



Factors Influencing Length of Stay of Forensic Patients: Impact of Clinical and Psychosocial Variables in Medium Secure Setting

Paweł Gosek¹, Justyna Kotowska^{1,2*}, Elżbieta Rowińska-Garbień³, Dariusz Bartczak¹ and Janusz Heitzman¹

¹ Department of Forensic Psychiatry, Institute of Psychiatry and Neurology, Warsaw, Poland, ² Chair of Psychiatry, Medical College, Jagiellonian University, Krakow, Poland, ³ Sexological Clinic and Pathology of Intercourse, The Nowowiejski Hospital, Warsaw. Poland

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Harry G. Kennedy, Trinity College Dublin, Ireland

Reviewed by:

Nubia G. Lluberes, University of Texas Medical Branch at Galveston, United States Matthew Tonkin, University of Leicester, United Kingdom

*Correspondence:

Justyna Kotowska justynamalinowska@gazeta.pl

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Forensic Psychiatry, a section of the journal Frontiers in Psychiatry

Received: 12 September 2019 Accepted: 28 July 2020 Published: 14 August 2020

Citation:

Gosek P, Kotowska J, Rowińska-Garbień E, Bartczak D and Heitzman J (2020) Factors Influencing Length of Stay of Forensic Patients: Impact of Clinical and Psychosocial Variables in Medium Secure Setting. Front. Psychiatry 11:810. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2020.00810 **Objectives:** Forensic psychiatric care has two, often contradictory, aims—the treatment of mentally ill offenders and the isolation of the perpetrators to ensure public safety. It is essential to ensure that any periods of liberty deprivation do not last longer than necessary to provide appropriate treatment. Therefore, identifying the factors affecting the length of stay (LoS) is one of the most important research areas in the forensic psychiatry. The literature on this subject is scarce and to date there no data available on LoS for patients in Eastern or Central European patients.

Methods: We conducted a retrospective analysis of data for 150 inpatients in a medium secure unit. Based on a literature review and clinical experience, variables potentially influencing LoS were identified and included in the analysis.

Results: The variables that were significantly associated with LoS included duration of mental illness; severity of index offense; whether a crime was committed as a result of hallucinations or during drug treatment discontinuation; if the index offenses was a continuous crime (crimes committed over an extended period of time); persistent psychosis; multiple antipsychotic treatments; as well as a diagnosis of schizophrenia and schizoaffective disorder.

Conclusions: Our findings are highly consistent with observations made by other researchers. However, contrary the majority of previous studies our project incorporates data concerning the clinical presentation of patients. For example, we demonstrate that variables measuring treatment resistance might be one of the crucial determinants of LoS, which is a novel research finding.

Keywords: forensic psychiatry, violence, length of stay, treatment resistance, forensic treatment

INTRODUCTION

Forensic psychiatric care has to meet two, often contradictory, expectations—treatment of mentally ill offenders and their reintegration with the society, as well as isolation of perpetrators and ensuring public safety. The involuntary nature of this care with its loss of personal liberty has to be carried out with full respect to human rights and should not exceed what is absolutely necessary. Most European countries allow for forensic inpatient treatment, sometimes called "psychiatric detention", which often exceeds the maximum length of a prison sentence that would be adjudicated for similar offenses committed by healthy perpetrators (1). This poses a risk of disproportionately long and protracted stays in forensic institutions. Alternatively, unstable patients may be prematurely discharged, which may lead to worse overall outcomes, poorer quality of life, and increased violence and re-admission risk. Therefore, forensic inpatients' length of stay (LoS) and factors affecting LoS are of special interest among forensic professionals. In countries where dedicated long-stay services exist, the percentage of long-stay patients (usually defined as a period of treatment >5 years) was estimated to be 15-20% (2). The identification of specific features of therapy and treatment duration that may result in optimized care, better risk assessment, and more appropriate management may lead to improved quality of care and patients' quality of life.

Internationally, admission rates to forensic institutions are rising among most European countries (3, 4), with a few exceptions (5). Data on average LoS covering is scarce, but some authors suggest that LoS is also increasing (6, 7). Factors associated with LoS of forensic inpatients may be attributable to clinical patient characteristics, including the course of disease and severity of symptoms, compliance with previous treatment, available social and family support, and a history of aggression or criminal involvement. In addition, external factors like the unique characteristics of each European country's judicial system, criteria for admission, amount of resources for general psychiatric care staff, and social and community support may also influence LoS (8).

In European countries, several research projects have been conducted to evaluate LoS of forensic inpatients. Ross et al. (9) compared a group of patients detained for under 4 years with a group detained for over 10 years in a German population. Age at first admission, type of offense, living situation at the time of offense, immigration status, patient's employment before admission, and being sentenced to prison prior to the admission were identified as predictors of a short or long stay at forensic psychiatry institutions. In a Swedish population, Andreasson et al. (10) found that previous contact with child and adolescent psychiatric services, violent index offenseds, psychotic disorders, a history of substance abuse, and absconding during treatment predicted longer LoS. In the United Kingdom, among patients detained in medium secure units, diagnosis of a psychotic disorder, previous multiple admissions, detention under a hospital order, being on a restriction order, and a history of moderately violent crimes were associated with prolonged LoS (11).

In a subsequent UK study on a sample consisting of patients from high and medium secure units, Völlm et al. (12) described the characteristics of long-stay patients (defined as >5 years in medium secure care, >10 years in high secure care, or >15 years in both), based on file reviews of 401 inpatients. The majority of these patients (57.9%) were diagnosed with schizophrenia and 32.8% were considered to be treatment resistant. In comparison to the general forensic psychiatric patient population the authors found that the percentage of personality disorders was much higher among the group of long-stay patients. Most individuals in the long-stay sample were classified as primarily severely violent offenders and the most common type of offense were offenses against the person, followed by sex offenses and property offenses. The proportion of sexual offenses and arsons as index offenses appeared to be higher than those reported in the general forensic population. A substantial proportion of these long-stay patients committed an offense within an institutional setting, and over a quarter seriously assaulted a staff member within the past 5 years. Forty-four per cent had been in seclusion and 12% had serious self-harm episodes during the past 5 years.

In the Republic of Ireland, a subgroup of long-stay patients was more likely to be charged with serious violence and to suffer from psychotic disorders (13). A naturalistic prospective cohort study by Davoren et al. (14) of an Irish population of 279 forensic inpatients reported sociodemographic data, DUNDRUM Toolkit scores (15), and HCR-20 scores. The authors found that male gender, most items on the DUNDRUM-1 scale (with the exception of suicide-related items and the need to prevent access to weapons, drugs, or media), item 1 "location" on the DUNDRUM-2 scale, item H1 "past violence" and item H2 "young age at first violence incident" from HCR-20 predicted longer LoS. Conversely, having no mental disorder other than an adjustment disorder, item H9 "personality disorder" and C2 "negative attitudes" from the HCR-20 predicted shorter LoS. Being found not guilty by reason of insanity or being detained under civil mental health legislation tended to predict longer lengths of stay. One finding of special interest in this study was that neither episodes of harm to others nor need for seclusion during admission predicted LoS.

In a Dutch sample of 139 inpatients of long-term forensic psychiatric care (n = 61) and regular forensic psychiatric care (n = 78) (16), patients staying in long-term facilities were more often born in a Dutch Caribbean country, less often had a substance abuse disorder, were more often emotionally neglected during childhood, had a higher HCR-20 risk item score, a higher security needs score, a higher (meaning less successful) recovery score, recidivated more frequently, and had absconded more often than patients in regular forensic care.

While the results of the research on LoS are consistent for some factors, the literature also presents factors for which evidence is mixed, including substance abuse (10, 11, 16, 17) and diagnosis (9–11, 17). So far, no studies have been conducted that have investigates LoS in Eastern or Central European populations.

It is difficult to compare research findings for forensic psychiatric populations across European countries due to the significant differences that exist between them. One essential step

that can assist in making such comparison, however, is to precisely define the study group. Polish forensic psychiatric inpatient care (18) is a three-step system composed of high, medium, and low security units. The legal framework allows for the detention of insane perpetrators at the time of the criminal act or of those with diminished criminal responsibility due to a mental disorder in cases of severe crimes. For insane offenders [defined as an individual who: "at the time of the commission of a prohibited act, was incapable of recognizing its (the act's) significance or controlling their conduct because of a mental disease, mental deficiency, or another mental disturbance] for which there has been an assessment concluding that this individual poses a high risk of reoffending, it is possible to apply one of four preventive measures including involuntary placement and treatment in a forensic institution (psychiatric forensic detention).

Individuals found to be insane usually suffer from psychosis, intellectual disability, dementia, or another serious mental disorder. The duration of the preventive measure is not predetermined. Placement in a psychiatric institution is possible only when it's necessary to prevent reoffending that causes severe social or physical harm, and other legal measures are not sufficient to achieve this goal. Out-patient forensic treatment is also possible. The total number of forensic psychiatric beds has varied over the years, but is currently approximately 3,000 in over 50 forensic institutions across the country. The Board for Preventive Measures, an institution under the direct control of the Ministry of Health, provides a central mechanism for allocating inpatients, appointing the level of security for patients starting their detention, ruling on the prolongation of treatment, and making recommendations to competent courts regarding discharge or transfer.

The aim of our study was to identify the factors associated with LoS in a medium secure inpatient forensic psychiatric care setting in Poland. As mentioned above, due to the significant variety of forensic psychiatric care models in Europe, direct country-tocountry comparisons are difficult. Optimal conditions for comparability will be achieved by comparing data from our study with data from countries with similar forensic psychiatric service organization, such as a three-step model of care, with a similar rate of concomitant substance misuse, possible outpatient forensic psychiatric care, and criteria for admissions as described by Salize et al. (19, 20). Considering an upcoming reform of the Polish forensic psychiatry system and the need to implement common guidelines of care (21), the data presented could constitute an important, evidence-based consideration which is the first of this scale in Poland that could be taken into account when reshaping forensic psychiatric care in Poland and other Central and Eastern European countries.

METHOD

Data Collection

An electronic database was developed to document the characteristics of the study sample and the potential factors

influencing LoS, including, among others, socio-demographic data, course of mental disorder, previous contacts with psychiatric care services, history of aggressive and selfdestructive behavior, current mental health condition, length of stay in the previous forensic admissions, and criminal data e.g. severity of the criminal act, time spent in custody. The list of potential factors affecting LoS was developed by the research team of three experienced psychiatrists and two experienced forensic psychologists, based on the literature review (described briefly above) and based on clinical experience. Data were entered into the electronic system by trained psychologists and psychiatrists who were involved in clinical work in the medium security department. The database contained 63 variables for each of the 150 patients. The source of data included current and past medical records, psychiatric and psychological experts' opinions concerning the sanity evaluation at the time of the criminal act, periodic psychiatric and psychological experts' opinions issued every 6 months in the course of inpatient treatment. Reliability checks were performed before the statistical analysis. Reliability checks included the verification of data from source materials and database entries regarding personal data and five randomly selected variables for each subject, which were then performed by a project researcher not involved in the collection of data on that topic. Data were verified using available source documentation. For the purpose of the current study, variables identified as potentially influencing LoS were extracted and analyzed.

Sampling

The study group consisted of 150 inpatients (123 males and 27 females) referred by the court and admitted between 01.01.2014 and 31.12.2018 to the medium security forensic inpatient center at the Department of Forensic Psychiatry of the Institute of Psychiatry and Neurology in Warsaw (DFP IPIN). Patients were admitted for involuntary treatment in a forensic institution (people found not guilty due to insanity or those with diminished criminal responsibility). The analysis included data for all patients admitted and hospitalized within this time period. There were no exclusion criteria.

Before committing the prohibited criminal act most of the subjects lived in an urban area, received social benefits or were unemployed. Mean age at time of admission was 40.07 years (SD: 12.99), mean age at the time of the study was 43.38 years (SD: 13.41). Mean LoS was 39.14 months (SD: 42.45, M = 21.5 months) and the mean LoS in DFP IPIN was 21.11 months (SD: 15.12, M = 18 months). The study group consisted mostly of subjects suffering from psychotic disorders, among which schizophrenia (n = 86, 55.3%), organic mental disorders (n = 17, 11.3%), and delusional disorder (n = 16, 10.6%) were the most prevalent. Categorical diagnoses based on ICD-10 were used as these are standard practice in clinical settings in Poland. The analysis of legal documentation including court proceedings and psychiatric evaluation reports revealed that the most prevalent criminal act was homicide and attempted homicide (n = 47, 31.3%), followed by threats of harm (n = 30, 20%), and serious bodily injury (n = 23, 15.3%). As regards the victims, a majority of criminal acts related to patients' family members,

TABLE 1 | Characteristics of the study group.

Variables		Number	Percentage	Mean	Range	SD
Total numbe	r of patients	150	100%			
Demographi	c data					
	Age, years			43.38	21-81	13.4
	Sex, female	27	18%			
	Sex, male	123	82%			
Residence s	tatus before the					
index offense						
	Rural area	36	24%			
	City area	114	76%			
	status at the time					
of offense		4.5	10.00/			
	Employed	15	10.0%			
	Unemployed, no	49	32.6%			
	work experience	0.4	00.70/			
	Unemployed,	34	22.7%			
	work experience	E0	0.4.70/			
	Receiving social benefits	52	34.7%			
nigriest eaut achieved	cational status					
	Assisted primary	12	8.0%			
	(special needs)	14	0.070			
	Primary (9 years	42	28.0%			
	of education)	72	20.070			
	Secondary (12	82	54.7%			
	years of	OL	0 1.1 70			
	education)					
	University	14	9.3%			
Diagnosis at	,					
_	Schizophrenia	86	57.3%			
	Organic mental	17	13.3%			
	disorders					
	Delusional	17	13.3%			
	disorders					
	Schizoaffective	8	5.3%			
	disorder					
	Intellectual	8	5.3%			
	disability					
	Drug induced	7	4.7%			
	psychosis					
	Personality	5	3.3%			
	disorders					
	Affective disorders	2	1.3%			
	(Bipolar Disorder,					
	Major Depressive					
	Disorder)					
Treatment pa	*					
	Transferred to low	66	44.0%			
	security unit					
	Current stay	62	41.3%			
	Transferred to	11	7.3%			
	high security unit					
	Transferred to	7	4.7%			
	medium security					
	unit					
	Discharged home	2	1.3%			
	Died	2	1.3%			
	c of the criminal					
act			0.4			
	Homicide/	47	31.3%			
	attempted					
	homicide		00.001			
	Serious threats	30	20.0%			

TABLE 1 | Continued

Variables		Number	Percentage	Mean	Range	SD
	Serious body injury	23	15.3%			
	Bulling	20	13.3%			
	Sexual offenses	17	11.3%			
	Others	21	14%			
0	beginning of eatment (years)			40.07	19–75	12.99
Length of s (months)	stay in current unit			21.11	1–57	15.12
Length of f (months)	orensic treatment			39.14	1–211	42.45

including parents (n = 38, 25.3%), siblings (n = 14, 9.3%), partner/wife/husband (n = 13, 8.6%), children (n = 9, 6.0%), and other family members (n = 5, 3.3%). Acquaintances and strangers were reported in 42.6% of cases (n = 64). A minority of criminal acts were committed against property and public safety, 1.3 and 3.3% respectively. At the end of the study period, 42% of subjects (n = 62) resided at DFP IPIN. Forty-four per cent of subjects were transferred to low secure units (n = 66), 5% (n = 7) were transferred to other medium secure units, 7% (n = 11) to one of the three regional maximum-security hospitals, two subjects died due to a somatic illness, and two were discharged directly home. It should be assumed that the overall LoS for most of the subjects is significantly longer than reported in this study as treatment for most patients did not end before or at the end-point of the study.

The characteristics of the study group is presented in **Table 1**.

Statistical Analysis

A statistical analysis was performed for each variable hypothesized to be associated with LoS. Variables were coded dichotomously, for example as present/absent etc. Statistical methods were chosen depending on the measurement level and distribution of the data. For all continuous variables, the Lillefors test was performed. None of the reported variables were normally distributed (p < 0.01). Therefore, non-parametric tests were used including the Mann-Whitney U Test and Kruskal-Wallis Test. In regression modeling, the generalized linear model (GLM) was used as variables were not normally distributed.

Ethical Approval

In accordance with Polish legal regulations the relevant Ethical Commission of the Institute of Psychiatry and Neurology in Warsaw was informed about the project design.

RESULTS

Table 2 presents the associations of the dichotomously-measured variables and LoS. Demographical variables including sex, living area before treatment (rural or urban), historical data on the course of the mental disorder, e.g. mental disorder diagnosed among family members, concomitant alcohol/psychoactive substance dependence, psychiatric treatment in

TABLE 2 | Factors influencing length of stay.

Variables	N = 150			U-Mann	Whitney Test	itney Test					
		Rank 1n = (%)	Rank 2n = (%)	Sum of rank 1	Sum of rank 2	U value	Z value	р			
De	emographical and clinical factors										
Sex male/female		123 (82.0)	27 (18.0)	9294,500	2030,500	1652,500	0,036688	0,970			
Place of residence urban	/rural	115 (76.7)	35 (23.3)	8421,000	2605,000	1975,000	0,009025	0,992			
Mental disorders among	family members no/yes	119 (79.3)	31 (20.7)	9152,000	2023,000	1527,000	1,409972	0,158			
Alcohol dependence no/	yes	78 (52.0)	72 (48.0)	6253,500	5071,500	2443,500	1,369273	0,171			
Drugs/psychoactive subs	stance/legal highs abuse no/yes	103 (68.7)	46 (31.3)	7992,000	3183,000	2102,000	1,095077	0,273			
	disability in the past no/yes	133 (88.7)	17 (11.3)	9809,500	1068,500	915,5000	1,144789	0,252			
Regular (systematic) psycyes	chiatric treatment in the past no/	138 (92.0)	12 (8.0)	10527,00	798,0000	720,0000	0,744698	0,456			
Characteristics	of the offense										
Characteristics of the offe	ense single/continuing	95 (63.3)	55 (36.7)	7660,500	3664,500	2124,500	1,901225	0.057			
Offense previously planne	0	98 (65.3)	52 (34.7)	7552,500	3772,500	2394,500	0,604197	0,546			
Offense under influence of no/yes	of alcohol/psychoactive substances	80 (53.3)	70 (46.7)	6446,000	4879,000	2394,000	1,527563	0,127			
Offense under influence of	of delusions no/yes	34 (22.7)	116 (77.3)	2574,000	8751,000	1965,000	0,029177	0,977			
Offense under influence of	of hallucinations no/yes	91 (60.7)	59 (39.3)	6279,500	5045,500	2093,500	-2,27183	0,023			
Offense in the course of i	medicine discontinuation yes/no	93 (62.0)	57 (38.0)	7590,000	3735,000	2082,000	2,199235	0,028			
Clinical present	tation in the last 6 months										
Aggressive behavior no/y	/es	117 (78.0)	33 (22.0)	8940,000	2385,000	1824,000	0,480904	0,631			
Self-destructive behavior	no/yes	139 (92.7)	11 (7.3)	10667,00	658,0000	592,0000	1,240015	0,215			
Persistent psychotic sym	iptoms no/yes	98 (65.3)	52 (34.7)	6814,000	4511,000	1963,000	-2,30819	0,021			
Treatment with >1 antips	sychotics no/yes	69 (46.0)	81 (54.0)	4119,000	7206,000	1704,000	-4,11019	0,000			
Clozapine treatment no/y	/es	141 (94.0)	9 (6.0)	10523,50	801,5000	512,5000	-0,961496	0,336			
				Spearma	n's correlation						
		Mean		Range		R Spearman	t(N-2)	р			
Age (years) at the admiss	sion to forensic unit					0,107795	1,319064	0,189185			
Number of admission to Duration of mental illness	forensic unit s (number of years from onset)			1–2		-0,049361 0,326626	-0,601231 4,204159	0,548606 0,000045			

the past, were not significantly related to LoS. Number of past admissions to forensic units (first or second admission) and patient's age at admission were also not significantly associated with LoS.

On the contrary, the duration of mental disorder, defined as the number of years since the onset of the mental disorder, was associated with the prolongation of LoS (p = 0.000045). Offenses committed in response to hallucinations (p = 0.02) or in the course of medicine discontinuation (p = 0.02) were associated with longer LoS. Among the variables describing the clinical and behavioral presentation of patients in the past 6 months (prior to transfer to another unit, discharge, or admission), persistent psychotic symptoms (p = 0.02) and treatment combined with at least two antipsychotics (p = 0.03) were associated with longer LoS. As regards diagnosis, the results suggest that diagnoses of schizophrenia or schizoaffective disorder were most closely associated with LoS (**Table 3**). The index offenses most closely associated with LoS was homicide/attempted homicide and threats of harm (**Table 4**).

Due to the non-normal distribution of the variables, regression was conducted using a generalized linear model (GLM) approach. Only variables that were significantly associated with LoS in direct comparisons were included. The regression revealed that persistent psychotic symptoms (p = 0.003) and treatment combined with at least two antipsychotics (p = 0.001) significantly predicted LoS. The generalized linear model is presented in **Table 5**.

TABLE 3 | Influence of diagnosis on length of stay.

Dependent variable:

	ranks (ANOVA) Independent variable: diagnosis Kruskal-Wallis Test: H (8, N = 150) = 24,66962 p =,0018						
Length of stay in forensic institutions	N	Sum of ranks	Mean rank				
Schizophrenia	86	7401,500	86,0640				
Intellectual disability	8	383,500	47,9375				
Organic mental disorder	17	879,000	51,7059				
Delusional disorder	16	1085,500	67,8438				
Substance use psychosis	7	356,500	50,9286				
Personality disorders	5	303,000	60,6000				
Affective disorders (Bipolar Disorder, Major	2	77,500	38,7500				
Depressive Disorder)	0	007.000	104.0050				
Schizoaffective disorders	8	837,000	104,6250				
Persistent delusional disorder	1	1,500	1,5000				

Kruskal-Wallis one-way analysis of variance by

DISCUSSION

The data in this study describe roughly 5% of the Polish forensic psychiatric population. The mean LoS in this sample was 39.15 months (SD 42.46), median 25.5 months. As there are no published data on the average LoS in forensic institutions in

TABLE 4 | Influence of the type of criminal offense on length of stay.

Dependent variable: Length of stay in forensic institutions	p value calculated for multiple (bilateral) comparisons length of stay in forensic institutionsindependent variabl type of crime; Kruskal-Wallis Test: H (4, N = 129) = 12,13200 p =,0164					
	Homicide/homicide attempt	Sexual offenses	Bullying	Threats of harm	Serious body injury	

	Homicide/homicide attempt R:78,415	Sexual offenses R:60,029	Bullying R:54,175	Threats of harm R:48,909	Serious body injury R:66,065
Homicide/homicide attempt		0,822583	0,151513	0,022476	1,000000
Sexual offenses	0,822583		1,000000	1,000000	1,000000
Bullying	0,151513	1,000000		1,000000	1,000000
Threats of harm	0,022476	1,000000	1,000000		1,000000
Serious body injury	1,000000	1,000000	1,000000	1,000000	

TABLE 5 | Generalized linear model - length of stay in forensic institutions.

Explanatory variables	В	SE	95% Confide	ence Interval	Significance level		
			Lower	Upper	χ2 Wald	df	р
Offense under influence of hallucinations	5.845	6.5693	-18.721	7.030	0.792	1	0.374
Offense in the course of medicine discontinuation	8.344	6.6328	-21.344	4.656	1.583	1	0.208
Treatment with >1 antipsychotics Persistent psychotic symptoms	21.299 20.105	6.5058 6.8461	-34.050 -33.523	-8.548 -6.687	10.718 8.624	1	0.001 0.003

Poland or in Eastern European countries, we cannot compare our results with those of similar forensic service providers. Moreover, there are no data concerning the number of long-stay patients, and due to the lack of any national register, there are also no annual data on the exact number of patients discharged from forensic institutions.

The main aim of the study was to evaluate the associations between several variables and LoS in a medium security hospital. As our study was retrospective and data were collected for patients admitted to the hospital within a five-year timeframe, the variables were tested for then staying patients or for patients being under discharge or transfer to another service units at that time, we are aware that the average LoS in forensic institutions in Poland is longer than that reported for the study group. Inpatient forensic psychiatric care in Poland is a three-stage system with high, medium, and low security hospitals. The allocation of a patient to a particular level of security is primarily based on the type of index offense committed. However, previous area of residence and number of available beds are also considered by the Board for Preventive Measures, directed by the Ministry of Health, when making this decision. To avoid a potential bias deriving from the overrepresentation of perpetrators who committed less severe crimes and were directly allocated to low secure units, we decided to include only inpatients in medium secure units in the study.

Among the socio-demographical factors investigated in this study, we found that neither sex nor the place of residence were associated with LoS. In most European countries the proportion of male forensic psychiatric patients typically ranges from 80–90% (10, 11, 22), which is similar to the data presented in this study. Davoren et al. found that being male predicted a longer stay in forensic care (14), but we did not observe such a relationship. In Poland, there are no high security forensic psychiatric institutions for females. Therefore, we hypothesise that the lack of a significant finding in relation to sex in our study

might be related to the overrepresentation of female perpetrators who have committed the most severe crimes in our sample.

Diagnoses of mental disorder among family members and a diagnosis of intellectual disability did not significantly predict LoS in the study group. Previous contact with child and adolescent psychiatric services, reflecting in some way the duration of illness, was previously described as one of the important factors of LoS prolongation (10). As we have not been able to access the detailed medical registers for each of the study participants, we analyzed the overall duration of the disease, defined as the number of years since the onset of the mental disorder. A clear association with LoS prolongation was observed. As our sample consisted of mostly psychotic patients, a possible explanation of this finding could pertain to the negative impact of persistent psychosis on cognitive functioning, social cognition, and decision-making processes observed in patients suffering from psychosis (23, 24).

Some studies indicate that alcohol or substance misuse may increase LoS in forensic settings (10), but other studies found the opposite (11, 14). In our sample, 48% of participants were alcohol dependent and 31.3% were diagnosed with other substance misuse. We observed no statistically significant relationship with LoS. Similarly, no prolongation of LoS was observed with respect to offenses committed under the influence of alcohol or another substance. These non-significant findings may be related to the availability of therapeutic methods in our department, including specifically treatment for substance use which is extensive and easily accessible. The verification of these results requires testing in a wider population across multiple treatment sites.

Our sample consisted mostly of perpetrators of severe crimes. Homicide, attempted homicide, and serious bodily injury constituted almost half of the cases. The Kruskal-Wallis Test revealed a significant relationship between the of severity of the criminal behavior (homicide or attempted homicide) on LoS.

This is consistent with earlier observations by Ross et al. (9), Andreasson et al. (10) and Völlm et al. (12). In addition to several clinical factors influencing LoS on general psychiatric wards (25), in forensic psychiatric care one of the key issues taken into consideration at time of discharge is risk assessment. In Poland, the court responsible for the termination or prolongation of forensic detention requires that periodic reports referring to current mental state, the risk of relapse, and the risk of reoffending are produced for each patient. Risk is assessed with regard to self-harm and risks to society. The severity of the index offense typically pertains to risk posed to others. Therefore, the severity of an earlier offense might sensitize clinicians to the possibility of reoffending who then extend LoS.

An important aspect of the study was retrospective, based on medical records containing data from court proceedings. We evaluated the mental state of perpetrators at the time of committing a criminal offense. In the case of perpetrators of non-continuous crimes, defined as lasting no longer than hours (contrary to continuous crimes lasting days or longer, for example bullying or recurring threats), a statistical trend (p = 0.057) to prolong LoS was observed. No significant differences were observed regarding whether the criminal act was planned or not, committed under the influence of alcohol or psychoactive substances, or as a result of delusions. According to Appelbaum et al. (26) while the occurrence of delusions may intensify aggressive behaviors, it does not affect the overall risk of aggression in this group of patients. Similarly, the results of a study by Junginger et al. (27) indicate that a delusional motivation behind aggressive behavior is uncommon. In our sample, the majority of subjects committed a crime as a result of delusions (n = 116 of 150). Data from previous studies of Junginger et al. (28, 29) indicates that the risk of aggressive behavior is increased by the presence of command hallucinations. Command hallucinations occurred at the time of the criminal act in 59 of 150 subjects. Criminal acts committed under the influence of hallucinations were associated with prolonged LoS (p = 0.023). A possible explanation of this phenomenon could be related to the discontinuation of antipsychotics at the time of the criminal act or drug resistance. In our sample, subjects who committed a crime in the course of medicine discontinuation in forensic institutions spent more time in forensic units than the subjects who had good antipsychotic medication compliance (p = 0.027). We could not measure the relationship between treatment resistant psychosis and LoS as this was not recorded in the medical documentation analyzed for this study. However, the results mentioned previously, and the clinical factors described later in this paper suggest that treatment resistance could be an important factor for LoS prolongation.

There is a risk of bias when retrospectively evaluating mental state and results need to be interpreted carefully. However, the process of forensic psychiatric assessment during the court proceedings should be very detailed and incorporate all possible evidence concerning the behavior of an individual, including for example witness statements and medical records. Further, in the case of severe crimes and where there exist any

doubts regarding the mental state of perpetrators it is a common practice in the Polish legal system that perpetrators are observed in a clinical psychiatric department for at least 4 weeks. This is a legal requirement from the courts. In light these assessments, the courts decide whether individuals are healthy or mentally ill and determine the sentence or treatment order. Typically, at least two independent, experienced psychiatrists have to issue an expert opinion concerning the mental state of a perpetrator, and in the case of severe crimes, the number of expert opinions increases. As we collected data from current medical records and from court proceedings, the risk of bias deriving from the retrospective design of the study is minimized.

Among clinical factors, a diagnosis of schizophrenia and schizoaffective psychosis was one of the most prominent factors associated with LoS. In the one-way analysis of variance from among eight categorical diagnoses based on the ICD-10, schizophrenia and schizoaffective disorder were found to significantly prolong LoS [Kruskal-Wallis Test: H (8, N = 150) = 24.66962 p = .0018, which is consistent with earlier studies (10-13). The findings deriving from our sample failed to confirm the observations of Chester et al. (30) that patients diagnosed with an intellectual disability had significantly shorter stays in forensic institutions, however, conclusions pertaining to this group are limited by a small number of these patients in our sample. There is good evidence to support an association between violence and schizophrenia (31, 32), and a systematic review and meta-analysis of 128 studies (33) found higher rates of inpatient violence in forensic settings compared to acute psychiatric wards in each of 10 countries surveyed.

Moreover, mentally disordered offenders in forensic psychiatric settings are also at a greater risk of suicide in comparison with the general population (34). It was initially surprising that in our sample neither aggressive nor self-destructive behavior observed during the last 6 months of stay prolonged LoS. However, this was also reported in the study by Davoren et al. (14). The number of aggressive events including assaults on staff and auto-aggressive behavior was low in our sample in comparison with other samples (12), which may be due to our shorter study timeframe. Another possible explanation is that in clinical practice the majority of aggressive individuals are transferred to higher security departments, therefore, as the protocol of the study does not include a follow-up period, it is highly probable that the overall LoS of those individuals will be extended in the future.

In general psychiatric settings, current mental state, including the presence of severe psychotic symptoms, is one of the main factors affecting discharge decisions. In our study, based on the analysis of medical records and reports issued to courts, we evaluate the current (at the time of transfer to other hospitals for transferred subjects or at the time of enrollment to the study for current inpatients) presence of persistent psychotic symptoms and the pharmacological treatments used (single or multiple antipsychotic medication). These two variables proved to be important factors associated with prolonging patients' length of stay (respectively p = 0.02 and p = 0.00004). Both polypharmacotherapy and persistent psychotic symptoms,

despite adequate medical treatment, are indicators of drug resistant psychosis. Similarly, in a UK sample (12), over 30% of long-stay patients suffering from schizophrenia were considered to be treatment resistant. Another factor that may relate to treatment resistance in clinical settings is the use of clozapine. In our sample use of clozapine variable was not significantly correlated to LoS, however the number of subjects receiving clozapine was quite low (n = 9). We cannot rule out the possibility that clozapine has been badly tolerated by some of the subjects in the past, which could be the reason for using another medication currently, despite treatment resistance. Longstanding persistence of psychotic symptoms may impede cognitive functions and social cognition, leading to the lack of insight, which can increase the risk of violence (23, 24).

In conclusion, our results support some previous findings concerning factors relating to the LoS of inpatients in forensic psychiatric settings in an East European sample. The severity of criminal acts proved to be one of the most significant factors related to LoS prolongation, as well as diagnoses of schizophrenia and schizoaffective disorder. The impact of diagnosis should be interpreted carefully however as any comparisons with results from the international literature is at risk of bias as the criteria for admission to forensic institutions and the profiles of the patient populations differ significantly across European countries (19, 20). Contrary to most of the previous reports, our study incorporates data concerning the clinical presentation of subjects during forensic treatment. Our analysis of the data on mental state at the time the index offense, mental state at the time of the study, and the treatment offered in the course of psychiatric detention indicates that one of the crucial factors prolonging LoS is treatment resistance. The significance of treatment resistance is a novel finding and has not been discussed in earlier publications on this topic. Our findings may significantly affect day-to-day clinical practice. Findings suggest that optimizations of the medical approach may prevent long hospital stays and lengthy deprivations of liberty.

Limitations

The obvious limitation of our study is the retrospective and cross-sectional design. The research protocol included collecting two types of data: medical records and data from court proceedings. The data was based on at least two independent assessments of trained professionals, psychiatrists, and psychologists during court proceedings and at least once during the current hospital stay for each subject. Such an approach is uncommon in standard clinical settings and minimizes the risk of bias resulting from the retrospective design. Conclusions derived from our study should

REFERENCES

- Sampson S, Edworthy R, Völlm B. Long-Term Forensic Mental Health Services: An Exploratory Comparison of 18 European Countries. Int J Forens Ment Health (2016) 15(4):1-19. doi: 10.1080/14999013.2016. 1221484
- Hare Duke L, Furtado V, Guo B, Völlm BA. Long-stay in forensic-psychiatric care in the UK. Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol (2018) 53(3):313–21. doi: 10.1007/s00127-017-1473-y

not be generalized to the whole population of forensic inpatients in Poland as data pertain to a medium secure setting. We cannot rule out that the relationships between specific variables and LoS could be different in low secure settings, due to the differences in patient populations. As forensic inpatient care in Poland is a three-step model with units of high, medium, and low security, the total length of stay of forensic patients in Poland is likely longer than that reported in this study and it should be assumed that it will be longer for patients transferred to wards within a maximum security hospital. The cross-sectional design of the study rules out the assessment of the total LoS.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The datasets generated for this study are available on request to the corresponding author.

ETHICS STATEMENT

Ethical review and approval were not required for the study on human participants in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. Written informed consent for participation was not required for this study in accordance with the national legislation and the institutional requirements.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

PG—Concept of project, literature search, database preparation, data collection, statistical analysis, manuscript editing, final text approval. JK—Literature search, database preparation, data collection, statistical analysis, manuscript editing. ER-G—Database preparation, data collection. DB—Database preparation, data collection. JH—Concept of project, manuscript editing, final text approval.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to thank all the personnel from the Department of Forensic Psychiatry, Institute of Psychiatry and Neurology in Warsaw involved in processing medical records, which was crucial for this research.

- Jansman-Hart EM, Seto MC, Crocker AG, Nicholls TL, Côté G. International Trends in Demand for Forensic Mental Health Services. *Int J Forens Ment Health* (2011) 10:326–36. doi: 10.1080/14999013.2011.625591
- Priebe S, Frottier P, Gaddini A, Kilian R, Lauber C, Martínez-Leal R, et al. Mental Health Care Institutions in Nine European Countries, 2002 to 2006. Psychiatr Serv (2008) 59:570–3. doi: 10.1176/ps.2008.59.5.570
- Ferracuti S, Pucci D, Trobia F, Alessi MC, Rapinesi C, Kotzalidis GD, et al. Evolution of forensic psychiatry in Italy over the past 40 years (1978-2018). Int J Law Psychiatry (2019) 62:45–9. doi: 10.1016/j.ijlp.2018.10.003

- Rutherford M, Duggan S. Forensic mental health services: facts and figures on current provision. Br J Forens Pract (2008) 10(4):4–10. doi: 10.1108/ 14636646200800020
- Earnshaw CH, Shaw L, Thomas D, Haeney O. A retrospective study comparing the length of admission of medium secure unit patients admitted in the three decades since 1985. BJPsych Bull (2018) 43(4):154–57. doi: 10.1192/bjb.2018.88
- 8. Connell C, Seppänen A, Scarpa F, Gosek P, Heitzman J, Furtado V. External factors influencing length of stay in forensic services: A European evaluation. *Psychiatr Pol* (2019) 53(3):673–89. doi: 10.12740/PP/99299
- Ross T, Querengässer J, Fontao MI, Hoffmann K. Predicting discharge in forensic psychiatry: The legal and psychosocial factors associated with long and short stays in forensic psychiatric hospitals. *Int J Law Psychiatry* (2012) 35:213–21. doi: 10.1016/j.ijlp.2012.02.011
- Andreasson H, Nyman M, Krona H, Meyer L, Anckarsäter H, Nilsson T, et al. Predictors of length of stay in forensic psychiatry: The influence of perceived risk of violence. Int J Law Psychiatry (2014) 37:635–42. doi: 10.1016/j.ijlp.2014.02.038
- Shah A, Waldron G, Boast N, Coid JW, Ullrich S. Factors associated with length of admission at a medium secure forensic psychiatric unit. *J Forens Psychiatry Psychol* (2011) 22(4):496–512. doi: 10.1080/14789949.2011.594902
- Völlm BA, Edworthy R, Huband N, Talbot E, Majid S, Holley J, et al. Characteristics and Pathways of Long-Stay Patients in High and Medium Secure Settings in England; A Secondary Publication From a Large Mixed-Methods Study. Front Psychiatry (2018) 9:140. doi: 10.3389/fpsyt.2018.00140
- Wright B, O'Neill C, Kennedy H. Admissions to a national forensic hospital 1997-2003. Irish J psychol Med (2008) 25(1):17–23. doi: 10.1017/S079096670001079X
- Davoren M, Byrne O, O'Connell P, O'Neill H, O'Reilly K, Kennedy HG. Factors affecting length of stay in forensic hospital setting: Need for therapeutic security and course of admission. *BMC Psychiatry* (2015) 15 (1):1–15. doi: 10.1186/s12888-015-0686-4
- 15. Kennedy HG, O'Neill C, Flynn G, Gill P, Davoren M. The DUNDRUM toolkit. Dangerousness, understanding, recovery and urgency manual (The Dundrum Quartet) V1. 0.21 (18/03/10). Four structured professional judgment instruments for admission triage, urgency, treatment completion and recovery assessments. Trinity College Dublin: Dublin (2010).
- Eckert M, Schel S, Kennedy HG, Bulten B. Patient characteristics related to length of stay in Dutch forensic psychiatric care. J Forens. Psychiatry Psychol (2017) 28(6):863–80. doi: 10.1080/14789949.2017.1332771
- O'Neill C, Heffernan P, Goggins R, Corcoran C, Linehan S, Duffy D, et al. Longstay forensic psychiatric inpatients in the Republic of Ireland: Aggregated needs assessment. *Irish J Psychol Med* (2003) 20:119–25. doi: 10.1017/S07909667 00007916
- Heitzman J, Markiewicz I, Gosek P. Complementary Model of Long-Term Care in Poland as a Result of the Political Transformation, Law Reform and Service Changes in Forensic Psychiatry. In: Völlm, B, Braun, P, Eds. Long-Term Forensic Psychiatric Care, Clinical, Ethical and Legal Challenges. Springer (2019).
- Dressing H, Salize HJ, Gordon H. Legal frameworks and key concepts regulating diversion and treatment of mentally disordered offenders in European Union member states. Eur Psychiatry (2007) 22(7):427–32. doi: 10.1016/j.eurpsy.2007.03.005
- Salize HJ, Lepping P, Dressing H. How harmonized are we? Forensic mental health legislation and service provision in the European Union. *Crim Behav Ment Health* (2005) 15(3):143–7. doi: 10.1002/cbm.6
- Heitzman J, Gosek P, Luks M, Pilszyk A, Kotowska J, Pacholski M. Implementation of the European Psychiatric Association (EPA) guidance

- on forensic psychiatry in Poland. Current state and required measures. Psychiatr Pol (2020) 54(3):553-70. doi: 10.12740/PP/109123
- Fioritti A, Ferriani E, Rucci P, Melega V, Venco C, Scaramelli AR. Predicting length of stay in Italian Psychiatric Forensic Hospitals: a survival analysis. *Epidemiol Psychiatr Sci* (2001) 10(2):125–34. doi: 10.1017/S1121189X 00005200
- Reinharth J, Reynolds G, Dill C, Serper M. Cognitive predictors of violence in schizophrenia: a meta-analytic review. Schizophr Res.: Cogn (2014) 1(2):101– 11. doi: 10.1016/j.scog.2014.06.001
- O'Reilly K, Donohoe G, Coyle C, O'Sullivan D, Rowe A, Losty M, et al. Prospective cohort study of the relationship between neuro-cognition, social cognition and violence in forensic patients with schizophrenia and schizoaffective disorder. *BMC Psychiatry* (2015) 15:155. doi: 10.1186/ s12888-015-0548-0
- Creed F, Tomenson B, Anthony P, Tramner M. Predicting length of stay in psychiatry. Psychol Med (1997) 27:961–6. doi: 10.1017/S0033291796004588
- Appelbaum PS, Robbins PC, Monahan J. Violence and delusions: data from the MacArthur Violence Risk Assessment Study. Am J Psychiatry (2000) 157:566–72. doi: 10.1176/appi.ajp.157.4.566
- 27. Junginger J, Parks-Levy J, McGuire L. Delusions and symptom-consistent violence. *Psychiatr Serv* (1998) 49 (2):218–220. doi: 10.1176/ps.49.2.218
- Junginger J. Command hallucinations and the prediction of dangerousness. Psychiatr Serv (1995) 46:911–4. doi: 10.1176/ps.46.9.911
- Junginger J, McGuire L. The paradox of command hallucinations. Psychiatr Serv (2001) 52:385. doi: 10.1176/appi.ps.52.3.385
- Chester V, Vollm B, Tromans S, Kapugama C, Alexander. Long-stay patients with and without intellectual disability in forensic psychiatric settings: comparison of characteristics and needs. BJPsych Open (2018) 4:226–34. doi: 10.1192/bjo.2018.24
- 31. Walsh E, Buchanan A, Fahy T. Violence and schizophrenia: Examining the evidence. *Br J Psychiatry: J Ment Sci* (2002) 180:490–5. doi: 10.1192/bjp.180.6.490
- Fazel S, Gulati G, Linsell L, Geddes JR, Grann M. Schizophrenia and violence: systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS Med* (2009) 6(8):E1000120. doi: 10.1371/journal.pmed.1000120
- 33. Bowers L, Stewart D, Papadopoulos C, Dack C, Ross J, Khanom H, et al. Inpatient violence and aggression: a literature review. Report from the Conflict and Containment Reduction Research Programme, Institute of Psychiatry, Kings College London. (2011). Available at: http://www.kcl.ac.uk/ioppn/depts/ hspr/research/ciemh/mhn/projects/litreview/LitRevAgg.pdf.
- Arsenault-Lapierre G, Kim C, Turecki G. Psychiatric diagnoses in 3275 suicides: A meta-analysis. BMC Psychiatry (2004) 4(1):37. doi: 10.1186/ 1471-244X-4-37

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2020 Gosek, Kotowska, Rowińska-Garbień, Bartczak and Heitzman. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.