TRANSACTIONS OF THE AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY Volume 348, Number 7, July 1996

# EXTREMAL FUNCTIONS FOR MOSER'S INEQUALITY

### KAI-CHING LIN

ABSTRACT. Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded smooth domain in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and  $u(x) \ge \mathbb{C}^1$  function with compact support in  $\Omega$ . Moser's inequality states that there is a constant  $c_o$ , depending only on the dimension n, such that

$$\frac{1}{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} e^{n\omega_{n-1}^{\frac{1}{n-1}}u^{\frac{n}{n-1}}} dx \le c_o$$

where  $|\Omega|$  is the Lebesgue measure of  $\Omega$ , and  $\omega_{n-1}$  the surface area of the unit ball in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . We prove in this paper that there are extremal functions for this inequality. In other words, we show that the

$$\sup\{\frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} e^{n\omega_{n-1}^{\frac{1}{n-1}} u^{\frac{n}{n-1}}} dx : u \in W_o^{1,n}, \|\nabla u\|_n \le 1\}$$

is attained. Earlier results include Carleson-Chang (1986,  $\Omega$  is a ball in any dimension) and Flucher (1992,  $\Omega$  is any domain in 2-dimensions).

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded smooth domain in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and  $u(x) \in \mathbb{C}^1$  function supported in  $\Omega$  with  $\|\nabla u\|_q < n$ . Sobolev's Imbedding Theorem says that if  $1 \leq q < n$ , then

$$\|u\|_p \le C(n,q)$$

where  $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{n}$ , and C(n,q) is a constant independent of the function u, as well as the domain  $\Omega$ . The imbedding is no longer valid when q = n. Indeed, there are unbounded functions whose gradients are in  $L^n$ . However, Trudinger [14] in 1967 proved that if  $\|\nabla u\|_n \leq 1$ , then u is in an exponential class. More precisely, the integral

$$\int_{\Omega} e^{\beta_o u \frac{n}{n-1}} \, dx,$$

is uniformly bounded, for some positive  $\beta_0$  depending only on dimension. Moser [12] in 1971 then found the best exponent  $\beta_0$ . He showed if  $\|\nabla u\|_n \leq 1$ , then

(2) 
$$\frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} e^{n\omega_{n-1}^{\frac{1}{n-1}} u^{\frac{n}{n-1}}} dx \le c_0$$

where  $c_0$  is a constant depending only on n. ( $\omega_{n-1}$  is the surface area of the unit ball in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .)

The aim of this paper is to prove the following:

©1996 American Mathematical Society

Received by the editors January 25, 1995 and, in revised form, May 30, 1995. 1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 49J10.

**Theorem 1.** There are extremal functions for Moser's inequality (2). In other words, the

$$\sup\{\frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_{\Omega} e^{n\omega_{n-1}^{\frac{1}{n-1}}u^{\frac{n}{n-1}}} dx : u \in W_o^{1,n}, \|\nabla u\|_n \le 1\}$$

is attained.

The first result in this direction is due to Carleson-Chang [3], who proved in 1986 that there are extremals when  $\Omega$  is a ball in any dimension. Their result came as a surprise, since it was known at that time that no extremals exist for Sobolev's inequality (1) when  $\Omega$  is a ball. (See an account of this in the more expository article [10].) In 1992, M. Flucher [5] proved the same existence for any bounded smooth domain in 2-dimensions. Though our result is an improvement, the method of the proof relies on both heavily. The key ingredient is the use of *n*-Green's functions, the singular solutions to the *n*-Laplacian. As to the solvability of the corresponding Euler equation, see Adimurthi [1] and Struwe [6].

I am indebted to Alice Chang who introduced me to this subject and who offered some valuable suggestions. I would also like to thank Tero Kilpelainen, John Lewis, and Tom Wolff for sharing their knowledge on *p*-Laplacian.

# 2. Outline of Proof

By  $W_o^{1,n}(\Omega)$  we mean the Sobolev space of functions vanishing on the boundary  $\partial\Omega$  with  $\|\nabla u\|_n < \infty$ , and we denote by  $F_{\Omega}(u)$  the Moser functional

$$\int_{\Omega} \left( e^{n\omega_{n-1}^{\frac{1}{n-1}}u^{\frac{n}{n-1}}} - 1 \right) dx.$$

(the term -1 in the integrand is introduced for convenience). We now describe the outline of the proof. Let  $\{u_j\}$  be a maximizing sequence for (2), that is,  $\{u_j\} \subset W_o^{1,n}(\Omega)$ ,  $\|\nabla u_j\|_n \leq 1$ , and  $F_{\Omega}(u_j)$  tends to the supremum. We get for free from functional analysis that we can extract a subsequence, still denoted by  $\{u_j\}$ , which satisfies

(3) 
$$\|\nabla u_j\|_n \leq 1, \ u_j \rightarrow u \ weakly, \ and \ |\nabla u(x)|^n dx \rightarrow d\mu \ weakly,$$

where u is a function in  $W_o^{1,n}(\Omega)$ , and  $d\mu$  a finite measure on  $\Omega$ . Our goal is to prove that  $F_{\Omega}(u_j) \to F_{\Omega}(u)$  (u will then be an extremal).

The main difficulty in this type of problem is that the Moser functional  $F_{\Omega}(u)$  is not compact. In other words, there exists a sequence of functions  $\{u_j\}$  which satisfies all the conditions in (3), but  $F_{\Omega}(u_j)$  fails to converge to  $F_{\Omega}(u)$ . Here is an example. Take  $\Omega$  to be the unit ball in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and define  $u_a$  to be  $c_a \log \frac{1}{|x|}$  for  $a \leq |x| \leq 1$ , and a constant  $d_a$  for  $0 \leq |x| \leq a$ , where  $d_a$  is chosen so that the functions are continuous, and  $c_a$  chosen so that  $\|\nabla u_a\|_n = 1$ . It is easy to see that as  $a \to 0, u_a \to u = 0$  weakly,  $|\nabla u_a(x)|^n dx \to \delta_0 =$  the Dirac measure at 0 weakly, and that  $\limsup F_{\Omega}(u_a) > F_{\Omega}(0)$ .

All is not lost, however. P. L. Lions [11] was able to show that this is the only thing that can go wrong.

**Theorem 2** (P. L. Lions). Suppose  $\{u_j\}$  is a sequence satisfying (3). Then, either (a) the compactness holds, i.e.,  $F_{\Omega}(u_j) \to F_{\Omega}(u)$ ; or (b)  $\{u_j\}$  concentrates at some point  $x_0$ , i.e.,  $u_j \to u = 0$  weakly, and  $|\nabla u(x)|^n dx \to \delta_{x_0}$ .

This is the so-called the *concentration-compactness principle* for the Moser functional. See Flucher [3] for another proof. So far, we haven't used the condition that  $u_i$  is maximizing. In the following, we'll show that maximizing sequences never concentrate. To do this, we first quantify the concentration phenonmenon. The following notion was introduced in Flucher [5].

**Definition 1.** Let  $x_0$  be a point in  $\overline{\Omega}$ . The concentration function at  $x_0$  is defined to be

$$C_{\Omega}(x_0) = \sup\{\limsup F_{\Omega}(u_j) : \|\nabla u\|_n \le 1, \{u_j\} \text{ concentrates at } x_0\}.$$

Obviously, we have  $\sup_{u} F_{\Omega}(u) \geq \sup_{x} C_{\Omega}(x)$ . And, in view of Lions's concentration-compactness principle, it now suffices to prove

$$\sup_{u} F_{\Omega}(u) > \sup_{x} C_{\Omega}(x).$$

In fact, this was how Carleson-Chang [3] proved their theorem on a ball:

**Theorem 3** (Carleson-Chang). Let B be the unit ball in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Then

(a)  $\sup_x C_B(x) = C_B(0) = e^{1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} + \dots + \frac{1}{n-1}} |B|,$ (b)  $\sup_u F_B(u) > e^{1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} + \dots + \frac{1}{n-1}} |B|.$ 

Now we switch from balls to a general domain  $\Omega$ . When we do so, both the sup of Moser functional and that of the concentration function will change. The key observation is that the *ratio* of functional over concentration will only *increase*.

# Theorem 4.

$$\frac{\sup_{u} F_{\Omega}(u)}{\sup_{x} C_{\Omega}(x)} \ge \frac{\sup_{u} F_{B}(u)}{\sup_{x} C_{B}(x)}.$$

Thus our Theorem 1 is a consequence of Lions's Theorem 2, Carleson-Chang's Theorem 3 and Theorem 4. The appearance of Theorem 4 bears some resemblance to the classical isoperimetric inequality. Indeed, somewhere in the proof, we do use the classical isoperimetric inequality.

The proof of Theorem 4 consists of two parts: one is the comparison of the concentration function on  $\Omega$  and on the ball B; the other is the comparison of the Moser functional on these two domains. More, precisely, we'll prove

**Theorem 5.** (a) For every x in  $\Omega$ ,  $C_{\Omega}(x) = r_{\Omega}^{n}(x)C_{B}(0)$ , (b)  $\sup_{u} F_{\Omega}(u) \ge (\sup_{x} r_{\Omega}^{n}(x)) \sup_{u} F_{B}(u).$ 

The factor (without the *n*-th power),  $r_{\Omega}(x)$ , that appears in both formulas is what we call the *n*-harmonic radius, which will depend only on the point x and the domain  $\Omega$ . It is obvious that Theorem 5 implies Theorem 4. We now digress to define the n-Green's functions and the n-harmonic radius.

**Definition 2.** Let  $x_0$  be a point in  $\Omega$ . The *n*-Green's function  $G = G_{x_0} = G_{\Omega,x_0}$ on  $\Omega$  with pole at  $x_0$  is the singular solution to the *n*-Laplacian:

(4) 
$$\Delta_n G = Div(|\nabla G|^{n-2}\nabla G) = \delta_{x_0} \text{ in } \Omega,$$
$$G = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega.$$

In terms of distributions, equation (4) means

(5) 
$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla G|^{n-2} \nabla G \cdot \nabla \phi \, dx = \phi(x_0),$$

### KAI-CHING LIN

for every compactly supported smooth function  $\phi(x)$  on  $\Omega$ . Of course, when n = 2, the 2-Laplacian is the usual Laplacian, and 2-Green's function is the usual Green's function. In higher dimensions, the existence and uniqueness of this G is also well-known, see [6] and [7], for example. The *n*-Green's function on the ball B with pole at the origin is  $G_0 = -\omega_{n-1}^{-\frac{1}{n-1}} \log |x|$ , and for general domain we have the following asymptotic expansion:

(6) 
$$G_{x_0}(x) = -\omega_{n-1}^{-\frac{1}{n-1}} \log |x - x_0| - H_{x_0}(x),$$

where  $H_{x_0}(x)$  is a continuous function on  $\Omega$  and is  $C^{1,\alpha}$  in  $\Omega \setminus \{x_0\}$ .

**Definition 3.** The *n*-harmonic radius at  $x_0$  is defined to be

$$r_{\Omega}(x_0) = e^{-\omega_{n-1}^{\frac{1}{n-1}}H_{x_0}(x_0)}$$

Remark 1. When n = 2, and  $\Omega$  simply-connected, one can use the invariance of Green's functions under conformal mappings to see that the *n*-harmonic radius is nothing but |f'(0)|, where f(z) is a conformal mapping from the unit disc to  $\Omega$  with  $f(0) = x_0$ . See [2].

Remark 2. In higher dimensions, *n*-Green's functions are invariant under Möbius transformations. (The usual Green's functions are not.) As a consequence, one can compute the conformal radius at  $x_0 \in B$  as  $1 - |x_0|^2$ .

We return to the discussion of the proof of our Theorem 1, which now reduces to that of Theorem 5. To prove Theorem 5, we'll need to transplant functions, either from a general domain  $\Omega$  to a ball, or from a ball to  $\Omega$ . In doing so, we have to keep the functions in the same class, i.e.,  $\|\nabla u\|_n \leq 1$ , and, at the same time, to obtain a relation between the functional on the two domains. For the direction from  $\Omega$  to  $\Omega^*$  (the symmetrized domain of  $\Omega$ , which is a ball), the *classical rearrangement*  $u^*$  is the main tool. (See [7].) Recall that  $|\{u^* > t\}| = |\{u > t\}|$ , and

**Theorem 6.** For  $u \in W_0^{1,n}(\Omega)$ , we have

(a) 
$$\|\nabla u^*\|_{L^n(\Omega^*)} \le \|\nabla u\|_{L^n(\Omega)}$$
,

(b)  $F_{\Omega^*}(u^*) = F_{\Omega}(u).$ 

For the other direction, from B to the unit ball  $\Omega$ , we use the *n*-harmonic transplantation, which is defined via the level sets of *n*-Green's functions.

**Definition 4.** Let  $x_0$  be a point in  $\Omega$ , and  $v_0$  a decreasing, radial function on B. The *n*-harmonic transplantation of  $v_0$  on  $\Omega$  at  $x_0$  is defined to be  $v_{x_0} = v_{\Omega,x_0} = v_0 \circ G_{B,0}^{-1} \circ G_{\Omega,x_0}$ .

So,  $v_{x_0}$  has the same level sets as  $G_{x_0}$  does. Furthermore,  $v_{x_0}$  and  $v_0$  agree on the corresponding level sets of  $G_{x_0}$  and  $G_0$ . The analogous result to Theorem 6, when we move functions from B to  $\Omega$ , is:

**Theorem 7.** For a radial, decreasing function  $v_0$  in  $W_0^{1,n}(B)$ , we have

- (a)  $\|\nabla v_{x_0}\|_{L^n(\Omega)} = \|\nabla v_0\|_{L^n(B)},$
- (b)  $F_{\Omega}(v_{x_0}) \ge r_{\Omega}^n(x_0)F_B(v_0).$

We will prove some properties about n-Green's functions in the next section. The proofs of Theorems 7 and 5 are presented in the last section.

### 3. n-Green's Functions

We develop in this section some important properties about the n-Green's function.

Lemma 1. Let  $G = G_{x_0}(x)$ . (a)

$$\int_{\{G < t\}} |\nabla G|^n \, dx = t \text{ for every } t,$$

(b)

$$|\nabla G|^{n-1} dx = 1 \text{ for every } t.$$

(c) The sets  $\{G > t\}$  form a sequence of approximately small balls of radii  $\rho_t = r_{\Omega}(x_0)e^{-\omega_{n-1}^{\frac{1}{n-1}}t}$ . In other words,  $B(x_0, \rho_t - r_t) \subset \{G > t\} \subset B(x_0, \rho_t + r_t)$ , with  $r_t/\rho_t \to 0$  as  $t \to \infty$ . In particular,

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{|\{G > t\}|}{\alpha_n e^{-n\omega_{n-1}^{\frac{1}{n-1}}t}} = r_{\Omega}^n(x_0),$$

where  $\alpha_n$  is the volume of the unit ball in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . (d) On the set  $\{G = t\}$ , we have

$$|\nabla G(x)| = \omega_{n-1}^{\frac{-1}{n-1}} \frac{1}{\rho_t} + O(1) \text{ uniformly, as } t \to \infty.$$

*Proof.* (a) Choose a smooth approximation of the function  $\phi(x) = \inf\{G(y), t\}$  in equation (5).

(b) follows from equation (4) via an integration by parts.

(c) Solving for  $|x - x_0|$  in (6), we get

$$\begin{aligned} |x - x_0| &= e^{-\omega_{n-1}^{\frac{1}{n-1}}t} e^{-\omega_{n-1}^{\frac{1}{n-1}}H_{x_0}(x)} = e^{-\omega_{n-1}^{\frac{1}{n-1}}t} e^{-\omega_{n-1}^{\frac{1}{n-1}}H_{x_0}(x_0)} \\ &+ (e^{-\omega_{n-1}^{\frac{1}{n-1}}H_{x_0}(x)} - e^{-\omega_{n-1}^{\frac{1}{n-1}}H_{x_0}(x_0)}) e^{-\omega_{n-1}^{\frac{1}{n-1}}t} = \rho_t + r_t. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that  $r_t/\rho_t \to 0$  as  $t \to \infty$ , by the continuity of  $H_{x_0}(x)$  at  $x_0$ . (d) On  $\{G = t\}$ , we have

$$|\nabla G(x)| = |-\omega_{n-1}^{\frac{-1}{n-1}} \frac{x-x_0}{|x-x_0|^2} - \nabla H_{x_0}(x)| = \omega_{n-1}^{\frac{-1}{n-1}} \frac{1}{\rho_t} + O(1),$$

by the  $C^{1,\alpha}$  property of  $H_{x_0}(x)$  in  $\Omega \setminus \{x_0\}$  and (c).

**Lemma 2.** For domains  $\Omega$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,

$$\sup_{x} r_{\Omega}(x) \le \sup_{x} r_{\Omega^*}(x) = r_{\Omega^*}(0).$$

*Proof.* We have from (c) of Lemma 1:

$$r_{\Omega}^{n}(x) = \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{|\{G_{\Omega,x} > t\}|}{\alpha_{n} e^{-n\omega_{n-1}^{\frac{1}{n-1}}t}},$$

and

$$_{\Omega^*}^{n}(0) = \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{|\{G_{\Omega^*,0} > t\}|}{\alpha_n e^{-n\omega_{n-1}^{\frac{1}{n-1}}t}}.$$

 $(G_{\Omega^*,0}$  is the *n*-Green's function on  $\Omega^*$  with pole at 0.)

r

Now we compare the two sets,  $\{G_{\Omega,x} > t\}$  and  $\{G_{\Omega^*,0} > t\}$ . Part (a) of Lemma 1 and Theorem 6 implies

$$t = \int_{\{G_{\Omega,x} < t\}} |\nabla G_{\Omega,x}|^n \, dx \ge \int_{\{G_{\Omega,x}^* < t\}} |\nabla G_{\Omega,x}^*|^n \, dx \ge \int_{\{v_t < t\}} |\nabla v_t|^n \, dx,$$

where  $v_t$  is the *n*-harmonic function sharing the same boundary values as  $G^*_{\Omega,x}$ on  $\{G^*_{\Omega,x} < t\}$ , and the last inequality is Dirichlet's principle. This  $v_t$  must be a constant multiple of  $G_{\Omega^*,0}$ , say,  $v_t = \lambda_t G_{\Omega^*,0}$ . So, we have

$$t \ge \int_{\{G_{\Omega^*,0} < t/\lambda_t\}} \lambda_t^n |\nabla v_t|^n \, dx = t \lambda_t^{n-1}.$$

Hence  $\lambda_t \leq 1$ . Therefore,

2668

$$r_{\Omega}^{n}(x) = \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{|\{G_{\Omega,x} > t\}|}{\alpha_{n}e^{-n\omega_{n-1}^{\frac{1}{n-1}}t}}, = \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{|\{G_{\Omega,x}^{*} > t\}|}{\alpha_{n}e^{-n\omega_{n-1}^{\frac{1}{n-1}}t}}$$
$$= \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{|\{G_{\Omega^{*},0} > t/\lambda_{t}\}|}{\alpha_{n}e^{-n\omega_{n-1}^{\frac{1}{n-1}}t}} \le \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{|\{G_{\Omega^{*},0} > t/\lambda_{t}\}|}{\alpha_{n}e^{-n\omega_{n-1}^{\frac{1}{n-1}}t/\lambda_{t}}} = r_{\Omega^{*}}^{n}(0). \ \Box$$

**Lemma 3.** For every  $0 < r \le 1$ , we have

$$\frac{1}{(\omega_{n-1}^{\frac{1}{n-1}}r)^n} \int_{\partial\{G > -\omega_{n-1}^{-\frac{1}{n-1}}\log r\}} \frac{1}{|\nabla G|} \, ds \ge r_{\Omega}^n(x_0),$$

and the inequality tends to be an equality, as  $r \to 0$ .

*Proof.* The isoperimetric inequality for domains A in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  says that

$$|A| \le \alpha_n \omega_{n-1}^{-\frac{n}{n-1}} \left( \int_{\partial A} ds \right)^{\frac{n}{n-1}}$$

If we take A to be  $\{G > -\omega_{n-1}^{\frac{1}{n-1}} \log r\}$ , then we have

$$\begin{aligned} |A| &\leq \alpha_n \omega_{n-1}^{-\frac{n}{n-1}} \left( \int_{\partial A} |\nabla G|^{\frac{n-1}{n}} \frac{1}{|\nabla G|^{\frac{n-1}{n}}} \, ds \right)^{\overline{n-1}} \\ &\leq \alpha_n \omega_{n-1}^{-\frac{n}{n-1}} \left\{ \left( \int_{\partial A} |\nabla G|^{n-1} \, ds \right)^{\frac{1}{n}} \left( \int_{\partial A} \frac{1}{|\nabla G|} \, ds \right)^{\frac{n-1}{n}} \right\}^{\frac{n}{n-1}} \\ &= \alpha_n \omega_{n-1}^{-\frac{n}{n-1}} \int_{\partial A} \frac{1}{|\nabla G|} \, ds. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we can estimate |A| from below in terms of  $r_{\Omega}(x_0)$ . Since  $G_{A,x_0}(x) = G_{\Omega,x_0}(x) + \omega_{n-1}^{\frac{1}{n-1}} \log r$ , we have  $H_{A,x_0}(x) = H_{\Omega,x_0}(x) - \omega_{n-1}^{\frac{1}{n-1}} \log r$ . Thus  $r_A(x_0) = r \cdot r_{\Omega}(x_0)$ . And Lemma 2 gives

$$A| \ge \alpha_n r_A^n(x_0) = \alpha_n r^n \cdot r_{\Omega}^n(x_0).$$

Combining these two inequalities gives the one in the lemma. Furthermore, we have from Lemma 1,

$$\frac{1}{|\nabla G|} \sim \omega_{n-1}^{\frac{1}{n-1}} r \cdot r_{\Omega}(x_0), \text{ and}$$
$$|\{G = -\omega_{n-1}^{\frac{1}{n-1}} \log r\}| \sim \omega_{n-1} r^{n-1} r_{\Omega}^{n-1}(x_0),$$

as  $r \to 0$ . The asymptotic equality then follows.

### 4. Proofs of Theorems

Proof of Theorem 7. (a). By the co-area formula (see [2]), the definition of  $v_{x_0}$  (which yields  $\nabla v_{x_0} = \frac{|\nabla v_0|}{|\nabla G_{B,0}|} \nabla G_{x_0}$ ), and part (b) of Lemma 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla v_{x_0}\|_{L^n(\Omega)}^n &= \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v_{x_0}|^n \, dx = \int_0^\infty \int_{\partial \{v_{x_0} > t\}} |\nabla v_{x_0}|^{n-1} \, ds \, dt \\ &= \int_0^\infty \frac{|\nabla v_0|^{n-1}}{|\nabla G_{B,0}|^{n-1}} \int_{\partial \{v_{x_0} > t\}} |\nabla G_{x_0}|^{n-1} \, ds \, dt = \int_0^\infty \frac{|\nabla v_0|^{n-1}}{|\nabla G_{B,0}|^{n-1}} \, dt. \end{aligned}$$

The last integral is independent of domains, so it is equal to  $\|\nabla v_0\|_{L^n(B)}^n$ .

(b) We let  $f(t) = e^{n\omega_{n-1}^{\frac{1}{n-1}}t^{\frac{n}{n-1}}} - 1$ . Again, by the co-area formula,

$$\begin{split} F_{\Omega}(v_{x_{0}}) &= \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\partial\{v_{x_{0}}>t\}} \frac{f(t)}{|\nabla v_{x_{0}}|} ds \, dt \\ &= \int_{0}^{\infty} f(t) \int_{\partial\{v_{x_{0}}>t\}} \frac{|\nabla G_{B,0}(v(t))|}{|\nabla v_{0}(z(t))|} \frac{1}{|\nabla G_{x_{0}}|} \, ds \, dt \\ &= \int_{0}^{\infty} f(t) \frac{|\nabla G_{B,0}(z(t))|}{|\nabla v_{0}(z(t))|} \int_{\partial\{G>G_{B,0}(z(t))\}} \frac{1}{|\nabla G|} \, ds \, dt, \\ &= \int_{0}^{\infty} f(t) \left(\frac{1}{\omega_{n-1}^{\frac{1}{n-1}} |z(t)|}\right) \left(\frac{1}{\omega_{n-1} |z(t)|^{n-1}}\right) \\ &\times \left(\int_{\partial\{v_{0}>t\}} \frac{1}{|\nabla v_{0}|} \, ds\right) \left(\int_{\partial\{G>G_{B,0}(z(t))\}} \frac{1}{|\nabla G|} \, ds\right) \, dt \\ &= \int_{0}^{\infty} f(t) \left(\int_{\partial\{v_{0}>t\}} \frac{1}{|\nabla v_{0}|} \, ds\right) \left(\frac{1}{\omega_{n-1}^{\frac{n}{n-1}} |z(t)|^{n}} \int_{\partial\{G>G_{B,0}(z(t))\}} \frac{1}{|\nabla G|} \, ds\right) \, dt \\ &\geq r_{\Omega}^{n}(x_{0}) \int_{0}^{\infty} f(t) \int_{\partial\{v_{0}>t\}} \frac{1}{|\nabla v_{0}|} \, ds \, dt = r_{\Omega}^{n}(x_{0}) \int_{B}^{\infty} f(v_{0}) dx = r_{\Omega}^{n}(x_{0}) F_{B}(v_{0}). \end{split}$$

In the above formulas, z(t) is a point in B such that  $v_0(z(t)) = t$ .

Proof of Theorem 5. We prove part (b) first. Let  $v_0(x)$  be an extremal function which realizes  $\sup_u F_B(u)$ , as assured by Carleson-Chang's Theorem 3. We may assume this  $v_0(x)$  is radial and decreasing on B, by Theorem 6. Now, Theorem 7 says every conformal rearrangement  $v_{x_0}$  satisfies  $F_{\Omega}(v_{x_0}) \ge r_{\Omega}^n(x_0)F_B(v_0)$ . Taking the supremum over  $x_0$  in B gives us (b).

To prove (a), we first take a concentrating sequence  $\{v_j\}$  on B which realizes  $C_B(0)$ . Theorem 7 gives us a sequence  $\{v_{j,x_0}\}$  on  $\Omega$ . The same argument for proving (a) of Theorem 7 yields

$$\int_{\{G_{\Omega,x_0} < t\}} |\nabla v_{j,x_0}|^n \, dx = \int_{\{G_{B,0} < t\}} |\nabla v_j|^n \, dx,$$

2670

which tends to 0, as  $j \to \infty$ , for every t. So  $\{v_{j,x_0}\}$  concentrates at  $x_0$ . Furthermore, as in the proof of (b) of Theorem 7, we have

$$F_{\Omega}(v_{j,x_0}) = \int_0^\infty f(t) \left( \int_{\partial \{v_j > t\}} \frac{1}{|\nabla v_j|} ds \right) \\ \times \left( \frac{1}{\omega_{n-1}^{\frac{n}{n-1}} |z_j(t)|^n} \int_{\partial \{G_{x_0} > G(z_j(t))\}} \frac{1}{|\nabla G_{x_0}|} ds \right) dt.$$

The second inner integral converges to  $r_{\Omega}^{n}(x_{0})$  uniformly in t, as  $j \to \infty$ , by Lemma 3. And the rest of the integral is nothing but  $F_{B}(v_{j})$ . So,  $F_{\Omega}(v_{j,x_{0}}) \to r_{\Omega}^{n}(x_{0})C_{B}(0)$ . This gives  $C_{\Omega}(x_{0}) \geq r_{\Omega}^{n}(x_{0})C_{B}(0)$ .

For the other direction of (a), take a sequence  $\{u_j\}$  on  $\Omega$  realizing  $C_{\Omega}(x_0)$ . We first argue that that  $u_j$  must behave like  $\lambda_j G_{x_0}$  off  $\{x_0\}$ , where  $\lambda_j \to 0$ . To see this, note the sets  $\{u_j > 1\}$  are contained in balls  $B(x_0, r_j)$ , with  $r_j \to 0$ . We then replace  $u_j$  on  $A_j = \{u_j \leq 1\}$  by an *n*-harmonic function which agrees with  $u_j$  on  $\partial A_j$ . (We still call the new sequence  $\{u_j\}$ .) This will not increase the norm of the gradient, by Dirichlet's principle. Futhermore, if we fix a point  $y \neq x_0$ , and set  $\lambda_j = u_j(y)/G_{x_0}(y)$ , then  $\lambda_j \to 0$ , and  $u_j/\lambda_j \to G_{x_0}$  locally uniformly off  $x_0$ . To see the last statement, take a compact set K, containing y, but not  $x_0$ . Harnack's inequality (see [4]) says that the sequence  $\{u_j/\lambda_j\}$  is uniformly bounded on K, so it is equicontinuous on K. Hence it converges uniformly on K. The limit must be n-harmonic, and equal to  $G_{x_0}$ .

Next, we obtain from Theorem 6 the sequence of symmetrized functions  $u_j^*$  on  $\Omega^*$ , which satisfies  $\|\nabla u_j^*\|_{L^n(\Omega^*)} \leq 1$ , and  $F_{\Omega^*}(u_j^*) = F_{\Omega}(u_j)$ . It is easy to see that  $\{u_j^*\}$  concentrates at 0. To get the conformal factor  $r_{\Omega}^n(x_0)$ , we would like to dilate  $u_j(x)$  to  $u_j^*(\frac{x}{r_{\Omega}(x_0)})$ . This will not change the norm of the gradient, and the functional will have the desired conformal factor. However, the new function  $u_j^*(\frac{x}{r_{\Omega}(x_0)})$  is supported on the set  $1/r_{\Omega}(x_0) \cdot \Omega^*$ , which is larger than the unit ball B. To remedy the situation, we take the part of  $u_j^*$ , where  $u_j^* > 1$ , over to the unit ball B, and dilate it so that it matches with  $\lambda_j G_{B,0}$ . (The latter is defined on the rest of B.) In other words, we are defining a function  $v_j$  on B, so that  $v_j(z) = \lambda_j G_{B,0}(z)$  for values  $\leq 1$ ; and  $v_j(z) = u_j^*(\eta_j z)$  for values > 1, where  $\eta_j$  is chosen so that the two pieces fit together. Notice, by part (c) of Lemma 1, that the radii of of the sets  $\{u_j^* > 1\}$  and  $\{\lambda_j G_{B,0} > 1\}$  are asymptotically equal to  $r_{\Omega}(x_0) \exp(-\omega_{n-1}\frac{1}{\lambda_j})$  and  $\exp(-\omega_{n-1}\frac{1}{\lambda_j})$ , respectively. So,  $\eta_j \to r_{\Omega}(x_0)$ , as  $j \to \infty$ .

The sequence  $\{v_j\}$  concentrates at 0, and  $\|\nabla v_j\|_{L^n(B)} \leq \|\nabla u_j\|_{L^n(\Omega)} \leq 1$ . Moreover, we have

$$\lim_{j \to \infty} F_{\Omega}(u_j) = \lim_{j \to \infty} \int_{\{u_j > 1\}} f(u_j) \, dx = \lim_{j \to \infty} \int_{\{u_j^* > 1\}} f(u_j^*) \, dx$$
$$\lim_{j \to \infty} \int_{\{u_j^*(x) > 1\}} f(v_j(x/\eta_j)) \, dx = \lim_{j \to \infty} \eta_j^n \int_{\{v_j^*(x) > 1\}} f(v_j(x)) \, dx$$
$$= r_{\Omega}^n(x_0) \lim_{j \to \infty} F_B(v_j) \le r_{\Omega}^n(x_0) C_B(0).$$

This proves the other half of (a). The proof of Theorem 5 is now complete.

#### References

- Adimurthi, Y. Existence of positive solutions of the semilinear Dirichlet problem with critical growth for the n-Laplacian, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl Sci. (4) 17 (1990), 393-414. MR 91j:35016
- 2. Bandle, C., Flucher, M., Harmonic radius and concentration of energy, hyperbolic radius and Liouville's equations  $\Delta u = e^u$  and  $\Delta u = u^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}}$ , to appear in Siam Review.
- Carleson, L., and Chang, S.-Y. A., On the existence of an extremal function for an inequality of J. Moser, Bull. Sci. Math. Astro. (2) 110 (1986), 113-127. MR 88f:46070
- 4. Federer, H., Geometric measure theory, Springer-Verlag (1969). MR 41:1976
- Flucher, M., Extremal functions for the Trudinger-Moser inequality in 2 dimensions, Comm. Math. Helv. 67 (1992), 471-497. MR 93k:58073
- Heinonen J., Kilpelainen, T, and Martio, O., Non-linear potential theory of degenerate elliptic equations Oxford Sci. Pub. (1993). MR 94e:31003
- Kawohl, B., Reaarangements and convexity of level sets in PDE, Lecture Notes in Math. 1150 (1985). MR 87a:35001
- Kichenassamy, S., and Veron L., Singular solutions of the p-Laplace equation, Math. Ann. 275 (1986), 599-615. MR 87j:35096
- Kilpelainen, T., and Maly, J., Degenerate elliptic equations with measure data and nonlinear potentials, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa. Cl. Sci. (4) 19 (1992), 591-613. MR 94c:35091
- 10. Lin, K., Moser's inequality and the n-Laplacian, to appear.
- Lions, P. L., The concentration-compactness principle in the calculus of variation, the limit case, Part I, Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana 1 (1985), 145-201. MR 87j:49012
- Moser, J., A sharp form of an inequality by N. Trudinger, Indianna Univ. Math. J. 20 (1971), 1077-1092. MR 46:662
- Struwe, M., Critical points of embeddings of H<sup>1,n</sup><sub>0</sub> into Orlicz spaces, Ann. Inst. H. Poincare Anal. Non Lineaire, Vol. 5 (1988), 425-464. MR 90c:35084
- Trudinger, N. S., On embeddings into Orlicz spaces and some applications, J. Math. Mech. 17 (1967), 473-484. MR 35:7121

Department of Mathematics, University of Alabama, Tuscaloosa, Alabama 35487 $E\text{-}mail\ address:\ \texttt{klinGualvm.ua.edu}$