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Absiract
The FFT Hashing Function proposed by C.P. Schnorr [1] hashes messages of arbitrary length into a 128bit hash value. In this paper, we show that this function is not collision frce, and we give an example of two distinct 256-bit messages with the same hash value. Finding a collision (in fact a large family of colliding messages) requires approximately $2^{23}$ partial compuations of the hash function, and takes a few hours on a SUN3-workstation, and less than an hour on a SPARC-workstation.

A similar result discovered independently has been announced at the Asiacrypt'gl rump session by Daemen-Bosselaers-Govaerts-Vandewalle [2].

## 1 The FFT Hashing Function

### 1.1 The Hash algorithm

Let the message be given as a bit string $m_{1} m_{2} \ldots m_{l}$ of $t$ bit.
The message is first padded so that its length (in bits) becomes a multiple of 128 . Let the padded message $M_{1} M_{2} \ldots M_{n}$ consist of $n$ blocks $M_{1}, \ldots, M_{n}$, each of the $M_{i}(i=1, \ldots, n)$ being 128 -bit long.

The algorithm uses a constant initial value $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ given in hexadecimal as

$$
\mathrm{H}_{0}=0123456789 \mathrm{ab} \text { cdef fcdc ba98 } 76543210 \text { in }\{0,1\}^{128}
$$

Let $p$ be the prime $65537=2^{16}+1$.
We will use the Fourier transform $\mathrm{FT}_{8}:\{0, \ldots, \mathrm{p}-1\}^{8} \ldots-\ldots>(0, \ldots, \mathrm{p}-1\}^{8}$

$$
\left(\mathbf{a}_{0}, \ldots, a_{7}\right) \quad \cdots \cdots>\left(b_{0}, \ldots, b_{7}\right)
$$

with $b_{i}=\sum_{j=0}^{7} 2^{4 i j} a_{j} \bmod p, \operatorname{cor} i=0, \ldots, 7$.
Algorithm for the hash function h :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { INPUT : } \quad M_{1} M_{2} \ldots M_{n} \text { in }\{0,1\}^{n .128} \quad \text { (a padded mcssagc) } \\
& \text { DO } \quad: \quad H_{i}=g\left(H_{i-1}, M_{i}\right) \quad \text { for } i=1, \ldots, n \\
& \text { OUTPUT: } \quad h(M):=H_{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

Algorithm for $g: z_{p}^{16} \cdots \cdots>(0,1]^{8.16}$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { INPUT } & \left(c_{0}, \ldots, c_{15}\right) \text { in }\{0,1\} \\
\text { 1. } & \left(c_{0}, c_{2}, \ldots, c_{14}\right):=F_{8}\left(c_{0}, c_{2}, \ldots, c_{14}\right) \\
\text { 2. } & \text { FOR } i=0, \ldots, 15 D 0 \\
& e_{i}:=c_{i}+c_{i-1} e_{i-2}+c_{c_{i-3}}+2^{i}(\bmod p) \\
& \text { (The lower indices } i, i-1, i-2, i-3, c_{i-3} \text { arc Laken modula 16) } \\
\text { 3. } & \text { REPEAT steps } i \text { and } 2 \\
\text { OUTPUT } & \left.\bar{c}_{i}:=c_{i} \bmod 2^{16}, \text { for } i=8, \ldots, 15 \text { (an clement of }[0,1]^{8.16}\right)
\end{array}
$$

### 1.2 Notations

For a beller clarity of our explanation, we will denote by $c_{i}^{0}(i=0, \ldots, 15)$ the initial $c_{i}$ valucs, and we will denote by step 3 (resp. step 4) the second pass of step 1 (resp. step2) in the algorithm for g.

When it will be necessary to avoid any kind of slip, we will denote by $c_{i}^{k}(i=0, \ldots, 15 ; k=0, \ldots, 4)$ the $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{i}}$ intermediate value, after step k .

In order to simplify the expressions, we are using the following notations :

- The additions ( $x+y$ ), multiplications ( $x . y$ ) and exponentiations ( $x^{y}$ ) are implicitly made modulo p, except when the operands are lower indices.
- The $=$ symbol denotes that the right and the left terms are congruent modulo $p$.
- For lower indices the additions ( $i+j$ ) and substractions ( $i-j$ ) are implicilly made modulo 16 , and the $\equiv$ symbol denotes that the right and the left terms are congruent modulo 16.


### 1.3 Preliminary remarks

The difficulty of finding collisions is related to the diffusion properties of the hashing function, i.c. the influcnec of a modification of an intermediate variable on the subsequent variables of the calculation.

Remark 1 (limitation on the diffusion at steps 1 and 3)
At step 1 and 3 , the input valucs $c_{1}, c_{2}, \ldots, c_{15}$ are kept unchanged.

Remark 2 (limitation on the diffusion at steps 2 and 4)
The diffusion introduced by the $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{i}-1} \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{i}-2}$ terms in the recurrence for steps 2 and 4 can sometimes be cancelled (if one of valucs $e_{i-1}$ and $c_{i-2}$ is 0 ). More preciscly, let $\left(c_{0}^{1}, c_{1}^{l}, \ldots, e_{15}^{l}\right)$ be the input to step 2 :

Proposition 1 : If for a given value i in $(1, \ldots, 14)$ we have $c_{i-1}^{2}=c_{i+1}^{2}=0$ and ir $c_{13}^{1} \neq i ; c_{14}^{1} \neq i$; $c_{15}^{1} \not \equiv i ; c_{j}^{2} \not \equiv i$ for $j$ in $[0, \ldots, 12]$, then the impact of replacing the input valuc $c_{i}^{1}$ by a new value $e_{i}^{l}+\Delta e_{i}^{l}$ such that $c_{i}^{1}+\Delta c_{i}^{1} \equiv c_{i}^{1}$, is linited to the output value $c_{i}^{2}$ (that means $c_{j}^{2}$ are not modificd for $j \neq i$ ).

Proposition 2 : If $e_{14}^{1}=e_{0}^{2}=0$ and if $e_{j}^{2} \neq 15$ for $j$ in $(1, \ldots, 11)$ then the impact of replacing the input value $e_{15}^{1}$ by a new value $e_{15}^{1}+\Delta c_{15}^{1}$ such that $e_{15}^{1}+\Delta e_{15}^{1} \equiv e_{15}^{1}$, is limited to the output valuc $c_{15}^{2}$.

Similarly, let $\left(c_{1}^{3}, c_{2}^{3}, \ldots, c_{15}^{3}\right)$ be the input to step 4 :

Proposition 1': If for a given value $i$ in $\{1, \ldots, 14\}$ we have $e_{i-1}^{4}=e_{i+1}^{4}=0$ and if $e_{13}^{3} \neq i$; $c_{14}^{3} \neq i: c_{15}^{3} \neq i ; c_{j}^{4} \neq i$ for $j$ in $\{0, \ldots, 12\}$, then the impact of replacing the input value $c_{i}^{3}$ by a new value $e_{i}^{3}+\Delta c_{i}^{3}$ such that $c_{i}^{3}+\Delta c_{i}^{3} \equiv e_{i}^{3}$, is limited to the output valuc $c_{i}^{4}$.

Proposition 2' : If $c_{14}^{3}=e_{0}^{4}=0$ and if $c_{j}^{4} \neq 15$ for $j$ in $\{1, \ldots, 11\}$ then the impact of replacing the input value $e_{15}^{3}$ by a new value $e_{15}^{3}+\Delta e_{15}^{3}$ such that $e_{15}^{3}+\Delta c_{15}^{3} \equiv c_{15}^{3}$ is limited to the output value $e_{15}^{4}$.

## 2 Construction of two colliding messages

### 2.1 Construction of a partial collision

We first find two 128 -bit blocks $M_{1}$ and $M_{1}^{\prime}$ which hash values $H_{1}=\left(\bar{c} 8_{8}, \ldots, \bar{e}{ }_{15}^{4}\right)$ and $H_{1}^{\prime}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}\mathrm{e}^{\prime} & 4 \\ 8 & \ldots, & \overline{\mathrm{c}}^{\prime} & 4 \\ 15\end{array}\right)$ differ only by their right components $\overline{\mathrm{c}}{ }_{15}^{4}$ and $\overline{\mathrm{c}^{\prime}} \frac{4}{15}$. We will later refer to this property in saying that $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ realize a partialcollision.

Our technique for finding $M_{1}$ and $M_{1}$ is the following: we search $M_{1}$ values such that $c_{14}^{1}=0$; $c_{0}^{2}=0 ; e_{14}^{3}=0 ; c_{0}^{4}=0$. The propositions 2 and 2 suggest that for such a message $M_{1}=\left(c_{8}^{0}, \ldots, e_{14}^{0}, e_{15}^{0}\right)$, $M_{1}$ and the message $M_{1}=\left(e_{8}^{0}, \ldots, e_{14}^{0}, c_{15}^{0}+16\right)$ realize a partial collision with a significant probability (approximatcly 1/8).

There are two main steps for finding $\mathrm{M}_{1}$.

Slepl: Sclection of $\mathrm{e}_{8}^{0}, \mathrm{e}_{10}^{0}, \mathrm{e}_{12}^{0}$ and $\mathrm{e}_{14}^{0}$

Arbitrary (e.g. random) values are taken for $e_{12}^{0}$ and $c_{14}^{0}$. The values of $e_{8}^{0}$ and $c_{10}^{0}$ are then deduced from these values by solving the following linear system :
$\left\{\begin{array}{l}e_{14}^{1}=0 \\ e_{0}=-1\end{array}\right.$

Proposition. 3 :
If $e_{13}^{0}=14$ then $c_{14}^{1}=0$ and $c_{0}^{2}=0$ independently of the values of $e_{9}^{0}, e_{11}^{0}, e_{13}^{0}, e_{15}^{0}$.
Rroof: This is a direct consequence of the definition of the g function.

SLCD 2 : Sclection of $c_{9}^{0}, c_{11}^{0}, c_{13}^{0}, c_{15}^{0}$
The values of $e_{8}^{0}, c_{10}^{0}, e_{12}^{0}, e_{14}^{0}$ are taken from Step 1.
We fix the values of $c_{11}^{0}=0$ and $e_{15}^{0}=0$. An arbirary (c.g random) value is taken for $e_{9}^{0}$. We first calculate the $\mathrm{c}_{12}^{2}$ and $\mathrm{c}_{14}^{3}$ values corresponding to the chosen value of $\mathrm{c}_{9}^{0}, \mathrm{c}_{11}^{0}$ and $\mathrm{c}_{15}^{0}$ and to the temporary value $c_{13}^{0}=14$. Based on these preliminary calculations, we "correct" the temporary value $c_{13}^{0}=14$ by a quantity $\Delta c_{13}^{0}$, i.c. we replace the value $c_{13}^{0}=14$ by the value $c_{13}^{0}=14+\Delta c_{13}^{0}$, and we leave the other input values unchanged. We denote by $\Delta c_{j}^{i}(0 \leq i \leq 4 ; 0 \leq j \leq 15)$ the corresponding variations of the intermediate variabics in the $H_{1}$ calculation. We sclect $\Delta c_{13}^{0}$ in such a way that the quantity $\mathrm{e}_{14}^{3}+\Delta \mathrm{c}_{14}^{3}$ (i.e the new valuc of $\mathrm{c}_{14}^{3}$ ) is cqual to zero with a good probability.

Proposition 4 : If $c_{12}^{2} \neq 0$ and $\frac{-\mathrm{e}_{14}^{3}}{2^{4.7 .7} e_{12}^{2}} \equiv 0$ and $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{j}}^{2} \neq 13$ for $1 \leq j \leq 11$ then the above values of $, c_{15}^{1}, c_{0}^{2}$ and the value $\Delta c_{13}^{0}=\frac{-c_{14}^{3}}{2^{4.7 .7} c_{12}^{2}}$ lead to the threc relations

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\mathrm{c}_{14}^{1}+\Delta \mathrm{c}_{14}^{1}=0  \tag{a}\\
\mathrm{c}_{0}^{2}+\Delta \mathrm{c}_{0}^{2}=0 \\
\mathrm{c}_{14}^{3}+\Delta \mathrm{c}_{14}^{3}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Proof: (a) is straightforward; (b) and (c) are direct consequences of the following relations, which result from the definition of the $g$ function:

$$
\Delta c_{j-2}^{2}=0 \text { for } 0 \leq j \leq 12 \quad ; \quad \Delta c_{13}^{2}=\Delta c_{13}^{0} ; \Delta c_{14}^{2}=c_{12}^{2} \cdot \Delta c_{13}^{2} ; \quad \Delta c_{14}^{3}=2^{4.7 .7} \cdot \Delta c_{14}^{2}
$$

We performed a large number $n_{1}$ of trials of step 1. For each trial of step 1, we made a large number $n_{2}$ of trials of step 2. The success probability of $\operatorname{step} 2$, i.e the probability that the trial of a $\mathrm{c}_{9}^{0}$ valuc leads to a message such that (a). (b) and (c) are realized is slighty less than $1 / 16$ (since the strongest
condition in proposition 2 is $: \frac{\mathrm{c}_{14}^{3}}{2^{4.4 .7} c_{12}^{2}} \equiv 0$ ). Thercfore the probability that a step 2 trial lcads to a message $M_{1}$ such that $c_{14}^{1}=c_{0}^{2}=c_{14}^{3}=c_{0}^{4}=0$ is slighty less than $1 / 16.2^{-16}=2^{-20}$.

Morcover, the probability that such a message $M_{1}$ leads to a partial collision is basically the probability that none of the $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{i}-3} \bmod 16$ indices occurring in the calculation of $\mathrm{c}_{0}^{2}$ to $\mathrm{c}_{15}^{2}$ and $\mathrm{c}_{0}^{4}$ Lo $\mathrm{c}_{15}^{4}$ takes the value 15 , which is close to $1 / 8$. So, in summary, approximatively $2^{23}$ partial computations of the g function were neccssary to obtain a suitable message $\mathrm{M}_{1}=\left(\mathrm{c}_{8}^{0}, \ldots, c_{14}^{0}, c_{15}^{0}\right)$, such that $M_{1}$ and the message $M_{1}=\left(c_{8}^{0}, \ldots, c_{14}^{0}, c_{15}^{0}+16\right)$ lead to partially colliding hash valucs $H_{1}=\left(\bar{e}_{8}^{4}, \ldots, \bar{c}_{15}^{4}\right)$ and $\mathrm{H}_{1}=\left(\overline{\mathrm{c}}_{8}^{4}, \ldots, \overline{\mathrm{c}}^{4}{ }_{15}+16\right)$.

### 2.2 Construction of a full collision using a partial collision

We now show how to find a 128 -bit message $M_{2}=\left(c_{8}^{0}, \ldots, c_{15}^{0}\right)$ such that the previously oblained hash values $\mathrm{H}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{1}^{\prime}\left(\right.$ denoted in this scction by $\left(\mathrm{c}_{0}^{0} \ldots, \mathrm{e}_{7}^{0}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{e}_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, \mathrm{c}_{6}^{\prime}{ }_{6}, \mathrm{c}_{7}^{\prime}{ }_{7}^{0}\right)=\left(\mathrm{c}_{1}^{0}, \ldots, \mathrm{e}_{6}^{0}, \mathrm{c}_{7}^{0}+16\right)$ ) respectively lead to the same hash valuc $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ (when combined with $\left.\mathrm{M}_{2}\right): \mathrm{g}\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}, \mathrm{M}_{2}\right)=\mathrm{g}\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}, \mathrm{M}_{2}\right)$.

Our technique for finding $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ is quite similar to the one used for finding $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{M}_{1}$. Let us denote by $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{j}}^{\mathrm{i}}\left(\mathrm{rcsp} \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{j}}^{\mathrm{i}}\right)(0 \leq \mathrm{S} \leq 4,0 \leq \mathrm{j} \leq 15)$ the intermediate variables of the calculations of $\mathrm{g}\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}, \mathrm{M}_{2}\right)$ (resp $\left.\mathrm{g}\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}{ }^{1}, \mathrm{M}_{2}\right)\right)$.

We scarch $M_{2}$ values such that $c_{6}^{2}=c_{g}^{2}=c_{6}^{4}=c_{8}^{4}=0$. The propositions 1 and $1^{\prime}$ suggest that the probability that the 16 -uples $\left(c_{0}^{4}, \ldots, e_{15}^{4}\right)$ and $\left(c_{0}^{\prime}, \ldots, e_{15}^{4}\right)$ differ only by their components $c_{7}^{4}$ and $e_{7}^{4}$ which implies that the probability to have $\mathrm{g}\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}, \mathrm{M}_{2}\right)=\mathrm{g}\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}, \mathrm{M}_{2}\right)$ is quite substantial, approximatively $1 / 8$.

There are two main steps for the scarch of $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ :

Sicp 1: Sclection of $c_{8}^{0}, c_{10}^{0}, e_{12}^{0}, c_{14}^{0}, c_{9}^{0}$.
An arbitrary (c.g random) value is taken for $c_{14}^{0}$. The valucs of $c_{8}^{0}, c_{10}^{0}, c_{12}^{0}$ are deduced from $c_{14}^{0}$ by solving the following lincar system :

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
c_{14}^{1}=0  \tag{3}\\
c_{0}^{1}=-1 \\
c_{8}^{1}=-2^{8}
\end{array}\right.
$$

A preliminary calculation, where $c_{9}^{0}, c_{11}^{0}$ and $e_{15}^{0}$ are sct to the temporary value 0 and $c_{13}^{0}$ is sct to the temporary value 14 , is made. The obtained value of $c_{6}^{2}$, denoted by $\delta$, is kcpt .

Proposition S : If $c_{8}^{0}, \mathrm{e}_{10}^{0}, \mathrm{c}_{12}^{0}, \mathrm{c}_{14}^{0}$ are solutions of (3), (4), (5) and if in addition the values $\mathrm{e}_{9}^{0}=p-\delta, \mathrm{c}_{11}^{0}=0, \mathrm{c}_{13}^{0}=14, \mathrm{e}_{15}^{0}=0$ lead to intermediate values such that $: \mathrm{c}_{1}^{2} \bmod 16$ is not in $\{9,11,13,15] ; c_{2}^{2} \bmod 16$ is not in $\{9,11,13,15\} ; \quad c_{3}^{2} \equiv 9 \bmod 16 ; \quad c_{4}^{2} \bmod 16$ is not in $\{9,11,13,15\}$; $c_{5}^{2} \bmod 16$ is in $\{0,6,14\}$, then if we fix the value $c_{9}^{0}=p-\delta$, for any value of $c_{13}^{0} \equiv 14$ and for any value of $\mathrm{c}_{15}^{0}$ such that $\mathrm{c}_{15}^{0} \equiv 0$ we have :

$$
e_{14}^{1}=0 ; \quad e_{0}^{2}=0 ; \quad c_{6}^{2}=0 ; \quad c_{8}^{2}=0
$$

Proof : The proof of this proposition is casy. Finding the $c_{8}^{0}, c_{10}^{0}, c_{12}^{0}, c_{14}^{0}$ and $c_{9}^{0}$ values satisfying the conditions of the above proposition is quite casy, and requires the trial of a few hundreds $\mathrm{c}_{14}^{0}$ valucs.

Step 2 : Sclection of $c_{11}^{0}, c_{13}^{0}, \varepsilon_{15}^{0}$
The values of $c_{8}^{0}, c_{10}^{0}, c_{12}^{0}, e_{14}^{0}, c_{9}^{0}$ are taken from Step 1 ; these values are assumed to realize the conditions of the above proposition.
An arbitrary (c.g random) value is taken for $\mathrm{c}_{11}^{0}$. A preliminary calculation is made, using the sclected $\mathrm{c}_{11}^{0}$ value and the temporary values $c_{13}^{0}=14 ; c_{15}^{0}=0$. The corresponding values of $c_{12}^{2}$ and $c_{8}^{3}$ are kept.

Based on these preliminary calculations, we "correct" the temporary value of $c_{13}^{0}$ by a quantity $\Delta \varepsilon_{13}^{0}$ and we also consider new values $c_{15}^{0}+\Delta c_{15}^{0}$ for $c_{15}^{0}$. The variation $\Delta c_{13}^{0}$ is sclected in such a way that for any $\Delta e_{15}^{0}$ valuc satisfying $\Delta e_{15}^{0} \equiv 0$, the new value $\mathrm{e}_{8}^{3}+\Delta \mathrm{c}_{8}^{3}$ of $\mathrm{e}_{8}^{3}$ is equal to $-2^{8}$ with a substantial probability.

$$
-2^{8}-e_{8}^{3}
$$

Proposilion 6 : If $\mathrm{e}_{12}^{2} \neq 0$ and $\frac{}{2^{4.4 .7} \mathrm{e}_{12}^{2}} \equiv 0$ and $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{j}}^{2} \bmod 16$ is not in $(13,15)$ for $1 \leq j \leq 11$ then for any variation $\Delta c_{15}^{0} \equiv 0$ on $\varepsilon_{15}^{0}$ such that $c_{15}^{2}+\Delta c_{15}^{0}<p$ and $c_{15}^{4}+\Delta c_{15}^{0}<p$. the variation $\Delta e_{13}^{0}=\frac{-2^{8}-e_{8}^{3}}{2^{4.4 .7} e_{12}^{2}}$ on the $e_{13}^{0}$ value leads to the foilowing new valucs : $c_{14}^{1}+\Delta c_{14}^{1}=0 ; \quad e_{0}^{2}+\Delta c_{0}^{2}=0 ; \quad c_{6}^{2}+\Delta c_{6}^{2}=0 ; \quad c_{8}^{2}+\Delta c_{8}^{2}=0 ; \quad c_{8}^{3}+\Delta c_{8}^{3}=-2^{8}$.

We performed a number $n_{1}$ of trials of step 1 . For each sucecssful trial of step 1 , we made a large number $n_{2}$ of trials of $c_{11}^{0}$ values at step 2. For those $e_{11}^{0}$ values satisfying the conditions of the above proposition, we made a large number $n_{3}$ of trials of new $\varepsilon_{15}^{0}$ values such that $\Delta c_{15}^{0} \equiv 0$. The probability that the trial of a new $\Delta c_{15}^{0}$ valuc leads to intermediate variables satisfying the four equations $\mathrm{c}_{6}^{2}=0 ; \mathrm{c}_{8}^{2}=0$; $c_{6}^{4}=0 ; e_{8}^{4}=0$ is basically the probability that randomily tried $c_{6}^{4}$ and $c_{5}^{4}$ valucs satisfy $c_{6}^{4}=0$ and $c_{5}^{4} \equiv 6$; the order of magnitude of this probability is therefore $2^{-20}$.
Moreover, the probability that a message $M_{2}$ satisfying the four cquations $c_{6}^{2}=0 ; c_{8}^{2}=0 ; c_{6}^{4}=0 ; c_{8}^{4}=0$ leads to a full collision $\mathrm{g}\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}, \mathrm{M}_{2}\right)=\mathrm{g}\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}^{\prime}, \mathrm{M}_{2}\right)$ is basically the probability that none of the $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{i}-3}$ mod 16 indices occurring in the calculation of $\mathrm{e}_{0}^{2}$ to $\mathrm{e}_{15}^{2}$ and of $\mathrm{e}_{0}^{4}$ to $\mathrm{e}_{15}^{4}$ takes the value 15 , which is close to $1 / 8$. So in summary approximatively $2^{23}$ partial computations of the g function are necessary to obtain a message $\mathrm{M}_{2}$ giving a full collision.

### 2.3 Implementation details

The above attack method was implemented using a non-optimized Pascal program. The scarch for a collision took a few hours on a SUN3 workstation and less than an hour on a SPARC workstation. We provide in annex the detail of the intermediate calculations for two colliding messages $M_{1} M_{2}$ and $M_{1} M_{2}$, of two 128-bit blocks cach.
Note that for many other values $\mathrm{M}^{\prime \prime}$, of the form $\left(e_{0}^{0} \ldots e_{15}^{0}+k .16\right)(\mathrm{k}:$ an integer) of the first 128-bit block, the message $\mathrm{M}^{\prime \prime}{ }_{1} \mathrm{M}_{2}$ leads to the same hash value as $\mathrm{M}_{1} \mathrm{M}_{2}$ : the observed phenomenon is in fact a multiple collision.

## 3 Conclusions

The attack described in this paper takes advantage of the two following weaknesscs of the FFTHashing algorithm :

- the innuence of the term $c_{c_{i-3}}$ in the recurrence $c_{i}:=c_{i}+c_{i-1} e_{i-2}+c_{c_{i-3}}+2^{i}(\bmod p)$ on the security of the algorithm is rather negative (sec for example the method to obtain $c_{6}^{2}=0$ (or $c_{8}^{2}=0$ ) at step 1 of Scction 2.2).
- as mentioned in Section 1.3, the diffusion introduced by the four steps of the algorithm is quite limited. In particular, the $\mathrm{FT}_{8}$ Fourier transform acts only on half of the intermediate values ( $\mathrm{e}_{0}, \ldots, e_{15}$ ), namely the 8 values $e_{0}, c_{2}, \ldots, c_{14}$.

This suggests that quite simple modifications might result in a substantial improvement of the security of the FFT-Hashing algorithm.
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