

*FACTORIZATION PROPERTIES OF KRULL MONOIDS  
WITH INFINITE CLASS GROUP*

BY

WOLFGANG HASSLER (Graz)

**Abstract.** For a non-unit  $a$  of an atomic monoid  $H$  we call

$$L_H(a) = \{k \in \mathbb{N} \mid a = u_1 \dots u_k \text{ with irreducible } u_i \in H\}$$

the set of lengths of  $a$ . Let  $H$  be a Krull monoid with infinite divisor class group such that each divisor class is the sum of a bounded number of prime divisor classes of  $H$ . We investigate factorization properties of  $H$  and show that  $H$  has sets of lengths containing large gaps. Finally we apply this result to finitely generated algebras over perfect fields with infinite divisor class group.

**1. Introduction.** In this paper, a *monoid*  $H$  is a commutative and cancellative semigroup with unit element. We usually write  $H$  multiplicatively and we denote by  $H^\times$  the group of units of  $H$ .

A monoid  $H$  is said to be *atomic* if every  $h \in H \setminus H^\times$  has a factorization

$$(1) \quad h = u_1 \dots u_k$$

into irreducible elements (*atoms*)  $u_i$  of  $H$ . We say that  $k$  is the *length* of the factorization (1) and we call

$$L_H(h) = \{k \in \mathbb{N} \mid k \text{ is the length of some factorization of } h\} \subset \mathbb{N}$$

the *set of lengths* of  $h$ . We denote by

$$\mathcal{L}(H) = \{L_H(h) \mid h \in H \setminus H^\times\}$$

the set of all sets of lengths of  $H$ .

Clearly,  $H$  is factorial if and only if (1) is unique up to associates and up to order for each  $h \in H$ . If  $H$  is not factorial the problem arises to describe and classify the occurring phenomena of non-uniqueness of factorizations. A first coarse measure for this non-uniqueness is the *elasticity*

$$\varrho(H) = \sup \left\{ \frac{\sup L_H(h)}{\min L_H(h)} \mid h \in H \setminus H^\times \right\} \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 1} \cup \{\infty\}.$$

This is a frequently investigated invariant and there is an extensive bibliography about it; for a survey see [3]. Unfortunately, the elasticity does not contain any information about the structure of  $L_H(h)$  between  $\min L_H(h)$

and  $\sup L_H(h)$ . In the following we consider an invariant which measures the size of the “gaps” between elements of  $L_H(h)$ .

Recall that an atomic monoid  $H$  is called a *BF-monoid* if  $L_H(h)$  is a finite set for every  $h \in H \setminus H^\times$ . By [2], Proposition 2.2, every Krull monoid (see for example [4]) and the monoid  $R \setminus \{0\}$  of nonzero elements of every noetherian domain  $R$  is a BF-monoid.

For an arbitrary set  $A$  we denote by  $\mathbb{P}_{\text{fin}}(A)$  the set of finite subsets of  $A$ .

Let  $L = \{l_1, \dots, l_r\} \in \mathbb{P}_{\text{fin}}(\mathbb{Z})$  where  $l_1 < \dots < l_r$ . Then we call

$$\Delta(L) = \{l_i - l_{i-1} \mid 2 \leq i \leq r\}$$

the *set of differences* of  $L$  (note that  $\Delta(L)$  is empty if and only if  $|L| \leq 1$ ), and we call

$$\Delta(H) = \bigcup_{h \in H \setminus H^\times} \Delta(L_H(h))$$

the *set of differences* of a BF-monoid  $H$  (see also [4]).

Let  $H$  be a Krull monoid. If the class group of  $H$  is finite, then all sets of lengths of  $H$  are, up to bounded initial and final segments, arithmetical multiprogressions with bounded sets of differences (see [4], Theorem 2.13). In particular this implies that  $\Delta(H)$  is a finite set.

If on the other hand  $H$  is a Krull monoid with infinite class group and if each divisor class of  $H$  is a prime divisor class, then every non-empty finite set  $L \subset \mathbb{N}_{\geq 2}$  is contained in  $\mathcal{L}(H)$  (see [7]).

In this paper we study sets of lengths of Krull monoids  $H$  with infinite class group such that every class is the sum of a bounded number of prime divisor classes. Such Krull monoids occur in a natural way in the study of finitely generated algebras over perfect fields (see Section 2).

Let  $G$  be an abelian group and  $G_0 \subset G$  a subset. We set

$$G_0(m) = \{g_1 + \dots + g_r \mid r \leq m, g_i \in G_0\}.$$

Our main result where we prove the existence of “thin” sets of lengths (which in particular implies that  $\Delta(H)$  is infinite) reads as follows:

**THEOREM 1.1.** *Let  $H$  be a Krull monoid with infinite class group  $G$  and let  $G_0 \subset G$  denote the set of prime divisor classes. If  $G = G_0(m)$  for some  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  then there exists some constant  $K \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists some  $L \in \mathcal{L}(H)$  with  $\min L \leq K$ ,  $|L| \leq K$  and  $\max L > N$ . In particular,  $\Delta(H)$  is an infinite set.*

The organization of the paper is as follows: In Section 2 we apply Theorem 1.1 to finitely generated algebras over perfect fields. Section 3 is entirely devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.1.

**2. Finitely generated domains.** Let  $H$  be a monoid. We denote by  $\mathcal{Q}(H)$  the quotient group of  $H$ . A monoid homomorphism  $\varphi : H \rightarrow D$  is

called a *divisor homomorphism* if  $\varphi(a) \mid \varphi(b)$  implies  $a \mid b$  for all  $a, b \in H$ . In this case  $\varphi$  induces a monomorphism  $\mathcal{Q}(H)/H^\times \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}(D)/D^\times$  whose cokernel  $\mathcal{C}(\varphi)$  is called the (*divisor*) *class group* of  $\varphi$ . It is always written additively. For each  $d \in \mathcal{Q}(D)$  we denote by  $[d]_\varphi$  its image under the canonical map  $\mathcal{Q}(D) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\varphi)$ . We call the elements of  $\{[p]_\varphi \mid p \in D \text{ is prime}\}$  the *prime divisor classes* of  $\varphi$ .

For an integral domain  $R$  we set  $R^\bullet = R \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $R^\# = R^\bullet/R^\times$  and  $\Delta(R) = \Delta(R^\bullet)$ .

Let  $R$  be a noetherian integral domain whose integral closure  $\bar{R}$  is a finitely generated  $R$ -module. Let

$$S = R^\bullet \setminus \bigcup_{\mathfrak{p} \in A} \mathfrak{p},$$

where  $A = \text{Ass}_R(\bar{R}/R)$ , denote the set of non-zero divisors of  $\bar{R}/R$ . Set

$$P(R) = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{spec}(R) \mid \text{ht}(\mathfrak{p}) = 1, \mathfrak{p} \cap S \neq \emptyset\}.$$

Then  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is a discrete valuation domain for every  $\mathfrak{p} \in P(R)$  (see [5], Lemma 2). Thus  $\prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in P(R)} R_{\mathfrak{p}}^\#$  can be canonically identified with the free abelian monoid  $\mathcal{F}(P(R))$  with basis  $P(R)$  (see also formula (2) in Section 3). The natural maps  $R^\bullet \rightarrow R_{\mathfrak{p}}^\#$  and  $R^\bullet \rightarrow R_S^\#$  induce a divisor homomorphism (see [5], Theorem 1)  $\partial_R : R^\bullet \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(P(R)) \times R_S^\#$  whose class group  $\mathcal{C}(R)$  is called the *divisor class group* of  $R$ .

By restricting  $\partial_R$  to  $S$  we obtain a divisor homomorphism  $\partial_{R|_S} : S \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(P(R))$  whose class group and set of prime divisor classes naturally coincide with those of  $R$  (see [5], Remark 4 to Theorem 1). In fact,  $S$  is a Krull monoid with divisor theory  $\partial_{R|_S}$  and thus the set of prime divisor classes generates the class group of  $R$  as a monoid.

**THEOREM 2.1.** *Let  $R$  be a domain which is a finitely generated algebra over some perfect field. If  $R$  has infinite divisor class group then there exists some  $K \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists some  $L \in \mathcal{L}(R)$  with  $\min L \leq K$ ,  $|L| \leq K$  and  $\max L > N$ . In particular,  $\Delta(R)$  is an infinite set.*

*Proof.* Let  $G$  denote the class group of  $R$  and let  $G_0$  be the set of prime divisor classes. If  $R$  is finitely generated over some infinite perfect field then  $G = G_0(m)$  for some  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  by [6], Proposition 4.2. If  $R$  is a finitely generated algebra over a finite field we again have  $G = G_0(m)$  for some  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  by the remark after Corollary 4.1 in [6].

Since the set  $S$  of non-zero divisors of  $\bar{R}/R$  is a divisor closed submonoid of  $R^\bullet$ , the assertion follows immediately from Theorem 1.1 and the above considerations. ■

It is well known from [8], Theorem 3, that if a domain  $R$  is a finitely generated algebra over an infinite perfect field with  $\dim(R) \geq 2$ , then each

divisor class of  $R$  is a prime divisor class. It is conjectured that the same is true if  $R$  is finitely generated over  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

The example  $R = k[X^3, X^4, X^5] \subset k[X]$  in [8], where  $k$  is an algebraically closed field, shows that the assumption  $\dim(R) \geq 2$  for algebras over infinite perfect fields is necessary.

**3. Krull monoids.** In the following we need the concept of block monoids. Let  $P$  be a set. We denote by

$$(2) \quad \mathcal{F}(P) = \left\{ \prod_{p \in P} p^{n_p} \mid n_p \in \mathbb{N}_0, n_p = 0 \text{ for almost all } p \in P \right\}$$

the free abelian monoid with basis  $P$ . For an element  $h = \prod_{p \in P} p^{n_p} \in \mathcal{F}(P)$  we set

$$\sigma(h) = \sigma_{\mathcal{F}(P)}(h) = \sum_{p \in P} n_p \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

For an abelian group  $G$  and an arbitrary subset  $G_0 \subset G$  the block monoid of  $G_0$  is defined by

$$\mathcal{B}(G_0) = \left\{ \prod_{g \in G_0} g^{n_g} \in \mathcal{F}(G_0) \mid \sum_{g \in G_0} n_g g = 0 \right\}.$$

Let  $H$  be a Krull monoid with class group  $G$  and let  $G_0$  denote the set of divisor classes containing a prime divisor. Then

$$\mathcal{L}(H) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{B}(G_0))$$

(see [4], Section 3).

In order to prove Theorem 1.1 it is thus sufficient to show the following purely group-theoretical theorem.

**THEOREM 3.1.** *Let  $G$  be an infinite abelian group,  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $G_0 \subset G$  a subset such that  $G = G_0(m)$ . Then there exists some constant  $K \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists some  $L \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{B}(G_0))$  with  $\min L \leq K$ ,  $|L| \leq K$  and  $\max L > N$ . In particular,  $\Delta(H)$  is an infinite set.*

The rest of the paper is devoted to the proof of this theorem.

**LEMMA 3.2.** *Let  $G$  be an abelian group and let  $G_0 \subset G$  be a subset. Let  $V, W \in \mathcal{F}(G_0)$  be elements such that  $VW$  is a block. Suppose that for all divisors  $D \mid_{\mathcal{F}(G_0)} W$ ,  $E \mid_{\mathcal{F}(G_0)} V$  and  $E' \mid_{\mathcal{F}(G_0)} V$  the following holds true:  $\sigma(E) = \sigma(E')$  whenever  $ED$  and  $E'D$  are irreducible elements of  $\mathcal{B}(G_0)$ . Then*

$$|L_{\mathcal{B}(G_0)}(VW)| \leq \sigma(W)!.$$

*Proof.* Let

$$VW = q_1 \dots q_s$$

be a factorization of  $VW$  into irreducible elements  $q_i$  of  $\mathcal{B}(G_0)$ . Then we can decompose each  $q_i$  in the form

$$q_i = v_i w_i$$

where  $v_i, w_i \in \mathcal{F}(G_0)$ ,  $v_i \mid V$ ,  $w_i \mid W$  and  $w_1 \dots w_s = W$ . We assume that  $w_i \neq 1$  for  $1 \leq i \leq t$  and  $w_i = 1$  for  $t + 1 \leq i \leq s$ .

If we consider a second decomposition

$$VW = q'_1 \dots q'_{s'}$$

into irreducible elements  $q'_i$  of  $\mathcal{B}(G_0)$  such that  $q'_i = v'_i w_i$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq t$ , we see that  $s = s'$ , i.e.  $|L_{\mathcal{B}(G_0)}(VW)|$  is bounded by the number of different (up to order) decompositions  $w_1 \dots w_s$  of  $W$  into non-trivial elements  $w_i \in \mathcal{F}(G_0)$ .

Let  $W = x_1 \dots x_n$ , where the  $x_i$  are prime elements of  $\mathcal{F}(G_0)$ . Without restriction we assume that the  $x_i$  are pairwise distinct (since this just enlarges the number of possible decompositions of  $W$ ). If we write permutations  $\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_n$  as products of disjoint cycles  $\tau = \sigma_1 \dots \sigma_k$  we see that  $\tau$  determines a decomposition of  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  into non-empty disjoint sets. Hence we get a surjective map from  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  to the set of decompositions of  $W$ . This implies that the number of decompositions of  $W$  is bounded by  $\sigma(W)!$ . ■

LEMMA 3.3. *Let  $G$  be an abelian group which contains an element of infinite order and let  $G_0 \subset G$  be a subset which generates  $G$  as a monoid. Then there exists a non-trivial block*

$$B = g_1^{t_1} \dots g_k^{t_k} \in \mathcal{B}(G_0)$$

with pairwise distinct elements  $g_i \in G_0$  of infinite order and  $t_i > 0$  such that the kernel of the homomorphism

$$\varphi : \mathbb{Z}^k \rightarrow G, \quad (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k) \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i g_i,$$

is generated by  $(t_1, \dots, t_k) \in \mathbb{N}^k$ . In particular,  $\mathcal{B}(\{g_1, \dots, g_k\}) \cong (\mathbb{N}_0, +)$ .

*Proof.* Since  $G_0$  generates  $G$  as a monoid, there exists an element  $\tilde{g}_1 \in G_0$  with infinite order. Let  $\tilde{B} = \tilde{g}_1 \dots \tilde{g}_n \in \mathcal{B}(G_0)$ . For large  $l \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\tilde{B}^l$  has a non-trivial divisor  $\tilde{B}' = \tilde{g}'_1 \dots \tilde{g}'_{n'}$  in  $\mathcal{B}(G_0)$  such that each  $\tilde{g}'_i$  has infinite order. We thus assume that all  $\tilde{g}_i$  have infinite order.

Let  $T$  be a minimal subset of  $\{\tilde{g}_1, \dots, \tilde{g}_n\}$  with respect to inclusion such that  $\mathcal{B}(T) \neq \{1\}$ . We write  $T = \{g_1, \dots, g_k\}$  with pairwise distinct elements  $g_i$ .

Next we show that the kernel of  $\varphi$  is cyclic.

Since  $g_1, \dots, g_k$  are not linearly independent over  $\mathbb{Z}$ , it suffices to show that every proper subset  $T' \subsetneq T$  is linearly independent over  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Assume the contrary and let  $g_1^{r_1} \dots g_k^{r_k}$  with  $r_i > 0$  be a non-trivial block.

Then there exists some  $(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_k) \in \mathbb{Z}^k \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$  such that  $\beta_1 g_1 + \dots + \beta_k g_k = 0$  and  $\beta_i = 0$  for at least one  $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$  (note that  $k \geq 2$  since all  $g_i$  have infinite order). By the minimal choice of  $T$ , we have  $\beta_j < 0$  for at least one  $j$ , and we choose  $(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_k)$  with a minimal number of negative components. We may assume that  $\beta_k = 0$  and  $\beta_{k-1} < 0$ . Then we obtain

$$0 = \sum_{i=0}^{k-2} (r_{k-1} \beta_i - \beta_{k-1} r_i) g_i + \beta_{k-1} r_k g_k,$$

a relation with fewer negative coefficients, which is a contradiction.

Let  $(t_1, \dots, t_k) = \mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{Z}^k$  be a generator of  $\ker(\varphi)$ . Since there exists a non-trivial block in  $\mathcal{B}(T)$  we can choose  $\mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{N}^k$ . We set

$$B = g_1^{t_1} \dots g_k^{t_k}.$$

Since  $\mathbf{t}$  generates  $\ker(\varphi)$ , we see that  $B$  is the only irreducible element of  $\mathcal{B}(T)$  and hence  $\mathcal{B}(T) \cong (\mathbb{N}_0, +)$ . ■

From now on let  $G$  be always an infinite abelian group,  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $G_0 \subset G$  a subset such that

$$G = G_0(m).$$

The proof of Theorem 3.1 is divided into three parts:

1.  $G$  contains an element of infinite order.
2.  $G$  is a torsion group with  $\{\text{ord}(g) \mid g \in G\}$  bounded.
3.  $G$  is a torsion group with  $\{\text{ord}(g) \mid g \in G\}$  unbounded.

**3.1. Case 1:  $G$  contains an element of infinite order.** Let

$$B = g_1^{t_1} \dots g_k^{t_k} \in \mathcal{B}(G_0)$$

be a block as in Lemma 3.3. We set  $B_1 = g_1^{t_1} \dots g_{k-1}^{t_{k-1}}$  and  $B_2 = g_k^{t_k}$  (since all  $g_i$  are of infinite order, we have  $k \geq 2$ ).

Let  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  be arbitrary and let  $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_v, \psi_1, \dots, \psi_w$  be elements of  $G_0$  such that  $v \leq m, w \leq m,$

$$-N \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} t_i g_i = \phi_1 + \dots + \phi_v$$

and  $-N t_k g_k = \psi_1 + \dots + \psi_w$ . Set  $V = B^N$  and  $W = \phi_1 \dots \phi_v \psi_1 \dots \psi_w$ . We assert that  $V$  and  $W$  satisfy the assumptions of Lemma 3.2.

Let  $D$  be a divisor of  $W$  in  $\mathcal{F}(G_0)$ . We assume that there are  $u_1, \dots, u_k, u'_1, \dots, u'_k \in \mathbb{N}_0$  such that  $Q = g_1^{u_1} \dots g_k^{u_k} D$  and  $Q' = g_1^{u'_1} \dots g_k^{u'_k} D$  are irreducible blocks. Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^k u_i g_i = \sum_{i=1}^k u'_i g_i$$

and thus  $(u_1 - u'_1, \dots, u_k - u'_k) \in \ker(\varphi)$  (where  $\varphi$  is as in Lemma 3.3). Hence  $g_1^{u_1 - u'_1} \dots g_k^{u_k - u'_k} = B^l$  for some  $l \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $Q = B^l Q'$ . This implies  $l = 0$ , since  $Q$  and  $Q'$  are both irreducible.

If we set  $C = VW$ , then Lemma 3.2 implies

$$|L_{\mathcal{B}(G_0)}(C)| \leq (2m)!$$

We immediately see that

$$\max L_{\mathcal{B}(G_0)}(C) \geq N + 1.$$

On the other hand we have

$$\begin{aligned} \max L_{\mathcal{B}(G_0)}(B_1 \phi_1 \dots \phi_v) &\leq v \leq m, \\ \max L_{\mathcal{B}(G_0)}(B_2 \psi_1 \dots \psi_w) &\leq w \leq m, \end{aligned}$$

since every non-trivial divisor (in  $\mathcal{B}(G_0)$ ) of  $B_1 \phi_1 \dots \phi_v$  (resp.  $B_2 \psi_1 \dots \psi_w$ ) must contain some  $\phi_i$  (resp.  $\psi_i$ ). Hence we get

$$\min L_{\mathcal{B}(G_0)}(C) \leq 2m.$$

**3.2. Case 2:  $G$  is a bounded torsion group.** We now assume that  $G$  is a torsion group with  $\{\text{ord}(g) \mid g \in G\}$  bounded.

By [9], Theorem 6, we know that  $G$  is a direct sum of cyclic groups:

$$G = \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathbb{Z}/n_i \mathbb{Z}$$

for some bounded family  $n_i \geq 2$  of integers. For a subset  $T \subset I$  we denote by

$$P_T : \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathbb{Z}/n_i \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i \in T} \mathbb{Z}/n_i \mathbb{Z} \subset G$$

the projection. For any  $g \in G$  and  $T \subset I$  we set  $\text{ord}_T(g) = \text{ord}(P_T(g))$  and we define the *support* of  $g$  by

$$\text{supp}(g) = \{i \in I \mid P_i(g) \neq 0\}.$$

We now construct a sequence  $(h_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $G_0$  with the following properties: There exist  $t \geq 2$ ,  $a \in G$  and a finite set  $\mathcal{E} \subset I$  such that the following assertions hold for all  $i \geq 1$ :

- (i)  $P_{\mathcal{E}}(h_i) = a$ .
- (ii)  $\text{ord}_{I \setminus \mathcal{E}}(h_i) = t$ .
- (iii) If  $M = I \setminus (\mathcal{E} \cup \text{supp}(h_1) \cup \dots \cup \text{supp}(h_{i-1}))$  then  $\text{ord}_M(h_i) = t$ .

To begin with, let  $t \in \mathbb{N}$  be minimal such that there exists a finite subset  $\mathcal{E} \subset I$  for which the set

$$T = \{g \in G_0 \mid \text{ord}_{I \setminus \mathcal{E}}(g) = t\}$$

is infinite (since  $G_0$  is infinite and since  $\{\text{ord}(g) \mid g \in G\}$  is bounded, such a  $t$  exists and  $t \geq 2$ ). Then for every finite set  $J \subset I$ , the set  $\{g \in T \mid$

$\text{ord}_{I \setminus (\mathcal{E} \cup J)}(g) \neq t\}$  is finite since  $\text{ord}_{I \setminus (\mathcal{E} \cup J)}(g) \neq t$  implies  $\text{ord}_{I \setminus (\mathcal{E} \cup J)}(g) < t$  for all  $g \in T$ .

Let  $\tilde{T} \subset T$  be an infinite subset with the property

$$(3) \quad P_{\mathcal{E}}(g) = P_{\mathcal{E}}(h) = a \in G$$

for all  $g, h \in \tilde{T}$  and for some  $a \in G$  (such a set exists since  $\mathcal{E}$  is finite).

Now we construct the sequence  $h_i$ . Let  $h_1 \in \tilde{T}$  be arbitrary and assume that  $h_1, \dots, h_{n-1}$  are already constructed. Since the set

$$F = \{g \in \tilde{T} \mid \text{ord}_{I \setminus (\mathcal{E} \cup \text{supp}(h_1) \cup \dots \cup \text{supp}(h_{n-1}))}(g) \neq t\}$$

is finite by the above considerations,  $\tilde{T} \setminus F$  is non-empty and we choose  $h_n \in \tilde{T} \setminus F$ . We see easily that the sequence  $h_i$  satisfies our requirements (i)–(iii). We set

$$r = \text{ord}(ta).$$

Next we show the following

CLAIM. *Set  $H = \{h_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . Then:*

(i) *Let  $(\alpha_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathbb{Z}^{(\mathbb{N})}$  be a sequence such that*

$$\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \alpha_i h_i = 0.$$

*Then  $t \mid \alpha_i$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $rt \mid \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \alpha_i$ .*

(ii) *Let  $A = \prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} h_i^{\alpha_i} \in \mathcal{F}(H)$  and  $B = \prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} h_i^{\beta_i} \in \mathcal{F}(H)$  be such that*

$$\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \alpha_i h_i = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \beta_i h_i.$$

*If  $\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \alpha_i > \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \beta_i$  then there exists some  $\tilde{A} \in \mathcal{F}(H)$  and some non-trivial block  $C \in \mathcal{B}(H)$  of the form*

$$C = c_1^t \dots c_r^t$$

*with  $c_i \in H$  such that  $A = \tilde{A}C$ .*

(iii)  $\{c_1^t \dots c_r^t \mid c_1, \dots, c_r \in H\}$  *is the set of all irreducible blocks of  $H$ . In particular,  $\mathcal{B}(H)$  is half-factorial.*

*Proof.* (i) We show more generally that if

$$pa + \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \alpha_i h_i = 0$$

(for the definition of  $a$  see (3)) where  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $(\alpha_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathbb{Z}^{(\mathbb{N})}$  then  $t \mid \alpha_i$  for all  $i$ . Set

$$i_0 = \max\{i \mid \alpha_i \neq 0\}$$

and define

$$M = \mathcal{E} \cup \text{supp}(h_1) \cup \dots \cup \text{supp}(h_{i_0-1}) \subset I.$$



Then

$$0 = P_{I \setminus M} \left( pa + \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \alpha_i h_i \right) = P_{I \setminus M} (\alpha_{i_0} h_{i_0}) = \alpha_{i_0} P_{I \setminus M} (h_{i_0}).$$

Since the order of  $P_{I \setminus M} (h_{i_0})$  equals  $t$ , we get  $t \mid \alpha_{i_0}$ . Thus we have

$$\alpha_{i_0} h_{i_0} = \frac{\alpha_{i_0}}{t} t h_{i_0} = \frac{\alpha_{i_0}}{t} t a = \alpha_{i_0} a,$$

since  $th = P_{\mathcal{E}}(th) + P_{I \setminus \mathcal{E}}(th) = P_{\mathcal{E}}(th) = ta$  for all  $h \in H$ . By induction we now infer that  $t \mid \alpha_i$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Now let  $(\alpha_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathbb{Z}^{(\mathbb{N})}$  be a sequence such that  $\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \alpha_i h_i = 0$ . From the above we get

$$\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \alpha_i h_i = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{\alpha_i}{t} t h_i = \frac{\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \alpha_i}{t} t a.$$

Hence  $rt \mid \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \alpha_i$ .

(ii) Without loss of generality we may assume that  $A$  and  $B$  are coprime in  $\mathcal{F}(H)$ . Then we see from (i) that  $t \mid \alpha_i$  and  $t \mid \beta_i$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Moreover, we have

$$\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \alpha_i = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \beta_i + \gamma r t$$

for some  $\gamma \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $t$  divides each  $\alpha_i$  there exist  $c_1, \dots, c_r \in H$  such that the block  $C = c_1^t \dots c_r^t$  divides  $A$ .

(iii) Let  $B \in \mathcal{B}(H)$  be non-trivial. Then  $B = \tilde{B} c_1^t \dots c_r^t$  where  $c_i \in H$  by (ii). If  $B$  is irreducible,  $\tilde{B}$  is equal to 1.  $\blacksquare$ Claim

For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we set

$$A_n = h_{(n-1)r+1} \dots h_{nr} \in \mathcal{F}(G_0).$$

Then  $A_n^t$  is a block.

Let  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  be arbitrary. Set  $B = A_1 \dots A_N$  and let  $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_v \in G_0$  be such that

$$\phi_1 + \dots + \phi_v = - \sum_{i=1}^{Nr} h_i$$

and  $v \leq m$ . We set  $\Phi = \phi_1 \dots \phi_v \in \mathcal{F}(G_0)$ ,  $V = B^t$  and  $W = \Phi^t$ .

From (iii) of the Claim we see that every non-trivial divisor of  $B\Phi$  in  $\mathcal{B}(G_0)$  must contain at least one  $\phi_i$  (note that  $t \geq 2$ ) and we get  $\max L_{\mathcal{B}(G_0)}(B\Phi) \leq v$ . Let  $C = VW$ . Then

$$\min L_{\mathcal{B}(G_0)}(C) \leq tv \leq tm \leq \exp(G)m.$$

On the other hand,

$$\max L_{\mathcal{B}(G_0)}(C) \geq N + 1.$$

Let  $D$  be a divisor of  $W$  in  $\mathcal{F}(G_0)$ . If  $Q = h_1^{\beta_1} \dots h_s^{\beta_s} D$  and  $Q' = h_1^{\beta'_1} \dots h_s^{\beta'_s} D$  where  $\beta_i, \beta'_i \in \mathbb{N}_0$  are irreducible blocks then  $\sum_{i=1}^s \beta_i = \sum_{i=1}^s \beta'_i$  by (ii) of the Claim. Thus Lemma 3.2 yields

$$|L_{\mathcal{B}(G_0)}(C)| \leq (tv)! \leq (\exp(G)m)!$$

**3.3. Case 3:  $G$  is an unbounded torsion group.** We now consider the case when  $G$  is a torsion group such that  $\{\text{ord}(g) \mid g \in G\} \subset \mathbb{N}$  is unbounded.

Let  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  be arbitrary. The goal is to construct a block

$$(4) \quad B = g_1^{\gamma_1} \dots g_u^{\gamma_u} \in \mathcal{B}(G_0)$$

with pairwise distinct elements  $g_i \in G_0$  such that  $2 \leq u \leq 2m$  and such that there is no relation

$$\sum_{i=1}^u \alpha_i g_i = 0$$

(where  $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ ) with the following properties:

- (i)  $|\alpha_i| \leq \max\{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_u\}N$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq u$ .
- (ii)  $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_u)$  and  $(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_u)$  are linearly independent over  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

We set  $d = 2m + 1$  and define a sequence  $(l_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}_0}$  of integers as follows:

$$l_0 = 1, \quad l_1 = 2^{d^d} d^{d^d} N^{d^d} \quad \text{and} \quad l_{i+1} = l_1 l_i^{d^{d^d}} \quad \text{for all } i \geq 1.$$

In order to construct the block we start with a sequence  $(g_1, \dots, g_r)$  of (not necessarily pairwise distinct) non-zero elements of  $G_0$  such that

- (i)  $r \leq 2m$ .
- (ii)  $g_1 + \dots + g_r = 0$ .
- (iii) There exists some  $g \in \{g_1, \dots, g_r\}$  such that  $\text{ord}(g) \geq l_{d+1}$ .

(Such a sequence exists since  $G_0(m) = G$  and  $\{\text{ord}(g) \mid g \in G\} \subset \mathbb{N}$  is unbounded.) Set  $I = \{g_1, \dots, g_r\}$ .

Our first aim is to get rid of those elements  $g_i$  which have “too small” order: We construct a block

$$B_0 = g_1^{\beta_1} \dots g_k^{\beta_k} \in \mathcal{B}(I)$$

of distinct elements  $g_i$  and  $k \leq r$  (after renumbering the  $g_i$  if necessary) such that  $1 \leq \beta_i \leq 2m l_{t-1}^{2m}$  and  $\text{ord}(g_i) > l_t$  for some  $1 \leq t \leq d$  and all  $1 \leq i \leq k$ .

For  $i \geq 1$  set

$$K_i = \{g \in I \mid l_{i-1} < \text{ord}(g) \leq l_i\}.$$

Then  $K_i \cap K_j = \emptyset$  if  $i \neq j$ . This implies that there exists some  $1 \leq t \leq d$  such that  $K_t = \emptyset$ , since  $I$  contains at most  $2m$  elements. Since there exists some  $g \in I$  such that  $\text{ord}(g) \geq l_{d+1}$ , the set

$$M_t = \{g \in I \mid \text{ord}(g) > l_t\}$$

is non-empty. Without restriction let  $M_t = \{g_1, \dots, g_k\}$  with pairwise distinct elements  $g_i$ . Since for all  $g \in I$  we have either  $\text{ord}(g) > l_t$  or  $\text{ord}(g) \leq l_{t-1}$ , we see that there exists some  $1 \leq \kappa \leq l_{t-1}^{2m}$  such that  $\kappa g = 0$  for all  $g \in I \setminus M_t = \{g \in I \mid \text{ord}(g) \leq l_{t-1}\}$ .

From these considerations we see that there is a block

$$B_0 = g_1^{\beta_1} \dots g_k^{\beta_k}$$

where  $1 \leq \beta_i \leq 2m\kappa$ . (The factor  $2m$  arises because the  $g_i$  of our original sequence  $(g_1, \dots, g_r)$  are not necessarily pairwise distinct.)

We now define a sequence  $\kappa_i$  by

$$\kappa_0 = 2m\kappa \quad \text{and} \quad \kappa_{i+1} = 2^{2m} \kappa_i^d N.$$

The next step is to use relations  $\sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i g_i = 0$  with “small” coefficients  $\alpha_i$ , where  $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k)$  and  $(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_k)$  are linearly independent (if such relations exist) to obtain blocks

$$B_i = g_1^{\beta_1^{(i)}} \dots g_{k_i}^{\beta_{k_i}^{(i)}}$$

which contain fewer  $g_i$  than  $B_0$  does and where the  $\beta_j^{(i)}$  are still “small” (compared with the order of the  $g_i$ ). We repeat this till there are no such relations and finally obtain the block  $B$  in (4).

Hence assume that there is a relation

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i g_i = 0$$

(where  $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ ) such that  $|\alpha_i| \leq \kappa_0 N$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq k$  and such that  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k)$  and  $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_k)$  are linearly independent over  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Without loss of generality we assume that there exists some  $j$  with  $\alpha_j < 0$  (otherwise we pass to  $\sum_{i=1}^k (-\alpha_i) g_i = 0$ ). The formula

$$\beta_j \alpha + (-\alpha_j) \beta =: \alpha^{(1)} = (\alpha_1^{(1)}, \dots, \alpha_k^{(1)})$$

defines a new vector  $\alpha^{(1)}$  such that  $\alpha_j^{(1)} = 0$ . If we repeat this procedure with  $\alpha^{(1)}$  instead of  $\alpha$  (provided there exists some  $\alpha_j^{(1)} < 0$ ), we obtain a vector  $\alpha^{(2)}$ . After  $n$  steps (where  $n \leq k$ ) we get a vector  $\alpha^{(n)}$  such that all  $\alpha_j^{(n)}$  are non-negative and  $\alpha_j^{(n)} = 0$  for at least one  $j$ . Without restriction let  $\alpha_1^{(n)}, \dots, \alpha_{k_1}^{(n)} > 0$  and  $\alpha_{k_1+1}^{(n)} = \dots = \alpha_k^{(n)} = 0$ . We set

$$\beta_i^{(1)} = \alpha_i^{(n)} \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq i \leq k_1$$

and obtain a block

$$B_1 = g_1^{\beta_1^{(1)}} \dots g_{k_1}^{\beta_{k_1}^{(1)}} \in \mathcal{B}(G_0)$$

where  $k_1 < k$ .

In order to estimate the size of the  $\beta_i^{(1)}$  we consider the equalities

$$\beta_j \alpha_i^{(l)} - \alpha_j^{(l)} \beta_i = \alpha_j^{(l+1)}$$

which yield

$$\begin{aligned} \max\{|\alpha_j^{(l+1)}| \mid 1 \leq j \leq k\} &\leq 2 \max\{\beta_j \mid 1 \leq j \leq k\} \max\{|\alpha_j^{(l)}| \mid 1 \leq j \leq k\} \\ &\leq 2\kappa_0 \max\{|\alpha_j^{(l)}| \mid 1 \leq j \leq k\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\max\{|\alpha_j| \mid 1 \leq j \leq k\} \leq \kappa_0 N$  we obtain, by induction on  $l$ ,

$$\max\{|\alpha_j^{(l)}| \mid 1 \leq j \leq k\} \leq 2^l \kappa_0^{l+1} N \leq 2^{2m} \kappa_0^{2m+1} N = \kappa_1.$$

Thus we have  $\beta_i^{(1)} \leq \kappa_1$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq k_1$ .

If we repeat the whole procedure with  $B_1$  (provided there exists some relation

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k_1} \alpha_i g_i = 0$$

such that  $|\alpha_i| \leq \kappa_1 N$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq k_1$  and such that  $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{k_1})$  and  $(\beta_1^{(1)}, \dots, \beta_{k_1}^{(1)})$  are linearly independent over  $\mathbb{Z}$ ), we obtain a block

$$B_2 = g_1^{\beta_1^{(2)}} \dots g_{k_2}^{\beta_{k_2}^{(2)}}$$

such that  $\beta_i^{(2)} \leq \kappa_2$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq k_2$ . After  $s$  steps (where  $0 \leq s \leq k \leq 2m$ ) we finally obtain a block

$$B_s = g_1^{\beta_1^{(s)}} \dots g_{k_s}^{\beta_{k_s}^{(s)}}$$

such that there is no equality

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k_s} \alpha_i g_i = 0$$

with the property  $|\alpha_i| \leq \kappa_s N$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq k_s$  and such that  $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{k_s})$  and  $(\beta_1^{(s)}, \dots, \beta_{k_s}^{(s)})$  are linearly independent over  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

It is clear by construction that  $B_s$  is non-trivial and that  $\beta_i^{(s)} > 0$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq k_s$ . For the following argument it is crucial to see that even  $k_s \geq 2$ .

One can easily verify that

$$\kappa_i = 2^{d^i - 1} \kappa_0^{d^i} N^{\sum_{j=0}^{i-1} d^j}$$

for every  $i \geq 0$ . We thus have

$$\kappa_{2m} = 2^{d^{2m} - 1} \kappa_0^{d^{2m}} N^{\sum_{j=0}^{2m-1} d^j} = 2^{2d^{2m} - 1} m^{d^{2m}} \kappa^{d^{2m}} N^{\sum_{j=0}^{2m-1} d^j} \leq 2^{d^d} d^{d^d} \kappa^{d^d} N^{d^d}.$$

Assume that  $k_s$  is equal to one. Then

$$\text{ord}(g_1) \leq \beta_1^{(s)} \leq \kappa_s \leq \kappa_{2m} \leq 2^{d^d} d^{d^d} \kappa^{d^d} N^{d^d}$$

since  $B_s$  is a block. On the other hand,  $\text{ord}(g_1) > l_t$ . Hence

$$l_t < \text{ord}(g_1) \leq 2^{d^d} d^{d^d} \kappa^{d^d} N^{d^d} \leq 2^{d^d} d^{d^d} l_{t-1}^{2m^{d^d}} N^{d^d} \leq l_t,$$

which is a contradiction.

Thus we have constructed a block as required at the beginning of the subsection if we set  $u = k_s$ ,  $\gamma_i = \beta_i^{(s)}$  and  $B = B_s$ .

We now set  $B_1 = g_1^{\gamma_1} \dots g_{u-1}^{\gamma_{u-1}}$  and  $B_2 = g_u^{\gamma_u}$  (note that  $u \geq 2$ ).

Let  $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_v, \psi_1, \dots, \psi_w \in G_0$  be such that  $v \leq m$ ,  $w \leq m$ ,

$$-N \sum_{i=1}^{u-1} \gamma_i g_i = \phi_1 + \dots + \phi_v$$

and  $-N \gamma_u g_u = \psi_1 + \dots + \psi_w$ . Set  $V = B^N$ ,  $W = \phi_1 \dots \phi_v \psi_1 \dots \psi_w$  and consider the block  $C = VW$ . We obviously have

$$\max L_{\mathcal{B}(G_0)}(C) \geq N + 1.$$

On the other hand,  $\max L(B_1 \phi_1 \dots \phi_v) \leq v \leq m$  and  $\max L(B_2 \psi_1 \dots \psi_w) \leq w \leq m$  because there does not exist a non-trivial block which divides  $B_1$  (resp.  $B_2$ ). Hence we obtain

$$\min L_{\mathcal{B}(G_0)}(C) \leq 2m.$$

Next we check that  $V$  and  $W$  satisfy the assumptions of Lemma 3.2. Let  $D$  be a divisor of  $W$  in  $\mathcal{F}(G_0)$  and let  $E = g_1^{\delta_1} \dots g_u^{\delta_u}$  and  $E' = g_1^{\delta'_1} \dots g_u^{\delta'_u}$  be divisors of  $V$  in  $\mathcal{F}(G_0)$ . If  $Q = ED$  and  $Q' = E'D$  are irreducible blocks we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^u (\delta_i - \delta'_i) g_i = 0$$

and thus  $(\delta_1 - \delta'_1, \dots, \delta_u - \delta'_u) = x(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_u)$  for some  $x \in \mathbb{Q}$  because of the properties of  $B$ .

Assume that  $x \neq 0$ . Without loss of generality let  $x > 0$ . This implies that  $\delta_i - \delta'_i > 0$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq u$  and hence

$$Q = Q' g_1^{\delta_1 - \delta'_1} \dots g_u^{\delta_u - \delta'_u}$$

is a non-trivial decomposition, which is a contradiction.

Hence Lemma 3.2 implies

$$|L_{\mathcal{B}(G_0)}(C)| \leq (2m)!$$

REFERENCES

[1] D. D. Anderson (ed.), *Factorization in Integral Domains*, Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math. 189, Marcel Dekker, 1997.

- [2] D. D. Anderson, D. F. Anderson and M. Zafrullah, *Factorization in integral domains*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 69 (1990), 1–19.
- [3] D. F. Anderson, *Elasticity of factorizations in integral domains: A survey*, in [1], 1–29.
- [4] A. Geroldinger and S. Chapman, *Krull domains and monoids, their sets of lengths and associated combinatorial problems*, in [1], 73–112.
- [5] F. Kainrath, *A divisor-theoretic approach towards the arithmetic of Noetherian domains*, Arch. Math. (Basel) 73 (1999), 347–354.
- [6] —, *Elasticity of finitely generated domains*, preprint.
- [7] —, *Factorization in Krull monoids with infinite class group*, Colloq. Math. 80 (1999), 23–30.
- [8] —, *The distribution of prime divisors in finitely generated domains*, Manuscripta Math. 100 (1999), 203–212.
- [9] I. Kaplansky, *Infinite Abelian Groups*, third printing, Univ. of Michigan Press, 1960.

Institut für Mathematik  
Karl-Franzens-Universität Graz  
Heinrichstraße 36/4  
A-8010 Graz, Austria  
E-mail: wolfgang.hassler@uni-graz.at

*Received 10 September 2001*

(4106)