

Factors of horocycle flows

MARINA RATNER

*Department of Mathematics, University of California at Berkeley,
Berkeley, Calif. 94720, USA*

(Received 7 October 1981)

Dedicated to the memory of V. M. Alexeyev

Abstract. We classify up to an isomorphism all factors of the classical horocycle flow on the unit tangent bundle of a surface of constant negative curvature with finite volume.

Let $T = \{T_t, t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ and $S = \{S_t, t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ be two measure preserving (m.p.) flows on probability spaces (X, μ) and (Y, ν) respectively. We say that S is a factor of T if there is a measure preserving

$$\psi: X \rightarrow Y \quad \text{such that } \psi(T_t x) = S_t \psi(x)$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and μ -almost every (a.e.) $x \in X$. ψ is called a conjugacy between T and S . T and S are called isomorphic ($T \sim S$) if there is an invertible conjugacy between T and S , called an isomorphism. We write $(T, S) \sim (T', S')$ if $T \sim T'$ and $S \sim S'$. S is called trivial if there is $y \in Y$ such that $\nu\{y\} = 1$. Henceforth the word 'factor' means non-trivial factor.

Let $\Phi(T)$ denote the set of all isomorphisms

$$\phi: X \rightarrow X \quad \text{such that } \phi(T_t x) = T_t \phi(x)$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and a.e. $x \in X$ and let $\Psi = \Psi(T, S)$ denote the set of all conjugacies between T and S . We say that $\psi_1 \in \Psi$ and $\psi_2 \in \Psi$ are equivalent ($\psi_1 \sim \psi_2$) if there are $\phi_1 \in \Phi(T)$ and $\phi_2 \in \Phi(S)$ such that $\psi_2 = \phi_2 \circ \psi_1 \circ \phi_1$ a.e.

Let $\pi(T, S)$ denote the set of equivalence classes in Ψ . It is clear that if $(T, S) \sim (T', S')$ then there is a natural one-to-one correspondence between $\pi(T, S)$ and $\pi(T', S')$. So $|\pi(T, S)|$ is an invariant of the isomorphism class of (T, S) .

One would naturally raise the following problems: (1) classifying all possible factors of a given m.p. flow T up to an isomorphism; (2) describing $\pi(T, S)$ for a given factor S of T .

In this paper we shall solve these problems for the classical horocycle flow on the unit tangent bundle of a surface of constant negative curvature with finite volume.

Let G denote the group $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ equipped with a left invariant Riemannian metric and let \mathcal{T} be the set of all discrete subgroups Γ of G such that the quotient space $M = \Gamma \backslash G = \{\Gamma g : g \in G\}$ has finite volume. M can be viewed as the unit tangent bundle of a surface of constant negative curvature with finite volume. Let F be an element of the Lie algebra \mathcal{A} of G and let $F_t = \exp(tF) \in G$. The flow $f = \{f_t, t \in \mathbb{R}\}$

on M defined by $f_t(\Gamma g) = \Gamma g \cdot F_t$, $g \in G$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$ is called the algebraic flow, generated by F . f preserves the Riemannian volume ν on M derived from the Haar measure on G . ν is defined on the Borel σ -algebra \mathcal{B}_M of M and we denote by (\mathcal{B}, μ) the normalized completion of (\mathcal{B}_M, ν) , $\mu(M) = 1$.

The horocycle flow

$$h = \{h_t, t \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

on M is the algebraic flow, generated by $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, i.e.

$$h_t(\Gamma g) = \Gamma g N_t,$$

where

$$N_t = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ t & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, g \in G.$$

It is well known that h is ergodic and mixing on (M, μ) , in fact mixing of all degrees [1].

Let $F \in \mathcal{A}$, $\Gamma_i \in \mathcal{T}$, $i = 1, 2$ and let $f^{(i)}$ be the algebraic flow on $M_i = \Gamma_i \backslash G$, generated by F , $i = 1, 2$. It is easy to see that if $\Gamma_1 \subset \Gamma_2$ then $f^{(2)}$ is a factor of $f^{(1)}$. Indeed, let

$$\psi: M_1 \rightarrow M_2$$

be defined by

$$\psi(\Gamma_1 g) = \Gamma_2 g, \quad g \in G.$$

Then ψ is measure preserving and

$$\psi f_t^{(1)}(\Gamma_1 g) = \psi(\Gamma_1 g F_t) = \Gamma_2 g F_t = f_t^{(2)}(\Gamma_2 g) = f_t^{(2)}(\psi(\Gamma_1 g)).$$

We shall call $f^{(2)}$ an algebraic factor of $f^{(1)}$.

The following theorem shows that every factor of the horocycle flow is algebraic.

THEOREM 1. *Let $\Gamma_1 \in \mathcal{T}$, $M_1 = \Gamma_1 \backslash G$ and let S be a factor of the horocycle $h^{(1)}$ on (M_1, μ_1) . Then there is $\Gamma_2 \in \mathcal{T}$ such that $\Gamma_1 \subset \Gamma_2$ and S is isomorphic to $h^{(2)}$ on (M_2, μ_2) .*

It has been proved in [4] that for $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 \in \mathcal{T}$ the horocycle flows $h^{(1)}$ and $h^{(2)}$ are isomorphic iff Γ_1 and Γ_2 are conjugate in G , i.e. $\Gamma_2 = C\Gamma_1 C^{-1}$ for some $C \in G$. For $\Gamma \in \mathcal{T}$ we denote

$$\alpha(\Gamma) = \{\tilde{\Gamma} \in \mathcal{T} : \Gamma \subset \tilde{\Gamma}\}.$$

It is well known [6] that $\alpha(\Gamma)$ is finite. Γ is called maximal if $\alpha(\Gamma) = \{\Gamma\}$. We get the following corollary.

COROLLARY 1. *The number of non-isomorphic factors of the horocycle flow h on $M = \Gamma \backslash G$, $\Gamma \in \mathcal{T}$ is finite and equals the number of conjugacy classes in $\alpha(\Gamma)$.*

It was proved in [4] that if $\Gamma_2 \in \alpha(\Gamma_1)$ and $\psi: M_1 \rightarrow M_2$ is a conjugacy between $h^{(1)}$ and $h^{(2)}$ then there is $C \in G$ such that

$$C\Gamma_1 C^{-1} \subset \Gamma_2 \quad \text{and} \quad \psi(\Gamma_1 g) = h_\sigma^{(2)} \psi_C(\Gamma_1 g)$$

for some $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$ and a.e. $\Gamma_1 g \in M_1$, $g \in G$, where $\psi_C(\Gamma_1 g) = \Gamma_2 Cg$. This says that $\psi \sim \psi_C$.

For $\Gamma_2 \in \alpha(\Gamma_1)$ we denote

$$\mathcal{C}(\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2) = \{C \in G : C\Gamma_1 C^{-1} \subset \Gamma_2\} = \{C \in G : C^{-1}\Gamma_2 C \in \alpha(\Gamma_1)\}$$

and

$$\kappa(\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2) = \{\Gamma \in \alpha(\Gamma_1) : \Gamma = C^{-1}\Gamma_2 C \text{ for some } C \in G\}.$$

It follows from [4] that

$$\psi_{C_1} \sim \psi_{C_2}, \quad C_1, C_2 \in \mathcal{C}(\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2).$$

iff $C_2 = CC_1 D$ for some $C \in \tilde{\Gamma}_2$ and some $D \in \tilde{\Gamma}_1$, where $\tilde{\Gamma}$ denotes the normalizer of Γ in G , i.e.

$$\tilde{\Gamma} = \{C \in G : C\Gamma C^{-1} = \Gamma\}.$$

In this case we write $C_2 \sim C_1$. \sim is an equivalence relation in $\mathcal{C}(\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2)$. For $\Gamma', \Gamma'' \in \kappa(\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2)$ we write $\Gamma' \sim \Gamma''$ if $\Gamma'' = D^{-1}\Gamma'D$ for some $D \in \tilde{\Gamma}_1$. It is clear that $C_2 \sim C_1$ in $\mathcal{C}(\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2)$ iff $C_2^{-1}\Gamma_2 C_2 \sim C_1^{-1}\Gamma_2 C_1$ in $\kappa(\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2)$. We have just proved the following theorem.

THEOREM 2. *Let $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 \in \mathcal{T}$ and $\Gamma_1 \subset \Gamma_2$. Then*

$$\pi(h^{(1)}, h^{(2)}) = \{[\psi_C] : C \in \mathcal{C}(\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2)\},$$

where $[\psi]$ denotes the equivalence class of $\psi \in \Psi(h^{(1)}, h^{(2)})$. $\pi(h^{(1)}, h^{(2)})$ is finite and $|\pi(h^{(1)}, h^{(2)})|$ equals the number of equivalence classes in $\kappa(\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2)$.

COROLLARY 2. *If Γ is maximal and S is a factor of h on $\Gamma \backslash G$, then S is isomorphic to h and $|\pi(h, S)| = 1$.*

THEOREM 3. *Let S on (Y, ν) be a factor of h_1 (the time-one transformation of the horocycle flow) on $(M = \Gamma \backslash G, \mu)$, $\Gamma \in \mathcal{T}$ with a conjugacy $\psi : M \rightarrow Y$, $\psi h_1(x) = h_1 \psi(x)$ a.e. $x \in M$. Then there exists a m.p. flow $\{S_t, t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ on (Y, ν) such that $S = S_1$ and $\psi h_t(x) = S_t \psi(x)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and a.e. $x \in M$.*

COROLLARY 3. *If S is a factor of $h_1^{(1)}$ on $M_1 = \Gamma_1 \backslash G$ then there is $\Gamma_2 \supset \Gamma_1$ such that S is isomorphic to $h_1^{(2)}$ on $M_2 = \Gamma_2 \backslash G$. If Γ_1 is maximal then every factor of $h_1^{(1)}$ is isomorphic to $h_1^{(1)}$.*

The geodesic flow $g = \{g_t, t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ on $M = \Gamma \backslash G$, $\Gamma \in \mathcal{T}$ is the algebraic flow, generated by $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{A}$, i.e.

$$g_t(\Gamma x) = \Gamma x \begin{pmatrix} \exp(t) & 0 \\ 0 & \exp(-t) \end{pmatrix}, \quad x \in G.$$

g and h satisfy the following commutation relation:

$$g_t \circ h_s = h_{s \exp(2t)} \circ g_t, \quad t, s \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{*}$$

(*) shows that h_α and h_β are isomorphic if $\alpha \cdot \beta > 0$ and that the entropy of h is zero.

It is well known that g is Bernoulli [2] and therefore g has uncountably many non-isomorphic factors. (*) shows that the entropy of g equals 2 for every $\Gamma \in \mathcal{F}$. This implies that $g^{(1)}$ is isomorphic to $g^{(2)}$ for any $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 \in \mathcal{F}$. One can show that $\pi(g^{(1)}, g^{(2)})$ is uncountable.

The proof of theorem 1 consists of three basic steps: (1) We show (§ 3) that if a flow S on (Y, ν) is a factor of the horocycle flow h on (M, μ) with a factor map $\psi: M \rightarrow Y$ then $\psi^{-1}\{y\}$ is finite for a.e. $y \in Y$. This uses the basic estimates on divergence of horocycles (§ 2) to show that ψ is locally 1-1; (2) using (1) we show that any factor map of the horocycle flow must be a factor map of the entire action of $SL(2, R)$ (§ 4); (3) using (2), we construct a discrete subgroup of $SL(2, R)$ for which the factor is a horocycle flow (the end of § 4).

Section 1 contains some measure-theoretical background and in § 5 we prove theorem 3.

I am grateful to Joe Wolf for valuable discussions.

1. Factors and invariant partitions

Henceforth all measure spaces are assumed to be separable and complete.

Let $S = \{S_t, t \in R\}$ on (Y, ν) be a factor of $T = \{T_t, t \in R\}$ on (X, μ) with a conjugacy $\psi: X \rightarrow Y$

$$\psi T_t(x) = S_t \psi(x) \quad \text{for all } t \in R \text{ and a.e. } x \in X. \tag{1.1}$$

We can assume without loss of generality that (1.1) holds for all $x \in X$. ψ induces a measurable partition

$$\xi = \xi(\psi) = \{\psi^{-1}\{y\} : y \in Y\}$$

of X (see [5]), invariant under T , i.e. for every $t \in R$

$$C \in \xi \quad \text{iff } T_t C \in \xi.$$

Let X/ξ be the quotient space, induced by ξ and let $\pi: X \rightarrow X/\xi$ be the projection $\pi(x) = C(x)$, where $C(x)$ denotes the atom of ξ , containing x . A set $A \subset X/\xi$ is called measurable in X/ξ if $\pi^{-1}(A)$ is measurable in X . We define a measure μ_ξ on X/ξ by $\mu_\xi(A) = \mu(\pi^{-1}(A))$. π is a conjugacy between T and the m.p. flow T^ξ on X/ξ defined by

$$T_t^\xi(C(x)) = C(Tx), \quad x \in X, t \in R.$$

It is clear, that T^ξ is isomorphic to S .

It is well known (see [5]) that for a.e. $C \in \xi$ there is a probability measure μ_C on C such that if $A \subset X$ is measurable in X then $A \cap C$ is measurable in C and

$$\mu(A) = \int_{X/\xi} \mu_C(A \cap C) d\mu_\xi(C). \tag{1.2}$$

Henceforth it will be clear from the context when $C \in \xi$ is considered as a subset of X and when it is considered as a point of X/ξ . The family of measures $\{\mu_C\}$ is unique in the following sense: a family $\{\mu'_C\}$ satisfies (1.2) iff $\mu'_C = \mu_C$ for a.e.

$C \in X/\xi$. This says that by possibly changing $\{\mu_C\}$ on a set of μ_ξ -measure zero we can get a set

$$\Omega \subset X/\xi, \quad T_t^\xi \Omega = \Omega, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \mu_\xi(\Omega) = 1$$

such that if $C \in \Omega$ then

$$A \subset C \text{ is measurable in } C \text{ iff } T_t A \text{ is measurable in } T_t C \text{ and } \mu_C(A) = \mu_{T_t C} T_t A \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{1.3}$$

We can assume without loss of generality that (1.3) holds for all $C \in X/\xi$, since T^ξ restricted on Ω is isomorphic to T^ξ on X/ξ .

We say that μ_C is atomic if there is $x \in C$ s.t. $\mu_C\{x\} > 0$.

PROPOSITION 1.1. *Suppose that T is ergodic and that there is $Z \subset X/\xi, \mu_\xi(Z) > 0$ such that μ_C is atomic for every $C \in Z$. Then there are*

$$U \subset X/\xi, \quad T_t^\xi U = U, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \mu_\xi(U) = 1, \\ D \subset X, \quad T_t D = D, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \mu(D) = 1$$

and an integer $n > 0$ such that for every $C \in U, D \cap C$ consists of exactly n points $x_1(C), \dots, x_n(C)$ with

$$\mu_C\{x_i(C)\} = \frac{1}{n}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Proof. Let $m : X/\xi \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by

$$m(C) = \sup \{\mu_C\{x\} : x \in C\}.$$

m is measurable [5] and (1.3) shows that m is constant on orbits of T^ξ . Since T^ξ is ergodic, there is

$$U' \subset X/\xi, \quad T_t^\xi U' = U', \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \mu_\xi(U') = 1$$

such that m equals a constant α on U' . Since

$$\mu_\xi(Z \cap U') > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad m(C) > 0$$

for every $C \in Z, \alpha$ must be positive.

Let

$$D = \{x \in X : C(x) \in U' \text{ and } \mu_C\{x\} = \alpha\}.$$

D is measurable [5] and (1.3) shows that D consists of orbits of T . It is clear, that $\mu(D) > 0$. Since T is ergodic, $\mu(D) = 1$.

Let

$$U = \{C \in U' : \mu_C(C \cap D) = 1\}, \\ \mu_\xi(U) = 1, \quad T_t^\xi U = U, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

If $x \in C \cap D$ then $\mu_C\{x\} = \alpha > 0, C \in U$. This says that $C \cap D, C \in U$ consists of finite many points $x_1(C), \dots, x_n(C)$ and that $\alpha = 1/n$, since $\mu_C(C \cap D) = 1, C \in U$. This completes the proof. □

It also follows from [5] that if a.e. $C \in X/\xi$ consists of n points of equal μ_C -measure, then there are a measurable

$$V \subset X/\xi, \quad \mu_\xi(V) = 1, \quad \pi^{-1}(V) = \tilde{X}, \quad \mu(\tilde{X}) = 1$$

and pairwise disjoint measurable $X_i \subset X, i = 1, \dots, n,$

$$X = \bigcup_{i=1}^n X_i, \quad \mu(X_i) = \frac{1}{n}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n$$

such that if $C \in V$ then

$$C \cap X_i = \{x_i(C)\}$$

consists of exactly one point and the maps $\phi_i: \tilde{X}$ onto X_i defined by

$$\phi_i(x) = x_i(C(x))$$

are measurable, $i = 1, \dots, n.$ The pair (X_i, ϕ_i) is called a measurable cross-section of $\xi, i = 1, \dots, n.$

2. Properties of the covering horocycle flow in G

Let $p: G \rightarrow M = \Gamma \backslash G, \Gamma \in \mathcal{T}$ be the covering projection $p(g) = \Gamma g.$ Let

$$G_t g = g \cdot \begin{pmatrix} e^t & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-t} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad H_t g = g \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ t & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad g \in G, t \in \mathbb{R}$$

be the geodesic and the horocycle flows on $G,$ covering $\{g_t\}$ and $\{h_t\}$ on M respectively. We shall also consider the flow

$$H_t^* g = g \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & t \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

on $G,$ covering the flow

$$h_t^*(\Gamma g) = \Gamma H_t^* g$$

on $M.$

We have

$$G_t \circ H_s = H_{s \exp(2t)} \circ G_t, \quad G_t \circ H_s^* = H_{s \exp(-2t)}^* \circ G_t, \quad t, s \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{2.1}$$

We assume that G is equipped with a left invariant Riemannian metric, in which the length of the orbit intervals $[g, Gg], [g, Hg]$ and $[g, H_t^* g]$ is $t, g \in G.$ Let $d: G \times G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ be the left invariant metric on $G,$ induced by this Riemannian metric and let e denote the identity element of $G.$

Denote

$$\Delta(g) = \max \{|1 - a|, |b|, |c|\} \quad \text{for } g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in G.$$

It is well known, that there is $A > 1$ such that

$$A^{-1} \Delta(g) \leq d(e, g) \leq A \Delta(g) \quad \text{for all } g \in G \text{ with } d(g, e) \leq 1. \tag{2.2}$$

For $x, y \in G$ we have

$$d(H_s x, H_s y) = d(e, N_{-s} \cdot g \cdot N_s)$$

where $g = x^{-1} \cdot y$ and $N_s = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ s & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. It follows from (2.2) that if $d(H_sx, H_sy) \leq 1$, then

$$A^{-1} \Delta(N_{-s} \cdot g \cdot N_s) \leq d(H_sx, H_sy) \leq A \Delta(N_{-s} \cdot g \cdot N_s)$$

where,

$$\Delta(N_{-s} \cdot g \cdot N_s) = \max \{ |1 - a - bs|, |b|, |bs^2 + s(a - d) - c| \} \tag{2.3}$$

and

$$g = x^{-1} \cdot y = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let $0 < \epsilon \leq 1$ be small and suppose that $d(x, y) < \epsilon$. We shall now estimate the length of the time the horocycle orbits H_sx and H_sy stay within ϵ . (2.3) shows that $d(H_sx, H_sy)$ grows polynomially in s . We have

$$\{s \in \mathbb{R}^+ : d(H_sx, H_sy) \leq \epsilon\} \subset \{s \in \mathbb{R}^+ : \Delta(N_{-s} \cdot g \cdot N_s) \leq A \cdot \epsilon\} = E(g, \epsilon) \tag{2.4}$$

where $g = x^{-1} \cdot y$ and $\Delta(N_{-s} \cdot g \cdot N_s)$ are as in (2.3).

It is easy to compute that:

(1) $E(g, \epsilon)$ consists of at most two connected components $E_0 = E_0(g, \epsilon) \ni 0$ and $E_1 = E_1(g, \epsilon)$;

(2) If

$$l = l(g, \epsilon) = \max \{l(E_0), l(E_1)\} \geq 1 \text{ (} l(I) \text{ denotes the length of } I\text{),}$$

then for every $s \in E(g, \epsilon)$ we have

$$|1 - a_s| \leq D(\epsilon)/l, \quad |b_s| \leq D(\epsilon)/l^2, \quad |c_s| \leq \epsilon \tag{2.5}$$

where

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_s & b_s \\ c_s & d_s \end{pmatrix} = N_{-s} \cdot g \cdot N_s \quad \text{and} \quad \epsilon \leq D(\epsilon) \rightarrow 0$$

when $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$.

It follows from (2.3) and (2.5) that if $l \geq 1$ then

$$\Delta(N_{-s-u} \cdot g \cdot N_{s+u}) \leq 3D(\epsilon) \quad \text{for all } s \in E(g, \epsilon) \text{ and all } 0 \leq u \leq l.$$

This implies that

$$d(H_{s+u}x, H_{s+u}y) \leq 3AD(\epsilon) \quad \text{for all } s \in E(g, \epsilon) \text{ and all } 0 \leq u \leq l. \tag{2.6}$$

Henceforth $D(\epsilon)$ will always mean a constant depending only on ϵ and converging to 0 when $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$.

Let us observe that if

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Delta(g) < \epsilon$$

and ϵ is sufficiently small then

$$g = H_q H_r^* G_p e \text{ where } p = \log a / (1 + bc), \quad r = b e^{-p}, \quad q = c e^p. \tag{2.7}$$

For $g \in G$ and $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \geq 0$ we define

$$U(g; \alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \{\tilde{g} \in G: \tilde{g} = H_q H_r^* G_p g \text{ for some } |p| \leq \alpha, |r| \leq \beta, |q| \leq \gamma\}.$$

It follows from (2.1) that for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$

$$G_t U(g; \alpha, \beta, \gamma) = U(G_t g; \alpha, \beta e^{-2t}, \gamma e^{2t}). \tag{2.8}$$

It follows from (2.4), (2.5) and (2.7) that if $s \in E(x^{-1} \cdot y, \epsilon)$ and $l = l(x^{-1} \cdot y, \epsilon) \geq 1$ then

$$H_s y \in U(H_s x, D(\epsilon)/l, D(\epsilon)/l^2, D(\epsilon)) \tag{2.9}$$

where $D(\epsilon) \rightarrow 0$ when $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$.

We shall need the following:

LEMMA 2.1. *Given $0 < \delta < 1$ there are $\tilde{\delta} > 0$ and $\bar{\delta} > 0$ depending only on δ such that if $d(x, y) < \tilde{\delta}$, $x, y \in G$ then for every $s \in E(x^{-1} \cdot y, 1)$ and every $0 \leq u < \bar{\delta} l(x^{-1} \cdot y, 1)$ with $s + u \notin E(x^{-1} y, 1)$*

$$\text{either } d(H_{s+u} x, H_{s+u+1} y) < \delta \text{ or } d(H_{s+u} x, H_{s+u-1} y) < \delta. \tag{2.10}$$

Proof. It is enough to show that there are $\tilde{\delta} > 0$ and $\bar{\delta} > 0$ such that if $\Delta(g) < \tilde{\delta}$, $g \in G$ then for every $s \in E(g, 1)$ and every

$$0 \leq u \leq \bar{\delta} l(g, 1) \text{ and } s + u \notin E(g, 1)$$

we have $|c_{s+u}| > 1$ and

$$\max \{|1 - a_{s+u}|, |b_{s+u}|, |c_{s+u} - \text{sign } c_{s+u}|\} \leq \delta,$$

where

$$g_s = N_{-s} \cdot g \cdot N_s = \begin{pmatrix} a_s & b_s \\ c_s & d_s \end{pmatrix}$$

and $\text{sign } c = c/|c|$ if $c \neq 0$.

Let $0 < \tilde{\delta} < \delta$ be so small that if $\Delta(g) < \tilde{\delta}$ then

$$\Delta(g_s) < 1 \text{ for all } 0 \leq s \leq 2D(1)/\delta.$$

(see (2.5) for the definition of $D(1)$). This says that

$$l = l(g, 1) \geq 2D(1)/\delta.$$

Let $\bar{\delta} = \delta/4D(1)$ and let $s \in E(g, 1)$, $0 \leq u \leq \bar{\delta} l$. We have using (2.3) and (2.5)

$$\begin{aligned} |b_{s+u}| &= |b_s| \leq D(1)/l^2 \leq \delta \\ |1 - a_{s+u}| &= |1 - a_s - b_s u| \leq D(1)/l + \bar{\delta} l \cdot D(1)/l^2 \leq \delta \end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

$$|c_{s+u} + c_s| = |b_s u^2 + u(a_s - d_s)| \leq \bar{\delta}^2 D(1) + 3\bar{\delta} D(1) \leq 4D(1)\bar{\delta} = \delta. \tag{2.12}$$

(2.11) shows that

$$|c_{s+u}| > 1 \text{ if } s + u \notin E(g, 1)$$

since $\Delta(g_{s+u}) > 1$ for $s + u \notin E(g, 1)$. Also $|c_s| \leq 1$ for $s \in E(g, 1)$. This and (2.12) imply that

$$|c_{s+u} - \text{sign } c_{s+u}| \leq \delta \text{ if } s + u \notin E(g, 1).$$

This completes the proof. □

Denote

$$W_\epsilon(g) = U(g; \epsilon, \epsilon, 0), g \in G.$$

We say that $x, y \in G, y \in W_\epsilon(x)$ form an ϵ -strip of length $t \geq 0$ if for every $s \in [0, t]$ there is $q(s) \geq 0, q(0) = 0$ such that

$$H_{q(s)}y \in W_\epsilon(H_sx). \tag{2.13}$$

$q(s) = q(s, x, y)$ is uniquely defined by (2.13) and is a smooth function of (s, x, y) . It is easy to compute that

$$|q(s) - s| = D(\epsilon)s, \tag{2.14}$$

where $D(\epsilon) \rightarrow 0$ when $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. It follows from (2.1) that if x, y form an ϵ -strip of length t then $G_\tau x, G_\tau y, \tau \geq 0$ form an ϵ -strip of length $t e^{2\tau}$.

3. *h*-invariant partitions

Let $h = \{h_t, t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ be the horocycle flow on $(M = \Gamma \backslash G, \mu)$ and let S on (Y, ν) be a factor of h_1 (the time-one transformation of the flow h_t) with a conjugacy $\psi: M \rightarrow Y$

$$\psi h_1(x) = S\psi(x) \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in M. \tag{3.1}$$

LEMMA 3.1. *Let ζ be the partition of M induced by ψ (see § 1). Then there exists $Z \subset M/\zeta, \mu_\zeta(Z) > 0$ such that μ_C is atomic for every $C \in Z$.*

Proof. We can assume without loss of generality that Y is a compact metric space and S is a homeomorphism of Y onto itself. Moreover, there exists $\epsilon_Y > 0$ such that

$$d_Y(y, Sy) > \epsilon_Y \quad \text{for every } y \in Y, \tag{3.2}$$

where d_Y denotes the metric in Y (see for instance [3]).

Let $0 < \theta < 0.01$ be fixed.

Since $\psi: M \rightarrow Y$ is measurable, there is $\Lambda \subset M, \mu(\Lambda) > 1 - \theta$ such that ψ is uniformly continuous on Λ (see lemma 3.1 in [4]).

Let $0 < \delta < 1$ be such that

$$\text{if } d(w_1, w_2) < \delta, \quad w_1, w_2 \in \Lambda \quad \text{then } d_Y(\psi w_1, \psi w_2) < \epsilon_Y.$$

Let $\tilde{\delta} = \tilde{\delta}(\delta) > 0$ and $\bar{\delta} = \bar{\delta}(\delta) > 0$ be as in lemma 2.1. Since h_1 is ergodic, there are $V \subset M, \mu(V) > 1 - \tilde{\delta}/100$ and an integer $n_0 > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{if } n \geq n_0 \text{ and } x \in V \text{ then the relative frequency of} \\ &\Lambda \text{ on } \{x, h_1x, \dots, h_nx\} \text{ is at least } 1 - 2\theta. \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Let $\tilde{V} \subset M, \mu(\tilde{V}) > 1 - \theta$ and an integer $n_1 > n_0$ be such that

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{if } n \geq n_1 \text{ and } x \in \tilde{V} \text{ then the relative frequency of} \\ &V \text{ on } \{x, h_1x, \dots, h_nx\} \text{ is at least } 1 - \bar{\delta}/90. \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

Let $0 < \delta_1 < \tilde{\delta}$ be so small that if $d(x, y) < \delta_1, x, y \in G$ then

$$d(H_sx, H_sy) < 1 \quad \text{for all } 0 \leq s \leq 2n_1/\bar{\delta}. \tag{3.5}$$

We claim that

$$d(u, v) \geq \delta_1 \tag{3.6}$$

for every $u, v \in C \cap \tilde{V}$, $u \neq v$ and every $C \in \zeta$.

Suppose on the contrary that there are $C_0 \in \zeta$ and $u_0, v_0 \in C_0 \cap \tilde{V}$, $u_0 \neq v_0$ such that $d(u_0, v_0) < \delta_1$.

Let $x_0 = p^{-1}(u_0)$, $y_0 = p^{-1}(v_0)$, $x_0, y_0 \in G$ be such that $d(x_0, y_0) = d(u_0, v_0)$ and let $E = E(x_0^{-1} \cdot y_0, 1) = E_0 \cup E_1$ be as in (2.5) (E_1 can be empty), $E_0 = [0, s_0]$, $E_1 = [s_1, s_2]$, $s_1 > s_0$.

(3.5) implies that

$$2n_1/\bar{\delta} \leq l(E_0) \leq \max \{l(E_0), l(E_1)\} = l.$$

Denote

$$F_0 = [s_0, s_0 + \bar{\delta}l/2], \quad F = [0, s_0] \cup F_0 \quad \text{if } s_1 - s_0 > \bar{\delta}l$$

and

$$F_0 = [s_2, s_2 + \bar{\delta}l/2], \quad F = [0, s_2] \cup F_0 \quad \text{if } s_1 - s_0 \leq \bar{\delta}l.$$

We have $F_0 \subset F - E$ and

$$|F| \geq n_1 \quad \text{and} \quad |F_0|/|F| \geq \bar{\delta}/20. \tag{3.7}$$

where $|F|$ denotes the number of integers in F .

Let

$$\tilde{J} = \{m \in F : m \text{ is an integer and } h_m u_0 \in V, h_m v_0 \in V\}.$$

It follows from (3.4) that

$$|\tilde{J}|/|F| \geq 1 - \bar{\delta}/40$$

since $u_0, v_0 \in \tilde{V}$ and $|F| > n_1$. This and (3.7) imply that there is an integer m_0 such that

$$m_0 \in F_0 \cap \tilde{J}.$$

Denote

$$J = \{m \in [m_0, m_0 + \bar{\delta}l/2] : m \text{ is an integer and } h_m u_0 \in \Lambda, h_{m-1} v_0 \in \Lambda, h_{m+1} v_0 \in \Lambda\}.$$

It follows from (3.3) that

$$|J|/[m_0, m_0 + \bar{\delta}l/2] \geq 1 - 6\theta,$$

since

$$h_{m_0} u_0, h_{m_0} v_0 \in V \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\delta}l/2 > n_1 > n_0.$$

This implies that there is

$$m_1 \in [m_0, m_0 + \bar{\delta}l/2] \subset [s_0, s_0 + \bar{\delta}l/2] \cup [s_2, s_2 + \bar{\delta}l/2]$$

such that

$$h_{m_1} u_0 \in \Lambda, \quad h_{m_1-1} v_0 \in \Lambda \quad \text{and} \quad h_{m_1+1} v_0 \in \Lambda. \tag{3.8}$$

It follows from lemma 2.1 that

$$\text{either } d(h_{m_1} u_0, h_{m_1+1} v_0) < \delta \quad \text{or} \quad d(h_{m_1} u_0, h_{m_1-1} v_0) < \delta \tag{3.9}$$

since $d(u_0, v_0) < \delta_1 < \tilde{\delta}(\delta)$.

Assume for simplicity that the first condition of (3.9) holds. We have by (3.8) and our choice of δ

$$d_Y(\psi h_{m_1} u_0, \psi h_{m_1+1} v_0) < \varepsilon_Y. \tag{3.10}$$

(3.1) implies that

$$\psi(h_{m_1+1} v_0) = S\psi(h_{m_1} v_0).$$

Also

$$\psi(h_{m_1} u_0) = \psi(h_{m_1} v_0) = y$$

since $u_0, v_0 \in C_0 \in \zeta$. (3.10) implies then that

$$d_Y(y, Sy) < \varepsilon_Y$$

which contradicts (3.2). So we have proved (3.6).

Since $\mu(\tilde{V}) > 0$ there is $Z \subset M/\zeta, \mu_\zeta(Z) > 0$ such that

$$\mu_C(C \cap \tilde{V}) > 0 \quad \text{for every } C \in Z. \tag{3.11}$$

(3.6) implies that $C \cap \tilde{V}$ is at most countable. This implies via (3.11) that μ_C is atomic for every $C \in Z$. This completes the proof. \square

Note 3.1. It follows from the proof of lemma 3.1 that given $0 < \theta < 0.01$ there are a compact $K \subset M, \mu(K) > 1 - \theta$ and $\delta_1 > 0$ such that

$$d(u, v) \geq \delta_1 \quad \text{for every } u, v \in C \cap K, u \neq v \text{ and every } C \in \zeta.$$

4. Algebraicity of ξ

From now on our discussion will be similar to [4].

Let $S = \{S_t, t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ on (Y, ν) be a factor of $h = \{h_t, t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ on (M, μ) with a conjugacy $\psi: M \rightarrow Y$

$$\psi h_t(x) = S_t \psi(x) \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and a.e. } x \in M,$$

and let ξ be the h -invariant partition of M , induced by ψ . It follows from proposition 1.1 and lemma 3.1, that there are $D \subset M, h_t D = D, t \in \mathbb{R}, \mu(D) = 1, U \subset M/\xi, h_t^\xi U = U, t \in \mathbb{R}, \mu_\xi(U) = 1$ and an integer $n > 0$ such that for every $C \in U$ the intersection $D \cap C$ consists of exactly n points with μ_C -measure $1/n$.

We assume without loss of generality that $D = M$ and $U = M/\xi$. Thus each $C \in \xi$ consists of n distinct points of μ_C -measure $1/n$.

Let $0 < \theta < 0.01$ be given. Using the discreteness of $\Gamma \in \mathcal{F}, M = \Gamma \backslash G$ and note 3.1, we can get a compact $K \subset M, \mu(K) > 1 - \theta^2/n^2$ and $\rho > 0$ such that

- (1) if $x \in p^{-1}(K)$ then the projection $p: G \rightarrow M, p(g) = \Gamma g$ is an isometry on the ball of radius ρ centered at x .
- (2) $d(u, v) \geq \rho$ for every $u, v \in C \cap K, u \neq v, C \in \xi$.

Let

$$K' = \pi^{-1} \left\{ C \in M/\xi: \mu_C(C \cap K) > 1 - \frac{\theta}{n} \right\},$$

where $\pi: M \rightarrow M/\xi$ is the projection $\pi(x) = \xi(x), x \in M$. K' consists of atoms of ξ . We have

$$\mu(K') > 1 - \theta/n \quad \text{and} \quad K' \subset K, \tag{4.2}$$

since $\mu(K) > 1 - \theta^2/n^2$ and every $C \in \xi$ consists of n points of μ_C -measure $1/n$.

Let $0 < \varepsilon < \rho/2$ be so small that

$$\varepsilon < 1 \text{ (see (2.2)) and } 3AD(\varepsilon) < \rho/2 \text{ in (2.6).} \tag{4.3}$$

Let $0 < \delta_0 < \varepsilon$ be so small that if $d(x, y) < \delta_0, x, y \in G$ then

$$d(H_sx, H_sy) < \varepsilon \text{ for all } 0 \leq s \leq 1. \tag{4.4}$$

Let $u \in K, v \in M$ and $d(u, v) < \delta < \delta_0$. Let $x, y \in G$ be such that $p(x) = u, p(y) = v$ and $d(x, y) < \delta$. Denote

$$E(u, v, \varepsilon) = E_0(x^{-1} \cdot y, \varepsilon)$$

where $E_0(x^{-1} \cdot y, \varepsilon)$ is defined in (2.5). $E(u, v, \varepsilon)$ is well defined and does not depend on the choice of $x \in p^{-1}(u), y \in p^{-1}(v)$, since $u \in K$ and $\delta < \rho$. It follows from (4.4) that $l(E(u, v, \varepsilon)) \geq 1$. Henceforth $\xi(v)$ denotes the atom of ξ , containing v .

LEMMA 4.1. *Let $0 < \delta < \delta_0, u, v \in M$ and $A_t = A_t(u, v, \delta) = \{s \in [0, t]: \text{there exists } v(s) \in \xi(v) \text{ such that } h_s v(s) \in K' \text{ and } d(h_s u, h_s v(s)) < \delta\}, t \geq 1$. If $l(A_t) > 0.9t$ then there is $s \in A_t$ such that $l(E(h_s u, h_s v(s), \delta)) \geq 0.2t$.*

Proof. The proof is similar to that of lemma 2.1 in [4]. Let

$$E_s = s + E(h_s u, h_s v(s), \delta), \quad s \in A_t.$$

We claim that

$$\text{if } s_1 \in A_t \text{ and } v(s_1) \neq v(s) \text{ then } s_1 \notin E_s. \tag{4.5}$$

Indeed, suppose on the contrary that $s_1 \in E_s$. Then

$$d(h_{s_1} u, h_{s_1} v(s)) < 3AD(\varepsilon) < \rho/2$$

by (2.6) and (4.3). Also we have

$$d(h_{s_1} v(s_1), h_{s_1} u) < \delta < \rho/2,$$

since $s_1 \in A_t$. This implies that

$$d(h_{s_1} v(s_1), h_{s_1} v(s)) < \rho. \tag{4.6}$$

We have

$$h_{s_1} v(s) \in \xi(h_{s_1} v(s_1)),$$

since $v(s), v(s_1) \in \xi(v)$. Also

$$h_{s_1} v(s_1) \in K',$$

since $s_1 \in A_t$ and therefore

$$h_{s_1} v(s) \in K',$$

since K' consists of atoms of ξ . This and (4.6) imply that

$$h_{s_1} v(s) = h_{s_1} v(s_1)$$

which contradicts $v(s) \neq v(s_1)$ in (4.5).

Let $\beta = \{E_1, \dots, E_m\}$ be the collection of pairwise disjoint intervals $E_i = [s_i, \tau_i] \subset [0, t]$, $s_j > \tau_i$, $j > i$, such that $E_i = E_s$ for some $s \in A_i$, $i = 1, \dots, m$ and $A_i \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^m E_i$ and let $d(E_i, E_j) = s_j - \tau_i$.

Let $x \in G$ be such that $p(x) = u$, $x_i = H_s x$, $p(x_i) = h_s u = u_i$ and let $y_i \in G$ be such that $d(x_i, y_i) < \delta$ and $p(y_i) = h_s v(s_i) = v_i$. We have

$$E_i = s_i + E_0(x_i^{-1} \cdot y_i, \delta) \subset s_i + E(x_i^{-1} \cdot y_i, \delta)$$

and

$$l(E_i) \leq l(x_i^{-1} \cdot y_i, \delta) = l_i$$

(see (2.5)). Suppose that $s_j - s_i = q$ and $v(s_i) = v(s_j)$. We have

$$(h_s u, h_s v(s_j)) = (u_j, v_j) = (h_q u_i, h_q v_i).$$

Though $d(x_i, y_i) < \delta$, $p(x_i) = u_i$, $p(y_i) = v_i$ and $d(u_j, v_j) < \delta$, it is not necessarily true that

$$d(H_q x_i, H_q y_i) < \delta,$$

but there is a unique $\mathcal{D} \in \Gamma$ such that

$$d(H_q x_i, \mathcal{D} \cdot H_q y_i) < \delta. \tag{4.7}$$

We write $E_i \overset{\Gamma}{\sim} E_j$ if $v(s_i) = v(s_j)$ and $\mathcal{D} \neq e$ in (4.7), $E_i \overset{e}{\sim} E_j$ if $v(s_i) = v(s_j)$ and $\mathcal{D} = e$ in (4.7) and $E_i \overset{\xi}{\sim} E_j$ if $v(s_i) \neq v(s_j)$. It follows from (2.6) and (4.3) that

$$d(H_{q_i+s} x_i, H_{q_i+s} y_i) \leq 3AD(\varepsilon) < \rho/2 \tag{4.8}$$

for all $0 \leq s \leq l_i$, where $q_i = \tau_i - s_i$, $i = 1, \dots, m$. This implies via (4.1) that

$$s_j - \tau_i = d(E_i, E_j) \geq l_i \text{ if } E_i \overset{\Gamma}{\sim} E_j \tag{4.9}$$

since $y_j \in p^{-1}(K)$. (4.8) also shows that

$$d(h_{\tau_i+s} u, h_{\tau_i+s} v(s_i)) = d(h_{q_i+s} u_i, h_{q_i+s} v_i) < \rho/2$$

for all $0 \leq s \leq l_i$. This implies that

$$s_j - \tau_i = d(E_i, E_j) \geq l_i \text{ if } E_i \overset{\xi}{\sim} E_j, \tag{4.10}$$

since otherwise we would have

$$d(h_s v(s_i), h_s v(s_j)) < \rho$$

which contradicts (4.1), since $v(s_i) \neq v(s_j)$, $h_s v(s_j) \in K'$ and $h_s v(s_i) \in \xi(h_s v(s_j)) \subset K'$.

Let us now define a new collection $\bar{\beta} = \{\bar{E}_1, \dots, \bar{E}_m\}$ by the following procedure. We set $\bar{E}_1 = E_1$ unless $E_1 \overset{e}{\sim} E_2$ and $d(E_1, E_2) \leq l(E_1)$. In this last case we set $\bar{E}_1 = [s_1, \tau_2] \supset E_1 \cup E_2$. Suppose \bar{E}_k , $k = 1, \dots, p$ have been defined. To define \bar{E}_{p+1} we apply the same construction to the first $E \in \beta$, which has not been included in any \bar{E}_k , $k = 1, \dots, p$.

It follows from the construction of $\bar{\beta}$ that

$$d(\bar{E}_k, \bar{E}_{k+1}) \geq l(\bar{E}_k) \text{ if } \bar{E}_k \overset{\xi}{\sim} \bar{E}_{k+1} \tag{4.11}$$

and for each $\bar{E}_k \in \bar{\beta}$ there is $E_{ik} \in \beta$ such that

$$\text{either } \bar{E}_k = E_{ik} \text{ or } \bar{E}_k \supset (E_{ik} \cup E_{i_{k+1}}) \text{ and } l(\bar{E}_k) \leq 3l_{ik}. \tag{4.12}$$

This, (4.9) and (4.10) imply

$$d(\bar{E}_k, \bar{E}_{k+1}) \geq l_{ik} \geq l(\bar{E}_k)/3$$

if $\bar{E}_k \overset{\Gamma}{\sim} \bar{E}_{k+1}$ or $\bar{E}_k \overset{\xi}{\sim} \bar{E}_{k+1}$. This and (4.11) give

$$d(\bar{E}_k, \bar{E}_{k+1}) \geq l(\bar{E}_k)/3 \text{ for all } k = 1, \dots, \bar{m} - 1. \tag{4.13}$$

Denote

$$l(\bar{\beta}) = \sum_{k=1}^{\bar{m}} l(\bar{E}_k).$$

We have

$$l(\bar{\beta}) > 0.9t,$$

since $A_t \subset \bigcup_{k=1}^m \bar{E}_k$.

This and (4.13) imply that there is $\bar{E} \in \bar{\beta}$ such that

$$l(\bar{E}) \geq 0.6t.$$

This implies via (4.12) that there is $E \in \beta$ such that $l(E) \geq 0.2t$. This completes the proof. □

COROLLARY 4.1. *Let $u, v \in M$ and let $l(A_t) > 0.9t$ for all $t \geq t_0 > 1$, where $A_t = A_t(u, v, \delta)$ as in lemma 4.1. Then there is $\tilde{v} \in \xi(v)$ such that $\tilde{v} = h_q u$ for some $q = q(u, v, \delta)$, $|q| < \delta$.*

Proof. It follows from the proof of lemma 4.1 that there is $s \geq 0$ such that

$$l(E(h_s u, h_s v(s), \delta)) \geq 0.2t \text{ for all } t \geq t_0.$$

(2.5) shows that this may happen only if $h_s v(s) = h_q h_s u$ for some $|q| < \delta$. We get $\tilde{v} = v(s) = h_q u$, $\tilde{v} \in \xi(v)$. □

For $A \subset M$ we shall write $A < \xi$ if A consists of atoms of ξ .

According to § 1 there are $X < \xi$, $\mu(X) = 1$ and pairwise disjoint measurable sets

$$X_i \subset X, i = 1, \dots, n, \bigcup_{i=1}^n X_i = X, \mu(X_i) = \frac{1}{n}$$

such that for every $x \in X$ the intersection

$$\xi(x) \cap X_i = \{x_i(x)\}$$

consists of exactly one point and the map $\phi_i: X$ onto X_i defined by $\phi_i(x) = x_i(x)$ is measurable, $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Let K' be the set defined in (4.2) and let

$$\tilde{K} = K' \cap X, \mu(\tilde{K}) = \mu(K') > 1 - \frac{\theta}{n}, \tilde{K} < \xi.$$

Since $\phi_i: X \rightarrow X_i$ is measurable, $i = 1, \dots, n$ there is $\Lambda \subset X, \mu(\Lambda) > 1 - \theta$ such that $\Lambda < \xi$ and each $\phi_i, i = 1, \dots, n$ is uniformly continuous on Λ (see lemma 3.1 in [4]).

Let

$$Q = \Lambda \cap \tilde{K}, \quad \mu(Q) > 1 - 2\theta, \quad Q < \xi$$

and let Ω be the generic set of Q for h ,

$$h_t \Omega = \Omega, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \mu(\Omega) = 1, \quad \Omega < \xi.$$

LEMMA 4.2. *For every $0 < \delta < \delta_0$ there is $\omega = \omega(\delta) > 0$ such that if $u_1, v_1 \in \Omega, v_1 = g_p u_1$ for some $|p| < \omega$, then for every $u_2 \in \xi(u_1)$ there is $v_2 \in \xi(v_1)$ such that $v_2 = h_b g_p u_2$ for some $b = b(u_1, u_2, p), |b| < \delta$ and $b(h_t u_1, h_t u_2, p) = b(u_1, u_2, p)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$.*

Proof. Since $\phi_i, i = 1, \dots, n$ are uniformly continuous on Λ there is $0 < \omega < \delta/2$ such that

$$\text{if } d(w_1, w_2) < \omega, w_1, w_2 \in \Lambda \text{ then } d(\phi_i \cdot w_1, \phi_i \cdot w_2) < \delta/2, i = 1, \dots, n. \tag{4.14}$$

Let $u_1, v_1 \in \Omega, v_1 = g_p u_1$ for some $|p| < \omega$. Let $\lambda_0 > 0$ be such that

$$\text{if } \lambda \geq \lambda_0 \text{ then the relative length measure of } Q \text{ on } [u_1, h_\lambda u_1] \text{ and on } [v_1, h_\lambda v_1] \text{ is at least } 1 - 3\theta. \tag{4.15}$$

Let $x, y \in G, y = G_p x$ be such that $p(x) = u_1, p(y) = v_1$. x and y form an ω -strip of length λ for every $\lambda > 0$. We have

$$H_{q(s)} y = G_p H_s \quad (\text{see (2.13)}) \quad \text{and} \quad h_{q(s)} v_1 = g_p h_s u_1 \quad \text{for all } s \geq 0.$$

Denote

$$F_\lambda = \{s \in [0, \lambda]: h_s u_1 \in Q, h_{q(s)} v_1 \in Q\}.$$

It follows from (4.15) that

$$l(F_\lambda) > (1 - 7\theta)\lambda \tag{4.16}$$

if $\omega > 0$ is sufficiently small and $\lambda \geq \lambda_0, q(\lambda) \geq \lambda_0$ (see (2.14)).

Let $u_2 \in \xi(u_1)$. We write $j(t) = i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ if $h_t u_2 \in X_i$.

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{j(s)}(h_s u_1) &= h_s u_2 \in X_{j(s)} \\ \phi_{j(s)}(h_{q(s)} v_1) &\in \xi(h_{q(s)} v_1) = h_{q(s)} \xi(v_1) \end{aligned}$$

or

$$\phi_{j(s)}(h_{q(s)} v_1) = h_{q(s)} v_1(q(s)),$$

where $v_1(q(s)) \in \xi(v_1)$ and if $s \in F_\lambda$ then

$$h_s u_2 \in K', \quad h_{q(s)} v_1(q(s)) \in K' \tag{4.17}$$

and

$$d(h_s u_2, h_{q(s)} v_1(q(s))) < \delta/2$$

by (4.14). Let $w = g_p u_2$. We have

$$h_{q(s)} w = g_p h_s u_2$$

and therefore

$$d(h_s u_2, h_{q(s)} w) < \omega.$$

This and (4.17) imply that

$$d(h_{q(s)}w, h_{q(s)}v_1(q(s))) < \omega + \delta/2 \leq \delta \tag{4.18}$$

for all $s \in F_\lambda$ and all $\lambda \geq \lambda_0, q(\lambda) \geq \lambda_0$.

Let $A_t = A_t(w, v_1, \delta)$ be as in lemma 4.1. (4.16) and (4.18) show that there is $t_0 > 1$ such that

$$l(A_t) > 0.9t \quad \text{for all } t \geq t_0.$$

It follows then from corollary 4.1 that there is $v_2 \in \xi(v_1)$ such that $v_2 = h_b w = h_b q_p u_2$ for some $b = b(u_1, u_2, p), |b| < \delta$. It is clear, that $b(h_t u_1, h_t u_2, p) = b(u_1, u_2, p)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}, |p| < \omega$. □

It follows from lemma 4.2 that there exists $\omega_0 > 0$ such that

$$g_p w \in \Omega \text{ iff } g_p u \in \Omega$$

for every $u \in \Omega, w \in \xi(u), |p| < \omega_0$, since Ω is h -invariant and $\Omega < \xi$.

Let

$$\Omega_p = \{u \in \Omega : g_p u \in \Omega\}, |p| < \omega_0.$$

Ω_p is h -invariant, $\mu(\Omega_p) = 1$ and $\Omega_p < \xi$.

LEMMA 4.3. *There is an h -invariant $\Omega'_p \subset \Omega_p, \Omega'_p < \xi, \mu(\Omega'_p) = 1$ such that $b(u, w, p) = 0$ for all $u \in \Omega'_p, w \in \xi(u), |p| < \omega_0$.*

Proof. It follows from the definition of $b(u, w, p)$ that it is measurable and

$$\begin{aligned} b(u, w, p) &= -b(w, u, p) \\ b(x, w, p) &= b(u, w, p) - b(u, x, p), \quad x, w \in \xi(u), \\ &u \in \Omega_p, |p| < \omega_0. \end{aligned} \tag{4.19}$$

Define $\bar{f}_p: \Omega_p \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $\tilde{f}_p: \Omega_p \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{f}_p(u) &= \max \{b(u, w, p) : w \in \xi(u)\} \\ \tilde{f}_p(u) &= \min \{b(u, w, p) : w \in \xi(u)\}. \end{aligned}$$

The functions \bar{f}_p and \tilde{f}_p are measurable and constant on orbits of h . Since h is ergodic, there are $\Omega'_p \subset \Omega_p, \mu(\Omega'_p) = 1, \Omega'_p < \xi$ and constants $\bar{\sigma}, \tilde{\sigma}$ such that $\bar{f}_p = \bar{\sigma}$ and $\tilde{f}_p = \tilde{\sigma}$ on Ω'_p .

We claim that $\bar{\sigma} = \tilde{\sigma} = 0$. Indeed, suppose on the contrary that $\bar{\sigma} > 0$. Let $u \in \Omega'_p$ and $w \in \xi(u)$ be such that

$$b(u, w, p) = \bar{\sigma}.$$

Then

$$b(w, u, p) = -\bar{\sigma} < 0$$

and therefore $\tilde{\sigma} < 0$.

Let $x \in \xi(u)$ be such that

$$b(u, x, p) = \tilde{\sigma}.$$

Then

$$b(x, w, p) = \bar{\sigma} - \tilde{\sigma} > \bar{\sigma}$$

by (4.19) which contradicts the fact that $\bar{\sigma} = \max \{b(x, w, p) : w \in \xi(x)\}$. Therefore $\bar{\sigma} = \bar{\sigma} = 0$. This completes the proof. \square

Let

$$\tilde{\Omega} = \bigcap_{\substack{p \text{ is rational} \\ |p| < \omega_0}} \Omega'_p.$$

$\tilde{\Omega}$ is h -invariant, $\mu(\tilde{\Omega}) = 1$ and $\tilde{\Omega} < \xi$. We have

$$g_p(\xi(u)) = \xi(g_p u)$$

for all $u \in \tilde{\Omega}$ and all rational $|p| < \omega_0$.

Let $\bar{\Omega} = \{u \in M : \tilde{\Omega} \text{ is dense on the geodesic orbit of } u\}$. $\bar{\Omega}$ is h -invariant, $\mu(\bar{\Omega}) = 1$ and $\bar{\Omega} \cap \tilde{\Omega} < \xi$. Lemma 4.2 shows that $b(u, w, p)$ is continuous in p . This implies that

$$g_p(\xi(u)) = \xi(g_p u) \tag{4.20}$$

for all $u \in \bar{\Omega} \cap \tilde{\Omega}$ and all $p \in \mathbb{R}$ with $g_p u \in \Omega$.

Let $g_p u \in M - \Omega$ for some $u \in \bar{\Omega} \cap \tilde{\Omega}$, $p \in \mathbb{R}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \xi(g_p u) &\subset M - \Omega, \quad \text{since } \Omega < \xi; \\ g_p(\xi(u)) &\subset M - \Omega \quad \text{by (4.20).} \end{aligned}$$

Let us define a partition $\bar{\xi}$ on $\bar{\Omega}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\xi}(g_p u) &= \xi(g_p u) \quad \text{if } u \in \bar{\Omega} \cap \tilde{\Omega}, g_p u \in \Omega \\ \bar{\xi}(g_p u) &= g_p(\xi(u)) \quad \text{if } u \in \bar{\Omega} \cap \tilde{\Omega}, g_p u \notin \Omega. \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\bar{\xi} = \xi \text{ on } \bar{\Omega} \cap \Omega < \xi \quad h_t \bar{\xi}(u) = \bar{\xi}(h_t u) \quad g_t \bar{\xi}(u) = \bar{\xi}(g_t u) \tag{4.21}$$

for all $u \in \bar{\Omega}$ and all $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Let $Q \subset M$, $\mu(Q) > 1 - 2\theta$, $Q < \xi$ be as in lemma 4.2. Since h is ergodic, there are $Z \subset \Omega$, $Z < \xi$, $\mu(Z) > 1 - \theta$ and $\bar{t} > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{if } z \in Z, t > \bar{t} \text{ then the relative length measure of } Q \\ \text{on } [z, h_t z] \text{ is at least } 1 - 3\theta. \end{aligned} \tag{4.22}$$

Let $\bar{Z} \subset \bar{\Omega}$ be the generic set of Z for the geodesic flow g , $\bar{Z} < \bar{\xi}$, $\mu(\bar{Z}) = 1$.

LEMMA 4.4. *There exists $\gamma > 0$ such that if $u, v \in \bar{Z}$ and $v = h_r^* u$ for some $|r| < \gamma$ then*

$$\bar{\xi}(v) = h_r^* \bar{\xi}(u).$$

Proof. The proof is similar to that of lemma 4.2. Since $\phi_i, i = 1, \dots, n$ are uniformly continuous on Q , given $0 < \delta < \delta_0$ there is $0 < \omega = \omega(\delta) < \delta/2$ such that

$$\text{if } d(w_1, w_2) < \omega, \quad w_1, w_2 \in Q \text{ then } d(\phi_i w_1, \phi_i w_2) < \delta/2 \quad \text{for all } i = 1, \dots, n. \tag{4.23}$$

Let $0 < \gamma < \omega$ be such that if $x, y \in G$, $y \in W_\gamma(x)$ then x, y form an ω -strip of length 1 (see (2.13)). Let

$$u, v \in \bar{Z}, v = h_r^* u \quad \text{for some } |r| < \gamma.$$

We shall show that

$$h_r^* u_1 \in \bar{\xi}(v) \text{ for every } u_1 \in \bar{\xi}(u).$$

Let $x, y \in G, p(x) = u, p(y) = v, y = H_r^* x$. x and y form an ω -strip of length 1.

Since $u, v \in \bar{Z}$, there is a sequence $0 < \tau_k \rightarrow \infty, k \rightarrow \infty$ such that $\exp(2\tau_k) > \bar{i}$ and

$$u^{(k)} = g_{\tau_k} u \in Z, \quad v^{(k)} = g_{\tau_k} v \in Z, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

Let $x^{(k)} = G_{\tau_k} x, y^{(k)} = G_{\tau_k} y$. We have $p(x^{(k)}) = u^{(k)}, p(y^{(k)}) = v^{(k)}$ and $x^{(k)}, y^{(k)}$ form an ω -strip of length $t_k = \exp(2\tau_k) > \bar{i}$. This means (see (2.13)) that

$$H_{q(s)} y^{(k)} \in W_\omega(H_s x^{(k)}) \text{ for all } s \in [0, t_k]$$

or

$$h_{q(s)} v^{(k)} \in W_\omega(h_s u^{(k)}), \quad s \in [0, t_k].$$

Let

$$B_k = \{s \in [0, t_k] : h_s u^{(k)} \in Q, h_{q(s)} v^{(k)} \in Q\}.$$

$k = 1, 2, \dots$ (4.22) implies that

$$l(B_k) > (1 - 7\theta)t_k, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots \tag{4.24}$$

if ω is sufficiently small, $t_k > \bar{i}, q(t_k) > \bar{i}$.

Let $u_1 \in \bar{\xi}(u)$. Then

$$u_1^{(k)} = g_{\tau_k} u_1 \in \bar{\xi}(u^{(k)})$$

by (4.21). We write $j_k(s) = i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ if $h_s u_1^{(k)} \in X_i$. We have that if $s \in B_k$ then

$$\begin{aligned} h_s u_1^{(k)} &= \phi_{j_k(s)} h_s u^{(k)} \\ \phi_{j_k(s)} h_{q(s)} v^{(k)} &\in \xi(h_{q(s)} v^{(k)}) = h_{q(s)}(\xi(v^{(k)})) \end{aligned}$$

or

$$\phi_{j_k(s)} h_{q(s)} v^{(k)} = h_{q(s)} v^{(k)}(q(s))$$

for some

$$v^{(k)}(q(s)) \in \xi(v^{(k)}) = \bar{\xi}(v^{(k)}),$$

since $v^{(k)} \in Z \subset \Omega$, and

$$d(h_s u_1^{(k)}, h_{q(s)} v^{(k)}(q(s))) < \delta/2 \quad k = 1, 2, \dots \tag{4.25}$$

by (4.23). Let

$$w = h_r^* u_1 \text{ and } w^{(k)} = g_{\tau_k} w, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

We have

$$d(h_s u_1^{(k)}, h_{q(s)} w^{(k)}) < \omega, \quad s \in [0, t_k], \quad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

This and (4.25) imply that

$$d(h_{q(s)} w^{(k)}, h_{q(s)} v^{(k)}(q(s))) < \omega + \delta/2 < \delta.$$

Also

$$h_{q(s)} v^{(k)}(q(s)) \in K' \text{ if } s \in B_k. \tag{4.26}$$

Let

$$A_k = A_{q(t_k)}(w^{(k)}, v^{(k)}, \delta) \subset [0, q(t_k)]$$

be as in lemma 4.1. We have

$$l(A_k) \geq 0.9q(t_k), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

by (4.24) and (4.26), if ω is sufficiently small. This implies via lemma 4.1 that there is $s_k \in [0, q(t_k)]$ such that

$$E(h_{s_k} w^{(k)}, h_{s_k} v^{(k)}(s_k), \delta) \geq 0.2q(t_k), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

This implies via (2.9) that

$$h_{s_k} v^{(k)}(s_k) \in U(h_{s_k} w^{(k)}, D(\varepsilon)/t_k, D(\varepsilon)/t_k^2, D(\varepsilon))$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} g_{-t_k} h_{s_k} v^{(k)}(s_k) &= h_{s_k \exp(-2t_k)} g_{-t_k} v^{(k)}(s_k) \\ &= h_{s_k \exp(-2t_k)} \tilde{v}(k) \in U(h_{s_k \exp(-2t_k)} w, D(\varepsilon)/t_k, D(\varepsilon)/t_k, D(\varepsilon)/t_k), \\ & \hspace{15em} k = 1, 2, \dots \end{aligned} \tag{4.27}$$

where $\tilde{v}(k) = g_{-t_k} v^{(k)}(s_k) \in \tilde{\xi}(v)$ by (4.21). (4.27) may happen only if

$$w = h_r^* u_1 \in \tilde{\xi}(v)$$

since $s_k \exp(-2t_k) \in [0, q(1)]$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$, and $\tilde{\xi}(v)$ is finite. This completes the proof. □

For $w \in M$ we denote

$$W^{(u)}(w) = \{w' \in M : w' = h_r g_p w \text{ for some } p, r \in R\}.$$

$W^{(u)}(w)$, $w \in M$ form the unstable foliation $W^{(u)}$ for the geodesic flow g . The set $\bar{\Omega}$ consists of leaves of $W^{(u)}$. It follows from (4.21) that if $w_k \in W^{(u)}(w)$, $w \in \bar{\Omega}$ and $w_k \rightarrow w$ in the topology of $W^{(u)}(w)$, then

$$\bar{\xi}(w_k) \rightarrow \bar{\xi}(w), \quad k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Let

$$\tilde{Z} = \{w \in \bar{\Omega} : \bar{Z} \text{ is dense on the } h^* \text{-orbit of } w\},$$

$\mu(\tilde{Z}) = 1$ and let

$$\bar{W} = \{w \in \bar{\Omega} : \bar{Z} \cap \tilde{Z} \text{ is dense in } W^{(u)}(w)\}, \mu(\bar{W}) = 1.$$

It follows from lemma 4.4 and (4.21) that $\bar{W} < \bar{\xi}$ and

$$\text{if } u, v \in \bar{W}, v = h_q h_r^* g_p u \text{ for some } p, q, r \in R \text{ then } \bar{\xi}(v) = h_q h_r^* q_p \bar{\xi}(u). \tag{4.28}$$

This implies that if

$$w_k \in \bar{W}, \quad w'_k \in \bar{W}, \quad w_k \rightarrow w \in M, \quad w'_k \rightarrow w$$

when $k \rightarrow \infty$ then

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \bar{\xi}(w_k) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \bar{\xi}(w'_k)$$

and this limit equals $\bar{\xi}(w)$, if $w \in \bar{W}$. This implies that

$$\text{if } w \in M - \bar{W}, \quad w_k \rightarrow w, \quad w_k \in \bar{W} \text{ then } \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \bar{\xi}(w_k) \subset M - \bar{W}.$$

Let us define a partition $\tilde{\xi}$ on M by

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\xi}(u) &= \tilde{\xi}(u) \quad \text{if } u \in \bar{W} \text{ and} \\ \tilde{\xi}(u) &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{\xi}(u_k), \quad u_k \in \bar{W}, \quad u_k \rightarrow u, \quad k \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

$\tilde{\xi}$ is well defined and

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\xi} &= \xi \text{ on } \bar{W} \cap \bar{\Omega} \cap \Omega \text{ by (4.21) and if } v = h_q h_r^* g_p u, \quad u \in M \\ \text{then } \tilde{\xi}(v) &= h_q h_r^* g_p \tilde{\xi}(u) \text{ by (4.28).} \end{aligned} \tag{4.29}$$

(4.29) shows that h^ξ on M/ξ and $h^{\tilde{\xi}}$ on $M/\tilde{\xi}$ are isomorphic, since $\bar{W} \cap \bar{\Omega} \cap \Omega$ is h -invariant and $\mu(\bar{W} \cap \bar{\Omega} \cap \Omega) = 1$.

Proof of theorem 1. Denote

$$\tilde{\Gamma}(u) = p^{-1}(\tilde{\xi}(u)), \quad u \in M \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\Gamma} = \tilde{\Gamma}(u_0),$$

where $u_0 = p(\epsilon)$. We shall show that $\tilde{\Gamma}$ is a subgroup of G .

We say that $J \in G$ is a chain in G if $J = J_1 \cdots J_k$ where

$$J_i = H_{q_i} H_{r_i}^* G_{p_i, \epsilon} = \epsilon \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \exp(p_i) & \\ & \exp(-p_i) \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & r_i \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ q_i & 1 \end{pmatrix} \dots$$

for some $p_i, q_i, r_i \in \mathcal{R}, i = 1, \dots, k$. It is clear, that for any $g_1, g_2 \in G$ there is a chain $J \in G$ such that $g_2 = g_1 \cdot J$.

Let $g, \tilde{g} \in \tilde{\Gamma}$ and let

$$g = \epsilon \cdot J, \quad \tilde{g} = \epsilon \cdot \tilde{J}$$

for some chains

$$J = J_1 \cdots J_k, \quad J_i = H_{q_i} H_{r_i}^* G_{p_i, \epsilon}, \quad i = 1, \dots, k$$

and

$$\tilde{J} = \tilde{J}_1 \cdots \tilde{J}_{\tilde{k}}, \quad \tilde{J}_i = H_{\tilde{q}_i} H_{\tilde{r}_i}^* G_{\tilde{p}_i, \epsilon}, \quad i = 1, \dots, \tilde{k}.$$

We write

$$p(J_i) = h_{q_i} h_{r_i}^* g_{p_i} p(\epsilon) = (hh^*g)_i(u_0), \quad i = 1, \dots, k.$$

We have

$$p(g) = (hh^*g)_k \cdots (hh^*g)_1(u_0) \in \tilde{\xi}(u_0)$$

$$p(\tilde{g}) = (\widetilde{hh^*g})_{\tilde{k}} \cdots (\widetilde{hh^*g})_1(u_0) \in \tilde{\xi}(u_0)$$

since $g, \tilde{g} \in \tilde{\Gamma}$. This implies by (4.29) that

$$(hh^*g)_k \cdots (hh^*g)_1(\tilde{\xi}(u_0)) = \tilde{\xi}(u_0)$$

and

$$(\widetilde{hh^*g})_{\tilde{k}} \cdots (\widetilde{hh^*g})_1(\tilde{\xi}(u_0)) = \tilde{\xi}(u_0). \tag{4.30}$$

We have

$$g \cdot \tilde{g} = \epsilon \cdot J \cdot \tilde{J}$$

and

$$p(g \cdot \tilde{g}) = (\widetilde{hh^*g})_{\tilde{k}} \cdots (\widetilde{hh^*g})_1 (hh^*g)_k \cdots (hh^*g)_1(u_0) \in \tilde{\xi}(u_0)$$

by (4.30).

This implies that $g \cdot \tilde{g} \in \tilde{\Gamma}$ and that $\tilde{\Gamma}$ is a subgroup of G . It is clear that $\tilde{\Gamma}$ is discrete and $\Gamma \subset \tilde{\Gamma}$.

Let $g \in \tilde{\Gamma}(u)$, $u \in M$ and let $g = e \cdot J$ for some chain $J \in G$. (4.29) shows that then

$$\tilde{\Gamma}(u) = \tilde{\Gamma} \cdot J = \tilde{\Gamma}g.$$

Define $\tilde{\psi}: \tilde{\Gamma}/G$ onto $M/\tilde{\xi}$ by

$$\tilde{\psi}(\tilde{\Gamma}g) = \tilde{\xi}(p(g)).$$

It is clear that $\tilde{\psi}$ is measure preserving and

$$\tilde{\psi}\tilde{h}_i(\tilde{\Gamma}g) = \tilde{\psi}(\tilde{\Gamma}g \cdot N_i) = \tilde{\xi}(p(g \cdot N_i)) = \tilde{\xi}(h_i g) = h_i^{\tilde{\xi}}\tilde{\xi}(g).$$

This shows that $\tilde{\psi}$ is an isomorphism between \tilde{h} and $\tilde{\Gamma}/G$ and $h^{\tilde{\xi}}$ on $M/\tilde{\xi}$. This implies via (4.29) that \tilde{h} is isomorphic to $h^{\tilde{\xi}}$ on $M/\tilde{\xi}$. □

5. Proof of theorem 3

Let S on (Y, ν) be a factor of h_1 on $(M = \Gamma \backslash G, \mu)$ with a conjugacy $\psi: M \rightarrow Y$

$$\psi h_1(x) = S\psi(x) \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in M,$$

and let ζ be the h_1 -invariant partition of M , induced by ψ . It follows from proposition 1.1 and lemma 3.1 that there are $D \subset M$, $h_1 D = D$, $\mu(D) = 1$, $U \subset M/\zeta$, $h_1^{\zeta} U = U$, $\mu_{\zeta}(U) = 1$ and an integer $n > 0$ such that for every $C \in U$ the intersection $C \cap D$ consists of exactly n points each of μ_C -measure $1/n$.

We assume without loss of generality that $D = M$ and $U = M/\zeta$. So each $C \in \zeta$ consists of n distinct points of μ_C -measure $1/n$.

Let $\theta, K, \rho, K', \varepsilon$ and δ_0 be as in § 4 for ζ .

We omit the proof of the following lemma, since it is fully analogous to the proof of lemma 4.1 and corollary 4.1.

LEMMA 5.1. Let $0 < \delta < \delta_0$, $u, v \in M$ and let

$$A_k = \{m \in \{0, 1, \dots, k\}: \text{there exists } v(m) \in \zeta(v) \text{ such that } h_m v(m) \in K' \text{ and } d(h_m u, h_m v(m)) < \delta\}.$$

If $|A_k|/k > 0.9$ for all integers $k > k_0 > 0$ then there is $\tilde{v} \in \zeta(v)$ such that

$$\tilde{v} = h_q u \quad \text{for some } q = q(u, v, \delta), |q| < \delta.$$

Let $X < \zeta$, $\mu(X) = 1$ and $X_i \subset X$, $i = 1, \dots, n$.

$$X_i \cap X_j = \emptyset, \quad i \neq j,$$

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^n X_i = X, \quad \mu(X_i) = \frac{1}{n}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n$$

be such that for every $x \in X$ the intersection $\zeta(x) \cap X_i$ consists of a single point $x_i(x)$ and the map $\phi_i: X$ onto X_i defined by $\phi(x) = x_i(x)$, is measurable.

As in § 4 we denote

$$\tilde{K} = K' \cap X, \quad \tilde{K} < \zeta, \quad \mu(\tilde{K}) = \mu(K') > 1 - \theta/n,$$

pick

$$\Lambda \subset X, \quad \Lambda < \zeta, \quad \mu(\Lambda) > 1 - \theta$$

such that each $\phi_i, i = 1, \dots$, is uniformly continuous on Λ and take

$$Q = \Lambda \cap \tilde{K}, \quad \mu(Q) > 1 - 2\theta, \quad Q < \zeta.$$

Let $F \subset M$ be the generic set of Q for h_1 . We have

$$h_1 F = F, \quad F < \zeta \quad \text{and} \quad \mu(F) = 1.$$

LEMMA 5.2. For every $0 < \delta < \delta_0$ there is $\beta = \beta(\delta)$ such that if $u_1, v_1 \in F, v_1 = h_t u_1$ for some $|t| < \beta$ then for every $u_2 \in \zeta(u_1)$ there is $v_2 \in \zeta(v_1)$ such that $v_2 = h_a u_2$ for some $a = a(u_1, u_2, t), |a| < \delta$ and $a(h_1 u_1, h_1 u_2, t) = a(u_1, u_2, t)$.

Proof. The proof is similar to that of lemma 4.2. Let $\beta > 0$ be such that

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{if } d(w_1, w_2) < \beta, \quad w_1, w_2 \in \Lambda \text{ then} \\ &d(\phi_i w_1, \phi_i w_2) < \delta, \quad i = 1, \dots, n. \end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

Let

$$u_1, v_1 \in F \quad \text{and} \quad v_1 = h_t u_1 \quad \text{for some } |t| < \beta.$$

Since $u_1, v_1 \in F$ there is $k_0 > 0$ such that if $k \geq k_0$ and

$$B_k = \{m \in \{0, 1, \dots, k\} : h_m u_1 \in Q, h_m v_1 \in Q\}$$

then

$$|B_k|/k > 1 - 7\theta \tag{5.2}$$

where $|B|$ denotes the number of points in B .

Let $u_2 \in \zeta(u_1)$. We write $j(m) = i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ if $h_m u_2 \in X_i, m = 1, 2, \dots$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{j(m)}(h_m u_1) &= h_m u_2 \in X_{j(m)} \\ \phi_{j(m)}(h_m v_1) &\in \zeta(h_m v_1) = h_m \zeta(v_1) \end{aligned}$$

or

$$\phi_{j(m)}(h_m v_1) = h_m v_1(m)$$

for some $v_1(m) \in \zeta(v_1)$ and if $m \in B_k$ then

$$h_m u_2 \in K', \quad h_m v_1(m) \in K'$$

and

$$d(h_m u_2, h_m v_1(m)) < \delta$$

by (5.1). This and (5.2) imply via lemma 5.1 that there is $v_2 \in \zeta(v_1)$ such that

$$v_2 = h_a u_2$$

for some $a = a(u_1, u_2, t), |a| < \delta$. It is clear that

$$a(h_1 u_1, h_1 u_2, t) = a(u_1, u_2, t). \quad \square$$

Let $T(x)$ denote the h_t -orbit of $x \in M$ and let

$$\bar{F} = \{x \in M : F \cap T(x) \text{ is dense in } T(x)\}.$$

\bar{F} is h_t -invariant, $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\mu(\bar{F}) = 1$.

It follows from lemma 5.2 that if $x \in \bar{F}, x_i \in T(x) \cap F, i = 1, 2, \dots$ and $x_i \rightarrow x, i \rightarrow \infty$ in the topology of $T(x)$ then the $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \zeta(x_i)$ exists and does not depend on the sequence $x_i \in T(x) \cap F, x_i \rightarrow x, i \rightarrow \infty$. If $x \in \bar{F} \cap F$ then this limit equals to $\zeta(x)$.

We define $\bar{\zeta}$ on \bar{F} by

$$\bar{\zeta}(x) = \zeta(x) \quad \text{if } x \in \bar{F} \cap F$$

and

$$\bar{\zeta}(x) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \zeta(x_i) \quad \text{if } x \in \bar{F} - F$$

where $x_i \in T(x) \cap F, i = 1, 2, \dots$ and $x_i \rightarrow x, i \rightarrow \infty$ in $T(x)$.

$\bar{\zeta}$ is well defined and

$$\bar{\zeta}(x) = \zeta(x) \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in M.$$

Proof of theorem 3. In order to prove the theorem it is enough to show that there exists an h_t -invariant set

$$F' \subset \bar{F}, \quad \mu(F') = 1, \quad F' < \bar{\zeta}$$

such that

$$h_t(\bar{\zeta}(x)) = \bar{\zeta}(h_t x) \quad \text{for all } x \in F' \text{ and all } t \in R.$$

It follows from lemma 5.2 that for every $x \in \bar{F}, \tilde{x} \in \bar{\zeta}(x)$ and $t \in R$ there is $a = a(x, \tilde{x}, t) \in R$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} h_a \tilde{x} &\in \bar{\zeta}(h_a x) \\ a(h_1 x, h_1 \tilde{x}, t) &= a(x, \tilde{x}, t) \end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

$$a(x, x, t) = t, \quad a(x, \tilde{x}, 0) = 0, \quad a(x, \tilde{x}, 1) = 1.$$

The function $a(x, \tilde{x}, t)$ is uniformly continuous in t for every $x \in \bar{F}, \tilde{x} \in \bar{\zeta}(x)$.

Denote

$$\begin{aligned} r^-(x, t) &= \min \{a(x, \tilde{x}, t) : \tilde{x} \in \bar{\zeta}(x)\} \\ r^+(x, t) &= \max \{a(x, \tilde{x}, t) : \tilde{x} \in \bar{\zeta}(x)\}, \quad x \in \bar{F}, t \in R. \end{aligned}$$

$r^-(x, t)$ and $r^+(x, t)$ are continuous in t and are constant on the h_1 -orbit of x . Since h_1 is ergodic, there is $F_t \subset \bar{F}, F_t < \bar{\zeta}, h_1 F_t = F_t, \mu(F_t) = 1$ such that $r^+(x, t)$ and $r^-(x, t)$ equal constants $r^+(t)$ and $r^-(t)$ respectively on F_t .

Let

$$\tilde{F} = \bigcap_{t \text{ is rational}} F_t, \quad \mu(\tilde{F}) = 1, \quad h_1 \tilde{F} = \tilde{F}, \quad \tilde{F} < \bar{\zeta}.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} r^-(x, t) &= r^-(t) \\ r^+(x, t) &= r^+(t) \end{aligned} \tag{5.4}$$

for every $x \in \tilde{F}$ and every rational t . Since $r^+(x, t)$ and $r^-(x, t)$ are continuous in t , (5.4) holds for all $t \in R$.

Let

$$F' = \{x \in \bar{F} : \tilde{F} \cap T(x) \text{ is dense in } T(x)\},$$

$h_t F' = F', t \in R, F' < \bar{\zeta}$ and $\mu(F') = 1$. (5.4) implies that

$$r^-(x, t) = r^-(t), \quad r^+(x, t) = r^+(t)$$

for all $x \in F'$ and all $t \in \mathbf{R}$, since

$$r^+(x, t) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} r^+(x_i, t), r^-(x, t) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} r^-(x_i, t)$$

if $x_i \in T(x) \cap \bar{F}$ and $x_i \rightarrow x$ in $T(x)$.

Take $x \in F'$ and let $\tilde{x} \in \bar{\zeta}(x)$ be such that

$$h_{r^-(t)}\tilde{x} \in \bar{\zeta}(h_{t\tilde{x}}).$$

We have

$$a(x, \bar{x}, t) \geq r^-(t) = a(x, \tilde{x}, t) \quad \text{for every } \bar{x} \in \bar{\zeta}(x).$$

This implies that

$$a(\tilde{x}, \bar{x}, r^-(t)) \geq r^-(t) \quad \text{for all } \bar{x} \in \bar{\zeta}(x)$$

and therefore

$$r^-(r^-(t)) = r^-(t) \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbf{R}. \tag{5.5}$$

We claim that

$$r^-(t) = r^+(t) = t \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbf{R}. \tag{5.6}$$

Indeed, it follows from (5.3) and the definition of r^+ and r^- that

$$\begin{aligned} r^-(0) &= r^+(0) = 0 \\ r^-(1) &= r^+(1) = 1 \end{aligned} \tag{5.7}$$

and

$$r^-(t) + r^+(1-t) = 1.$$

Let us first show that

$$r^-(\frac{1}{2}) = r^+(\frac{1}{2}) = \frac{1}{2}.$$

Since $r^-(t)$ is continuous, there is $t_0 \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$r^-(t_0) = \frac{1}{2}.$$

This and (5.5) imply that

$$r^-(\frac{1}{2}) = \frac{1}{2}$$

and therefore

$$r^+(\frac{1}{2}) = \frac{1}{2}$$

by (5.7). We have shown that if $x \in F'$ then

$$h_{1/2}\bar{\zeta}(x) = \bar{\zeta}(h_{1/2}x).$$

This implies that

$$r^-(t) + r^+(\frac{1}{2}-t) = \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbf{R}.$$

Arguing as above we get that (5.6) holds for $t = \frac{1}{4}$ and $t = \frac{3}{4}$. Proceeding by induction, we get that (5.6) holds for all $t \in \mathbf{R}$ of the form $k/2^n$, $k, n = 1, 2, \dots$. Since r^- and r^+ are continuous, (5.6) holds for all $t \in \mathbf{R}$. (5.6) implies that

$$h_t\bar{\zeta}(x) = \bar{\zeta}(h_{t\tilde{x}}) \quad \text{for all } x \in F' \text{ and all } t \in \mathbf{R}.$$

This completes the proof. □

This work was partially supported by NSF grant MCS-74-19388.

REFERENCES

- [1] B. Marcus. The horocycle flow is mixing of all degrees. *Inventiones Math.* **46** (1978), 201–209.
- [2] D. Ornstein & B. Weiss. Geodesic flows are Bernoullian. *Israel J. Math.* **14** (1973), 184–197.
- [3] M. Ratner. Horocycle flows are loosely Bernoulli. *Israel J. Math.* **31** (1978), 122–131.
- [4] M. Ratner. Rigidity of horocycle flows. *Ann. Math.* **115** (1982), 597–614.
- [5] V. A. Rohlin. On basic concepts of measure theory. *Mat. Sbornik* **67** (1949), 107–150. (In Russian.)
- [6] H. C. Wang. On a maximality property of discrete subgroups with fundamental domain of finite measure. *Amer. J. Math.* **89** (1967), 124–132.