

## LU-FACTORIZATION OF OPERATORS ON $l_1$

KEVIN T. ANDREWS, PHILIP W. SMITH AND JOSEPH D. WARD

**ABSTRACT.** Necessary and sufficient conditions are obtained for  $LU$ -factorization of operators on  $l_1$ . In particular it is shown that uniform invertibility of the compressions of the operator is not sufficient to insure an  $LU$ -factorization of the operator, thus answering a question of de Boor, Jia, and Pinkus.

The question of when a bounded linear operator on  $l_p$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ , has an  $LU$ -factorization has been much studied recently. Barkar and Gohberg [2] have shown that if  $A$  is an operator on  $l_p$  which has an  $LU$ -factorization, then  $A$  and its compressions  $A_n = P_n A P_n$  are uniformly invertible, i.e.  $\sup_n \{\|A_n^{-1}\|, \|A^{-1}\|\} < \infty$ . In the other direction, various classes of operators such as invertible, diagonally dominant operators on  $l_1$  [7] and invertible, totally positive operators [3, 1] on  $l_p$  have been shown to have  $LU$ -factorizations. For these kinds of operators it is known [1] that their compressions satisfy a stronger condition than uniform invertibility; namely, that the inverses of the compressions are order bounded, i.e.  $\|\sup_n |A_n^{-1}|\| < \infty$ . Left open, then, is the possibility (first raised in [3] with a negative expectation) that uniform invertibility might be sufficient for a matrix operator on  $l_\infty$  to have an  $LU$ -factorization. In this paper an example is given that shows that uniform invertibility is *not* sufficient for factoring an operator on  $l_\infty$  (or  $l_1$ ). However, we also show that uniform invertibility of the compressions is sufficient to ensure an  $LU$ -factorization when the operator has an inverse whose columns decay at a certain rate away from the diagonal. Among the operators with this property are the banded operators.

We wish to express thanks to the referee for several helpful suggestions.

We now fix some terminology and notation. If  $x = (x_i)$  is an element of  $l_1$  we denote its usual projection onto the span of the first  $n$  basis vectors by  $P_n x$ . A bounded linear operator  $A$  on  $l_1$  is said to be upper (respectively lower) triangular if  $P_n A P_n = A P_n$  (respectively  $P_n A$ ) for all  $n$ . We say that  $A$  is unit upper (lower) triangular if it is upper (lower) triangular and its diagonal entries in the matrix representation for  $A$  relative to the usual basis  $e_i$  of  $l_1$  are all ones. An operator  $A$  is said to have an  $LU$ -factorization (relative to the usual basis  $e_i$  of  $l_1$ ) if there exist invertible operators  $L$  and  $U$  so that  $A = LU$  and the operators  $L, L^{-1}$  are unit lower triangular while  $U, U^{-1}$  are upper triangular. An operator  $A$  is said to be

---

Received by the editors June 10, 1985.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 47A68; Secondary 46E40.

*Key words and phrases*.  $LU$ -factorization, banded, triangular operator.

©1986 American Mathematical Society  
0002-9939/86 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page

banded if there exist integers  $m$  and  $l$  so that  $A(i, j) = 0$  if  $j \notin [i - l, i - l + m]$ . The absolute value of an operator  $A = (a_{ij})$  is the operator  $|A| = (|a_{ij}|)$ . Finally, we let  $A_n^{-1}$  denote the operator on  $l_1$  whose decomposition with respect to  $P_n$  and  $I - P_n$  is given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} (P_n A P_n)^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

EXAMPLE. For each  $m$ , let  $B_m$  be the operator on  $l_1^m$  given by  $B_m e_j = e_1 - e_{j+1}$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, m - 1$ , and  $B_m e_m = e_1$ . Then each  $B_m$  is invertible relative to  $l_1^m$ ; in fact,  $B_m^{-1} e_1 = e_m$  and  $B_m^{-1} e_j = e_m - e_{j-1}$ ,  $j = 2, 3, \dots, m$ . Since for each  $i$ ,  $P_i B_m P_i = B_i$ , we have that the compressions of each  $B_m$  are invertible and so each  $B_m$  has an  $LU$ -factorization [4, p. 178]. In fact,  $B_m = L_m U_m$  where  $L_m e_j = e_j - e_{j+1}$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, m - 1$ , and  $L_m e_m = e_m$  and  $U_m e_j = \sum_{k=1}^j e_k$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ . Note that  $\|U_m\| = m$ . If we now let  $A = \oplus_{m=1}^\infty B_m$  then  $A$  and its compressions are uniformly invertible; in fact,  $\sup_n \{\|A_n^{-1}\|, \|A^{-1}\|, \|A\|\} = 2$ . But if  $A = LU$  then  $\|U\| \geq \sup_n \|P_n U P_n\| \geq \sup_n \|U_m\| = \infty$ , so  $A$  does not have an  $LU$ -factorization. This fact can also be easily obtained using Theorem 2 of [1] since  $B_m^{-1} e_1 = e_m$  implies that  $(\sup_m |B_m^{-1}|) e_1 = \sum_m e_m$ , i.e.  $\|\sup_m |B_m^{-1}|\| = \infty$ . Consequently, the block diagonal matrix  $A$  must also have  $\|\sup_n |A_n^{-1}|\| = \infty$  and so does not have an  $LU$ -factorization. We remark that  $A^*: l_\infty \rightarrow l_\infty$  does not have an  $LU$ -factorization either. For if  $A^* = LU$ , since  $L$  and  $U$  are operators on  $l_\infty$  representable as matrices,  $A = U_* L_*$  is an  $LU$ -factorization for  $A$  where  $U_*$  and  $L_*$  are the preadjoints of  $U$  and  $L$  [8]. This fulfills the expectation raised in [3].

The question remains as to whether there are any easily recognized situations in which uniform invertibility of the compressions is sufficient to insure an  $LU$ -factorization of the operator. In order to give an example of such a situation we find it convenient to give a characterization of when an operator on  $l_1$  has an  $LU$ -factorization. This characterization is similar to that presented in Theorem 2 of [1] where the finiteness of  $\|\sum |A_{n+1}^{-1} - A_n^{-1}|\|$  is replaced by the finiteness of  $\|\sup_n |A_n^{-1}|\|$ . As further motivation we recall that if an operator  $A$  and its compressions are uniformly invertible, then  $A_n^{-1} e_i \rightarrow A^{-1} e_i$  for all  $i$ . Our first result shows that for  $A$  to have an  $LU$ -factorization this convergence must be of a telescoping variety.

**THEOREM 1.** *A bounded linear operator  $A$  on  $l_1$  has an  $LU$ -factorization if and only if, for each  $n$ ,  $A_n = P_n A P_n$  is invertible and*

$$\sup_i \sum_{n=1}^\infty \|(A_{n+1}^{-1} - A_n^{-1}) e_i\| = \left\| \left( \sum_{n=1}^\infty |A_{n+1}^{-1} - A_n^{-1}| \right) \right\| < \infty.$$

PROOF. If  $A = LU$  then  $A_n = P_n L P_n U P_n$  and hence  $A_n^{-1} = P_n U^{-1} P_n L^{-1} P_n = U^{-1} P_n L^{-1}$  since  $U^{-1}$  is upper triangular and  $L^{-1}$  is lower triangular. Consequently,  $(A_{n+1}^{-1} - A_n^{-1})(e_i) = U^{-1}(P_{n+1} - P_n)L^{-1}e_i$ , so

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_i \sum_{n=1}^\infty \|(A_{n+1}^{-1} - A_n^{-1})(e_i)\| &\leq \sup_i \|U^{-1}\| \sum_{n=1}^\infty \|(P_{n+1} - P_n)L^{-1}e_i\| \\ &\leq \|U^{-1}\| \sup_i \|L^{-1}e_i\| = \|U^{-1}\| \|L^{-1}\| < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

For the converse, note that the hypothesis implies that

$$Be_i \equiv A_1^{-1}e_i + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (A_{n+1}^{-1} - A_n^{-1})e_i$$

exists for each  $i$  and  $\sup_i \|Be_i\| < \infty$ . Hence  $B$  extends to a bounded linear operator on  $l_1$  and since  $Be_i = \lim_n A_n^{-1}e_i$  it follows quickly that  $B = A^{-1}$ . Now for each  $N$ ,

$$A^{-1}e_i = A_N^{-1}e_i + \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} (A_{n+1}^{-1} - A_n^{-1})(e_i)$$

and so

$$A_N^{-1} = A^{-1} + \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} (A_{n+1}^{-1} - A_n^{-1})$$

pointwise. Hence

$$\sup_N |A_N^{-1}| \leq |A^{-1}| + \sum_n |A_{n+1}^{-1} - A_n^{-1}|$$

pointwise and, consequently,

$$\left\| \sup_N |A_N^{-1}| \right\| \leq \|A^{-1}\| + \left\| \sum_n |A_{n+1}^{-1} - A_n^{-1}| \right\| < \infty.$$

Now since  $A_n$  is invertible for all  $n$ , we have that  $A_n = L_n U_n$ . We shall show that the operators  $L_n^{-1}$  and  $U_n^{-1}$  are bounded and so deduce that  $A$  has an  $LU$ -factorization. (This part of the argument has already appeared in [1] but we include it here for the sake of completeness.) Now for each  $n$ ,

$$L_n^{-1}(i, j) = - \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} A_{i-1}^{-1}(k, j)A(i, k) \quad \text{for } i > j$$

and

$$U_n^{-1}(i, j) = A_j^{-1}(i, j) \quad \text{for } i < j$$

[1, 2]. It follows that

$$\sup_n |L_n^{-1}(i, j)| \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sup_i |A_{i-1}^{-1}(k, j)| |A(i, k)| \quad \text{for } i > j$$

and so

$$\sup_n \|L_n^{-1}\| \leq \left\| \sup_n |L_n^{-1}| \right\| \leq \left\| \sup_i |A_{i-1}^{-1}| \right\| \|A\| + 1 < \infty.$$

Similarly,

$$\sup_i \|U_n^{-1}\| \leq \left\| \sup_n |U_n^{-1}| \right\| \leq \left\| \sup_n |A_n^{-1}| \right\| < \infty.$$

Since  $L_n = P_n L_{n+1} P_n$  and  $U_n = P_n U_{n+1} P_n$  we have that  $L_n^{-1} = P_n L_{n+1}^{-1} P_n$  and  $U_n^{-1} = P_n U_{n+1}^{-1} P_n$ . Consequently, for each  $x$  in  $l_1$ , the limits  $\lim_n L_n x = Lx$ ,  $\lim_n L_n^{-1} x = Vx$ ,  $\lim_n U_n x = Ux$ , and  $\lim_n U_n^{-1} x = Wx$  exist and define bounded triangular operators on  $l_1$ . Now since

$$LVx = \lim_n L_n L_n^{-1} x = \lim_n I_n x = x = \lim_n I_n x = \lim_n L_n^{-1} L_n x = VLx$$

we have that  $V = L^{-1}$ . Similarly,  $W = U^{-1}$ . Finally, for each  $x$  in  $l_1$ , we have that  $LUx = \lim_n L_n U_n x = \lim_n A_n x = Ax$  so  $A$  has the promised factorization.

We remark that Theorem 1 can be easily applied to the example preceding the theorem. In this case

$$\begin{aligned}
 A_2^{-1} - A_1^{-1} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & A_3^{-1} - A_2^{-1} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \\
 A_4^{-1} - A_3^{-1} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & A_5^{-1} - A_4^{-1} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \\
 A_6^{-1} - A_5^{-1} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.
 \end{aligned}$$

(Here we have displayed only the upper left hand, nonzero portion of each operator.) Hence

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|(A_{n+1}^{-1} - A_n^{-1})(e_2)\| = 3, \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|(A_{n+1}^{-1} - A_n^{-1})(e_4)\| = 5$$

and, in general, by a routine but tedious induction argument,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|(A_{n+1}^{-1} - A_n^{-1})(e_{k(k+1)/2+1})\| = 2k + 1$$

so by Theorem 1  $A$  does not have an  $LU$ -factorization.

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $A$  be a bounded linear operator on  $l_1$ . If  $A$  and its compressions are uniformly invertible and, in addition,*

$$\sup_i \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k|A^{-1}(i+k, i)| < \infty,$$

*then  $A$  has an  $LU$ -factorization.*

**PROOF.** We start with  $AA^{-1}e_i = e_i$ . Hence

$$AP_n A^{-1}e_i + A(I - P_n)A^{-1}e_i = e_i \quad \text{for all } n$$

so

$$P_n A P_n A^{-1}e_i + P_n (I - P_n)A^{-1}e_i = P_n e_i = e_i \quad \text{for } i \leq n.$$

Hence

$$P_n A^{-1}e_i - A_n^{-1}e_i = A_n^{-1}P_n A (P_n - I)A^{-1}e_i \quad \text{for } i \leq n$$

and thus

$$\|P_n A^{-1}e_i - A_n^{-1}e_i\| \leq M^2 \|(P_n - I)A^{-1}e_i\| \quad \text{for } i \leq n$$

where  $M = \sup_n \{\|A_n^{-1}\|, \|A\|\}$ . Now

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|A_n^{-1}e_i - A^{-1}e_i\| &\leq \|P_n A^{-1}e_i - A_n^{-1}e_i\| + \|(I - P_n)A^{-1}e_i\| \\
 &\leq (M^2 + 1)\|(I - P_n)A^{-1}e_i\| \quad \text{for } i \leq n.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=i}^{\infty} \|A_{n+1}^{-1}e_i - A_n^{-1}e_i\| &\leq 2(M^2 + 1) \sum_{n=i}^{\infty} \|(I - P_n)A^{-1}e_i\| \\ &\leq 2(M^2 + 1) \sum_{n=i}^{\infty} \sum_{j=n+1}^{\infty} |\langle A^{-1}e_i, e_j \rangle| \\ &\leq 2(M^2 + 1) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k |\langle A^{-1}e_i, e_{i+k} \rangle|. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\sup_i \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|(A_{n+1}^{-1} - A_n^{-1})e_i\| \leq \sup_i \|A_i^{-1}e_i\| + \sup_i \sum_{n=i}^{\infty} \|(A_{n+1}^{-1} - A_n^{-1})(e_i)\| < \infty.$$

So by Theorem 1  $A$  has an  $LU$ -factorization.

It is not surprising that the additional condition imposed in Theorem 2 is far from necessary. For example, choose  $a$  so that  $0 < a < 1$  and  $\sum_n (a/n^2) < 1$  and let

$$B(i, j) = \begin{cases} a/(i - 1)^2, & j = 1, i \neq 1, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then  $\|B\| < 1$ , and  $B(i, i) = 0$  for all  $i$  so  $A = I - B$  is invertible and strictly (column) diagonally dominant. Consequently,  $A$  has an  $LU$ -factorization [7]. But since  $B^2 = 0$ ,  $A^{-1} = I + B$  and so

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k |A^{-1}(k + 1, 1)| = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k \frac{a}{k^2} = \infty.$$

The problem here is the slowness of the decay rate of the entries of  $A^{-1}$  away from the main diagonal; however, for banded operators this poses no difficulty.

**COROLLARY 3.** *Let  $A$  be a banded operator on  $l_1$ . Then  $A$  has an  $LU$ -factorization if and only if  $A$  and its compressions are uniformly invertible.*

**PROOF.** One direction is clear; for the other we recall from [5] that if  $A$  is banded and invertible then there are positive constants  $C$  and  $\lambda$  with  $\lambda < 1$  so that  $|A^{-1}(i, j)| \leq C\lambda^{|i-j|}$  for all  $i, j$ . Consequently,

$$\sup_i \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k |A^{-1}(i + k, i)| \leq C \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k\lambda^k < \infty.$$

So Theorem 2 shows that  $A$  has an  $LU$ -factorization.

### REFERENCES

1. K. T. Andrews and J. D. Ward, *LU-factorization of order bounded operators on Banach sequence spaces*, J. Approx. Theory (to appear).
2. M. A. Barkar and I. C. Gohberg, *On factorization of operators relative to a discrete chain of projectors in Banach space*, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. (2) **90** (1970), 81-103.
3. C. de Boor, R. Q. Jia and A. Pinkus, *Structure of invertible (bi)infinite totally positive matrices*, Linear Algebra Appl. **47** (1982), 41-56.
4. S. D. Conte and C. de Boor, *Elementary numerical analysis*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1972.

5. S. Demko, *Inverses of band matrices and local convergence of spline projections*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal. **14** (1977), 616–619.
6. I. C. Gohberg and I. A. Feldman, *Convolution equations and projection methods for their solution*, Transl. Math. Monographs, Vol. 41, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1974.
7. P. W. Smith and J. D. Ward, *Factorization of diagonally dominant operators on  $l_1$* , Illinois J. Math. **29** (1985), 370–381.
8. A. E. Taylor, *Introduction to functional analysis*, Wiley, New York, 1958.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, OAKLAND UNIVERSITY, ROCHESTER, MICHIGAN 48063

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, OLD DOMINION UNIVERSITY, NORFOLK, VIRGINIA 25308

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TEXAS A & M UNIVERSITY, COLLEGE STATION, TEXAS 77843