

P. D. Tuan; V. V. Anh

Extremal problems for functions of positive real part with a fixed coefficient and applications

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 30 (1980), No. 2, 302–312

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/101679>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1980

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

EXTREMAL PROBLEMS FOR FUNCTIONS OF POSITIVE REAL PART WITH A FIXED COEFFICIENT AND APPLICATIONS

P. D. TUAN, Hobart, and V. V. ANH, Armidale

(Received August 18, 1978)

1. INTRODUCTION

Let \mathbf{B} be the class of functions $w(z)$ regular in $\Delta = \{z; |z| < 1\}$ and satisfying the conditions $w(0) = 0$, $|w(z)| < 1$ in Δ . We denote by $\mathbf{P}(A, B)$, $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$, the class of functions $p(z) = 1 + p_1z + p_2z^2 + \dots$ defined by

$$p(z) = \frac{1 + A w(z)}{1 + B w(z)}, \quad z \in \Delta,$$

for some $w(z) \in \mathbf{B}$. This class, introduced by JANOWSKI [4], is a generalisation of the classical result (see NEHARI [7, p. 169]) that any regular function $p(z) = 1 + p_1z + p_2z^2 + \dots$ such that $\operatorname{Re} \{p(z)\} > 0$ in Δ can be written in the form

$$p(z) = \frac{1 + w(z)}{1 - w(z)}, \quad w(z) \in \mathbf{B}.$$

Let $p(z) = 1 + p_1z + p_2z^2 + \dots \in \mathbf{P}(A, B)$ and put $\theta = \arg p_1$. Then $p(e^{-i\theta}z) = 1 + |p_1|z + \dots \in \mathbf{P}(A, B)$. Hence there is no loss of generality in limiting our study to functions in $\mathbf{P}(A, B)$ with a non-negative real first coefficient. Also, it is known that $|p_1| \leq A - B$ (see LIBERA and LIVINGSTON [5]). From these observations, we define the following subclass of $\mathbf{P}(A, B)$:

$$\mathbf{P}_b(A, B) = \{p(z) \in \mathbf{P}(A, B); p'(0) = b(A - B), 0 \leq b \leq 1\}.$$

In this paper, we shall be concerned with the extremal problem

$$(1.1) \quad \min_{|z|=r < 1} \operatorname{Re} \{\alpha p(z) + \beta z p'(z)/p(z)\}, \quad \alpha \geq 0, \quad \beta \geq 0$$

over $\mathbf{P}_b(A, B)$. Two special cases of this problem, namely,

$$\min_{|z|=r < 1} \operatorname{Re} \{p(z) + z p'(z)/p(z)\} \quad \text{and} \quad \min_{|z|=r < 1} \operatorname{Re} \{z p'(z)/p(z)\},$$

where $p(z)$ varies in $\mathbf{P}(A, B)$, were considered by Janowski [4]. However, Janowski solved these problems making use of a result due to ROBERTSON which relies on variational techniques, while our approach to (1.1) is classical and based on Dieudonné's lemma (see DUREN [1, p. 25]). The results by Janowski [4] correspond to the cases $\alpha = \beta = b = 1$ and $\alpha = 0, \beta = b = 1$, respectively, of the solution to (1.1) (see Theorem 2.1).

For some applications of (1.1), we shall consider two subclasses of univalent functions with fixed second coefficient associated with $\mathbf{P}_b(A, B)$, namely,

$$\mathbf{S}_b^*(A, B) = \{f(z) = z + b(A - B)z^2 + \dots; z f'(z)/f(z) \in \mathbf{P}_b(A, B), z \in \Delta\},$$

$$\mathbf{P}'_b(A, B) = \{f(z) = z + (\frac{1}{2}b)(A - B)z^2 + \dots; f'(z) \in \mathbf{P}_b(A, B), z \in \Delta\}.$$

By special choices of A, B , these classes reduce to well-known subclasses of univalent functions; for example,

$$\mathbf{S}_b^*(1 - 2\alpha, -1) = \{f(z) = z + 2bz^2 + \dots; \operatorname{Re}\{z f'(z)/f(z)\} > \alpha, 0 \leq \alpha < 1, z \in \Delta\},$$

$$\mathbf{P}'_b(1 - 2\alpha, -1) = \{f(z) = z + bz + \dots; \operatorname{Re}\{f'(z)\} > \alpha, 0 \leq \alpha < 1, z \in \Delta\}.$$

We shall investigate how the second coefficient in the series expansion of functions in $\mathbf{S}_b^*(A, B)$ and $\mathbf{P}'_b(A, B)$ affects certain properties such as distortion, covering and convexity of these functions. This type of problems was first studied by GRONWALL [3] on univalent and convex functions. FINKELSTEIN [2] obtained distortion theorems for $\mathbf{S}_b^*(1, -1)$. These results were generalised to $\mathbf{S}_b^*(1 - 2\alpha, -1)$ by TEPPER [8], who also derived the radius of convexity of $\mathbf{S}_b^*(1, -1)$. The radius of convexity of $\mathbf{S}_b^*(1 - 2\alpha, -1)$ was found by MCCARTY [6]. The latter author also obtained corresponding results for $\mathbf{P}'_b(1 - 2\alpha, -1)$. Our results for $\mathbf{S}_b^*(A, B)$ and $\mathbf{P}'_b(A, B)$ will naturally cover all these as special cases.

2. THE FUNCTIONAL $\operatorname{Re}\{\alpha p(z) + \beta z p'(z)/p(z)\}$, $\alpha \geq 0, \beta \geq 0$, OVER $\mathbf{P}_b(A, B)$

For $p(z) \in \mathbf{P}_b(A, B)$, we may write

$$(2.1) \quad p(z) = \frac{1 + A w(z)}{1 + B w(z)}, \quad z \in \Delta,$$

for some $w(z) \in \mathbf{B}$ so that

$$w(z) = \frac{1 - p(z)}{B p(z) - A} = bz + \dots = z \psi(z),$$

where $\psi(z)$ is regular and $|\psi(z)| \leq 1$ in Δ with $\psi(0) = b$. Now, since $0 \leq b \leq 1$, we have

$$\frac{\psi(z) - b}{1 - b \psi(z)} < z, \quad z \in \Delta.$$

where $f(z) < g(z)$ means " $f(z)$ is subordinate to $g(z)$ ".

Hence

$$\psi(z) < \frac{z+b}{1+bz}, \quad z \in \Delta,$$

which yields

$$(2.2) \quad \operatorname{Re} \{\psi(z)\} \geq \frac{b-|z|}{1-b|z|}, \quad |\psi(z)| \leq \frac{|z|+b}{1+b|z|}, \quad |w(z)| \leq |z| \frac{|z|+b}{1+b|z|}.$$

We next put $D = (r+b)/(1+br)$, $0 < r < 1$, and define

$$H_r(z) = \frac{1+ADz}{1+BDz}, \quad z \in \Delta;$$

then it is clear that

$$(2.3) \quad p(z) < H_r(z), \quad |z| \leq r.$$

And so, $p(z)$ maps $|z| \leq r$ into the disc

$$(2.4) \quad |p(z) - a_b| \leq d_b,$$

where

$$(2.5) \quad a_b = \frac{1-ABC^2}{1-B^2C^2}, \quad d_b = \frac{(A-B)C}{1-B^2C^2}, \quad C = r \frac{r+b}{1+br}.$$

It follows immediately from (2.4) and (2.5) that if $p(z) \in \mathbf{P}_b(A, B)$, then on $|z| = r < 1$,

$$(2.6) \quad \frac{1-AC}{1-BC} \leq \operatorname{Re} \{p(z)\} \leq |p(z)| \leq \frac{1+AC}{1+BC}.$$

The first inequality is sharp for the function

$$p(z) = \frac{1+b(A-1)z - Az^2}{1+b(B-1)z - Bz^2} \quad \text{at } z = -r$$

while the third inequality is sharp for the function

$$p(z) = \frac{1+b(1+A)z + Az^2}{1+b(1+B)z + Bz^2} \quad \text{at } z = r.$$

Also, putting $E(b) = a_b - d_b = (1-AC)/(1-BC)$, $F(b) = a_b + d_b = (1+AC)/(1+BC)$, C being as given by (2.5), we have

$$\frac{dC}{db} = \frac{r(1-r^2)}{(1+br)^2} > 0, \quad \frac{dE}{db} = -\frac{A-B}{(1-BC)^2} \cdot \frac{dC}{db} < 0,$$

$$\frac{dF}{db} = \frac{A-B}{(1+BC)^2} \cdot \frac{dC}{db} > 0.$$

Thus for a fixed r in $(0, 1)$,

$$(2.7) \quad a_b - d_b \geq a_1 - d_1, \quad a_b + d_b \geq a_0 + d_0.$$

We now prove

2.1. Theorem. *If $p(z) \in \mathbf{P}_b(A, B)$, $\alpha \geq 0$, $\beta \geq 0$, then on $|z| = r < 1$,*

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \alpha p(z) + \beta \frac{z p'(z)}{p(z)} \right\} \geq \begin{cases} \beta \frac{A+B}{A-B} + \frac{1}{(A-B)(1-r^2)} \cdot \\ \cdot \left[L_1 \cdot \frac{1-BC}{1-AC} + K_1 \cdot \frac{1-AC}{1-BC} - 2\beta(1-ABr^2) \right], & R_1 \leq R'_2, \\ \beta \frac{A+B}{A-B} + \frac{2}{(A-B)(1-r^2)} [(L_1 K_1)^{1/2} - \beta(1-ABr^2)], & R'_2 \leq R_1, \end{cases}$$

where $R_1 = (L_1/K_1)^{1/2}$, $R'_2 = (1-AC)/(1-BC)$, $L_1 = \beta(1-A)(1+Ar^2)$, $K_1 = \alpha(A-B)(1-r^2) + \beta(1-B)(1+Br^2)$, $C = r(r+b)/(1+br)$. The result is sharp.

Proof. From the representation formula (2.1) we may write

$$\alpha p(z) + \beta \frac{z p'(z)}{p(z)} = \alpha \frac{1 + A w(z)}{1 + B w(z)} + \beta \frac{(A-B) z w'(z)}{[1 + A w(z)][1 + B w(z)]}.$$

Applying Dieudonné's lemma to the second term of the right-hand side, we find

$$(2.8) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \alpha p(z) + \beta \frac{z p'(z)}{p(z)} \right\} \geq \beta \frac{A+B}{A-B} + \frac{1}{A-B} \cdot \operatorname{Re} \left\{ [\alpha(A-B) - \beta B] p(z) - \frac{\beta A}{p(z)} \right\} - \beta \frac{r^2 |B p(z) - A|^2 - |1 - p(z)|^2}{(A-B)(1-r^2) |p(z)|}.$$

In view of (2.4), we put $p(z) = a_b + u + iv$, $|p(z)| = R$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & r^2 |B p(z) - A|^2 - |1 - p(z)|^2 = \\ & = -(1 - B^2 r^2) R^2 + 2(1 - AB r^2)(a_b + u) - (1 - A^2 r^2) = \\ & = -(1 - B^2 r^2) R^2 + 2a_1(1 - B^2 r^2)(a_b + u) - (1 - B^2 r^2)(a_1^2 - d_1^2). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, denoting the right-hand side of (2.8) by $S(u, v)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} S(u, v) = & \beta \frac{A+B}{A-B} + \frac{1}{A-B} \left\{ [\alpha(A-B) - \beta B](a_b + u) - \frac{\beta A(a_b + u)}{R^2} + \right. \\ & \left. + \beta \frac{1 - B^2 r^2}{1 - r^2} \left[R - 2a_1 \frac{a_b + u}{R} + \frac{a_1^2 - d_1^2}{R} \right] \right\} = \end{aligned}$$

$$= \beta \frac{A+B}{A-B} + \frac{1}{A-B} \left\{ \left[\alpha(A-B) - \beta B - \frac{\beta A}{R^2} \right] (a_b + u) + \beta \frac{1-B^2r^2}{1-r^2} \cdot \frac{1}{R} [(a_b + u - a_1)^2 + v^2 - d_1^2] \right\}.$$

This gives

$$(2.9) \quad \frac{\partial S}{\partial v} = \frac{\beta}{A-B} \cdot \frac{v}{R^4} T(u, v)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} T(u, v) &= 2A(a_b + u) + \frac{1-B^2r^2}{1-r^2} \{R^3 - R[a_1^2 - 2(a_b + u)a_1 - d_1^2]\} = \\ &= 2(a_b + u) \left(A + \frac{1-B^2r^2}{1-r^2} \cdot a_1R \right) + \frac{1-B^2r^2}{1-r^2} [R^3 - R(a_1^2 - d_1^2)]. \end{aligned}$$

Since $R \geq a_b - d_b \geq a_1 - d_1$ as seen from (2.7), it follows that

$$(2.10) \quad \begin{aligned} A + \frac{1-B^2r^2}{1-r^2} \cdot a_1R &\geq A + (a_1 - d_1)^2 = \\ &= \frac{(1+B)(1-Ar)^2 + (A-B)(1-ABr^2)}{(1-Br)^2} > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$T(u, v) \geq 2(a_1 - d_1) \left(A + \frac{1-B^2r^2}{1-r^2} \cdot a_1R \right) + \frac{1-B^2r^2}{1-r^2} [R^3 - R(a_1^2 - d_1^2)].$$

Denote the right-hand side by $G(R)$, then

$$\frac{dG}{dR} = \frac{1-B^2r^2}{1-r^2} [(a_1 - d_1)^2 + 3R^2] > 0.$$

Thus, by (2.10)

$$G(R) \geq G(a_1 - d_1) = 2(a_1 - d_1) \left[A + \frac{1-B^2r^2}{1-r^2} (a_1 - d_1)^2 \right] > 0.$$

Hence $T(u, v) > 0$, and in view of (2.9), we see that minimum of $S(u, v)$ on the disc $|p(z) - a_b| \leq d_b$ is attained when $v = 0$ and $u \in [-d_b, d_b]$. Setting $v = 0$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} S(u, 0) &= \beta \frac{A+B}{A-B} + \frac{1}{A-B} \left\{ \beta \frac{(1-A)(1+Ar^2)}{1-r^2} \cdot \frac{1}{a_b + u} + \right. \\ &\left. + \frac{\alpha(A-B)(1-r^2) + \beta(1-B)(1+Br^2)}{1-r^2} (a_b + u) - 2\beta \frac{1-ABr^2}{1-r^2} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

which yields

$$\frac{dS(u, 0)}{du} = \frac{1}{(A - B)(1 - r^2)} \left[-\frac{L_1}{(a_b + u)^2} + K_1 \right].$$

It is clear that the absolute minimum of $S(u, 0)$ occurs at the point $u_0 = (L_1/K_1)^{1/2} - a_b$ if u_0 lies in $[-d_b, d_b]$, its value being

$$S(u_0, 0) = \beta \frac{A + B}{A - B} + \frac{2}{(A - B)(1 - r^2)} [(L_1 K_1)^{1/2} - \beta(1 - AB r^2)].$$

Now, from the conditions $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1$, $\alpha \geq 0$, $\beta \geq 0$, $r < 1$, it is clear that

$$(a_b + u_0)^2 \leq \frac{(1 - A)(1 + Ar^2)}{(1 - B)(1 + Br^2)} < \frac{1 + Ar^2}{1 + Br^2}.$$

Thus, together with (2.7), we find

$$(a_b + u_0)^2 < \frac{1 + Ar^2}{1 + Br^2} = a_0 + d_0 \leq a_b + d_b \leq (a_b + d_b)^2.$$

Thus $u_0 < d_b$. However, it is not necessary that $u_0 > -d_b$. For the case $u_0 \leq -d_b$, that is, if $R_1 \leq R'_2$, the absolute minimum of $S(u, 0)$ occurs at the end-point $u = -d_b$, the value of which is

$$S(-d_b, 0) = \beta \frac{A + B}{A - B} + \frac{1}{(A - B)(1 - r^2)} \cdot \left[L_1 \cdot \frac{1 - BC}{1 - AC} + K_1 \cdot \frac{1 - AC}{1 - BC} - 2\beta(1 - AB r^2) \right].$$

The result is sharp for the function

$$p(z) = \frac{1 + b(A - 1)z - Az^2}{1 + b(B - 1)z - Bz^2}$$

at the point $z = -r$ for $R_1 \leq R'_2$ and at the point $z = re^{i\theta}$ for $R'_2 \leq R_1$, where θ is determined from the equation

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{1 + b(A - 1)re^{i\theta} - Ar^2e^{2i\theta}}{1 + b(B - 1)re^{i\theta} - Br^2e^{2i\theta}} \right\} = R_1.$$

3. TWO SUBCLASSES OF UNIVALENT FUNCTIONS WITH FIXED SECOND COEFFICIENT

We first establish certain distortion properties for the class $\mathcal{S}_b^*(A, B)$. These refine several results obtained previously by Janowski [4] on the class $\mathcal{S}^*(A, B)$.

3.1. Theorem. *Let $f(z) \in \mathcal{S}_b^*(A, B)$; then on $|z| = r < 1$,*

$$r G(r) \leq |f(z)| \leq r H(r)$$

$$\frac{1 + b(1 - A)r - Ar^2}{1 + b(1 - B)r - Br^2} \cdot G(r) \leq |f'(z)| \leq \frac{1 + b(1 + A)r + Ar^2}{1 + b(1 + B)r + Br^2} \cdot H(r)$$

where

$$H(r) = \begin{cases} \exp \{H_1(r; A, B)\}, & \text{for } B < 0 \text{ or } \{B > 0 \text{ and } b^2 \geq 4B/(1 + B)^2\}, \\ \exp \{H_2(r; A, B)\}, & \text{for } B > 0 \text{ and } b^2 \leq 4B/(1 + B)^2, \\ \exp \left\{ A \left[\frac{r}{b} + \left(1 - \frac{1}{b^2} \right) \log(1 + br) \right] \right\}, & \text{for } B = 0 \text{ and } b \neq 0, \\ \exp \{ \frac{1}{2} Ar^2 \}, & \text{for } B = 0 \text{ and } b = 0; \end{cases}$$

$$G(r) = \begin{cases} \exp \{H_1(r; -A, -B)\}, & \text{for } B > 0 \text{ or } \{B < 0 \text{ and } b^2 \geq -4B/(1 - B)^2\}, \\ \exp \{H_2(r; -A, -B)\}, & \text{for } B < 0 \text{ and } b^2 \leq -4B/(1 - B)^2, \\ \exp \left\{ -A \left[\frac{r}{b} + \left(1 - \frac{1}{b^2} \right) \log(1 + br) \right] \right\}, & \text{for } B = 0 \text{ and } b \neq 0, \\ \exp \{ -\frac{1}{2} Ar^2 \}, & \text{for } B = 0 \text{ and } b = 0; \end{cases}$$

$$H_1(r; A, B) = \frac{A - B}{2B} \log(1 + b(1 + B)r + Br^2) +$$

$$+ \frac{(A - B)(1 - B)b}{4B^2 r \sqrt{-c_1}} \log \left| \frac{b(1 + B) + 2Br(1 + \sqrt{-c_1})}{b(1 + B) + 2Br(1 - \sqrt{-c_1})} \cdot \frac{b(1 + B) - 2Br \sqrt{-c_1}}{b(1 + B) + 2Br \sqrt{-c_1}} \right|,$$

$$H_2(r; A, B) = \frac{A - B}{2B} \log(1 + b(1 + B)r + Br^2) -$$

$$- \frac{(A - B)(1 - B)b}{2B^2 r \sqrt{c_1}} \left[\tan^{-1} \left(\frac{2Br + b(1 + B)}{2Br \sqrt{c_1}} \right) - \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{b(1 + B)}{2Br \sqrt{c_1}} \right) \right],$$

$$c_1 = \frac{1}{Br^2} - \left[\frac{b(1 + B)}{2Br} \right]^2.$$

Proof. The structural formula for the class $\mathcal{S}_b^*(A, B)$ is

$$f(z) = z \exp \int_0^z \frac{p(\xi) - 1}{\xi} d\xi, \quad p(z) \in \mathcal{P}_b(A, B).$$

Hence

$$\left| \frac{f(z)}{z} \right| = \exp \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \int_0^z \frac{p(\xi) - 1}{\xi} d\xi \right\}.$$

Substituting ξ by zt in the integral we get

$$(3.1) \quad \left| \frac{f(z)}{z} \right| = \exp \int_0^1 \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{p(zt) - 1}{t} \right\} dt.$$

An application of (2.6) yields, on $|zt| = rt$,

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{p(zt) - 1}{t} \right\} \geq -(A - B) \frac{br + r^2 t}{1 + b(1 - B)rt - Br^2 t^2}.$$

Replacing this bound into (3.1) and carrying out the integration will give the lower bound for $|f(z)|$. The upper bound may be obtained similarly. From the definition of $\mathcal{S}_b^*(A, B)$ we have

$$(3.2) \quad |f'(z)| = \left| \frac{f(z)}{z} \right| |p(z)|, \quad p(z) \in \mathcal{P}_b(A, B), \quad z \in \Delta.$$

Hence making use of the bounds derived above for $|f(z)|$ together with inequalities (2.6), we obtain the corresponding bounds for $|f'(z)|$.

The lower bounds for $|f(z)|$ and $|f'(z)|$ are sharp for the function

$$f(z) = z \exp \int_0^z \frac{(A - B)(b - \xi)}{1 + b(B - 1)\xi - B\xi^2} d\xi,$$

while their upper bounds are attained for the function

$$f(z) = z \exp \int_0^z \frac{(A - B)(b + \xi)}{1 + b(1 + B)\xi + B\xi^2} d\xi.$$

3.2. Remark. For an application of the above theorem, let us consider the function $g(z) = 1/z + b_1 z + b_2 z^2 + \dots$ which maps the unit disc onto a domain whose complement is starlike with respect to the origin. Then the function $f(z)$ defined by $f(z) = 1/g(z)$, $z \in \Delta$, is starlike in Δ and has the series expansion

$$f(z) = z + a_3 z^3 + a_4 z^4 + \dots$$

Hence Theorem 3.1 with $A = 1$, $B = -1$, $b = 0$ gives

$$\frac{1}{r} - r \leq |g(z)| = \frac{1}{|f(z)|} \leq \frac{1}{r} + r, \quad |z| = r.$$

Equalities occur for the function $g(z) = 1/z + \varepsilon z$, $|\varepsilon| = 1$.

3.3. Theorem. The radius of convexity of $\mathbf{S}_b^*(A, B)$ is given by the smallest root in $(0, 1]$ of

$$(i) \quad A^2 r^4 + b(2A^2 - 3A + B) r^3 + [b^2(1 - A)^2 - 4A + 2B] r^2 + b(2 + B - 3A) r + 1 = 0, \quad \text{for } R_1 \leq R_2',$$

$$(ii) \quad (4A^2 - 5A + B) r^4 - 2(2A^2 - 3A + 2 - B) r^2 + 4 - 5A + B = 0, \\ \text{for } R_2' \leq R_1,$$

where R_1, R_2' are as given in Theorem 2.1 with $\alpha = \beta = 1$.

Proof. For $f(z) \in \mathbf{S}_b^*(A, B)$, we may write

$$1 + \frac{z f''(z)}{f'(z)} = p(z) + \frac{z p'(z)}{p(z)},$$

for some $p(z) \in \mathbf{P}_b(A, B)$. Thus an application of Theorem 2.1 with $\alpha = \beta = 1$ yields immediately the equations giving the radius of convexity of $\mathbf{S}_b^*(A, B)$. The result is sharp for the function $f_0(z)$ determined from $z f_0'(z)/f_0(z) = p(z)$, where $p(z)$ is extremal for Theorem 2.1.

Theorem 3 of McCarty [6] corresponds to the case $A = 1 - 2\alpha, B = -1$. We note that the two bounds in Theorem 2.1 are attained by the same function at two different points. Thus the function $f_0(z)$ defined above serves as an extremal function for both cases of Theorem 3.3. The second extremal function given by McCarty [6, Theorem 3], in fact, does not belong to the class.

In [5], Libera and Livingston found the radius of convexity for functions $f(z)$ satisfying

$$\left| \frac{z f'(z)}{f(z)} - \alpha \right| < \alpha, \quad z \in \Delta$$

for $\alpha \geq 1$. The complete result which includes the range $\frac{1}{2} < \alpha < 1$ may be obtained by putting $A = 1, B = 1/\alpha - 1, b = 1$ in Theorem 3.3 above.

We next consider the class $\mathbf{P}_b'(A, B)$.

3.4. Theorem. Let $f(z) \in \mathbf{P}_b'(A, B)$; then on $|z| = r < 1$,

$$\frac{1 + b(1 - A) r - Ar^2}{1 + b(1 - B) r - Br^2} \leq \operatorname{Re} \{f'(z)\} \leq |f'(z)| \leq \frac{1 + b(1 + A) r + Ar^2}{1 + b(1 + B) r + Br^2};$$

$$|f(z)| \leq \begin{cases} G_1(r; A, B), \text{ for } B < 0 \text{ or } \{B > 0 \text{ and } b^2 \geq 4B/(1 + B)^2\}, \\ G_2(r; A, B), \text{ for } B > 0 \text{ and } b^2 \leq 4B/(1 + B)^2, \\ \frac{Ar^2}{2b} + \left(1 + A - \frac{A}{b^2}\right) r + \frac{A(1 - b^2)}{b^3} \log(1 + br), \text{ for } B = 0, b \neq 0, \\ r + Ar^3/3, \text{ for } B = 0, b = 0; \end{cases}$$

$$|f(z)| \cong \begin{cases} G_1(r; -A, -B), \text{ for } B > 0 \text{ or } \{B < 0 \text{ and } b^2 \geq -4B/(1-B)^2\}, \\ G_2(r; -A, -B), \text{ for } B < 0 \text{ and } b^2 \leq -4B/(1-B)^2, \\ -\frac{Ar^2}{2b} + \left(1 - A + \frac{A}{b^2}\right)r - \frac{A(1-b^2)}{b^3} \log(1+br), \text{ for } B = 0, b \neq 0, \\ r - Ar^3/3, \text{ for } B = 0, b = 0; \end{cases}$$

where

$$G_1(r; A, B) = \frac{Ar}{B} - \frac{b(A-B)}{2B^2} \log(1 + b(1+B)r + Br^2) + \frac{A-B}{2B^2}.$$

$$\left[1 - \frac{b^2(1+B)}{2B}\right] \frac{1}{\sqrt{-c_2}} \log \left| \frac{2Br + b(1+B) + 2B\sqrt{-c_2}}{2Br + b(1+B) - 2B\sqrt{-c_2}} \cdot \frac{b(1+B) - 2B\sqrt{-c_2}}{b(1+B) + 2B\sqrt{-c_2}} \right|,$$

$$G_2(r; A, B) = \frac{Ar}{B} - \frac{b(A-B)}{2B^2} \log(1 + b(1+B)r + Br^2) - \frac{A-B}{B^2}.$$

$$\left[1 - \frac{b^2(1+B)}{2B}\right] \frac{1}{\sqrt{c_2}} \left[\tan^{-1} \left(\frac{2Br + b(1+B)}{2B\sqrt{c_2}} \right) - \tan^{-1} \frac{b(1+B)}{2B\sqrt{c_2}} \right],$$

$$c_2 = \frac{1}{B} - \left[\frac{b(1+B)}{2B} \right]^2.$$

Proof. Since $f'(z) \in \mathbf{P}_b(A, B)$, the bounds for $\operatorname{Re}\{f'(z)\}$ and $|f'(z)|$ follow immediately from (2.6). The bounds for $|f(z)|$ are derived from the fact that

$$f(z) = \int_0^z f'(\xi) d\xi = \int_0^{|z|} f'(te^{i\theta}) e^{i\theta} dt.$$

Thus, on $|z| = r$,

$$|f(z)| \leq \int_0^r |f'(te^{i\theta})| dt \leq \int_0^r \frac{1 + b(1+A)t + At^2}{1 + b(1+B)t + Bt^2} dt,$$

$$|f(z)| \geq \int_0^r \operatorname{Re}\{f'(te^{i\theta})\} dt \geq \int_0^r \frac{1 + b(1-A)t - At^2}{1 + b(1-B)t - Bt^2} dt.$$

Carrying out the integration we get the bounds for $|f(z)|$.

The upper bounds for $|f'(z)|$ and $|f(z)|$ are attained for the function

$$f(z) = \int_0^z \frac{1 + b(1+A)\xi + A\xi^2}{1 + b(1+B)\xi + B\xi^2} d\xi \quad \text{at } z = r,$$

while the lower bounds for $\operatorname{Re}\{f'(z)\}$ and $|f(z)|$ are attained for the function

$$f(z) = \int_0^z \frac{1 + b(A-1)\xi - A\xi^2}{1 + b(B-1)\xi - B\xi^2} d\xi \quad \text{at } z = -r.$$

For $f(z) \in \mathcal{P}'_b(A, B)$, we have

$$1 + \frac{z f''(z)}{f'(z)} = 1 + \frac{z p'(z)}{p(z)}, \quad z \in \Delta$$

for some $p(z) \in \mathcal{P}_b(A, B)$. Thus an application of Theorem 2.1 with $\alpha = 0$, $\beta = 1$ gives

3.5. Theorem. *The radius of convexity of $\mathcal{P}'_b(A, B)$ is given by the smallest root in $(0, 1]$ of*

$$(i) \quad ABr^4 - 2bA(1-B)r^3 + [b^2(1-A)(1-B) + B - 3A]r^2 + 2b(1-A)r + 1 = 0, \quad \text{for } R_1 \leq R'_2,$$

$$(ii) \quad A(1-B)r^4 + (1-A)(1-B)r^2 - (1-A) = 0, \quad \text{for } R'_2 \leq R_1,$$

where R_1, R'_2 are as given in Theorem 2.1 with $\alpha = 0$, $\beta = 1$.

The result is sharp for the function $f_1(z) = \int_0^z p(\xi) d\xi$, where $p(z)$ is extremal for Theorem 2.1.

Putting $A = 1 - 2\alpha$, $B = -1$, we obtain Theorem 2 of McCarty [6]. Again here, we remark that the function $f_1(z)$ defined above is extremal for both cases of Theorem 3.5. The second extremal function given by McCarty [6, Theorem 2], in fact, does not belong to the class.

References

- [1] *P. Duren*: Subordination, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Vol 599, 1977.
- [2] *M. Finkelstein*: Growth estimates of convex functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 18 (1967), 412—418.
- [3] *T. H. Gronwall*: On the distortion in conformal mapping when the second coefficient in the mapping function has an assigned value, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., 6 (1920), 300—302.
- [4] *W. Janowski*: Some extremal problems for certain families of analytic functions I, Ann. Polon. Math., 28 (1973), 298—326.
- [5] *R. J. Libera* and *A. E. Livingston*: Bounded functions with positive real part, Czechoslovak Math. J., 22 (97) (1972), 195—209.
- [6] *C. P. McCarty*: Two radius of convexity problems, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 42 (1974), 153—160.
- [7] *Z. Nehari*: Conformal mapping, McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York, 1952.
- [8] *D. E. Tepper*: On the radius of convexity and boundary distortion of Schlicht functions, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 150 (1970), 519—528.

Authors' addresses: P. D. Tuan, Department of Mathematics, University of Tasmania, Hobart, Tas. 7001, Australia; V. V. Anh, Department of Mathematics, University of New England, Armidale, N.S.W. 2351, Australia.