Feasibility of Using the Hollow Glass Microsphere to Develop Lightweight CAC-GGBFS-Blended Strain-Hardening Cementitious Composites
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Strain hardening cementitious composites (SHCCs) with superior tensile strength and ductility have been utilized as an effective repair material. A corrosion-resistant binder, calcium aluminate cement (CAC)–ground granulated blast-furnace slag (GGBFS) blends, has been introduced into SHCC to expand its application in the concrete sewage network rehabilitation. As a repair material, the lightweight property is particularly favorable as it can broaden its functionality. This article presents a study on developing a novel lightweight CAC-GGBFS-blended SHCC using hollow glass microsphere (HGM), namely, HGMLW-SHCCs. The fine silica sand content was substituted with HGM at 25, 50, 75, and 100 vol % in HGMLW-SHCC. We examined flowability, density, uniaxial compressive behavior, direct tensile behavior, and pseudo strain-hardening indices. Microstructure analysis was also conducted to understand the meso-scale behavior of this new lightweight composite. The newly developed HGMLW-SHCC had a 28-day density of only 1756 kg/m³. Compressive and tensile strengths were determined in the range of 62.80–49.39 MPa and 5.81–4.19 MPa, respectively. All mixtures exhibited significant strain-hardening behavior. Even though the increased HGM content negatively affected the tensile strength of HGMLW-SHCC, it had a positive effect on its ductility. In addition, HGM can reduce crack width and tensile stress fluctuations significantly. The results showed that HGM was a promising material for producing strong and lightweight corrosion-resistant SHCCs to be used as a retrofitting material in the wastewater industry.
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INTRODUCTION

Concrete sewerage pipe has been used extensively ascribed to its high strength, versatility, and cost efficiency (Song et al., 2021). However, sewerage pipes made of concrete are widely subjected to microbial-induced concrete corrosion (MICC), which causes pipe structural degradation such as mass loss, surface cracking, and reinforcement corrosion. As the sewer infrastructures are usually buried deep underground, and the traditional excavation method will cause substantial economic loss to the society; therefore, it is necessary to develop an innovative trenchless technology to repair these valuable assets.

Calcium aluminate cement (CAC)-based mortar lining has been adopted for sewerage pipe rehabilitation. Two decades ago (El-Hemaly et al., 2008), the brittleness nature of the mortar material is prone to crack easily under tension load, particularly when repairing the pipe crown and invert. To improve the durability and mechanical properties of the CAC mortar lining material, the authors have taken a pilot step to tailor this material into a high performance fiber-reinforced cementitious material, namely, PE fiber-reinforced CAC-ground granulated blast-furnace slag (GGBFS)-blended strain hardening cementitious composite (SHCC) (Fan et al., 2020; Fan et al., 2020). This newly developed cementitious composite can achieve 5% tensile strain capacity with only 1% PE fiber reinforcement, with an excellent strain-hardening behavior under tension. The PE fiber-reinforced CAC-GGBFS-blended SHCC can produce multiple cracks distributed evenly with a small, tiny crack width usually less than 100 μm; this unique behavior makes it outperform the conventional cement mortar lining materials that failed from macro single cracking under tensile loading (Sheta et al., 2021). With the distinctive properties of high ductility and durability (Yu et al., 2018), SHCCs are found to be used in a broad range of applications, from repair to retrofit virgin and corroded reinforced concrete structural elements (Shang et al., 2019). As a lining material to be sprayed onto the corroded pipe wall, lightweight property will be greatly favored. However, the lightweight property of this new composite has not yet been achieved. The PE fiber-reinforced CAC-GGBFS-blended SHCC has a density of 2,140 kg/m³ while a structural lightweight concrete usually has a compressive strength over 17 MPa and a unit density less than 1920 kg/m³ (213R-14 2014). The additional load will make an adverse impact to the rehabilitated structures and limit the in-situ application of the repair material. Therefore, the lightweight property is greatly preferred and favored, and efforts must be made to further develop the PE fiber-reinforced CAC-GGBFS-blended SHCC with the lightweight characteristic.

A common method for producing lightweight SHCC is to incorporate lightweight aggregates. A lightweight environmental-friendly filler material, hollow glass microsphere (HGM), has been widely used as a filler material for sand replacement in cement-based composites (Wang et al., 2019; Al-Gemeel et al., 2018; Aslani and Wang 2019). HGM is a dimension-controlled hollow spherical shape particle with air encapsulated by a thin glass enclosure of sphere. The HGM material is widely available with a bulk density ranging from 120 kg/m³ to 490 kg/m³ and the particle size is about 81 μm and less. Compared to the popular lightweight fly ash cenosphere materials, the use of HGM can offer a lower density and improve the greenness of the cementitious composite as it contains a significant amount of recycled glass (Wang et al., 2019; Meddah, 2019; Aslani et al., 2021). Hence, by replacing silica aggregates with HGM, an SHCC with more sustainable effect can be produced.

Wang and Li (2003) developed a group of lightweight SHCCs with hollow glass bubbles, expanded perlite, air bubbles, and polymeric microform. It was found that due to the relatively small size and closed-shell structure, glass bubbles were found to be the most promising lightweight filler for SHCCs by reaching an average density of 1450 kg/m³ with a high tensile strain capacity of 4.24%. Zhuge et al. (2014) replaced 10% volume of cement with HGM in fiber-reinforced cementitious composites; the compressive strength was slightly decreased with a 6% reduction of density. Al-Gemeel et al. (2018) also discovered similar results, compressive and flexural strengths were decreased, but the flowability was increased with the use of 10% volume of HGM. Chen et al. (2021) investigated HGM incorporated ultralightweight cement composites by examining their mechanical properties, durability, and the additional HGM influence on the microstructures. The results showed that the HGM incorporated lightweight composite had a density ranging from 778 to 948 kg/m³ on day-1 and a compressive strength ranging from 22.9 to 33.1 MPa at 28 days. A design concept of developing UHP-SHCC by using HGMs has been proposed by Yu et al. (2017) and Lu et al. (2021). The results indicated that the compressive strength is over 120 MPa and the air-dried density is only about 1800 kg/m³, indicating the inclusion of HGMs would be a promising material for lightweight composites. Aslani and Wang (2019) developed a lightweight fiber-reinforced cementitious composite with three different kinds of HGMS to substitute the fly ash content at 40 and 60% by weight, the density was reduced by 10% and the compressive strength was decreased by 3%. Oreshkin et al. (2016) reported that the addition of HGMs also improved the dispersion of fibers and the flowability of the mixture. Martin et al. (2021) further studied the HGM–cement paste interaction. The results showed that the HGMs can not only act as a lightweight aggregate material but also contribute as a SCM by interacting with cement initially as nucleation agents and then as pozzolanic materials. Apart from the mechanical property, the sulfuric acid resistance can also be improved with the addition of HGM (Zhang et al., 2018), which suits the need for sewerage environment.

Thus, to better utilize recycle glass resources and develop a lightweight high-performance repair material, this article first attempts to use the HGM to fully replace fine silica sand in CAC-GGBFS-blended SHCC. To optimize the mix design, different volume fractions of HGMs (V = 0, 25, 50, 75, and 100%) were added into the lightweight HGMLW-SHCC mixture. The polyethylene (PE) fiber with a low volume fraction of 1% was utilized to achieve a high tensile strain capacity. A series of experimental programs including mechanical properties and microstructure of HGMLW-SHCCs were investigated. The flowability and density of HGMLW-SHCCs were first
measured and followed with the compressive strength being measured at 1, 7, and 28 days. To date, research on direct tensile behavior of HGM-incorporated SHCCs is still quite limited, thus, a comprehensive tensile stress–strain relationship was investigated at 28 days after curing. The tensile strength of the lightweight HGMLW-SHCC is more than 6 MPa and its tensile strain capacity goes up to 8%. The pseudo strain-hardening behavior relies on the understanding of micromechanical interactions between fiber, matrix, and fiber–matrix interface as the addition of HGM will increase the porosity of the CAC-GGBFS-blended cementitious matrix and lower the matrix toughness $K_m$. To quantify the toughness of the HGMLW-SHCC, a micromechanical-based model was used (Kanda and Li, 2002). In this analytic toolset, two PSH indices (Kanda and Li, 1998) should be verified to ensure achieving the steady propagation of multiple cracking initiated from the HGMLW-SHCC (Yu et al., 2018).

1. First, the strength index $PSH_s$, defined as the ratio of the fiber bridging stress $\sigma_s$ to the initial matrix cracking stress $\sigma_c$ (Figure 1). $\sigma_c$ should be lowered than $\sigma_s$. $\sigma_c$ is calculated by the matrix fracture toughness $K_m$ and preexisting internal flaw size $a_0$, and $\sigma_s$ was determined based on the single-crack tensile test in Interpretation of micromechanical analysis.

2. Second, the energy index $PSH_e$ defined as the ratio of the fiber bridging complementary energy $J_b'$ to the crack tip toughness $J_{tip}$. $J_{tip}$ must be less than $J_b'$, i.e., $J_{tip} = \frac{K_m^2}{E_m} \leq \sigma_s \delta_s - \int_{0}^{\delta_s} \sigma(\delta) d\delta = J_b'$, where $\sigma(\delta)$ represents the bridging stress $\sigma_s$ versus crack opening $\delta$ curve. $K_m$ is the fracture toughness and $E_m$ is the elastic modulus of the matrix. $E_m$ can be obtained from the direct tensile test and $K_m$ can be calculated by the three-point bending test on notched beams and calculated by the following equations (Xu and Reinhardt, 1999):

$$K_m = \frac{1.5(F_o + \frac{m_g}{l} \times 10^{-3}) \times 10^{-3}}{h \cdot S} \cdot a_0 \cdot f(\alpha),$$

$$f(\alpha) = \frac{1.99 - \alpha (1 - \alpha) (2.15 - 3.93 \alpha + 2.7 \alpha^2)}{(1 + 2\alpha)(1 - \alpha)^{3/2}} \cdot \alpha = \frac{a_0}{h}.$$

$F_o$ is the peak load applied on the matrix; $m$ represents the mass of the beam matrix sample; $g$ stands for the gravitational acceleration as 9.81 m/s$^2$; $S$ is span of the beam sample under the three-point bending test; $a_0$ is the notch depth of the matrix beam sample; $t$ and $h$ are the width and thickness of the matrix beam sample, respectively and $f(\alpha)$ is the shape parameter of the matrix beam sample. Existing studies (Kanda and Li, 1998; Liu et al., 2021) revealed that for a polyethylene (PE) fiber-reinforced SHCC, the $PSH_s$ value should be over 1.2 and the $PSH_e$ value should be higher than 3 to achieve a high strain-hardening performance.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROGRAM**

**Materials and Mix Design**

HGMLW-SHCC is designed with the CAC-GGBFS-blended cementitious material, fine silica sand, HGM, water, PE fiber, and polycarboxylate-based superplasticizer; the mixture design is tabulated in Table 1. The mixture ID is named by the varying volume ratios of HGM to replace sand with the percentage number. HGMLW-SHCC-0 is used as a reference mixture without adding HGM, then the fine aggregate was partially replaced with HGM from 25 to 100%. Rapid hardened CAC ISTR A 40 and GGBFS were used as the binder in this study. The fine aggregates utilized in this study has a specific gravity of 2.65, the maximum grain size was 185 μm, and the mean size was 126 μm. The lightweight additive used in this study was Sphericel 110P8 HGM with a maximum grain size of 25 μm and mean size of 15 μm and an average particle density of 1,100 kg/m$^3$ and crushing strength of 68.95 MPa. The addition of HGM contributes to the achievement of a low density to the composites. The physical properties of Sphericel 110P8 are provided in Table 2. To impart the high ductility, a high fiber aspect ratio ($L_f$ (18 mm)/$d_f$ (20 μm) = 900), high tensile strength (3,000 MPa) polyethylene (PE) fiber with a volume fraction of 1% was used to increase the fiber–matrix interface area which improves the fiber bridging capacity. To maintain the flowability of the mixture, a viscosity agent hydroxypropyl...
TABLE 1 | Mix design of HGMLW-SHCC (kg/m³).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mixture ID</th>
<th>Binder</th>
<th>Filler</th>
<th>Water</th>
<th>HPMC</th>
<th>PCE</th>
<th>PE fiber (1% vol)</th>
<th>W/b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HGMLW-SHCC-0</td>
<td>480</td>
<td>720</td>
<td>594</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>370</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HGMLW-SHCC-25</td>
<td>480</td>
<td>720</td>
<td>445</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>370</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HGMLW-SHCC-50</td>
<td>480</td>
<td>720</td>
<td>296</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>370</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HGMLW-SHCC-75</td>
<td>480</td>
<td>720</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>370</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HGMLW-SHCC-100</td>
<td>480</td>
<td>720</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>246</td>
<td>370</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HGMLW-SHCC mixtures for achieving adequate workability. The chemical composition of HGM, CAC, and GGBFS were quantitatively analyzed by X-Ray fluorescence (XRF) as shown in Table 3. In addition to the chemical element analysis, the mineral compounds were also investigated by X-ray diffraction (XRD) measurements as shown in Figure 2A. XRD analysis results revealed that CAC was well crystalline while HGM was completely amorphous. Gradation curves of all the ingredients are shown in Figure 2B. It should be noted that the flowability of mixtures was affected considerably with the increasing content of HGM.

Mixing Procedure and Specimen Preparation

It is critical to mix and make the PE fiber uniformly dispersed to achieve optimal material performances. All HGMLW-SHCC mixtures were mixed in a Hobart mixer with 10-L capacity. The solid ingredients including the CAC, GGBFS, silica sand, and HGM were first dry mixed at the speed of 140 rpm for 1 min. Then premixed water with HPMC and PCE powder was added into the mixture and mixed at the speed of 140 rpm for 6 min then 420 rpm for 2 min until the homogenous and consistent state was achieved. PE fibers were then gradually added into the mixture and mixed at the speed of 140 rpm first until the fibers were uniformly dispersed then turned to the speed of 420 rpm for 2 min. Finally, the mixture was poured into steel molds and covered with plastic sheets. All the specimens were demolded after 24 h and then cured in air at the temperature of 23°C and relative humidity (RH) of 98% until the age of 28 days.

Testing Procedure

Physical Properties

The physical properties were first investigated. The flowability of the fresh HGMLW-SHCC in a different mixture design was examined with the mini-slump test, also known as spread-flow tests. A mini-slump cone was used to fill with the mortar, located in the center of the flat plate. Second, the mini-slump cone was lifted carefully in 5 seconds to allow a free spread of the mortar mixture for 3 minutes. The diameter of the spread was measured and averaged in two perpendicular directions. Then, the spread value was calculated by the subtraction of the two-average value between the diameters and the cone bottom. Details of the tests can be found in Nematollahi and Sanjayan (2014). A relative slump (T_p) can be calculated as:

\[ \Gamma_p = \left( \frac{D_1}{D_0} \right)^2 - 1, \]

where \( D_1 \) is the average value of the two orthogonal diameters of the mixture and \( D_0 \) is the diameter of the cone bottom.

Apart from examining the flowability of the HGMLW-SHCC, it is also significant to avoid the damage of 110P8 HGM during mixing to provide lightweight to the composite. Therefore, harden-state density measurement was conducted to discover the damage of 110P8 HGM during mixing. The tested density was compared with the estimated value based on the specific gravity of all ingredients and mix proportions.

methylcellulose (HPMC) and a powder type polycarboxylates (PCE) superplasticizer were both found necessary in HGMLW-SHCC mixtures for achieving adequate workability. The chemical composition of HGM, CAC, and GGBFS were quantitatively analyzed by X-Ray fluorescence (XRF) as shown in Table 3. In addition to the chemical element analysis, the mineral compounds were also investigated by X-ray diffraction (XRD) measurements as shown in Figure 2A. XRD analysis results revealed that CAC was well crystalline while HGM was completely amorphous. Gradation curves of all the ingredients are shown in Figure 2B. It should be noted that the flowability of mixtures was affected considerably with the increasing content of HGM.
Mechanical Tests
A series of mechanical tests were conducted to evaluate the influence of HGM content on the mechanical properties of HGMLW-SHCC. The uniaxial compressive strength of HGMLW-SHCC was measured according to ASTM C109 (2008), at least three 50 mm cubes were tested at the age of 1, 7 and 28 days after curing and the mean value was recorded. The test was conducted by a load-controlled testing machine with a capacity of 1,500 kN with a load control rate of 50 kN/min under a quasi-static condition.

As recommended by the JSCE (Japan Society of Civil Engineers, 2008), dog bone-shaped specimens were used to measure the tensile behavior of HGMLW-SHCC, a constant loading rate was operated at 0.5 mm/min as a displacement control. Two linear variable displacement transducers (LVDTs) with a gauge length of 80 mm were attached on both sides of the dog-bone specimen to measure the elongation; the testing setup and specimen geometry are shown in Figure 3.

To discover the impact of HGM on the fracture toughness of the HGMLW-SHCC cementitious matrix, the three-point bending test was executed on 40 × 40 × 160 mm³ notched beams. The test was conducted with a loading rate of 1 mm/min according to the RILEM FMC-50 method (Belysheva et al., 1990). A clip-on extensometer was installed on the lateral surface to measure the crack opening displacement as shown in Figure 3B.

The single-crack tensile test was performed to investigate the effect of HGM content on the fiber-bridging capacity. Dog-bone specimens were notched around the four faces in the midheight of the specimen before cutting using a saw blade (thickness of 0.4 mm) to promote the formation of a single crack after 28 days curing. The notches depth were 2 and 6.5 mm in two perpendicular directions at the cross section which is shown in Figure 3C. The single-crack tensile test was conducted on the same instrument as uniaxial tensile tests. The loading speed was set to 1 mm/min and the crack openings were measured by using an Instron extensometer.

Microstructure Analysis
To have a further understanding of the interaction between the PE fiber and the cementitious matrices with different HGM content, the microstructures analysis was conducted using scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX) mapping analysis. Nail-sized samples were taken from the fractured specimen of the dog-bone specimen after the tensile test then oven-dried at 40°C for 24 h (Liu et al., 2021).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Flowability
Flowability results of each fresh HGMLW-SHCC mixture are graphically presented in Figure 4A. The spread-flow test was characterized by a relative slump value. As expected, the relative slump value experienced a 15% increase when fine silica aggregates were 100% replaced by the lightweight HGM. These results may be ascribed to the lubricating effect of the spherical-shaped HGM particles. Neither segregation nor bleeding in the mixtures was observed, and all the mixtures showed good cohesion and homogeneity.

Density
The harden-state density of the HGMLW-SHCC is an important indicator of the HGM particle survival rate. If a certain portion of the HGM particle is broken while mixing, the harden-state density measured will be expected to achieve
a much higher value than the targeted density estimated from the mix proportion. The harden-state density of the HGMLW-SHCC was measured after curing for 28 days; the reported density was the average of six cube samples from the same batch of mix. ACI Committee 213 recommends that density less than 1920 kg/m$^3$ can be referred as lightweight concrete (213R-14, 2014; Standard, 2008). The measured densities with standard deviation and theoretically calculated densities of each mixture are plotted in Figure 4B. Measured densities decreased with the increasing amount of HGM. Lightweight properties were achieved when the HGM substitution rate exceeded 50%. All measured densities exhibited 3–5% lower than theoretically calculated density, which indicated that the HGMLW-SHCC was porous, and most glass balls remained unbroken.

**Compressive Behavior**

The average compressive strength results of HGMLW-SHCC at 1, 7, and 28 days were summarized in Figure 4C. CAC is known for
rapid setting as a repair material, so it is critical to investigate the effect of the HGM content on the early strength of HGMLW-SHCC. All HGMLW-SHCC mixtures achieved over 40% of 28-day compressive strength on the first day of curing, exhibiting that HGM had a minor effect on early strength growth. Based on the compressive strength results, it can be seen that HGM degraded the composite strength as the compressive strength decreased with the increasing content of HGM. The compressive strength decreased by 10% when the HGM content was increased by 25%. The reduction trends of each curing age showed that replacing silica sand with HGM did not significantly affect the hydration process since HGM has a similar chemical composition to sand. It should be noted that HGMLW-SHCC 100 achieved a compressive strength of 49.39 MPa in 28 days; even though it is 22% less than the benchmark (control group), the strength is strong enough to be used as a repair material for concrete sewerage but adds more sustainability and durability.

**Tensile Properties**

**Tensile Stress–Strain Curve**

To understand the failure of HGMLW-SHCC, the typical tensile stress–strain curve can be classified into three stages: start with an ascending linear stage, then a strain-hardening stage, and finally descend with a strain-softening stage. The growth of strain capacity is attributed to the increase of crack number and the crack width of SHCC remains constant during the strain-hardening process. The critical parameters representing the tensile properties of HGMLW-SHCC, including the initial cracking strength ($\sigma_{ic}$), the peak tensile strength ($\sigma_{tu}$), the strain capacity at peak stress ($\varepsilon_{tu}$), and the energy absorption capacity ($g_{se}$) are highlighted in Figure 5A. The strain energy density was calculated with the integral area underneath the ascending branch of the stress–strain curves as described in the formula below (Yu et al., 2020):

$$g_{se} = \int_{0}^{\varepsilon_{tu}} \sigma(\varepsilon) d\varepsilon.$$

The corresponding average experimental stress–strain curves of HGMLW-SHCC specimens with different HGM portions are compared in Figure 5B. Three specimens were tested for each
mixture and an average curve was obtained and highlighted from the test results. All specimens with different mix proportions show an apparent tensile strain-hardening phenomenon. The average tensile strain of the reference mixture HGMLW-SHCC 0 achieved 5.29%. After the substitution of sand with HGM to 25, 50, 75, and 100%, the average tensile strain varied to 7.69, 6.24, 8.78, and 3.71%, respectively. The corresponding strain energy in the reference mixture HGMLW-SHCC 0 was 283.6 KJ m$^{-3}$. HGMLW-SHCC 25 and HGMLW-SHCC 75 achieved even higher strain energy than the reference mixture after adding HGM, with the value of 366.3 KJ m$^{-3}$ and 350.5 KJ m$^{-3}$, respectively.

The four tensile parameters mentioned previously are presented in Figure 6. Similar to the compressive strength, the initial cracking stress and peak stress were decreased as the HGM substitution rate increased. The average initial cracking stress dropped about 50% from 4.89 to 2.51 MPa, while the peak stress only dropped 27% from 5.81 to 4.19 MPa when the HGM volume rate rose from 0 to 100%. It can be noted that only when the HGM substitution rate reaches 100%, the initial stress and peak stress as well as strain capacity reduced remarkably; the tensile strain capacities of all other three mixtures with HGM addition (up to 75%) went up to an average of 6%. It can be seen that for up to 75% HGM replacement, even the peak stress was reduced slightly and the strain capacity as well as the strain energy absorption were increased. The introduction of HGM had negative impact on the stress but little effect on the tensile strain capacity, which may be attributed to the lubrication effect causing better fiber dispersion.

**Crack Characteristics**

The tensile properties of HGMLW-SHCC can be reflected by the cracking behavior to a certain extent. The detailed values of crack number, average crack width, and average crack spacing after unloading of HGMLW-SHCC are shown in Figure 7A–C. The characteristic of the cracks after failure, for e.g., the number of cracks ($N_c$), the average crack width ($w_c$), and the average crack spacing ($s_c$), is determined by the

![ FIGURE 6 | (A) Initial stress of HGMLW-SHCC. (B) Peak stress of HGMLW-SHCC. (C) Strain capacity of HGMLW-SHCC. (D) Strain energy of HGMLW-SHCC.](image-url)
crack pattern. The number of cracks ($N_c$) of the specimen was counted by visually observing on both sides of the specimen. Most of the microcracks were found that went through the entire section of the specimen. The average crack width ($w_c$) and crack spacing were then calculated based on the formula below:

Crack width, $\delta L/N_c$
Crack spacing = $(\delta L + L)/N_c$

As shown in Figure 7D, all samples were shown significant multiple cracks, irrespective of the HGM amount, cracks were saturated over the gauge length without localization. The number of cracks increased from 13 to 27 as the HGM content increased. Smaller crack widths were found when more HGM was adopted, this phenomenon is possibly owing to the better fiber dispersion behavior due to HGM as well as the spherical HGM can lessen crack propagation by reducing the tortuosity of the fracture path along the interface between the HGM and cementitious matrices. All the cracks are finely distributed with an average spacing from 2.8 to 4.8 mm. The cracking behavior of HGMLW-SHCC 75 and HGMLW-SHCC 100 were further observed under microscopic

Figure 7 | (A) Crack numbers. (B) Crack width. (C) Crack spacing. (D) Crack patterns. (E) Microscopic observation of the cracks.
examination for comparison. Average crack width $w_c$ and average crack spacing $s_c$ were shown in Figure 7E. It is obvious that incorporating HGM in SHCC can effectively minimize the crack width, thus, increase the durability of the material.

**Stress Fluctuation**

Much like other SHCCs, a stress fluctuation was observed under the direct tensile test during the strain-hardening part, which has negative effects on the stability of the structures. PE fiber offers great stress fluctuation due to its hydrophobicity nature, which caused the interfacial friction between the PE fibers and the cementitious matrix to be weaker during cracking. Figure 8 shows the result of average tensile behavior of all the mixtures with the value of maximum stress fluctuations $\sigma_{\text{fluc-max}}$. It can be seen that the addition of HGM can be beneficial to reduce stress fluctuation. The degree of stress fluctuation decreased as the HGM content increased, from 1.5 MPa of HGMLW-SHCC 0–0.6 MPa of HGMLW-SHCC 100. Due to the addition of
HGM, the strength of the matrix reduces the small stress fluctuation caused by the interfacial stress between the PE fiber and the matrix.

**Interpretation of Micromechanical Analysis**

Table 4 shows the results of the matrix toughness test on the notched plain mortar beam samples. The peak load $F_Q$ and the mass $m$ of each sample were summarized first. The fracture toughness $K_m$, Young’s modulus $E_m$, and matrix fracture energy $J_{tip}$ were then evaluated. The peak load versus crack mouth opening displacement (CMOD) relationship was plotted in Figure 9A, where most of the load-displacement curves were tested with fully descending branches. The results are summarized in Table 4. It is obvious that the $K_m$ and $E_m$ decreased with the additional amount of HGM, owing to the adverse effect of HGM to the compressive strength of HGMLW-SHCC. The fracture energy $J_{tip}$ dropped from 6.27 J/m$^2$ to 4.45 J/m$^2$ as the introduction of HGM resulted in a lower matrix strength. The tensile stress-crack opening displacement curves of the notched HGMLW-SHCC sample results are presented in Figure 9B. It can be found that the $K_m$ and $E_m$ decreased with the HGM content increased.

![Figure 9](image-url) | Average results of (A) of crack mouth opening displacement; (B) Single-crack tensile test.

**Table 4** Fracture toughness of HGMLW-SHCC.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mixture ID</th>
<th>Mass (kg)</th>
<th>$F_Q$ (kN)</th>
<th>$E_m$ (GPa)</th>
<th>$K_m$ (MPa m$^{1/2}$)</th>
<th>$J_{tip}$ (J/m$^2$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HGMLW-SHCC-0</td>
<td>0.527 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.66 ± 0.25</td>
<td>17.56 ± 0.69</td>
<td>0.331 ± 0.010</td>
<td>12.23 ± 0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HGMLW-SHCC-25</td>
<td>0.509 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.61 ± 0.18</td>
<td>15.40 ± 1.65</td>
<td>0.306 ± 0.012</td>
<td>9.08 ± 0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HGMLW-SHCC-50</td>
<td>0.496 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.57 ± 0.03</td>
<td>13.48 ± 1.03</td>
<td>0.288 ± 0.002</td>
<td>9.06 ± 0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HGMLW-SHCC-75</td>
<td>0.474 ± 0.08</td>
<td>0.49 ± 0.16</td>
<td>10.29 ± 0.08</td>
<td>0.246 ± 0.003</td>
<td>6.88 ± 0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HGMLW-SHCC-100</td>
<td>0.448 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.42 ± 0.08</td>
<td>10.04 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.211 ± 0.005</td>
<td>5.43 ± 0.08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 5** Single-crack tensile test results and PSH indices.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mixture ID</th>
<th>HGMLW-SHCC-0</th>
<th>HGMLW-SHCC-25</th>
<th>HGMLW-SHCC-50</th>
<th>HGMLW-SHCC-75</th>
<th>HGMLW-SHCC-100</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma_0$ (MPa)</td>
<td>7.76 ± 0.25</td>
<td>6.81 ± 0.13</td>
<td>6.42 ± 0.07</td>
<td>5.56 ± 0.54</td>
<td>4.81 ± 0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\delta_0$ (mm)</td>
<td>0.85 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.65 ± 0.09</td>
<td>0.58 ± 0.12</td>
<td>0.55 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.73 ± 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$J_{b'}$ (J/m$^2$)</td>
<td>1,249.2 ± 22.7</td>
<td>1,095.5 ± 24.3</td>
<td>1,032.8 ± 26.2</td>
<td>893.6 ± 21.5</td>
<td>572.3 ± 20.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSH$_s$ ($\sigma_0/\sigma_c$)</td>
<td>1.58 ± 0.09</td>
<td>1.76 ± 0.08</td>
<td>1.91 ± 0.06</td>
<td>2.05 ± 0.07</td>
<td>2.16 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSH$<em>e$ ($J</em>{b'}/J_{tip}$)</td>
<td>102.1 ± 6.6</td>
<td>120.6 ± 11.2</td>
<td>113.9 ± 5.1</td>
<td>129.8 ± 10.7</td>
<td>105.3 ± 3.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
recommended threshold value of 3 (Kanda and Li, 2002); therefore, the criterion of energy is compiled as well as a significant strain-hardening behavior was achieved.

SEM-EDX Mapping

Figure 10A–D shows the SEM images of HGMLW-SHCC. For comparison, two specimens with 0 and 100% volume fractions of HGM were tested. Figure 10A indicates that the HGMLW-SHCC 0 mixture is dense and homogeneous, and no apparent air voids were observed. Figure 10C shows some air voids on the surface of the mortar. The number of air voids increased with increasing HGM content. These results indicate that the spherical particles of HGM induced more air voids in the matrix, which benefit the lightweight property of the end product. Figure 10D captured the smooth spherical shape of unbroken HGM with some attached pastes, attributing to a slight degree of pozzolanic reaction. Please note that HGM or silica sand was identified based on EDS mapping analysis (see Figure 10I,J).

Figure 10E–H shows the interaction of the PE fiber with blended cementitious matrix in samples of HGMLW-SHCC 0 and HGMLW-SHCC 100. Figure 10E,F showed that HGMLW-SHCC 0 has some residual mortar paste left on the surface of the PE fiber, indicating a good bonding strength between the PE fiber and HGMLW-SHCC 0 matrix. Figure 10G,H showed a relatively clean and smooth surface of PE fibers in HGMLW-SHCC 100, which may result from a weak fiber–matrix bond. These results could be attributed to the fact that the introduction of HGM into the cementitious matrix loosens the surrounding matrix, as shown in Figure 10H.

Figure 10I,J compare the exact distribution of various elemental phases in the samples with or without HGM addition. The elemental mapping results indicated that the calcium from hydration products was homogeneously distributed throughout the selected region in Figure 10I. Whereas Figure 10J shows the mapped region assigned to the HGM particle was rich in Si and Na, and the absence of Ca revealed that the HGM remained unreacted in the cementitious composite.

CONCLUSION

This experimental study took a pilot step to develop a lightweight SHCC by using HGM. Based on the theory of micromechanics, HGMLW-SHCC with significant strain-hardening behavior was developed. A series of mechanical and microstructural tests were conducted and the results can be summarized as follows:

1. The introduction of HGM to the PE fiber-reinforced CAC-GGBFS-blended SHCC improves the flowability and greatly reduces the density from 2069 kg/m$^3$ to 1756 kg/m$^3$, meets the requirement of lightweight concrete.
2. The compressive strength of HGMLW-SHCC reduced after adding HGM but it still achieved almost 50 MPa when fully replaced for sand. All mixtures exhibited significant strain-hardening behavior with a maximum strain capacity up to 8.78%, almost 50% higher than the reference mixture group. Tensile strength was reduced insignificantly even the HGM content increased up to 75%; tensile stress fluctuations as well as crack width were all benefited.
3. Both PSH$_1$ and PSH$_2$ indices of the designed HGMLW-SHCC have fully satisfied the recommended values in the relevant
literature, which ensures a high strain-hardening behavior of HGM/LW–SHCC.

4. SEM images captured the smooth spherical shape of unbroken HGM particles. HGM shell remains intact with CAC-GGBFSA-blended matrix after 28 days of moist curing, which means that little or no interaction between the microspheres and the cementitious matrix. EDX element mapping analysis further proves HGM remained unreacted in the cementitious composite.
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