

Review Article

Extragenital Infections Caused by *Chlamydia trachomatis* and *Neisseria gonorrhoeae*: A Review of the Literature

Philip A. Chan,¹ Ashley Robinette,¹ Madeline Montgomery,¹ Alexi Almonte,¹ Susan Cu-Uvin,¹ John R. Lonks,¹ Kimberle C. Chapin,² Erna M. Kojic,¹ and Erica J. Hardy¹

¹Division of Infectious Diseases, Department of Medicine, Warren Alpert Medical School, Brown University, Providence, RI 02903, USA

²Department of Pathology, Rhode Island Hospital, Providence, RI 02903, USA

Correspondence should be addressed to Philip A. Chan; pchan@lifespan.org

Received 31 December 2015; Revised 12 April 2016; Accepted 20 April 2016

Academic Editor: Per Anders Mardh

Copyright © 2016 Philip A. Chan et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

In the United States, sexually transmitted diseases due to *Chlamydia trachomatis* and *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* continue to be a major public health burden. Screening of extragenital sites including the oropharynx and rectum is an emerging practice based on recent studies highlighting the prevalence of infection at these sites. We reviewed studies reporting the prevalence of extragenital infections in women, men who have sex with men (MSM), and men who have sex only with women (MSW), including distribution by anatomical site. Among women, prevalence was found to be 0.6–35.8% for rectal gonorrhea (median reported prevalence 1.9%), 0–29.6% for pharyngeal gonorrhea (median 2.1%), 2.0–77.3% for rectal chlamydia (median 8.7%), and 0.2–3.2% for pharyngeal chlamydia (median 1.7%). Among MSM, prevalence was found to be 0.2–24.0% for rectal gonorrhea (median 5.9%), 0.5–16.5% for pharyngeal gonorrhea (median 4.6%), 2.1–23.0% for rectal chlamydia (median 8.9%), and 0–3.6% for pharyngeal chlamydia (median 1.7%). Among MSW, the prevalence was found to be 0–5.7% for rectal gonorrhea (median 3.4%), 0.4–15.5% for pharyngeal gonorrhea (median 2.2%), 0–11.8% for rectal chlamydia (median 7.7%), and 0–22.0% for pharyngeal chlamydia (median 1.6%). Extragenital infections are often asymptomatic and found in the absence of reported risk behaviors, such as receptive anal and oral intercourse. We discuss current clinical recommendations and future directions for research.

1. Introduction

Sexually transmitted diseases (STDs) continue to be a significant cause of morbidity in the United States (US) with an estimated \$15.9 billion spent annually on healthcare costs related to their diagnosis and treatment [1]. The two most common reportable bacterial STDs in the US are gonorrhea and chlamydia [2]. Chlamydia is caused by the bacterium *Chlamydia trachomatis* and is the most commonly reported STD. In 2014, over 1.4 million cases of chlamydia were diagnosed in the US [2], a 2.8% increase from the prior year and the greatest number of cases ever reported for an STD. Of chlamydia cases in 2014, the majority were among younger adults age 15–24 and women (70%). Despite overall higher prevalence of chlamydia infection among women in the US, diagnoses among men increased by 6.8% from 2013 to 2014. The difference in chlamydia diagnoses by

gender can likely be attributed to routine screening practices among women [2]. The major primary care guidelines in the US recommend annual chlamydia screening of all sexually active young women (age 24 years and younger) as part of annual routine reproductive healthcare services [3]. Similar to chlamydia, gonorrhea also disproportionately impacts younger populations. Gonorrhea is caused by the bacterium Neisseria gonorrhoeae with over 350,000 cases reported in 2014, a 5.1% increase from the prior year and a 10.5% increase since 2010 [2]. Unlike chlamydia, gonorrhea is now more prevalent among men than women. The number of gonorrhea cases among men increased by 27.9% from 2010 to 2014, whereas the number of cases among women decreased by 4.1% during that time. The rising number of new chlamydia and gonorrhea cases among men is likely due to increased diagnoses among gay, bisexual, and other men who have sex with men (MSM) [4, 5].

Gonorrhea and chlamydia are often asymptomatic in men as well as women. In men, only 14% infected with chlamydia and 40% infected with gonorrhea may be symptomatic [6, 7]. In women, urogenital chlamydia initially infects the cervix, causing symptoms of cervicitis which can then spread to the upper reproductive tract and cause pelvic inflammatory disease (PID). Untreated urogenital infections can lead to other serious complications such as chronic pain, ectopic pregnancy, and infertility [8]. The presence of gonorrhea or chlamydia at any site also increases the risk of acquiring HIV in both men and women [9, 10]. Complications specific to men include epididymitis, prostatitis, and proctitis. Both men and women with symptomatic urogenital infection most commonly present with urethritis, characterized by dysuria and urethral discharge. Reactive arthritis may also occur, often as part of a triad of other symptoms including urethritis and conjunctivitis [11].

N. gonorrhoeae and C. trachomatis can also be detected in the pharynx and rectum [2]. Gonorrhea and chlamydia infection in the rectum can cause rectal pain, bleeding, and discharge, as well as proctitis. In the pharynx, these infections can cause symptoms, such as pharyngitis and lymphadenitis, but are most often asymptomatic. Given that extragenital testing is not always part of routine STD screening, particularly in the absence of symptoms, many extragenital infections are undiagnosed and untreated. These untreated extragenital infections are a potential reservoir for ongoing transmission and may also lead to increased risk of HIV acquisition. Extragenital testing for N. gonorrhoeae and C. trachomatis is an emerging area that should be considered in both men and women. We review current screening recommendations and evidence to support extragenital testing for N. gonorrhoeae and C. trachomatis and discuss areas where future research is needed.

2. Materials and Methods

Current guidelines related to extragenital screening for N. gonorrhoeae and C. trachomatis in men and women were reviewed. A literature review was performed of all studies listed in PubMed evaluating extragenital gonorrhea and chlamydia infections through December 1, 2015. Studies included those describing extragenital infections by N. gonor*rhoeae*, *C. trachomatis*, or both, conducted in the US as well as internationally. The goal of the review was to describe the current epidemiology and prevalence of extragenital infections in the setting of the latest recommendations for screening. We specifically examined extragenital infections in separate subgroups of populations, including women, men who have sex only with women (MSW), and MSM. Only studies in English were included. The search terms "extragenital," "rectal," "pharyngeal," "chlamydia," and "gonorrhea" were used in combination and individually. References were reviewed and subsequently excluded if the study did not include findings of extragenital N. gonorrhoeae or C. trachomatis infection. Additionally, citations within these studies were reviewed and included if relevant. Full texts of relevant studies were retrieved and reviewed.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Current Screening Recommendations. The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) currently recommends that all sexually active women less than 25 years of age, as well as older women who have specific risk factors (e.g., new or concurrent sex partners), be tested annually for urogenital chlamydia and gonorrhea infection [12]. Per the guidelines, the clinical significance of pharyngeal chlamydia infection is unclear and routine pharyngeal screening for chlamydia is not recommended [12–14]. The US Preventive Services Task Force (USPSTF), the preeminent primary care guidelines in the US, recommends screening for chlamydia and gonorrhea in all sexually active women of age 24 years and younger, and in older women who are at increased risk for infection (e.g., due to another current STD, a previous STD, new or concurrent sex partners, inconsistent condom use, drug use, commercial sex work, certain demographic characteristics, or high community prevalence of STDs). The American Congress of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG) recommends annual urogenital screening for gonorrhea and chlamydia for sexually active women age 25 years and younger, as well as for women over age 25 reporting risk factors for infection [15].

The CDC does not recommend routine chlamydia or gonorrhea screening in men [12], with the exception of "considering" screening in high-prevalence clinical settings such as STD clinics or among high-prevalence populations such as MSM. The CDC recommends that MSM be screened at least annually for chlamydia infection at sites of sexual contact, including the rectum and urethra; for gonorrhea, the guidelines recommend screening at the urethra, rectum, and pharynx. Per these guidelines, screening should be based on risk behaviors. MSM who report insertive sex should be screened for urogenital N. gonorrhoeae and C. trachomatis. MSM who report receptive anal sex should be screen for rectal N. gonorrhoeae and C. trachomatis. MSM who report receptive oral sex should be screened for pharyngeal N. gonorrhoeae only; screening for C. trachomatis pharyngeal infection is not recommended. The USPTF does not recommend screening for chlamydia or gonorrhea in MSW due to insufficient evidence to support this practice.

The majority of international STD treatment guidelines provide recommendations for extragenital testing in MSM. The International Union Against Sexually Transmitted Infections (IUSTI) recommends extragenital testing for both MSM and women at the rectum and pharynx if there is a reported history of sexual exposure [16, 17]. Similarly, the British Association for Sexual Health and HIV (BASHH) recommends that extragenital screening for chlamydia and gonorrhea infections be dependent on reported sexual behaviors among men and women [18]. The guidelines also recommend extragenital testing among specific groups of women, such as commercial sex workers [19, 20]. Other countries, such as South Africa, employ an algorithm-driven, syndromic approach to STD testing and treatment [21].

The group of women who have sex with women (WSW) encompasses a diverse set of individuals and sexual practices. The CDC addresses this unique group, recommending that screening for *N. gonorrhoeae* and *C. trachomatis* be based on a detailed history of sexual practices [12]. The CDC also specifically addresses transgender men and women and recommends STD risk assessment and testing be based on current anatomy and sexual behaviors in this group [12].

3.2. Overview of Existing Literature. A total of 80 studies were reviewed focusing on extragenital infection with N. gonorrhoeae or C. trachomatis. Studies were published between 1981 and 2015 and included sites in North America (n = 37), Europe (n = 29), Australia (n = 9), Asia (n = 4), and Africa (n = 2). Study settings included STD clinics (n = 38), other outpatient clinics (n = 10), genitourinary clinics (n = 7), HIV clinics (n = 9), gay men's health centers (n = 3), communitybased and outreach settings (n = 6), and other settings (n =3); a minority of studies presented findings from multiple sites (n = 6). Most studies evaluated a single population but some did include multiple populations of women, MSW, and MSM. The number of studies reporting specific populations included MSM (n = 54), women (n = 33), MSW (n = 9), and mixed populations (n = 9). The following sections describe extragenital N. gonorrhoeae and C. trachomatis infection in these different populations.

3.3. Extragenital Infections in Women. A total of 33 studies reported prevalence of extragenital infection in women due to *N. gonorrhoeae* or *C. trachomatis* infection [19, 22–52] (Table 1). The range of prevalence of extragenital infections reported was 0.6–35.8% for rectal gonorrhea (median 1.9%), 0–29.6% for pharyngeal gonorrhea (median 2.1%), 2.0–77.3% for rectal chlamydia (median 8.7%), and 0.2–3.2% for pharyngeal chlamydia (median 1.7%). Most study sites were STD clinics and other high-risk settings; few were primary care settings, clinics focusing on women's care, or centers focusing on transgender patient care.

Most extragenital infections in women are asymptomatic, with estimates including 93% of pharyngeal gonorrhea [39], 53-100% of rectal gonorrhea [39], 100% of pharyngeal chlamydia [39], and 36-100% of rectal chlamydia cases [29, 30, 39]. Furthermore, a significant number of women who test positive for rectal gonorrhea or chlamydia do not report anal sex [19, 29, 53]. Extragenital screening increases the yield of detection of either gonorrhea or chlamydia at pharyngeal or rectal sites by approximately 6–50% or greater in women compared to screening urogenital specimens alone [23-25, 27, 29-31, 39, 44-46]. Overall, reported risk factors for rectal infection in women include younger age (n = 2 studies), sex with an injection drug user (n = 1), exchanging sex for money (n = 2), anonymous partners (n = 1), a sex partner with gonorrhea or chlamydia (n = 1), and sex while under the influence of drugs or alcohol (n = 1) [23, 31, 48]. However, other studies have not found any associations with these risk factors [29, 30].

Based on prevalence data, universal screening for extragenital infection due to *N. gonorrhoeae* or *C. trachomatis* in settings which care for women who are at risk of these infections (e.g., those who are sexually active with concurrent or nonmutually monogamous partners, regardless of reported exposure sites) should be considered. Due to the frequency of asymptomatic extragenital infections and the inaccuracy of testing based on self-reported behavior [19], the evidence supports routine screening in high-risk settings such as STD clinics. Universal screening for extragenital infection will certainly increase case finding, which in turn will likely have both clinical and public health benefits such as avoiding reproductive health sequelae and limiting HIV transmission. However, extragenital screening protocols among sexually active women are not currently widespread, and further study is needed to evaluate the impact on sexual health outcomes. Additionally, given the paucity of extragenital infection studies in other settings (e.g., primary care clinics), prevalence in these settings is largely unknown and merits further study.

3.4. Extragenital Infections in MSM. A total of 53 studies evaluated the prevalence of extragenital infections due to N. gonorrhoeae or C. trachomatis in MSM [13, 19, 22, 25–27, 36, 38, 44, 45, 47–49, 54–93] (Table 2). Extragenital infections among MSM have been studied more extensively compared to women. MSM experience high rates of both extragenital gonorrhea and chlamydia. The prevalence of extragenital infection among MSM in these studies ranged from 0.2-24% for rectal gonorrhea (median 5.9%), 0.5–16.5% for pharyngeal gonorrhea (median 4.6%), 2.1-23% for rectal chlamydia (median 8.9%), and 0-3.6% for pharyngeal chlamydia (median 1.7%); the differences are due to different clinical settings and methods of diagnosis. Several studies have evaluated the national prevalence of extragenital infections among MSM in the US [12, 24, 29, 30, 35, 43, 59, 63-65, 69-71, 73, 74, 76-78, 80, 82, 83]. In a large cohort of 3,034 MSM who attended a STD clinic in Seattle, Washington in 2011, extragenital infections were common and included pharyngeal gonorrhea (6.5%) and chlamydia (2.3%), and rectal gonorrhea (9.7%) and chlamydia (11.9%) [57]. Fiftyseven percent of cases were found in only extragenital sites (nonurogenital).

Similarly, among 21,994 MSM screened as part of the CDC STD Surveillance Network, composed of 42 STD clinics across the US, the prevalence of infection was 7.9% for pharyngeal gonorrhea, 2.9% for pharyngeal chlamydia, 10.2% for rectal gonorrhea, and 14.1% for rectal chlamydia. Over 70% of extragenital infections in this sample would have been missed with urogenital screening alone. In summary, urogenital testing alone misses a significant percentage of gonorrhea and chlamydia infections alone, 14% to 85% of rectal and oropharyngeal gonorrhea and chlamydia infections would have been missed [22, 57, 63, 64, 68, 79, 80].

The majority of extragenital infections among MSM are asymptomatic, with estimates ranging from 25% to 100% from reported studies [68, 76, 80, 89, 92, 94]. Men with extragenital gonorrhea may be more likely to be symptomatic than those with chlamydia [25, 62, 71, 95, 96]. For example, in one large study of MSM with extragenital infection, only 5.1% of pharyngeal and 11.9% of rectal infections were symptomatic with the most common pharyngeal symptoms being pharyngitis (65%), localized lymphadenopathy (16%), and inflammation of the oral cavity (10%). The most common

$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Author	Study year	Location	Setting	Time period	Risk	Sample	Urogenital	Gonorrhea Rectal	Pharyngeal	Urogenital	Chlamydia Rectal	Pharyngeal
997 Dennuk, STD clinic 995-1996 Women 196 $$ $$ $$ 2008 Tel Aviv, Israel worker 2008 Women 300 5,00% $$ 90% 2010 Netherlands STD clinic 2007-2008 Women 1308 1190% 170% $$ 2010 California (US) STD clinic 2007-2008 Women 1308 180% 170% $$ 90% 2010 Ohio (US) STD clinic 2007-2008 Women 1308 180% 170% $$ 90% 2010 United Kingdom Gentifortinity 2007-2008 Women 120 27.50% 35.30% $$ $$ 2011 Netherlands STD clinic 2007-2008 Women 120 27.50% 35.30% $$ $$ 2011 Netherlands STD clinic 2007-2008 Women 120 27.50% 35.00% $$ $$ $ -$	ones et al. [33]	1985	Indiana (US)	STD clinic	1985	Women	686/1,223	, 1	1	.	28.00%	5.20%	3.20%
2008 Tel Arty, Israel Servorker ourreach 2008 Vomen 300 5.076 - 900% 2009 Netherlands STD clinic 2005-3007 Women 3.06 - 2.006 - 900% 2010 California (US) STD clinic $2005-3007$ Women 3.96 - 2.006 2.006 2.006)stergaard et l. [38]	1997	Denmark	STD clinic	1995-1996	Women	196	Ι	Ι	Ι	3.60%	3.10%	1.50%
2009 Netherlands STD clinic 2005-2007 Women 936 2006 2010 California (US) STD clinic $2007-2008$ Women $1,308$ $1,706$ 2010 Dino(US) STD clinic $2007-2007$ Women $1,349$ $5.6-9.3\%$ $29-13.4\%$ $2.3-6.6\%$ 2010 Horda (US) STD clinic $2007-2008$ Women 120 20.9 34.0% $$	inhart et al. 35]	2008	Tel Aviv, Israel	Sex worker outreach	2008	Women	300	5.00%	I	9.00%	6.30%	I	I
2010 California (US) STD clinic, brownia 2007-2008 Women 1,308 1,80% 1.70% $-$ 2010 Ohio (US) STD clinic, brownia 2003-2007 Women 1,949 5.6-9.3% 2.9-13.4% 2.5-6.8% 2010 Horida (US) STD clinic 2007 Women 1949 5.6-9.3% 2.9-13.4% 2.5-6.8% 2010 United Kingdom centiourinary 2005-2008 Women 120 2.750% 35.80% - - - 2010 United Kingdom centiourinary 2005-2008 Women 120 2.750% 35.80% - - - - - 2010 United Kingdom centiourinary 2005-2008 Women 120 2.75.0% 35.80% -	an der Helm tal. [49]	2009	Netherlands	STD clinic	2006-2007	Women	936	I	2.00%	I	I	9.00%	I
200 Oho (US) Shifterine, control 203-2007 Women 1949 $5.6-9.3\%$ $29-13.4\%$ $2.5-6.8\%$ 200 Horida (US) STD clinic 2007 Women 97 8.20% 13.40% $-$ 2010 United Kingdom Gentourinary $2005-2008$ Women 120 2750% 35.80% $-$ 2010 United Kingdom Gentourinary $2005-2008$ Women 120 2750% 35.80% $-$ 2011 Netherlands STD clinic $2007-2008$ Women 120 27750% 35.80% $-$ 2012 United Kingdom Gentourinary $2007-2008$ Women 2.084 1.00% 1.70% 0.80% 2012 Netherlands STD clinic $2007-2008$ Women 2.0714 1.00% 1.70% 0.80% 2012 California (US) STD clinic $2007-2008$ Women 2.0714 1.00% 1.20% 2.09% 2012 <	arry et al. [24]	2010	California (US)	STD clinic	2007-2008	Women	1,308	1.80%	1.70%	I	5.40%	4.70%	I
2010Florida (US)STD clinic207Women978.20%13.40% $-$ 2010United KingdomGenitourinary206-2008Women1202750%35.80% $-$ 2010United KingdomGenitourinary206-2008Women152 $ -$ 2011NetherlandsSTD clinic2007-2008Women152 $ -$ 2011NetherlandsSTD clinic2007-2008Women4,2991.10%1.70%0.80%2012California (US)STD clinic206-2010Women2.0843.30% $ -$ 2012United KingdomSTD clinic206-2010Women2.071341.00%1.20%1.20%2013VatherlandsSTD clinic206-2010Women2.071341.00%1.20%2.00%2013United KingdomGenitourinary2013Women2.31%0.90%2.00%2013United KingdomGenitourinary2013Women2.30%0.50%0.50%2014Multiple citiesZTD clinic2002-2013Women2.31%2.00%0.30%2014United KingdomGenitourinary2012-2013Women2.30%0.50%0.50%2014Multiple citiesMultiple citiesZTD clinic2002-2013Women2.30%0.50%2014United KingdomGenitourinary2013Women2.30%0.50%0	iannini et al. 28]	2010	Ohio (US)	o 1 U cumic, children's hospital	2003-2007	Women	1,949	5.6-9.3%	2.9-13.4%	2.5-6.8%	I	I	I
2010 United Kingdom Genitourinary Genitourinary 2005-2008 Women 120 2756% 35.80% $-$ 2010 United Kingdom Genitourinary dinc 2006-2008 Women 152 $ -$ 2011 Netherlands STD clinic 2007-2008 Women 4,299 11.0% 1,70% 0.80% 2012 California (US) STD clinic 2006-2010 Women 2,084 3.30% 3.00% $ -$ 2012 Vetherlands STD clinic 2006-2010 Women 2,034 3.00% 1.20% 0.80% 2013 Netherlands STD clinic 2004-2010 Women 2,034 0.00% 0.30% 2.00% 2013 United Kingdom Genitourinary 2013 Women 2,814 1.00% 1.00% 2.00% 2013 United Kingdom Genitourinary 2013 Women 2,810% 0.90% 2.00% 2013 United Kingdom Genitourinary	lunte et al. 30]	2010	Florida (US)	STD clinic	2007	Women	67	8.20%	13.40%	Ι	16.50%	17.50%	I
2010United Kingdom dinicGentiourinary dinic2006-2008Women152 $ -$ 2011NetherlandsSTD clinic2007-2008Women4,2991.10%1.70%0.80%2012California (US)STD clinic2007-2008Women4,2991.10%1.20%0.80%2012California (US)STD clinic2006-2010Women2,0343.30%3.00% $-$ 2012US (4 cites)HIV clinic2006-2010Women2,0341.00%1.20%2.00%2013Us (4 cites)HIV clinic2004-2010Women2,0311.00%1.20%2.00%2013Us (4 cites)HIV clinic2004-2010Women2,3111.30%0.90%2.00%2013VaterlaidsSTD clinic2005-2010Women4,15,069 $ -$ 2014AustraliaSurvellance2008-2010Women4,15,069 $ -$ 2014US (multiple cities)Mail2010-2011Women205 $ -$ 2014NetherlandsSTD clinic2012-2013Women205 $ -$ <	aychaudhuri nd Birley [41]	2010	United Kingdom	Genitourinary clinic	2005-2008	Women	120	27.50%	35.80%	I	I	I	I
2011NetherlandsSTD clinic $207-2008$ Women $4,299$ 110% 1.70% 0.80% 2012California (US)STD clinic $2008-2010$ Women $2,084$ 3.30% 3.00% $-$ 2012NetherlandsSTD clinic $2006-2010$ Women $2,084$ 3.30% 3.00% $-$ 2012US (4 cities)HIY clinic $2006-2010$ Women $2,07134$ 100% 1.20% 120% 2013United KingdomGentiourinary 2013 Women $2,808$ 0.50% 0.60% 0.30% 2013United KingdomGentiourinary $2010-2011$ Women $2,808$ 0.50% 0.90% 2.30% 2014MatraliaStTD clinic $2006-2010$ Women $2,808$ 0.50% 0.50% 0.50% 0.50% 2014MatraliaStTD clinic $2002-2011$ Women $2,808$ 0.50% 0.90% 2.30% 2014US (multiple cities)Mail $2009-2011$ Women 201 1.00% 1.00% $ -$ 2014US (multiple cities)Mail $2009-2011$ Women 201 1.00% $ -$ 2014US (multiple cities)Mail $2009-2011$ Women $2,08$ 0.50% 0.50% 0.50% $ -$ 2014US (multiple cities)Mail $2009-2011$ Women 201 $ -$	ethupathi et . [42]	2010	United Kingdom	Genitourinary clinic	2006-2008	Women	152	I	Ι	I	11.90%	12.50%	Ι
2012California (US)STD clinic $2008-2010$ Women 2084 3.30% 3.00% $-$ 2012NetherlandsSTD clinic $2006-2010$ Women 207134 1.00% 1.20% 1.20% 2013US (4 cities)HIV clinic $2004-2006$ Women $(HIV+)$ 119 1.00% 1.00% 2.00% 2013US (4 cities)HIV clinic $2004-2006$ Women 207134 1.00% 1.00% 2.00% 2013United KingdomGenitourinary 2013 Women 318 0.90% 3.10% 2.960% 2013NetherlandsSTD clinic $2006-2010$ Women $2,808$ 0.50% 0.60% 0.30% 2014AustraliaSurveillance $2002-2013$ Women $415,069$ $ -$ 2014Illinois (US)Emergency $2012-2013$ Women 205 0.50% 0.50% 0.50% 0.50% 2014US (multiple cities)Mail $2002-2013$ Women 205 $ -$ 2014US (multiple cities)Mail $2012-2013$ Women 205 $ -$ 2014US (multiple cities)Mail $2012-2013$ Women 205 $ -$ <	eters et al. 39]	2011	Netherlands	STD clinic	2007-2008	Women	4,299	1.10%	1.70%	0.80%	10.00%	8.70%	1.90%
2012NetherlandsSTD clinic $2006-2010$ Women $207,134$ 1.00% 1.20% 1.20% 2013US (4 cites)HIV clinic $2004-2006$ Women (HIV+)119 1.00% 1.00% 2.00% 2013United KingdomGenitourinary 2013 Women 318 0.90% 3.10% 2960% 2013United KingdomGenitourinary 2013 Women 318 0.90% 3.10% 2.00% 2013United KingdomGenitourinary 2013 Women $2,808$ 0.50% 0.50% 0.30% 2014AustraliaStrD clinic $2010-2010$ Women $415,069$ $$ $$ $$ 2014Illinois (US)Emergency $2012-2013$ Women 301 1.00% 2.50% $$ $$ 2014US (mutiple cities)Mail $2009-2011$ Women 205 $$ $$ $$ $$ 2014US (mutiple cities)Primary care $201-2012$ Women 205 $$ $$ $$ 2014NotherlandsSTD clinic $201-2013$ Women 205 $$ $$ $$ $$ 2014NetherlandsSTD clinic $201-2012$ Women 205 $$ $$ $$ $$ 2014NotherlandsSTD clinic $201-2013$ Women 205 $$ $$ $$ $$ 2014NetherlandsSTD clinic $201-2012$ Women $1,31$ $1,00\%$ <td>vanbakht et l. [31]</td> <td>2012</td> <td>California (US)</td> <td>STD clinic</td> <td>2008-2010</td> <td>Women</td> <td>2,084</td> <td>3.30%</td> <td>3.00%</td> <td>Ι</td> <td>12.00%</td> <td>14.60%</td> <td>Ι</td>	vanbakht et l. [31]	2012	California (US)	STD clinic	2008-2010	Women	2,084	3.30%	3.00%	Ι	12.00%	14.60%	Ι
2012US (4 cities)HIV clinic $2004-2006$ Women (HIV+)1191.00%1.00%2.00%2013SpainSTD clinic $2006-2010$ Women 318 0.90% 3.10% 29.60% 2013United KingdomGenitourinary 2013 Women $2,808$ 0.50% 0.60% 0.30% 2013NetherlandsSTD clinic $2010-2011$ Women $2,808$ 0.50% 0.90% 2.30% 2014AustraliaSurvellance $2008-2010$ Women $415,069$ $$ $$ $$ 2014Ullinois (US)Energency $2012-2013$ Women 301 1.00% $$ $$ $$ 2014US (multiple cities)Mail $2009-2011$ Women 301 1.00% $$ $$ $$ 2014NetherlandsSruthAfricaDinic $2012-2013$ Women 301 1.00% $$ $$ $$ 2014US (multiple cities)Mail $2009-2011$ Women 205 $$ $ $ $ -$ 2014NetherlandsSruthAfricaDinic $2012-2013$ Women 603 0.00% 2.50% 0.00% 2014NetherlandsSruthAfricaDinic $2012-2013$ Women $$ $ -$ 2014NetherlandsSruthAfricaDinic $2012-2013$ Women $1,321$ 1.30% 0.90% $ -$ 2014NetherlandsSruthA	oedijk et al. 22]	2012	Netherlands	STD clinic	2006-2010	Women	207,134	1.00%	1.20%	1.20%	10.40%	9.30%	2.70%
2013 Spain STD clinic 206-2010 Women 318 0.90% 3.10% 29.60% 2013 United Kingdom Genitourinary 2013 Women 2,808 0.50% 0.60% 0.30% 2013 Netherlands STD clinic 2010-2011 Women 2,808 0.50% 0.60% 0.30% 2014 Australia Surveillance 2008-2010 Women 415,069 - <	fayer et al. 861	2012	US (4 cities)	HIV clinic	2004-2006	Women (HIV+)	119	1.00%	1.00%	2.00%	3.00%	2.00%	2.00%
2013 United Kingdom Genitourinary clinic 2013 Women 2,808 0.50% 0.60% 0.30% 2013 Netherlands STD clinic 2010-2011 Women 1,321 1.30% 0.90% 2.30% 2014 Australia Surveillance 2008-2010 Women 415,069 -	iaz et al. [26]	2013	Spain	STD clinic	2006-2010	Women	318	0.90%	3.10%	29.60%	Ι	Ι	Ι
2013 Netherlands STD clinic 2010-2011 Women 1,321 1.30% 0.90% 2.30% 2014 Australia Surveillance Surveillance 2008-2010 Women 415,069 -	1. [43] naw et al.	2013	United Kingdom	Genitourinary clinic	2013	Women	2,808	0.50%	0.60%	0.30%	6.70%	7.10%	1.30%
2014 Australia Surveillance system 2008-2010 Women 415,069 - 0.70% - 0.70% - 0.70% - 0.70% - 0.70% - 0.70% - 0.70% - 0.70% - 0.70% - 0.70% - 0.70% 0.0	un Liere et al. 51	2013	Netherlands	STD clinic	2010-2011	Women	1,321	1.30%	%06.0	2.30%	5.40%	4.80%	1.40%
ins et al. 2014 Illinois (US) Emergency 2012-2013 Women 301 1.00% - 0.70% d et al. 2014 US (multiple cities) Mail 2009-2011 Women 205 - 2.50% - rs et al. 2014 US (multiple cities) Mail 2009-2011 Women 205 - 2.50% 0.00% rs et al. 2014 South Africa Primary care 2011-2012 Women 664 10.00% 2.50% 0.00% Liere et al. 2014 Netherlands STD clinic 2012-2013 Women 663 -	imech et al. 02]	2014	Australia	Surveillance system	2008-2010	Women	415,069	I	I	I	6.7—10%	5.20%	1.70%
$i \neq al.$ 2014 US (multiple cities) $Mail$ $2009-2011$ Women 205 $ 2.50\%$ $ rs et al.$ 2014 South Africa Primary care $2011-2012$ Women 604 10.00% 2.50% 0.00% Liere $et al.$ 2014 Netherlands STD clinic $2012-2013$ Women 663 $ -$ Liere $et al.$ 2014 Netherlands STD clinic $2012-2013$ Women 663 $ -$	nkins et al. 21	2014	Illinois (US)	Emergency room	2012-2013	Women	301	1.00%	I	0.70%	6.30%	I	0.70%
rs et al. 2014 South Africa Primary care 2011-2012 Women 604 10.00% 2.50% 0.00% Liere et al. 2014 Netherlands STD clinic 2012-2013 Women 663 -<	add et al. [34]	2014	US (multiple cities)	Mail	2009-2011	Women	205	Ι	2.50%	Ι	Ι	11.10%	I
Liere et al. 2014 Netherlands STD clinic 2012-2013 Women 663 -	eters et al. 0]	2014	South Africa	Primary care clinic	2011-2012	Women	604	10.00%	2.50%	0.00%	16.00%	7.10%	0.20%
Liere et al. 2014 Netherlands STD clinic 2010–2012 Women 1,321 1.30% 0.90% 2.30% in et al. 2015 Ohio (US) STD clinic 2012-2013 Women 331 7.00% 6.00% –	an Liere et al. 46]	2014	Netherlands	STD clinic	2012-2013	Women	663	Ι	I	I	11.20%	8.40%	Ι
ın et al. 2015 Ohio (US) STD clinic 2012-2013 Women 331 7.00% 6.00% –	n Liere et al. 7]	2014	Netherlands	STD clinic	2010-2012	Women	1,321	1.30%	0.90%	2.30%	5.40%	4.80%	1.40%
	azan et al. 31	2015	Ohio (US)	STD clinic	2012-2013	Women	331	7.00%	6.00%	I	13.00%	13.00%	Ι

	5
	ä
	Ξ
	ă
	H
	omatis arr
	1
	Ы
	2
	6
,	ž
	30
	20
	4
(ن
	=
	ž
	a
	в
	g
	S.
,	ž
	7
	5
	ž
	0
	00
,	-
	4
	0
	5
	ne
	Ð
	s
	ц
	0
	Ξ.
	8
•	Ĕ
	E
,	-
	Ea
	enit
	H
	ň
	a
	extra
	×
	Ð
	β
	ti
	ť
	ō
	Ā
	e.
	2
	ő
÷	=
	R
	₽.
,	S.
	5
	s.
	ő
	₽
	IS
	EL.
	Ĕ
	naracteri
	ra
	a

					TABLE 1. COMMING.	nca.						
Author	Study year	Location	Setting	Time period	Risk	Sample	Urogenital	Gonorrhea Rectal	Pharyngeal	Urogenital	Chlamydia Rectal	Pharyngeal
Danby et al. [25]	2016	Pennsylvania (US)	STD clinic	2014-2015	Women	175	2.90%	2.30%	2.30%	10.30%	11.40%	1.70%
Dukers- Muijrers et al.	2015	Netherlands	STD clinic	2010-2013	Women	7,419	0.60%	0.70%	2.70%	10.20%	6.50%	1.40%
[17] Garner et al. [27]	2015	United Kingdom	Sexual health clinic	2010	Women	649	0.80%	1.10%	0.60%	13.30%	6.60%	2.50%
Gratrix et al. [29]	2015	Canada	STD clinic	2012	Women	3055	I	I	l	9.40%	12.60%	I
Musil et al. [37]	2015	Australia	Sexual health clinic	2013-2014	Women	56	I	I	I	77.00%	57.00%	I
Trebach et al. [44]	2015	Maryland (US)	STD clinic	2011-2013	Women	4,402	2.80%	3.00%	2.10%	10.00%	8.60%	2.60%
van Liere et al. [48]	2015	Netherlands	STD clinic	2011-2012	Women	11,113	I	0.90%	I	I	9.50%	I
van Liere et al. [45]	2013	Netherlands	STD clinic	2010-2011	Women ("swingers")	461	I	1.08%	I	I	6.72%	
Ding and Challenor [50]	2014	United Kingdom	STD clinic	2012-2013	Women	97	I	Ι	I	100%	77.3%	I
Cosentino et al. [51]	2012	Pennsylvania (US)	HIV clinic	2009-2010	Women	272	I	2.6%	ļ	I	7.7%	I
Bachmann et al. [52]	2010	SN	STD clinic, HIV clinic	2003-2007	Women	66	20.30%	23.10-54.30%	8.20%	27.40%	5.60-19.20%	1.90%
Note. STD: sexu	ally transmitte	Note. STD: sexually transmitted diseases; US: United States.	l States.									

s; L lly t

TABLE 1: Continued.

91 0100 01000 S1D chuic 981 150 -	Author	Study year	Location	Setting	Time period	Risk	Sample	Urogenital	Gonorrhea Rectal	Pharyngeal	Urogenital	Chlamydia Rectal	Pharyngeal
366Wakingnet (K)STD dink183MSM1,429 $$ $ -$ <td>McMillan et al. [73]</td> <td>1981</td> <td>United Kingdom</td> <td>STD clinic</td> <td>1981</td> <td>MSM</td> <td>150</td> <td></td> <td> </td> <td>. </td> <td>6.70%</td> <td>4.00%</td> <td>1.30%</td>	McMillan et al. [73]	1981	United Kingdom	STD clinic	1981	MSM	150			.	6.70%	4.00%	1.30%
1193Demark (a)STD duk (a)985-996NSM39 $$ <	oalo et al.	1986	Washington (US)	STD clinic	1983	MSM	1,429	Ι	8.00%	I		5.00%	
	gaard et sl	1997	Denmark	STD clinic	1995-1996	MSM	39	I	I	Ι	2.60%	2.60%	0.00%
tdtd 2001 United Kingdun Gentouriury clinic 299-2002 MSM 443 $ -$ 20% 239% $1/6$ 2065 California (V3) Strubling symoli 2003 MSM 344 6.008 6.008 9.006 5.00	t al. [69] t al. [69]	1998 2003	Washington (US) California (US)	Community STD clinic	1995 2000	MSM MSM (HIV+)	578 564	0.00% —	0.20% 7.10%	0.70%	0.00% —		
110Calibraic transmipNSM 6.434 6.096 6.906 3.206 3.006 3.006 nd206AustrainStrublicativettra2002-0003NSM344 6.88 9.206 3.006 3.006 nd206AustrainStrublicativettraStrublicativettra $2002-2003$ NSM 3.41 6.88 9.206 3.006 4.006	vi et al.	2004	United Kingdom	Genitourinary clinic	1999-2002	MSM	443	I	I	I	I	7.20%	Ι
i.d.206AtterliaSecul health clinic200-203MSM344 6.96% (Ald net specify site) 9.6% (Ald net specify site) 6.016 2016AtterliaSTD clinic2012-2013MSM 1.245 $ 5.90\%$ 6.90% 1.14 2016Carlifornia (US)Community2012-2013MSM 2.475 $ -$ <	et al. [68]	2005	California (US)	STD clinic, gay men's health center	2003	MSM	6,434	6.00%	6.90%	9.20%	5.20%	7.90%	1.40%
α_{01} 206 Astralia STD-clinc 2002-2003 MSM 1,248 - - - - - 5,106 6,206 α_{11} 206 California (US) Gentourinary clinc 299-200 MSM 2,475 - - 5,306 4,306 5,306 α_{11} 208 United Kingdom Gentourinary clinc 299-200 MSM 11 1006 2,005 2,006 -	e et al.	2006	Australia		2001-2003	MSM	314	6.8% (L)id not spec	ify site)	9.6% (d	id not speci	fy site)
tall 206 Cultional (US) Community 200-2003 MSM 2.473 $ 5.90k$ $ -$	ing and y [65]	2006	Australia	STD clinic	2002-2003	MSM	1,248	I	Ι	ĺ	5.10%	6.20%	1.30%
al. [30]2007United KingdomGentourinary clinic999-2001MSM613720%730%730%730%630%690% α^{-1} 2008United KingdomGentourinary clinic2005-2007MSM733310.20%730%630%-113.60% α^{-1} 2008AustraliaSentourinary clinic2007-2003MSM733310.20%730%630%-1200% α^{-1} 2008AustraliaSentourinary clinic2007-2003MSM141100%1.70%2.00%2.00%2.00% α^{-1} 2008AustraliaGentourinary2007-2008MSM141100%1.30%2.00%2.00%2.00% α^{-1} 2009United KingdomHIV clinic2007-2008MSM141100%1.40%2.00%2.00%2.00% α^{-1} 2009United KingdomHIV clinic2007-2008MSM141100%1.40%2.00%2.00%2.00% α^{-1} 2009United KingdomGentourinary2005-2007MSM141100%1.40%2.00%2.00% α^{-1} 2009United KingdomCommutiy2005-2007MSM141100%1.40%2.00%2.00%2.00% α^{-1} 2009United KingdomCommutiy2005-2007MSM141100%1.40%2.00%2.00%2.00% α^{-1} 2009United KingdomCommutiy2005-2007MSM <t< td=""><td>is et al.</td><td>2006</td><td>California (US)</td><td>Community</td><td>2001-2003</td><td>MSM</td><td>2,475</td><td>Ι</td><td>I</td><td>5.50%</td><td>I</td><td>I</td><td>Ι</td></t<>	is et al.	2006	California (US)	Community	2001-2003	MSM	2,475	Ι	I	5.50%	I	I	Ι
cr ct.ll. 208 United Kingdom Genitourinary clinic 205-2007 MSM 723 1 90% 6.50% - 13.60% $al.$ 208 Amstrial StrD clinic 3097-2003 MSM 7.333 0.80% 9.80% - - 1.60% $al.17l.l 208 Amstrial StrD clinic 307-2003 MSM 7.333 0.80% 9.80% - - - 1.60% -$	et al. [58]	2007	United Kingdom	Genitourinary clinic	1999–2001	MSM	613	7.20%	7.30%	7.30%	4.30%	6.50%	1.20%
d_1 208 California (US) STD clinic 997–2003 MSM 7333 10.306 90% 4.00% - - - d_1/T_1 208 Australia Sexual health clinic 2002-2003 MSM 366 - 5.00% - - 2.00% t_1T_1 208 Masschusetts (US) contraction 2002-2003 MSM (HTV+) 147 1.00% 1.20% 2.60% 5.10% 2.00% t_111_1 2009 United Kington HW clinic 2007-2006 MSM (HTV+) 147 - - - - 2.00% t_111_2 2009 United Kington Community 2005-2007 MSM (HTV+) 147 - - - - 2.00%	nder et al.	2008	United Kingdom	Genitourinary clinic	2005-2007	MSM	272	Ι	14.90%	6.50%	Ι	13.60%	Ι
$a_1/71$ 2008AustraliaSexual health clinic2002-2003MSM366 $-$ 5.00% $ 2.00%$ t etal2008Massachusets (US)enter2007-2006MSM1141.00%1.70%0.00%2.60%6.10% t l2008California (US)HIV clinic2004-2006MSM1.4771.4771.90%1.30%2.50%2.90% 0 etat2009Vahingeon PC(US)HIV clinic2005-2006MSM1.477 $ t$ dil2009Vahingeon PC(US)HIV clinic2005-2006MSM1.477 $ t$ dil2009Vahingeon PC(US)HIV clinic2005-2006MSM1.477 $ -$	et al.	2008	California (US)	STD clinic	1997-2003	MSM	7,333	10.80%	9.80%	4.00%	Ι	I	I
it al. 208 Massachusetts (US) conventise lath conventise alth 207 MSM 114 100% 170% 0.00% 260% 6.10% 1 [82] 2008 California (US) HTV clinic 2004-2006 MSM (HV+) 212 1.50% 4.30% 3.30% 1.50% 2.90% 2.90% α 2009 United Kingdom HTV clinic 2004-2006 MSM 1.427 $$ $$ $ -$	et al. [71]	2008	Australia	Sexual health clinic	2002-2003	MSM	366	I	5.00%	I	I	7.00%	I
I, [32] 208 California (US) Hi'V clinic 2004-2006 MSM (HV+) 212 150% 3.30% 150% 2.90% $0n^{\rm et}$ 2008 Australia Community 2003 MSM 1,427 -	aga et al.	2008	Massachusetts (US)	Gay men's health center	2007	MSM	114	1.00%	1.70%	0.00%	2.60%	6.10%	Ι
on et 2008 Atstralia Community 2003 MSM $1,47$ $$	et al. [82]	2008	California (US)	HIV clinic	2004-2006	(+VIH) MSM	212	1.50%	4.30%	3.30%	1.50%	2.90%	1.40%
tal. 2009 United Kingdom HIV/genitourinary HIV/genitourinary 2005-2006 MSM 3.76 - 4.10% 1.30% 5.40% 8.20% al. [56] 2009 Washington DC (US) United Kingdom HIV/genitourinary 2005-2008 MSM 147 0.00% 140% 5.30% 0.00% 2.10% al. [61] 2009 Washington DC (US) UN fortic 2007-2008 MSM $3.410/4.189$ - 4.10% 5.30% - 0.00% 2.0% $retal. 2009 US (5 cities) Outpatient clinic 2007-2008 MSM 3.410/4.189 - 5.30\% - 6.00\% 2.0% retal. 2009 US (5 cities) Outpatient clinic 2007-2008 MSM 2.1927 13.40\% 8.30\% 10.0\% 2.0\% 0.00\% 2.0\% retal. 2009 Canada STD clinic 2006-2008 MSM 1.427 - $	leton et 	2008	Australia	Community	2003	MSM	1,427	Ι	Ι	Ι	Ι	I	1.10%
di [56] 2009 Washington DC (US) Öurpatient clinic 2005-2007 MSM 147 $$	n et al.	2009	United Kingdom	HIV/genitourinary	2005-2006	MSM	3,076	Ι	4.10%	1.30%	5.40%	8.20%	Ι
r ct al.200US (5 cites)Outpatient clinic2007-2008MSM $3.410/14,189$ -5.40% 5.30% -8.90%a t al.2009Masachusetts (US)Gay men's health center2003-2004MSM 2.1927 13.40% 8.80% 1.90% 5.70% - 8.90% .[77]2009CanadaGay men's health center2005-2008MSM 2.1927 13.40% 8.00% 1.90% 5.70% - 8.90% .[77]2009VetherlandsSTD clinic2006-2007MSM 2.187 - 1.20% 8.00% 1.90% 5.70% - 2.70% .[71]2009NetherlandsSTD clinic2006-2007MSM 2.187 - 0.60% 1.00% $ 2.70\%$.[71]2010AustraliaCommunity2003MSM 1.42% - $ 0.60\%$ $ -$.[71]2011California (US)STD clinic2003-2009MSM 1.42% $ -$.[71]2011California (US)STD clinic2003-2009MSM 1.42% $ -$	et al. [56] et al. [61]	2009 2009	Washington DC (US) Switzerland	Outpatient clinic HIV clinic	2006-2007 2007-2008	MSM MSM (HIV+)	147 147	0.00%	1.40% —	2.80% —	0.00% —	2.10% 10.90%	0.70%
a tall2009Masachusetts (US)Gay mensh health center2003-2004MSM $21,927$ 13.40% 8.80% 1.90% 5.70% -1 $.[77]$ 2009CanadaGay mensh health center $2006-2008$ MSM 2.48 -1 11.70% 8.10% 5.70% -1 $Helm$ 2009NetherlandsSTD clinic $2006-2007$ MSM 1.458 -1 7.00% -1 -1 $Helm$ 2010NetherlandsSTD clinic $2006-2007$ MSM 1.458 -1 0.60% -1 -1 010 AustraliaCommunity $2006-2007$ MSM 1.458 -1 0.60% -1 -1 010 AustraliaCommunity 2003 MSM 1.458 -1 -1 0.60% -1 -1 010 AustraliaCommunity 2003 MSM 1.427 -1 -1 -1 -1 011 NetherlandsSTD clinic $2003-2008$ MSM 1.455 2.80% 6.00% 4.00% 2.30% 2.30% a^{11} 2011NetherlandsSTD clinic $2007-2008$ MSM 1.455 2.80% 6.00% 4.00% 2.00% 2.00% a^{11} 2011United KingdomHIV clinic $2002-2009$ MSM 1.455 2.80% 4.20% 4.00% 2.00% a^{11} 2011United KingdomHIV clinic $2002-2009$ MSM 1.455 2.80% 4.20% 2.00% </td <td>ner et al.</td> <td>2009</td> <td>US (5 cities)</td> <td>Outpatient clinic</td> <td>2007-2008</td> <td>MSM</td> <td>3,410/14,189</td> <td>Ι</td> <td>5.40%</td> <td>5.30%</td> <td>Ι</td> <td>8.90%</td> <td>1.60%</td>	ner et al.	2009	US (5 cities)	Outpatient clinic	2007-2008	MSM	3,410/14,189	Ι	5.40%	5.30%	Ι	8.90%	1.60%
	aga et al.	2009	Massachusetts (US)	Gay men's health center	2003-2004	MSM	21,927	13.40%	8.80%	1.90%	5.70%	I	I
Helm2009NetherlandsSTD clinic $2006-2007$ MSM $1,458$ -1 7.00% -1 -1 1.00% on et2010AustraliaCommunity2003MSM $1,427$ -1 -1 0.60% -1 -1 on et2011California (US)STD clinic2008-2009MSM $1,457$ 2.80% 5.00% 2.30% 2.30% 7.80% $a^{\rm d}$ 2011NetherlandsSTD clinic $2007-2008$ MSM $1,455$ 2.80% 6.00% 4.20% 4.00% 8.20% $a^{\rm d}$ 2011United KingdomHTV clinic $2007-2008$ MSM $1,455$ 2.80% 6.00% 4.20% 8.20% $a^{\rm d}$ 2011United KingdomHTV clinic $2007-2008$ MSM $8,328/7133$ -1 3.00% 3.70% 5.00% 5.00% $a^{\rm td}$ 2011AustraliaSexual health clinic $2002-2009$ MSM $8,328/7133$ -1 3.00% 3.70% 5.00% $a^{\rm td}$ 20122012206-2010MSM $8,328/7133$ -1 3.00% 4.30% 4.30% 5.00%	al. [77]	2009	Canada	Gay men's health center	2006-2008	MSM	248	Ι	11.70%	8.10%	I	7.70%	2.00%
	er Helm	2009	Netherlands	STD clinic	2006-2007	MSM	1,458	I	7.00%	I	I	11.00%	I
et al.2011California (US)STD clinic2008-2009MSM 3.398 0.40% 5.00% 5.00% 2.30% 780% $^{al.}$ 2011NetherlandsSTD clinic $2007-2008$ MSM $1,455$ 2.80% 6.00% 4.20% 4.00% 8.20% $^{1}White$ 2011United KingdomHIV clinic $2009-2010$ MSM (HIV+) 634 1.30% 4.20% 3.90% 2.60% 9.80% $^{1}et al.$ 2011AustraliaSexual health clinic $2002-2009$ MSM $8,328/7133$ $ 3.10\%$ 1.80% 3.70% 5.40% $^{et al.}$ 2012NetherlandsSTD clinic $2006-2010$ MSM $69,506$ 3.40% 5.50% 4.30% 4.30% 1.01%	leton et]	2010	Australia	Community	2003	MSM	1,427	I	I	0.60%	I	I	
2011 Netherlands STD clinic 2007-2008 MSM 1,455 2.80% 6.00% 4.20% 4.00% 8.20% 2011 United Kingdom HIV clinic 2009-2010 MSM (HIV+) 634 1.30% 4.20% 3.90% 2.60% 9.80% 2011 Australia Sexual health clinic 2002-2009 MSM 8,328/7,133 - 3.10% 1.80% 3.70% 5.40% 2012 Netherlands STD clinic 2006-2010 MSM 6.9,506 3.40% 5.50% 3.90% 4.30% 10.10%	is et al.	2011	California (US)	STD clinic	2008-2009	MSM	3,398	0.40%	3.60%	5.00%	2.30%	7.80%	1.90%
2011 United Kingdom HIV clinic 2009-2010 MSM (HIV+) 634 1.30% 4.20% 3.90% 2.60% 9.80% 2011 Australia Sexual health clinic 2002-2009 MSM 8,328/7J33 - 3.10% 1.80% 3.70% 5.40% 2012 Netherlands STD clinic 2006-2010 MSM 69,506 3.40% 5.50% 3.90% 4.30% 10.10%	s et al.	2011	Netherlands	STD clinic	2007-2008	MSM	1,455	2.80%	6.00%	4.20%	4.00%	8.20%	1.50%
 2011 Australia Sexual health clinic 2002–2009 MSM 8,328/7,133 — 3.10% 1.80% 3.70% 5.40% 2012 Netherlands STD clinic 2006–2010 MSM 69,506 3.40% 5.50% 3.90% 4.30% 10.10% 	ınd White	2011	United Kingdom	HIV clinic	2009-2010	(+VIH) MSM	634	1.30%	4.20%	3.90%	2.60%	9.80%	1.70%
2012 Netherlands STD clinic 2006–2010 MSM 69,506 3.40% 5.50% 3.90% 4.30% 10.10%	rcil et al.	2011	Australia		2002-2009	MSM	8,328/7,133	Ι	3.10%	1.80%	3.70%	5.40%	I
	jk et al.	2012	Netherlands	STD clinic	2006-2010	MSM	69,506	3.40%	5.50%	3.90%	4.30%	10.10%	1.70%

6

Author											11	
	Study year	Location	Setting	Time period	Risk	Sample	Urogenital	Gonorrhea Rectal	Pharyngeal	Urogenital	Chlamydia Rectal	Pharyngeal
Mayer et al. [36]	2012	US (4 cities)	HIV clinic	2004-2006	(+VIH) MSM	365	0.00%	2.00%	3.00%	2.00%	7.00%	1.00%
Park et al. [78]	2012	California (US)	HIV/STD clinic, gay men's health center	2010	MSM	12,454			5.80%	I	I	1.70%
Pinsky et al. [81]	2012	New York (US)	University health	2007-2010	MSM	200	I	0.50%	3.50%	I	3.00%	0.50%
Diaz et al. [26]	2013	Spain	STD clinic	2006-2010	MSM	1,320	58.30%	21.10%	5.20%	Ι	l	I
Jiménez et al. [66]	2013	Spain	HIV clinic	2011-2012	(+VIH) MSM	264	Ι	Ι	9.50%	Ι	Ι	Ι
Sexton et al. [85]	2013	Washington DC (US)	Primary care clinic, HIV/STD clinic	2009-2011	MSM	374	Ι	8.00%	9.30%	Ι	12.70%	1.30%
Furner et al. [92]	2013	Ohio (US)	STD clinic	2010-2011	MSM	125	I	24.00%	I	I	23.00%	I
Barbee et al. [57]	2014	Washington (US)	STD clinic	2011	MSM	3,034	5.50%	9.70%	6.50%	4.40%	11.90%	2.30%
Dudareva- Vizule et al. 1621	2014	Germany	STD clinic	2009-2010	MSM	2,247	1.90%	4.60%	5.50%	3.40%	8.00%	1.50%
Gratrix et al. [63]	2014	Canada	STD clinic	2012	MSM	972	2.40%	5.90%	I	4.30%	14.10%	I
Keaveney et al. [67]	2014	Ireland	HIV clinic	2012	(+VIH) MSM	121	0.00%	4.10%	3.30%	1.70%	6.60%	0.80%
Patton et al. [79]	2014	US (42 sites)	STD clinic	2011-2012	MSM	21,994	11.10%	10.20%	7.90%	8.40%	14.10%	2.90%
Sanders et al. [84]	2014	Kenya	Medical clinic	2011	MSM	244	1.60%	5.70%	I	6.10%	8.10%	I
van Liere et al. [47]	2014	Netherlands	STD clinic	2010-2012	MSM	2,436	1.50%	3.70%	3.40%	3.30%	7.90%	1.10%
Chow et al. [59]	2015	Australia	Sexual health clinic	2007-2013	MSM	12,873	2.30%	2.90%	1.70%	3.00%	5.60%	I
Danby et al. [25]	2016	Pennsylvania (US)	STD clinic	2014-2015	MSM	224	5.40%	11.60%	16.50%	4.50%	17.40%	2.20%
Dukers- Muijrers et al. [19]	2015	Netherlands	STD clinic	2010-2013	MSM	2,349	1.40%	4.00%	3.40%	3.20%	7.30%	0.70%
Garner et al. [27]	2015	United Kingdom	Sexual health clinic	2010	MSM	365	4.70%	9.00%	5.20%	5.30%	6.50%	2.20%
Taylor et al. [88]	2015	Arizona (US)	HIV clinic	2011-2013	MSM	1,591	I	19.60%	I	I	18.60%	
Tongtoyai et al. [91]	2015	Thailand	Medical clinic	2006-2010	MSM	1,744	1.80%	6.10%	0.50%	4.50%	9.50%	3.60%
Trebach et al. [44]	2015	Maryland (US)	STD clinic	2011-2013	MSM	769	8.90%	17.90%	11.00%	1.50%	14.30%	2.50%
Van Liere et al. [167]	2015	Netherlands	STD clinic	2011-2012	MSM	9,549	Ι	4.20%	Ι	Ι	9.80%	I
van Liere et al. [45]	2013	Netherlands	STD clinic	2010-2011	MSM	926	Ι	3.46%	Ι	Ι	7.88%	I
Bachmann et al. [52]	2010	N	STD clinic, HIV clinic	2003-2007	MSM	297	5.10%	7.90%	8.30%	2.00%	10.30%	1.70%

rectal symptoms were pruritus (36%) anal discharge (17%), burning (13%), inflammation (11%), pain (11%), and erythema around the anus (6%) [62]. Symptom-based screening may miss up to 60% of extragenital infections [30, 39, 45].

Extragenital infections may also be increasing in prevalence [59, 77, 95], as several studies have reported higher prevalence of extragenital infections among MSM in recent time periods. However, this could also reflect more thorough screening practices or improved testing methods [97, 98]. Extragenital infections among MSM are associated with concurrent partners, existing HIV infection (n = 2 studies), condomless anal sex (n = 3), and drug use during sex (n = 1) [62, 65, 69, 89, 91]. Concurrent infections with other STDs are common [99]. The overwhelming evidence indicates a high prevalence of extragenital *N. gonorrhoeae* and *C. trachomatis* infections among MSM, the asymptomatic nature of most of these infections, and the prevalence of extragenital infection without concurrent urogenital infection, all of which support the need for routine screening at extragenital sites.

3.5. Extragenital Infections in MSW. A total of nine studies evaluated the prevalence of extragenital infections due to N. gonorrhoeae or C. trachomatis in MSW [19, 26, 27, 33, 36, 38, 44, 45, 100] (Table 3). Overall, there are limited prevalence data of extragenital infections among MSW. The prevalence of extragenital infections among MSW in the studies reviewed ranged 0-5.7% for rectal gonorrhea (median 3.4%), 0.4-15.5% for pharyngeal gonorrhea (median 2.2%), 0-11.8% for rectal chlamydia (median 7.7%), and 0-22.0% for pharyngeal chlamydia (median 1.6%). These data represent studies that evaluated heterosexually identified men, some of whom may have engaged in sex with other men [44], a distinction which emphasizes the need to consistently focus on sexual behavior rather than identity. Other studies which did not evaluate for or stratify by specific risk behaviors further support the prevalence studies in individual populations [32, 100-107] (Table 3).

3.6. Diagnoses of Extragenital Infections. The gold standard for diagnosis of urogenital infection due to N. gonorrhoeae and C. trachomatis is the nucleic acid amplification test (NAAT). However, NAAT assays are not approved by the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for detecting N. gonorrhoeae and C. trachomatis from pharyngeal or rectal specimens [108]. Culture is still the only approved method for diagnosis at these sites. However, NAAT is the most sensitive test for detecting C. trachomatis and N. gonorrhoeae and is recommended for this purpose by the CDC [12]. NAAT has demonstrated higher sensitivity and specificity compared to culture for detecting extragenital infections [52, 74, 109, 110]. At the present time, laboratories must validate these tests in-house based on Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments (CLIA) regulatory requirements before performing NAAT testing on rectal and pharyngeal specimens; many large commercial laboratories have performed this validation and offer this testing option. The main disadvantages of performing NAAT testing over culture is the inability to determine antimicrobial susceptibilities and bacterial viability. Potentially lower sensitivity of NAAT for

N. gonorrhoeae in the pharynx and rectum may be linked to substantial colonization of these extragenital sites by a wide range of other organisms, including other Neisseria species, possibly leading to interference with *N. gonorrhoeae* isolation [111]. For suspected or documented treatment failure, *N. gonorrhoeae* cultures should be obtained and antimicrobial susceptibilities performed.

Extragenital specimens are collected via a swab of the rectum or pharynx, by either a clinician or a self-collected swab. Self-collected swab as a means of collecting pharyngeal and rectal specimens is supported by the CDC guidelines [12] and has been found to be an acceptable means of obtaining specimens among women [112, 113] and MSM [49, 54, 85, 114–117], which may lead to an increase in extragenital diagnoses [118] due to the noninvasive nature of the procedure. Self-collected swabs may also reduce the workload for clinic staff who obtain them and promote screening when clinicians are not available for collection.

3.7. Treatment of Extragenital Infections. Current US guidelines regarding treatment of extragenital infections due to *N.* gonorrhoeae and *C. trachomatis* are similar to those for the treatment of urogenital infections [12]. Treatment guidelines from the United Kingdom and Europe both recommend similar regimens for both urogenital and extragenital infections [17, 18, 119]. Extragenital pharyngeal and rectal gonorrhea and chlamydia infections may spontaneously clear even in the absence of treatment among MSM and high-risk women [120, 121]. If extragenital sites are a reservoir for ongoing transmission, then suboptimal treatment of extragenital infections could lead to the spread of any existing resistant organisms. Care should be taken with extragenital treatment and retesting should be performed if persistent infection or treatment failure is suspected.

The recommended treatment for urogenital chlamydia infection is azithromycin 1000 milligrams orally in a single dose or doxycycline 100 milligrams orally twice daily for seven days. Due to the ease of administration, the ability for directly observed therapy in a single dose, and the high rates of adherence, azithromycin is the usual treatment option in many clinics. Earlier reports demonstrated similar results with both regimens for treatment of urogenital infection, with high (>96%) cure rates [122]. In contrast, recent analyses have suggested a potential small advantage of doxycycline compared to azithromycin for urogenital chlamydia infection; the efficacy of doxycycline has been reported as being 100% compared to 97% for azithromycin [123, 124].

Efficacy of chlamydia treatments may differ for extragenital infections at rectal and pharyngeal sites [19]. Doxycycline may have slightly greater efficacy compared to azithromycin for both rectal [125–132] and pharyngeal [133] chlamydia infection, as single-dose azithromycin may not lead to sustained drug concentrations capable of curing extragenital infection [134]. For example, treatment failure was significantly more common with azithromycin (10% of patients) compared to doxycycline (2%) in a small study for treatment of pharyngeal chlamydia. In a meta-analysis of azithromycin and doxycycline for the treatment of rectal chlamydia, azithromycin was 83% effective compared to

Author	Study year	Location	Setting	Time period	Risk	Sample	Urogenital	Gonorrhea Rectal	a Pharvngeal	Urogenital	Chlamydia Rectal	Pharvngeal
Jones et al. [33]	1985	Indiana (US)	STD clinic	1985	MSW	706	5 I		2 	21.00%		3.70%
Ostergaard et al. [38]	1997	Denmark	STD clinic	1995-1996	MSW	169	I	Ι	I	0.60%	0.00%	0.00%
Mayer et al. [36]	2012	US (4 cities)	HIV clinic	2004-2006	(HIV+) MSM	73	1.00%	0.00%	1.00%	0.00%	0.00%	1.00%
Wada et al. [173]	2012	Japan	Urology clinic	2007-2008	MSW	42	47.60%	I	11.90%	26.20%	I	2.40%
Diaz et al. [26]	2013	Spain	STD clinic	2006 - 2010	MSW	747	92.90%	0.00%	0.90%	I	I	Ι
Dukers-Muijrers et al. [19]	2015	Netherlands	STD clinic	2010-2013	MSW	5,007	0.60%	0.40%	2.20%	11.70%	0.90%	0.20%
Garner et al. [27]	2015	United Kingdom	Sexual health clinic	2010	MSW	553	0.90%	Ι	0.40%	12.40%	I	0.70%
Trebach et al. [44]	2015	Maryland (US)	STD clinic	2011-2013	MSW	5,218	4.30%	5.70%	2.50%	2.30%	9.10%	1.60%
van Liere et al. [45]	2013	Netherlands	STD clinic	2010-2012	MSW ("swingers")	303		0.33%			1.32%	I
Ivens et al. [103]	2007	United Kingdom	Genitourinary clinic	2003-2005	Mixed	1187	1	4.70%	1		8.50%	I
Tipple et al. [107]	2010	United Kingdom	Sexual health clinic	2006-2007	Mixed	2,406		Ι			Ι	1.90%
Chan et al. [100]	2012	Rhode Island (US)	Hospital system	2011-2012	Mixed	178/21,201	0.90%	5.30%	3.40%	5.70%	11.80%	1.70%
Rodriguez-Hart et al. [106]	2012	SU	Primary care/adult film	2010	Mixed	168	2.40%	2.40%	1.20%	18.50%	11.30%	22.00%
Dimech et al. [102]	2014	Australia	Surveillance system	2008-2010	Men (mixed)	177,557		I		12.2-17.4%	5.20%	1.30%
Jenkins et al. [32]	2014	Illinois (US)	Emergency room	2012-2013	Men (mixed)	192	4.70%	I	2.10%	4.70%	I	1.00%
Oda et al. [104]	2014	Japan	Otorhinolaryngology clinic	2012	Mixed	225	Ι	Ι	2.20%	Ι	Ι	0.90%
Patterson et al. [105]	2014	SU	Military STD clinic,	2013-2014	Mixed (HIV+)	316	0.80%	4.30%	15.50%	1.00%	6.90%	6.50%
den Heijer et al. [101]	2016	Netherlands	gynecology, primary care clinic	2006-2010	Mixed	246/22,029		I	I	8.20%	10.10%	1.60%

havi	
éþ	
qf	
ixe	
hm	
+	
s wi	
q	
atio	
'n	
l populatic	
σ	
an	
Ц	
Ы	
wome	
4	
ex wit	
sex.	
/e	
hav	
0	
ł	
men	
g men	
- DI	
non	
an	
matis amo	
па	
401	
aci	
1 7	
с р	
nd	
hoeae an	
hoeae an	
hoeae an	
V. gonorrhoeae an	
hoeae an	
ie to N. gonorrhoeae and	
due to N. <i>gonorrhoeae</i> and	
due to N. <i>gonorrhoeae</i> and	
tions due to N. gonorrhoeae an	
tions due to N. gonorrhoeae an	
infections due to N. gonorrhoeae and	
tions due to N. gonorrhoeae an	
enital infections due to N. gonorrhoeae and	
agenital infections due to N. gonorrhoeae and	
enital infections due to N. gonorrhoeae and	
g extragenital infections due to N. gonorrhoeae and	
extragenital infections due to N. gonorrhoeae and	
g extragenital infections due to N. gonorrhoeae and	
rting extragenital infections due to N. gonorrhoeae and	
eporting extragenital infections due to <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> and	
eporting extragenital infections due to <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> and	
eporting extragenital infections due to <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> and	
eporting extragenital infections due to <i>N. gonorrhoeae</i> and	
if studies reporting extragenital infections due to N. <i>gonorrhoeae</i> and	
stics of studies reporting extragenital infections due to N. gonorrhoeae and	
tics of studies reporting extragenital infections due to N. <i>gonorrhoeae</i> and	
ristics of studies reporting extragenital infections due to N. gonorrhoeae and	
haracteristics of studies reporting extragenital infections due to N. <i>gonorrhoeae</i> and	
ristics of studies reporting extragenital infections due to N. gonorrhoeae and	
3: Characteristics of studies reporting extragenital infections due to N. gonorrhoeae and	
haracteristics of studies reporting extragenital infections due to N. <i>gonorrhoeae</i> and	

>99% efficacy of doxycycline [125]. Treatment guidelines in Europe [16] and Australia [135] recommend doxycycline as the treatment of choice for rectal infections. However, care should be taken when interpreting these smaller studies, and the potential small benefits must be weighed against the ease of administration, ability for directly observed therapy, and adherence for the single dose azithromycin therapy option. No randomized controlled trials have evaluated treatment regimens for extragenital chlamydia infection and further studies are needed to determine optimal management of these infections [136].

The current treatment recommendations for urogenital N. gonorrhoeae infections involve a dual regimen of ceftriaxone 250 milligrams intramuscularly as a single dose in addition to azithromycin 1000 milligrams orally in a single dose [12]. Uncomplicated rectal infections with N. gonorrhoeae should be treated in the same manner. Given that both ceftriaxone and azithromycin are administered as a single dose, these drugs should be administered together and under direct observation. These recommendations are based on a number of treatment failures with ceftriaxone alone and an increasing minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) to oral cephalosporins which has been observed mostly outside of the US [137-148]. The dual therapy also has the advantage of treating C. trachomatis infection, which frequently accompanies N. gonorrhoeae infection. Doxycycline can be considered in place of azithromycin, but azithromycin is strongly preferred given increased resistance to doxycycline [144]. This regimen has a high (>98%) treatment efficacy for rectal infections [149, 150]. Pharyngeal infections with N. gonorrhoeae are more difficult to treat and have demonstrated ceftriaxone resistance and treatment failure in a number of countries outside the US [138-141, 143, 145, 146, 151, 152]. In both pharyngeal and rectal gonorrhea, persistence of the organism after treatment may be due to reinfection but can also reflect an elevated MIC to antibiotic regimens [153]. At this time, guidelines still recommend treating pharyngeal infection by N. gonorrhoeae with ceftriaxone and azithromycin [12]. The addition of azithromycin may improve treatment efficacy for pharyngeal infections [154, 155].

In general, test of cure is not recommended except in cases where there are persistent symptoms, therapy was not completed, or reinfection is suspected. Retesting for both urogenital and extragenital infections less than three weeks after treatment is not recommended and can result in false positive results due to the highly sensitive nature of NAAT and the possibility of detection of nonviable organisms [156, 157]. Furthermore, due to NAATs not being FDA-cleared at this time for the purpose of testing for cure, culture is the only retesting method that can be used to properly assess the efficacy of antibiotic treatments. The significance of positive NAAT at extragenital sites during this time is unclear and should be interpreted after a detailed clinical interview including presence or absence of symptoms, potential risk for reinfection, and adherence to treatment [158]. Men and women who are positive for N. gonorrhoeae and C. trachomatis should generally be tested for reinfection three to six months after treatment [12, 16].

4. Conclusions

Several key questions exist regarding screening for and management of extragenital infections. Urogenital screening for N. gonorrhoeae and C. trachomatis infection is generally performed to reduce complications in women and to decrease the risk of HIV infection in MSM [159-162]. However, there is a lack of data on clinical outcomes associated with rectal and pharyngeal infections, including impact on overall morbidity. Two major questions are whether routine screening and treatment for extragenital gonorrhea and chlamydia infections in women prevent sequelae observed in urogenital infection (such as PID, ectopic pregnancy, and infertility), and whether routine screening and treatment reduces the risk of HIV transmission in MSM. With regard to management, optimal treatment regimens for rectal and pharyngeal extragenital infections is unknown. Asymptomatic extragenital infections may be a reservoir of ongoing transmission and antibiotic resistant strains from these reservoir sites may go undetected and promote the spread of resistance.

The contribution of extragenital infections to overall transmission of gonorrhea and chlamydia, including the transmission potential between different anatomic sites, is also unclear. In women, evidence suggests that rectal infections can be spread to urogenital sites [163]. It is also likely that pharyngeal infections can be spread to the male urethra [13, 14, 164] and rectum [165]. Contributing to potential transmission risk may be bacterial load at different anatomic sites [166, 167]. These data suggest that the prevalence and associated morbidity of extragenital infections caused by N. gonorrhoeae and C. trachomatis, especially among women, may be reduced by thorough extragenital screening and early treatment of extragenital infections, although this is unproven. Screening and treatment for rectal infections, especially among populations at high risk of HIV (e.g., MSM), may be a cost-effective intervention to prevent HIV [168]. Optimal screening strategies for extragenital infections are largely unknown. Further studies are needed in settings other than reproductive health and STD clinics, especially in primary care clinics and resource-limited settings.

Extragenital infections due to N. gonorrhoeae and C. trachomatis are common, especially in settings which provide services to higher-risk men and women. In general, MSM demonstrate a higher prevalence of extragenital infection compared to women and MSW [22, 25-27, 44, 47-49]. Despite the accumulating data on the prevalence of these infections, screening at extragenital sites remains uncommon [101, 102, 169]. STD and other sexual health clinics should consider implementing routine, universal extragenital screening for N. gonorrhoeae and C. trachomatis infection among high-risk men and women. Importantly, guidelines suggest screening based on reported risk behaviors; however, this may miss a significant amount of extragenital infection [19, 22, 45, 47, 49, 52, 59, 62, 68, 77–79, 90, 103, 107, 120, 133, 170, 171]. In addition to targeting those with symptoms and those reporting condomless anal or oral sex, screening should also include those without symptoms and those who do not report condomless sex at a specific extragenital site, as the

nature of the infections are often asymptomatic, and high-risk behaviors are not consistently reported by patients.

Competing Interests

The authors report no conflict of interests.

Acknowledgments

Philip A. Chan is supported by Grant K23AI096923 from the National Institutes of Health. Additional support was provided by the Lifespan/Tufts/Brown Center for AIDS Research, funded by the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (P30AI042853).

References

- Office of Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, Sexually transmitted diseases [Internet]. Healthy People 2020. 2014, https://www.healthypeople.gov/2020/topics-objectives/topic/ sexually-transmitted-diseases.
- [2] Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, *Sexually Transmitted Disease Surveillance 2014*, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Atlanta, Ga, USA, 2015.
- [3] D. Meyers, T. Wolff, K. Gregory et al., "USPSTF recommendations for STI screening," *American Family Physician*, vol. 77, no. 6, pp. 819–824, 2008.
- [4] K. K. Fox, C. del Rio, K. K. Holmes et al., "Gonorrhea in the HIV era: a reversal in trends among men who have sex with men," *American Journal of Public Health*, vol. 91, no. 6, pp. 959–964, 2001.
- [5] C. A. Rietmeijer, J. L. Patnaik, F. N. Judson, and J. M. Douglas Jr., "Increases in gonorrhea and sexual risk behaviors among men who have sex with men: a 12-year trend analysis at the Denver Metro Health Clinic," *Sexually Transmitted Diseases*, vol. 30, no. 7, pp. 562–567, 2003.
- [6] R. Detels, A. M. Green, J. D. Klausner et al., "The incidence and correlates of symptomatic and asymptomatic *Chlamydia trachomatis* and *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* infections in selected populations in five countries," *Sexually Transmitted Diseases*, vol. 38, no. 6, pp. 503–509, 2011.
- [7] J. A. Cecil, M. R. Howell, J. J. Tawes et al., "Features of *Chlamydia trachomatis* and *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* infection in male army recruits," *The Journal of Infectious Diseases*, vol. 184, no. 9, pp. 1216–1219, 2001.
- [8] C. Mitchell and M. Prabhu, "Pelvic inflammatory disease: current concepts in pathogenesis, diagnosis and treatment," *Infectious Disease Clinics of North America*, vol. 27, no. 4, pp. 793–809, 2013.
- [9] F. D. H. Koedijk, J. E. A. M. van Bergen, N. H. T. M. Dukers-Muijrers, A. P. van Leeuwen, C. J. P. A. Hoebe, and M. A. B. van der Sande, "The value of testing multiple anatomic sites for gonorrhoea and chlamydia in sexually transmitted infection centres in the Netherlands, 2006–2010," *International Journal of STD and AIDS*, vol. 23, no. 9, pp. 626–631, 2012.
- [10] M. S. Cohen, "Sexually transmitted diseases enhance HIV transmission: no longer a hypothesis," *The Lancet*, vol. 351, pp. S5–S7, 1998.
- [11] J. D. Carter and R. D. Inman, "Chlamydia-induced reactive arthritis: hidden in plain sight?" *Best Practice and Research: Clinical Rheumatology*, vol. 25, no. 3, pp. 359–374, 2011.

- [12] K. A. Workowski and G. A. Bolan, "Sexually transmitted diseases treatment guidelines, 2015," *MMWR Recommendations* and Reports, vol. 64, no. 3, pp. 1–138, 2015.
- [13] J. L. Marcus, R. P. Kohn, P. M. Barry, S. S. Philip, and K. T. Bernstein, "Chlamydia trachomatis and Neisseria gonorrhoeae transmission from the female oropharynx to the male urethra," Sexually Transmitted Diseases, vol. 38, no. 5, pp. 372–373, 2011.
- [14] K. T. Bernstein, S. C. Stephens, P. M. Barry et al., "Chlamydia trachomatis and Neisseria gonorrhoeae transmission from the oropharynx to the Urethra among men who have sex with men," Clinical Infectious Diseases, vol. 49, no. 12, pp. 1793–1797, 2009.
- [15] American Congress of Obstetricians and Gynecologists, "Gonorrhea, chlamydia, and syphilis," Tech. Rep. FAQ071, American Congress of Obstetricians and Gynecologists, Washington, DC, USA, 2016.
- [16] E. Lanjouw, J. M. Ossewaarde, A. Stary, F. Boag, and W. I. van der Meijden, "2010 European guideline for the management of *Chlamydia trachomatis* infections," *International Journal of STD and AIDS*, vol. 21, no. 11, pp. 729–737, 2010.
- [17] C. Bignell, M. Unemo, and European STI Guidelines Editorial Board, "2012 European guideline on the diagnosis and treatment of gonorrhoea in adults," *International Journal of STD & AIDS*, vol. 24, no. 2, pp. 85–92, 2013.
- [18] British Association for Sexual Health and HIV, Sexually Transmitted Infections: UK National Screening and Testing Guidelines, 2006.
- [19] N. H. T. M. Dukers-Muijrers, J. Schachter, G. A. F. S. van Liere, P. F. G. Wolffs, and C. J. P. A. Hoebe, "What is needed to guide testing for anorectal and pharyngeal *Chlamydia trachomatis* and *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* in women and men? Evidence and opinion," *BMC Infectious Diseases*, vol. 15, no. 1, article 533, 2015.
- [20] Australian Sexual Health Alliance, Australian STI Management Guidelines for Use in Primary Care, Australian Government Department of Health, Darlinghurst, Australia, 2016.
- [21] D. A. Lewis and E. Marumo, "Revision of the national guideline for first-line comprehensive management and control of sexually transmitted infections: what's new and why?" *Southern African Journal of Infectious Diseases*, vol. 24, no. 2, 2009, http://sajei.co.za/index.php/SAJEI/article/view/161.
- [22] F. D. H. Koedijk, J. E. A. M. van Bergen, N. H. T. M. Dukers-Muijrers, A. P. van Leeuwen, C. J. P. A. Hoebe, and M. A. B. van der Sande, "The value of testing multiple anatomic sites for gonorrhoea and chlamydia in sexually transmitted infection centres in the Netherlands, 2006–2010," *International Journal of STD & AIDS*, vol. 23, no. 9, pp. 626–631, 2012.
- [23] J. A. Bazan, P. Carr Reese, A. Esber et al., "High prevalence of rectal gonorrhea and chlamydia infection in women attending a sexually transmitted disease clinic," *Journal of Women's Health*, vol. 24, no. 3, pp. 182–189, 2015.
- [24] P. M. Barry, C. K. Kent, S. S. Philip, and J. D. Klausner, "Results of a program to test women for rectal chlamydia and gonorrhea," *Obstetrics and Gynecology*, vol. 115, no. 4, pp. 753–759, 2010.
- [25] C. S. Danby, L. A. Cosentino, L. K. Rabe et al., "Patterns of extragenital chlamydia and gonorrhea in women and men who have sex with men reporting a history of receptive anal intercourse," *Sexually Transmitted Diseases*, vol. 43, no. 2, pp. 105–109, 2016.
- [26] A. Diaz, C. Garriga, J. A. Varela et al., "Gonorrhoea diagnoses in a network of STI clinics in Spain during the period 2006-2010: differences by sex and transmission route," *BMC Public Health*, vol. 13, article 1093, 2013.

- [27] A. L. Garner, G. Schembri, T. Cullen, and V. Lee, "Should we screen heterosexuals for extra-genital chlamydial and gonococcal infections?" *International Journal of STD and AIDS*, vol. 26, no. 7, pp. 462–466, 2015.
- [28] C. M. Giannini, H. K. Kim, J. Mortensen, J. Mortensen, K. Marsolo, and J. Huppert, "Culture of non-genital sites increases the detection of gonorrhea in women," *Journal of Pediatric and Adolescent Gynecology*, vol. 23, no. 4, pp. 246–252, 2010.
- [29] J. Gratrix, A. E. Singh, J. Bergman et al., "Evidence for increased chlamydia case finding after the introduction of rectal screening among women attending 2 Canadian sexually transmitted infection clinics," *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, vol. 60, no. 3, pp. 398–404, 2015.
- [30] T. Hunte, M. Alcaide, and J. Castro, "Rectal infections with chlamydia and gonorrhoea in women attending a multiethnic sexually transmitted diseases urban clinic," *International Journal of STD and AIDS*, vol. 21, no. 12, pp. 819–822, 2010.
- [31] M. Javanbakht, P. Gorbach, A. Stirland, M. Chien, P. Kerndt, and S. Guerry, "Prevalence and correlates of rectal chlamydia and gonorrhea among female clients at sexually transmitted disease clinics," *Sexually Transmitted Diseases*, vol. 39, no. 12, pp. 917– 922, 2012.
- [32] W. D. Jenkins, L. L. Nessa, and T. Clark, "Cross-sectional study of pharyngeal and genital chlamydia and gonorrhoea infections in emergency department patients," *Sexually Transmitted Infections*, vol. 90, no. 3, pp. 246–249, 2014.
- [33] R. B. Jones, R. A. Rabinovitch, B. P. Katz et al., "Chlamydia trachomatis in the pharynx and rectum of heterosexual patients at risk for genital infection," *Annals of Internal Medicine*, vol. 102, no. 6, pp. 757–762, 1985.
- [34] J. Ladd, Y.-H. Hsieh, M. Barnes, N. Quinn, M. Jett-Goheen, and C. A. Gaydos, "Female users of internet-based screening for rectal STIs: descriptive statistics and correlates of positivity," *Sexually Transmitted Infections*, vol. 90, no. 6, pp. 485–490, 2014.
- [35] Y. Linhart, T. Shohat, Z. Amitai et al., "Sexually transmitted infections among brothel-based sex workers in Tel-Aviv area, Israel: high prevalence of pharyngeal gonorrhoea," *International Journal of STD & AIDS*, vol. 19, no. 10, pp. 656–659, 2008.
- [36] K. H. Mayer, T. Bush, K. Henry et al., "Ongoing sexually transmitted disease acquisition and risk-taking behavior among US HIV-infected patients in primary care: implications for prevention interventions," *Sexually Transmitted Diseases*, vol. 39, no. 1, pp. 1–7, 2012.
- [37] K. Musil, M. Currie, M. Sherley, and S. Martin, "Rectal chlamydia infection in women at high risk of chlamydia attending Canberra Sexual Health Centre," *International Journal of STD* & AIDS, vol. 27, no. 7, pp. 526–530, 2015.
- [38] L. Ostergaard, T. Agner, E. Krarup, U. B. Johansen, K. Weismann, and E. Gutschik, "PCR for detection of *Chlamydia trachomatis* in endocervical, urethral, rectal, and pharyngeal swab samples obtained from patients attending an STD clinic," *Genitourinary Medicine*, vol. 73, no. 6, pp. 493–497, 1997.
- [39] R. P. H. Peters, N. Nijsten, J. Mutsaers, C. L. Jansen, S. A. Morré, and A. P. van Leeuwen, "Screening of oropharynx and anorectum increases prevalence of *Chlamydia trachomatis* and *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* infection in female STD clinic visitors," *Sexually Transmitted Diseases*, vol. 38, no. 9, pp. 783–787, 2011.
- [40] R. P. H. Peters, J. H. Dubbink, L. van der Eem et al., "Crosssectional study of genital, rectal, and pharyngeal chlamydia and gonorrhea in women in rural South Africa," *Sexually Transmitted Diseases*, vol. 41, no. 9, pp. 564–569, 2014.

- [41] M. Raychaudhuri and H. D. L. Birley, "Audit of routine rectal swabs for gonorrhoea culture in women," *International Journal* of STD and AIDS, vol. 21, no. 2, pp. 143–144, 2010.
- [42] M. Sethupathi, A. Blackwell, and H. Davies, "Rectal Chlamydia trachomatis infection in women. Is it overlooked?" *International Journal of STD and AIDS*, vol. 21, no. 2, pp. 93–95, 2010.
- [43] S. G. Shaw, M. Hassan-Ibrahim, and S. Soni, "Are we missing pharyngeal and rectal infections in women by not testing those who report oral and anal sex?" *Sexually Transmitted Infections*, vol. 89, no. 5, p. 397, 2013.
- [44] J. D. Trebach, C. P. Chaulk, K. R. Page, S. Tuddenham, and K. G. Ghanem, "Neisseria gonorrhoeae and Chlamydia trachomatis among women reporting extragenital exposures," Sexually Transmitted Diseases, vol. 42, no. 5, pp. 233–239, 2015.
- [45] G. A. F. S. van Liere, C. J. P. A. Hoebe, A.-M. Niekamp, F. D. H. Koedijk, and N. H. T. M. Dukers-Muijrers, "Standard symptomand sexual history-based testing misses anorectal *Chlamydia trachomatis* and *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* infections in swingers and men who have sex with men," *Sexually Transmitted Diseases*, vol. 40, no. 4, pp. 285–289, 2013.
- [46] G. A. F. S. van Liere, C. J. P. A. Hoebe, P. F. G. Wolffs, and N. H. T. M. Dukers-Muijrers, "High co-occurrence of anorectal chlamydia with urogenital chlamydia in women visiting an STI clinic revealed by routine universal testing in an observational study: a recommendation towards a better anorectal chlamydia control in women," *BMC Infectious Diseases*, vol. 14, no. 1, article 274, 2014.
- [47] G. A. F. S. van Liere, C. J. P. A. Hoebe, and N. H. T. M. Dukers-Muijrers, "Evaluation of the anatomical site distribution of chlamydia and gonorrhoea in men who have sex with men and in high-risk women by routine testing: cross-sectional study revealing missed opportunities for treatment strategies," *Sexually Transmitted Infections*, vol. 90, no. 1, pp. 58–60, 2014.
- [48] G. A. van Liere, M. S. van Rooijen, C. J. Hoebe et al., "Prevalence of and Factors Associated with Rectal-Only Chlamydia and Gonorrhoea in Women and in Men Who Have Sex with Men," *PLOS ONE*, vol. 10, no. 10, p. e0140297, 2015.
- [49] J. J. van der Helm, C. J. P. A. Hoebe, M. S. van Rooijen et al., "High performance and acceptability of self-collected rectal swabs for diagnosis of *Chlamydia trachomatis* and *Neisseria* gonorrhoeae in men who have sex with men and women," *Sexually Transmitted Diseases*, vol. 36, no. 8, pp. 493–497, 2009.
- [50] A. Ding and R. Challenor, "Rectal chlamydia in heterosexual women: more questions than answers," *International Journal of STD & AIDS*, vol. 25, no. 8, pp. 587–592, 2014.
- [51] L. A. Cosentino, T. Campbell, A. Jett et al., "Use of nucleic acid amplification testing for diagnosis of anorectal sexually transmitted infections," *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*, vol. 50, no. 6, pp. 2005–2008, 2012.
- [52] L. H. Bachmann, R. E. Johnson, H. Cheng et al., "Nucleic acid amplification tests for diagnosis of *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* and *Chlamydia trachomatis* rectal infections," *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*, vol. 48, no. 5, pp. 1827–1832, 2010.
- [53] P. M. Barry, C. K. Kent, S. S. Philip, and J. D. Klausner, "Results of a program to test women for rectal chlamydia and gonorrhea," *Obstetrics & Gynecology*, vol. 115, no. 4, pp. 753–759, 2010.
- [54] S. Alexander, C. Ison, J. Parry et al., "Self-taken pharyngeal and rectal swabs are appropriate for the detection of *Chlamydia trachomatis* and *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* in asymptomatic men who have sex with men," *Sexually Transmitted Infections*, vol. 84, no. 6, pp. 488–492, 2008.

- [55] N. T. Annan, A. K. Sullivan, A. Nori et al., "Rectal chlamydia a reservoir of undiagnosed infection in men who have sex with men," *Sexually Transmitted Infections*, vol. 85, no. 3, pp. 176–179, 2009.
- [56] J. Baker, M. Plankey, Y. Josayma et al., "The prevalence of rectal, urethral, and pharyngeal *Neisseria gonorrheae* and *Chlamydia trachomatis* among asymptomatic men who have sex with men in a prospective Cohort in Washington, D.C.," *AIDS Patient Care and STDs*, vol. 23, no. 8, pp. 585–588, 2009.
- [57] L. A. Barbee, J. C. Dombrowski, R. Kerani, and M. R. Golden, "Effect of nucleic acid amplification testing on detection of extragenital gonorrhea and chlamydial infections in men who have sex with men sexually transmitted disease clinic patients," *Sexually Transmitted Diseases*, vol. 41, no. 3, pp. 168–172, 2014.
- [58] P. D. Benn, G. Rooney, C. Carder et al., "Chlamydia trachomatis and Neisseria gonorrhoeae infection and the sexual behaviour of men who have sex with men," Sexually Transmitted Infections, vol. 83, no. 2, pp. 106–112, 2007.
- [59] E. P. F. Chow, J. Tomnay, G. Fehler et al., "Substantial increases in chlamydia and gonorrhea positivity unexplained by changes in individual-level sexual behaviors among men who have sex with men in an Australian sexual health service from 2007 to 2013," *Sexually Transmitted Diseases*, vol. 42, no. 2, pp. 81–87, 2015.
- [60] M. J. Currie, S. J. Martin, T. M. Soo, and F. J. Bowden, "Screening for chlamydia and gonorrhoea in men who have sex with men in clinical and non-clinical settings," *Sexual Health*, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 123–126, 2006.
- [61] T. Dang, K. Jaton-Ogay, M. Flepp et al., "High prevalence of anorectal chlamydial infection in HIV-infected men who have sex with men in Switzerland," *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, vol. 49, no. 10, pp. 1532–1535, 2009.
- [62] S. Dudareva-Vizule, K. Haar, A. Sailer et al., "Prevalence of pharyngeal and rectal *Chlamydia trachomatis* and *Neisseria* gonorrhoeae infections among men who have sex with men in Germany," *Sexually Transmitted Infections*, vol. 90, no. 1, pp. 46– 51, 2014.
- [63] J. Gratrix, A. E. Singh, J. Bergman et al., "Prevalence and characteristics of rectal chlamydia and gonorrhea cases among men who have sex with men after the introduction of nucleic acid amplification test screening at 2 Canadian sexually transmitted infection clinics," *Sexually Transmitted Diseases*, vol. 41, no. 10, pp. 589–591, 2014.
- [64] R. A. Gunn, C. J. O'Brien, M. A. Lee, and R. A. Gilchick, "Gonorrhea screening among men who have sex with men: value of multiple anatomic site testing, San Diego, California, 1997–2003," *Sexually Transmitted Diseases*, vol. 35, no. 10, pp. 845–848, 2008.
- [65] J. Hocking and C. K. Fairley, "Associations between condom use and rectal or urethral chlamydia infection in men," *Sexually Transmitted Diseases*, vol. 33, no. 4, pp. 256–258, 2006.
- [66] E. Jiménez, M. G. Pedrazuela, M. M. Pérez, S. F. de Mosteyrín, J. J. Arrieta, and M. L. F. Guerrero, "Prevalence of pharyngeal infection by *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* among human immunodeficiency virus-positive men who have sex with men in downtown Madrid, 2011," *International Journal of STD & AIDS*, vol. 24, no. 11, pp. 875–878, 2013.
- [67] S. Keaveney, C. Sadlier, S. O'Dea, S. Delamere, and C. Bergin, "High prevalence of asymptomatic sexually transmitted infections in HIV-infected men who have sex with men: a stimulus to improve screening," *International Journal of STD and AIDS*, vol. 25, no. 10, pp. 758–761, 2014.

- [68] C. K. Kent, J. K. Chaw, W. Wong et al., "Prevalence of rectal, urethral, and pharyngeal chlamydia and gonorrhea detected in 2 clinical settings among men who have sex with men: San Francisco, California, 2003," *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, vol. 41, no. 1, pp. 67–74, 2005.
- [69] A. A. Kim, C. K. Kent, and J. D. Klausner, "Risk factors for rectal gonococcal infection amidst resurgence in HIV transmission," *Sexually Transmitted Diseases*, vol. 30, no. 11, pp. 813–817, 2003.
- [70] J. D. Klausner, K. T. Bernstein, M. Pandori et al., "Clinicbased testing for rectal and pharyngeal *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* and *Chlamydia trachomatis* infections by community-based organizations—five cities, United States, 2007," *Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report*, vol. 58, pp. 716–719, 2009.
- [71] N. A. Lister, N. J. Chaves, C. W. Pang, A. Smith, and C. K. Fairley, "Clinical significance of questionnaire-elicited or clinically reported anorectal symptoms for rectal *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* and *Chlamydia trachomatis* amongst men who have sex with men," *Sexual Health*, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 77–82, 2008.
- [72] K. Manavi, A. McMillan, and H. Young, "The prevalence of rectal chlamydial infection amongst men who have sex with men attending the genitourinary medicine clinic in Edinburgh," *International Journal of STD and AIDS*, vol. 15, no. 3, pp. 162– 164, 2004.
- [73] A. McMillan, R. G. Sommerville, and P. M. K. McKie, "Chlamydial infection in homosexual men: frequency of isolation of *Chlamydia trachomatis* from the urethra, ano-rectum, and pharynx," *British Journal of Venereal Diseases*, vol. 57, no. 1, pp. 47–49, 1981.
- [74] M. J. Mimiaga, K. H. Mayer, S. L. Reisner et al., "Asymptomatic gonorrhea and chlamydial infections detected by nucleic acid amplification tests among Boston area men who have sex with men," *Sexually Transmitted Diseases*, vol. 35, no. 5, pp. 495–498, 2008.
- [75] M. J. Mimiaga, D. J. Helms, S. L. Reisner et al., "Gonococcal, chlamydia, and syphilis infection positivity among MSM attending a large primary care clinic, Boston, 2003 to 2004," *Sexually Transmitted Diseases*, vol. 36, no. 8, pp. 507–511, 2009.
- [76] S. R. Morris, J. D. Klausner, S. P. Buchbinder et al., "Prevalence and incidence of pharyngeal gonorrhea in a longitudinal sample of men who have sex with men: the EXPLORE study," *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, vol. 43, no. 10, pp. 1284–1289, 2006.
- [77] K. V. Ota, D. N. Fisman, I. E. Tamari et al., "Incidence and treatment outcomes of pharyngeal *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* and *Chlamydia trachomatis* infections in men who have sex with men: a 13-year retrospective cohort study," *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, vol. 48, no. 9, pp. 1237–1243, 2009.
- [78] J. Park, J. L. Marcus, M. Pandori, A. Snell, S. S. Philip, and K. T. Bernstein, "Sentinel surveillance for pharyngeal chlamydia and gonorrhea among men who have sex with men—San Francisco, 2010," *Sexually Transmitted Diseases*, vol. 39, no. 6, pp. 482–484, 2012.
- [79] M. E. Patton, S. Kidd, E. Llata et al., "Extragenital gonorrhea and chlamydia testing and infection among men who have sex with men—STD Surveillance Network, United States, 2010–2012," *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, vol. 85, no. 11, pp. 1564–1570, 2014.
- [80] R. P. H. Peters, S. P. Verweij, N. Nijsten et al., "Evaluation of sexual history-based screening of anatomic sites for chlamydia trachomatis and neisseria gonorrhoeae infection in men having sex with men in routine practice," *BMC Infectious Diseases*, vol. 11, article 203, 2011.
- [81] L. Pinsky, D. B. Chiarilli, J. D. Klausner et al., "Rates of asymptomatic nonurethral gonorrhea and chlamydia in a population

of university men who have sex with men," *Journal of American College Health*, vol. 60, no. 6, pp. 481–484, 2012.

- [82] G. Rieg, R. J. Lewis, L. G. Miller, M. D. Witt, M. Guerrero, and E. S. Daar, "Asymptomatic sexually transmitted infections in HIVinfected men who have sex with men: prevalence, incidence, predictors, and screening strategies," *AIDS Patient Care and STDs*, vol. 22, no. 12, pp. 947–954, 2008.
- [83] A. M. Rompalo, C. B. Price, P. L. Roberts, and W. E. Stamm, "Potential value of rectal-screening cultures for *Chlamydia trachomatis* in homosexual men," *Journal of Infectious Diseases*, vol. 153, no. 5, pp. 888–892, 1986.
- [84] E. J. Sanders, E. Wahome, H. S. Okuku et al., "Evaluation of WHO screening algorithm for the presumptive treatment of asymptomatic rectal gonorrhoea and chlamydia infections in at-risk MSM in Kenya," *Sexually Transmitted Infections*, vol. 90, no. 2, pp. 94–99, 2014.
- [85] M. E. Sexton, J. J. Baker, K. Nakagawa et al., "How reliable is selftesting for gonorrhea and chlamydia among men who have sex with men?" *Journal of Family Practice*, vol. 62, no. 2, pp. 70–78, 2013.
- [86] S. Soni and J. A. White, "Self-screening for Neisseria gonorrhoeae and chlamydia trachomatis in the human immunodeficiency virus clinic-high yields and high acceptability," Sexually Transmitted Diseases, vol. 38, no. 12, pp. 1107–1109, 2011.
- [87] S. R. Tabet, M. R. Krone, M. A. Paradise, L. Corey, W. E. Stamm, and C. L. Celum, "Incidence of HIV and sexually transmitted diseases (STD) in a cohort of HIV-negative men who have sex with men (MSM)," *AIDS*, vol. 12, no. 15, pp. 2041–2048, 1998.
- [88] M. M. Taylor, D. R. Newman, J. Gonzalez, J. Skinner, R. Khurana, and T. Mickey, "HIV status and viral loads among men testing positive for rectal gonorrhoea and chlamydia, Maricopa County, Arizona, USA, 2011–2013," *HIV Medicine*, vol. 16, no. 4, pp. 249–254, 2015.
- [89] D. J. Templeton, F. Jin, J. Imrie et al., "Prevalence, incidence and risk factors for pharyngeal chlamydia in the community based Health in Men (HIM) cohort of homosexual men in Sydney, Australia," *Sexually Transmitted Infections*, vol. 84, no. 5, pp. 361–363, 2008.
- [90] D. J. Templeton, F. Jin, L. P. McNally et al., "Prevalence, incidence and risk factors for pharyngeal gonorrhoea in a community-based HIV-negative cohort of homosexual men in Sydney, Australia," *Sexually Transmitted Infections*, vol. 86, no. 2, pp. 90–96, 2010.
- [91] J. Tongtoyai, C. S. Todd, W. Chonwattana et al., "Prevalence and correlates of *Chlamydia trachomatis* and *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* by anatomic site among urban Thai men who have sex with men," *Sexually Transmitted Diseases*, vol. 42, no. 8, pp. 440–449, 2015.
- [92] A. N. Turner, P. C. Reese, M. Ervin, J. A. Davis, K. S. Fields, and J. A. Bazan, "HIV, rectal chlamydia, and rectal gonorrhea in men who have sex with men attending a sexually transmitted disease clinic in a midwestern US city," *Sexually Transmitted Diseases*, vol. 40, no. 6, pp. 433–438, 2013.
- [93] L. A. Vodstrcil, C. K. Fairley, G. Fehler et al., "Trends in chlamydia and gonorrhea positivity among heterosexual men and men who have sex with men attending a large urban sexual health service in Australia," *BMC Infectious Diseases*, vol. 11, p. 158, 2011.
- [94] N. A. Lister, A. Smith, T. Read, and C. K. Fairley, "Testing men who have sex with men for *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* and *Chlamydia trachomatis* prior to the introduction of guidelines

at an STD clinic in Melbourne," *Sexual Health*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 47–50, 2004.

- [95] W. M. Geisler, W. L. H. Whittington, R. J. Suchland, and W. E. Stamm, "Epidemiology of anorectal chlamydial and gonococcal infections among men having sex with men in Seattle: utilizing serovar and auxotype strain typing," *Sexually Transmitted Diseases*, vol. 29, pp. 189–195, 2002.
- [96] A. McMillan and H. Young, "Clinical correlates of rectal gonococcal and chlamydial infections," *International Journal of STD & AIDS*, vol. 17, no. 6, pp. 387–390, 2006.
- [97] J. L. Marcus, K. T. Bernstein, S. C. Stephens et al., "Sentinel surveillance of rectal chlamydia and gonorrhea among males— San Francisco, 2005–2008," *Sexually Transmitted Diseases*, vol. 37, no. 1, pp. 59–61, 2010.
- [98] N. Ryder, C. Bourne, and B. Donovan, "Different trends for different sexually transmissible infections despite increased testing of men who have sex with men," *International Journal* of STD and AIDS, vol. 22, no. 6, pp. 335–337, 2011.
- [99] A. McMillan, K. Manavi, and H. Young, "Concurrent gonococcal and chlamydial infections among men attending a sexually transmitted diseases clinic," *International Journal of STD and AIDS*, vol. 16, no. 5, pp. 357–361, 2005.
- [100] P. A. Chan, M. Janvier, N. E. Alexander, E. M. Kojic, and K. Chapin, "Recommendations for the diagnosis of *Neisseria gon*orrhoeae and *Chlamydia trachomatis*, including extra-genital sites," *Medicine and Health, Rhode Island*, vol. 95, no. 8, pp. 252– 254, 2012.
- [101] C. D. den Heijer, G. A. van Liere, C. J. Hoebe et al., "Who tests whom? A comprehensive overview of Chlamydia trachomatis test practices in a Dutch region among different STI care providers for urogenital, anorectal and oropharyngeal sites in young people: a cross-sectional study," *Sexually Transmitted Infections*, vol. 92, no. 3, pp. 211–217, 2016.
- [102] W. Dimech, M. S. C. Lim, C. Van Gemert et al., "Analysis of laboratory testing results collected in an enhanced chlamydia surveillance system in Australia, 2008–2010," *BMC Infectious Diseases*, vol. 14, article 325, 2014.
- [103] D. Ivens, K. MacDonald, L. Bansi, and A. Nori, "Screening for rectal chlamydia infection in a genitourinary medicine clinic," *International Journal of STD and AIDS*, vol. 18, no. 6, pp. 404– 406, 2007.
- [104] K. Oda, H. Yano, N. Okitsu et al., "Detection of *Chlamydia trachomatis* or *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* in otorhinolaryngology patients with pharyngeal symptoms," *Sexually Transmitted Infections*, vol. 90, article 99, 2014.
- [105] S. B. Patterson, D. Rivera, T. S. Sunil, and J. F. Okulicz, "Evaluation of extragenital screening for gonorrhea and chlamydia in HIV-infected active duty Air Force members," *MSMR*, vol. 21, no. 11, pp. 7–9, 2014.
- [106] C. Rodriguez-Hart, R. A. Chitale, R. Rigg, B. Y. Goldstein, P. R. Kerndt, and P. Tavrow, "Sexually transmitted infection testing of adult film performers: is disease being missed?" *Sexually transmitted diseases*, vol. 39, no. 12, pp. 989–994, 2012.
- [107] C. Tipple, S. C. Hill, and A. Smith, "Is screening for pharyngeal *Chlamydia trachomatis* warranted in high-risk groups?" *International Journal of STD and AIDS*, vol. 21, no. 11, pp. 770–771, 2010.
- [108] R. K. Bolan and M. R. Beymer, "One size does not fit all: the public health ramifications of proposed food and drug administration premarket review for extragenital gonorrhea and chlamydia testing," *Sexually Transmitted Diseases*, vol. 42, no. 7, pp. 403–404, 2015.

- [109] J. Schachter, J. Moncada, S. Liska, C. Shayevich, and J. D. Klausner, "Nucleic acid amplification tests in the diagnosis of chlamydial and gonococcal infections of the oropharynx and rectum in men who have sex with men," *Sexually Transmitted Diseases*, vol. 35, no. 7, pp. 637–642, 2008.
- [110] L. H. Bachmann, R. E. Johnson, H. Cheng, L. E. Markowitz, J. R. Papp, and I. W. H. Edward, "Nucleic acid amplification tests for diagnosis of *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* oropharyngeal infections," *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*, vol. 47, no. 4, pp. 902–907, 2009.
- [111] D. M. Whiley, J. W. Tapsall, and T. P. Sloots, "Nucleic acid amplification testing for *Neisseria gonorrhoeae*: an ongoing challenge," *Journal of Molecular Diagnostics*, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 3– 15, 2006.
- [112] V. Schick, B. Van Der Pol, B. Dodge, A. Baldwin, and J. Dennis Fortenberry, "A mixed methods approach to assess the likelihood of testing for STI using self-collected samples among behaviourally bisexual women," *Sexually Transmitted Infections*, vol. 91, no. 5, pp. 329–333, 2015.
- [113] A. M. Roth, J. G. Rosenberger, M. Reece, and B. Van Der Pol, "Expanding sexually transmitted infection screening among women and men engaging in transactional sex: the feasibility of field-based self-collection," *International Journal of STD and AIDS*, vol. 24, no. 4, pp. 323–328, 2013.
- [114] B. Dodge, B. Van Der Pol, J. G. Rosenberger et al., "Field collection of rectal samples for sexually transmitted infection diagnostics among men who have sex with men," *International Journal of STD & AIDS*, vol. 21, no. 4, pp. 260–264, 2010.
- [115] J. G. Rosenberger, B. Dodge, B. Van Der Pol, M. Reece, D. Herbenick, and J. D. Fortenberry, "Reactions to self-sampling for ano-rectal sexually transmitted infections among men who have sex with men: a qualitative study," *Archives of Sexual Behavior*, vol. 40, no. 2, pp. 281–288, 2011.
- [116] B. Dodge, B. Van Der Pol, M. Reece et al., "Rectal self-sampling in non-clinical venues for detection of sexually transmissible infections among behaviourally bisexual men," *Sexual Health*, vol. 9, no. 2, pp. 190–191, 2012.
- [117] A. H. Freeman, K. T. Bernstein, R. P. Kohn, S. Philip, L. M. Rauch, and J. D. Klausner, "Evaluation of self-collected versus clinician-collected swabs for the detection of *Chlamydia trachomatis* and *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* pharyngeal infection among men who have sex with men," *Sexually Transmitted Diseases*, vol. 38, no. 11, pp. 1036–1039, 2011.
- [118] F. Nyatsanza, A. Trivedy, and G. Brook, "The effect of introducing routine self-taken extra-genital swabs in a genitourinary medicine clinic cohort. A before and after study," *International Journal of STD & AIDS*, 2015.
- [119] E. Lanjouw, J. M. Ossewaarde, A. Stary, F. Boag, and W. I. van der Meijden, "2010 European guideline for the management of *Chlamydia trachomatis* infections," *International Journal of STD* & AIDS, vol. 21, no. 11, pp. 729–737, 2010.
- [120] M. S. van Rooijen, M. F. S. van der Loeff, S. A. Morré, A. P. van Dam, A. G. C. L. Speksnijder, and H. J. C. de Vries, "Spontaneous pharyngeal *Chlamydia trachomatis* RNA clearance. A cross-sectional study followed by a cohort study of untreated STI clinic patients in Amsterdam, the Netherlands," *Sexually Transmitted Infections*, vol. 91, no. 3, pp. 157–164, 2015.
- [121] S. K. Apewokin, W. M. Geisler, and L. H. Bachmann, "Spontaneous resolution of extragenital chlamydial and gonococcal infections prior to therapy," *Sexually Transmitted Diseases*, vol. 37, no. 5, pp. 343–344, 2010.

- [122] C.-Y. Lau and A. K. Qureshi, "Azithromycin versus doxycycline for genital chlamydial infections: a meta-analysis of randomized clinical trials," *Sexually Transmitted Diseases*, vol. 29, no. 9, pp. 497–502, 2002.
- [123] F. Y. S. Kong, S. N. Tabrizi, M. Law et al., "Azithromycin versus doxycycline for the treatment of genital chlamydia infection: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials," *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, vol. 59, no. 2, pp. 193–205, 2014.
- [124] W. M. Geisler, A. Uniyal, J. Y. Lee et al., "Azithromycin versus doxycycline for urogenital *Chlamydia trachomatis* infection," *The New England Journal of Medicine*, vol. 373, pp. 2512–2521, 2015.
- [125] F. Y. S. Kong, S. N. Tabrizi, C. K. Fairley et al., "The efficacy of azithromycin and doxycycline for the treatment of rectal chlamydia infection: a systematic review and meta-analysis," *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy*, vol. 70, no. 5, Article ID dku574, pp. 1290–1297, 2014.
- [126] J. S. Hocking, F. Y. S. Kong, P. Timms, W. M. Huston, and S. N. Tabrizi, "Treatment of rectal chlamydia infection may be more complicated than we originally thought," *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy*, vol. 70, no. 4, pp. 961–964, 2014.
- [127] S. J. Jordan and W. M. Geisler, "Azithromycin for rectal chlamydia: is it time to leave azithromycin on the shelf?...Not yet," *Sexually Transmitted Diseases*, vol. 41, no. 2, pp. 86–88, 2014.
- [128] N. M. Steedman and A. McMillan, "Treatment of asymptomatic rectal *Chlamydia trachomatis*: is single-dose azithromycin effective?" *International Journal of STD & AIDS*, vol. 20, no. 1, pp. 16–18, 2009.
- [129] E. Hathorn, C. Opie, and P. Goold, "What is the appropriate treatment for the management of rectal *Chlamydia trachomatis* in men and women?" *Sexually Transmitted Infections*, vol. 88, no. 5, pp. 352–354, 2012.
- [130] F. Drummond, N. Ryder, H. Wand et al., "Is azithromycin adequate treatment for asymptomatic rectal chlamydia?" *International Journal of STD and AIDS*, vol. 22, no. 8, pp. 478–480, 2011.
- [131] C. M. Khosropour, J. C. Dombrowski, L. A. Barbee, L. E. Manhart, and M. R. Golden, "Comparing azithromycin and doxycycline for the treatment of rectal chlamydial infection: a retrospective cohort study," *Sexually Transmitted Diseases*, vol. 41, no. 2, pp. 79–85, 2014.
- [132] A. Elgalib, S. Alexander, C. Y. W. Tong, and J. A. White, "Seven days of doxycycline is an effective treatment for asymptomatic rectal *Chlamydia trachomatis* infection," *International Journal of STD and AIDS*, vol. 22, no. 8, pp. 474–477, 2011.
- [133] K. Manavi, F. Zafar, and H. Shahid, "Oropharyngeal gonorrhoea: rate of co-infection with sexually transmitted infection, antibiotic susceptibility and treatment outcome," *International Journal of STD and AIDS*, vol. 21, no. 2, pp. 138–140, 2010.
- [134] P. J. Horner, "Azithromycin antimicrobial resistance and genital *Chlamydia trachomatis* infection: duration of therapy may be the key to improving efficacy," *Sexually Transmitted Infections*, vol. 88, no. 3, pp. 154–156, 2012.
- [135] D. J. Templeton, P. Read, R. Varma, and C. Bourne, "Australian sexually transmissible infection and HIV testing guidelines for asymptomatic men who have sex with men 2014: a review of the evidence," *Sexual Health*, vol. 11, no. 3, pp. 217–229, 2014.
- [136] F. Y. S. Kong and J. S. Hocking, "Treatment challenges for urogenital and anorectal *Chlamydia trachomatis*," *BMC Infectious Diseases*, vol. 15, no. 1, article 293, 2015.
- [137] M. Y Chen, K. Stevens, R. Tideman et al., "Failure of 500 mg of ceftriaxone to eradicate pharyngeal gonorrhoea, Australia,"

The Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy, vol. 68, no. 6, pp. 1445–1447, 2013.

- [138] J. Tapsall, P. Read, C. Carmody et al., "Two cases of failed ceftriaxone treatment in pharyngeal gonorrhoea verified by molecular microbiological methods," *Journal of Medical Microbiology*, vol. 58, no. 5, pp. 683–687, 2009.
- [139] M. Ohnishi, T. Saika, S. Hoshina et al., "Ceftriaxone-resistant Neisseria gonorrhoeae, Japan," Emerging Infectious Diseases, vol. 17, no. 1, pp. 148–149, 2011.
- [140] M. Unemo, D. Golparian, M. Potocnik, and S. Jeverica, "Treatment failure of pharyngeal gonorrhoea with internationally recommended first-line ceftriaxone verified in Slovenia, September 2011," *Euro Surveillance: Bulletin Européens sur les Maladies Transmissibles*, vol. 17, no. 25, 2012.
- [141] M. Unemo, D. Golparian, and A. Hestner, "Ceftriaxone treatment failure of pharyngeal gonorrhoea verified by international recommendations, Sweden, July 2010," *Eurosurveillance*, vol. 16, no. 6, Article ID 19792, 2011.
- [142] Y. H. Grad, R. D. Kirkcaldy, D. Trees et al., "Genomic epidemiology of *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* with reduced susceptibility to cefixime in the USA: a retrospective observational study," *The Lancet Infectious Diseases*, vol. 14, no. 3, pp. 220–226, 2014.
- [143] D. Golparian, A. Ohlsson, H. Janson et al., "Four treatment failures of pharyngeal gonorrhoea with ceftriaxone (500 mg) or cefotaxime (500 mg), Sweden, 2013 and 2014," *Euro Surveillance: European communicable disease bulletin*, vol. 19, no. 30, Article ID 20862, 2013.
- [144] Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), "Update to CDC's sexually transmitted diseases treatment guidelines, 2010: oral cephalosporins no longer a recommended treatment for gonococcal infections," *Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report*, vol. 61, no. 31, pp. 590–594, 2012.
- [145] P. J. Read, E. A. Limnios, A. McNulty, D. Whiley, and M. M. Lahra, "One confirmed and one suspected case of pharyngeal gonorrhoea treatment failure following 500 mg ceftriaxone in Sydney, Australia," *Sexual Health*, vol. 10, no. 5, pp. 460–462, 2013.
- [146] J. Gratrix, J. Bergman, C. Egan, S. J. Drews, R. Read, and A. E. Singh, "Retrospective review of pharyngeal gonorrhea treatment failures in Alberta, Canada," *Sexually Transmitted Diseases*, vol. 40, no. 11, pp. 877–879, 2013.
- [147] A. Hustig, C. Bell, and R. Waddell, "An audit of pharyngeal gonorrhoea treatment in a public sexual health clinic in Adelaide, South Australia," *International Journal of STD and AIDS*, vol. 24, no. 5, pp. 399–400, 2013.
- [148] T. Matsumoto, T. Muratani, K. Takahashi et al., "Multiple doses of cefodizime are necessary for the treatment of *Neisseria* gonorrhoeae pharyngeal infection," *Journal of Infection and Chemotherapy*, vol. 12, no. 3, pp. 145–147, 2006.
- [149] J. S. Moran and W. C. Levine, "Drugs of choice for the treatment of uncomplicated gonococcal infections," *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, vol. 20, supplement 1, pp. S47–S65, 1995.
- [150] L. M. Newman, J. S. Moran, and K. A. Workowski, "Update on the management of gonorrhea in adults in the United States," *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, vol. 44, supplement 3, pp. S84–S101, 2007.
- [151] M. Y. Chen, K. Stevens, R. Tideman et al., "Failure of 500 mg of ceftriaxone to eradicate pharyngeal gonorrhoea, Australia," *The Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy*, vol. 68, no. 6, pp. 1445–1447, 2013.
- [152] K. Manavi, H. Young, and A. McMillan, "The outcome of oropharyngeal gonorrhoea treatment with different regimens,"

International Journal of STD & AIDS, vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 68–70, 2005.

- [153] M. Bissessor, D. M. Whiley, C. K. Fairley et al., "Persistence of *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* DNA following treatment for pharyngeal and rectal gonorrhea is influenced by antibiotic susceptibility and reinfection," *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, vol. 60, no. 4, pp. 557–563, 2015.
- [154] L. Sathia, B. Ellis, S. Phillip, A. Winston, and A. Smith, "Pharyngeal gonorrhoea—is dual therapy the way forward?" *International Journal of STD and AIDS*, vol. 18, no. 9, pp. 647– 648, 2007.
- [155] L. A. Barbee, R. P. Kerani, J. C. Dombrowski, O. O. Soge, and M. R. Golden, "A retrospective comparative study of 2-drug oral and intramuscular cephalosporin treatment regimens for pharyngeal gonorrhea," *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, vol. 56, no. 11, pp. 1539–1545, 2013.
- [156] C. A. Renault, D. M. Israelski, V. Levy, B. K. Fujikawa, T. A. Kellogg, and J. D. Klausner, "Time to clearance of *Chlamydia trachomatis* ribosomal RNA in women treated for chlamydial infection," *Sexual Health*, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 69–73, 2011.
- [157] Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, "Recommendations for the laboratory-based detection of Chlamydia trachomatis and Neisseria gonorrhoeae—2014," *Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report (MMWR)*, vol. 63, pp. 1–19, 2014.
- [158] M. R. Beymer, E. Llata, A. M. Stirland et al., "Evaluation of gonorrhea test of cure at 1 week in a Los Angeles communitybased clinic serving men who have sex with men," *Sexually Transmitted Diseases*, vol. 41, no. 10, pp. 595–600, 2014.
- [159] P. Pathela, S. L. Braunstein, S. Blank, and J. A. Schillinger, "HIV incidence among men with and those without sexually transmitted rectal infections: estimates from matching against an HIV case registry," *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, vol. 57, no. 8, pp. 1203–1209, 2013.
- [160] K. T. Bernstein, J. L. Marcus, G. Nieri, S. S. Philip, and J. D. Klausner, "Rectal gonorrhea and chlamydia reinfection is associated with increased risk of HIV seroconversion," *Journal of Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndromes*, vol. 53, no. 4, pp. 537–543, 2010.
- [161] K. J. P. Craib, D. R. Meddings, S. A. Strathdee et al., "Rectal gonorrhoea as an independent risk factor for HIV infection in a cohort of homosexual men," *Genitourinary Medicine*, vol. 71, no. 3, pp. 150–154, 1995.
- [162] N. M. Zetola, K. T. Bernstein, E. Wong, B. Louie, and J. D. Klausner, "Exploring the relationship between sexually transmitted diseases and HIV acquisition by using different study designs," *Journal of Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndromes*, vol. 50, no. 5, pp. 546–551, 2009.
- [163] A. P. Craig, F. Kong, L. Yeruva et al., "Is it time to switch to doxycycline from azithromycin for treating genital chlamydial infections in women? Modelling the impact of autoinoculation from the gastrointestinal tract to the genital tract," *BMC Infectious Diseases*, vol. 15, article 200, 2015.
- [164] W. E. Lafferty, J. P. Hughes, and H. H. Handsfield, "Sexually transmitted diseases in men who have sex with men: acquisition of gonorrhea and nongonococcal urethritis by fellatio and implications for STD/HIV prevention," *Sexually Transmitted Diseases*, vol. 24, no. 5, pp. 272–278, 1997.
- [165] A. McMillan, H. Young, and A. Moyes, "Rectal gonorrhoea in homosexual men: source of infection," *International Journal of STD and AIDS*, vol. 11, no. 5, pp. 284–287, 2000.
- [166] M. Bissessor, S. N. Tabrizi, C. K. Fairley et al., "Differing Neisseria gonorrhoeae bacterial loads in the pharynx and

rectum in men who have sex with men: implications for gonococcal detection, transmission, and control," *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*, vol. 49, no. 12, pp. 4304–4306, 2011.

- [167] G. A. F. S. Van Liere, J. A. M. C. Dirks, C. J. P. A. Hoebe, P. F. Wolffs, and N. H. T. M. Dukers-Muijrers, "Anorectal *Chlamydia trachomatis* load is similar in men who have sex with men and women reporting anal sex," *PLoS ONE*, vol. 10, no. 8, Article ID e0134991, 2015.
- [168] H. W. Chesson, K. T. Bernstein, T. L. Gift, J. L. Marcus, S. Pipkin, and C. K. Kent, "The cost-effectiveness of screening men who have sex with men for rectal chlamydial and gonococcal infection to prevent HIV infection," *Sexually Transmitted Diseases*, vol. 40, no. 5, pp. 366–371, 2013.
- [169] J. C. Dombrowski, "Do women need screening for extragenital gonococcal and chlamydial infections?" *Sexually Transmitted Diseases*, vol. 42, no. 5, pp. 240–242, 2015.
- [170] U. Marcus, J. Ort, M. Grenz, K. Eckstein, K. Wirtz, and A. Wille, "Risk factors for HIV and STI diagnosis in a community-based HIV/STI testing and counselling site for men having sex with men (MSM) in a large german city in 2011-2012," *BMC Infectious Diseases*, vol. 15, article 14, 2015.
- [171] E. R. Cachay, A. Sitapati, J. Caperna et al., "Denial of risk behavior does not exclude asymptomatic anorectal sexually transmitted infection in HIV-infected men," *PLoS ONE*, vol. 4, no. 12, Article ID e8504, 2009.
- [172] J. L. Marcus, K. T. Bernstein, R. P. Kohn, S. Liska, and S. S. Philip, "Infections missed by urethral-only screening for chlamydia or gonorrhea detection among men who have sex with men," *Sexually Transmitted Diseases*, vol. 38, no. 10, pp. 922–924, 2011.
- [173] K. Wada, S. Uehara, R. Mitsuhata et al., "Prevalence of pharyngeal Chlamydia trachomatis and Neisseria gonorrhoeae among heterosexual men in Japan," *Journal of Infection and Chemotherapy*, vol. 18, no. 5, pp. 729–733, 2012.





The Scientific World Journal



Behavioural Neurology

Research and Practice





Disease Markers



AIDS

Research and Treatment

Oxidative Medicine and Cellular Longevity