

EXTREME MEASURABLE SELECTIONS

JERRY A. JOHNSON¹

ABSTRACT. The extreme points of the set of measurable selections for a set-valued mapping are characterized. As a corollary, the extreme points of the unit ball of the space of "vector-valued L^p functions" are characterized, thus generalizing results of Sundaresan.

1. Introduction. Let E be a separable Banach space and (S, \mathcal{A}, μ) a measure space. A function $f: S \rightarrow E$ is called *measurable* if $f^{-1}(B) \in \mathcal{A}$ for each Borel subset B of E . For $1 \leq p < \infty$, $L_p = L_p(S, \mathcal{A}, \mu; E)$ denotes the Banach space of measurable functions $f: S \rightarrow E$ such that

$$\|f\|_p = \left[\int \|f(s)\|^p d\mu(s) \right]^{1/p} < \infty.$$

We will always identify functions that are equal almost everywhere.

In [11] Sundaresan shows that (with a suitable change in our definition, even for nonseparable E) if $\|f\|_p = 1$ and $f(s)/\|f(s)\| \in \text{ext } U$ for almost all $s \in S$, then f is an extreme point of the unit ball of L_p where $1 < p < \infty$, S is a locally compact Hausdorff space, μ is a regular Borel measure, and $\text{ext } U$ is the set of extreme points of the unit ball U of E . In the case where E is a separable conjugate space, Theorem 2 in [11] establishes the converse and gives a characterization of the extreme points of the set of measurable functions $f: S \rightarrow U$. These results generalize those of [5]. Other earlier work for $S = [0, 1]$ and E finite dimensional was done by Karlin [8] and Aumann [1]. (When the author originally submitted this note, he was unaware of references [10] and [11]. He thanks the referee for calling them to his attention.)

In Proposition 1 of this note we give a characterization of the extreme points of the set of measurable selections for a set-valued function F . (It was suggested by Aumann in [1, p. 11] that this could be done if E were finite dimensional and F had compact convex values.) From this, we obtain four corollaries. Corollaries 2 and 4 strengthen [11, Theorem 2]

Received by the editors August 1, 1972 and, in revised form, March 29, 1973.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 28A05, 46E40.

¹ Supported in part by a grant from the Oklahoma State University College of Arts and Sciences Office of Research and Graduate Studies.

© American Mathematical Society 1974

and [1, Proposition 6.1]. Considerations of this sort arise not only in the applications mentioned in [1] but also in control theory (see [9]). Corollary 5 provides a generalization of [11, Theorem 2] to the case where (S, \mathcal{A}, μ) is a complete measure space and E is a separable Banach space.

2. The characterizations. Let M and N be separable metric spaces and μ a Borel measure on M . By a μ -measurable subset of M , we mean in the usual Carathéodory sense (see [2], e.g.). A function $f: M \rightarrow N$ is called μ -measurable [resp. Borel measurable] if $f^{-1}(B)$ is μ -measurable [resp. a Borel set] for each Borel set $B \subset N$.

A subset of a metric space is called *analytic* (or *Souslin*) if it is the continuous image of a Borel set in some complete separable metric space. (See [3] and [4] concerning analytic sets.)

The following theorem was proved by von Neumann [6, Lemma 5, p. 448] with N taken as the reals. A careful examination of the proof reveals that it is valid in the more general setting stated below.

THEOREM 1 (VON NEUMANN). *Let M and N be complete separable metric spaces, A an analytic subset of M , and $g: A \rightarrow N$ continuous. Let μ be a Borel measure on N . Then $g(A)$ is μ -measurable and there exists a μ -measurable mapping $\phi: g(A) \rightarrow M$ such that $g(\phi(x)) = x$ for each $x \in g(A)$.*

Following [1] we let 2^S denote the subsets of S . The graph of a mapping $F: T \rightarrow 2^S$ is denoted by \mathcal{G}_F and is defined to be $\{(t, s) | s \in F(t)\}$. F is called Borel measurable or analytic according as its graph is. We note, as pointed out in [1, p. 2], that a point-valued function is Borel measurable if and only if its graph is. In [1, Proposition 2.1] Aumann observed (for $S = [0, 1]$ and μ a Lebesgue measure) the following consequence of Theorem 1 above.

COROLLARY 1. *Let S_1 and S_2 be complete separable metric spaces, $F: S_1 \rightarrow 2^{S_2}$ analytic, $F(s) \neq \emptyset$ for each s , and μ a Borel measure on S_1 . Then there is a μ -measurable function $f: S_1 \rightarrow S_2$ with $f(s) \in F(s)$ for each $s \in S_1$.*

PROOF. In Theorem 1 above take $M = S_1 \times S_2$, $N = S_2$, $A = \mathcal{G}_F$ and $g(s_1, s_2) = s_2$. The required selection f is the second component of ϕ .

Throughout the remainder of this paper, unless otherwise explicitly stated, E will be a separable Banach space, S a separable complete metric space, and μ a (positive) Borel measure on S .

We denote the complement of a set A by \tilde{A} , and $B \sim A$ means $B \cap \tilde{A}$. $B - A$ and $B + A$ are used, for subsets of E , to mean $\{x - y | x \in B, y \in A\}$ and $\{x + y | x \in B, y \in A\}$ respectively.

LEMMA 1. Let F and G be Borel measurable from S into 2^E . The mappings $H_i, 1 \leq i \leq 5$, defined below are Borel measurable.

- (1) $H_1: s \rightarrow F(s) \cap G(s)$.
- (2) If $A \subset S$ is a Borel set, $H_2(s) = F(s)$ for $s \in A$ and $H_2(s) = G(s)$ for $s \in \tilde{A}$.
- (3) If $f: S \rightarrow E$ is Borel measurable and λ is a scalar, $H_3: s \rightarrow f(s) + \lambda F(s)$.
- (4) If $B \subset E$ is a Borel set, $H_4: s \rightarrow B$ for all $s \in S$.
- (5) $H_5(s) = F(s) \times G(s)$.

PROOF. (1) $\mathcal{G}_F \cap \mathcal{G}_G = \mathcal{G}_{H_1}$.

(2) $\mathcal{G}_{H_2} = [\mathcal{G}_F \cap (A \times E)] \cup [\mathcal{G}_G \cap (\tilde{A} \times E)]$.

(3) Let $\phi: S \times E \rightarrow S \times E$ be defined by $\phi(s, x) = (s, \lambda^{-1}(x - f(s)))$ if $\lambda \neq 0$. Then ϕ is Borel measurable and $\phi^{-1}\mathcal{G}_F = \mathcal{G}_{H_3}$. (The case $\lambda = 0$ is trivial.)

(4) $\mathcal{G}_{H_4} = S \times B$.

(5) $\mathcal{G}_{H_5} = \phi^{-1}(\mathcal{G}_F \times \mathcal{G}_G)$ where $\phi(s, x, y) = ((s, x), (s, y))$.

This completes the proof of Lemma 1.

PROPOSITION 1. Let $F: S \rightarrow 2^E$ be Borel measurable with $F(s)$ convex and nonempty for each $s \in S$. Let $F_1(s) = F(s) \sim \text{ext } F(s)$ and suppose that $\{s | F_1(s) \neq \emptyset\}$ is a Borel set. \mathcal{S}_F denotes the set of μ -measurable functions $f: S \rightarrow E$ such that $f(s) \in F(s)$ for almost all $s \in S$. Then $f \in \text{ext } \mathcal{S}_F$ if and only if $f(s) \in \text{ext } F(s)$ for almost all $s \in S$.

PROOF. That the condition is sufficient for f to be in $\text{ext } \mathcal{S}_F$ is clear.

Let $A = \{s | f(s) \in F_1(s)\}$ and suppose A is not of μ -measure zero. Define $F_2(s) = (F(s) \times F(s)) \cap (E \times E \sim \Delta)$, where Δ is the diagonal of $E \times E$. \mathcal{G}_{F_2} is a Borel set by Lemma 1, and the mapping $(s, x, y) \rightarrow (s, 1/2(x + y))$ sends \mathcal{G}_{F_2} continuously onto \mathcal{G}_{F_1} . Hence \mathcal{G}_{F_1} is analytic. From [2, Propositions 13, 14, p. 97] it follows that there is a Borel measurable function $g: S \rightarrow E$ such that $g = f$ a.e. Let $B = \{s | g(s) \in F_1(s)\}$. Now, $\mathcal{G}_g \cap \mathcal{G}_{F_1}$ is analytic since each graph is (see [3, p. 454 and p. 482]). If π_1 is the canonical projection of $S \times E$ on S , then $\pi_1(\mathcal{G}_g \cap \mathcal{G}_{F_1}) = B$, and therefore B is analytic. S complete and separable implies that B is μ -measurable (see [4, Theorem 5.5, p. 50 and Theorem 7.4, p. 52]). Since $g = f$ a.e., the symmetric difference of A and B is of measure zero. It follows that $\mu B > 0$ since otherwise $\mu A = 0$, a contradiction. Now, μ is regular (see [2, Corollary 2, p. 347]) so there is a compact set $K \subset B$ with $\mu K > 0$. Let

$$G(s) = [(g(s) - F(s)) \cap (-g(s) + F(s))] \sim \{0\}, \quad \text{if } s \in K,$$

$$= \{0\}, \quad \text{if } s \notin K.$$

By Lemma 1, G is Borel measurable and, since $G(s) \neq \emptyset$ for each s ,

we may apply Corollary 1 to obtain a μ -measurable function $h: S \rightarrow E$ such that $h \in \mathcal{S}_G$. This says that h does not vanish on K and that $g+h$ and $g-h$ belong to \mathcal{S}_F . Since $g=f$ a.e., it follows that f is not an extreme point of \mathcal{S}_F . This completes the proof of the proposition.

The following corollary extends the L^∞ case of Theorem 2 in [11].

COROLLARY 2. *If K is a nonempty, convex, Borel subset of E and \mathcal{S}_K is the set of μ -measurable functions $f: S \rightarrow K$, then $f \in \text{ext } \mathcal{S}_K$ if and only if $f(s) \in \text{ext } K$ for almost all $s \in S$.*

The next corollary has two consequences, the second of which contains a converse of Theorem 2 in [5].

COROLLARY 3. *Let K be a closed, convex, nonempty subset of E and μ a Borel measure on K . If $\mu(K \sim \text{ext } K) > 0$, there is a Borel measurable function $g: K \rightarrow E$ such that $g \neq 0$ on a set of positive measure and such that $x \pm g(x) \in K$ for almost all $x \in K$.*

PROOF. First note that $K \sim \text{ext } K$ is analytic (see the proof of Proposition 1) and hence is μ -measurable. Now, letting $S=K$ in Corollary 2, we see that the identity map on K is not an extreme point of \mathcal{S}_K . Thus, there is a μ -measurable function $g_0: K \rightarrow E$ such that $x \pm g_0(x) \in K$ for almost all $x \in K$ and such that $g_0 \neq 0$ on a set of positive measure. Let g be a Borel measurable function equal μ -a.e. to g_0 .

COROLLARY 4. *Let K be a nonempty, closed, convex subset of E and (S, \mathcal{A}, ν) a measure space complete in the measure theoretic sense. Let \mathcal{S} be the set of functions $f: S \rightarrow K$ that are \mathcal{A} -measurable; i.e., $f^{-1}(B) \in \mathcal{A}$ for each Borel set $B \subset K$. (We continue to identify functions equal ν -a.e.) Then $f \in \text{ext } \mathcal{S}$ if and only if $f(s) \in \text{ext } K$ for ν -almost all $s \in S$.*

PROOF. Define $\mu B = \nu f^{-1}(B)$ for each Borel set $B \subset K$, and suppose that $A = \{s \mid f(s) \notin \text{ext } K\}$ is not of ν -measure zero. $K \sim \text{ext } K$ is analytic and therefore μ -measurable. If $\mu(K \sim \text{ext } K) = 0$, then by the regularity of μ , there is a Borel set $B \supset K \sim \text{ext } K$ with $\mu B = 0$. Thus, $f^{-1}(B) \supset A$ and $\nu f^{-1}(B) = 0$. By the completeness of (S, \mathcal{A}, ν) , we have $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\nu A = 0$, a contradiction. Hence, $\mu(K \sim \text{ext } K) > 0$ and we choose g to be the Borel measurable function guaranteed by Corollary 3. Then $g \circ f \neq 0$ on a set of positive ν -measure and $f(s) \pm g(f(s)) \in K$ for ν -almost all $s \in S$. Hence $f \notin \text{ext } \mathcal{S}$. The converse is clear.

COROLLARY 5. *Let (S, \mathcal{A}, ν) be as in Corollary 4. Then f is an extreme point of the unit ball of $L_p = L_p(S, \mathcal{A}, \nu; E)$, $1 < p < \infty$, if and only if $\|f\|_p = 1$, and for almost all s in the support S_f of f , $f(s)/\|f(s)\|$ is an extreme point of the unit ball U of E .*

PROOF. Let f be an extreme point of the unit ball of L_p . We apply Corollary 4 to the measure space (S_f, \mathcal{A}, ν) , the convex set U , and the function $h(s) = f(s) / \|f(s)\|$ on S_f . If h is not an extreme point of \mathcal{S} , then there exist $h_1, h_2 \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $h_1 \neq h_2$ on a set of positive measure and $h = 1/2(h_1 + h_2)$. Let $f_j(s) = \|f(s)\| h_j(s)$ for $s \in S_f$ and $f_j(s) = 0$ for $s \in S \setminus S_f$. It then follows that $f = 1/2(f_1 + f_2)$, each f_j is in the unit ball of L_p , and $f_1 \neq f_2$ on a set of positive measure. This is a contradiction. Thus, h is an extreme point of \mathcal{S} , so by Corollary 4, we have $h(s) \in \text{ext } U$ for almost all $s \in S$.

The proof of the converse may be taken verbatim from [5].

3. Closing remarks. The hypothesis of separability and completeness of E and S is necessary to determine that an analytic set is μ -measurable, and that a Borel set in $S \times E$ is in the product sigma-algebra.

If K is a compact convex subset of E then $\text{ext } K$ is a \mathcal{G}_δ set (see [7, Proposition 1.3]). The author does not know whether $\text{ext } U$ is a Borel set for arbitrary separable E . As noted earlier, $U \sim \text{ext } U$ is analytic. By [3, Corollary 1, p. 486], therefore, to prove $\text{ext } U$ is a Borel set it is enough to prove that it is analytic.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT. The author takes great pleasure in expressing his gratitude to Robert F. Kaufman for contributing many enlightening comments and helpful suggestions.

REFERENCES

1. R. J. Aumann, *Integrals of set-valued functions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **12** (1965), 1–12. MR **32** #2543.
2. N. Dinculeanu, *Vector measures*, Internat. Series of Monographs in Pure and Appl. Math., vol. 95, Pergamon Press, Oxford; VEB Deutscher Verlag der Wissenschaften, Berlin, 1967. MR **34** #6011b.
3. K. Kuratowski, *Topologie*. Vol. I, 2nd ed., Monografie Mat., Tom 20, Warszawa-Wroclaw, 1948; English transl., New ed., rev. and aug., Academic Press, New York; PWN, Warsaw, 1966. MR **10**, 389; **36** #840.
4. S. Saks, *Theory of the integral*, reprint, Dover, New York, 1964. MR **29** #4850.
5. K. Sundaresan, *Extreme points of the unit cell in Lebesgue-Bochner function spaces*. I, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **23** (1969), 179–184. MR **40** #719.
6. J. von Neumann, *On rings of operators. Reduction theory*, Ann. of Math. (2) **50** (1949), 401–485. MR **10**, 548.
7. R. R. Phelps, *Lectures on Choquet's theorem*, Van Nostrand, Princeton, N.J., 1966. MR **33** #1690.
8. S. Karlin, *Extreme points of vector functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **4** (1953), 603–610. MR **15**, 109.
9. H. Hermes and J. LaSalle, *Functional analysis and time optimal control*, Academic Press, New York, 1969.

10. K. Sundaresan, *Extreme points of convex sets and selection theorems*, Set-Valued Mappings, Selections and Topological Properties of 2^X (Proc. Conf., SUNY, Buffalo, N.Y., 1968), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 171, Springer, Berlin, 1970, pp. 82–87. MR 45 #1106.

11. ———, *Extreme points of the unit cell in Lebesgue-Bochner function spaces*, Colloq. Math. 22 (1970), 111–119. MR 43 #2493.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, OKLAHOMA STATE UNIVERSITY,
STILLWATER, OKLAHOMA 74074