded protein complexes is not yet known. Taken together, the available evidence suggests that ECs protect cells from toxic and pro-inflammatory protein aggregates both by masking regions of exposed hydrophobicity on them and by promoting their receptor-mediated cellular uptake and degradation (Figure 2). An intriguing question which requires further investigation is whether extracellular proteolysis systems (e.g. plasminogen/plasmin) might synergize with ECs to digest and clear insoluble extracellular protein deposits. Although much remains to be done to identify all the relevant cell surface receptors involved in the systemic clearance of EC-misfolded protein complexes, scavenger and lipoprotein receptors are strongly implicated in clearing complexes incorporating clusterin and α_2M.

6.0 Therapeutic opportunities

Available treatments for extracellular protein deposition diseases are currently limited to reducing their symptoms. Without effective prophylactics or cures, the already heavy burden of diseases such as AD, macular degeneration and arthritis will continue to grow within our aging society. Thus, there is an urgent need to better understand the fundamental biological systems that normally protect the body from accumulating misfolded proteins in extracellular spaces. Many amyloidoses result from the accumulation of a single protein. To stem the production of this protein would provide a first line of defense against its accumulation. In cases where the disease-relevant protein is primarily synthesized by the liver, organ transplantation is a drastic but effective means by which to control the disease. Currently, liver transplant is most common for the treatment of transthyretin (TTR) related familial amyloidosis (200), and has been successfully used to treat other forms of amyloidosis including those resulting from mutation in fibrinogen α-chain or lysozyme (201, 202). Nevertheless, surgery of this kind carries serious risk and unless taken as a preemptive measure, damage to other organs may already be severe at the time of transplantation. Moreover, the disease will continue to progress if the amyloidogenic protein is expressed by other tissues. Suppression of the expression of amyloid forming proteins using antisense oligonucleotides or small interfering RNA are promising new therapeutic strategies (203, 204). Treatment of this kind, however, is suitable only if knockout of the target does not negatively impact upon overall organismal health, such as is the case for TTR (205), but not other examples such as the amyloid precursor protein (APP) (206). Rather than target the expression of APP, an alternative strategy to prevent/treat AD is to reduce the expression of the enzymes

responsible for the production of $A\beta_{1-42}$ (207), since is well known that $A\beta_{1-42}$ has a higher tendency to aggregate compared to $A\beta_{1-40}$ peptide.

A variety of small molecules are known to inhibit the aggregation/fibrillogenesis of disease-relevant proteins in vitro (reviewed in (208)). Unfortunately, clinical use of these compounds is not possible due to their lack of specificity, the high concentrations required to elicit an effect and their low tolerability in vivo. Therefore, current research is focused on identifying molecules that specifically target amyloidogenic proteins and disrupt their aggregation. A successful example of this is the drug Tafamadis, which has recently been approved by the European Medicines Agency (209). Tafamadis inhibits amyloid formation by stabilizing the tetrameric form of TTR, the dissociation of which into monomers is the rate-limiting step in TTR amyloid formation (210). Promising novel peptide-based strategies are also currently under development, including "β-sheet breakers", which have been shown to reduce amyloid deposition in mouse models of AD (211). A major limitation of anti-aggregation strategies is the lack of knowledge surrounding precisely which of the aggregated species are responsible for disease (reviewed in (212)). This limitation may be overcome by the development of therapeutics that not only influence the aggregation of misfolded proteins, but also efficiently target them for disposal. Importantly, a recent study comparing AD patients with normal controls showed that the levels of Aβ (1-40 and 1-42) production were the same in both groups but that the clearance of Aβ was significantly decreased in AD patients, strongly implicating impaired Aβ clearance in AD pathogenesis (213). Immunotherapy has been investigated as a means to increase the clearance of disease-relevant proteins/peptides. Active immunization using $A\beta_{1-42}$ peptide and passive immunization using antibodies raised against $A\beta_{1-42}$ have both been demonstrated to reduce Aβ deposition and cognitive decline in mice (214, 215). An early clinical trial using full length $A\beta_{1-42}$ as the immunogen in humans was halted due to the incidence of meningoencephalitis in a small proportion of the patients (216), nevertheless, long-term follow up of the patients from this trial showed significantly less cognitive decline and brain volume loss in those patients who had generated an antibody response during the trial compared to controls (217). The results of a more recent clinical trial suggest that side effects such as meningoencephalitis may be avoided by using a shorter fragment of $A\beta_{1-42}$ as the immunogen, rather than the full length peptide (218).

The discovery of ECs is an important landmark in our developing understanding of the mechanisms comprising extracellular proteostasis. Further characterization of the activities of the ECs, in particular their ability to facilitate the clearance of misfolded extracellular proteins (see section 5.3), will open up new avenues for the development of novel therapies. Exogenous administration of the normally intracellular sHsp αB-crystallin is protective in animal models of acute ischemic and autoimmune disease (219-222). The effect of αB-crystallin is potently anti-inflammatory and it has been suggested that this is directly related to its ability to sequester misfolded proteins (223). Considering that the activity of the ECs is similar to that of αB-crystallin, it is tempting to speculate that increasing their extracellular concentrations may have a similar therapeutic effect. Given that they are normally secreted it may be possible to increase the concentrations of ECs by administration directly in to the blood stream, however entry to the nervous system would be problematic due to the blood brain barrier. Alternatively, increases in

EC concentration could be achieved by targeting regulatory elements in the promoters responsible for their expression (224-227). However, the overexpression of clusterin been implicated in cancer pathogenesis and protection from chemotherapy drugs (43), thus, the possible side effects of the upregulation of ECs needs to be carefully evaluated. As outlined above (see section 5.1 and 5.2) there is strong evidence indicating that ECs reduce the toxicity of misfolded proteins, depending on the ratio of EC to amyloid forming protein, and the presence (or not) of specific receptors to promote clearance of complexes formed between the two molecules (51). Therefore, when targeting EC expression as a therapeutic strategy, it is important to consider the pathways by which chaperone-misfolded client protein complexes are cleared. For instance, in AD, downregulation of LRP at the blood brain barrier is coupled with increased expression of several LRP ligands (228-231), suggesting that accumulation of Aβ may in part be the result of overwhelming of LRP. In this scenario, increasing the concentration of α_2M may not have any therapeutic benefit unless the expression of LRP is also increased. A final intriguing possibility yet to be explored is to pharmacologically manipulate the in vivo chaperone activity of endogenous ECs.

7.0 Conclusions

Proteostasis is critical to maintain organismal viability, and logically must operate in all body spaces. Knowledge of those processes that achieve this in extracellular body spaces are only now being identified but are likely to depend heavily upon the involvement of recently discovered, constitutively secreted ECs. The available evidence strongly suggests that these chaperones act as both sensors and disposal-mediators of misfolded proteins in extracellular fluids. Their actions are likely to normally defend the human body from a range of serious diseases arising from inappropriate extracellular protein aggregation and deposition. It is therefore critically important to advance knowledge of ECs and how they integrate with various molecular and cellular mechanisms to effect extracellular proteostasis. This is essential if we are to one day identify effective therapies for what are currently some of the world's most debilitating, costly and intractable diseases.

References

1. Balch WE, Morimoto RI, Dillin A, Kelly JW. 2008. Adapting proteostasis for disease intervention. *Science* 319: 916-9
2. Chiti F, Dobson CM. 2006. Protein misfolding, functional amyloid, and human disease. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* 75: 333-66
3. Tartaglia GG, Pechmann S, Dobson CM, Vendruscolo M. 2007. Life on the edge: a link between gene expression levels and aggregation rates of human proteins. *Trends Biochem. Sci.* 32: 204-6
4. Hartl FU, Bracher A, Hayer-Hartl M. 2011. Molecular chaperones in protein folding and proteostasis. *Nature* 475: 324-32
5. Langer T, Lu C, Echols H, Flanagan J, Hayer MK, Hartl FU. 1992. Successive action of DnaK, DnaJ and GroEL along the pathway of chaperone-mediated protein folding. *Nature* 356: 683-9

6. Frydman J, Nimmesgern E, Ohtsuka K, Hartl FU. 1994. Folding of nascent polypeptide chains in a high molecular mass assembly with molecular chaperones. *Nature* 370: 111-7
7. Voisine C, Pedersen JS, Morimoto RI. 2010. Chaperone networks: tipping the balance in protein folding diseases. *Neurobiol. Dis.* 40: 12-20
8. Kriegenburg F, Ellgaard L, Hartmann-Petersen R. 2012. Molecular chaperones in targeting misfolded proteins for ubiquitin-dependent degradation. *FEBS J.* 279: 532-42
9. Schwartz AL, Ciechanover A. 1999. The ubiquitin-proteasome pathway and pathogenesis of human diseases. *Ann. Rev. Med.* 50: 57-74
10. Cuervo AM. 2011. Chaperone-mediated autophagy: Dice's 'wild' idea about lysosomal selectivity. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell. Biol.* 12: 535-41
11. Connell P, Ballinger CA, Jiang J, Wu Y, Thompson LJ, et al. 2001. The co-chaperone CHIP regulates protein triage decisions mediated by heat-shock proteins. *Nat. Cell. Biol.* 3: 93-6
12. Agarraberes FA, Dice JF. 2001. A molecular chaperone complex at the lysosomal membrane is required for protein translocation. *J. Cell Sci.* 114: 2491-9
13. Gamerdinger M, Kaya AM, Wolfrum U, Clement AM, Behl C. 2011. BAG3 mediates chaperone-based aggresome-targeting and selective autophagy of misfolded proteins. *EMBO rep.* 12: 149-56
14. Hebert DN, Molinari M. 2007. In and out of the ER: protein folding, quality control, degradation, and related human diseases. *Physiol. Rev.* 87: 1377-408
15. Caramelo JJ, Castro OA, Alonso LG, De Prat-Gay G, Parodi AJ. 2003. UDP-Glc:glycoprotein glucosyltransferase recognizes structured and solvent accessible hydrophobic patches in molten globule-like folding intermediates. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 100: 86-91
16. Kincaid MM, Cooper AA. 2007. Misfolded proteins traffic from the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) due to ER export signals. *Mol. Biol. Cell* 18: 455-63
17. Pidoux AL, Armstrong J. 1992. Analysis of the BiP gene and identification of an ER retention signal in Schizosaccharomyces pombe. *EMBO J.* 11: 1583-91
18. Sorgjerd K, Ghafouri B, Jonsson BH, Kelly JW, Blond SY, Hammarstrom P. 2006. Retention of misfolded mutant transthyretin by the chaperone BiP/GRP78 mitigates amyloidogenesis. *J. Mol. Biol.* 356: 469-82
19. Margineanu I, Ghetie V. 1981. A selective model of plasma protein catabolism. *J. Theor. Biol.* 90: 101-10
20. Gorman MW, Feigl EO, Buffington CW. 2007. Human plasma ATP concentration. *Clin. Chem.* 53: 318-25
21. Walsh RC, Koukoulas I, Garnham A, Moseley PL, Hargreaves M, Febbraio MA. 2001. Exercise increases serum Hsp72 in humans. *Cell Stress Chaperones* 6: 386-93
22. Molvarec A, Prohászka Z, Nagy B, Szalay J, Füst G, et al. 2006. Association of elevated serum heat-shock protein 70 concentration with transient hypertension of pregnancy, preeclampsia and superimposed preeclampsia: a case–control study. *J. Hum. Hypertens.* 20: 780–6
23. Pespeni M, Mackersie RC, Lee H, Morabito D, Hodnett M, et al. 2005. Serum levels of Hsp60 correlate with the development of acute lung injury after trauma. *J. Surg. Res.* 126: 41-7

24. Gebbink MFBG. 2011. Tissue-type plasminogen activator-mediated plasminogen activation and contact activation, implications in and beyond haemostasis. *J. Thromb. Haemost.* 9: 174-81
25. Samson AL, Borg RJ, Niego B, Wong CHY, Crack PJ, et al. 2009. A nonfibrin macromolecular cofactor for tPA-mediated plasmin generation following cellular injury. *Blood* 114: 1937-46
26. Tucker HM, Kihiko M, Caldwell JN, Wright S, Kawarabayashi T, et al. 2000. The plasmin system is induced by and degrades amyloid-β aggregates. *J. Neurosci.* 20: 3937-46
27. Fritz IB, Burdzy K, Setchell B, Blaschuk O. 1983. Ram rete testis fluid contains a protein (clusterin) which influences cell-cell interactions in vitro. *Biol. Reprod.* 28: 1173-88
28. Burkey BF, deSilva HV, Harmony JA. 1991. Intracellular processing of apolipoprotein J precursor to the mature heterodimer. *J. Lipid Res.* 32: 1039-48
29. Jenne DE, Tschopp J. 1989. Molecular structure and functional characterization of a human complement cytolysis inhibitor found in blood and seminal plasma: identity to sulfated glycoprotein 2, a constituent of rat testis fluid. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 86: 7123-7
30. de Silva HV, Harmony JA, Stuart WD, Gil CM, Robbins J. 1990. Apolipoprotein J: structure and tissue distribution. *Biochemistry* 29: 5380-9
31. Bailey RW, Dunker AK, Brown CJ, Garner EC, Griswold MD. 2001. Clusterin, a binding protein with a molten globule-like region. *Biochemistry* 40: 11828-40
32. Tschopp J, Chonn A, Hertig S, French LE. 1993. Clusterin, the human apolipoprotein and complement inhibitor, binds to complement C7, C8 beta, and the b domain of C9. *J. Immunol.* 151: 2159-65
33. Buttyan R, Olsson CA, Pintar J, Chang CS, Bandyk M, et al. 1989. Induction of the Trpm-2 gene in cells undergoing programmed cell death. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 9: 3473-81
34. Trougakos IP, Lourda M, Antonelou MH, Kletsas D, Gorgoulis VG, et al. 2009. Intracellular clusterin inhibits mitochondrial apoptosis by suppressing p53-activating stress signals and stabilizing the cytosolic Ku70-Bax protein complex. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 15: 48-59
35. Jeong S, Ledee DR, Gordon GM, Itakura T, Patel N, et al. 2012. Interaction of clusterin and matrix metalloproteinase-9 and its implication for epithelial homeostasis and inflammation. *Am. J. Pathol.* 180: 2028-39
36. Murphy BF, Kriszbaum L, Walker ID, d'Apice JF. 1988. SP-40,40, a newly identified normal human serum protein found in the SC5b-9 complex of complement and in the immune deposits in glomerulonephritis. *J. Clin. Invest.* 81: 1858–64
37. Choi NH, Tobe T, Hara K, Yoshida H, Tomita M. 1990. Sandwich ELISA for quantitative measurement of SP40, 40 in seminal plasma and serum. *J. Immunol. Med.* 131: 159–63
38. Silkensen JR, Schwochau GB, Rosenberg ME. 1994. The role of clusterin in tissue injury. *Biochem. Cell Biol.* 72: 483-8
39. Trougakos IP, Gonos ES. 2002. Clusterin/apolipoprotein J in human aging and cancer. *Int. J. Biochem. Cell Biol.* 34: 1430-48

40. May PC, Lampert-Etchells M, Johnson SA, Poirier J, Masters JN, Finch CE. 1990. Dynamics of gene expression for a hippocampal glycoprotein elevated in Alzheimer's disease and in response to experimental lesions in rat. *Neuron* 5: 831-9
41. Nilselid AM, Davidsson P, Nagga K, Andreasen N, Fredman P, Blennow K. 2006. Clusterin in cerebrospinal fluid: analysis of carbohydrates and quantification of native and glycosylated forms. *Neurochem. Int.* 48: 718-28
42. Trougakos IP, Poulakou M, Stathatos M, Chalikia A, Melidonis A, Gonos ES. 2002. Serum levels of the senescence biomarker clusterin/apolipoprotein J increase significantly in diabetes type II and during development of coronary heart disease or at myocardial infarction. *Exp. Gerontol.* 37: 1175-87
43. Park DC, Yeo SG, Wilson MR, Yerbury JJ, Kwong J, et al. 2008. Clusterin interacts with Paclitaxel and confer Paclitaxel resistance in ovarian cancer. *Neoplasia* 10: 964-72
44. Reddy KB, Jin G, Karode MC, Harmony JA, Howe PH. 1996. Transforming growth factor beta (TGF beta)-induced nuclear localization of apolipoprotein J/clusterin in epithelial cells. *Biochemistry* 35: 6157-63
45. Nizard P, Tetley S, Le Dréan Y, Watrin T, Le Goff P, et al. 2007. Stress-induced retrotranslocation of clusterin/ApoJ into the cytosol. *Traffic* 8: 554-65
46. Leskov KS, Klokov DY, Li J, Kinsella TJ, Boothman DA. 2003. Synthesis and functional analyses of nuclear clusterin, a cell death protein. *J. Biol. Chem.* 278: 11590-600
47. Rizzi F, Coletta M, Bettuzzi S. 2009. Clusterin (CLU): From one gene and two transcripts to many proteins. *Adv. Cancer Res.* 104: 9-23
48. Humphreys D, Carver JA, Easterbrook-Smith SB, Wilson MR. 1999. Clusterin has chaperone-like activity similar to that of small heat-shock proteins. *J. Biol. Chem.* 274: 6875-81
49. Poon S, Rybchyn MS, Easterbrook-Smith SB, Carver JA, Pankhurst GJ, Wilson MR. 2002. Mildly acidic pH activates the extracellular molecular chaperone clusterin. *J. Biol. Chem.* 277: 39532-40
50. Narayan P, Orte A, Clarke RW, Bolognesi B, Hook S, et al. 2012. The extracellular chaperone clusterin sequesters oligomeric forms of the amyloid-β_{1-40} peptide. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.* 19: 79-83
51. Yerbury JJ, Poon S, Meehan S, Thompson B, Kumita JR, et al. 2007. The extracellular chaperone clusterin influences amyloid formation and toxicity by interacting with pre-fibrillar structures. *FASEB J.* 21: 2312-22
52. Kumita JR, Poon S, Caddy GL, Hagan CL, Dumoulin M, et al. 2007. The extracellular chaperone clusterin potentially inhibits amyloid formation by interacting with prefibrillar species. *J. Mol. Biol.* 369: 157-67
53. Hatters DM, Wilson MR, Easterbrook-Smith SB, Howlett GJ. 2002. Suppression of apolipoprotein C-II amyloid formation by the extracellular chaperone, clusterin. *Eur. J. Biochem.* 269: 2789-94
54. McHattie S, Edington N. 1999. Clusterin prevents aggregation of neuropeptide 106-126 in vitro. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 259: 336-40
55. Matsubara E, Frangione B, Ghiso J. 1995. Characterization of apolipoprotein J-Alzheimer's Aβ interaction. *J. Biol. Chem.* 270: 7563-7

56. Oda T, Wals P, Osterburg HH, Johnson SA, Pasinetti GM, et al. 1995. Clusterin (apoJ) alters the aggregation of amyloid β-peptide ($A\beta_{1-42}$) and forms slowly sedimenting Aβ complexes that cause oxidative stress. *Exp. Neurol.* 136: 22-31
57. Wyatt AR, Yerbury JJ, Wilson MR. 2009. Structural characterization of clusterin-client protein complexes. *J. Biol. Chem.* 284: 21920-7
58. Bailey RW, Aronow B, Harmony JAK, Griswold MD. 2002. Heat shock-initiated apoptosis is accelerated and removal of damaged cells is delayed in the testis of clusterin/apoJ knock-out mice. *Biol. Reprod.* 66: 1042-53
59. McLaughlin L, Zhu G, Mistry M, Ley-Ebert C, Stuart WD, et al. 2000. Apolipoprotein J/clusterin limits the severity of murine autoimmune myocarditis. *J. Clin. Invest.* 106: 1105-13
60. Wehrli P, Charnay Y, Vallet P, Zhu G, Harmony J, et al. 2001. Inhibition of post-ischemic brain injury by clusterin overexpression. *Nat. Med.* 7: 977-8
61. Rosenberg ME, Girton R, Finkel D, Chmielewski D, Barrie A, et al. 2002. Apolipoprotein J/clusterin prevents progressive glomerulopathy of aging. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 22: 1893-902
62. Harold D, Abraham R, Hollingworth P, Sims R, Gerrish A, et al. 2009. Genome-wide association study identifies variants at CLU and PICALM associated with Alzheimer's disease. *Nat. Genet.* 41: 1088-93
63. Lambert JC, Heath S, Even G, Campion D, Sleegers K, et al. 2009. Genome-wide association study identifies variants at CLU and CR1 associated with Alzheimer's disease. *Nat. Genet.* 41: 1094-9
64. Boggs LN, Fuson KS, Baez M, Churgay L, McClure D, et al. 1996. Clusterin (Apo J) protects against in vitro amyloid-$\beta_{(1-40)}$ neurotoxicity. *J. Neurochem.* 67: 1324–7
65. Oda T, Pasinetti GM, Osterburg HH, Anderson C, Johnson SA, Finch CE. 1994. Purification and characterization of brain clusterin. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 204: 1131-6
66. Bell RD, Sagare AP, Friedman AE, Bedi GS, Holtzman DM, et al. 2007. Transport pathways for clearance of human Alzheimer's amyloid β-peptide and apolipoproteins E and J in the mouse central nervous system. *J. Cereb. Blood Flow. Metab.* 27: 909-18
67. Zlokovic BV, Martel CL, Matsubara E, McComb JG, Zheng G, et al. 1996. Glycoprotein 330/megalin: probable role in receptor-mediated transport of apolipoprotein J alone and in a complex with Alzheimer disease amyloid β at the blood-brain and blood-cerebrospinal fluid barriers. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 93: 4229-34
68. DeMattos RD, O'dell MA, Parsadanian M, Taylor JW, Harmony JAK, et al. 2002. Clusterin promotes amyloid plaque formation and is critical for neuritic toxicity in a mouse model of Alzheimer's disease. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 99: 10843–1084
69. DeMattos RB, Cirrito JR, Parsadanian M, May PC, O'Dell MA, et al. 2004. ApoE and clusterin cooperatively suppress Aβ levels and deposition: Evidence that ApoE regulates extracellular Aβ metabolism in vivo. *Neuron* 41: 193-202
70. Malchy B, Rorstad O, Dixon GH. 1973. The half-molecule of haptoglobin: studies on the product obtained by the selective cleavage of a haptoglobin disulfide. *Can. J. Biochem.* 51: 265-73

71. Black JA, Dixon GH. 1968. Amino-acid sequence of alpha chains of human haptoglobins. *Nature* 218: 736-41
72. Fuller GM, Rasco MA, McCombs ML, Barnett DR, Bowman BH. 1973. Subunit composition of haptoglobin 2-2 polymers. *Biochemistry* 12: 253-8
73. Polticelli F, Bocedi A, Minervini G, Ascenzi P. 2008. Human haptoglobin structure and function--a molecular modelling study. *FEBS J.* 275: 5648-56
74. Ettrich R, Brandt W, Kopecky V, Baumruk V, Hofbauerova K, Pavlicek Z. 2002. Study of chaperone-like activity of human haptoglobin: conformational changes under heat shock conditions and localization of interaction sites. *Biol. Chem.* 383: 1667-76
75. Bowman BH, Kurosky A. 1982. Haptoglobin: the evolutionary product of duplication, unequal crossing over, and point mutation. *Adv. Hum. Genet.* 12: 189-261
76. Sobek O, Adam P. 2003. On S. Seygert, V. Kunzmann, N. Schwertfeger, H. C. Koch, A. Faulstich: Determinants of lumbar CSF protein concentration. *J. Neurol.* 250: 371-2
77. Wang Y, Kinzie E, Berger FG, Lim SK, Baumann H. 2001. Haptoglobin, an inflammation-inducible plasma protein. *Redox Rep.* 6: 379-85
78. Gutteridge JM. 1987. The antioxidant activity of haptoglobin towards haemoglobin-stimulated lipid peroxidation. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta.* 917: 219-23
79. Snellman O, Sylven B. 1967. Haptoglobin acting as a natural inhibitor of cathepsin B activity. *Nature* 216: 1033
80. Cid MC, Grant DS, Hoffman GS, Auerbach R, Fauci AS, Kleinman HK. 1993. Identification of haptoglobin as an angiogenic factor in sera from patients with systemic vasculitis. *J. Clin. Invest.* 91: 977-85
81. Oh SK, Pavlotsky N, Tauber AI. 1990. Specific binding of haptoglobin to human neutrophils and its functional consequences. *J. Leukoc. Biol.* 47: 142-8
82. Huntoon KM, Wang Y, Eppolito CA, Barbour KW, Berger FG, et al. 2008. The acute phase protein haptoglobin regulates host immunity. *J. Leukoc. Biol.* 84: 170-81
83. Langlois MR, Delanghe JR. 1996. Biological and clinical significance of haptoglobin polymorphisms in humans. *Clin. Chem.* 42: 1589-600
84. Pavlicek Z, Ettrich R. 1999. Chaperone- like activity of human haptoglobin: Similarity with α-crystallin. *Collect. Czech. Chem. Commun* 64: 717-25
85. Yerbury JJ, Rybchyn MS, Easterbrook-Smith SB, Henriques C, Wilson MR. 2005. The acute phase protein haptoglobin is a mammalian extracellular chaperone with an action similar to clusterin. *Biochemistry* 44: 10914-25
86. Yerbury JJ, Kumita JR, Meehan S, Dobson CM, Wilson MR. 2009. α_2-macroglobulin and haptoglobin supress amyloid formation by interacting with prefibrillar protien species. *J. Biol. Chem.* 284: 4246-52
87. Doan N, Gettins PG. 2007. Human α_2-macroglobulin is composed of multiple domains, as predicted by homology with complement component C3. *Biochem. J.* 407: 23-30
88. Jensen PE, Sottrup-Jensen L. 1986. Primary structure of human α_2-macroglobulin. Complete disulfide bridge assignment and localization of two interchain bridges in the dimeric proteinase binding unit. *J. Biol. Chem.* 261: 15863-9

89. Imber MJ, Pizzo SV. 1981. Clearance and binding of two electrophoretic forms of human α_2-macroglobulin. *J. Biol. Chem.* 256: 8134-9
90. Sottrup-Jensen L. 1989. α-macroglobulins: structure, shape, and mechanism of proteinase complex formation. *J. Biol. Chem.* 264: 11539-42
91. Chu CT, Pizzo SV. 1993. Receptor-mediated antigen delivery into macrophages. Complexing antigen to α_2-macroglobulin enhances presentation to T cells. *J. Immunol. Med.* 150: 48-58
92. Mathew S, Arandjelovic S, Beyer WF, Gonias SL, Pizzo SV. 2003. Characterization of the interaction between α2-macroglobulin and fibroblast growth factor-2: the role of hydrophobic interactions. *Biochem. J.* 374: 123-9
93. LaMarre J, Wollenberg GK, Gonias SL, Hayes MA. 1991. Cytokine binding and clearance properties of proteinase-activated α_2-macroglobulins. *Lab. Invest.* 65: 3-14
94. Biringer RG, Amato H, Harrington MG, Fonteh AN, Riggins JN, Huhmer AF. 2006. Enhanced sequence coverage of proteins in human cerebrospinal fluid using multiple enzymatic digestion and linear ion trap LC-MS/MS. *Brief. Funct. Genomic. Proteomic.* 5: 144-53
95. Andrew M, Vegh P, Johnston M, Bowker J, Ofosu F, Mitchell L. 1992. Maturation of the hemostatic system during childhood. *Blood* 80: 1998-2005
96. Gehring MR, Shiels BR, Northemann W, de Bruijn MH, Kan CC, et al. 1987. Sequence of rat liver α_2-macroglobulin and acute phase control of its messenger RNA. *J. Biol. Chem.* 262: 446-56
97. Housley J. 1968. Alpha$_2$-macroglobulin levels in disease in man. *J. Clin. Pathol.* 21: 27-31
98. Qiu Z, Strickland DK, Hyman BT, Rebeck GW. 1999. α_2-macroglobulin enhances the clearance of endogenous soluble β-amyloid peptide via low-density lipoprotein receptor-related protein in cortical neurons. *J. Neurochem.* 73: 1393-8
99. Narita M, Holtzman DM, Schwartz AL, Bu G. 1997. α_2-macroglobulin complexes with and mediates the endocytosis of β-amyloid peptide via cell surface low-density lipoprotein receptor-related protein. *J. Neurochem.* 69: 1904-11
100. Du Y, Ni B, Glinn M, Dodel RC, Bales KR, et al. 1997. α_2-Macroglobulin as a β-amyloid peptide-binding plasma protein. *J. Neurochem.* 69: 299-305
101. Rogaeva EA, Premkumar S, Grubber J, Serneels L, Scott WK, et al. 1999. An α_2-macroglobulin insertion-deletion polymorphism in Alzheimer disease. *Nat. Genet.* 22: 19-21
102. Saunders AJ, Bertram L, Mullin K, Sampson AJ, Latifzai K, et al. 2003. Genetic association of Alzheimer's disease with multiple polymorphisms in alpha-2-macroglobulin. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* 12: 2765–76
103. Blacker D, Wilcox MA, Laird NM, Rodes L, Horvath SM, et al. 1998. Alpha-2 macroglobulin is genetically associated with Alzheimer disease. *Nat. Genet.* 19: 357-60
104. Jhoo JH, Kim KW, Lee DY, Lee KU, Lee JH, et al. 2001. Association of alpha-2-macroglobulin deletion polymorphism with sporadic Alzheimer's disease in Koreans. *J. Neurol. Sci.* 184: 21-5

105. Flachsbart F, Caliebe A, Nothnagel M, Kleindorp R, Nikolaus S, et al. 2010. Depletion of potential A2M risk haplotype for Alzheimer's disease in long-lived individuals. *Eur. J. Hum. Genet.* 18: 59-61
106. Bruno E, Quattrocchi G, Nicoletti A, Le Pira F, Maci T, et al. 2010. Lack of interaction between LRP1 and A2M polymorphisms for the risk of Alzheimer disease. *Neurosci. Lett.* 482: 112-6
107. Wang X, Luedecking EK, Minster RL, Ganguli M, DeKosky ST, Kamboh MI. 2001. Lack of association between α2-macroglobulin polymorphisms and Alzheimer's disease. *Hum. Genet.* 108: 105-8
108. Hollenbach E, Ackermann S, Hyman BT, Rebeck GW. 1998. Confirmation of an association between a polymorphism in exon 3 of the low-density lipoprotein receptor-related protein gene and Alzheimer's disease. *Neurology* 50: 1905–7
109. Kang DE, Saitoh T, Chen X, Xia Y, Masliah E, et al. 1997. Genetic association of the low-density lipoprotein receptor-related protein gene (LRP), and apolipoprotein E receptor, with late-onset Alzheimer's disease. *Neurology* 49: 56-61
110. Gouin-Charnet A, Laune D, Granier C, Mani JC, Pau B, et al. 2000. α_2-Macroglobulin, the main serum antiprotease, binds β_2-microglobulin, the light chain of the class I major histocompatibility complex, which is involved in human disease. *Clin. Sci. (Lond.)* 98: 427-33
111. French K, Yerbury JJ, Wilson MR. 2008. Protease activation of α_2-macroglobulin modulates a chaperone-like broad specificity. *Biochemistry* 47: 1176-85
112. Yerbury JJ, Wilson MR. 2010. Extracellular chaperones modulate the effects of Alzheimer's patient cerebrospinal fluid on $A\beta_{1-42}$ toxicity and uptake. *Cell Stress Chaperones* 15: 115-21
113. Du Y, Bales KR, Dodel RC, Liu X, Glinn MA, et al. 1998. α_2-macroglobulin attenuates β-amyloid peptide 1–40 fibril formation and associated neurotoxicity of cultured fetal rat cortical neurons. *J. Neurochem.* 70: 1182-8
114. Ozawa D, Hasegawa K, Lee YH, Sakurai K, Yanagi K, et al. 2011. Inhibition of β_2-microglobulin amyloid fibril formation by α_2-macroglobulin. *J. Biol. Chem.* 286: 9668-76
115. Thorn DC, Ecroyd H, Carver JA. 2009. The two-faced nature of milk casein proteins: amyloid fibril formation and chaperone-like activity. *Aust. J. Dairy Technol.* 64: 36-40
116. Carrotta R, Canale C, Diaspro A, Trapani A, Biagio PL, Bulone D. 2012. Inhibiting effect of α_{s1}-casein on $A\beta_{1-40}$ fibrillogenesis. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1820: 124-32
117. Morgan PE, Treweek TM, Lindner RA, Price WE, Carver JA. 2005. Casein proteins as molecular chaperones. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 53: 2670-83
118. Koudelka T, Hoffmann P, Carver JA. 2009. Dephosphorylation of α_s- and β-caseins and its effect on chaperone activity: a structural and functional investigation. *J. Agric. Food. Chem.* 57: 5956-64
119. Treweek TM, Thorn DC, Price WE, Carver JA. 2011. The chaperone action of bovine milk α_{S1}- and α_{S2}-caseins and their associated form α_S-casein. *Arch. Biochem. Biophys.* 510: 42-52

120. Beems RB, Gruys E, Spit BJ. 1978. Amyloid in the corpora amylacea of the rat mammary gland. *Vet. Pathol.* 15: 347-52
121. Gruys E. 2004. Protein folding pathology in domestic animals. *J. Zhejiang Univ. Sci.* 5: 1226-38
122. Reid IM. 1972. Corpora amylacea of the bovine mammary gland. Histochemical and electron microscopic evidence for their amyloid nature. *J. Comp. Pathol.* 82: 409-13
123. Taniyama H, Kitamura A, Kagawa Y, Hirayama K, Yoshino T, Kamiya S. 2000. Localized amyloidosis in canine mammary tumors. *Vet. Pathol.* 37: 104-7
124. Ecroyd H, Koudelka T, Thorn DC, Williams DM, Devlin G, et al. 2008. Dissociation from the oligomeric state is the rate-limiting step in amyloid fibril formation by κ-casein. *J. Biol. Chem.* 283: 9012-22
125. Thorn DC, Ecroyd H, Sunde M, Poon S, Carver JA. 2008. Amyloid fibril formation by bovine milk α_{S2}-casein occurs under physiological conditions yet is prevented by its natural counterpart, α_{S1}-casein. *Biochemistry* 47: 3926-36
126. Thorn DC, Meehan S, Sunde M, Rekas A, Gras SL, et al. 2005. Amyloid fibril formation by bovine milk κ-casein and its inhibition by the molecular chaperones $alpha_s$- and β-casein. *Biochemistry* 44: 17027-36
127. Corder EH, Saunders AM, Strittmatter WJ, Schmechel DE, Gaskell PC, et al. 1993. Gene dose of apolipoprotein E type 4 allele and the risk of Alzheimer's disease in late onset families. *Science* 261: 921-3
128. Strittmatter WJ, Saunders AM, Schmechel D, Pericak-Vance M, Enghild J, et al. 1993. Apolipoprotein E: high-avidity binding to beta-amyloid and increased frequency of type 4 allele in late-onset familial Alzheimer disease. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 90: 1977-81
129. Baumann MH, Kallijärvi J, Lankinen H, Soto C, Haltia M. 2000. Apolipoprotein E includes a binding site which is recognized by several amyloidogenic polypeptides. *Biochem. J.* 349: 77-84
130. Soto C, Castano EM, Prelli F, Kumar RA, Baumann M. 1995. Apolipoprotein E increases the fibrillogenic potential of synthetic peptides derived from Alzheimer's, gelsolin and AA amyloids. *FEBS Lett.* 371: 110-4
131. Naiki H, Gejyo F, Nakakuk K. 1997. Concentration-dependent inhibitory effects of apolipoprotein E on Alzheimer's β-amyloid fibril formation in vitro. *Biochemistry* 36: 6243-50
132. Evans KC, Berger EP, Cho CG, Weisgraber KH, Lansbury PT. 1995. Apolipoprotein E is a kinetic but not a thermodynamic inhibitor of amyloid formation: Implications for the pathogenesis and treatment of Alzheimer disease. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 92: 763-7
133. Castellano JM, Kim J, Stewart FR, Jiang H, DeMattos RB, et al. 2011. Human apoE isoforms differentially regulate brain amyloid-β peptide clearance. *Sci. Transl. Med.* 3: 89ra57
134. Deane R, Sagare A, Hamm K, Parisi M, Lane S, et al. 2008. ApoE isoform-specific disruption of amyloid beta peptide clearance from mouse brain. *J. Clin. Invest.* 118: 4002-13
135. Namba Y, Tomonaga M, Kawasaki H, Otomo E, Ikeda K. 1991. Apolipoprotein E immunoreactivity in cerebral amyloid deposits and neurofibrillary tangles in

Alzheimer's disease and kuru plaque amyloid in Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease. *Brain Res.* 541: 163-6
136. Chargé SB, Esiri MM, Bethune CA, Hansen BC, Clark A. 1996. Apolipoprotein E is associated with islet amyloid and other amyloidoses: implications for Alzheimer's disease. *J. Pathol.* 179: 443-7
137. Anderson DH, Ozaki S, Nealon M, Neitz J, Mullins RF, et al. 2001. Local cellular sources of apolipoprotein E in the human retina and retinal pigmented epithelium: implications for the process of drusen formation. *Am. J. Ophthalmol.* 131: 767-81
138. Ishikawa Y, Ishii T, Akasaka Y, Masuda T, Strong JP, et al. 2001. Immunolocalization of apolipoproteins in aortic atherosclerosis in American youths and young adults: findings from the PDAY study. *Atherosclerosis* 158: 215-25
139. Koldamova RP, Lefterov IM, Lefterova MI, Lazo JS. 2001. Apolipoprotein A-I directly interacts with amyloid precursor protein and inhibits Aβ aggregation and toxicity. *Biochemistry* 40: 3553–60
140. Biere AL, Ostaszewski B, Stimson ER, Hyman BT, Maggio JE, Selkoe DJ. 1996. Amyloid *β*-peptide is transported on lipoproteins and albumin in human plasma. *J. Biol. Chem.* 271: 32916-22
141. Bohrmann B, Tjernberg L, Kuner P, Poli S, Levet-Trafit B, et al. 1999. Endogenous proteins controlling amyloid β-peptide polymerization. *J. Biol. Chem.* 274: 159990-15995
142. Reyes Barcelo AA, Gonzalez-Velasquez FJ, Moss MA. 2009. Soluble aggregates of the amyloid-β peptide are trapped by serum albumin to enhance amyloid-β activation of endothelial cells. *J. Biol. Eng.* 3: 5
143. Finn TE, Nunez AC, Sunde M, Easterbrook-Smith SB. 2012. Serum albumin prevents protein aggregation and amyloid formation and retains chaperone-like activity in the presence of physiological ligands. *J. Biol. Chem.* 287: 21530-40
144. Marini I, Moschini R, Del Corso A, Mura U. 2005. Chaperone-like features of bovine serum albumin: a comparison with α-crystallin. *Cell Mol. Life. Sci.* 62: 3092-9
145. Horowitz J. 1992. α-Crystallin can function as a molecular chaperone. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 89: 10449-53
146. Muchowski PJ, Clark JI. 1998. ATP-enhanced molecular chaperone functions of the small heat shock protein human αB-crystallin. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 95: 1004-9
147. Chlenski A, Guerrero LJ, Salwen HR, Yang Q, Tian Y, et al. 2011. Secreted protein acidic and rich in cysteine is a matrix scavenger chaperone. *PLoS One* 6: e23880
148. Martinek N, Shahab J, Sodek J, Ringuette M. 2007. Is SPARC an evolutionarily conserved collagen chaperone? *J. Dent. Res.* 86: 296-305
149. Gilmour DT, Lyon GJ, Carlton MB, Sanes JR, Cunningham JM, et al. 1998. Mice deficient for the secreted glycoprotein SPARC/osteonectin/BM40 develop normally but show severe age-onset cataract formation and disruption of the lens. *EMBO J.* 17: 1860-70
150. Norose K, Clark JI, Syed NA, Basu A, Heber-Katz E, et al. 1998. SPARC deficiency leads to early-onset cataractogenesis. *Invest. Ophthalmol. Vis. Sci.* 39: 2674–80

151. Kantorow M, Huang Q, Yang XJ, Sage EH, Magabo KS, et al. 2000. Increased expression of osteonectin/SPARC mRNA and protein in age-related human cataracts and spatial expression in the normal human lens. *Mol. Vis.* 6: 24-9
152. Kudo H, Hirayoshi K, Kitagawa Y, Imamura S, Nagata K. 1994. Two collagen-binding proteins, osteonectin and HSP47, are coordinately induced in transformed keratinocytes by heat and other stresses. *Exp. Cell Res.* 212: 219-24
153. Emerson RO, Sage EH, Ghosh JG, Clark JI. 2006. Chaperone-like activity revealed in the matricellular protein SPARC. *J. Cell. Biochem.* 98: 701-5
154. Zahedi K. 1996. Characterization of the binding of serum amyloid P to type IV collagen. *J. Biol .Chem.* 271: 14897-902
155. Ying SC, Gewurz AT, Jiang H, Gewurz H. 1993. Human serum amyloid P component oligomers bind and activate the classical complement pathway via residues 14-26 and 76-92 of the A chain collagen-like region of C1q. *J. Immunol.* 150: 169-76
156. de Haas CJ. 1999. New insights into the role of serum amyloid P component, a novel lipopolysaccharide-binding protein. *FEMS Immunol. Med. Microbiol.* 26: 197-202
157. Coker AR, Purvis A, Baker D, Pepys MB, Wood SP. 2000. Molecular chaperone properties of serum amyloid P component. *FEBS Lett.* 463: 199-202
158. Pepys MB. 1994. Amyloidosis. In *Samter's Immunological Diseases (5th Edn.)*, ed. MM Frank, KF Austen, HN Claman, ER Unanue, pp. 637–55. Boston: Little, Brown and Company
159. Janciauskiene S, García de Frutos P, Carlemalm E, Dahlbäck B, Eriksson S. 1995. Inhibition of Alzheimer β-peptide fibril formation by serum amyloid P component. *J. Biol. Chem.* 270 26041-4
160. Tennent GA, Lovat LB, Pepys MB. 1995. Serum amyloid P component prevents proteolysis of the amyloid fibrils of Alzheimer disease and systemic amyloidosis. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 92: 4299-303
161. Botto M, Hawkins PN, Bickerstaff MCM, Herbert J, Bygrave AE, et al. 1997. Amyloid deposition is delayed in mice with targeted deletion of the serum amyloid P component gene. *Nat. Med.* 3: 855–9
162. Tang H, Fu Y, Cui Y, He Y, Zeng X, et al. 2009. Fibrinogen has chaperone-like activity. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 378: 662-7
163. Tang H, Fu Y, Zhan S, Luo Y. 2009. α_EC, the C-terminal extension of fibrinogen, has chaperone-like activity. *Biochemistry* 48: 3967-76
164. Grieninger G. 2001. Contribution of the αEC Domain to the Structure and Function of Fibrinogen-420. *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.* 936: 44-64
165. Kanekiyo T, Ban T, Aritake K, Huang ZL, Qu WM, et al. 2007. Lipocalin-type prostaglandin D synthase/β-trace is a major amyloid β-chaperone in human cerebrospinal fluid. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 104: 6412-7
166. Zsila F. 2010. Chaperone-like activity of the acute-phase component human serum α_1-acid glycoprotein: Inhibition of thermal- and chemical-induced aggregation of various proteins. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 20: 1205-9
167. Zsila F. 2010. Inhibition of heat- and chemical-induced aggregation of various proteins reveals chaperone-like activity of the acute-phase component and serine protease inhibitor human α_1-antitrypsin. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 393: 242-7

168. Bucciantini M, Giannoni E, Chiti F, Baroni F, Formigli L, et al. 2002. Inherent toxicity of aggregates implies a common mechanism for protein misfolding diseases. *Nature* 416: 507-11
169. Bolognesi B, Kumita JR, Barros TP, Esbjorner EK, Luheshi LM, et al. 2010. ANS binding reveals common features of cytotoxic amyloid species. *ACS Chem. Biol.* 5: 735-40
170. Kayed R, Head E, Thompson JL, McIntire TM, Milton SC, et al. 2003. Common structure of soluble amyloid oligomers implies common mechanism of pathogenesis. *Science* 300: 486-9
171. Li S, Hong S, Shepardson NE, Walsh DM, Shankar GM, Selkoe D. 2009. Soluble oligomers of amyloid β protein facilitate hippocampal long-term depression by disrupting neuronal glutamate uptake. *Neuron* 62: 788-801
172. Glabe CG. 2006. Common mechanisms of amyloid oligomer pathogenesis in degenerative disease. *Neurobiol. Aging* 27: 570-5
173. Mannini B, Cascella R, Zampagni M, van Waarde-Verhagen MA, Meehan S, et al. 2012. Molecular chaperones reduce the toxicity of aberrant protein oligomers: molecular insight into the mechanism. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* In Press (accepted 25 June 2012)
174. Folin M, Baiguera S, Guidolin D, Di Liddo R, Grandi C, et al. 2006. Apolipoprotein-E modulates the cytotoxic effect of beta-amyloid on rat brain endothelium in an isoform-dependent specific manner. *Int. J. Mol. Med.* 17: 821-6
175. Fabrizi C, Businaro R, Lauro GM, Fumagalli L. 2001. Role of α_2-macroglobulin in regulating amyloid beta-protein neurotoxicity: protective or detrimental factor? *J. Neurochem.* 78: 406-12
176. Navab M, Anantharamaiah GM, Reddy ST, Van Lenten BJ, Wagner AC, et al. 2005. An oral apoJ peptide renders HDL antiinflammatory in mice and monkeys and dramatically reduces atherosclerosis in apolipoprotein E-null mice. *Arterioscler. Thromb. Vasc. Biol.* 25: 1932-7
177. Arredouani MS, Kasran A, Vanoirbeek JA, Berger FG, Baumann H, Ceuppens JL. 2005. Haptoglobin dampens endotoxin-induced inflammatory effects both in vitro and in vivo. *Immunology* 114: 263-71
178. van Gool J, van Vugt H, de Bont E. 1990. Alpha 2-macroglobulin and fibrinogen modulate inflammatory edema in man. *Inflammation* 14: 275-83
179. Kurdowska A, Fujisawa N, Peterson B, Carr FK, Noble JM, et al. 2000. Specific binding of IL-8 to rabbit alpha-macroglobulin modulates IL-8 function in the lung. *Inflamm. Res.* 49: 591-9
180. Nielsen MJ, Moestrup SK. 2009. Receptor targeting of hemoglobin mediated by the haptoglobins: roles beyond heme scavenging. *Blood* 114: 764-71
181. Masters SL, O'Neill LAJ. 2011. Disease-associated amyloid and misfolded protein aggregates activate the inflammasome. *Trends Mol. Med.* 17: 276-82
182. Seong SY, Matzinger P. 2004. Hydrophobicity: an ancient damage-associated molecular pattern that initiates innate immune responses. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* 4: 469-78
183. Galanos C, Luderitz O, Rietschel ET, Westphal O, Brade H, et al. 1985. Synthetic and natural Escherichia coli free lipid A express identical endotoxic activities. *Eur. J. Biochem.* 148: 1-5

184. Moyano DF, Goldsmith M, Solfiell DJ, Landesman-Milo D, Miranda OR, et al. 2012. Nanoparticle hydrophobicity dictates immune response. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 134: 3965-7
185. Reed-Geaghan EG, Savage JC, Hise AG, Landreth GE. 2009. CD14 and toll-like receptors 2 and 4 are required for fibrillar Aβ-stimulated microglial activation. *J. Neurosci.* 29: 11982-92
186. Bamberger ME, Harris ME, McDonald DR, Husemann J, Landreth GE. 2003. A cell surface receptor complex for fibrillar β-amyloid mediates microglial activation. *J. Neurosci.* 23: 2665-74
187. Heurtaux T, Michelucci A, Losciuto S, Gallotti C, Felten P, et al. 2010. Microglial activation depends on beta-amyloid conformation: role of the formylpeptide receptor 2. *J. Neurochem.* 114: 576-86
188. Wilkinson B, Koenigsknecht-Talboo J, Grommes C, Lee CY, Landreth G. 2006. Fibrillar β-amyloid-stimulated intracellular signaling cascades require Vav for induction of respiratory burst and phagocytosis in monocytes and microglia. *J. Biol. Chem.* 281: 20842-50
189. Herczenik E, Bouma B, Korporaal SJ, Strangi R, Zeng Q, et al. 2007. Activation of human platelets by misfolded proteins. *Arterioscler. Thromb. Vasc. Biol.* 27: 1657-65
190. White JA, Manelli AM, Holmberg KH, Van Eldik LJ, LaDu MJ. 2005. Differential effects of oligomeric and fibrillar amyloid-β_{1-42} on astrocyte-mediated inflammation. *Neurobiol. Dis.* 18: 459-65
191. Salminen A, Ojala J, Kauppinen A, Kaarniranta K, Suuronen T. 2009. Inflammation in Alzheimer's disease: Amyloid-β oligomers trigger innate immunity defence via pattern recognition receptors. *Prog. Neurobiol.* 87: 181-94
192. Jozefowski S, Marcinkiewicz J. 2010. Aggregates of denatured proteins stimulate nitric oxide and superoxide production in macrophages. *Inflamm. Res.* 59: 277-89
193. Deng ZJ, Liang M, Monteiro M, Toth I, Minchin RF. 2011. Nanoparticle-induced unfolding of fibrinogen promotes Mac-1 receptor activation and inflammation. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* 6: 39-44
194. Webb DJ, Roadcap DW, Dhakephalkar A, Gonias SL. 2000. A 16-amino acid peptide from human α_2-macroglobulin binds transforming growth factor-β and platelet-derived growth factor-BB. *Protein Sc.i* 9: 1986-92
195. Hammad SM, Ranganathan S, Loukinova E, Twal WO, Argraves WS. 1997. Interaction of apolipoprotein J-amyloid β-peptide complex with low density lipoprotein receptor-related protein-2/megalin. A mechanism to prevent pathological accumulation of amyloid β-peptide. *J. Biol. Chem.* 272: 18644-9
196. Shibata M, Yamada S, Kumar SR, Calero M, Bading J, et al. 2000. Clearance of Alzheimer's amyloid-β_{1-40} peptide from brain by LDL receptor-related protein-1 at the blood-brain barrier. *J. Clin. Invest.* 106: 1489-99
197. Wyatt AR, Yerbury JJ, Berghofer P, Greguric I, Katsifis A, et al. 2011. Clusterin facilitates in vivo clearance of extracellular misfolded proteins. *Cell Mol. Life Sci.* 68: 3919-31
198. van Dijk MC, Boers W, Linthorst C, van Berkel TJ. 1992. Role of the scavenger receptor in the uptake of methylamine-activated α_2-macroglobulin by rat liver. *Biochem. J.* 287 (Pt 2): 447-55

199. Kristiansen M, Graversen JH, Jacobsen C, Sonne O, Hoffman HJ, et al. 2001. Identification of the haemoglobin scavenger receptor. *Nature* 409: 198-201
200. Herlenius G, Wilczek HE, Larsson M, Ericzon BG. 2004. Ten years of international experience with liver transplantation for familial amyloidotic polyneuropathy: results from the Familial Amyloidotic Polyneuropathy World Transplant Registry. *Transplantation* 77: 64-71
201. Mells GF, Buckels JA, Thorburn D. 2006. Emergency liver transplantation for hereditary lysozyme amyloidosis. *Liver Transpl.* 12: 1908-9
202. Mousson C, Heyd B, Justrabo E, Rebibou JM, Tanter Y, et al. 2006. Successful hepatorenal transplantation in hereditary amyloidosis caused by a frame-shift mutation in fibrinogen A α-chain gene. *Am. J. Transplant.* 6: 632-5
203. Benson MD, Smith RA, Hung G, Kluve-Beckerman B, Showalter AD, et al. 2010. Suppression of choroid plexus transthyretin levels by antisense oligonucleotide treatment. *Amyloid* 17: 43-9
204. Kurosawa T, Igarashi S, Nishizawa M, Onodera O. 2005. Selective silencing of a mutant transthyretin allele by small interfering RNAs. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 337: 1012-8
205. Episkopou V, Maeda S, Nishiguchi S, Shimada K, Gaitanaris GA, et al. 1993. Disruption of the transthyretin gene results in mice with depressed levels of plasma retinol and thyroid hormone. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 90: 2375-9
206. Zheng H, Jiang M, Trumbauer ME, Sirinathsinghji DJS, Hopkins R, et al. 1995. β-amyloid precursor protein-deficient mice show reactive gliosis and decreased locomotor activity. *Cell* 81: 525-31
207. Singer O, Marr RA, Rockenstein E, Crews L, Coufal NG, et al. 2005. Targeting BACE1 with siRNAs ameliorates Alzheimer disease neuropathology in a transgenic model. *Nat. Neurosci.* 8: 1343-9
208. Bernier V, Lagacé M, Bichet DG, Bouvier M. 2004. Pharmacological chaperones: potential treatment for conformational diseases. *Trends Endicrinol. Metab.* 15: 222-8
209. European Medicines Agency EMA). 2011. European Public Assessment Report. EMA website [online]. http://www.ema.europa.eu/docs/en_GB/document_library/EPAR_-_Product_Information/human/002294/WC500117862.pdf
210. Johnson SM, Connelly S, Fearns C, Powers ET, Kelly JW. 2012. The transthyretin amyloidoses: From delineating the molecular mechanism of aggregation linked to pathology to a regulatory-agency-approved drug. *J. Mol. Biol.*
211. Permanne B, Adessi C, Saborio GP, Fraga S, Frossard MJ, et al. 2002. Reduction of amyloid load and cerebral damage in a transgenic mouse model of Alzheimer's disease by treatment with a β-sheet breaker peptide. *FASEB J.* 16: 860-2
212. Benilova I, Karran E, De Strooper B. 2012. The toxic Aβ oligomer and Alzheimer's disease: an emperor in need of clothes. *Nat. Neurosci.* 15: 349-57
213. Mawuenyega KG, Sigurdson W, Ovod V, Munsell L, Kasten T, et al. 2010. Decreased clearance of CNS β-amyloid in Alzheimer's disease. *Science* 330: 1774
214. Wilcock DM, Rojiani A, Rosenthal A, Levkowitz G, Subbarao S, et al. 2004. Passive amyloid immunotherapy clears amyloid and transiently activates

microglia in a transgenic mouse model of amyloid deposition. *J. Neurosci.* 24: 6144-51
215. Schenk D, Barbour R, Dunn W, Gordon G, Grajeda H, et al. 1999. Immunization with amyloid-β attenuates Alzheimer-disease-like pathology in the PDAPP mouse. *Nature* 400: 173-7
216. Nicoll JA, Wilkinson D, Holmes C, Steart P, Markham H, Weller RO. 2003. Neuropathology of human Alzheimer disease after immunization with amyloid-β peptide: a case report. *Nat. Med.* 9: 448-52
217. Vellas B, Black R, Thal LJ, Fox NC, Daniels M, et al. 2009. Long-term follow-up of patients immunized with AN1792: reduced functional decline in antibody responders. *Curr. Alzheimer Res.* 6: 144-51
218. Winblad B, Andreasen N, Minthon L, Floesser A, Imbert G, et al. 2012. Safety, tolerability, and antibody response of active Aβ immunotherapy with CAD106 in patients with Alzheimer's disease: randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, first-in-human study. *Lancet Neurol.* 11: 597-604
219. Ousman SS, Tomooka BH, van Noort JM, Wawrousek EF, O'Connor KC, et al. 2007. Protective and therapeutic role for αB-crystallin in autoimmune demyelination. *Nature* 448: 474-9
220. Arac A, Brownell SE, Rothbard JB, Chen C, Ko RM, et al. 2011. Systemic augmentation of αB-crystallin provides therapeutic benefit twelve hours post-stroke onset via immune modulation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 108: 13287-92
221. Pangratz-Fuehrer S, Kaur K, Ousman SS, Steinman L, Liao YJ. 2011. Functional rescue of experimental ischemic optic neuropathy with αB-crystallin. *Eye (Lond)* 25: 809-17
222. Velotta JB, Kimura N, Chang SH, Chung J, Itoh S, et al. 2011. αB-crystallin improves murine cardiac function and attenuates apoptosis in human endothelial cells exposed to ischemia-reperfusion. *Ann. Thorac. Surg.* 91: 1907-13
223. Rothbard JB, Kurnellas MP, Brownell S, Adams CM, Su L, et al. 2012. Therapeutic effects of systemic administration of chaperone αB-crystallin associated with binding proinflammatory plasma proteins. *J. Biol. Chem.* 287: 9708-21
224. Wong P, Taillefer D, Lakins J, Pineault J, Chader G, Tenniswood M. 1994. Molecular characterization of human TRPM-2/clusterin, a gene associated with sperm maturation, apoptosis and neurodegeneration. *Eur. J. Biochem.* 221: 917-25
225. Oliviero S, Cortese R. 1989. The human haptoglobin gene promoter: interleukin-6-responsive elements interact with a DNA-binding protein induced by interleukin-6. *EMBO J.* 8: 1145-51
226. Schaefer TS, Sanders LK, Nathans D. 1995. Cooperative transcriptional activity of Jun and Stat3 beta, a short form of Stat3. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 92: 9097-101
227. Loison F, Debure L, Nizard P, Le Goff P, Michel D, Le Drean Y. 2006. Up-regulation of the clusterin gene after proteotoxic stress: implications of HSF1-HSF2 heterocomplexes. *Biochem. J.* 395: 223-31
228. Walker DG, Lue L-F, Beach TG. 2002. Increased expression of the urokinase plasminogen-activator receptor in amyloid β peptide-treated human brain microglia and in AD brains. *Brain Res.* 926: 69-79

229. Taddei K, Clarnette R, Gandy SE, Martins RN. 1997. Increased plasma apolipoprotein E (apoE) levels in Alzheimer's disease. *Neurosci. Lett.* 223: 29-32
230. Licastro F, Parnetti L, Morini MC, Davis LJ, Cucinotta D, et al. 1995. Acute phase reactant α_1-antichymotrypsin is increased in cerebrospinal fluid and serum of patients with probable Alzheimer disease. *Alzheimer Dis. Assoc. Disord.* 9: 112-8
231. Donahue JE, Flaherty SL, Johanson CE, Duncan JA, 3rd, Silverberg GD, et al. 2006. RAGE, LRP-1, and amyloid-beta protein in Alzheimer's disease. *Acta Neuropathol.* 112: 405-15
232. Calero M, Rostagno A, Matsubara E, Zlokovic B, Frangione B, Ghiso J. 2000. Apolipoprotein J (clusterin) and Alzheimer's disease. *Microsc. Res. Tech.* 50: 305-15
233. Powers JM, Schlaepfer WW, Willingham MC, Hall BJ. 1981. An immunoperoxidase study of senile cerebral amyloidosis with pathogenetic considerations. *J. Neuropathol. Exp. Neurol.* 40: 592-612
234. Freixes M, Puig B, Rodriguez A, Torrejon-Escribano B, Blanco R, Ferrer I. 2004. Clusterin solubility and aggregation in Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease. *Acta Neuropathol.* 108: 295-301
235. Adler V, Kryukov V. 2007. Serum macroglobulin induces prion protein transition. *Neurochem. J.* 1: 43-52
236. Wang L, Clark ME, Crossman DK, Kojima K, Messinger JD, et al. 2010. Abundant lipid and protein components of drusen. *PLoS One* 5: e10329
237. Ishikawa Y, Akasaka Y, Ishii T, Komiyama K, Masuda S, et al. 1998. Distribution and synthesis of apolipoprotein J in the atherosclerotic aorta. *Arterioscler. Thromb. Vasc. Biol.* 18: 665-72
238. Hollander W, Colombo MA, Kirkpatrick B, Paddock J. 1979. Soluble proteins in the human atherosclerotic plaque. With spectral reference to immunoglobulins, C3-complement component, α_1-antitrypsin and α_2-macroglobulin. *Atherosclerosis* 34: 391-405
239. Ghiso J, Plant GT, Revesz T, Wisniewski T, Frangione B. 1995. Familial cerebral amyloid angiopathy (British type) with nonneuritic amyloid plaque formation may be due to a novel amyloid protein. *J. Neurol. Sci.* 129: 74-5
240. Lashley T, Holton JL, Verbeek MM, Rostagno A, Bojsen-Moller M, et al. 2006. Molecular chaperons, amyloid and preamyloid lesions in the BRI2 gene-related dementias: a morphological study. *Neuropathol. Appl. Neurobiol.* 32: 492-504
241. Kida E, Choi-Miura NH, Wisniewski KE. 1995. Deposition of apolipoproteins E and J in senile plaques is topographically determined in both Alzheimer's disease and Down's syndrome brain. *Brain Res.* 685: 211-6
242. Sakaguchi H, Miyagi M, Shadrach KG, Rayborn ME, Crabb JW, Hollyfield JG. 2002. Clusterin is present in drusen in age-related macular degeneration. *Exp. Eye Res.* 74: 547-9
243. Campistol JM, Shirahama T, Abraham CR, Rodgers OG, Sole M, et al. 1992. Demonstration of plasma proteinase inhibitors in β_2-microglobulin amyloid deposits. *Kidney Int.* 42: 915-23
244. Magalhaes J, Saraiva MJ. 2011. Clusterin overexpression and its possible protective role in transthyretin deposition in familial amyloidotic polyneuropathy. *J. Neuropathol. Exp. Neurol.* 70: 1097-106

245. Brambilla F, Lavatelli F, Di Silvestre D, Valentini V, Rossi R, et al. 2011. Reliable typing of systemic amyloidoses through proteomic analysis of subcutaneous adipose tissue. *Blood* 119: 1844-7
246. Nishida K, Quantock AJ, Dota A, Choi-Miura NH, Kinoshita S. 1999. Apolipoproteins J and E co-localise with amyloid in gelatinous drop-like and lattice type I corneal dystrophies. *Br. J. Ophthalmol.* 83: 1178-82
247. Tomino Y, Hara M, Endoh M, Kaneshige H, Nomoto Y, et al. 1981. Immunofluorescent studies on acute phase reactants in patients with various types of chronic glomerulonephritis. *Tokai J. Exp. Clin. Med.* 6: 435-41
248. Niewold TA, Murphy CL, Hulskamp-Koch CA, Tooten PC, Gruys E. 1999. Casein related amyloid, characterization of a new and unique amyloid protein isolated from bovine corpora amylacea. *Amyloid* 6: 244-9

Table 1. Some examples of extracellular protein deposition disease, the protein/peptide implicated in their pathology and the ECs found co-localized with these deposits.

Disease	Aggregating protein/peptide	Co-localized chaperones
Alzheimer's disease	Aβ	Clusterin (232) α_2M (175) Haptoglobin (233)
Spongiform encephalopathies	Prion Protein	Clusterin (234) α_2M (235)
Macular Degeneration	Major contribution by vitronectin and complement components.	Clusterin (236)
Atherosclerosis	ApoB-100	Clusterin (237) α_2M (238)
Familial British dementia	ABri	Clusterin (239)
Familial Danish Dementia	ADan	Clusterin (240)
Down's syndrome	Aβ	Clusterin (241)
Type II Diabetes	Human Islet Amyloid Peptide	Clusterin (242)
Hemodialysis-related amyloidosis	β_2-Microglobulin	α_2M (243)
Amyloidotic cardiomyopathy	Transthyretin	Clusterin (244)
Systemic Amyloidosis	Immunoglobulin light chain	Clusterin (245)
Corneal Dystrophies	Keratoepithelin	Clusterin (246)
Glomerulonephritis	IgA	Haptoglobin (247)
Corpora amylacea	β-lactoglobulin, α-lactalbumin and other undetermined proteins	αS_2-casein and β-casein (248)

Figure 1. Major elements of extracellular proteostasis. Proteins have undergone rigorous quality control before they are secreted, generally in a natively folded state. Once in the extracellular environment, they encounter a variety of stresses which can cause them to partially unfold and populate misfolded states. Misfolded proteins can aggregate into soluble oligomers and subsequently into insoluble fibrillar or amorphous aggregates. Extracellular chaperones (ECs) are known to form stable complexes with misfolded protein species, including misfolded monomers and oligomers. These complexes maintain misfolded proteins in solution and facilitate their clearance from extracellular fluids via receptor mediated endocytosis (RME) and degradation in lysosomes. In some cases misfolded, modified or aggregated proteins can also be cleared via RME without the involvement of ECs; large insoluble aggregates must be phagocytosed. Furthermore, extracellular proteases such as plasmin may be activated by protein aggregates and subsequently degrade them.

Figure 2. Model for the effects of ECs on toxicity and inflammation driven by misfolded extracellular proteins. Misfolded proteins and aggregates can be toxic to cells by a variety of mechanisms including disruption of membrane integrity, inducing changes in intracellular signal transduction cascades, and indirectly by eliciting pro-inflammatory signaling in immune cells Extracellular chaperones (ECs) are likely to be cytoprotective because of their ability to shield hydrophobic residues on the surfaces of these species that can mediate interactions with cell membranes and receptors. The actions of the ECs also inhibit the formation of larger aggregates and facilitate their efficient clearance, further reducing potential pathology.


Natively folded secreted protein
Misfolded
Oligomer
Insoluble aggregates
Fibrils
EC bound
EC bound
RME
RME
Phagocytosis
Extracellular protease
Amorphous
Proteolytic degradation


Pro-inflammatory
signaling
Misfolded protein receptors
TNF-α
IL-1β
ROS
Fibrils
Amorphous
aggregate
Oligomer
Non-specific
receptor
interaction
Extracellular
chaperone
(EC)
Oligomer
Degradation
in lysosome
Loss of membrane
integrity