Clinical and Experimental Otorhinolaryngology Vol. 12, No. 4: 376-384, November 2019

**Original Article** 



https://doi.org/10.21053/ceo.2018.01592 pISSN 1976-8710 eISSN 2005-0720

# Feasibility of Eye Tracking Assisted Vestibular Rehabilitation Strategy Using Immersive Virtual Reality

Jeong Hye Park<sup>1,2,\*</sup> ( + Han Jae Jeon<sup>3,\*</sup> + Eun-Cheon Lim<sup>1,2</sup> + Ja-Won Koo<sup>4</sup> + Hyo-Jeong Lee<sup>1,2</sup> Hyung-Jong Kim<sup>1</sup> + Jung Seop Lee<sup>1</sup> + Chang-Geun Song<sup>3</sup> + Sung Kwang Hong<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Otorhinolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery and <sup>2</sup>Laboratory of Brain and Cognitive Sciences for Convergence Medicine, Hallym University College of Medicine, Anyang; <sup>3</sup>Department of Convergence Software, Hallym University, Chuncheon; <sup>4</sup>Department of Otorhinolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery, Seoul National University Bundang Hospital, Seongnam, Korea

- **Objectives.** Even though vestibular rehabilitation therapy (VRT) using head-mounted display (HMD) has been highlighted recently as a popular virtual reality platform, we should consider that HMD itself do not provide interactive environment for VRT. This study aimed to test the feasibility of interactive components using eye tracking assisted strategy through neurophysiologic evidence.
- **Methods.** HMD implemented with an infrared-based eye tracker was used to generate a virtual environment for VRT. Eighteen healthy subjects participated in our experiment, wherein they performed a saccadic eye exercise (SEE) under two conditions of feedback-on (F-on, visualization of eye position) and feedback-off (F-off, non-visualization of eye position). Eye position was continuously monitored in real time on those two conditions, but this information was not provided to the participants. Electroencephalogram recordings were used to estimate neural dynamics and attention during SEE, in which only valid trials (correct responses) were included in electroencephalogram analysis.
- **Results.** SEE accuracy was higher in the F-on than F-off condition (P=0.039). The power spectral density of beta band was higher in the F-on condition on the frontal (P=0.047), central (P=0.042), and occipital areas (P=0.045). Beta–event-related desynchronization was significantly more pronounced in the F-on (–0.19 on frontal and –0.22 on central clusters) than in the F-off condition (0.23 on frontal and 0.05 on central) on preparatory phase (P=0.005 for frontal and P=0.024 for central). In addition, more abundant functional connectivity was revealed under the F-on condition.
- **Conclusion.** Considering substantial gain may come from goal directed attention and activation of brain-network while performing VRT, our preclinical study from SEE suggests that eye tracking algorithms may work efficiently in vestibular rehabilitation using HMD.

Keywords. Virtual Reality; Vestibular Diseases; Rehabilitation; Electroencephalography

• Received November 2, 2018 Revised January 28, 2019 Accepted March 14, 2019

• Corresponding author: **Sung Kwang Hong** Department of Otorhinolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery, Hallym University College of Medicine, 22 Gwanpyeong-ro 170beon-gil, Dongan-gu, Anyang 14068, Korea Tel: +82-31-387-5634, Fax: +82-31-380-9403 E-mail: skhong96@hallym.ac.kr

\*These authors contributed equally to this work.

## **INTRODUCTION**

Vestibular rehabilitation (VR) is known to be a safe and effective treatment modality for patients with dizziness. Earlier works have demonstrated that patients who are referred to VR programs earlier show less disability and better performance after vestibular deafferentation [1-3]. However, a few significant concerns have also been raised, for example, that VR program is tedious and requires active effort from patients to achieve better

Copyright © 2019 by Korean Society of Otorhinolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery.

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

performance that VR [4]. Recently, virtual reality has been highlighted as a supplemental platform for overcoming these serious concerns, wherein a head-mounted display (HMD) that provides fully immersive experience is commonly adopted for clinical applications because of its affordability and portability.

Numerous previous studies have suggested that the attractive components of virtual reality may contribute to successful outcomes [5-8]. In addition, when we focus on the theoretical background that virtual reality enables visual-vestibular interactions by abundant visual stimuli [9,10], virtual reality likely provides an optimized environment for better performance in VR exercises.

However, findings on the clinical efficacy of virtual reality for VR are inconsistent [5,11]. Furthermore, despite growing interest in fully immersive wearable device such as HMD as a popular virtual reality platform for VR, the clinical optimization for dizzy patients is still in its beginning stages. To this end, we first developed HMD-based virtual reality contents on the basis of classical Cawthorne-Cooksey exercises [12,13], which have not been previously reported to our knowledge (Supplementary Materials 1-3, Video clips 1-3). However, we paradoxically noticed that HMD itself was not able to provide a desirable environment for VR. This finding is based on the theoretical conflict between the nature of a VR program requiring repeated performance of a task over time with intervention by a supervisor and the difficulty of this type of intervention within the fully immersive environment of HMD. Therefore, we hypothesized that the addition of an interactive component may be critical to optimize HMD for VR; thus, we added visual feedback via an eye tracker so that participants would be able to conduct VR exercises in a correct and interactive manner by perceiving their eye-gaze position without intervention by the supervisor.

Here, we attempted to measure neurophysiological responses in the context of attention and brain dynamics during saccadic eye exercise (SEE) as a part of VR in HMD. To date, previous investigations into the clinical implications of virtual reality have been carried out only in a context with clinical parameters such as a functional scale after VR [11,14]. Thus, even the present study investigated the neurophysiologic response for SEE, our

# H I G H L I G H T S

- Interactive environments play a critical role in performance of neurorehabilitation but head-mounted display-only does not provide such ideal environment for vestibular rehabilitation.
- Eighteen subjects performed a saccadic eye exercise with and without visual feedback in the virtual space.
- An eye tracking strategy enhanced goal-directed attention and brain connectivity while performing a saccadic exercise, which may be effective for improving the performance of rehabilitation exercises.

results may provide fundamental insights into the clinical optimization of fully immersive virtual reality for all neurorehabilitation therapy with an interactive aspect, including VR.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

Development of digital content and experimental environment An HMD (Oculus developers kit 2; Oculus, Irvine, CA, USA) implemented with an infrared-based eye tracker (SMI, Teltow, Germany) was used to generate an immersive virtual environment for the VR exercise. Digital contents for VR were designed by Unity 3D program (Unity Technologies, San Francisco, CA, USA), wherein we developed (1) smooth pursuit eye exercise, (2) SEE, (3) gaze stabilization exercise, and (4) visuo-vestibular head-eyes exercise (Supplementary Materials 1-3, Video clips 1-3). We selected the saccadic exercise as our experimental environment from those contents to avoid difficulty of analysis due to significant artifacts from head movement. The environment was revised to minimize visual distractors for our experiment (Fig. 1).

#### Subjects and experiment paradigm

Eighteen healthy subjects participated in our experiment (six women; mean age, 26.5 years; range, 21 to 36 years) in accordance with the ethics guidelines established by the Institutional Review Board of the Hallym University College of Medicine (IRB No. 2016-I102). Inclusion criteria were (1) normal vestibular function on conventional vestibular testing, (2) less than 40 vears old, (3) no active or prior history of vertigo, and (4) no history of psychiatric problems. Electroencephalograms (EEGs) were used to obtain electrophysiological evidence. The participants wore an HMD in a sitting position while an EEG cap was fitted over the head, and the electrodes were connected to an analytic computer through wires. All subjects were asked to fixate their eyes on a fixation point for  $1.5\pm0.5$  seconds and to then move their eyes quickly from one to the other target presented in the horizontal plan (saccadic eye movement for 1.25 seconds). The experimental environment consisted of two blocks of feedback-on (F-on, visualization of eye position) and feedback-off (F-off, non-visualization of eye position) conditions, the order of which was randomly allocated among subjects (80 trials per block) (Fig. 1). There were no differences in the behavioral outcome and neurophysiologic response between the subjects who underwent F-on first (F-off later) and the F-off first (F-on later) (P>0.05). Eye and gaze position were continuously recorded in real time during all experimental exercises regardless of the visualization of eve position, but this information was not provided to the participants. The time from -1,250 to -1,000ms (fixation period) relative to target presentation onset was used as a reference period to estimate event-related neural activity. StimTracker (Quad model; Cedrus Corp., San Pedro, CA,



Fig. 1. Saccadic eye exercise condition under a head-mounted display and the experimental paradigm. Participants were instructed to move their eyes quickly from target to target presented at 1.25-second intervals in the virtual space and to then fix their eyes on a fixation point for 1.5 seconds. In experiment A (feedback-on [F-on] condition), participants were provided with information regarding eye position in real time (a small white circle) and feedback by changes in the visual target (from black to red) when the gaze fell on the designated visual target. Conversely, no feedback function was provided in experiment B (feedback-off [F-off] condition). Real-time eye position was continuously recorded in both conditions, but this information was not provided to the participants.

USA) was used to avoid operating system delays.

#### Signal acquisition and preprocessing

EEG recordings were used to infer functional neural dynamics, including the visual-vestibular interaction at the cortical level during VR. The detailed methods were described previously [15]. Briefly, the EEG signal was recorded via a BrainAmp DC amplifier with a 32-channel actiCAP (Brain Products, Munich, Germany) at a sampling rate of 5,000 Hz and at the FCz reference, which was distributed along the scalp according to the international 10-10 system. Electrode impedances were maintained below 5 kΩ during the recordings. The raw EEG data were resampled offline at 512 Hz and band-pass filtered using a 1 Hz highpass filter and a 50 Hz low-pass filter implemented in Brain Vision Analyzer software (Brain Products). After re-referencing to the common average reference, visual inspection and interpolation was applied to identify and reject the bad intervals and functioning channels. Eye movements such as blinks and other muscular artifacts were removed using independent component analysis [16]. Only valid trials (correct responses) were included. The time from -1,250 to -1,000 ms (fixation period) relative to target presentation onset was used as a reference period to estimate event-related neural activity. A semiautomatic artifact rejection algorithm was used to reject the epoch with peak signal amplitudes of more than 80  $\mu$ V. We also tested whether the HMD would result in an elevation of the noise level for stable analysis, and the results showed no significant noise elevation



Fig. 2. Accuracy of saccadic exercise. The accuracy was  $81.82\% \pm 14.13\%$  in the feedback-on (F-on) condition and  $65.71\% \pm 24.32\%$  in the feedback-off (F-off) condition, which showed a significant difference. \**P*=0.039.

compared with baseline, consistent with previous works [17,18]. After EEG data preprocessing, three subjects (all male) were excluded from the final analysis because of excessive artifacts in the raw EEG data.

#### EEG power spectrum and time-frequency analysis

The power spectral density (PSD) and event-related spectral perturbation (ERSP) as functions of frequency and time-frequency were processed by the EEGLAB [19] using custom func-

tions/scripts running in MATLAB R2016a (MathWorks, Natick, MA, USA). The PSD was converted to a logarithmic scale (dB power) in the frequency domain and was calculated for each EEG epoch using a Hanning window with a length of 512 ms, which resulted in a frequency resolution of 1 Hz. For statistical analysis for PSD, we pooled EEGs channels of four sperate symmetrical clusters over the scalp: frontal (F3, Fz, F3), central (C3, Cz, C4), parietal (P3, Pz, P4) and occipital (O1, Oz, and O2) in the frequency bands theta (4–7 Hz), alpha (8–12 Hz), and beta (13–30 Hz). The PSD data were averaged in the entire time courses over each frequency band in the cluster.

In the time-frequency domain, we decomposed the EEG signal using short-term Fast Fourier Transform with a window size of 512 data points and a two pad-ratio for ERSP measures [20]. The results are presented as both event-related synchronization (ERS) and event-related desynchronization (ERD) over time from the specific baseline provided (pre-saccade fixation time). The statistics for the ERSP were obtained after dividing the entire time length into four consecutive data points of 250 ms from -1,000 to 0 ms. The statistical analysis for ERSP and PSD were measured by *t*-test between condition. All statistics were measured using IBM SPSS ver. 20.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). We did not investigate the neural activity of the gamma band during saccadic eye movement after target onset for power spectrum and time frequency domain because rapid ocular muscle movements such as saccades impair the EEG signal in that frequency range [21].

## Functional connectivity analysis

The directed transfer function was applied to the map and image



Fig. 3. Mean power spectral density (PSD) at the frontal (A), central, (B) parietal (C), and occipital (D) channel clusters. The asterisk in the thick rectangular space represents a statistically significant difference (P<0.05) between the feedback-off (F-off) and feedback-on (F-on) conditions in the given frequency bands; theta (4–7 Hz), alpha (8–12 Hz), and beta (13–30 Hz). The bottom panel in each cluster indicates a comparison of PSD between F-off versus F-on conditions in the given beta frequency range. The beta band-PSD in the frontal area, central area and occipital area were significantly higher in the F-on condition than in the F-off condition (\*statistically significant differences, P=0.047 for frontal, P=0.042 for central and P=0.045 for occipital area). The error bars in each figure for PSD represent standard error of the mean from given frequency band datasets.

of the functional connectivity at the source level using a modified MATLAB-based open-source toolbox, eConnectome (Biomedical Functional Imaging and Neuroengineering Laboratory, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN, USA) [22,23]. A more detailed protocol has been described in our previous study [15]. In the present study, we manually created four bilateral regions of interests: (1) frontal eve field (FEF: right: x=22, y=26. z=45; left: x=-23, y=24, z=44); (2) parieto-insular vestibular cortex (PIVC; right: x=49, y=-35, z=18; left: x=-50, y=-38, z=18); (3) primary visual cortex (V1, right: x=11, y=-78, z=9; left: x=-11, y=-78, z=10), and (4) primary somatosensory cortex (S1, right: x=41, y=-27, z=47; left: x=-40, y=-27, z=47) based on the standard brains from the Montreal Neurological Institute [24], which is related to visual-vestibular and multisensory processing. Dynamic mean functional connectivity of the theta band on the saccadic period, and gamma band (30-50 Hz) on the fixation period are respectively presented, in which statistical assessment of the connectivity was performed using a surrogate (1,000 surrogate data sets, P < 0.05).

#### RESULTS

## Behavioral data

Reaction time (RT) for each condition was computed from eye movement onset, defined as the time when a participant's eyes were exactly placed on the visual targets. The RTs for the F-off and F-on conditions were  $306.21\pm95.36$  and  $342.29\pm62.24$  ms, respectively, and were not significantly different. We defined corrective eye movement as participants exactly focusing their eyes on a visual target during a SEE, and accuracy was calculated as the number of corrective eye movements divided by the total number of trials (the number of corrective eye movements  $81.82\% \pm 14.13\%$  in the F-on condition and  $65.71\% \pm 24.32\%$  in the F-off condition, which showed a significant difference (*P*=0.039) (Fig. 2).

#### Power spectral and time-frequency analysis

Beta band-PSD under the F-on condition was  $0.45\pm0.42$  dB for the frontal area,  $0.42\pm0.44$  dB for the central area and  $0.51\pm0.41$  dB for the occipital area, which were significantly



Fig. 4. Event-related spectral perturbation (ERSP) at the frontal (A) and central (B) channel clusters. ERSP was calculated on a prestimulation time window as -1,000 ms before the onset of target presentation (dash line) at the frontal (A) and central (B). The power level is coded on a color scale in decibels, in which synchronization appears red while desynchronization appears blue on the ERSP plots. The ERSP revealed larger beta-ERD in the feedback-on (F-on) than in the feedback-off (F-off) condition, in which mean beta-ERDs during preparatory period from -750 to -500 ms were calculated to be -0.19 on frontal and -0.22 on central area in F-on condition, whereas event-related power modulations during the same time period showed ERS (0.231 on frontal and 0.05 on central) rather than ERD pattern in F-off condition, which was statistically significant (\*P=0.005 for frontal and P=0.024 for central). ERS, event-related synchronization; ERD, event-related desynchronization.

higher than the  $0.15\pm0.11$  dB for the frontal area,  $0.13\pm0.11$  dB for the central area and  $0.29\pm0.21$  dB for the occipital area under the F-off condition (*P*=0.047 for the frontal, *P*=0.042 for the central, and *P*=0.045) for the occipital area; each upper panel (Fig. 3A, B, and D). However, we did not find any significant difference in theta or alpha oscillatory power between the two conditions.

In the grand average waveform of event-related power modulations, beta-ERD were more significant before the onset of target presentation in the F-on than F-off condition. Mean beta-ERDs during preparatory period from -750 to -500 ms were calculated to be -0.19 on frontal and -0.22 on central clusters in F-on condition, whereas event-related power modulations during the same time period showed ERS (0.231 on frontal and 0.05 on central) rather than ERD pattern in F-off condition, which was statistically significant compared with those of F-on condition (P=0.005 for frontal and P=0.024 for central). From this result, we summarized that beta-ERD was more pronounced under the F-on condition during the mid-time of the preparatory phase for the saccadic exercise than under the F-off condition (Fig. 4).

#### Functional connectivity for VR exercise

The network of connectivity under the F-on condition was compared with that in the F-off condition. During the saccadic exercise period, participants exhibited significantly abundant dynamic connectivity across the FEF, S1, PIVC and V1 areas in the F-on condition compared with the F-off condition (Fig. 5A, B). Interestingly, contrary to the general expectation that FEF modulates saccadic eye movement, we detected no significant functional connectivity (approximately >50% of interactions within the network) between FEF and visual-vestibular during saccadic exercise in the F-off condition, suggesting that participants may not actively follow the target with their eyes.

In the fixation period, we investigated the functional connectivity of the gamma band to estimate attention, in which we found significant differences in functional connectivity between the two conditions (Fig. 5C, D). More specifically, participants exhibited significant functional connectivity from the right PIVC to the contralateral PIVC and to both V1s, as well as between both S1s, in the F-on condition. However, we did not find significant connectivity besides that between both PIVCs in the F-off condition.

## DISCUSSION

Virtual reality has been used for numerous clinical applications in recent years, especially in rehabilitation or medical simulation. Furthermore, recent advances in virtual reality technology and the release of low-cost HMDs that provide fully immersive



Fig. 5. Dynamic mean functional connectivity across four bilateral visual-vestibular multisensory processing-related brain regions. Functional connectivity is shown for the theta band for the saccadic period (A, B), and the gamma band for the fixation period, respectively (C, D). Scale bar represents the coefficient value using statistical assessment of surrogates (1,000 surrogate data sets, *P*<0.05). During the saccadic exercise period, more abundant dynamic connectivity in the theta band across the frontal eye field (FEF), primary somatosensory cortex (S1), parieto-insular vestibular cortex (PIVC) and primary visual cortex (V1) areas in the feedback-on (F-on) condition than in the feedback-off (F-off) condition was observed. In the fixation period, those from the right PIVC to the contralateral PIVC and both V1s, as well as between both S1s were observed under the F-on condition. However, no dynamic functional connectivities besides those between both PIVCs were observed under the F-off condition.

experience have yielded a paradigm shift in VR strategies. The underlying theoretical background is based on the idea that the realistic visual condition provided by virtual reality may enhance adaptation by retinal slip [25]. A recent meta-analysis clinically supported that rehabilitation exercises using virtual reality can be a very valuable treatment modality for functional gain [11].

However, our concern is that a fully immersive environment such as HMD may lead to potentially adverse consequences. This idea arises from the concept that VR exercises should be performed in an interactive manner involving a supervisor for better performance [10], yet the fully immersive environment of an HMD is completely isolated from the real world and may make it impossible for a supervisor to intervene. For instance, the supervisor may not even be aware of a participant's scattering of attention during a given session due to the enclosed space created by the HMD.

We did not provide any information for participants that their eyes were being tracked and recorded in real time. Electrophysiological responses were measured using EEGs during VR with HMD to investigate the neuro-dynamics of the brain relating goal-directed attention and functional connectivity, which may provide in-depth neural evidence for optimization of the implementation of HMD even this is preliminary result from heathy individuals because these neuro-dynamics tested are essential components for enhancing the performance of VR [9,10].

The corrective eye exercise rate was 81.80% under the F-on condition, which showed a statistically higher accuracy than the 65.67% under the F-off condition (P=0.039). This result is largely expected because participants would attempt to correctively move their gaze towards a moving target for saccadic exercise based on the perception of their eye position. If patients tend to not exactly focus their eyes on a given visual target, intervention by the supervisor is required. However, the supervisor would not recognize whether patients correctly performed the VR exercise until after the session, given the immersive virtual reality environment, which suggests that the fully immersive virtual reality itself may lead to undesirable consequences in VR performance like viewing television because the participant is isolated from the outside while wearing it.

Our EEG power spectral analysis supported this hypothesis. The beta band-PSD was significantly higher in the F-on than in the F-off condition in the frontal (P=0.047), central (P=0.042) and occipital areas (P=0.045). EEG beta band activity decreased in the occipital area and was related to attentional deficiency [26], and it is assumed to facilitate long-range interactions on a cortical network level [27,28]. Accordingly, observation of a higher beta neural oscillatory power under the F-on condition indicates that participants may perform the exercise with sustained attention (Fig. 3).

Here, we further investigated ERSP to evaluate signal processing in the time domain. During preparation, execution, and mental simulation of movement, the spectral power in the beta rhythms decreased bilaterally over the sensorimotor cortex [29-31], providing a mechanism for selective activation of sensorimotor ensembles. We found that beta-ERD patterns were usually exhibited before the actual saccadic movement, which is consistent with previous works [29-31]. However, we noted that beta-ERD was significantly more pronounced in the F-on condition than in the F-off condition during the time-period from -750 to -500 in the frontal and central area (Fig. 4). We speculated that certainty of the movement direction and expected loading of attention about the upcoming saccadic movement may result in a larger beta-ERD under the F-on condition [32,33]. Therefore, we suggest that participants may perform VR exercises with goal-directed attention under the F-on rather than the F-off condition, even though it remains unclear whether these rhythms contribute independently to motor behavior.

We investigated differences in neural connectivity between the two conditions during VR. Cortical structural changes have been linked to functional recovery after vestibular neuritis [34,35], and VR performance is dependent on mechanisms related to neuronal plasticity of the central nervous system [36,37]. During the saccadic exercise period, we noticed more abundant dynamic connectivity in the theta band across the FEF, S1, PIVC and V1 areas in the F-on condition than in the Foff condition. Interestingly, FEF was not included as a functional area because it had more than 50% of interactions within the network under the F-off condition even during saccadic exercise, contrary to the general expectation that FEF modulates saccadic eye movement. We speculated that participants actively did not move their eyes corresponding to the saccadic target, which is consistent with our behavioral results. In the fixation period, participants exhibited significant functional connectivity from the right PIVC to the contralateral PIVC and both V1s, as well as between both S1s, under the F-on condition. However, no dynamic functional connectivities besides those between both PIVCs were observed under the F-off condition.

Taken together, even though immersive virtual reality such as HMD may be ideal for promoting habituation [25], our neural evidence suggests that the immersive environment, completely isolated from outside intervention, would not work for better VR performance. Thus, interactive functions must be integrated into immersive platforms or another platform like a projection based virtual reality display should be considered. However, several aspects of these conclusions should be substantiated with a more qualified study design because our electrophysiology results were obtained for SEE from healthy subjects and did not consider long-term clinical efficacy, but that was not our main concern. In addition, we did not conduct a comparison study including a conventional VR exercise condition due to methodological limitations.

Finally, it should be noted that our approach is regarding on attention and functional connectivity for SEE using HMD. Our electrophysiological measurements did not include head rotation protocol due to difficulty of artifact rejection. SEE should be a part of adapted strategy to enhance the decreased slow phase component of the vestibular ocular reflex in patient with vertigo [38]. However, considering the main components of VR are head and eye exercise, electrophysiological measurements from SEE may not be representative of the whole VR exercise. However, the neurophysiological evidence suggests eye tracking assisted strategy may also work for enhancement of performance of VR with HMD though active engagement of patients.

#### **CONFLICT OF INTEREST**

No potential conflict of interest relevant to this article was reported.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was supported by the Bio & Medical Technology Development Program (grant No. NRF-2017M3A9G-1027932) and basic research program (grant No. NRF-2016R1D1A1B03-930234) of the national research foundation funded by the Korean government, and Hallym University research fund, Korea.

## ORCID

https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4297-9742 Jeong Hye Park https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5371-550X Han Jae Jeon https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1454-8598 Eun-Cheon Lim Ja-Won Koo https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5538-2785 Hyo-Jeong Lee https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2258-0803 Hyung-Jong Kim https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9020-6026 Jung Seop Lee https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4763-2091 Chang-Geun Song https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0204-6160 https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7048-160X Sung Kwang Hong

## AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Conceptualization: SKH. Data curation: JHP, HJJ, CGS, ECL. Formal analysis: JHP. Funding acquisition: SKH, JSL. Methodology: SKH, HJJ, JHP. Project administration: SKH, JSL. Visualization: JHP, JSL. Writing - original draft: JHP, HJJ. Writing - review & editing: SKH, JWK, HJL, HJK.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS

Supplementary materials can be found via https://doi.org/10. 21053/ceo.2018.01592.

## REFERENCES

- Cohen HS, Gottshall KR, Graziano M, Malmstrom EM, Sharpe MH. International survey of vestibular rehabilitation therapists by the Barany Society Ad Hoc Committee on Vestibular Rehabilitation Therapy. JVestib Res. 2009;19(1-2):15-20.
- Hecker HC, Haug CO, Herndon JW. Treatment of the vertiginous patient using Cawthorne's vestibular exercises. Laryngoscope. 1974 Nov;84(11):2065-72.
- Hillier SL, McDonnell M. Vestibular rehabilitation for unilateral peripheral vestibular dysfunction. Clin Otolaryngol. 2011 Jun;36(3): 248-9.
- Bamiou DE, Luxon LM. Vertigo: clinical management and rehabilitation. In: Gleeson M, Luxon L, editors. Scott-Brown's otorhinolaryngology, head and neck surgery. Bora Raton (FL): CRC Press; 2008. p. 3791-817.
- Meldrum D, Herdman S, Vance R, Murray D, Malone K, Duffy D, et al. Effectiveness of conventional versus virtual reality-based balance exercises in vestibular rehabilitation for unilateral peripheral vestibular loss: results of a randomized controlled trial. Arch Phys Med Rehabil. 2015 Jul;96(7):1319-28.
- Pavlou M, Kanegaonkar RG, Swapp D, Bamiou DE, Slater M, Luxon LM. The effect of virtual reality on visual vertigo symptoms in patients with peripheral vestibular dysfunction: a pilot study. J Vestib Res. 2012;22(5-6):273-81.
- Alahmari KA, Sparto PJ, Marchetti GF, Redfern MS, Furman JM, Whitney SL. Comparison of virtual reality based therapy with customized vestibular physical therapy for the treatment of vestibular disorders. IEEE Trans Neural Syst Rehabil Eng. 2014 Mar;22(2):389-99.
- Yeh SC, Chen S, Wang PC, Su MC, Chang CH, Tsai PY. Interactive 3-dimensional virtual reality rehabilitation for patients with chronic imbalance and vestibular dysfunction. Technol Health Care. 2014; 22(6):915-21.
- Black FO, Pesznecker SC. Vestibular adaptation and rehabilitation. Curr Opin Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. 2003 Oct;11(5):355-60.
- Cohen HS. Disability and rehabilitation in the dizzy patient. Curr Opin Neurol. 2006 Feb;19(1):49-54.
- Bergeron M, Lortie CL, Guitton MJ. Use of virtual reality tools for vestibular disorders rehabilitation: a comprehensive analysis. Adv Med. 2015;2015:916735.
- Cooksey FS. Rehabilitation in vestibular injuries. Proc R Soc Lond B Biol Sci. 1946 Mar;39:273-8.
- Cawthorne T. The physiological basis for head exercises. J Char Soc Physiother. 1944;3:106-7.
- Badarny S, Aharon-Peretz J, Susel Z, Habib G, Baram Y. Virtual reality feedback cues for improvement of gait in patients with Parkinson's disease. Tremor Other Hyperkinet Mov (NY). 2014 Apr;4:225.
- Hong SK, Park S, Ahn MH, Min BK. Top-down and bottom-up neurodynamic evidence in patients with tinnitus. Hear Res. 2016 Dec; 342:86-100.
- Makeig S, Jung TP, Bell AJ, Ghahremani D, Sejnowski TJ. Blind separation of auditory event-related brain responses into independent components. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1997 Sep;94(20):10979-84.
- Bayliss JD, Ballard DH. The effects of eye tracking in a VR helmet on EEG recordings. Rochester (NY): The University of Rochester, Computer Science Department; 1998.
- Pelz JB, Hayhoe MM, Ballard DH, Shrivastava A, Bayliss JD, von der Heyde M. Development of a virtual laboratory for the study of complex human behavior. In: Merritt JO, Bolas MT, Fisher SS, editors. Stereoscopic displays and virtual reality systems VI. Bellingham: SPIE; 1999.
- 19. Delorme A, Makeig S. EEGLAB: an open source toolbox for analy-

sis of single-trial EEG dynamics including independent component analysis. J Neurosci Methods. 2004 Mar;134(1):9-21.

- Makeig S. Auditory event-related dynamics of the EEG spectrum and effects of exposure to tones. Electroencephalogr Clin Neurophysiol. 1993 Apr;86(4):283-93.
- Yuval-Greenberg S, Tomer O, Keren AS, Nelken I, Deouell LY. Transient induced gamma-band response in EEG as a manifestation of miniature saccades. Neuron. 2008 May;58(3):429-41.
- He B, Dai Y, Astolfi L, Babiloni F, Yuan H, Yang L. eConnectome: a MATLAB toolbox for mapping and imaging of brain functional connectivity. J Neurosci Methods. 2011 Feb;195(2):261-9.
- Sohrabpour A, Ye S, Worrell GA, Zhang W, He B. Noninvasive electromagnetic source imaging and granger causality analysis: an electrophysiological connectome (eConnectome) approach. IEEE Trans Biomed Eng. 2016 Dec;63(12):2474-87.
- Collins DL, Neelin P, Peters TM, Evans AC. Automatic 3D intersubject registration of MR volumetric data in standardized Talairach space. J Comput Assist Tomogr. 1994 Mar-Apr;18(2):192-205.
- Whitney SL, Sparto PJ, Brown KE, Furman JM, Jacobson JL, Redfern MS. The potential use of virtual reality in vestibular rehabilitation: preliminary findings with the BNAVE. J Neurol PhysTher. 2002; 26(2):72-8.
- Gola M, Magnuski M, Szumska I, Wrobel A. EEG beta band activity is related to attention and attentional deficits in the visual performance of elderly subjects. Int J Psychophysiol. 2013 Sep;89(3):334-41.
- 27. Kilavik BE, Zaepffel M, Brovelli A, MacKay WA, Riehle A. The ups and downs of  $\beta$  oscillations in sensorimotor cortex. Exp Neurol. 2013 Jul;245:15-26.
- 28. Kopell N, Ermentrout GB, Whittington MA, Traub RD. Gamma rhythms and beta rhythms have different synchronization proper-

ties. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2000 Feb;97(4):1867-72.

- Brinkman L, Stolk A, Dijkerman HC, de Lange FP, Toni I. Distinct roles for alpha- and beta-band oscillations during mental simulation of goal-directed actions. J Neurosci. 2014 Oct;34(44):14783-92.
- de Lange FP, Jensen O, Bauer M, Toni I. Interactions between posterior gamma and frontal alpha/beta oscillations during imagined actions. Front Hum Neurosci. 2008 Aug;2:7.
- McFarland DJ, Miner LA, Vaughan TM, Wolpaw JR. Mu and beta rhythm topographies during motor imagery and actual movements. Brain Topogr. 2000;12(3):177-86.
- Ng TH, Sowman PF, Brock J, Johnson BW. Premovement brain activity in a bimanual load-lifting task. Exp Brain Res. 2011 Jan;208(2): 189-201.
- Tzagarakis C, Ince NF, Leuthold AC, Pellizzer G. Beta-band activity during motor planning reflects response uncertainty. J Neurosci. 2010 Aug;30(34):11270-7.
- Helmchen C, Ye Z, Sprenger A, Munte TF. Changes in resting-state fMRI in vestibular neuritis. Brain Struct Funct. 2014 Nov;219(6): 1889-900.
- Hong SK, Kim JH, Kim HJ, Lee HJ. Changes in the gray matter volume during compensation after vestibular neuritis: a longitudinal VBM study. Restor Neurol Neurosci. 2014;32(5):663-73.
- Dieterich M, Bauermann T, Best C, Stoeter P, Schlindwein P. Evidence for cortical visual substitution of chronic bilateral vestibular failure (an fMRI study). Brain. 2007 Aug;130(Pt 8):2108-16.
- Brandt T, Strupp M, Dieterich M. Five keys for diagnosing most vertigo, dizziness, and imbalance syndromes: an expert opinion. J Neurol. 2014 Jan;261(1):229-31.
- Schubert MC, Zee DS. Saccade and vestibular ocular motor adaptation. Restor Neurol Neurosci. 2010;28(1):9-18.