Pure

Scotland's Rural College

Female but not male zebra finches adjust heat output in response to increased incubation demand

Hill, DL; Lindstrom, J; McCafferty, DJ; Nager, RG

Published in: Journal of Experimental Biology

DOI: 10.1242/jeb.095323

Print publication: 01/01/2014

Document Version Peer reviewed version

Link to publication

Citation for pulished version (APA):

Hill, DL., Lindstrom, J., McCafferty, DJ., & Nager, RG. (2014). Female but not male zebra finches adjust heat output in response to increased incubation demand. *Journal of Experimental Biology*, *217*, 1326 - 1332. https://doi.org/10.1242/jeb.095323

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
 You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal ?

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Female but not male zebra finches adjust heat output in response to increased incubation demand

Davina L. Hill^{1*}, Jan Lindström¹, Dominic J. McCafferty¹ and Ruedi G. Nager¹

¹Institute of Biodiversity, Animal Health and Comparative Medicine, College of Medical, Veterinary and Life Sciences, Graham Kerr Building, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, G12 8QQ, UK

*Author for correspondence and present address: Animal and Veterinary Sciences, Roslin Institute Building, SRUC, Easter Bush, Midlothian, EH25 9RG, UK (davina.hill@sruc.ac.uk)

SUMMARY 1 2 In many incubating birds heat transfer from parent to egg is facilitated by the brood 3 patch, an area of ventral abdominal skin that becomes highly vascularised, swells and 4 loses its down feathers around the time of laying. Only the female develops a brood patch 5 in most passerine species, but males of some species can incubate and maintain the eggs 6 at similar temperatures to females even without a brood patch. Here we used a novel 7 application of infra-red thermography (IRT) to examine sex differences in parental care 8 from a physiological perspective. Using incubating male and female zebra finches 9 (*Taeniopygia guttata*), a species in which the male lacks a brood patch, we measured the 10 surface temperature of the ventral plumage overlying the abdomen and a reference area 11 that does not contact the eggs (thorax) twice per pair. In half of the pairs clutch size was 12 experimentally enlarged between the two sets of measurements to increase incubation 13 demand. We found that the temperature differential between abdomen and thorax 14 plumage was greater in females than in males, and that abdomen plumage was warmer 15 after clutch enlargement than before it in females but not in males. These findings are 16 consistent with morphological sex differences in brood patch development and suggest 17 that male and female zebra finches differ in the way they regulate abdomen versus 18 general body surface temperature in response to variation in incubation demand. 19 20 **KEYWORDS:** brood patch, clutch size manipulation, infra-red thermography, IRT, 21 parental care, Taeniopygia guttata

23

Introduction

24 Incubating birds must keep their eggs within the narrow range of temperature and 25 humidity that favours optimal embryonic development by transferring heat from their 26 body to the eggs (DuRant et al., 2013; Rahn and Ar, 1974; Webb, 1987). They can 27 regulate heat transfer behaviourally by adjusting their body position and the duration and 28 tightness of contact with the eggs (e.g. Drent et al., 1970; Gorman et al., 2005; White and 29 Kinney, 1974) and physiologically by increasing their metabolic rate (de Heij et al., 2007; 30 Nord et al., 2010; Vleck, 1981) or output of blood flow to the brood patch (Midtgard et 31 al., 1985). The brood patch is typically a defeathered, swollen and highly vascularised 32 area of ventral abdominal skin that develops under hormonal control around the time of 33 egg-laying and incubation in many bird species (Bailey, 1952; Jones, 1971; Lea and 34 Klandorf, 2002). As well as facilitating heat transfer during contact incubation, the brood 35 patch contains sensory receptors that enable incubating birds to detect suboptimal egg temperatures (Drent et al., 1970; Lea and Klandorf, 2002; White and Kinney, 1974). 36

37 Even in biparental incubators, where both males and females contribute to 38 warming the eggs, brood patch development can differ between the sexes. In most 39 passerines only the female develops a brood patch (Lea and Klandorf, 2002). Although 40 we might expect the sex with the more developed brood patch to maintain higher steady-41 state incubation temperatures or re-warm cold eggs more rapidly, empirical evidence of 42 this is mixed. Females warm eggs more rapidly (Kleindorfer et al., 1995) or to a higher 43 temperature (Voss et al., 2008) than males in many passerine species, but in others the males warm eggs to a similar or even higher temperature than the females, even in 44 45 species in which males lack a brood patch (Auer et al., 2007; Zann and Rossetto, 1991). 46 While these studies focussed on the temperature of the egg, few have compared sex 47 differences in heat output at the parental body surface itself (for exceptions see Bartlett et 48 al. (2005) and Deeming and Du Feu (2008) in passerines, and Massaro et al. (2006) in 49 yellow-eyed penguins, Megadyptes antipodes). Such measurements are useful because 50 they enable heat output from the parents to be studied independently of potentially 51 confounding behavioural effects on egg temperature, which might also differ between the 52 sexes.

53 Here we examined sex differences in heat output from incubating zebra finches, 54 *Taeniopygia guttata* (Vieillot, 1817). During the day, free-living males and females 55 invest an equal share of time in incubation (Zann and Rossetto, 1991), whereas females in 56 captive domesticated populations spend more time incubating than males (Burley, 1988; 57 Gorman and Nager, 2003; Hill et al., 2011), and females incubate alone at night in the 58 wild and in captivity (Zann and Rossetto, 1991). Females develop the morphological 59 characteristics of a brood patch (e.g. skin colour change and oedema formation) before 60 the clutch is complete, although without the degree of vascularisation seen in most other 61 passerine species (Zann and Rossetto, 1991; Zann, 1996). These characteristics do not 62 develop in male zebra finches. The apterium is relatively bare throughout the year in both 63 sexes, and the female loses the few down feathers she has during laying.

64 Based upon these morphological observations we hypothesised that incubating 65 females will emit more heat from the ventral abdomen than males, and we measured the 66 temperature of the ventral plumage using infra-red thermography (IRT). IRT uses known 67 properties of an object's surface and simple physical laws to determine the object's 68 surface temperature from the infra-red radiation it emits (Speakman and Ward, 1998). We 69 compared plumage temperature in males and females at two ventral sites: one over the 70 area where the brood patch occurs in females (abdomen) and another away from the 71 brood patch (thorax) to give an approximation of general body surface temperature.

72 Incubating a large clutch requires greater energy expenditure than a small clutch 73 (Biebach, 1984; de Heij et al., 2007; Nord et al., 2010) and so we experimentally 74 enlarged clutch size between measurement days to test whether birds would respond to 75 the increased demands of keeping eggs warm by increasing heat output. We expected to 76 see a greater increase in abdominal heat output in response to clutch enlargement in 77 females than in males due to the presence of the brood patch in females, which enhances 78 blood flow and sensory perception in the region in contact with the eggs. The results of 79 this experiment will provide a better understanding of how parent birds physiologically 80 adjust expenditure to variation in incubation demand.

- 81
- 82
- 83

Results

thickness of plumage on the ventral surface ($\beta = 0.31$, Credible Interval (CI) = -0.57 to 85 86 1.20, P = 0.488, N = 66 measurements from 33 individuals and 17 pairs on incubation 87 day 6; Table 1). Thorax plumage was thicker than abdomen plumage ($\beta = 1.22$, CI = 0.32 88 to 2.08, P < 0.007; Table 1) and the effects of body part (thorax or abdomen) on plumage 89 thickness did not depend on the bird's sex ($\beta = -0.72$, CI = -2.38 to 1.03, P = 0.407). 90 Abdomen plumage thickness measurements were repeatable between incubation days 6 91 and 8 in females ($F_{15.16} = 7.31$, $r = 0.75 \pm 0.11$, P < 0.001) and males ($F_{15.16} = 7.43$, r =92 0.75 ± 0.11 , P < 0.001); thorax plumage thickness was repeatable in males ($F_{15,16} = 5.34$, r $= 0.67 \pm 0.14$, P < 0.001) but not in females ($F_{15,16} = 0.97$, $r = -0.05 \pm 0.25$, P = 0.523). 93 94 Thorax plumage temperature did not differ between the sexes and was not 95 associated with thorax plumage thickness or clutch size in birds incubating natural clutch 96 sizes (incubation day 6; Table 1, Table 2A). Abdomen plumage temperature, by contrast, 97 decreased with abdomen plumage thickness and the relationship between thorax plumage 98 temperature and abdomen plumage temperature differed between the sexes (Table 2B): in 99 females, the abdomen plumage was warmer than the thorax plumage ($\beta = -1.42$, CI = -100 2.43 to -0.48, P = 0.007; Table 1), but there was no difference between thorax and 101 abdomen plumage temperature in males ($\beta = -0.42$, CI = -1.62 to 0.74, P = 0.473). 102 Abdomen and thorax plumage temperatures did not differ between incubation 103 days 6 and 8 in control birds. There was a non-significant trend towards warmer 104 abdomens in control females $(32.5\pm0.53^{\circ}C; \text{Table 3})$ than in control males $(31.7\pm0.61^{\circ}C)$, 105 but the sexes did not differ in thorax plumage temperature (females: 30.5±0.35°C, males: 106 $30.9 \pm 47^{\circ}$ C; $\beta = 0.01$, CI = -0.02 to 0.05, P = 0.510). Abdomen plumage temperature was 107 repeatable between incubation days 6 and 8 in control females ($F_{7,8} = 9.98$, r = 0.82 ± 0.12 , P = 0.002) but not significantly so in control males ($F_{7.8} = 3.46$, r =108 109 0.55 ± 0.25 , P = 0.052). Thorax plumage temperature was not repeatable in control males $(F_{7.8} = 2.32, r = 0.34 \pm 0.32, P = 0.131)$ or females $(F_{7.8} = 1.30, r = 0.07 \pm 0.36, P = 0.361)$. 110 111 Thorax plumage temperature was warmer in treatment group females than in 112 treatment males on incubation days 6 and 8, but was not influenced by the clutch size 113 enlargement or an interaction between sex and clutch enlargement (Table 4A; Fig. 1).

Male and female zebra finches incubating natural clutch sizes did not differ in the

84

114 However, the effects of incubating an enlarged compared with a control clutch on

116 were warmer after the clutch size enlargement than before it, but male abdomen plumage 117 temperature did not change (Fig. 1). This result was qualitatively similar when the ventral 118 temperature differential (abdomen plumage temperature minus thorax plumage 119 temperature) was used as a response variable (Linear Mixed effects Model controlling for 120 plumage thickness, individual identity and pair identity: sex \times clutch enlargement β = -121 1.13, CI = -2.14 to -0.15, P = 0.026). 122 123 Discussion 124 125 We examined sex differences during incubation by comparing the ventral heat output of 126 male and female zebra finches using infra-red thermography. The plumage of females 127 incubating natural clutch sizes was warmer at the abdomen than the thorax, which we 128 used as a proxy for general body surface temperature, but the two areas did not

abdomen plumage temperature differed between the sexes (Table 4B): female abdomens

115

significantly differ in temperature in males. Similarly, in incubating female house
sparrows, *Passer domesticus*, the abdomen was warmer than a control area (the back) in
females but not in males (Bartlett et al., 2005). Moreover, female zebra finches appeared
to respond to the challenge of incubating experimentally enlarged clutch sizes by
increasing heat output from the abdomen (adjusted for general body temperature) relative
to their own output before the clutch size manipulation. By contrast, we observed no
change in heat output in males.

A sex difference in plumage temperature could be due to males and females 136 137 generating different amounts of heat, differing in insulation in layers above the heat-138 generating tissue (plumage, skin and subcutaneous tissue) or both. Plumage thickness is 139 the main contributor to insulation in several bird species (McCafferty et al., 1997), but we 140 found no sex difference in plumage thickness in our population, suggesting that the 141 differences measured here are due to differences in the output of generated heat. These 142 results are consistent with differences between male and female zebra finches in brood 143 patch morphology (Zann and Rossetto, 1991; Zann, 1996) and suggest that the sexes 144 differ in their ability or willingness to increase abdomen temperature above general body

temperature in response to variation in incubation demand. To our knowledge this has notbeen demonstrated previously.

147 It is worth emphasising that we did not measure brood patch skin temperature but 148 the temperature of the contour feathers overlying the egg-contact region. The aim of this 149 was to minimise variability between measurements and disturbance to the birds 150 associated with instrument attachment and handling. The difference between the 151 abdomen temperatures presented here (32.5±0.33°C for females on incubation day 6) and 152 the higher temperatures reported elsewhere for brood patch skin $(41.2\pm0.11^{\circ}C, \text{mean} \pm$ 153 SE for 24 passerine species, mainly measured in females (Deeming, 2008)) highlights the 154 excellent insulating capacity of the plumage, even during incubation when the down 155 feathers have been lost. In addition, we found that abdomen plumage temperatures 156 decreased as plumage thickness increased, in agreement with studies of mounted 157 specimens of passerines, quails and owls (McCafferty et al. 1997; Walsberg, 1988). The 158 exact gradient of heat loss from the skin to the surface of the plumage is likely to be more 159 complex than is currently understood, and may depend on the type, quality, number and 160 placement of feathers overlying the skin (Wolf & Walsberg, 2000). Although plumage 161 temperature measurements are not a substitute for direct measurements of brood patch 162 temperature, they are valuable in studies such as this where the aim is to detect relative 163 changes in heat output. In particular they are likely to improve the precision of within-164 individual studies where the greatest source of variability is due to measurement error.

165 While females incubating enlarged clutches on incubation day 8 had warmer 166 abdomen plumage than on day 6, there was no change in abdomen heat output in control 167 birds incubating unmanipulated clutch sizes. The response in the former group of females 168 is therefore most likely due to clutch size enlargement rather than changes over the 169 incubation period. Females may respond to increased incubation demand by directing 170 warm blood to arterioles that lie close to the brood patch surface and that typically 171 increase in musculature as part of brood patch development (Midtgard et al., 1985; 172 Peterson, 1955). This might occur to even a greater extent in females of other passerine 173 species whose brood patches exhibit more pronounced vascularisation than in zebra 174 finches.

175 Treatment male zebra finches, unlike females, did not respond to the clutch size 176 enlargement by increasing their abdomen temperature. While this could imply a reduced 177 ability or willingness to transfer heat in incubating males compared with females, Zann 178 and Rossetto (1991) did not observe a sex difference in steady-state incubation 179 temperature or the rate of re-warming cool eggs in this species and speculated that male 180 zebra finches increase heat transfer to the eggs by increasing metabolic rate. Our findings 181 do not support this idea because male thorax temperature was not higher than female 182 thorax temperature and did not increase in response to clutch enlargement. Indeed, 183 females allocated to the treatment group had warmer thoraxes than males and there was 184 no sex difference in thorax temperature in control birds. Nevertheless, metabolic rate has 185 rarely been measured in incubating males and it would be valuable to compare the 186 metabolic rates of incubating males and females directly.

187 A new question raised by this study is whether the difference in temperature 188 between the abdomen and thorax in females exists only in incubating birds. As the 189 temperature of the abdomen plumage relative to the thorax changed with incubation 190 demand we believe that it is reasonable to suggest that at least some of these differences 191 are related to incubation. A better understanding of this issue would provide information 192 on brood patch function and could be tested by comparing abdomen and thorax 193 measurements taken during incubation with those from the same females before the 194 brood patch develops or after it regresses. If the female has a true brood patch, we would 195 predict that the abdomen would be warmer in incubating than in non-incubating females 196 and that there would be no difference between incubating and non-incubating females in 197 thorax temperature nor between abdomen and thorax temperature in non-incubating 198 females.

Maintaining a brood patch is likely to be costly in terms of increased heat loss (Haftorn and Reinertsen, 1985), and we would expect such costs to be offset by an associated fitness benefit, such as an increased ability to keep the eggs at favourable conditions for optimal embryo development and survival. Lower egg temperatures in males than females during steady-state incubation have indeed been reported in some species of biparentally incubating passerine (Reid et al., 2002; Voss et al., 2008). However, no sex differences in steady state incubation were found in other species,

206 including zebra finches, as noted above, in spite of the absence of a brood patch in males 207 (Kleindorfer et al., 1995; Zann and Rossetto, 1991), and in chestnut-vented tit-babblers, 208 Parisoma subcaeruleum, eggs were warmer when incubated by males than by females 209 (Auer et al., 2007). Males have been observed to re-warm clutches after an incubation 210 break more slowly than females in some species (Kleindorfer et al., 1995; Voss et al., 211 2008), while in others males re-warmed clutches more quickly than females (Reid et al., 212 2002), and no clear difference between the sexes was seen in others, including zebra 213 finches (Auer et al., 2007; Hill, 2009). These conflicting results may seem to suggest that 214 the brood patch is not associated with improved performance during incubation. 215 However, we need to know more about sex differences in the costs of incubation and how 216 the brood patch might moderate these costs before we can draw a conclusion. The 217 presence of a brood patch might reduce the risk of tissue damage due to the protective 218 thickening of the epidermal skin (Jones, 1971), allow individuals to sustain longer or 219 more frequent incubation bouts or expend less effort to achieve the same thermal output 220 (Auer et al., 2007) or enable them to detect non-optimal egg temperatures through the 221 sensory receptors it contains (Drent et al., 1970; White and Kinney, 1974).

222 In our study, up-regulation of heat transfer to the brood patch in females after the 223 clutch size manipulation could be a tactile response to the increased number of eggs or a 224 thermal response to a decrease in mean egg temperature. Mean egg surface temperature 225 (both sexes pooled) was inversely related to natural variation in clutch size in our 226 population (Hill, 2009), and so we would expect the clutch enlargement in the present 227 study to produce a similar decrease in egg temperature. However, males might fail to 228 perceive such changes in temperature or clutch size without a brood patch. They do, 229 however, seem able to respond to variation in clutch size by adjusting the amount of time 230 spent incubating. Male European starlings, Sturnus vulgaris, increased incubation 231 attentiveness following clutch size enlargement and decreased it when clutch size was 232 reduced (Komdeur et al., 2002) and incubation attentiveness was positively related to 233 natural clutch size in male zebra finches (Hill et al., 2011). These results suggest that the 234 absence of a brood patch does not impair a male's ability to detect changes in clutch size, 235 although what cues they use is unknown.

236 Sex differences in the regulation of heat transfer to offspring have also been 237 recorded in humans. Studies of 'kangaroo care', where a newborn human infant is placed 238 in skin-to-skin contact upon the parental breast, show that mothers adjust their breast 239 temperature in response to their infants' immediate thermal needs whereas fathers 240 maintain a high heat output that can cause infants to become overheated and even 241 hyperthermic (Chiu et al., 2005; Ludington-Hoe et al., 1992; Ludington-Hoe et al., 2006). 242 These findings, in combination with our own, point to interesting differences between 243 male and female parents in the modulation of offspring temperature, and suggest that 244 such differences may be more widespread in endotherms than is currently recognized.

245 Our results suggest that males and females respond differently to the demands of 246 incubation. Understanding sex differences in the effectiveness of parental care has 247 implications for our understanding of sex role divergence and for interpreting empirical 248 studies of sexual conflict over parental effort. It might be maladaptive for males to 249 increase their incubation effort to levels shown by females because they are less certain 250 of their relatedness to the offspring (Queller, 1997; Trivers, 1972) and can potentially 251 obtain greater fitness benefits from seeking extra-pair copulations (EPCs) than attending 252 to the eggs or offspring, depending on the availability of receptive females (Bateman, 253 1948; Kokko and Jennions, 2008; Magrath and Komdeur, 2003). Perhaps for this reason 254 the complex morphological adaptations associated with the brood patch have not evolved 255 to the same extent or have not been conserved in male passerines. The sex difference we 256 observed in the birds' response to the clutch size enlargement could reflect the outcome 257 of a conflict that has been resolved over evolutionary time or differences in willingness to 258 respond (even if individuals are capable of doing so) measured over ecological time. In 259 practice it may not be possible to determine whether males are unable or unwilling to 260 adjust abdominal temperature, and in any case sex differences in ability and willingness 261 are likely to have arisen as a consequence of the same evolutionary pressures. 262 Nevertheless, by contributing to incubation, males play an important role in relieving the 263 female in times of energetic stress (Kleindorfer et al., 1995; Smith and Montgomerie, 264 1992) and in reducing the substantial energetic demands of re-warming cold eggs after 265 the female returns from a foraging bout (Vleck, 1981; Voss et al., 2008).

266

267	
268	Materials and methods
269	Subjects and maintenance
270	This study was carried out on domesticated zebra finches bred at the University of
271	Glasgow and conforms to the 'Guidelines for the treatment of animals in behavioural
272	research and teaching' (Buchanan et al., 2012). Prior to pairing, the sexes were kept
273	separately at a density of six individuals per cage (122 \times 41 \times 45 cm length \times width \times
274	height) under a 'short day' light regime (10 ½ h L: 13 ½ h D) using daylight-spectrum
275	fluorescent tubes (Arcadia Bird Lamp FB36) with a gradual change in light at dawn and
276	dusk. Birds received ad libitum mixed seed consisting of canary millets (Foreign Finch
277	Mixture: Galloway MacLeod, UK), oyster grit, cuttlefish bone and water. Egg biscuit
278	protein with vitamin (Daily Essentials 2: The Birdcare company, Nailsworth, UK) and
279	calcium (Calcivet: The Birdcare company) supplements were provided three times a
280	week and fresh spinach leaves twice a week.
281	Males and females without previous breeding experience were size matched
282	according to tarsus length. To stimulate breeding, we increased daylight hours to 12 h L:
283	12 h D one week before birds were paired and maintained this 'long day' regime until the
284	end of the experiment. Each pair was kept in a breeding cage (60 \times 40 \times 50 cm length \times
285	width \times height) with access to a nestbox and coconut fibre nesting material. Nestboxes
286	were checked daily from pairing, and lay date (the date that the first egg of a clutch was
287	laid) and clutch size were recorded. All pairs that laid in the nestbox were included in the
288	study.
289	
290	Experimental design
291	We compared ventral plumage temperature in male and female zebra finches incubating
292	unmanipulated ('control', $N = 9$ pairs) and experimentally enlarged clutch sizes where we
293	increased incubation effort by adding two dummy eggs (see below) to a pair's natural
294	clutch size ('treatment', $N = 10$). Each pair was alternately allocated to control or
295	treatment group according to lay date. Control and treatment birds did not differ in the

- number of eggs they laid (control: 4.8 ± 0.52 eggs (mean \pm SE); treatment: 5.4 ± 0.54
- eggs; $F_{1,17} = 0.68$, P = 0.422) or tarsus length (control males: 13.9 ± 0.16 mm; treatment

298	males: 14.2 ± 0.15 mm; $F_{1,17} = 1.84$, $P = 0.193$; control females: 14.3 ± 0.21 mm;
299	treatment females: 14.5 ± 0.17 mm (one measurement missing); $F_{1,16} = 0.69$, $P = 0.780$).
300	We assumed that zebra finches begin incubating ('day 0 ') on the fourth day of
301	laying in clutches of five eggs or more, and on the final day of laying in smaller clutches;
302	the incubation period spans 11-15 (median 14) days using these criteria (Zann and
303	Rossetto, 1991). On day 2 of incubation, we replaced all eggs with an equivalent number
304	of dummy eggs made of Fimo modelling clay (Eberhard Faber, Neumarkt, Germany).
305	Fimo eggs have similar thermal properties to fresh zebra finch eggs (Gorman, 2005) and
306	do not bring about changes in incubation behaviour compared to natural eggs (Gorman et
307	al., 2005). At dusk on incubation day 7, we added two additional Fimo eggs to the
308	clutches of the 10 treatment pairs; the 9 control pairs incubated unmanipulated clutch
309	sizes throughout. This design enabled us to test for sex differences in the ventral heat
310	output of incubating birds both within and between experimental groups.
311	
312	Temperature measurements
313	We used infra-red thermography (IRT) to measure temperature at the surface of the
314	ventral plumage. IRT is a non-invasive, non-contact technique that can provide quick and
315	accurate measurements of avian skin and plumage temperatures (McCafferty, 2013). We
316	simultaneously measured the surface temperature of the undisturbed contour feathers
317	overlying two regions on the ventral side of the birds: the area that comes into contact
318	with the eggs, corresponding to the brood patch in females (hereafter abdomen
319	temperature) and a control area anterior to the brood patch that does not contact the eggs
320	(hereafter thorax temperature). This allowed us to test whether the output of heat from the
321	abdomen is regulated independently of the rest of the body. We measured plumage rather
322	than skin temperature to remove potential biases and variability associated with parting
323	the contour feathers by hand to expose the brood patch and thorax skin, which could
324	influence temperature and handling time. The insulating properties of plumage increase
325	with plumage thickness in several species of terrestrial birds (McCafferty et al., 1997;
326	Walsberg, 1988) and so we measured plumage thickness at the abdomen and thorax (see
327	below) to account for this variation.

IRT images were taken using the ThermaCamTM E300 (FLIR Systems, 328 329 Burlington, Canada) on incubation days 6 and 8, that is, just before and after treatment 330 pairs experienced the clutch size manipulation (incubation day 7) and when nest 331 attentiveness reaches its maximum (Gorman and Nager, 2003). We gently displaced each 332 incubating bird with a tap to the nestbox and caught the bird without touching its 333 underside. The bird was then held with its ventral surface at a distance of 0.20 m from the 334 camera, which was supported on a fixed tripod. We took three replicate images of the 335 bird's ventral surface and recorded the time elapsed between the displacement of the bird 336 from the nest and the image being taken ('image latency', mean 65.8 ± 3.97 s, N = 70337 images from 38 birds and 19 pairs; all reported values are based on the single best-338 resolved of the three replicate images). Some individuals were not observed incubating 339 on the designated days, and so thermal images are missing for day 6 for two treatment 340 pairs and one control female and on day 8 for the partner of the latter female. Neither 341 abdomen plumage temperature ($\beta = -0.96$, Credible Interval (CI) = -2.53 to 0.65, P = 342 0.227 controlling for pair identity and plumage thickness) nor thorax plumage 343 temperature ($\beta = -0.001$, CI = -0.004 to 0.001, P = 0.211 controlling for pair identity; 344 plumage thickness was not significant) was associated with image latency (N = 33345 individuals from 17 pairs on day 6 of incubation). Immediately after taking thermal 346 images on incubation days 6 and 8, we measured plumage thickness at the thorax and 347 abdomen to the nearest 0.5 mm by pushing a discarded primary feather, marked along the 348 shaft at 1 mm intervals, through the plumage down to the skin. We used the software ThermaCAMTM QuickReport 1.1 (FLIR Systems, 2007a) to 349 visualise the digital images and ThermaCAMTM Reporter 8.3 (FLIR Systems, 2007b) to 350 351 analyse the best resolved of the three replicates taken for each individual and incubation

day. The radiation measured by the camera is a function of the object's surface

temperature and emissivity, ambient temperature and absorption and scattering by

atmospheric humidity. We set the surface emissivity value to 0.98 for the bird's plumage

355 (Hammel, 1956) and temperature and relative humidity to the mean values recorded in

356 the room during the measurement period (22.2 \pm <0.01 °C and 13.6 \pm 0.04 %,

357 respectively). To standardise the size and positioning of measurement areas between

images we placed a sagittal line along the image of the bird's ventral surface (Fig. 2)

359	consisting of three transects that were scaled to the length of the bird's leg ring, which
360	was visible on all images. This was done by tracing a straight digital line along the length
361	of the ring (Fig. 2, Li1) with the polygon tool in ThermaCAM TM Reporter 8.3, noting the
362	ring's length in pixels, and then producing three transects of the same pixel length (Fig. 2,
363	Li2, Li3, Li4). The first transect (Li2 = abdomen) was extended by a factor of three, since
364	the brood patch was at least three times the length of the ring, and placed along the
365	sagittal plane on the region of the thermal image corresponding to the plumage over the
366	brood patch in females. Li3 and Li4 were placed at the apex of Li2 and Li3, respectively
367	to standardise the distance between the two measurement areas ($Li2 = abdomen and Li4$
368	= thorax). We then calculated the mean pixel temperature along Li2 (abdomen plumage
369	temperature) and Li4 (thorax plumage temperature).
370	
371	Statistical analysis
372	All data were analysed in R version 3.0.1 (R Development Core Team, 2013). Thorax
373	plumage temperature measurements were normalised by log transformation when used as
374	a response variable and abdomen plumage temperature measurements were squared to
375	allow parametric tests to be carried out.
376	We tested whether the thickness of plumage overlying the abdomen and the
377	thorax differs between the sexes and the body part in birds incubating unmanipulated
378	clutch sizes (incubation day 6). We fitted a general Linear Mixed effects Model (LMM)
379	with plumage thickness as the response variable, sex and body part (abdomen or thorax)
380	as fixed factors and individual and pair identity as random factors. We included the
381	interaction between sex and body part to test whether a difference in plumage thickness
382	between the two parts of the body depends on the bird's sex. We calculated the within-
383	individual repeatability (r) of plumage thickness between incubation days 6 and 8
384	(following Lessells and Boag, 1987) and its standard error (Becker, 1984) to allow us to
385	assess measurement precision.

To see whether males and females incubating unmanipulated clutch sizes differed in heat output, we fitted LMMs to data from incubation day 6. This first involved testing whether the sexes differed in general body temperature using thorax plumage temperature as the response variable, and then whether males and females differentially regulate

390 abdomen temperature relative to general body temperature by fitting thorax plumage

temperature and the interaction between sex and thorax plumage temperature as fixed

392 effects. We included pair identity as a random factor, sex as a fixed factor and clutch size

and plumage thickness measured on incubation day 6 as covariates in both models.

394 Variance inflation factors calculated by the car package (Fox and Weisberg, 2012) were

395 < 1.23 in both models.

If females have a true brood patch but males do not, we should expect only females to maintain brood patch temperature above the temperature of the rest of the trunk. To test whether this is likely to be the case, we ran two separate LMMs, one for each sex, with thorax and abdomen plumage temperature (which were normally distributed when pooled) on incubation day 6 as a single response variable, pair identity as a random factor, body part as a fixed factor and plumage thickness on day 6 as a covariate.

To see whether ventral heat output changed between incubation days 6 and 8 in unmanipulated birds, we compared temperature measurements between the two days in control birds using separate LMMs for thorax and abdomen plumage temperature. In both models we fitted plumage thickness, sex, day of incubation and the interaction between sex and day of incubation as fixed effects and individual and pair identity as random effects. Where abdomen plumage temperature was the response variable, we also controlled for thorax plumage temperature.

410 There was no effect among control birds of incubation day on either abdomen or 411 thorax plumage temperature (see Results). Consequently, differences in temperature 412 between incubation days in the treatment group are likely to be related to the clutch size 413 manipulation. We therefore compared treatment group individuals before (day 6) and 414 after (day 8) the clutch size enlargement. We tested for an effect of sex and clutch 415 enlargement as well as the interaction between the two on abdomen and thorax plumage 416 temperature in LMMs to determine whether the sexes respond differently to the challenge 417 of incubating an enlarged clutch.

418 We fitted LMMs by Restricted Maximum Likelihood using the lme4 package 419 (Bates et al., 2013). We removed interaction terms $P \ge 0.05$ in order of least significance 420 and then non-significant main effects to reach the final model. Significance is denoted by

- 421 a two-tailed *P*-value < 0.05 or a Credible Interval (CI) that does not include zero. We
- 422 present the intercept (constant) for all models containing significant fixed effects.
- 423 Random effects were fitted with random intercepts only, and were controlled for even
- 424 when not statistically significant. For all fixed effects tested we present the model
- 425 coefficient β with CIs calculated at the 95 % confidence level using Markov chain Monte
- 426 Carlo (MCMC) sampling with the chain length fixed at 10,000 and *P*-values based upon
- 427 the posterior probability distribution. These estimates were calculated using the pvals
- 428 function from the languageR library (Baayen, 2013). Means are presented \pm SE.

429	ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS
430	We are grateful to Ben Sheldon, Kate Arnold, Barbara Helm and two anonymous referees
431	for their helpful comments in improving the manuscript. We thank Graham Law, Alistair
432	Kirk, Pat McLaughlin, Graham Adam, Dorothy Armstrong and Karen McLachlan for
433	taking care of the birds and Tam Wallace and Nosrat Mirzai for technical assistance.
434	Andrew Watts assisted with Fig. 1.
435	
436	Competing interests statement
437	The authors have no conflict of interest.
438	
439	Author contributions
440	All authors conceived and designed the experiment. DLH carried out the experiment,
441	thermal and statistical analyses and wrote the manuscript. RGN, JL and DJM commented
442	on the manuscript.
443	
444	Funding
445	This work was supported by the Natural Environment Research Council (grant
446	NER/S/A/2005/13322).

References

Auer, S. K., Bassar, R. D. and Martin, T. E. (2007). Biparental incubation in the chestnut-vented tit-babbler *Parisoma subcaeruleum*: mates devote equal time, but males keep eggs warmer. *J. Avian Biol.* **38**, 278-283.

Baayen, R. H. (2013). languageR: Data sets and functions with "Analyzing Linguistic Data: A practical introduction to statistics". Version 1.4. http://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/languageR/

Bailey, R. E. (1952). The incubation patch of passerine birds. *Condor* **54**, 121-136.

Bartlett, T. L., Mock, D. W. and Schwagmeyer, P. L. (2005). Division of labor: Incubation and biparental care in house sparrows (*Passer domesticus*). *Auk* **122**, 835-842.

Bateman, A. J. (1948). Intra-sexual selection in Drosophila. Heredity 2, 349-368.

Bates, D., Maechler, M. and Bolker, B. (2013). Linear mixed-effects models using S4 classes: R package, Version 0.999999-2. http://cran.rproject.org/web/packages/lme4/index.html

Becker, W. A. (1984). A manual of quantitative genetics. Pullman, Washington: Academic Enterprises.

Biebach, H. (1984). Effect of clutch size and time of day on the energy expenditure of incubating Starlings (*Sturnus vulgaris*). *Physiol. Zool.* **57**, 26-31.

Buchanan, K., Burt de Perera, T., Carere, C., Carter, T., Hailey, A., Hubrecht, R., Jennings, D., Metcalfe, N., Pitcher, T., Peron, F. et al. (2012). Guidelines for the treatment of animals in behavioural research and teaching. *Anim. Behav.* 83, 301-309.

Burley, N. (1988). The differential allocation hypothesis - an experimental test. *Am. Nat.* **132**, 611-628.

Chiu, S. H., Anderson, G. C. and Burkhammer, M. D. (2005). Newborn temperature during skin-to-skin breastfeeding in couples having breastfeeding difficulties. *Birth-Iss. Perinat. C.* **32**, 115-121.

de Heij, M. E., van der Graaf, A. J., Hafner, D. and Tinbergen, J. M. (2007).

Metabolic rate of nocturnal incubation in female great tits, *Parus major*, in relation to clutch size measured in a natural environment. *J. Expt. Bio.* **210**, 2006-2012.

Deeming, D. C. (2008). Avian brood patch temperature: relationships with female body mass, incubation period, developmental maturity and phylogeny. *J. Therm. Biol.* **33**, 345-354.

Deeming, D. C. and Du Feu, C. R. (2008). Measurement of brood patch

temperature of British passerines using an infrared thermometer. Bird Study 55, 139-143.

Drent, R. H., Joustra, T. and Postuma, K. (1970). The effect of egg temperature on incubation behaviour in the herring gull. *Behaviour* **17**, 237-261.

DuRant, S. E., Hopkins, W. A., Hepp, G. R. and Walters, J. R. (2013).

Ecological, evolutionary, and conservation implications of incubation temperaturedependent phenotypes in birds. *Biol. Rev.* **88**, 499-509.

FLIR Systems. (2007a). ThermaCAM QuickReport Version 1.1 Burlington, Canada.

FLIR Systems. (2007b). ThermaCAM Reporter 8.3 Professional. Burlington, Canada.

Fox, J. and Weisberg, S. (2012). car: Companion to Applied Regression. Version 2.0-18. http://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/car/index.html

Gorman, H. E. (2005). Maternal Effects in Avian Incubation, Ph. D. Glasgow: University of Glasgow.

Gorman, H. E., Arnold, K. E. and Nager, R. G. (2005). Incubation effort in relation to male attractiveness in zebra finches *Taeniopygia guttata*. *J. Avian Biol.* **36**, 413-420.

Gorman, H. E. and Nager, R. G. (2003). State-dependent incubation behaviour in the zebra finch. *Anim. Behav.* 65, 745-754.

Haftorn, S. and Reinertsen, R. E. (1985). The effect of temperature and clutch size on the energetic cost of incubation in a free-living blue tit (*Parus caeruleus*). *Auk* 102, 470-478.

Hammel, H. T. (1956). Infrared emissivities of some Arctic fauna. J. Mammal.37, 375-378.

Hill, D. L. (2009). Sexual conflict and division of labour during incubation.

Division of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, Ph.D, Glasgow: University of Glasgow.

Hill, D. L., Lindström, J. and Nager, R. G. (2011). Carry-over effects of male extra-pair copulation opportunity on biparental effort in zebra finches. *Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol.* **65**, 2049-2059.

Jones, R. E. (1971). The incubation patch of birds. *Biol. Rev. Camb. Philos.* 46, 315-339.

Kleindorfer, S., Fessl, B. and Hoi, H. (1995). More is not always better: Male incubation in two *Acrocephalus* warblers. *Behaviour* **132**, 607-625.

Kokko, H. and Jennions, M. D. (2008). Parental investment, sexual selection and sex ratios. *J. Evol. Biol.* **21**, 919-948.

Komdeur, J., Wiersma, P. and Magrath, M. (2002). Paternal care and male mate-attraction effort in the European starling is adjusted to clutch size. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B.* **269**, 1253-1261.

Lea, R. W. and Klandorf, H. (2002). The brood patch. In *Avian Incubation: Behaviour, Environment, and Evolution*, (ed. D. C. Deeming), pp. 100-118. New York: Oxford University Press.

Lessells, C. M. and Boag, P. T. (1987). Unrepeatable repeatabilities - a common mistake. *Auk* 104, 116-121.

Ludington-Hoe, S. M., Hashemi, M. S., Argote, L. A., Medellin, G. and Rey, H. (1992). Selected physiological measures and behavior during paternal skin contact with Colombian preterm infants. *J. Dev. Physiol.* **18**, 223-232.

Ludington-Hoe, S. M., Lewis, T., Morgan, K., Cong, X. M., Anderson, L. and Reese, S. (2006). Breast and infant temperatures with twins during shared kangaroo care. *J. Obst. Gyn. Neo.* **35**, 223-231.

Massaro, M., Davis, L. S. and Davidson, R. S. (2006). Plasticity of brood patch development and its influence on incubation periods in the yellow-eyed penguin *Megadyptes antipodes*: an experimental approach. *J. Avian Biol.* **37**, 497-506.

Magrath, M. J. L. and Komdeur, J. (2003). Is male care compromised by additional mating opportunity? *Trends Ecol. Evol.* **18**, 424-430.

McCafferty, D. J. (2013). Applications of thermal imaging in avian science. *Ibis* 155, 4-15.

McCafferty, D. J., Moncrieff, J. B. and Taylor, I. R. (1997). The effect of wind speed and wetting on thermal resistance of the barn owl (*Tyto alba*). I: Total heat loss, boundary layer and total resistance. *J. Therm. Biol.* **22**, 253-264.

Midtgard, U., Sejrsen, P. and Johansen, K. (1985). Blood-flow in the brood patch of bantam hens - Evidence of cold vasodilation. *J. Comp. Physiol. B.* **155**, 703-709.

Nord, A., Sandell, M. I. and Nilsson, J. (2010). Female zebra finches compromise clutch temperature in energetically demanding incubation conditions. *Funct. Ecol.* 24, 1031-1036.

Petersen, A. J. The breeding cycle in the Bank Swallow. *Wilson Bull.* 67.4 (1955): 235-286.

Queller, D. C. (1997). Why do females care more than males? *P. R. Soc. B.* 264, 1555-1557.

R Development Core Team. (2013). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing.

Rahn, H. and Ar, A. (1974). The avian egg: incubation time and water loss. *Condor* **76**, 147-152.

Reid, J. M., Monaghan, P. and Ruxton, G. D. (2002). Males matter: The occurrence and consequences of male incubation in starlings (*Sturnus vulgaris*). *Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol.* **51**, 255-261.

Smith, H. G. and Montgomerie, R. (1992). Male incubation in barn swallows - the influence of nest temperature and sexual selection. *Condor* 94, 750-759.

Speakman, J. R. and Ward, S. (1998). Infrared thermography: principles and applications. *Zool-Anal. Complex Sy* **101**, 224-232.

Trivers, R. L. (1972). Parental investment and sexual selection. In *Sexual selection and the descent of man*, (ed. B. Campbell), pp. 136-179. Chicago: Aldine Atherton.

Vleck, C. M. (1981). Energetic cost of incubation in the zebra finch. *Condor* 83, 229-237.

Voss, M. A., Rutter, M. A., Zimmerman, N. G. and Moll, K. M. (2008).

Adaptive value of thermally inefficient male incubation in barn swallows (*Hirundo rustica*). *Auk* **125**, 637-642.

Walsberg, G. E. (1988). Heat-flow through avian plumages - The relative importance of conduction, convection, and radiation. *J. Therm. Biol.* **13**, 89-92.

Webb, D. R. (1987). Thermal tolerance of avian embryos - a review. *Condor* 89, 874-898.

White, F. N. and Kinney, J. L. (1974). Avian incubation. Science 186, 107-115.

Wolf, B. O. and Walsberg, G. E. (2000). The role of the plumage in heat transfer processes of birds. *Am. Zool.* 40, 575-584.

Zann, R. and Rossetto, M. (1991). Zebra finch incubation - brood patch, egg temperature and thermal-properties of the nest. *Emu* **91**, 107-120.

Zann, R. A. (1996). The zebra finch: a synthesis of field and laboratory studies. New York: Oxford University Press. **Fig 1.** Abdomen and thorax plumage temperature (means + 1 SE) in incubating male and female zebra finches before (incubation day 6, N = 8 pairs) and after (incubation day 8, N = 10 pairs) a clutch enlargement manipulation. There was a clutch enlargement × sex interaction on abdomen plumage temperature (see Table 4) whereby females but not males increased abdomen plumage temperature following clutch enlargement. Thorax plumage temperature was warmer in females than in males, but was not influenced by the clutch size enlargement nor an interaction between sex and clutch enlargement.

Fig 2. Sample thermal image of the ventral surface of a male on day 6 of incubation illustrating the procedure used for measuring plumage temperature along a transect following the sagittal plane of the ventral surface, and (inset) a digital reference image of the same bird. We standardised the relative size and positioning of transects across all thermal images using the length of the bird's leg ring (Li1) as a scale measured in pixels. Three lines were generated from Li1: Li2 was placed on the abdomen, Li3 was placed at the apex of Li2, and Li4 was placed on the thorax at the apex of Li3; all were arranged along the same ventral transect. We then calculated the mean pixel temperature along Li2 (abdomen plumage temperature) and Li4 (thorax plumage temperature). The values accompanying Li2 and Li4 in the figure are mean temperatures along the two lines.

	Plumage thickness (mm)		Plumage tempo	erature (°C)	
	Thorax	Abdomen	Thorax	Abdomen	
Male	5.3±0.48	4.4±0.52	30.9±0.43	31.7±0.59	
Female	5.3±0.42	3.7±0.31	31.1±0.39	32.5±0.33	

Table 1 Mean \pm SE plumage thickness and plumage temperature in 17 male and 16 female zebra finchesincubating natural clutch sizes on day 6 of incubation.

Table 2. The effects of a bird's sex and other variables on (A) thorax and (B) abdomen plumage temperature on day 6 of incubation (33 measurements from 17 pairs of zebra finches; see Table 1). Coefficients (β and Credible Interval) are estimated using General Linear Mixed effects Models controlling for pair identity (random intercepts). *P*-values are based on the posterior probability distribution (see Methods). Significant fixed effects are shown in bold, non-significant fixed effects were removed from the models.

2A) thorax plumage temperature				
	β	Credible Interval	Р	
Plumage thickness	-0.01	-0.020 to 0.002	0.104	
Natural clutch size	0.004	-0.01 to 0.02	0.572	
Sex ^a	-0.01	-0.04 to 0.03	0.718	
2B) abdomen plumage temperature				
	β	Credible Interval	Р	
Constant	344.46	-412.46 to 1133.34	0.371	
Plumage thickness	-20.90	-37.04 to -4.25	0.016	
Thorax plumage temperature	25.46	1.50 to 50.02	0.039	
Sex ^a	-1242.54	-2269.04 to -280.42	0.015	
Sex \times thorax plumage temperature	39.37	7.53 to 71.43	0.016	
Natural clutch size	-0.88	-18.73 to 16.44	0.922	

^a 'female' is the reference sex

Table 3. The effects of incubation day (6 *vs* 8) and other variables on (A) thorax and (B) abdomen plumage temperature in control pairs (34 measurements from 18 individuals from 9 pairs) of zebra finches. Coefficients (β and Credible Interval) were estimated using General Linear Mixed effects Models controlling for pair and individual identities (random intercepts). Significant fixed effects are shown in bold, non-significant fixed effects were removed from the models.

3A) thorax plumage temperature				
	β	Credible Interval	Р	
Plumage thickness	-0.01	-0.015 to 0.003	0.177	
Sex ^a	0.01	-0.02 to 0.05	0.510	
Day of incubation ^b	-0.01	-0.05 to 0.02	0.493	
Sex \times day of incubation	0.02	-0.05 to 0.09	0.576	
3B) abdomen plumage temperature				
	β	Credible Interval	Р	
Constant	-41.43	-722.14 to 612.66	0.896	

,		
1.43	-722.14 to 612.66	0.896
1.67	-41.45 to -0.20	0.039
8.10	16.96 to 58.59	<0.001
4.17	-115.62 to 3.45	0.075
.12	-51.13 to 64.25	0.808
3.94	-152.09 to 78.23	0.536
	1.43 1.67 3.10 4.17 .12 3.94	1.43 -722.14 to 612.66 1.67 -41.45 to -0.20 3.10 16.96 to 58.59 4.17 -115.62 to 3.45 .12 -51.13 to 64.25 3.94 -152.09 to 78.23

^a 'female' is the reference sex

^b 'day 6' is the reference day of incubation

Table 4. The effects of sex and clutch size enlargement on (A) thorax and (B) abdomen plumage temperature on days 6 and 8 of incubation (repeated measures) in 10 treatment pairs (36 measurements; see Fig. 1). Coefficients (β and Credible Interval) were estimated using General Linear Mixed effects Models controlling for pair and individual identities (random intercepts). Significant fixed effects are shown in bold, non-significant effects that were not components of a significant interaction were removed from the models.

4A) thorax plumage temperature				
	β	Credible Interval	Р	
Constant	3.46	3.44 to 3.49	< 0.001	
Sex ^a	-0.04	-0.08 to -0.001	0.047	
Clutch enlargement ^b	0.01	-0.03 to 0.04	0.719	
Plumage thickness	0.0002	-0.01 to 0.01	0.962	
Sex \times clutch enlargement	0.01	-0.07 to 0.08	0.762	
4B) abdomen plumage temperature				

	β	Credible Interval	Р
Constant	-769.75	-1115.08 to -411.72	<0.001
Plumage thickness	-24.04	-39.17 to -9.23	0.003
Thorax plumage temperature	60.66	50.39 to 71.62	<0.001
Sex ^a	11.54	-39.01 to 64.53	0.657
Clutch enlargement ^b	46.51	-1.28 to 93.25	0.056
Sex \times clutch enlargement	-74.92	-139.36 to -3.71	0.031

^a 'female' is the reference sex

^b 'pre-treatment' is the reference stage of the experiment



